

MINUTES, FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT BOARD

JUNE 15, 2010

The Pima County Flood Control District Board met in regular and special session at the regular meeting place of the Pima County Board of Supervisors (Hearing Room), 130 West Congress Street, Tucson, Arizona, at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, June 15, 2010. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

All Present: Ramón Valadez, Chairman
 Sharon Bronson, Vice Chair
 Ray Carroll, Member
 Ann Day, Member
 Richard Elías, Member
 Lori Godoshian, Clerk

1. **FINAL BUDGET ADOPTION**

Adopt Flood Control District Final Budget for Fiscal Year 2010-2011.
If approved, pass and adopt:

RESOLUTION NO 2010 - FC 7

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing and adopt Resolution No. 2010 - FC 7 in the amount of \$12,227,360.00, with an effective tax rate of \$0.2635.

2. **CONTRACTS**

- A. U.S. Department of the Interior, to provide a License Agreement for the Lower Santa Cruz River Managed Recharge Project, Phase II, no cost (12-59-U-142981-0610)
- B. City of Tucson, to provide a License Agreement for the construction, operation and maintenance of a shared use trail along the Julian Wash, no cost (12-59-T-142999-0610)

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the contracts.

3. **ADJOURNMENT**

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 1:20 p.m.

MINUTES, IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT BOARD

JUNE 15, 2010

The Pima County Improvement District Board met in regular and special session at the regular meeting place of the Pima County Board of Supervisors (Hearing Room), 130 West Congress Street, Tucson, Arizona, at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, June 15, 2010. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

All Present: Ramón Valadez, Chairman
 Sharon Bronson, Vice Chair
 Ray Carroll, Member
 Ann Day, Member
 Richard Elías, Member
 Lori Godoshian, Clerk

1. **FINAL BUDGET ADOPTION**

Adopt Improvement District Final Budgets for Fiscal Year 2010-2011 as follows:

- A. Resolution No. 9, in the amount of \$41,503.00 for Hayhook Ranch.
- B. Resolution No. 14, in the amount of \$16,717.00 for Mortimore Addition.
- C. Resolution No. 17, in the amounts shown below for each of the following districts:

Desert Steppes	\$ 2,505.00
Hermosa Hills Estates	\$ 2,147.00
Longview Estates No. 1	\$ 4,442.00
Longview Estates No. 2	\$ 5,195.00
Rolling Hills	\$ 8,501.00
Mañana Grande B	\$ 3,654.00
Mañana Grande C	\$ 5,968.00
Carriage Hills No. 1	\$ 4,308.00
Lakeside No. 1	\$ 3,411.00
Carriage Hills No. 3	\$ 1,417.00
Cardinal Estates	\$ 7,825.00
Orange Grove Valley	\$ 3,809.00
Peppertree	\$ 5,434.00
Littleton	\$ 9,845.00
Midvale Park	\$ 7,467.00
Peach Valley	\$ 2,085.00
Oaktree No. 1	\$13,378.00
Oaktree No. 2	\$10,498.00
Oaktree No. 3	\$14,067.00
Salida Del Sol	\$ 8,355.00

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing, adopt Resolution Nos. 9, 14 and 17, and adopt the Improvement District final budgets as listed.

2. **ADJOURNMENT**

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 1:20 p.m.

MINUTES, LIBRARY DISTRICT BOARD

JUNE 15, 2010

The Pima County Library District Board met in regular and special session at the regular meeting place of the Pima County Board of Supervisors (Hearing Room), 130 West Congress Street, Tucson, Arizona, at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, June 15, 2010. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

All Present: Ramón Valadez, Chairman
 Sharon Bronson, Vice Chair
 Ray Carroll, Member
 Ann Day, Member
 Richard Elías, Member
 Lori Godoshian, Clerk

1. **FINAL BUDGET ADOPTION**

Adopt Library District Final Budget for Fiscal Year 2010-2011.
If approved, pass and adopt:

RESOLUTION NO. 2010 - LD 1

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Bronson and carried by a 3-2 vote, Supervisors Carroll and Day voting "Nay," to close the public hearing and adopt Resolution No. 2010 – LD 1 in the amount of \$34,808,638.00, with an effective tax rate of \$0.3100.

2. **ADJOURNMENT**

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 1:20 p.m.

MINUTES, STADIUM DISTRICT BOARD

JUNE 15, 2010

The Pima County Stadium District Board met in regular and special session at the regular meeting place of the Pima County Board of Supervisors (Hearing Room), 130 West Congress Street, Tucson, Arizona, at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, June 15, 2010. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

All Present: Ramón Valadez, Chairman
 Sharon Bronson, Vice Chair
 Ray Carroll, Member
 Ann Day, Member
 Richard Elías, Member
 Lori Godoshian, Clerk

1. **FINAL BUDGET ADOPTION**

Adopt Stadium District Final Budget for Fiscal Year 2010-2011.
If approved, pass and adopt:

RESOLUTION NO. 2010 - SD 1

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and carried by a 3-2 vote, Supervisors Carroll and Day voting “Nay,” to close the public hearing and adopt Resolution No. 2010 – SD 1 in the amount of \$4,348,162.00.

2. **ADJOURNMENT**

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 1:20 p.m.

MINUTES, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' MEETING

JUNE 15, 2010

The Pima County Board of Supervisors met in regular and special session in its regular meeting place at Pima County Administration Building (Hearing Room), 130 West Congress Street, Tucson, Arizona, at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, June 15, 2010. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

All Present: Ramón Valadez, Chairman
 Sharon Bronson, Vice Chair
 Ray Carroll, Member
 Ann Day, Member
 Richard Elías, Member
 Lori Godoshian, Clerk

1. **INVOCATION**

The invocation was given by Pastor Mike Simpson of First Church of God.

2. **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

All present joined in the Pledge of Allegiance.

3. **PAUSE 4 PAWS**

The Pima County Animal Care Center showcased an animal available for adoption.

4. **PRESENTATION/PROCLAMATION**

Presentation of a proclamation to Dr. Jay C. St. John, Superintendent of the Sahuarita School District, in recognition of his contributions and accomplishments. (District 3)

Supervisor Bronson read and presented the proclamation to Dr. St. John. He accepted the proclamation and expressed his appreciation to the Board.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the proclamation.

5. **PRESENTATION/PROCLAMATION**

Presentation of a proclamation to Elizabeth Sparks, Pima County Cooperative Extension, in support of the 4-H Adventure Leader and Portable Challenge Program. (District 3)

Supervisor Bronson read and presented the proclamation to Elizabeth Sparks, Luke Bakewell, Emily Franklin, Riley Marsh and Anik Regan. Ms. Sparks accepted the proclamation and expressed her gratitude for the Board's support of the program.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the proclamation.

6. **CALL TO THE PUBLIC (for Executive Session items only)**

The Chairman inquired whether anyone wished to be heard on any item listed under Executive Session.

The following speakers addressed the Board regarding the Nolen bankruptcy plan:

- A. Michael Magras
- B. Glen Lyon

They provided the following comments:

1. Both speakers expressed their support for the approval of the bankruptcy plan.
2. They asked the Board to communicate their support of the plan to all Ruby Star Airpark property owners, many of whom will have a vote in whether or not to confirm the proposed plan.

... **EXECUTIVE SESSION**

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, that the Board convene to Executive Session at 9:35 a.m.

7. **RECONVENE**

The meeting reconvened at 10:44 a.m. All members were present.

8. **LITIGATION**

Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A) (3) and (4), for legal advice and direction regarding a request that Pima County waive a potential conflict of interest to allow Greenberg Traurig to represent Labor Ready Southwest, Inc., with respect to Monica R. Minchew vs. Labor Ready Southwest, Inc.; Pima County, et. al., Pima County Superior Court Cause No. C20100950.

Chris Straub, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, stated the waiver was at the discretion of the Board.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to waive the potential conflict of interest.

9. **LITIGATION**

Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A) (3) and (4), for legal advice and direction regarding Desert American Builders Corp., v. Pima County, U.S. District Court Case No. CIV-07-347-TUC-FRZ.

Chris Straub, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, stated this case involved delay damages from the wrongful denial of a plat. The proposed settlement would resolve the case for \$730,000.00 which included costs and attorney fees. The County Attorney's Office sought Board direction regarding the settlement.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Carroll, seconded by Supervisor Day and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to accept the County Attorney's recommendation to settle.

10. **LITIGATION**

Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A) (3) and (4), for legal advice and direction regarding bankruptcy plan approval and Superior Court case resolution in the following:

- A. Pima County v. Denny Nolen Corp., Dennis E. Nolen and Terry J. Nolen, Pima County Superior Court Case No. C20090875;
- B. In re: Denny Nolen Corp., U.S. Bankruptcy Court Case No. 4:09-bk-26768-JMM; and
- C. In re: Dennis Earl Nolen and Terry J. Nolen: U.S. Bankruptcy Court Case No. 4:09-bk-26766-JMM.

Chris Straub, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, explained this case involved an illegal subdivision at Ruby Star Airpark, west of Sahuarita. The developer left the project with inadequate and unfinished improvements. He stated that the proposed bankruptcy plan required the developer to turn over his remaining lots and other assets to an L.L.C., created by a group of lot owners who are suing the developer for damages. A portion of that property would be used to construct improvements to the airpark. The approval and implementation of the proposed plan would result in the dismissal of Superior Court Case No. C20090875 in which Pima County had sued the developer seeking injunctive relief for certain violations of the County's Zoning and Building Codes. Pima County Development Services and the County Attorney's Office recommended approval of the bankruptcy plan.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to accept the recommendation to approve the bankruptcy plan and to instruct staff to send letters to the property owners of Ruby Star Airpark indicating the Board's approval of the plan.

11. LITIGATION

Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A) (3) and (4), for legal advice and direction regarding the following:

- A. A proposed intergovernmental agreement between Pima County, the Arizona Board of Regents, and a new corporation to be formed by the Arizona Board of Regents, concerning the University of Arizona College of Medicine's operation of a hospital on the Kino Campus as part of a two-hospital Graduate Medical Education System;
- B. An amendment to the existing lease between the County and University Physician's Healthcare with respect to the Kino Campus.

Chris Straub, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, stated these items were informational only, no Board action was required.

12. LITIGATION

Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A) (3) and (4), for legal advice and direction regarding tax appeal settlement recommendations for the following:

A. Civano I v. Pima County

Arizona Tax Court Case No. ST2009-001557, Tax Parcel No. 141-01-8980.

Chris Straub, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, stated this property was quit claimed to the Homeowner's Association in October 2009. For tax year 2009, the Pima County Attorney's Office and Assessor recommended a decrease in the Full Cash Value (FCV) from \$881,980.00 to \$189,000.00 and a reduction in the Limited Value (LV) from \$510,000.00 to \$189,000.00. These values would not rollover for 2010.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to accept the recommendation.

B. Mehranfar v. Pima County

Arizona Tax Court Case No. ST2009-001738, Tax Parcel No. 134-21-088A.

Chris Straub, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, explained this case was for tax year 2010, the Pima County Attorney's Office and Assessor recommended a decrease in the FCV from \$772,335.00 to \$475,000.00 and a reduction in the LV from \$552,836.00 to \$475,000.00. These values would rollover for 2011.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to accept the recommendation.

C. M. Anderson Corp. v. Pima County

Arizona Tax Court Case No. ST2009-001282, Tax Parcel No. 216-33-096F and 216-33-096M.

Chris Straub, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, stated for Parcel 216-33-096F, for tax year 2009, the Pima County Attorney's Office and Assessor recommended a decrease in the FCV from \$245,600 to \$76,955.00; and, for tax year 2010, a reduction in the FCV from \$245,600.00 to \$68,710.00. He explained that in regards to Parcel 216-33-096M, for tax year 2009, the Pima County Attorney's Office and Assessor recommended a decrease in the FCV from \$215,307 to \$48,160.00.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to accept the recommendation.

D. Saguaro Corners v. Pima County

Arizona Tax Court Case No. TX2009-000832, Tax Parcel No. 205-62-159C.

Chris Straub, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, explained this case involved eight single-family rental homes on 36.24 acres. For tax year 2010, the current FCV of \$2,075,800.00 (land was valued at \$1,630,800.00 and improvements was valued at \$445,000.00). The Pima County Attorney's Office and Assessor recommended a reduction in the land value to \$1,087,200.00, thus, the overall FCV would be reduced to \$1,532,200.00 and the blended assessment ratio would be reduced from 13.4% to 11.2%.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to accept the recommendation.

E. CCS Arizona 1 L.L.C. v. Pima County

Arizona Tax Court Case No. TX2009-000830, 98 parcels beginning with Tax Parcel No.108-24-1020 thru 108-24-3160.

Chris Straub, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, stated this litigation involved condominiums called The Villas at Hacienda Del Sol and 2 garages – there are 98 parcel numbers falling between 108-24-1020 and 3160. The litigation is for tax year 2009. The Pima County Attorney's Office and Assessor believed the FCV of some of the condos should be reduced to 80% of market value, as listed below:

Ten (10) of the parcels had units of 604 sq. ft. -- these would receive no value reductions. [Parcels 1420, 1540, 1550, 1630, 2160, 2220, 2230, 2520, 2920, 2930]

Five (5) of the parcels had units of 948 sq. ft. -- these would receive reductions in FCV from \$182,470.00 to \$117,000.00 and LV reductions from \$88,683 to \$72,316. [1140, 1580, 1590, 1830, 2890]

Forty-one (41) parcels had units of 1,047 sq. ft. -- these units would receive reductions of FCV from \$181,274.00 to \$136,500.00 and LV reductions from \$88,384.00 to \$77,191.00 [1400, 1410, 1450, 1460, 1470, 1500, 1510, 1520, 1560, 1570, 1600, 1610, 1640, 1660, 1670, 1700, 1720, 1730, 1770, 2080, 2090, 2120, 2140, 2150, 2180, 2190, 2200, 2210, 2240, 2450, 2500, 2510, 2630, 2720, 2940, 2950, 3000, 3010, 3030, 3060, 3070]

Twenty-five (25) parcels had units of 1,065 sq. ft. -- these units would receive reductions of FCV from \$184,851.00 to \$144,000.00 and LV reductions from \$89,279.00 to \$79,066.00 [1020, 1030, 1060, 1070, 1120, 1130, 1160, 1170, 1200, 1210, 1220, 1260, 1270, 1280, 1290, 1320, 1330, 1340, 1380, 1390, 2020, 2030, 2300, 3080, 3150]

Fourteen (14) parcels had units of 1,341 sq. ft. -- these units would receive reductions of FCV from \$239,684.00 to \$156,000.00 and LV from \$102,987.00 to \$82,066.00 [10-40, 1050, 1100, 1110, 1180, 1190, 1250, 1300, 1310, 1360, 1370, 1920, 2350, 3160]

The FCV of parcel 2,880 would be reduced from \$182,470.00 to \$117,000.00 and LV from \$86,151.00 to \$69,783.00.

The FCV of parcel 3,360 (garage) would be reduced from \$9,004.00 to \$8,500.00 and LV from \$2,934.00 to \$2,808.00.

The FCV of parcel 3480 (garage) would be reduced from \$9,952.00 to \$8,500.00 and LV from \$3,171.00 to \$2,808.00.

All other parcels (119) have been sold and will be dismissed from the lawsuit. These values would not rollover for 2010. The Pima County Attorney's Office and Assessor recommended the settlement of these cases.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to accept the recommendation.

F. Parhikhteh v. Pima County

Arizona Tax Court Case No. ST2009-001279, Tax Parcel No. 114-16-001H.

Chris Straub, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, stated this case involved a single family residence for tax year 2010. The noticed FCV of the property was \$668,855.00. The property sold at a foreclosure sale in August 2007 for \$300,000.00. Market sales in the applicable time period were from \$350,000.00 to \$427,000.00. The Pima County Attorney's Office and Assessor recommended a reduction of the FCV to \$375,000.00 and a reduction in the LV from \$507,153.00 to \$375,000.00. The value would rollover for 2011.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to accept the recommendation.

13. **LITIGATION**

Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A) (3), for legal advice regarding the Town of Marana's proposed settlement in the matter of Town of Marana v. Pima County, Maricopa County Superior Court No. CV2008-001131.

Chris Straub, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, related that the County Attorney's Office recommended the settlement offer be rejected.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Carroll and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to accept the County Attorney's recommendation to reject the settlement and to notify the Town of Marana of the Board's decision by sending a letter signed by the Chairman and the County Administrator.

14. **CONSENT CALENDAR**

The Chairman inquired whether anyone wished to be heard on any item listed for action on the Consent Calendar.

A. **CALL TO THE PUBLIC**

PULLED FOR DISCUSSION

1. **CONTRACT AND AWARD**

F. **Forensic Science**

14. Humane Borders, Amendment No. 2, to provide for the International Open GIS Initiative for Missing and Deceased Migrants Project and extend contract term to 12/31/10, Howard G. Buffett Grant Fund, contract amount \$22,192.50 (02-30-H-140862-0108)

Joe Sweeney addressed the Board stating his opposition to the approval of the contract. He explained that Mexico had to be held accountable for their citizens, asked the Board to support SB1070 and to boycott Mexico.

B. APPROVAL OF CONSENT CALENDAR

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, that the Consent Calendar be approved as presented.

CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS ARE AS FOLLOWS:

1. CONTRACTS AND AWARDS

A. Community Development and Neighborhood Conservation

- 1. RESOLUTION NO. 2010 – 121, approving a Grant Renewal Agreement with the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, to provide for the Casa for Families II Project, HUD Fund, contract amount \$434,713.00 revenue (01-70-U-143000-0710)
- 2. Chicanos Por La Causa, Inc., Amendment No. 3, to provide infrastructure improvements in the public right-of-way for the Iowa Project and amend contractual language, for the term 7/1/10 to 6/30/11, 2004 Bond Fund, no cost (03-70-C-139275-0207)
- 3. Allwyn Priorities, L.L.C., Amendment No. 1, to provide for the Pima County Brownfields Program for the term 6/1/10 to 5/31/11, no cost (07-70-A-142025-0609)

B. Community Services, Employment and Training

- 4. Amendment No. 2, to provide emergency financial assistance, case management, referrals, advocacy services and extend contract term to 6/30/11, Federal and State Social Services Grant Funds:

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>CONTRACT NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
Chicanos Por La Causa, Inc.	07-69-C-141759-0708	\$ 53,500.00
Arizona Housing and Prevention, Inc.	07-69-A-141757-0708	\$ 54,000.00
Portable Practical Educational Preparation, Inc.	07-69-P-141761-0708	\$ 42,000.00
Tucson Urban League, Inc.	07-69-T-141762-0708	\$ 41,000.00
American Indian Association of Tucson, Inc.	07-69-A-141763-0708	\$ 47,000.00

- 5. Portable Practical Education Preparation, Inc., Amendment No. 3, to provide workforce development services and amend contractual language, U. S. Department of Labor and Arizona Department of Economic Security Grant Funds, contract amount \$25,000.00 (07-69-P-142040-0709)

6. Tucson Urban League, Inc., to provide workforce development services to youth and adults, U. S. Department of Labor (45%), Arizona Department of Economic Security (45%) and General Fund (10%), contract amount \$203,329.00 (07-69-T-143001-0710)
7. Tucson Youth Development, Inc., to provide workforce development services to youth and adults, U. S. Department of Labor (45%), Arizona Department of Economic Security (45%) and General Fund (10%), contract amount \$346,453.00 (07-69-T-143002-0710)
8. SER Jobs for Progress, Inc., to provide workforce development services to youth and adults, U. S. Department of Labor (45%), Arizona Department of Economic Security (45%) and General Fund (10%), contract amount \$278,003.00 (07-69-S-143003-0710)
9. Portable Practical Education Preparation, to provide workforce development services to youth and adults, U. S. Department of Labor (45%), Arizona Department of Economic Security (45%) and General Fund (10%), contract amount \$396,550.00 (07-69-P-143004-0710)
10. Goodwill Industries of Southern Arizona, Inc., to provide workforce development services to youth and adults, U. S. Department of Labor (45%), Arizona Department of Economic Security (45%) and General Fund (10%), contract amount \$62,481.00 (07-69-G-143008-0710)

C. County Attorney

11. RESOLUTION NO. 2010 – 122, approving a Grant Agreement with the Arizona Department of Public Safety, to provide for the Victim Assistance Program, Federal Fund, contract amount \$125,116.00 revenue, required match (Volunteer Hours), contract amount \$31,279.00 (02-02-A-142987-0710)

D. Economic Development and Tourism

12. Arizona Aerospace Foundation, Inc., Amendment No. 2, to provide a SubLease Agreement for the operation and maintenance of the Titan Missile Museum for the term 6/30/02 to 4/30/11, no cost (04-71-T-115721-0786)

E. Facilities Management

13. Kittelson & Associates, Inc., Amendment No. 1, to provide an office lease agreement in the Bank of America Building and extend contract term from 8/1/10 to 7/31/13, contract amount \$304,622.57 revenue (04-13-K-139866-0805)

F. Forensic Science

14. Humane Borders, Amendment No. 2, (PULLED FOR DISCUSSION)

G. Office of Court Appointed Council

Awards

15. Award of Contracts in the total amount of \$8,400,000.00 to the following twenty-eight (28) applicants for court appointed attorney services on the Superior Court First Degree Murder Panel. The awards will be for a three year period in the amount of \$300,000.00 each. The contracts provide one renewal for an additional three year period. Funding Source: General Fund. Administering Department: Office of Court Appointed Counsel.

David Basham	Eric Larsen
Bobbi Berry	Harriette Levitt
Richard Bock	Larry Lingeman
Stephanie Bond	Richard Lougee
Leslie Bowman	Ray Panzarella
Dan Cooper	Richard Parrish
Nicki DiCampli	Natalie Prince
Nicole Farnum	Bradley Roach
James Fullin	Carla Ryan
Harold Higgins	Natman Schaye
Thomas Hippert	Brick Storts, III
Chris Kimminau	Jill Thorpe
Harley Kurlander	Laura Udall
Jack Lansdale	Steven West

16. Award of contracts in the total amount of \$11,400,000.00 to the following thirty-eight (38) applicants for court appointed attorney services on the Superior Court Felony Panel. The awards will be for a three year period in the amount of \$300,000.00 each. The contracts provide one renewal for an additional three year period. Funding Source: General Fund. Administering Department: Office of Court Appointed Counsel.

Janet Altschuler	Patrick Doyle	Eric Manch
David Basham	James Fullin	Albert Morrison
Paul Bates	S. Marie Gates	Nanette Morrow

Bobbi Berry	Lawrence Gee	Vanessa Moss
Stephanie Bond	Christie Green	Natalie Prince
Michelle Carson	Harold Higgins	Mark Resnick
Barbara Catrillo	Andrew Higgins	Larry Rosenthal
Darlene Chavira-Chavez	Cornelia Hochar	Rubin Salter, Jr.
Elizabeth Cirillo	Kimberly Hunley	Brick Storts, III
Maria Davilla	Thomas Jacobs	Douglas Taylor
Wanda Day	Chris Kimminau	Jill Thorpe
Anna Dennis	Jack Lansdale	Steven West
Nicki DiCampi	Eric Larsen	

H. Office of Emergency Management & Homeland Security

17. Arizona Department of Homeland Security, Amendment No. 1, to provide for the Emergency Operations Center Computers Project, Arizona Homeland Security Grant Fund, contract amount \$13,514.41 revenue (02-79-A-142880-0310)

I. Pima Health System

18. Devon Gables Health Care Center, Amendment No. 9, to provide nursing facility services and amend contractual language, PHCS Enterprise Fund, contract amount \$8,000,000.00 (18-15-D-137015-1005)
19. Life Care Centers of America, Inc., d.b.a. La Canada Care Center, Amendment No. 7, to provide nursing facility services and amend contractual language, PHCS Enterprise Fund, contract amount \$2,000,000.00 (18-15-L-137033-1005)
20. COPE Community Services, Inc., Amendment No. 2, to provide residential and outpatient behavioral health services and amend contractual language, PHCS Enterprise Fund, no cost (07-15-C-140689-0208)
21. Our Family Services, Inc., Amendment No. 3, to provide case management services for the term 7/1/10 to 6/30/11 and amend contractual language, PHCS Enterprise Fund, contract amount \$360,037.00 (07-15-O-141132-0708)
22. Jewish Family and Children's Service of Southern Arizona, Inc., Amendment No. 3, to provide case management services for the term 7/1/10 to 6/30/11 and amend contractual language, PHCS Enterprise Fund, contract amount \$650,000.00 (07-15-J-141133-0708)

23. Dependable Nurses, Inc., Amendment No. 3, to provide homecare services for the term 6/1/10 to 5/31/11 and amend contractual language, PHCS Enterprise Fund, contract amount \$2,600,000.00 (07-15-D-142064-0709)
24. To provide homecare services for the term 7/1/10 to 6/30/11 and amend contractual language, PHCS Enterprise Fund, for the following:

<u>Vendor</u>	<u>Contract No.</u>	<u>Amend. No.</u>	<u>Amount</u>
United Cerebral Palsy of Southern Arizona, Inc.	07-15-U-142061-0709	4	\$ 1,300,000.00
Dependable Home Health, Inc.	07-15-D-142063-0709)	5	\$ 3,000,000.00
Arcadia Health Services, Inc., d.b.a. Arcadia Health Care	07-15-A-142069-0709	3	\$ 250,000.00
My House, L.L.C.	07-15-M-142070-0709	4	\$ 3,000,000.00
Reliable Nurses, L.L.C.	18-15-R-142078-0709	4	\$ 1,900,000.00
MGA Home Healthcare, L.L.C.	18-15-M-142080-0709	2	\$ 100,000.00
Southern Arizona Family Services, Inc.	18-15-S-142081-0709	3	\$ 450,000.00
NSI - Nursing Services, Inc.	18-15-N-142082-0709	4	\$ 1,500,000.00
Accentcare at Home, Inc., d.b.a. Accentcare	07-15-A-142109-0709	2	\$ 1,900,000.00
McLain Enterprises, Inc., d.b.a. Comfort Keepers	07-15-M-142110-0709	4	\$ 2,200,000.00
Lutheran Social Services of the Southwest	07-15-L-142111-0709	4	\$3,200,000.00
Wisdom Access, Inc	07-15-W-142115-0709	3	\$ 200,000.00
Caregiver Connection of Arizona, L.L.C., d.b.a. Aristocare Home Health Services	07-15-C-142116-0709	3	\$2,500,000.00
Soreo In Home Support Services, L.L.C.,	07-15-S-142117-0709)	4	\$9,500,000.00
Aires, L.L.C.	07-15-A-142118-0709	4	\$ 450,000.00
Caregiver Connection of Arizona, L.L.C., d.b.a. Aristocare Home Health Services	07-15-C-142120-0709	2	\$ 0.00

J. Procurement

25. Olsson Associates, Amendment No. 3, to provide engineering design services for the Pantano River Park Project, extend contract term to 3/31/11 and amend contractual language, 2004 Bond Fund (73%), RTA Fund (25%) and City of Tucson Fund (2%), contract amount \$82,184.00 (16-64-O-141839-0309) Real Property
26. PSOMAS, Amendment No. 9, to provide roadway design engineering services for the I-19 East Frontage Road: Canoa Road to Continental Road Project and amend scope of work, Urban HURF Fund, contract amount \$77,700.00 (16-04-M-139041-1106) Transportation

27. AECOM USA, Inc., Amendment No. 3, to provide roadway design engineering services for the Magee Road/Cortaro Road: Thornydale Road to Oracle Road Project and amend contractual language, Urban Hurf Fund (51.7%), RTA Fund (38.1%) and CDO Impact Fee Fund (10.2%), contract amount \$2,855,402.00 (16-04-D-139958-0807)
28. PSOMAS, Inc., Amendment No. 1, to provide for the Homer Davis Elementary School Pedestrian Enhancement Project and amend contractual language, Capital Projects Fund, contract amount \$28,250.00 (16-04-P-142718-0110)

Award

29. Amendment of Award: ASAP/Dell, Blanket Contract No. B506252, to provide various software products and related items, extend contract term to 12/31/10 and increase contract amount by \$500,000.00. Funding Source: General Fund. Administering Department: Information Technology.

K. Sheriff

30. RESOLUTION NO. 2010 – 123, approving an Intergovernmental Agreement with the Arizona Department of Homeland Security, to provide for Rapid Response Team Sustainment-Equipment, Federal Grant Fund, contract amount \$10,902.79 revenue (01-11-A-142986-0410)

L. Transportation

31. RESOLUTION NO. 2010 – 124, approving an Intergovernmental Agreement with the Regional Transportation Authority, to provide the Mainstreet Small Business Assistance Program to businesses located at the intersection of Sunrise Drive and Kolb Road, 1997 Bond Fund, contract amount \$25,000.00 (01-04-R-142993-0510)
32. Regional Transportation Authority, Amendment No. 1, to provide for planning and design for the La Cholla Boulevard: Magee Road to Tangerine Road Project and amend scope of work, RTA Fund, contract amount \$18,800,000.00 revenue (01-04-R-141134-0808)

2. RATIFICATION OF EMERGENCY CONTRACT

Public Works, Cultural Resources Office

Statistical Research, Inc., Amendment No. 5, to provide for Pima County Justice Court/Tucson City Court Complex Archaeological Data Recovery Services, extend contract term to 12/31/10 and amend scope of work, 2004 Bond Fund, no cost (07-73-S-138479-0806)

3. **BOARDS, COMMISSIONS AND/OR COMMITTEES**

A. **Air Quality Hearing Board**

Reappointment of James A. Mather. Term expiration: 5/31/13. (Staff recommendation)

B. **Tucson-Pima County Bicycle Advisory Committee**

Reappointment of Norman Land. Term expiration: 6/30/12. (District 1)

C. **Metropolitan Education Commission**

Appointment of Teri Bohr, representing Teachers, Grades K-6 (AZ Education Association) to replace Mark DeWeerd. Term expiration: 4/20/13; and Jonathan Peck, representing African American Community to replace Nicole Haan. Term expiration: 5/18/13. (Commission recommendations)

D. **Pima Vocational High School**

Reappointment of Thad Dugan and Brissia Perez. Term expirations: 7/31/13. (Committee recommendations)

4. **DIVISION OF ELECTIONS**

Pursuant to A.R.S. §16-411B, the Board of Supervisors may review and take possible action on the designation of polling places for the 2010 primary and general elections. Upon approval by the Board, any new or changed polling places will be submitted for pre-clearance to the United States Department of Justice, Voting Rights Section.

FINANCE AND RISK MANAGEMENT

5. **Duplicate Warrant – For Ratification**

University Physicians Hospital \$ 6,677.82

6. **REAL PROPERTY**

A. **Condemnation**

RESOLUTION NO. 2010 – 125, of the Pima County Board of Supervisors, to condemn for real property and real property interests where necessary for the La Cholla Boulevard: Magee Road to Tangerine Road Project, in Sections 21, 22, 27 and 28, T12S, R13E, G&SRM. (District 1)

B. Release of Public Ingress/Egress Easement

Town of Oro Valley Municipal Property Corporation, to provide access to three well site properties, Tax Parcel Nos. 219-20-9180, 220-04-006G and 220-04-007C, in Section 32, T11S, R14E and Section 5, T12S, R14E. No revenue. (District 1)

7. SPECIAL EVENT LIQUOR LICENSE APPROVED PURSUANT TO RESOLUTION NO. 2002-273

Gregory Bryan Blow, American Legion Post 10, Ajo Plaza at Plaza Street and Highway 85, Ajo, July 3, 2010.

8. SUPERIOR COURT

Judges Pro Tempore

Pima County Superior Court requests the appointment of the following applicants to serve as Judges Pro Tempore for the period from 7/1/10 to 6/30/11.

Aaron, Michael	Aboud, John	Abrams, Lisa
Adam, Karen	Afek, Dina	Akmajian, Peter
Alexander, Arthur	Altfeld, Clifford	Assini, John
Bacal, Susan	Baldwin, Howard	Barker, Emery
Benavidez, Elisabeth	Bergin, Jeffrey	Bevans, Elaine
Bibbens, Lisa	Bolt, John	Brady, John
Brearcliffe, Sean	Brei, Suzanne	Brew, Lindsay
Broom, Patrick	Cahan, Eric	Centuori, Steven
Christensen, Mark	Christoffel, Dean	Cohen, Gary
Cohen, Melvin	Collins, Peter	Connors, Julia
Contreras, Roger	Corey, Barry	Corsaro, Kimberly
Cramer, Amelia	Crawford, Michael	Cuneo, Suzanne
Cunningham, Marjorie	Daniels, Earl	Dardis, Fred
Dawley, Francis	Dolny, Carmen	Douglas, Sharon
Duncan, Roger	Economidis, Peter	Egbert, James
Elkins, C. Joy	Everlove, Annette	Felix, Maria
Fell, Howard	Gibson, Scott	Gingo, Biagio
Glicksman, Elliott	Godoy, Teresa	Goldman, Peter
Goncharsky, Abbe	Grant, Phillip	Green, Patricia
Griffin, Brenden	Hall, Phil	Henry, Richard
Haralambie, Ann	Heurlin, Bruce	Hochuli, Peter
Hubbell, Amy	Hunter, James	Jackson, Todd
Johnson, Michael	Jones, Lori	Julien, Paul
Jurkowitz, Daniel	Jurkowitz, Harvey	Karl, Karen
Karp, Leonard	Kimminau, Brian	Kinghorn, Kevin
Lammers, Mark	Leshner, Stephen	Liberty, Pamela
Lieberthal, David	Liwski, Danelle	MacDonald, Bruce
Maltz, Gerald	Manzi, Jennifer	Masunas, Annalisa
Mauet, Thomas	Maxwell, Margaret	McCarthy, Kathleen
McNeilus, Eric	McNorton, Lisa	Minker, Jeffrey
Mitchell, Douglas	Nygaard, Karen	O'Neill, Nancy

Ostapuk, David
 Piccaretta, Carl
 Quigley, Kathleen
 Rolfe, Annie
 Ryan, Patrice
 Sattler, Barbara
 Segal, Anne
 Singer, Keith
 Sommer, Ronald
 Stroud, James
 Traynor, William
 Wagener, Joan
 Willman, Paul
Zirkle, Raymond

Pennington, Alyce
 Pollins, Karen
 Riojas, Jr., Antonio
 Rothschild, Lowell
 Sakrison, James
 Schauf, Susan
 Sethi, Dev
 Slutes, Tom
 Stanford, Kenneth
 Strum, Edina
 Treadwell-Rubin, Pamela
 Ward, Deborah
 Wright, Sarah

Perez-Medrano, Juan
 Pratte, Deborah
 Roads, Lee
 Rubin, Stephen
 Samet, Dee-Dee
 Schwanbeck, Victor
 Simon, Paul
 Smith, E. Hardy
 Strickland, Heather
 Tedlock, Sandra
 Tsakanikas, Lenore
 Weinstein, Steven
 Yehling, Wayne

9. CORRECTION FOR THE RECORD

On May 11, 2010, the Board of Supervisors approved Community Development Block Grant Funding. The following dollar amount was incorrectly listed:

Agency	Requested	Recommended	District
City of South Tucson - Youth and Family Assistance	\$133,725	\$ 15,000.00 \$115,000.00	2

10. RATIFY AND/OR APPROVE

Minutes: April 20, 2010
 May 4, 2010
 Zoning Enforcement Board of Appeals, June 1, 2010

REGULAR AGENDA/ADDENDUM ITEMS

15. FINAL BUDGET ADOPTION

- A. Adopt Final Budget for Fiscal Year 2010-2011.
 If approved, pass and adopt:

RESOLUTION NO. 2010 - 126

Supervisor Carroll requested that the memorandum he drafted with Supervisor Day regarding their suggested budget proposal be included as part of the record.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías to close the public hearing, adopt the final budget for fiscal year 2010-2011 in the amount of \$1,431,897,069.00 with an effective tax rate of \$3.3133 along with Resolution No. 2010 - 126, which included the following modifications recommended by the County Administrator:

Recommended Adjustments to the Tentative Budget

1. Development Services – As part of the Tentative Budget, \$1.5 million was set aside in the Budget Stabilization Fund to subsidize the activities of the Development Services Department. At the May 11, 2010 Board of Supervisors meeting, the Board approved increases to development fees. These new fees are expected to generate an additional \$806,000.00 in revenues next year, which will be reflected in the FY 2010/11 Budget, thus reducing the needed General Fund support. I recommend that the \$806,000.00 remain in the Budget Stabilization Fund to be used to upgrade the Permits Plus system in Development Services to a Countywide application with uses in the Health Department and Department of Environmental Quality.
2. Regional Wastewater Reclamation – When the Board of Supervisors approved the increase in sewer user fees and connection fees on March 9, 2010, the Board's motion included the requirement that annual increases in the Regional Wastewater Reclamation Department's operating expenditures be limited to 3.4 percent of the previous year's actual expenditures. At the time of preparation of the Tentative Budget, the expenditures for the Regional Wastewater Reclamation Department were based on the Period 8 expenditure projections, and the FY 2010/11 expenditures were set at \$150,889,870.00. Based on the Period 10 expenditure projections, a 3.4 percent increase to the projected expenditures for FY 2009/10, the total expenditures for FY 2010/11 should be reduced by \$5,581,240.00 to \$145,308,630.00.
3. Information Technology – The Tentative Budget is based on the Period 8 projections of expenditures by the departments. With the Period 10 projections, an additional one-time fund balance of \$1.5 million has become available that I recommend be transferred into the Information Technology Enhancement Fund for funding hardware and software needs of the various General Fund departments, including upgrading Office and Outlook, acquiring additional equipment for PimaCore to convert departments' mobile devices, upgrading training facilities, etc.
4. Natural Resources Parks and Recreation – I recommend that the \$1,020,000.00 for the Pathways and Parking Lot Resurfacing Program and the \$1,175,000.00 to replace playground equipment and install shade canopies be transferred as an Operating Transfer from the General Fund into the Capital Projects Fund.

Upon a roll call being taken, the motion carried by a 3 to 2 vote, with Supervisors Carroll and Day voting "Nay."

B. Adopt Debt Service Final Budget for Fiscal Year 2010-2011.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Bronson and carried by a 3-2 vote, Supervisors Carroll and Day voting "Nay," to close the public hearing and adopt the Debt Service Budget for fiscal year 2010-2011 in the amount of \$106,277,555.00 with an effective tax rate of \$0.7500.

16. COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

Revision to Merit System Rules and Personnel Policies

Staff requests approval of the proposed revision to Personnel Policy 8-102.F(2), regarding clarification on exempt employees receiving their regular salary for a pay period in which a holiday falls, effective June 15, 2010.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the policy revision.

17. **HEALTH DEPARTMENT**

RESOLUTION NO. 2010 – 127, of the Board of Supervisors of Pima County, Arizona, accepting the Arizona Early Childhood Development and Health Board Award for the support of the Pima County Health Department, Public Health Nursing Program, in the amount of \$238,182.00.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to adopt Resolution No. 2010 - 127.

18. **INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY**

RESOLUTION NO. 2010 – 128, of the Board of Supervisors of Pima County, Arizona, approving the proceedings of the Industrial Development Authority of the County of Pima regarding the issuance of its Educational Facility Revenue Bonds (New Plan Learning, Inc. Project), Series 2010 in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$90,000,000.00 and declaring an emergency.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to adopt Resolution No. 2010 - 128.

19. **JUVENILE COURT**

RESOLUTION NO. 2010 – 129, of the Pima County Board of Supervisors, electing participation in the Family Counseling Programs for fiscal year 2010-2011 and providing \$20,927.00 in budgeted matching funds.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to adopt Resolution No. 2010 - 129.

20. **REGIONAL WASTEWATER RECLAMATION: PRETREATMENT SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT**

Staff recommends approval of the following proposed Pretreatment Settlement Agreement, RWRD Enterprise Fund.

Marco Crane and Rigging Company. Proposed settlement amount of \$1,500.00.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the Pretreatment Settlement Agreement.

21. **FRANCHISE/LICENSE/PERMIT: EXTENSION OF PREMISES/PATIO PERMIT**

Albert Sherman Hall, Jr., Acacia Restaurant, 4340 N. Campbell Ave., Suite 103, Tucson, Permanent Extension of Premises.

The Chairman inquired whether anyone wished to be heard. No one appeared. It was thereupon moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing, approve the permit and forward the recommendation to the Arizona State Liquor Licenses and Control.

22. FRANCHISES/LICENSES/PERMITS: FIREWORKS PERMITS

- A. Lonnie Lister, Skyline Country Club, 5200 E. St. Andrew Drive, Tucson, June 26, 2010, at approximately 8:30 p.m.
- B. Lonnie Lister, Skyline Country Club, 5200 E. St. Andrew Drive, Tucson, July 4, 2010, at approximately 8:30 p.m.
- C. Dan LaRouere, La Paloma Country Club, 3660 E. Sunrise Drive, Tucson, July 4, 2010, at approximately 8:30 p.m.
- D. Kevin Montgomery, United Sports Arizona Race Track, 4300 E. Los Reales Road, Tucson, July 3, 2010, at approximately 8:30 p.m.
- E. Steve Groesbeck, Arizona Lotus Group, Pima County Fairgrounds, 11300 S. Houghton Road, Tucson, July 4, 2010, at approximately 10:00 p.m.
- F. Chief David Tibbitt, Ajo/Gibson Fire Department, Freeport McMoron/Phelps Dodge Slag Dump, Ajo, July 4, 2010, at approximately 7:30 p.m.

The Chairman inquired whether anyone wished to be heard. No one appeared. It was thereupon moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearings and approve the permits.

23. FRANCHISES/LICENSES/PERMITS: LIQUOR LICENSES

- A. 10-12-9024, Gary Ray Sneva, The Bone In Steakhouse, 5400 S. Old Spanish Trail, Tucson, Series 12, Restaurant, New License.
- B. 10-13-9025, Lauren Kay Merrett, My Big Fat Greek Restaurant, 7265 N. La Cholla Boulevard, Tucson, Series 12, Restaurant, New License.

The Chairman inquired whether anyone wished to be heard. No one appeared. It was thereupon moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearings, approve the licenses subject to the Sheriff's Reports and forward the recommendations to the Arizona State Liquor Licenses and Control.

24. **DEVELOPMENT SERVICES: CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT**

P21-09-028, AMPHITHEATER SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 10 – W. WETMORE RD.

Request of Amphitheater School District No. 10, represented by Clearwire, on property located at 701 W. Wetmore Rd., in the SH Zone, for a conditional use permit for a communication tower. Chapter 18.97, in accordance with Section 18.07.030.H.2e of the Pima County Zoning Code, allows a communication tower as a Type III Conditional Use in the SH Zone. On motion, the Planning and Zoning Commission voted 6-1 (Commissioner Holdridge voted Nay, Commissioners Cook, Gungle and Smith were absent) to recommend APPROVAL SUBJECT TO STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS. Staff recommends APPROVAL SUBJECT TO STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS. (District 3)

Without objection, this item was continued to the Board of Supervisors' Meeting of July 6, 2010.

25. **DEVELOPMENT SERVICES: CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT**

P21-10-006, WILEY – S. WILTBANK RD.

Request of Barbara L. and Raymond Wiley, represented by Bodie Robbins, on properties located at 9375 and 9385 S. Wiltbank Rd., in the GR-1 Zone, for a conditional use permit for a winery. Chapter 18.97, in accordance with 18.14.030.B.22, allows a winery as an other conditional use which is similar in type, scale and intensity to other listed conditional uses. A winery is a Type II Conditional Use in GR-1. The Hearing Administrator recommends APPROVAL SUBJECT TO STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS. (District 3)

"Standard Condition per the Pima County Zoning Code

1. The winery must be set back 300' from the property line.

Special Conditions

1. No retail sales to the general public shall occur on the property.
2. No grading of new areas on the property to establish a vineyard shall be allowed. Wine production shall be derived primarily from the prickly pear and mesquite specimens on the property, and as may need to be planted or replanted from time to time to insure a steady source of production."

Jim Portner, Hearing Administrator, provided a report. He noted that the permit was for a production facility only and not a retail sales outlet. He stated there were three speakers at the public hearing supporting the permit, no further public comment had been received and he recommended approval subject to standard and special conditions.

The Chairman inquired whether anyone wished to be heard. No one appeared.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing and approve P21-10-006 subject to standard and special conditions with a note that there would be no retail sale of wine.

26. **DEVELOPMENT SERVICES: CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT**

P21-10-004, NORTH VIA SOCORRO AND N. VIA CIELO VISTA

Request of Anthony L. and Linda Colica, represented by Baker and Associates Engineering, Inc., on properties located at 8435 and 8439 N. Via Socorro and 8420 N. Via Cielo Vista (Tax Parcel Nos. 215-29-022C, 215-29-022D, 215-29-023A and 215-29-2470), in the SH Zone, for a conditional use permit for a commercial stable and riding school. Chapter 18.97, in accordance with Sections 18.19.020.A.1 and 18.17.030.C.1, allows a commercial stable and riding school as a Type II Conditional Use in the SH Zone. The Hearing Administrator recommends APPROVAL SUBJECT TO STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS. (District 3)

Standard Conditions

1. Minimum site area: twenty acres.
2. Minimum setback for stables, barns, animal sheds or shelters;: one hundred feet.
3. All outdoor lighting shall be arranged so as to eliminate glare towards streets and adjoining properties, and shall meet all conditions of the County Light Pollution Code (Title 15).
4. Minimum setback from adjacent residential zones: six hundred feet.
5. No more than one horse be kept for each ten thousand square feet of land area.
6. All driveways and parking areas shall be maintained with a dust-proof material which will minimize the generation of dust and shall be approved by the Department of Transportation.

Special Conditions

1. Prior to the issuance of the conditional use permit, the parcels owned by the property owner shall (so as to be compliant with State and local subdivision laws) be combined such that no more than five (5) parcels are in place.
2. Any organized equestrian events shall occur only on Fridays, Saturdays, and/or Sundays.
3. All such equestrian events, attendant amplification, and associated night-time lighting shall cease no later than 9:30 PM.
4. Parking of all vehicles, horse trailers, etc. associated with all events shall occur on private property and not within any adjacent public rights-of-way.
5. The owner shall apply a dust palliative (or similar dust-control medium) on the dirt-road portion of Via Socorro where it abuts the existing residential properties to the west. This application shall occur no less frequently than once every six (6) months."

Jim Portner, Hearing Administrator, briefed the Board. He stated that the comments made at the public hearing were generally supportive and any concerns had been addressed by the special conditions. He reported that there had been no public comment since the hearing and recommended approval subject to standard and special conditions.

The Chairman inquired whether anyone wished to be heard. No one appeared.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing, approve P21-10-004 subject to standard and special conditions and note that the conditional use lies within the Maeveen Marie Behan Conservation Lands System.

27. **DEVELOPMENT SERVICES: CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT**

P21-10-002, SCHER/HARMONY AND HEALTH FOUNDATION – W. SACRED EARTH PLACE

Request of Bruce Scher and Harmony and Health Foundation, on properties located at 13535, 13551, 13554 and 13636 W. Sacred Earth Pl., in the RH Zone, for a conditional use permit for minor resort and agricultural processing facility, and

health and education facility. Chapter 18.97, in accordance with Section 18.13.030.B.35, allows a minor resort and agricultural processing facility, and health and education facility, as an other conditional use which is similar in type, scale and intensity to other listed conditional uses. Minor resort and agricultural processing facility, and health and education facility is a Type II Conditional Use in the RH Zone. The Hearing Administrator recommends APPROVAL SUBJECT TO STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS. (District 3)

"Standard Conditions per the Pima County Zoning Code

1. An approved Development Plan is required.
2. Mobile homes and travel trailers on the property may not be used in conjunction with the minor resort. All guest rooms must be site-built structures and be properly permitted.

Special Conditions

1. The layout of the Development Plan shall be designed so as to consolidate on-site parking in one primary location and to concentrate it in close proximity to the access easement (W. Sacred Earth Place) that serves the property.
2. Site development and buildings shall be located in areas that will minimize their impact upon existing vegetation and shall, to the greatest extent possible and practical, be sited in areas that have been previously cleared or have minimal amounts of mature vegetation.

Condition Added by Board of Supervisors

1. If the conditional use is discontinued for more than twelve months, the permit shall be deemed null and void, and reapplication for a new conditional use permit shall be necessary."

Jim Portner, Hearing Administrator, provided a report. He stated that the public comments provided at the hearing were in support, there have been no additional comments since the public hearing and he recommended approval subject to standard and special conditions.

The Chairman inquired whether anyone wished to be heard. No one appeared.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing and approve P21-10-002 subject to standard and special conditions with an additional condition that if the conditional use is discontinued for more than twelve months, the permit shall be deemed null and void, and reapplication for a new conditional use permit shall be necessary.

28. **DEVELOPMENT SERVICES: MODIFICATION (SUBSTANTIAL CHANGE) OF SPECIFIC PLAN REZONING**

Co23-04-01, SWAN SOUTHLANDS SPECIFIC PLAN

Request of South Wilmot Land Investors L.L.C., represented by Corrections Corporation of America, for a modification (substantial change) of the Swan Southlands Specific Plan (Rezoning) for 391 acres in the northeastern section of the 3,200 acre specific plan site. The 391 acres is located on the west side of Wilmot Road, one mile south of E. Old Vail Connection Road and approximately four miles south of Interstate 10.

The modification request involves the following:

- A. Modifications to Text and Exhibits:
 - 1. Add industrial uses including correctional facilities;
 - 2. Delete residential use and dwelling unit counts;
 - 3. Reconfigure the preliminary development plan;
 - 4. Delete the requirements for bufferyards, streetscape/landscape themes, design guidelines, and pedestrian/bicycle linkages and trails;
 - 5. Delete the definition of conservation washes, increase the proposed floodplain encroachment, and add a floodplain diversion channel.
- B. Waive or modify Specific Plan rezoning conditions of Ordinance 2005-02:
 - 1. No. 4 (requires development related assurances);
 - 2. No. 6 (requires written approval of the Board of Supervisors for subdividing or lot splitting);
 - 3. No. 12A (requires approval of a block subdivision plat);
 - 4. No. 12B (requires applicable studies, reports, and information upon submittal of the first block subdivision plat);
 - 5. No. 14A (requires an approved Traffic Impact Analysis);
 - 6. No. 14C (requires dedication of 75 feet half right-of-way for Swan Road and Wilmot Road within or adjacent to the specific plan site);
 - 7. Nos. 16B, 16C, 16E, 16F, 16G (all of which address the provision of sewerage infrastructure);
 - 8. No. 18A (requires the provision of recreation infrastructure including trails);
 - 9. No. 18B (requires the provision of parkland based on residential densities);
 - 10. Nos. 24A, 24B, 24C (regarding the provision of a Pima pineapple cactus study and follow up).

On motion, the Planning and Zoning Commission voted 7-1 (Commissioner Poulos voted Nay, Commissioners Cook and Steinbrenner were absent) to recommend MODIFIED APPROVAL AS SPECIFIED IN THE STAFF REPORT AND SUBJECT TO THE REVISED STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS AS CORRECTED. Staff recommends MODIFIED APPROVAL AS SPECIFIED IN THE STAFF REPORT AND SUBJECT TO THE REVISED STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS AS CORRECTED. (District 2)

"Staff recommends the following revised rezoning conditions:

- 1. Not more than 60 days after the Board of Supervisors approves the Specific Plan, the Developer shall submit to the Planning Official the specific plan document, including any necessary revisions of the specific plan document, and the specific plan text and exhibits in an electronic format acceptable to the Planning Division.
- 2. Recording of a covenant holding Pima County harmless in the event of flooding.
- 3. Recording of the necessary development related covenants as determined appropriate by the various County agencies.
- 4. Provision of development related assurances as required by the appropriate agencies with the exception that if a single Development Plan and a separate Development Agreement are approved for the entirety of Parcel D5 for the correctional facility.
- 5. Prior to the preparation of the development related covenants and any required dedication, a title report (current to within 60 days) evidencing ownership of the property shall be submitted to the Department of Transportation, Real Property Division.
- 6. There shall be no subdividing or lot splitting without the written approval of the Board of Supervisors with the exception that if a single Development Plan and a separate Development Agreement are approved for the entirety of Parcel D5 for the correctional facility. For Parcels D3, D4, and D5 (the 391-acre modification area) an exception may be made for minor adjustments to lot lines that do not affect infrastructure layout.
- 7. In the event of a conflict between two or more requirements in this specific plan, or conflicts between the requirements of this specific plan and another Pima County regulation not listed in Section 18.90.050B3, the more restrictive requirement shall apply.
- 8. Adherence to the specific plan document and preliminary development plan as approved at the public hearing.
 - A. With the exception of Parcels D3, D4, and D5 (the 391-acre modification area): Developer shall enter into a development agreement with Pima County, which shall: 1) define the required on-site and off-site infrastructure to serve the development of the specific plan area, and 2) provide, among other things, an infrastructure phasing schedule coordinated with the phased development of the specific plan area. If the development agreement becomes inconsistent with the requirements of a study called for by these rezoning conditions (or an

update to such study) the developer shall provide an appropriate amendment to the development agreement necessitated by the results of such study or update. Said amendment to be reviewed and approved by the County. Developer shall generally meet the requirements of the County Administrator's December 2, 2004 letter to the developer's representative.

- B. A separate development agreement approved by the Board of Supervisors shall be required prior to submittal of a development plan for Parcel D5 for the correctional facility. A separate development agreement or amendment to the existing Swan Southlands development agreement, approved by the Board of Supervisors shall be required prior to submittal of a plat for Parcels D3 and D4. The development agreements, or an amendment to the existing development agreement, shall define the required on-site and off-site infrastructure to serve the applicable site and include other requirements as determined by Pima County.
- 9. This specific plan shall adhere to all applicable Pima County regulations that are not explicitly addressed within this specific plan. Design guidelines shall be used only when not in conflict with County regulations.
 - 10. A good faith effort shall be made to use approximately 25 percent of the revenues raised from the implementation of the affordable housing policy of the Swan Southlands Specific Plan project to meet the goals of the affordable housing policy within the project.
 - 11. At the request of Pima County, a separate agreement pertaining to the respective responsibilities of the Specific Plan petitioner or their successor(s) and the County will be entered into as it relates to the 640 acres of Pima County owned property that is contained within the specific plan boundaries. Nothing in this condition shall affect the timing or implementation of development in the remainder of the specific plan site (Sections 10, 12, 13, 14).
 - 12. Block Subdivision Plats:
 - A. Prior to the issuance of any permits, this specific plan is subject to the approval of a block subdivision plat for each of the Identity Districts or sub-districts (Summit Village A1, A2, Desert Park B, Desert View C1, C2, C3, Gateway Village D) as shown in the Specific Plan. Subsequent site development requires submittal of subdivision plats or development plans prepared in accordance with the block subdivision plat for the applicable Identity District or sub-district. Each block subdivision plat shall make all dedications (including roads, sewer, drainage, trails and open space) and identify necessary improvements and provide a design and construction phasing plan tied to replatting of specific blocks. An exception may be made to this condition for Parcel D5 if a single Development Plan and a separate Development Agreement are approved for the entirety of Parcel D5 for the correctional facility.
 - B. Upon submittal of the first block subdivision plat per the applicable Identity District or sub-district, the studies, reports, information required by these specific plan conditions and the specific plan document itself, shall be provided for review and approval of the applicable Pima County department or departments. An exception may be made to this condition for Parcel D5 if a single Development Plan and separate Development Agreement are approved for the entirety of Parcel D5 for the correctional facility; the required studies, reports, information shall be submitted at the time of the development plan.
 - 13. No building permits within an Identity District shall be issued until all applicable specific plan requirements for or affecting that Identity District, or parcel if Parcel D5 according to the exception specified in Condition 12A, are satisfied and the Planning Official issues a Certificate of Rezoning Compliance.
 - 14. Department of Transportation requirements:
 - A. Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) shall be provided by the property owner(s) for this specific plan for review and approval by the Department of Transportation, prior to the first block subdivision plat submittal. The results of the approved TIA shall be used to establish required transportation improvements, and phasing of said improvements, to the area roadway system. The property owner(s) shall be responsible for construction of improvements to area roads impacted by this specific plan development as required by the Department of Transportation to meet concurrency requirements. For Parcels D3, D4, and D5 (the 391-acre modification site): The Transportation and Financing Plan that was approved September 10, 2009 shall need to be updated or revised to reflect the changes being requested.
 - B. Roads and rights-of-way within the Specific Plan shall be required by the Department of Transportation and shall be compatible with the approved Southeast Arterial Study, or as amended, and shall be incorporated into the design of the block subdivision plats.
 - C. The property owner(s) shall dedicate to Pima County 75 feet half right-of-way for Swan Road and Wilmot Roads within or adjacent to the specific plan site.
 - D. The interior loop collector roadway (as depicted on the Preliminary Development Plan) shall consist of a minimum 60 feet right-of-way or common area and shall transition with a wider right-of-way and cross section at intersections Swan Road, Wilmot Road and edges of specific plan boundary.

- E. Provision of a continuous connection roadway, between the western and eastern edges of the specific plan boundary to provide an access corridor along the northern boundary of Section 10 and Section 12, for potential use as regional access as determined by the required TIA and the final requirements of Condition 14B. There shall be no direct access from individual single-family, residential lots onto interior loop collector roadways or connection roadways within the Specific Plan.
 - F. The property owner(s) shall accept responsibility for the maintenance, control, safety and liability of privately owned roads, parking areas, drives, physical barriers, landscaping, drainageways and drainage easements.
15. Flood Control District requirements:
- A. The Swan Southlands Specific Plan and development standards shall meet the regulatory requirements of Title 16 of the Pima County Code, and all subsequent amendments to this ordinance by the Pima County Board of Supervisors. Title 16 specifies the regulatory requirements for floodplain management, flood control, erosion control, and riparian habitat.
 - B. An Overall Watershed Master Plan shall be developed with the District for floodplain management information, flood control infrastructure, riparian habitat mitigation planning and funding mechanisms for off site flood control improvements, provided the improvements are necessary to protect developed property within the Specific Plan from flood damage, and shall be submitted to and subject to approval by the Pima County Flood Control District Board of Directors. The segment of the Watershed Master Plan that impacts Swan Southlands must be adopted prior to recording any final plats for Swan Southlands.
 - C. A detailed Master Drainage Study for the entire Swan Southland Specific Plan area is required for the first block subdivision plat. The Master Drainage Study will be a detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analysis for the watershed affecting any of the property in the specific plan area, and shall include, but is not limited to, major offsite drainage areas impacting all blocks studied by detailed methods like HEC-1, use of 1-foot contour intervals for floodplain modeling by HEC-2 or HEC-RAS that will produce accurate floodplains affecting planned encroachment areas, sediment transport and erosion hazard setback analysis including bank protected areas, opportunities for regional detention to reduce onsite detention, identify Section 404 jurisdictional waters and impacts, identify drainage infrastructure needs and funding mechanisms, public maintenance concerns, and private drainage maintenance by the future homeowners association.
 - D. Floodplain and riparian habitat encroachments shall be fully addressed in the Master Drainage Study. Impacts for floodplain and riparian encroachments should be minimized by alternatives explained in the Master Drainage Study.
 - E. A Riparian Mitigation Plan, as required by Title 16 of the Pima County Code, also shall be included in the Master Drainage Study. The plan should evaluate, prioritize and identify floodplains and riparian habitats that are to be protected; impacts of necessary flood control improvements for public health, safety and welfare; and provide a habitat mitigation plan to address impacts as well as restoration plans. The Riparian Mitigation Plan shall be subject to approval by the Flood Control District.
 - F. For Parcels D3, D4, and D5 (the 391-acre modification site): The developer shall prepare a hydrology study for Franco and Flato Wash systems, for approval by Pima County Flood Control District. Limits of encroachment and any other proposed modifications of the floodplains shall be determined through analysis of hydrology, hydraulics, and floodplain mapping. No adverse impacts to flood or erosion risk shall occur upon adjacent properties as measured by flood peaks, flood stage, flood velocity, overbank storage, erosion and sedimentation. Any proposed modifications shall maintain or restore the connection between interdependent components of river systems on the property: channel, overbank floodplain, tributary flow zones, and riparian vegetation. Roadway Drainage improvements to Wilmot Road shall be addressed during this phase of the development.
16. Wastewater Management Department requirements:
- A. Refer to Condition 27A.
 - B. The owner/developer shall fund, design and construct all necessary public and private sanitary sewerage collection, conveyance and treatment facilities required to serve the rezoning area, and provide conveyance capacity and service (flow through) to all the naturally occurring up-gradient properties identified in a basin study prepared by the owner/developer. Specific facility construction requirements will be documented in a master sewer service agreement executed prior to submittal of a final plat. Final alignments for flow through and flow through conveyance capacity will be established at the time of review of the tentative plat, development plan, sewer construction plan or request for building permit.
 - C. The owner /developer shall time all development within the rezoning area to coincide with the availability of treatment and conveyance capacity.

- D. No more than 90 days before submitting any tentative plat, development plan, sewer improvement plan or request for building permit for review, the owner / developer shall obtain written documentation that sanitary sewerage treatment and conveyance capacity for the proposed development will be available when needed to serve the development.
 - E. The owner/developer shall complete the construction of necessary public and/or private sewerage facilities, obtain necessary discharge authorizations (approvals of construction) from the Arizona or Pima County Department of Environmental Quality, and convey title to facilities that may be owned and maintained by Pima County.
 - F. As specified in the Master Sewer Service Agreement, the owner /developer shall connect development within the specific plan area to the sanitary sewer system at the location and in the manner specified.
 - G. The wastewater treatment facility sites are to be sized and located such that they will accommodate future expansion to treat flows from upstream areas within the basin as identified in the basin study. The owner/developer shall provide sufficient, but not less than 10 acres of, land within the rezoning area to accommodate expansion of treatment facilities to the full projected build out of the rezoning area plus the upstream tributary areas in accordance with the Master Sewer Service Agreement. This condition is not applicable to Parcel D5 for the correctional facility.
 - H. In accordance with the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality, disclosure statements are to be provided to all buyers of property adjacent to the wastewater treatment facilities acknowledging their presence and identifying them as 24 hour facilities with the potential for occasional noise and odor.
 - I. For the 120 acres (Parcel D5) for the correctional facility: No person shall construe any action by Pima County as a commitment to provide sewer service to any new development within the plan amendment area until Pima County executes an agreement with the owner / developer to that effect. By accepting this specific plan amendment, the owner / developer acknowledges that adequate treatment and conveyance capacity to accommodate this plan amendment in the downstream public sewerage system may not be available when new development within the plan amendment area is to occur, unless it is provided by the owner / developer and other affected parties.
 - J. In accordance with the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality, disclosure statements are to be provided to all buyers of property adjacent to the wastewater treatment facilities acknowledging their presence and identifying them as 24 hour facilities with the potential for occasional noise and odor.
17. Department of Environmental Quality requirement: On-site disposal systems are not proposed to serve this development, and a geologic report that demonstrates feasibility has not been developed, therefore all improvements shall be connected to an approved sewer system pursuant to ADEQ requirements.
18. Natural Resources, Parks and Recreation Department requirements:
- A. Passive recreation activities including trails shall be located adjacent to project open space and NPPO set-aside areas to allow for continuity of riparian habitat and restoration of disturbed habit as needed. Should an environmentally sensitive trail alignment or public utility constitute a minor intrusion, as determined by the Planning Official, into the already designated 30 percent NPPO set-aside, a request may be made to the Planning Official who may consider the merits of the trail alignment upon recommendation from the Design Review Committee. Acceptance of a minor intrusion would not necessitate a revision or an amendment to the Specific Plan.
 - B. Based upon target densities, the developer(s) shall designate and dedicate to the public or create as common area a minimum of 202.88 acres based on 871 square feet per single family unit of developed public and/or private parkland for community parks, neighborhood parks, public and private trails, and joint use public facilities. Developer shall designate the public and private parkland commensurate with the densities of the development. In no case shall the dedication or creation as common area of the public and private parkland be less than the amount required for the minimum densities.
 - C. Pima County Natural Resources, Parks and Recreation (NRPR) shall maintain public parks greater than 10 acres in size and public trails developed within the boundaries of the Specific Plan.
 - D. Within each residential development parcel per Table 3, page 71 of the Specific Plan, the developer shall construct and maintain a minimum of one recreation area/park. An approved Recreation Area Plan (RAP) is required for each development parcel prior to tentative plat approval.

- E. Interactive recreation elements along trails may include benches, par course stations, tables, grills, ramadas, water fountains, bicycle racks, and directional and educational information signage, subject to the approval of NRPR staff; these public easements shall be shown on a trails plan and approved by staff prior to any subdivision plat approval.
 - F. Street sections of interior loop roads and the east/west minor arterial roadway shall include a six-foot sidewalk, a 10-foot paved pathway and two bicycle lanes.
 - G. The developer shall submit trail corridor locations subject to approval by NRPR staff prior to any plat approval; the trails shall provide connectivity/linkage to all areas of the project and to regional trail system (if applicable).
 - H. For Parcels D3, D4, and D5 (the 391-acre modification site):
 - 1) The Recreation Area Plan dated March 2005 shall be updated with the submittal of Master Block Plat for District A, B, or C.
 - 2) A Recreation Area Plan shall be submitted with the Master Block Plat for District D submittal to show recreation trails.
 - 3) The 31-acre land conveyance for a district park shall be located in District "C".
19. Cultural Resources requirements:
- A. With the exception of Parcels D3, D4, and D5 (the 391-acre modification site):
 - 1) Avoidance and preservation in place of all National Register eligible cultural resources shall be the first consideration in all cases. Where avoidance is not possible, all required cultural resources mitigation studies shall be conducted and reported on by Identity District or sub-district.
 - 2) A mitigation plan and plan of work shall be developed for each Identity District or sub-district that contains cultural resources. In the event that an archaeological site spans more than Identity District or sub-district, a single plan of work shall be prepared and implemented for the entire archaeological site.
 - 3) All work shall be conducted by an archaeologist permitted by the Arizona State Museum, or a registered architect, as appropriate.
 - 4) All plans shall be submitted to the Pima County Cultural Resources Office for approval prior to implementation and all reports shall be submitted to this office for review after the required field studies are completed.
 - B. For Parcels D3, D4, and D5 (the 391-acre modification site):
 - 1) Development within the plan amendment area will potentially affect cultural resources, especially archaeological sites. Standard cultural resources requirements for rezoning and grading are the minimum required. Where significant cultural resources are found, avoidance through development design, open space set-asides, and conservation easements are the preferred mitigation strategies; although when not possible to implement conservation strategies, other mitigation measures shall apply.
 - 2) A cultural resources mitigation plan, detailing strategies that include testing, documentation, data recovery, preservation, protection, analysis, reporting, and curation for the management of all Register-eligible sites shall be submitted to the Office of Cultural Resources & Historic Preservation for review and approval. The State Historic Preservation Office may also be consulted for review and approval. The cultural resources mitigation work will be conducted by a State permitted archaeologist, or registered architect, as appropriate. This work shall be completed and approved prior to issuance of a grading permit and any ground-disturbing construction activities.
 - 3) In the event that human remains, including human skeletal remains, cremations, and/or ceremonial objects and funerary objects are found during excavation or construction, ground disturbing activities must cease in the immediate vicinity of the discovery. State Law ARS 41-865 requires that the Arizona State Museum be notified of the discovery at (520) 621-4795 so that appropriate arrangements can be made for the repatriation and reburial of the remains by cultural groups who claim cultural or religious affinity to them. The human remains will be removed from the site by a professional archaeologist pending consultation and review by the Arizona State Museum and the concerned cultural groups.
20. Tucson Airport Authority:
- A. With the exception of Parcels D3, D4, and D5 (the 391-acre modification site):
 - 1) Prior to subdividing the property, the owner shall record an Avigation Easement and Disclosure Statement approved by the Tucson Airport Authority. A copy of the executed Avigation Easement(s) shall be provided to TAA.
 - 2) In Section 12 (within Identity District D - Gateway Village), Noise attenuation measures will be provided for all construction as stipulated in the Uniform Building Code, Chapter 35, Section 3501, Sound Transmission Control.

- 3) Applies to the Specific Plan site: The applicant shall work with TAA to ensure that the plans for the proposed development are compatible with the operations and master plan for Tucson International Airport.
- B. For Parcels D3, D4, and D5 (the 391-acre modification site):
- 1) The developers of any residential development shall provide disclosure statements in all sales contracts, public reports, and the recorded covenants. The specific language for inclusion in the disclosure statements shall be coordinated with the Tucson Airport Authority (TAA). Provisions for additional notification and disclosure mechanisms, including potential acknowledgment of airspace rights, shall be defined and coordinated with TAA.
 - 2) In Section 12, Noise attenuation measures for any residential development will be provided for all construction as stipulated in the Uniform Building Code, Chapter 35, Section 3501, Sound Transmission Control or the building code adopted by Pima County at the time of site plan or tentative plat approval, whichever provides the greater level of noise attenuation.
 - 3) The Northwest quarter of Section 12 will be the subject of a special study to determine land uses and densities that are compatible with airport operations only if residential development is proposed. The applicant will work with TAA to ensure the plans for the proposed development are compatible with the operations and master plan for Tucson International Airport.
 - 4) Any non-residential development of the northern 391-acres of Section 12 (more specifically identified by Co7-10-01) shall meet the following two requirements:
 - a) That prior to the County's approval of the first development plan, site plan or plat for any portion of the subject area, the property owner shall record an avigation easement.
 - b) That the sponsor of any development or construction activity within 20,000 feet of Tucson International Airport, that exceeds a 100:1 surface from any point on any of TIA's runways, shall send one executed form set of FAA Form 7460-1, Notice of Proposed Construction or Alteration, to the Manager, Air Traffic Division, FAA Regional Office. Copies of the form and electronic submittal are available at: <https://oeaaa.faa.gov/oeaaa/external/portal.jsp/>
21. With the exception of Parcels D3 and D5: The Final Conceptual Riparian Restoration Plan necessary for compliance with Special Area Policy #2 of the plan amendment cases CO7-03-07, CO7-03-09, and CO7-03-10 shall be submitted to and subject to approval by the Development Services Environmental Planning Manager and the Flood Control District prior to the approval of the first tentative block subdivision plat. The Final Conceptual Riparian Restoration Plan shall identify success criteria, where appropriate, for riparian areas to be mitigated with additional containerized or salvaged plantings; establish the specifics of a monitoring program; and a reporting schedule. Any riparian restoration activities proposed subsequent to the approval of the Final Conceptual Riparian Restoration Plan shall also be submitted to and approved by the Development Services Environmental Planning Manager and the Flood Control District prior to implementation.
22. The Final Conceptual Riparian Restoration Plan shall identify specific measures to control sheet erosion within protected portions of the South Fork of the Flato Drainage in Section 14. These efforts to control sheet erosion shall commence in conjunction with the Swan Road improvements along the west boundary of Section 14.
23. Prior to the approval of the first tentative block subdivision plat, the final location of those utility crossings and trail alignments and other recreation amenities that will be located in or across Project Riparian Areas as identified in the Specific Plan shall be determined by review and subject to approval by representatives from the Flood Control District, the Natural Resources, Parks, and Recreation Department, and Development Services Environmental Planning Manager.
24. Pima pineapple cactus (PPC):
- A. With exception of Parcels D3, D4, and D5 (the 391-acre modification site):
- 1) The Final 10-Year Study: Pima pineapple cactus (PPC) will be submitted to and approved by the Development Services Environmental Planning Manager prior to the approval of the first tentative block subdivision plat. This study will include, but may not be limited to, identification of the different transplant methodologies to be evaluated, descriptions of propagation methods, disclosure of the location(s) of the transplant research sites and propagation nurseries, description of monitoring and reporting protocols, and disclosure of the disposition of Pima pineapple cactus (PPC) produced by any successful propagation.
 - 2) The Final 10-Year Study of Pima pineapple cactus (PPC) will commence prior to, but no later than the approval of the first tentative block subdivision plat.

- 3) Prior to the approval of the each tentative subdivision plat, the appropriate project area will be surveyed for Pima pineapple cactus (PPC) according to the survey methodology described in the approved Final 10-year Study Plan. Each Pima pineapple cactus located will be identified and transplanted into suitable habitat areas within the project area. The original location of each PPC will be documented with Global Positioning System coordinates (or the equivalent).
- B. For Parcels D3, D4, and D5 (the 391-acre modification site): Using the survey protocols established in the approved Swan Southlands Specific Plan Appendix 11 - Preliminary 10-Year PPC Study and prior to the approval of each development plan within the amendment area, the appropriate project area will be surveyed for Pima pineapple cactus (PPC). If PPC can not be preserved-in-place, they will be transplanted according to transplant protocols established in the approved Swan Southlands Specific Plan Appendix 11 - Preliminary 10-Year PPC Study and re-located to protected open space or other suitable locations within District D. The original location of each PPC, as well as its transplant location, will be documented with Global Positioning System coordinates (or the equivalent). Every year for ten (10) years, an annual status report will be provided that summarizes the survivorship and reproduction of transplanted PPC. This report is to be incorporated into the Specific Plan Annual Implementation Monitoring Report for the Swan Southlands Specific Plan submitted to Development Services Department.
25. When landscaping bufferyards are required for single-family residential subdivisions and are adjacent to public streets, they shall be placed in common areas, not within individual residential lots.
26. When a block plat is submitted prior to any development in Section 12, the developer will have to review that plat with the Department of Corrections and/or prison staff and provide Pima County with documentation that such review has occurred.
27. Water:
- A. If a hydrological study of projected declines in water levels due to the operation of the proposed well or wells is required pursuant to AAC R12-15-830, the developer shall provide one copy to Development Services, one copy to the Department of Wastewater Management, and one copy to the Water Resources Division of the Flood Control District at the same time copies are provided by or to Arizona Department of Water Resources (ADWR). The Wastewater Management Department and Water Resources Division of the Flood Control District shall notify well owners in the area. Development Services shall make available a copy of the study for public review. The applicant shall follow the ADWR Well Spacing and Impact Rules according T12-15-830, and observe any revisions.
 - B. The project will use City of Tucson Water or an acceptable municipal, private, or formed district alternative as its water delivery provider.
 - C. With the exception of Parcels D3, D4, and D5 (the 391-acre modification site):
Water Conservation:
 - 1) An overall water conservation plan describing water conservation measures which will be offered for the specific plan site is required for review and approval by Pima County Development Services Department in consultation with the water resources staff of the Flood Control District prior to a master block plat approval.
 - 2) The plan will provide a commercial, residential, common area, and public water conservation program and implementation strategy.
 - 3) The plan will address:
 - a) stormwater management;
 - b) reuse of treated wastewater;
 - c) community education program;
 - d) homeowner association CCR's and design guidelines;
 - e) exterior use of the latest advancements in water conservation measures to minimize potable water use including but not limited to: irrigation systems, drought tolerant plant material, grading plans, turf limitations, and recreational water use restrictions;
 - f) subject to ADEQ criteria, interior use of latest advancements in water conservation measures to minimize potable water use including but not limited to: low water use appliances, and plumbing systems.
 - 4) A more detailed water conservation plan, consistent with the overall plan, shall be submitted for review and approval by Pima County Development Services Department in consultation with the water resources staff of the Flood Control District with each tentative plat.
 - D. For Parcels D3 and D5:
 - 1) The applicant shall provide a water conservation plan that details how the post-modification Specific Plan demand for water will be reduced through quantifiable conservation elements. The water conservation plan shall be submitted with the

master block plat, if one is required, or with each development plan submitted. The water conservation plan(s) shall be reviewed and approved by Pima County Development Services Department in consultation with the Regional Flood Control District's Water Resources staff prior to final approval of the master block plat, if one is required, or final approval of the individual development plan. The water conservation plan(s) will employ state of the art conservation measures appropriate for the intended use(s) and will conserve and minimize the use of potable water wherever possible. The water conservation plan(s) shall address and evaluate the feasibility of the following:

- a) Stormwater management and rainwater harvesting;
 - b) Re-use of grey water or effluent, if available;
 - c) Exterior water conservation measures to minimize potable water use including, but not limited to, irrigation systems, drought tolerant plant material, grading plans, and turf limitations;
 - d) Interior water conservation measures to minimize potable water use including, but not limited to, low water use appliances and plumbing systems.
- 2) In the future, if sewer line connections are provided, the 5,000 bed prison and the other areas included in this modification may connect to the Swan Southlands Wastewater Treatment Facility to allow for reuse and recharge of effluent within the Swan Southlands area, subject to terms of the agreement with the Pima County Regional Wastewater Reclamation Department.
- E. The immediate direct and beneficial reuse of any reclaimed water generated from the treatment facility that will either be constructed and operated by the owner/developer or Pima County will be required, provided the source water entering the wastewater treatment facility is not of Tucson Water. The reclaimed water should first be utilized to irrigate landscaping, parks and school turf areas within the development area.
28. The City of Tucson Transportation Planning and/or Urban Planning and Design Transportation Administrator shall be included as a reviewing agency for any Swan/ Southlands Specific Plan transportation issues and/or plans. The city's comments shall be considered in the process of reviewing studies, reports, and/or subdivision plats, however, they may not hold up processing of a proposed action and Pima County shall retain sole authority over approval.
29. Upon concurrence with the school district, developer shall either dedicate school sites as depicted in the Specific Plan or alternate sites within the specific plan area acceptable to the school district. In addition, developer shall submit evidence of a signed agreement with the school district superseding the March 9, 2004 memorandum of understanding, prior to submittal of the first block plat.
30. A minimum of 95 percent of the viable riparian areas shall be preserved. For the purposes of this condition, preservation of viable riparian areas shall be measured by percentage of vegetative canopy cover.
31. For Parcels D3 and D4: A minimum 500 feet open space buffer along the west boundary as shown on the preliminary development plan shall be provided if Parcel D3 is developed for permitted industrial uses, other than a correctional facility for which Condition 33D applies. The buffer shall be shown on any applicable development plan or plat.
32. For Parcel D5: The operations of a prison facility and the security level of inmates housed therein shall adhere to the restrictions and prohibitions on prisons included in Title 41, Arizona Revised Statutes. The security level of inmates housed at the prison facility shall be less than Maximum level (based on the Arizona Department of Correction's Custody Levels, or equivalent). The facility shall be accredited by the American Correctional Association (ACA) within 2 years of it receiving its first inmates and shall house inmates in those facilities in a manner determined appropriate by ACA.
33. For Parcel D3:
- A. Of the permitted industrial uses, the uses that are equivalent to the CI-2 zone of the Pima County Zoning Code are allowed on the east half of Parcel D3 (the west boundary being an approximate distance of 2,400 feet from Wilmot Road).
 - B. Of the permitted industrial uses, the uses that are equivalent to the CI-1 zone of the Zoning Code are allowed throughout Parcel D3, with the exception of condition 33.D below.
 - C. The permitted industrial uses are subject to the performance standards of the equivalent zone of the Pima County Zoning Code except that all allowable uses within 2,500 feet of a residential structure (e.g. residences of Section 11) shall adhere to the performance standards set forth in the Zoning Code Section 18.43.020B which are the performance standards for the CB-1 zone. This requirement is comparable to the Mixed Use provision of the CI-1 zone.
 - D. Any proposal to locate a correctional facility in Parcel D3 shall be subject to the following requirements:
 - 1) Approval of a Type III Conditional Use permit;

- 2) The east property boundary extends no further west than the 1,320 feet boundary described and shown in the attached letter and map dated May 12, 2010 from the applicant (reference Attachment A2). If Parcel D3 is developed as a correctional facility, the open space buffer will therefore be expanded from 500 feet to 1,320 feet;
 - 3) In addition to the standard public notification for a Type III Conditional Use Permit, public notice shall be expanded to include all property owners within Section 11.
34. For Parcels D3, D4, and D5 (the 391-acre modification site): The correctional facility or other industrial uses cannot be used to count toward the specific plan's commercial/employment square footage target (762,312 s.f.) and goal of providing convenient commercial services to specific plan residents.
35. Administrative Modification III-7.a.2. (reference Attachment G) which allows changes in the boundaries of individual parcels up to a maximum of 20% shall not apply to the west boundaries of Parcels D3 and D5."

Arlan Colton, Planning Director, briefed the Board. He stated that if the modification was approved Corrections Corporation of America (CCA) would have an allowance for the private correctional facility as an outright use. Staff had received one letter of opposition from a homeowner and one letter of support from the Southside Neighborhood Association Presidential Partnership. Staff and the Planning and Zoning Commission recommended modified approval subject to the revised standard and special conditions as corrected by the memorandum dated May 24, 2010.

The following individual addressed the Board:

Yolanda Herrera, President of the Southside Neighborhood Association Presidential Partnership

Her comments were:

- A. The association was in agreement with all the conditions, expressed their support and asked the Board to approve this item.
- B. The development of the facility in Pima County would create additional jobs for residents and would improve the tax base for the Sunnyside Unified School District.
- C. The inmates from Arizona currently residing in correctional facilities outside of the State could be housed at the new facility which would be closer to their family home base.

Jay Hollis, representative for CCA, reported on the economic benefits Pima County would receive if they were approved for the full contract at this site. He acknowledged that this facility would create approximately 900 new jobs and there would be an estimated annual tax payment of \$3.9 million, with approximately \$2 million dedicated to the local school system. He remarked that CCA had already submitted their site plan pending the outcome of this meeting.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing.

Supervisor Elías expressed his concerns about CCA and the way the company handled their business operations at other correctional centers. He was opposed to this project based on several inmate deaths at one facility, allegations of sexual misconduct, sexual assault, mistreatment of immigrant children and a failure to

follow a state contract that required qualified drug and alcohol abuse counselors to be employed at one of their centers. He understood that the creation of new jobs was important to the community but felt these may not be the best kind of jobs for the citizens to have. He stated that bringing this kind of operation into the community would potentially put the entire community at risk and felt the allegations were significant.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Carroll, to approve Co23-04-01 with modified approval as specified in the staff report subject to the revised standard and special conditions as corrected. Upon a roll call vote being taken, the motion carried by a 4 to 1 vote, with Supervisor Elías voting “Nay.”

29. **DEVELOPMENT SERVICES: MODIFICATION (SUBSTANTIAL CHANGE) OF REZONING CONDITIONS**

Co9-00-35, SOUTHWEST FOOD SYSTEMS, INC. – ORACLE ROAD NO. 2 REZONING

Co9-93-08, SOUTHWEST FOOD SYSTEMS, INC. – ORACLE ROAD REZONING

Co9-83-08, SPEER – ORANGE GROVE ROAD REZONING

Request of John Mallo, represented by The WLB Group, Inc., for a modification (substantial change) of the following rezoning conditions:

- No. 9 (Co9-00-35), No. 11 (Co9-93-8), and No. 15 (Co9-83-8) which state: “Uses are restricted to the sale, rental and display of automobiles. Car washing shall be limited to vehicles that are for sale or rent on the site only and shall not be conducted outdoors. Car wash doors shall open only to the east. No outdoor storage of auto parts, maintenance equipment, or other materials. All waste oils and other fluids are subject to all applicable requirements for disposal.” The applicant requests to waive these conditions and proposes to allow uses permitted in the CB-2 (General Business) zone excluding ambulance service; amusement or recreational enterprises (outdoor); auction: public, auditorium or assembly hall; billboard; boats: storage or rental; cemetery or crematory; drive-in theater; fair, carnival or tent show; kennels; large scale retail establishment; lumberyard: retail; truck trailer repair; sale, rental or display of airplanes or parts, farm equipment or machinery; machinery: commercial and industrial; and manufactured or mobile homes; light manufacturing or assembling incidental to retail sales; wholesaling of products permitted in Section 18.45.030.C; building materials sales yard; contractor’s equipment sales yard or plant; rental of equipment commonly used by contractors; retail lumberyard; feed yard; public utility service yard; storage of operable automobiles, boats, motorcycles, recreational vehicles, and trucks, and inhabitable manufactured or mobile homes, not intended for salvage; and all conditional uses.
- No. 10 (Co9-00-35), No. 8 (Co9-93-8), and No. 19 (Co9-83-8) which require adherence to the preliminary development plan as approved at public hearing. The applicant requests to waive these conditions.
- No. 14 (Co9-00-35), No. 13 (Co9-93-8), and No. 23 (Co9-83-8) which limit the maximum building height to 15 feet excluding the parapet. The applicant requests to waive these conditions to allow for a maximum building height of 39 feet as permitted in the CB-2 (General Business Zone).
- No. 15 (Co9-00-35), No. 14 (Co9-93-8), and No. 24 (Co9-83-8) which limit car wash operations to between 7:30 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. and automobile sales and rental operations to between 8:00 a.m. and 9:00 p.m. The applicant requests to waive these conditions.
- No. 16 (Co9-00-35), No. 15 (Co9-93-8), and No. 25 (Co9-83-8) which require that the grade and elevation of the site not change. The applicant requests to waive these conditions.

The subject site is 1.67 acres zoned CB-2 and is located at the southwest corner of the intersection of Oracle Road and Orange Grove Road. On motion, the Planning and Zoning Commission voted 8-0 (Commissioners Cook and Steinbrenner were absent) to recommend MODIFIED APPROVAL. Staff recommends MODIFIED APPROVAL. (District 1)

"Staff recommends the following rezoning conditions:
Co9-00-35

1. Submittal of a development plan if determined necessary by the appropriate County agencies.
2. Recording of a covenant holding Pima County harmless in the event of flooding.
3. Recording of the necessary development related covenants as determined appropriate by the various County agencies.
4. Provision of development related assurances as required by the appropriate agencies.
5. Prior to the preparation of the development related covenants and any required dedication, a title report (current to within 60 days) evidencing ownership of the property shall be submitted to the Department of Transportation, Real Property Division.
6. There shall be no further lot splitting or subdividing without written approval from the Board of Supervisors.
7. Transportation conditions:
 - A. ~~The location and design of access to the subject property from the Tucson Florence Highway (Oracle Road) shall need written approval by the Arizona Department of Transportation prior to any plan approvals by Pima County. Allowed access to Orange Grove Road and Oracle Road shall be limited to a maximum of one access point for each road. One access shall be allowed on Orange Grove Road and one on Oracle Road. The existing driveways and/or any changes shall require approval from Arizona Department of Transportation and/or Pima County Department of Transportation.~~
 - B. ~~A written certification from the Arizona Department of Transportation stating satisfactory compliance of all its requirements for access to the Tucson Florence Highway (Oracle Road) shall need to be submitted to the Pima County Department of Transportation prior to the issuance of a certificate of rezoning compliance. Written certification from Arizona Department of Transportation, stating satisfactory compliance with all its requirements shall be submitted to Development Services Department prior to approval of a Development Plan and Subdivision Plat.~~
 - C. ~~The property owner(s) shall provide off-site improvements to Orange Grove Road and/or Oracle Road as determined necessary by Arizona Department of Transportation and Pima County Department of Transportation.~~
8. Flood Control ~~District~~ conditions:
 - A. Drainage shall not be altered, disturbed or obstructed without the written approval of the Flood Control District.
 - B. The property owner(s) shall provide all necessary drainage related improvements created by the proposed development both on-site and off-site of the subject property. The location, design and construction of said improvements shall be subject to the approval of the Flood Control District.
9. ~~CB-2 (General Business) zone Uses~~ are restricted to the sale, rental and display of automobiles. Car washing ~~for the CB-2 use~~ shall be limited to the vehicles that are for sale or rent on the site only and shall not be conducted outdoors. Car wash doors shall open only to the ~~north or east~~. No outdoor storage of auto parts, maintenance equipment, or other materials. All waste oils and other fluids are subject to all applicable requirements for disposal. ~~TR (Transitional) zone uses and CB-1 (Local Business) zone uses are permitted excluding: Auto mechanical repair; Automobile tires, batteries and accessories installation in conjunction with a department store; Billiard or poolhall; Feed store: No sales or storage of hay; Large scale retail establishment; Liquor store; Religious rescue mission or temporary revival; Self-service car wash; Trailer rental; Wholesale of oil; Trailer or manufactured home for caretaker; and Feed store: Including sales and outdoor storage of hay. The CB-1 use of Auto parking lot (with or without a building) shall be subject to the conditions related to the CB-2 use of sale, rental and display of automobiles. The Performance Standards codified for the CB-1 zone shall apply to the CB-1 uses.~~
10. Adherence to the preliminary development plan ~~dated May 21, 2010 approved at public hearing (Exhibit "B")~~.
11. Use of an outdoor sound system shall be prohibited.
12. Outdoor lighting shall be shielded and directed away from residential areas to the south and west.

13. The 10-foot type "D" bufferyard along the street frontage shall contain the minimum plant densities required by code. A six-foot-high solid masonry wall and minimum 15-gallon trees shall be used in the south 10-foot type "D" bufferyard. The 15-gallon trees in the south bufferyard shall be of a variety which grows to less than 20 feet in height at maturity. A six-foot-high solid masonry wall shall be used in the west 10-foot type "D" bufferyard. The rear of the proposed building can be used as part of the west bufferyard wall.
14. The maximum building height shall be 15 feet, excluding the parapet for the CB-2 use.
15. Car wash operations for the CB-2 use shall be limited to between 7:30 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. Sale and rental office operations for the CB-2 use shall be limited to between 8:00 a.m. and 9:00 p.m.
16. The grade and elevation of the site shall not change. Building heights for CB-1 uses shall be limited to a maximum of 28 feet (including architectural elements) from the average existing grade of the property.
17. The existing sign shall be removed and replaced with a monument sign as required by Pima County.
18. Auto service bays shall open only to the north and east.
19. Deliveries, loading, idling, or similar operations shall not occur between 10:00 p.m. and 6:00 a.m. unless the activity occurs within a loading bay or on the street side of the building.
20. Wastewater Reclamation conditions:
 - A. The owner/developer shall construe no action by Pima County as a commitment to provide sewer service to any new development within the rezoning area until Pima County executes an agreement with the owner/developer to that effect.
 - B. The owner/developer shall obtain written documentation from the Pima County Regional Wastewater Reclamation Department that treatment and conveyance capacity is available for any new development within the rezoning area, no more than 90 days before submitting any tentative plat, development plan, sewer improvement plan, or request for building permit for review. Should treatment and/or conveyance capacity not be available at that time, the owner/developer shall have the option of funding, designing and constructing the necessary improvements to Pima County's public sewerage system at his or her sole expense or cooperatively with other affected parties. All such improvements shall be designed and constructed as directed by the Pima County Regional Wastewater Reclamation Department.
 - C. The owner/developer shall time all new development within the rezoning area to coincide with the availability of treatment and conveyance capacity in the downstream public sewerage system.
 - D. The owner/developer shall connect all development within the rezoning area to Pima County's public sewer system at the location and in the manner specified by the Regional Wastewater Reclamation Department in its capacity response letter and as specified by the Development Services Department at the time of review of the tentative plat, development plan, sewer construction plan, or request for building permit.
 - E. The owner/developer shall fund, design and construct all off-site and on-site sewers necessary to serve the rezoning area, in the manner specified at the time of review of the tentative plat, development plan, sewer construction plan, or request for building permit.
21. Cultural Resources condition:

A caution must be noted concerning human burials. In the event that human remains, including human skeletal remains, cremations, and/or ceremonial objects and funerary objects are found during excavation or construction, ground disturbing activities must cease in the immediate vicinity of the discovery. State Laws ARS 41-865 and/or ARS 41-844 require that the Arizona State Museum be notified of the discovery at (520) 621-4795 so that appropriate arrangements can be made for the repatriation and reburial of the remains by cultural groups who claim cultural or religious affinity to them. The human remains will be removed from the site by a professional archaeologist pending consultation and review by the Arizona State Museum and the concerned cultural groups.

Co9-93-08

1. Submittal of a development plan if determined necessary by the appropriate County agencies.
2. Recording of a covenant holding Pima County harmless in the event of flooding.
3. Recording of the necessary development related covenants as determined appropriate by the various County agencies.
4. Provision of development related assurances as required by the appropriate agencies.
5. Recording a covenant to the effect that there will be no further subdividing or lot splitting without the written approval of the Board of Supervisors.
6. Prior to the preparation of development related covenants and any required dedication, a title report evidencing ownership of the property shall be submitted to the Department of Transportation, Property Management Division.

7. Wastewater Management Reclamation conditions:
 The property owner must connect to the public sewer system at the location and in the manner specified by Wastewater Management at the time of review of the tentative plat or development plan.
- A. The owner/developer shall construe no action by Pima County as a commitment to provide sewer service to any new development within the rezoning area until Pima County executes an agreement with the owner/developer to the effect.
 - B. The owner/developer shall obtain written documentation from the Pima County Regional Wastewater Reclamation Department that treatment and conveyance capacity is available for any new development within the rezoning area, no more than 90 days before submitting any tentative plat, development plan, sewer improvement plan, or request for building permit for review. Should treatment and/or conveyance capacity not be available at that time, the owner/developer shall have the option of funding, designing and constructing the necessary improvements to Pima County's public sewerage system at his or her sole expense or cooperatively with other affected parties. All such improvements shall be designed and constructed as directed by the Pima County Regional Wastewater Reclamation Department.
 - C. The owner/developer shall time all new development within the rezoning area to coincide with the availability of treatment and conveyance capacity in the downstream public sewerage system.
 - D. The owner/developer shall connect all development within the rezoning area to Pima County's public sewer system at the location and in the manner specified by the Regional Wastewater Reclamation Department in its capacity response letter and as specified by the Development Services Department at the time of review of the tentative plat, development plan, sewer construction plan, or request for building permit.
 - E. The owner/developer shall fund, design and construct all off-site and on-site sewers necessary to serve the rezoning area, in the manner specified at the time of review of the tentative plat, development plan, sewer construction plan, or request for building permit.
8. Adherence to the ~~revised~~ preliminary development plan dated May 21, 2010 as approved at public hearing.
9. There shall not be an outdoor sound system used on this property.
10. Outdoor lighting shall be shielded and directed away from residential areas to the south and west.
11. CB-2 (General Business) zone Uses are restricted to the sale, rental and display of automobiles. Car washing for the CB-2 use shall be limited to the vehicles that are for sale or rent on the site only and shall not be conducted outdoors. Car wash doors shall open only to the north or east. No outdoor storage of auto parts, maintenance equipment, or other materials. All waste oils and other fluids are subject to all applicable requirements for disposal. TR (Transitional) zone uses and CB-1 (Local Business) zone uses are permitted excluding: Auto mechanical repair; Automobile tires, batteries and accessories installation in conjunction with a department store; Billiard or poolhall; Feed store; No sales or storage of hay; Large scale retail establishment; Liquor store; Religious rescue mission or temporary revival; Self-service car wash; Station: Bus or stage; Trailer rental; Wholesale of oil; Trailer or manufactured home for caretaker; and Feed store. Including sales and outdoor storage of hay. The CB-1 use of Auto parking lot (with or without a building) shall be subject to the conditions related to the CB-2 use of sale, rental and display of automobiles. The Performance Standards codified for the CB-1 zone shall apply to the CB-1 uses.
12. The 10-foot type "D" bufferyard along the street frontage shall contain the minimum plant densities required by code. A six-foot-high solid masonry wall and minimum 15-gallon trees shall be used in the south 10-foot type "D" bufferyard. The 15-gallon trees in the south bufferyard shall be of a variety which grows to less than 20 feet in height at maturity. A six-foot-high solid masonry wall shall be used in the west 10-foot type "D" bufferyard. The rear of the proposed building can be used as part of the west bufferyard wall.
13. The maximum building height shall be 15 feet, excluding the parapet for the CB-2 use.
14. Car wash operations for the CB-2 use shall be limited to between 7:30 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. Sale and rental office operations for the CB-2 use shall be limited to between 8:00 a.m. and 9:00 p.m.
15. ~~The grade and elevation of the site shall not change.~~ Building heights for CB-1 uses shall be limited to a maximum of 28 feet (including architectural elements) from the average existing grade of the property.
16. The existing sign shall be removed and replaced with a monument sign as required by Pima County.
17. Auto service bays shall open only to the north and east.
18. Deliveries, loading, idling, or similar operations shall not occur between 10:00 p.m. and 6:00 a.m. unless the activity occurs within a loading bay or on the street side of the building.
19. Transportation conditions:
- A. One access shall be allowed on Orange Grove Road and one on Oracle Road. The existing driveways and/or any changes shall require approval from Arizona Department of Transportation and/or Pima County Department of Transportation.

- B. Written certification from Arizona Department of Transportation, stating satisfactory compliance with all its requirements shall be submitted to Development Services Department prior to approval of a development plan and subdivision plat.
- C. The property owner(s) shall provide off-site improvements to Orange Grove Road and/or Oracle Road as determined necessary by Arizona Department of Transportation and Pima County Department of Transportation.

20. Cultural Resources condition:

A caution must be noted concerning human burials. In the event that human remains, including human skeletal remains, cremations, and/or ceremonial objects and funerary objects are found during excavation or construction, ground disturbing activities must cease in the immediate vicinity of the discovery. State Laws ARS 41-865 and/or ARS 41-844 require that the Arizona State Museum be notified of the discovery at (520) 621-4795 so that appropriate arrangements can be made for the repatriation and reburial of the remains by cultural groups who claim cultural or religious affinity to them. The human remains will be removed from the site by a professional archaeologist pending consultation and review by the Arizona State Museum and the concerned cultural groups.

Co9-83-8

1. Submittal of a complete hydraulic and hydrologic drainage report as determined necessary by the Department of Transportation and Flood Control District.
2. Submittal of a development plan if determined necessary by the appropriate County agencies.
3. Dedication of necessary right-of-way for roads and drainage by separate instrument if the property is not to be subdivided.
4. Recording of an acceptable plat which will provide for dedication of necessary rights-of-way for roads and drainage if the property is to be subdivided.
5. Completion of the requirements for a rezoning ordinance within three (3) years from the date of approval by the Board of Supervisors.
6. Recording of a covenant holding Pima County harmless in the event of flooding.
7. Conformance with County paving policies as determined appropriate by the Department of Transportation and Flood Control District.
8. Recording of the necessary development-related covenants as determined appropriate by the various County agencies.
9. Provision of development-related assurances as required by the appropriate agencies.
10. Recording a covenant to the effect that there will be no further lot splitting or subdividing without written approval from the Board of Supervisors.
11. Wastewater Management Reclamation conditions:
 - ~~A. A suitable arrangement with Pima County Wastewater Management Department regarding sanitary facilities.~~
 - ~~B. Approval of the location and method of connection to the existing public sanitary sewer.~~
 - A. The owner/developer shall construe no action by Pima County as a commitment to provide sewer service to any new development within the rezoning area until Pima County executes an agreement with the owner/developer to that effect.
 - B. The owner/developer shall obtain written documentation from the Pima County Regional Wastewater Reclamation Department that treatment and conveyance capacity is available for any new development within the rezoning area, no more than 90 days before submitting any tentative plat, development plan, sewer improvement plan, or request for building permit for review. Should treatment and/or conveyance capacity not be available at that time, the owner/developer shall have the option of funding, designing and constructing the necessary improvements to Pima County's public sewerage system at his or her sole expense or cooperatively with other affected parties. All such improvements shall be designed and constructed as directed by the Pima County Regional Wastewater Reclamation Department.
 - C. The owner/developer shall time all new development within the rezoning area to coincide with the availability of treatment and conveyance capacity in the downstream public sewerage system.
 - D. The owner/developer shall connect all development within the rezoning area to Pima County's public sewer system at the location and in the manner specified by the Regional Wastewater Reclamation Department in its capacity response letter and as specified by the Development Services Department at the time of review of the tentative plat, development plan, sewer construction plan, or request for building permit.
 - E. The owner/developer shall fund, design and construct all off-site and on-site sewers necessary to serve the rezoning area, in the manner specified at the time of review of the tentative plat, development plan, sewer construction plan, or request for building permit.
12. Transportation conditions:
~~Provision of appropriate financial contributions towards the future improvements of Orange Grove Road in accordance with the current Pima County Escrow Policy.~~

- A. One access shall be allowed on Orange Grove Road and one on Oracle Road. The existing driveways and/or any changes shall require approval from Arizona Department of Transportation and/or Pima County Department of Transportation.
- B. Written certification from Arizona Department of Transportation, stating satisfactory compliance with all its requirements shall be submitted to Development Services Department prior to approval of a development plan and subdivision plat.
- C. The property owner(s) shall provide off-site improvements to Orange Grove Road and/or Oracle Road as determined necessary by Arizona Department of Transportation and Pima County Department of Transportation.
13. Flood Control District conditions:
- A. The submittal of a hydrologic and hydraulic drainage study which explicitly details how Roller Coaster Wash will be contained and the effects this action will have on the developments located west of the site as well as downstream of the site.
- B. Dedication of all rights-of-way or easements for drainage as determined by Pima County's Flood Control District.
- C. Any channelization or encroachment would have to be planned such that it would terminate at the logical conclusion which would create no adverse flooding conditions to adjoining properties, such as diverting flow, concentrating flow, or increasing flooding potential.
- D. If the above condition cannot be feasibly met, the applicant must obtain a hold harmless agreement, signed by all affected property owners, which indicates acceptance of drainage onto adjoining properties in the manner proposed.
14. Landscaping to consist of low water use vegetation.
15. CB-2 (General Business) zone Uses are restricted to the sale, rental and display of automobiles. Car washing for the CB-2 use shall be limited to the vehicles that are for sale or rent on the site only and shall not be conducted outdoors. Car wash doors shall open only to the north or east. No outdoor storage of auto parts, maintenance equipment, or other materials. All waste oils and other fluids are subject to all applicable requirements for disposal. TR (Transitional) zone uses and CB-1 (Local Business) zone uses are permitted excluding: Auto mechanical repair; Automobile tires, batteries and accessories installation in conjunction with a department store; Billiard or poolhall; Feed store; No sales or storage of hay; Large scale retail establishment; Liquor store; Religious rescue mission or temporary revival; Self-serve car wash; Station: Bus or stage; Trailer rental; Wholesale of oil; Trailer or manufactured home for caretaker; and Feed store: Including sales and outdoor storage of hay. The CB-1 use of Auto parking lot (with or without a building) shall be subject to the conditions related to the CB-2 use of sale, rental and display of automobiles. The Performance Standards codified for the CB-1 zone shall apply to the CB-1 uses.
16. A solid masonry wall on the west side of the property and an acceptable buffer as approved by the Pima County Planning Department to be provided along the east and west sides of the property
17. Floodplain Ordinance violation must be resolved prior to the rezoning being forwarded to the Board of Supervisors.
18. The south 25 feet of the subject property will be graded downward to the level of the adjacent property and landscaped with decomposed granite and low water use vegetation.
19. Adherence to the preliminary development plan dated May 21, 2010 as approved at public hearing.
20. Use of an outdoor sound system shall be prohibited.
21. Outdoor lighting shall be shielded and directed away from residential areas to the south and west.
22. The 10-foot type "D" bufferyard along the street frontage shall contain the minimum plant densities required by code. A six-foot-high solid masonry wall and minimum 15-gallon trees shall be used in the south 10-foot type "D" bufferyard. The 15-gallon trees in the south bufferyard shall be of a variety which grows to less than 20 feet in height at maturity. A six-foot-high solid masonry wall shall be used in the west 10-foot type "D" bufferyard. The rear of the proposed building can be used as part of the west bufferyard wall.
23. The maximum building height shall be 15 feet, excluding the parapet for the CB-2 use.
24. Car wash operations for the CB-2 use shall be limited to between 7:30 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. Sale and rental office operations for the CB-2 use shall be limited to between 8:00 a.m. and 9:00 p.m.
25. The grade and elevation of the site shall not change. Building heights for CB-1 uses shall be limited to a maximum of 28 feet (including architectural elements) from the average existing grade of the property.
26. The existing sign shall be removed and replaced with a monument sign as required by Pima County.
27. Auto service bays shall open only to the north and east.
28. Deliveries, loading, idling, or similar operations shall not occur between 10:00 p.m. and 6:00 a.m. unless the activity occurs within a loading bay or on the street side of the building.
29. Cultural Resources condition:
A caution must be noted concerning human burials. In the event that human remains, including human skeletal remains, cremations, and/or ceremonial objects and funerary objects are found during excavation or construction, ground disturbing activities must cease in the immediate vicinity of the

discovery. State Laws ARS 41-865 and ARS 41-844 require that the Arizona State Museum be notified of the discovery at (520) 621-4795 so that appropriate arrangements can be made for the repatriation and reburial of the remains by cultural groups who claim cultural or religious affinity to them. The human remains will be removed from the site by a professional archaeologist pending consultation and review by the Arizona State Museum and the concerned cultural groups."

Tom Hudson, Zoning Administrator, provided a staff report. He stated that the uses on the site would be restricted to CB-1 even though the property was zoned CB-2. He informed the Board that there had been a deletion of use of the property for a church and requested that the use be allowed. He said that the only CB-2 use that would be permitted was the current one for auto sales which would be subject to the same operating restrictions and an additional requirement would be added that stated all auto service doors would open only to the north and east. Staff received sixteen protests, but a super majority vote of the Board was not required. Staff recommended approval with the amended rezoning modifications.

The Chairman inquired whether anyone wished to be heard. No one appeared.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Day, seconded by Supervisor Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing and approve Co9-00-35, Co9-93-08 and Co9-83-08, as modified, subject to conditions as recommended by staff and with the additional condition to eliminate the use of self-service car washes.

30. DEVELOPMENT SERVICES: REZONING CLOSURE/REZONING TIME EXTENSION

Co9-99-22, MCGARRY/BAUM/EIDAL, ET. AL. – VALENCIA ROAD REZONING

- A. Proposal to close a rezoning of approximately 11.50 acres from CR-3 (Single Residence) to CB-2 (General Business) and approximately 4.32 acres from CB-2 to CB-2 ® (Restricted) located on the northwest corner of the intersection of Valencia Road and Benson Highway. This rezoning was conditionally approved on March 21, 2000 and received a five-year time extension in 2005. The rezoning expired March 21, 2010. Staff recommends AGAINST CLOSURE. (District 2)

- B. Request of McGarry/Baum/Eidal, et. al. represented by Stantec Consulting, Inc. for a five-year time extension for the above-referenced rezoning. The rezoning is approximately 11.50 acres from CR-3 (Single Residence) to CB-2 (General Business) and approximately 4.32 acres from CB-2 to CB-2 ® (Restricted) located on the northwest corner of the intersection of Valencia Road and Benson Highway. This rezoning was conditionally approved on March 21, 2000 and received a five-year time extension in 2005. The rezoning expired March 21, 2010. Staff recommends APPROVAL OF THE FIVE-YEAR TIME EXTENSION REQUEST WITH MODIFIED STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS. (District 2)

1. One development plan shall be submitted for the entire rezoning. Phased development of the site is permitted, provided however:
 - A. Each phase shall adhere to the development plan presented at the public hearing on the case.
 - B. Each phase shall provide to the satisfaction of the County Development Review Division for shared completion either through actual construction or financial contributions in lieu of construction, of all transportation and other required infrastructure improvements.
 - ~~C. The required dedication for Valencia Road shall occur at the time of development plan approval for Phase I.~~
 2. Recording of a covenant holding Pima County harmless in the event of flooding.
 3. Recording of the necessary development related covenants as determined appropriate by the various County agencies.
 4. Provision of development related assurances as required by the appropriate agencies.
 5. Prior to the preparation of the development related covenants and any required dedication, a title report (current to within 60 days) evidencing ownership of the property shall be submitted to the Department of Transportation, Real Property Division.
 6. There shall be no further lot splitting or subdividing of residential development without the written approval of the Board of Supervisors.
 7. Transportation conditions:
 - A. ~~A Traffic Impact Analysis for the project area roads including the Valencia Road/Interstate 10 interchange shall be provided by the property owner(s) for review and approval by the Pima County Department of Transportation and Flood Control District (DOT/FCD) and the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT). The limits of study and scope of work shall be determined by DOT/FCD and ADOT. The results of the study will be used to establish the need for roadway improvements by the property owner(s) to area roads impacted by the proposed development. The property owner(s) / developer(s) shall provide improvements and/or financial contributions as determined necessary by the Pima County and Arizona Departments of Transportation.~~
 - ~~B. The property owner(s) shall reach a financial contribution and/or construction agreement (Development Agreement) with and acceptable to the Department of Transportation and Flood Control District prior to any development plan or subdivision plat approvals. Said agreement shall address the construction of needed area roadway improvements, including the signalization of the Benson Highway/Valencia Road intersection and/or a fair share financial contribution for the needed improvements to the area roads impacted by the proposed development.~~
 - CB. Access to Benson Highway and Valencia Road shall be limited to a maximum of three access points for each road. The location and design of the access points shall need the approval of the Department of Transportation at the time of the submittal of a development plan or subdivision plat for any portion of the subject property.
 - ~~D. Property owner(s) shall dedicate 25 feet of right of way for the north half right of way of Valencia Road adjacent to the subject property.~~
 - EC. Internal pedestrian and vehicular access shall be provided within the entire rezoning site (including the self-storage) to reduce access driveways to both Benson Highway and Valencia Road.
 8. Flood Control conditions:
 - A. Drainage shall not be altered, disturbed or obstructed without the written approval of the Pima County Regional Flood Control District.
 - B. ~~All internal drainage improvements and any external drainage improvements required to mitigate drainage impacts caused by the proposed development shall be constructed at no cost to Pima County. A drainage study shall be submitted for review and approval that addresses the impacts of development to the federally mapped floodplain and local area drainage.~~
 - C. The property owner shall comply with detention/retention conditions and restrictions, or provide an in-lieu fee, as stated in the Floodplain Management Ordinance.
 - D. The property owner(s) shall provide all necessary on-site and off-site drainage related improvements at no cost to Pima County that are needed as a result of the proposed development of the subject property. The location, design and construction of said improvements shall be subject to the approval of the Flood Control District.

- E. The property owner(s) shall contact the Flood Control District to determine whether a Conditional Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR) and a Letter of Map Revision (LOMR) are required due to the impact of the federally mapped floodplain (FEMA) on the proposed development.
- F. All-weather access shall be provided to all lots to meet concurrency requirements.
- G. A riparian mitigation plan shall be required for development in designated riparian areas.
9. Wastewater Management condition:
The property owner must connect to the public sewer system at the location and in the manner specified by Wastewater Management at the time of review of the tentative plat, development plan or request for building permit.
10. If during land modifying activities, cultural remains, including human remains, are discovered, work in the vicinity of the discovery shall cease and the Pima County Cultural Resources Manager shall be consulted. If Pima County determines that an archaeological inventory is needed, such an inventory and any subsequent mitigation that may be required, will be conducted in accordance with the Standard and Special Requirements for Archaeological Sites.
11. Adherence to the preliminary development plan as approved at public hearing (~~EXHIBIT B~~).
12. Environmental Planning conditions:
- ~~A. Unless Development Services is provided with information from the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service which indicates a site survey is not necessary, the site shall be surveyed for the presence/absence of the cactus ferruginous pygmy owl by an entity qualified to perform biological surveys and who possesses a valid permit from the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service to perform such surveys. Surveys shall be done according to the most current protocol approved by the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service. Or, as an alternative to contacting the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, heavy construction activity shall occur only between August 1 and January 31 of any given calendar year. However, if surveys are performed, results of these surveys and copies of any data collected shall be provided to Development Services.~~
- ~~B. Unless Development Services is provided with information from the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, which indicates a site survey is not necessary, the site shall be surveyed for Pima pineapple cactus. The survey shall be conducted by an entity qualified to perform biological surveys. Surveys shall be done according to the most recent protocol approved by the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service. A report containing the results of these surveys and copies of any data collected shall be provided to Development Services. If Pima pineapple cactus are found to be present on the project site, a copy of the report shall also be sent to the Arizona Game & Fish Department's Heritage Data Management System.~~
- ~~C. Under no circumstances shall the following exotic plant species be planted anywhere on the site:
Fountain grass (*Pennisetum setaceum*)
Buffelgrass (*Pennisetum ciliare*)
Johnson grass (*Sorghum halapense*)
Giant reed (*Arundo donax*)
Common crabgrass (*Digitaria sanguinalis*) Pampas grass (*Cortaderia selloana*)
Red brome (*Bromus rubens*)
Mediterranean grass (*Schismus spp.*)
Tree of heaven (*Ailanthus altissima*)
African sumac (*Rhus lancea*)
Russian olive (*Eleagnus angustifolia*)
Salt cedar/Tamarisk (*Tamarix portandra* & *T. ramosissima*)
Bermuda grass (*Cynodon dactylon*) excluding sod hybrid Bermuda
Lovegrasses (*Eragrostis spp.*) excluding Plains lovegrass (*Eragrostis intermedia*)
African rue (*Peganum harmala*)
Iceplant (*Mesembryanthemum crystallinum*)
Arabian Grass (*Schismus arabicus*)
Natal Grass (*Melinis repens* (= *Rhynchelythrum repens*))~~
- A. Upon the effective date of the Resolution, the owner(s)/developer(s) shall have a continuing responsibility to remove buffelgrass (*Pennisetum ciliare*) from the property. Acceptable methods of removal include chemical treatment, physical removal, or other known effective means of removal. This obligation also transfers to any future owners of property within the rezoning site and Pima County may enforce this rezoning condition against the current and any future property owner. Prior to issuance of the certificate of compliance, the owner(s)/developer(s) shall

- record a covenant, to run with the land, memorializing the terms of this condition.
- DB. The project site shall be inspected by a trained resource specialist for the presence of the Western burrowing owl. A report containing inspection results and dates on which inspections were conducted shall be provided to Pima County immediately upon completion of the inspection. This report must be received prior to approval of the development plan. If evidence is found substantiating the presence of Western burrowing owls on the project site, a copy of the report shall be sent to the Arizona Game & Fish Department's Heritage Data Management System.
13. Wastewater conditions:
- A. The owner / developer shall construe no action by Pima County as a commitment to provide sewer service to any new development within the rezoning area until Pima County executes an agreement with the owner / developer to that effect.
 - B. The owner / developer shall time all new development within the rezoning area to coincide with the availability of treatment and conveyance capacity in the downstream public sewerage system. The owner / developer shall obtain written documentation from the Pima County Wastewater Management Department that treatment and conveyance capacity is available for any new development within the rezoning area, no more than 90 days before submitting any tentative plat, development plan, sewer improvement plan or request for building permit for review. Should treatment and / or conveyance capacity not be available at that time, the owner / developer shall have the option of funding, designing and constructing the necessary improvements to Pima County's public sewerage system at his or her sole expense or cooperatively with other affected parties. All such improvements shall be designed and constructed as directed by the Pima County Wastewater Management Department.
 - C. The owner / developer shall connect all development within the rezoning area to Pima County's public sewer system at the location and in the manner specified by the Wastewater Management Department in its capacity response letter and as specified by the Development Services Department at the time of review of the tentative plat, development plan, sewer construction plan, or request for building permit.
 - D. The owner / developer shall fund, design and construct all off-site and on-site sewers necessary to serve the rezoning area, including obtaining all necessary off-site easements.
 - E. The owner / developer shall design and construct the off-site and on-site sewers to accommodate flow-through from any properties adjacent and up-gradient to the rezoning area that do not have adequate access to Pima County's public sewer system, in the manner specified at the time of review of the tentative plat, development plan, sewer construction plan or request for building permit.
 - F. The owner / developer shall complete the construction of all necessary public and/or private sewerage facilities as required by all applicable agreements with Pima County, and all applicable regulations, including the Clean Water Act and those promulgated by ADEQ, before treatment and conveyance capacity in the downstream public sewerage system will be permanently committed for any new development within the rezoning area.
14. Tucson Airport Authority condition:
An Aviation Easement shall be executed and recorded to cover the project area, in accordance with the requirements of the Tucson Airport Authority. The Aviation easement shall run with the property, and will served to educate future purchasers of potential aviation impacts.
15. In the event the subject property is annexed, the property owner shall adhere to all applicable rezoning conditions, including, but not limited to, development conditions which require financial contributions to, or construction of infrastructure, including without limitation, transportation, flood control, or sewer facilities.
16. The property owner shall execute and record the following disclaimer regarding Proposition 207 rights. "Property Owner acknowledges that neither the rezoning of the Property nor the conditions of rezoning give Property Owner any rights, claims or causes of action under the Private Property Rights Protection Act (Arizona Revised Statutes Title 12, chapter 8, article 2.1). To the extent that the rezoning or conditions of rezoning may be construed to give Property Owner to any rights or claims under the Private Property Rights Protection Act, Property Owner hereby waives any and all such rights and/or claims pursuant to A.R.S. §12-1134(l)."

Tom Hudson, Zoning Administrator, gave a staff report. He stated that no public comment had been received regarding this request and that staff recommended against closure and approval of the five-year time extension with modified standard and special conditions.

The Chairman inquired whether anyone wished to be heard. No one appeared.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Day and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing and approve Co-9-99-22 with staff recommendations against closure and approval of the five-year time extension with modified standard and special conditions.

31. DEVELOPMENT SERVICES: REZONING RESOLUTIONS

- A. RESOLUTION NO. 2010 – 130, Co7-10-01, South Wilmot Land Investors, L.L.C. – S. Wilmot Road Plan Amendment. Owner: South Wilmot Land Investors, L.L.C. (District 2)
- B. RESOLUTION NO. 2010 – 131, Co7-09-06, Tucson Unified School District – N. Sabino Canyon Road Plan Amendment. Owner: J. DeGrazia Company, L.L.C. (District 1)
- C. RESOLUTION NO. 2010 – 132, Co7-09-07, HSL Rio Cancion Properties, L.L.C. – N. La Cholla Blvd. Plan Amendment. Owner: HSL Rio Cancion Properties, L.L.C. (District 1)

The Chairman inquired whether anyone wished to be heard. No one appeared.

On consideration, it was moved Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearings and adopt Resolution Nos. 2010 - 130, 131 and 132.

32. TRANSPORTATION: TRAFFIC RESOLUTIONS

- A. RESOLUTION NO. 2010 – 133, of the Pima County Board of Supervisors, permitting the temporary closure of segments of Hoover Street and West Plaza Street in Ajo, Arizona, for the Fourth of July Parade. Staff recommends APPROVAL. (District 3)
- B. RESOLUTION NO. 2010 – 134, of the Pima County Board of Supervisors, permitting the temporary closure of segments of Arivaca Road in Arivaca, Arizona, for the Fourth of July Parade. Staff recommends APPROVAL. (District 3)

The Chairman inquired whether anyone wished to be heard. No one appeared.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearings and adopt Resolution Nos. 2010 - 133 and 134.

33. **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

- A. Barrio Hollywood Neighborhood Association was awarded \$4,000.00 from the City of Tucson Police Department to help pay for its 2010 Midnight Hoops Program which is operated out of the City's El Rio Neighborhood Center. It is requested that \$3,100.00 from Pima County Board of Supervisors Contingency Fund be allocated to complete the funding need for this program. (District 5)
- B. The Juneteenth Festival Committee is requesting \$2,000.00 from the Pima County Board of Supervisors Contingency Fund to help defray the costs of the three day 2010 Festival that is taking place this weekend. (Districts 2 and 5)

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Carroll and carried by a 4-1 vote, Supervisor Day voting "Nay," to approve the requests.

34. **COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR: MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN PIMA COUNTY AND SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION (SEIU)**

Staff requests the existing Memorandum of Understanding between Pima County and SEIU continue in effect through September 15, 2010, in order to provide adequate time for the Meet and Confer Committee to properly review, discuss and reach a recommendation.

The following individual addressed the Board:

Maya Castillo, President, SEIU Pima Chapter

Her comments were:

- A. SEIU was looking forward to the continued dialogue at Meet and Confer.
- B. It was hoped that a recommendation could be agreed upon sooner than September 15, 2010.

Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator, stated that due to the Board's summer meeting schedule he wanted to have enough time to agree upon the conditions.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the request.

35. **COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR: TRANSMITTAL OF QUARTERLY MANAGEMENT REPORT ON COLLECTIONS**

Staff recommends acceptance of the Quarterly Management Report on Collections for the period ending March 31, 2010, and approval of the write-off request in the amount of \$24,649.00.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the Quarterly Management Report on Collections.

36. **COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR: REVISION TO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS POLICY**

Staff requests approval of the proposed revision to Board of Supervisors Policy No. D29.1, Selection and Contracting of Architectural and Engineering Related Professional Services and Alternative Project Delivery Methods, under A.R.S. Title 34.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the policy revision.

37. **COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR: LARGE SCALE SOLAR FACILITIES**

RESOLUTION NO. 2010 – 135, of the Board of Supervisors, supporting the implementation of aggregated net metering and the development of large scale solar facilities on environmentally suitable lands.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to adopt Resolution No. 2010 - 135.

38. **BOARD, COMMISSION AND/OR COMMITTEE: LIBRARY ADVISORY BOARD**

Appointment of Shirley Geile to fill the unexpired term of Frank R. Antenori. Term expiration: 6/30/11. (District 4)

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the appointment.

39. **CONTRACT AND AWARD: COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR**

Humane Borders, Inc., to provide water distribution services in remote areas of Pima County, General Fund, contract amount \$22,500.00 (11-30-H-143025-0710)

The following individual addressed the Board:

Michael Hyatt, Humane Borders volunteer

His comments were:

- A. Human Borders provided services to save lives in the desert. He credited them with saving the life of an American woman in 2005, when she was stranded in the desert, saw the Humane Borders flag and waited there for help.
- B. The Board was thanked for their continued support of the program.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Bronson and carried by a 4-1 vote, Supervisor Day voting “Nay,” to approve the contract.

40. **CONTRACTS AND AWARDS**

A. **County Administrator**

1. United Way of Tucson and Southern Arizona, Inc., to provide professional services in organizing and operating the 2009 Employee Combined Appeal Program Campaign for the term 7/1/09 to 12/31/10, General Fund, contract amount \$70,244.29 (07-71-U-143024-0709)

B. **Fleet Services**

2. RESOLUTION NO. 2010 – 136, of the Board of Supervisors approving an Intergovernmental Agreement with the Arizona Department of Transportation, to provide motor vehicle fuel, State Fund, contract amount \$20,000.00 revenue (01-75-A-143014-0610)

C. **Health Department**

3. Community Food Bank, to provide for the expansion of local food production and distribution for the term 3/19/10 to 3/18/11, Federal Fund, contract amount \$811,553.00 (07-01-C-143029-0310)
4. YMCA of Southern Arizona, to provide for worksite wellness and team coordination for the term 3/19/10 to 3/18/11, Federal Fund, contract amount \$743,729.00 (07-01-Y-143030-0310)
5. United Way of Tucson and Southern Arizona, Inc., d.b.a. PRO Neighborhoods, to provide for neighborhood wellness initiatives for the term 3/19/10 to 3/18/11, Federal Fund, contract amount \$1,404,951.00 (07-01-U-143031-0310)

D. **Information Technology**

6. Cricket Communications, Inc., Amendment No. 1, to provide for the use of the communication tower at Pima Nanini Government Center and to upgrade, replace and install new equipment at the site, no cost (12-14-C-143019-0401)
7. Sprint Spectrum, L.P., Amendment No. 3, to provide a Non-Exclusive Right-of-Way Use License Agreement for wireless communication facilities and amend contractual language, General Fund, contract amount \$198,922.73 revenue/5 year term (12-14-U-140045-0600)

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the contracts and to adopt Resolution No. 2010 - 136.

41. CONTRACTS AND AWARDS: INSTITUTIONAL HEALTH

- A. RESOLUTION NO. 2010 – 137, of the Board of Supervisors approving an Intergovernmental Agreement with the Arizona Board of Regents on behalf of the University of Arizona and a new corporation being formed that will be associated with the University of Arizona College of Medicine, to provide for the operation and funding of a hospital on the Kino Campus as part of the University of Arizona College of Medicine Graduate Medical Education Program, for the term 6/15/10 to 6/15/29, General Fund, contract amount \$43,416,672.00 (01-65-A-143027-0610)
- B. University Physicians Healthcare, Amendment No. 6, to provide a Lease Agreement and add a parcel of land to be used as a heliport on the Kino Campus, for the term 6/15/10 to 6/15/29, no cost (04-65-U-134151-0604)

Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator, explained that these items related to the continuing evolution of Kino Hospital. He felt this merger was a positive step in providing stability to the community regarding medical and health services as well as continuing to expand the opportunities for medical education.

Supervisor Day felt there were issues that still needed to be addressed and would have preferred having discussions about the hospital with the Arizona Board of Regents and University Physicians Hospital before being asked to make a decision. She stated that she was not going to be rushed into a decision and her vote was based on her opposition of the process regarding these items.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Bronson and carried by a 3-2 vote, Supervisors Carroll and Day voting “Nay,” to approve the contracts and adopt Resolution No. 2010 - 137.

42. CONTRACTS AND AWARDS

A. Natural Resources Parks and Recreation

- 1. Championship Sports, L.L.C., Amendment 1, to provide for the operation and administration of leagues and tournaments at Mike Jacobs Sports Park and amend scope of work, no cost (11-05-C-142668-0110)

B. Procurement

Awards

2. Direct Select, Award of Contract for Requisition No. 1002188, to Sebesta Blomberg and Associates, Inc., (Headquarters: Roseville, MN) for retrocommissioning/ engineering services to design and manage the upgrade of the central plant hot water/chilled water delivery system to the downtown County Governmental Complex in an amount not to exceed \$261,152.00. The contract will be for a 17 1/2 month period to be extended as required for project completion. Funding Source: Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant – 2009 American Recovery and Reinvestment Act Fund. Administering Department: Facilities Management.
3. Award of three job order contracts for Requisition No. 1001837, to the following companies for site development services for the Pima County Wireless Integrated Network Land Mobile Radio System in the amount of \$2,000,000.00 each for a five year term with no renewal options. Funding Source: 2004 Bond Fund. Administering Department: Office of Strategic Technology Planning.

<u>Contractor</u>	<u>Headquarters</u>
Global Tower Service, Inc.	Woodland,WA
International Towers, Inc.	Tucson, AZ
Patriot Towers, Inc.	Scottsdale, AZ

C. Regional Wastewater Reclamation Department

4. MWH Constructors, Inc., Amendment No. 7, to provide construction manager-at-risk services for the Ina Road Water Pollution Control Facility Capacity and Effluent Quality Upgrade and amend contractual language, RWRD Obligations, contract amount \$13,325,037.00. (03-03-M-141162-0808)
5. Greeley and Hansen, L.L.C., Amendment No. 7, to provide program management services for Regional Optimization Master Plan (ROMP) implementation and amend contractual language, RWRD Obligations, contract amount \$130,410.00 (07-03-G-140586-0108)
6. Greeley and Hansen, L.L.C., Amendment No. 8, to provide program management services for Regional Optimization Master Plan (ROMP) implementation and extend contract term to 6/30/13, RWRD Obligations, contract amount \$4,500,000.00 (07-03-G-140586-0108)
7. CH2M Hill, Inc., Amendment No. 7, to provide design engineering services for the Ina Road Water Pollution Control Facility Capacity and Effluent Quality Upgrade Project and amend contractual language, RWRD Obligations, contract amount \$703,571.00 (16-03-C-140877-0208)

D. Sheriff's Department

8. Southern Arizona Rescue Association, Inc., to provide a location for storage of equipment used by the Pima County Sheriff in search and rescue activities, Federal Fund, contract amount \$25,000.00 (11-11-S-143032-0610)

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the contracts and awards.

43. DIVISION OF ELECTIONS

- A. Pursuant to A.R.S. §16-464B, drawing for the ballot position of candidate names for the following contested positions of Republican Precinct Committeeman for Precincts 199, 203, 301, 302, 367 and 385 and Green Precinct Committeeman for Precincts 044, 045 and 320:
Supervisor Carroll drew names for ballot positions as follows:

Precinct 199: Republican Party

1. Moffett, Sally
2. Paton, John D.
3. Cox, Garland D.
4. Miller, Jay K.
5. Miller, Margaret A.
6. Billheimer, Steven J.
7. Moffett, Chuck

Precinct 301: Republican Party

1. Evans, Nancy V.
2. Parker, Ophelia S.
3. Johnson, Emery Peter
4. Munger, John F.
5. Evans, Frank W.

Precinct 367: Republican Party

1. McClure, Marian A.
2. Greenlee, Nancy L.
3. Schneider, Parralee M.
4. Herron, Greg R.
5. Bubany, Chris K.
6. Schneider, Michael M.

Precinct 044: Green Party

1. Stewart, David E.
2. Croteau, Dave

Precinct 320: Green Party

1. Ellquist, Claudia
2. Sparks, Deanie A.

Precinct 203: Republican Party

1. Junker, Leonard
2. Junker, Lawrence A.
3. Markhart, William T.
4. Marcantel, Jerry

Precinct 302: Republican Party

1. Lockwood, Beverly A.
2. Clodfelter, Karla K.
3. Mulligan, Kevin M.
4. Clodfelter, Todd A.
5. Lockwood, Richard L.
6. Douglas, Sam J.

Precinct 385: Republican Party

1. Mondello, Leona R..
2. Rego, Marilyn M.
3. Friedericy, Joyce J.
4. Smith, George D.
5. Ottoboni-Gilmore, Geri M.
6. Antliff, John C.
7. Camp, Patricia L.
8. Mondello, Albert P.

Precinct 045: Green Party

1. Piasecki, Barbara M.
2. Woloshin, Jim

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the ballot positions.

- B. Staff requests cancellation of those uncontested elections for Precinct Committeeman on the August 24, 2010, Primary Election ballot and appointment of those who filed nomination petitions or write-in nomination papers as listed:

<u>PARTY</u>	<u>PRECINCT</u>	<u>BALLOT NAME</u>
DEM	001	Ducic, Richard W.
DEM	001	Klinefelter, Clarence D.
DEM	001	Langer, Cheryl A.
DEM	001	Yokum, Carol A.
DEM	008	Wishnick, Kathleen
DEM	010	Crouch, Joanne C.
DEM	013	Reavis, Bob
DEM	014	McConnell, Thomas R.
DEM	016	Altman, Kathy
DEM	016	Schwartz, Ivy L.
DEM	017	Hansen, Michael J.
DEM	017	Wagner, Gregory M.
DEM	019	Holloway, Murdock E.
DEM	023	Garcia, Isabel G.
DEM	029	Clymer, Brian I.
DEM	030	Barber, Kathleen A.S.
DEM	030	Bennet-Alder, Evalyn
DEM	030	Carson, Donald W.
DEM	030	Spangler, Sandra
DEM	034	Cox, Catherine B.
DEM	034	Rumba, Jonathon
DEM	034	Rumba, Susan
DEM	037	Hannley, James P.
DEM	039	Montoya, Paul F.
DEM	040	Oler, Christine Lee
DEM	041	DeLarge, Connie
DEM	042	Bolger, Mary "Katie"
DEM	042	Jones, J. Lisa
DEM	042	Romero, Emily
DEM	042	Trappman, Suzanne
DEM	044	Kromko, John
DEM	044	Ramirez, Cesar
DEM	044	Shestko-Montiel, Lupita
DEM	045	Bagwell, Keith
DEM	045	Jensen, Caitlin
DEM	045	Leal, Kresta
DEM	045	Leal, Sandra
DEM	045	Prezelski, Tom
DEM	045	Trowbridge, Carolyn R.
DEM	046	Heinz, Matt
DEM	046	Hiller, Kathleen M.
DEM	046	Kerrihard, Joanne
DEM	046	Patterson, Daniel R.
DEM	046	Rhoades, Diana K.
DEM	046	Schaffer, Jeneiene M.
DEM	052	Herran, Peter B.
DEM	053	Guerra, Gilbert A.

DEM	056	Jacobson, Katherine
DEM	056	Stewart, Patsy E.
DEM	058	Aboud, Paula A.
DEM	058	Berg, Terri L.
DEM	060	Sultan, Tim
DEM	061	Poore, Pat
DEM	062	Delgado, Blanca C.
DEM	063	Silverburg, Ash
DEM	063	Silverburg, Barbara A.
DEM	063	Simon, Peggy
DEM	064	Gebrekidane, Ezekiel A.
DEM	066	Liggins, Betty J.
DEM	067	Wangler, Donna E.
DEM	070	Golden, Phyllis R.
DEM	073	Bhattacharya, Runa
DEM	073	Hughes, Alison M.
DEM	073	Rosenblatt, Simon J.
DEM	074	Downing, La Vancha
DEM	074	Farley, Steve
DEM	074	McClure, Stephen J.
DEM	076	Marchand, Chad S.
DEM	076	Prezelski, Ted
DEM	076	Sanders, Wood
DEM	077	Powers, Pamela J.
DEM	078	Hartmann, Gayle G.
DEM	078	Rothschild, Jonathan
DEM	079	Arnold, Tom
DEM	079	Dittemore, Diane D.
DEM	079	Wheeler, Bruce
DEM	080	May, Felicia S.
DEM	081	Reynolds, Sandra Lee
DEM	084	De La Torre, Laura E.
DEM	084	Flores, Eddie M.
DEM	084	Monzon, Mario A.
DEM	086	Leaf, Arlene L.
DEM	087	Puig, Patricia A.
DEM	088	Prileson, Jennifer G.
DEM	089	Chan, Carmin M.
DEM	089	Miller, George
DEM	089	Miller, Roslyn G.
DEM	090	Hilts, Paul W.
DEM	090	Rose, Juliana U.
DEM	091	Giffords, Gabrielle
DEM	092	Ordaz, Judith
DEM	093	Almy, Sam
DEM	093	Lim, Elaine T.
DEM	094	Hunter, M. Beth
DEM	094	Merola-Kennedy, Andrea N.
DEM	095	DeLay, Karen K.
DEM	095	Jordan, Cynthia
DEM	096	Curley, Edward F.
DEM	097	Higuera, David R.
DEM	097	Hunter, Cecilia Aros
DEM	097	Newsome, Samuel E.
DEM	097	Selby, Robert M.
DEM	097	Williams, Barbara
DEM	099	Hilliard, Emily B.

DEM	099	Newman, Sondra
DEM	102	Barber, Ron S.
DEM	105	Celaya, Billie J.
DEM	106	Prezelski, Carmen V.
DEM	106	Schulman, Becky
DEM	107	Bates, Deanna (Dee)
DEM	108	Gordy, Michael
DEM	109	Cunningham, George
DEM	109	Steelink, Cornelius
DEM	109	Tucker, Michael
DEM	110	Kirschner, Barry
DEM	113	Soltero, John
DEM	113	Soltero, Julia M.
DEM	116	Bertschy, Kenneth L.
DEM	117	Jaster, Christine
DEM	117	Jaster, Jim R.
DEM	120	Cook, Lurline E.
DEM	120	Riegel, Lori A.
DEM	120	Storrs, Brittni M.
DEM	123	Rodgers, Cheryl A.
DEM	123	Smith, Mary Ann
DEM	127	Dutiel, Curtis R.
DEM	128	Olson, Kathy S.
DEM	128	Olson, Paul C.
DEM	130	Critchley Sr., James E.
DEM	130	Gundersen, Dianne M.
DEM	131	White, Roger A.
DEM	132	Kopec, Matthew A.
DEM	134	Diaz, Patricia C.
DEM	134	Diaz, Paul S.
DEM	141	Bender, Ruth M.
DEM	141	Cox, Richard W.
DEM	141	DeLange, Mary G.
DEM	141	Ernst, Rick
DEM	141	Sychuk, Alma C.
DEM	142	Cirrincione, Laura A.
DEM	142	Haney, Claudette I.
DEM	142	Hannum, Eraline T.
DEM	142	Webb, Jane P.
DEM	142	Wood, Jane
DEM	143	Pawlowski, Vincent M.
DEM	145	Kinsey, Adam D.
DEM	145	Nevarez, Deyanira
DEM	148	Gardiner, Cynthia P.
DEM	148	Schwindt, Mary
DEM	148	Tucker, Sue
DEM	155	DeConcini, Susie
DEM	155	Eckerstrom, Paul
DEM	155	Slaughter, Carolyn O.
DEM	159	Lopez, Linda
DEM	159	Rabago, Lorraine
DEM	161	Manzi, Dante A.
DEM	163	Jorgensen, Donald G.
DEM	164	Broan, Andrew
DEM	164	Sidhwa, Mohur
DEM	164	Warren, Barbara H.
DEM	165	Cox, Dustin C.

DEM	165	Ellis, Sam J.
DEM	165	Mach, Stefanie M.
DEM	166	Burns IV, William P.
DEM	167	Cramer, Rebecca
DEM	168	Marcoe, Sydnie
DEM	170	Bacal, Eva
DEM	170	Bacal, Martin
DEM	170	Rogers, Jeff
DEM	174	Gooden, M. Annell
DEM	174	King, Paula L.
DEM	174	Matteson, Barbara
DEM	174	Matteson, John
DEM	178	Evans-Reitz, Nora
DEM	178	Hatfield, Linda L.
DEM	179	Johnson, Henry C.
DEM	179	Theobald, Joe L.
DEM	181	Leatherman, Linda C.
DEM	181	Newton, Suzanne M.
DEM	183	Pickens, Marion L.
DEM	185	Branch-Gilby, Donna K.
DEM	185	Gilby, Bob
DEM	185	Whitaker, Barbara
DEM	186	Cuevas, Tom
DEM	189	Clark, Elaine A.
DEM	189	Clark, Robert F.
DEM	190	Harmon, Carmella S.
DEM	191	Wallingford, Ward W.
DEM	193	Borges, Carol J.
DEM	194	Blackwell, Jean A.
DEM	194	Miller, Dean J.
DEM	199	Bergen, Franklyn J.
DEM	199	Bergen, Patricia C.
DEM	199	San Angelo, Denise A.
DEM	202	Cuyugan, Imelda V.
DEM	202	Ditto, Douglas I.
DEM	205	Zirkle, Howard B.
DEM	206	Benedict, Ruth L.
DEM	206	Daugherty, Marla R.
DEM	206	Webb, Rosa M.
DEM	206	Yahn, Sandra L.
DEM	207	Gerganoff, Doris
DEM	210	Barby, Paul
DEM	210	Becskehazy, Cathleen
DEM	210	Becskehazy, Peter T.
DEM	211	Fischer-Williams, Joy S.
DEM	212	Durham, Paul W.
DEM	212	O'Hare, John E.
DEM	213	Landau, Pierre M.
DEM	213	Peterson, Katharine (Katya) K.
DEM	215	Lawrence, Jeffrey G.
DEM	215	Marshall, Sherryn "Vikki"
DEM	217	Quinn, Linda
DEM	221	Hogan, Laura
DEM	221	Hogan, William
DEM	226	Clymer, John T.
DEM	227	Drozdooff, Martin
DEM	228	Akeson, Harvey O.

DEM	230	O'Hare, Mark
DEM	230	Stair, John L.
DEM	231	Markkula, Lisa
DEM	231	Martin, Clifford P.
DEM	231	McDonald, Benjamin D.
DEM	233	Bronson, Sharon
DEM	233	Harte, Vivian E.
DEM	237	Mathis, Christopher H.
DEM	237	Shapiro, Eve
DEM	238	Adams, John C.
DEM	238	Safier, David
DEM	238	Safier, Joan
DEM	239	Nagle, Judy C.
DEM	239	Nagle, Robert A.
DEM	241	Mich Jr., Alex
DEM	241	Mich, Paula C.
DEM	249	Knepp, Elizabeth A.
DEM	254	Dorgan, Patricia A.
DEM	254	Gall, Steve J.
DEM	254	Spier, Mariana
DEM	260	Bengtson, Peter Y.
DEM	260	Olbert, John R.
DEM	260	Russell, Carl E.
DEM	261	Hall, Catalina
DEM	262	Pickett, Joseph F.
DEM	262	Pound, Patricia A.
DEM	262	Wiley, Karen B.
DEM	265	Halstead, Celia A.
DEM	265	Halstead, Dale H.
DEM	265	Pope, Georgia N.
DEM	266	Dussor, Jennifer
DEM	267	Hallinan, Rosemary
DEM	267	Rubin, Marshall
DEM	269	Dyson, Leroy
DEM	269	Dyson, Mary Jane A.
DEM	269	Kerr, Mark R.
DEM	270	Cajero Bedford, Olivia
DEM	270	Graham, K.K.
DEM	270	Risner, Bill
DEM	274	Kalthoff, Wilda J.
DEM	274	Scheinkman, Tracy S.
DEM	282	Andreatta, Shirley A.
DEM	283	Voyatzis, Angel H.
DEM	284	Hasbrook, Jeanne B.
DEM	284	Vomaska, Sandra
DEM	286	Sowerwine, Martha
DEM	287	Escobar, Georgette
DEM	288	Abbuhl, Lynne M.
DEM	288	Gockel, Dimitrios P.
DEM	289	Howard, Byron
DEM	289	Rodriguez, F. Ann
DEM	289	Wright, Barbara M.
DEM	290	Hudson, Mac M.
DEM	290	Johnson, David Hoyt
DEM	290	Perri, Matt
DEM	292	Ossipov, Michael H.
DEM	297	Hosea, David L.

DEM	297	Pierce, Peggy A.
DEM	297	Richards, Bonnie M.
DEM	299	Cain, Barbara M.
DEM	299	Glassman, Rodney B.
DEM	299	Glassman, Sasha
DEM	299	Muney, Shirley G.
DEM	299	Rothman, Martha K.
DEM	301	Schwartz, Robert (Bob)
DEM	302	Larsen, Steven F.
DEM	305	Schneider Sr., Clifford R.
DEM	310	Ahrens, Mary Ann
DEM	314	Dalessandro, Andrea
DEM	314	Dalessandro, Andrew J.
DEM	314	Osburn, Richard M.
DEM	318	Hellring, Sidney
DEM	319	Elsner, Michael C.
DEM	320	Tellman, Barbara
DEM	320	Yoakum, John M.
DEM	326	Marcus, Walter
DEM	328	Berkman, Vera
DEM	328	Coach, Kent E.
DEM	328	Daly, Angharad S.
DEM	328	Lundstrom, Erik J.
DEM	328	Rosman, Irwin –Ace-
DEM	329	Clarke, Von H.
DEM	329	Olvera, David A.
DEM	330	Shacter, Francine E.
DEM	331	Bieniecki, Henry S.
DEM	331	Wiedhopf, Patricia A.
DEM	332	Rigg, Pat
DEM	334	Pecoraro, Patrick (Pat) G.
DEM	334	Wagner, Mary-Carol
DEM	334	Williams, Joel E.
DEM	337	Cooper, Mildred (Millie)
DEM	338	Gray, Carlyne N.
DEM	340	Mabry, Donna K.
DEM	341	Latas, Jeffrey L.
DEM	342	Swiencicki, Marge
DEM	346	Young Wright, Nancy
DEM	347	Hartman, Jeff
DEM	348	Knipe, Luke O.
DEM	351	Marcus, Janet
DEM	353	Decker, Randolph “Randy” K.
DEM	357	Kret, Donald B.
DEM	358	Killeen, Kathy L.
DEM	364	Saradnik, Lena
DEM	364	Scott, Rex E.
DEM	369	Foss, Kristiana
DEM	370	Dayton, Christine K.
DEM	370	Dayton, Michael L.
DEM	372	Dorian, Nancy W.
DEM	372	Woodbrey, Connie E.
DEM	372	Woodbrey, James C.
DEM	381	Carlson, Mary K.
DEM	382	Maass, Katherine H.
DEM	382	Nine, Connie L.
DEM	385	Love, Benjamin F.

DEM	386	Kinsman, Patricia G.
DEM	386	Pastryk, Kathleen M.
DEM	389	Canady, Patricia L.
DEM	392	Dvoskin, Diane M.
DEM	393	Mixon, Andre P.
DEM	406	Cozad, Robert J.
DEM	407	Shaffer, Windy E.
DEM	414	Jay, DeeDee D.
GRN	057	Spencer, Andrew P.
GRN	059	DeCamp, Mary H.
GRN	060	Brill, Scott
GRN	074	Duncan, Cynthia F.
GRN	091	McGarry, Michael W.
GRN	100	Sutton, Katherine
GRN	103	Kold, Jhan T.
GRN	125	Farwell, Richard R.
GRN	145	Baker, Beryl
GRN	154	Solberg, Kent A.H.
GRN	166	Waldron, Jack T.
GRN	168	Merchant, Douglas C.
GRN	213	Cease, Michael E.
GRN	231	Holsen, Jeffrey G.
GRN	244	Ewoldt, Allison W.
GRN	245	Irvin, Charles
GRN	246	Lawson, Elise R.
GRN	317	Chilton, Nancy H.
GRN	344	Flax, Katherine (Kate)
GRN	356	Moates, Jacqueline C.
LBT	284	Saber, Ingrid
LBT	329	Glenn, Ted Louis
REP	005	Schmugge, Peter
REP	005	Wilcox, Brook E.
REP	009	Bales, Jeffrey D.
REP	010	Davis Jr., Peter J.
REP	012	Busch, Janet
REP	012	Hershberger, Freddy
REP	012	Stump-Green, Jane B.
REP	013	Parisi, Paul D.
REP	013	Shaw, Michael O.
REP	018	Egan, Scott D.
REP	021	Casserly, John T.
REP	028	Neimi, Dorothy Prater
REP	028	Niemi, Mikki E.
REP	035	Pfeiffer, John
REP	045	Schanel, Scott
REP	049	Ciscomani, Juan
REP	049	Ciscomani, Laura
REP	054	Hershman Jr., Boyd E.
REP	055	Nagel, James A.
REP	058	Froehlich, Diana L.
REP	058	White, Judi
REP	060	Lofffield, Anne F.
REP	064	Collins, Stacey
REP	065	Bell, Tanner C.
REP	066	Maresca, Sylvia M.
REP	068	MacArthur, Penny
REP	071	Dew, David E.

REP	079	Meyer, Bjorn L.
REP	083	Davies, Virginia A.
REP	088	Davis, Vicki
REP	088	Harsha, Nancy Gunn
REP	088	Tumpes, Sandra S.
REP	089	Jamison, Suzanne H.
REP	089	Massee, James L.
REP	091	Curtis, George H.
REP	092	Williams, Walter H.
REP	093	Gaddy, Norma J.
REP	093	Hahn, Anny
REP	095	Maxwell, Paula
REP	095	Wolff, Marian E.
REP	099	Carroll, Ray
REP	100	Venne, Marilyn R.
REP	101	Walters, Gregory S.
REP	102	Dougherty, Dan
REP	106	Ash, Bruce I.
REP	106	Eppihimer, David W.
REP	107	Harris, Greg P.
REP	107	Walker, Lester L.
REP	109	Bliss, David A.
REP	112	Hurst, Dale E.
REP	112	Hurst, Gwen J.
REP	115	Ripley, Phyllis A.
REP	115	Ripley, Thomas K.
REP	116	Hallstrom, David E.
REP	116	Quinn, Michelle A.
REP	117	Goldin, Sarah B.
REP	121	Washburn, Bill L.
REP	125	Baker, L. Ralph
REP	125	Baker, Mary Ann
REP	125	Hoefflerle, David D.
REP	125	Hoefflerle, Rena S.
REP	126	Augst, Leon F.
REP	126	Augst, Rose
REP	126	Oien, Lori A.
REP	127	Moerkerken, Adriana
REP	131	Marsh, Paul G.
REP	132	Streichen, Eric David
REP	145	Aguilar, Olga C.
REP	146	Compton, Robert I.
REP	148	Olivieri, Frank R.
REP	152	Barber, Linda L.
REP	152	Barber, Major J.
REP	153	Mercer, Edwin W.
REP	153	Mercer, Gabriela S.
REP	163	Pemberton, Bart C.
REP	168	Johnson, Gerald E.
REP	168	Nightingale, Sharon A.
REP	171	Miller, Edward E.
REP	172	Hill, Jeffrey J.
REP	172	Hill, Patricia G.
REP	174	Schultz, Philip L.
REP	178	Tenney, Lewis L.
REP	179	Withey, Lyn M.
REP	180	Arnold, William "Bill"

REP	182	Sanford, Edwin A.
REP	183	Reuter, Wendy M.
REP	184	Blevins, David R.
REP	184	Blevins, Lauren H.
REP	184	Kellison, Ann L.
REP	184	Kellison, Ron R.
REP	186	Brenner, Barney
REP	186	Brenner, Sharon
REP	187	Newborn, Douglas J.
REP	188	Baiocchetti, Eileen A.
REP	188	Baiocchetti, Vincent A.
REP	189	Krino, Gregory J.
REP	191	Bathen, Barbara J.
REP	191	Bathen, Tim
REP	193	Niwa, Debra K.
REP	193	Pine, Dan L.
REP	194	Egan, Jacquelynn "Jackie" R.
REP	194	Leist, Anita L.
REP	194	Spencer, Laura L.
REP	198	Kilburn, Pat E.
REP	198	Kilburn, Victoria K.
REP	198	Whittemore, Susan Lee
REP	200	Page, Rebecca (Becky) J.
REP	202	Williams, Vic
REP	205	Lundell, Glenn H.
REP	205	Mayes, Sue O.
REP	205	Newman, Richard G.
REP	206	Lewelling, James D.
REP	206	McGibbon, Bill
REP	206	McGibbon, Micaela
REP	207	Buchanan, Despina (Penny)
REP	207	Heller, Russell M.
REP	207	Ross, Imogene J.
REP	210	Anderson, Donna L.
REP	210	Anderson, Waldo K.
REP	211	Hein, Barbara K.
REP	211	Hein, David W.
REP	212	Asta, Ron
REP	214	Uhlig, Merle Charles
REP	214	Uhlig, Vivian Ruth
REP	216	Townsend, Kathryn D.
REP	216	Townsend, William Casey
REP	219	Burns, Janay M.
REP	219	Burns, Jennifer J.
REP	219	Burns, John T.
REP	220	Beatty, Patricia J.
REP	220	Cohen, Cameron L.
REP	220	Spann, Rebecca A.
REP	226	Leroy, Curtiss E.
REP	226	McCurdy, Linda K.
REP	227	Moehring, Mona A.
REP	227	Moehring, Raymon L.
REP	227	Tims, Demetra
REP	227	Tims, Robert E.
REP	229	Hellon, Mike
REP	229	McCall, Catherine L.
REP	229	McCall, Jay J.

REP	233	Chewning, Sirkku "Sarah" H.
REP	233	Hough, Harold J.
REP	233	Manning, Chester C.
REP	237	Cooney, Shirley E.
REP	237	Heald, Jack M.
REP	237	Rice, Carl
REP	237	Rice, Jervis A.
REP	237	Rigoli, Julio A.
REP	238	Powell, Grace L.
REP	238	Powell, Richard H.
REP	239	Lewis, Dorothy M.
REP	241	Clements, Neil C.
REP	241	Clements, Virginia T.
REP	242	Hurley, Levoy
REP	242	Stern, Pamela "Penny" H.
REP	243	Ferrell, Rocky
REP	246	Merheb, Sabbah O.
REP	246	Stephenson, Anne
REP	246	Stephenson, Walter N.
REP	247	Pedersen, Curt A.
REP	253	Rineer, Kenneth
REP	254	Vogt, Ted
REP	255	Edwards, Bernice M.
REP	257	Buck, Clarine "Tinka" G.
REP	257	Hancock, Carole L.
REP	257	Hancock, Robert L.
REP	258	Jacome, Alex
REP	258	Magnuson, Cheryl A.
REP	259	Aragon, Claire
REP	261	Peyton, Laura L.
REP	264	Gibbons, Nancy
REP	265	Holt, David C.B.
REP	265	Schutte, Karen M.
REP	266	Roudebush, Vernon M.
REP	266	Tirrell, John "Jack" A.
REP	266	West, Cencritia C.
REP	267	Lenhard, Robert E.
REP	269	Anderson, John G.
REP	270	Munoz, Lou
REP	270	Spitzer, Leonard N.
REP	274	Shumaker, Charlene F.
REP	275	Erchull, Dave
REP	275	Westerman, Jo A.
REP	275	Westerman, Robert
REP	276	Sposito, Doug
REP	278	Cole, Michael A.
REP	279	Larson, Laura L.
REP	279	Larson, Scott M.
REP	280	Herring, Cheryl
REP	280	Herring, Kevin
REP	284	Hellon, Toni
REP	289	Myers, Terry L.
REP	289	Wagemaker, David I.
REP	289	Wagner, Karen
REP	292	Ciscomani, Alessandra
REP	296	Kelley, James H.
REP	298	Woodrow, Tom A.

REP	300	Higgins, Joe
REP	304	Bell, Carol A.
REP	304	Bell, Tedd A.
REP	306	Luter, Larry L.
REP	306	Luter, Nancy E.
REP	308	Abbott, Jeffrey D.
REP	310	Braun, Warren F.
REP	314	Casey, Richard
REP	314	Chernoski, John J.
REP	314	Emery, John J.
REP	314	Norcross, George E.
REP	314	Pascalar, Robert C.
REP	314	Robbins, John
REP	314	Tucker, Christine L.
REP	314	Venters, Darren
REP	314	Venters, Paula
REP	314	Werth, David R.
REP	315	Alu, Donna L.
REP	315	Pawlak, Julie A.
REP	317	Gaskin, Knowl C.
REP	320	Sweeney, Joseph
REP	321	Grieser, David E.
REP	323	Weber, Bruce R.
REP	326	Lau, Bruce H.
REP	326	White, Carl J.
REP	326	White, Linda G.
REP	328	Machulies, Beverly M.
REP	328	Machulies, Robert J.
REP	328	Roosa, John (Jack)
REP	329	Nickerson, Nicki R.E.
REP	330	Moss, Robert C.
REP	331	Straub, Caroline M.
REP	336	White, Claudia
REP	336	White, Walter
REP	337	Laughman, Harry L.
REP	339	Stubbs, Teresa A.
REP	339	Stubbs, Willie D.
REP	340	Miller, Alyson
REP	340	Miller, Brian
REP	340	Prodromides, Patti L.
REP	340	Sabol, Dennis
REP	340	Sabol, Karen
REP	341	Bissett, Alexander F.
REP	341	Davies, Brenda Payton W.
REP	344	Kampa, Richard D.
REP	346	Hanover, Nancy
REP	348	Ebert, Michael L.
REP	349	Kerschen, Arthur H.
REP	355	Gallagher, Patrick F.
REP	357	Howard, Jack E.
REP	359	Ellinwood, John
REP	359	Flynn, James M.
REP	359	Hagge, Ruth L.
REP	359	Leslie, Jennifer I.
REP	360	St. Angelo, Lynne W.
REP	360	St. Angelo, Michael D.
REP	360	St. Angelo, Tara M.

REP	361	Jeffries, David P.
REP	362	Burkholder, Margaret
REP	362	Keen, Brian A.
REP	362	McBride, Dewaine R.
REP	362	Rollinson, Keith E.
REP	363	Crawford, Richard E.
REP	365	Sullivan, Elizabeth D.
REP	365	Van De Beuken, John F.
REP	366	Zitkus, Kristi S.
REP	370	Miller, Mark S.
REP	373	Black, Robert G.
REP	373	Simler, Shellie K.
REP	374	King, Debbie K.
REP	377	Miller, Dean G.
REP	379	Miller, Allyson J.
REP	379	Steffens, Shereese L.
REP	381	Hanson, Bette L.
REP	381	Hanson, John E.
REP	381	Zerull, Marilyn J.
REP	382	Kleving, Michael I.
REP	382	Pyzdek, Thomas
REP	384	Cook, James R.
REP	384	Cook, Raquel J.
REP	387	Done, Lyra L.
REP	388	Hubbard, Joyce G.
REP	388	Hubbard, William H.
REP	388	Riley, Berta
REP	388	Webb, Earl W.
REP	388	Webb, Lucille G.
REP	389	Antenori, Frank
REP	389	Antenori, Lesley
REP	390	Moyes, Kenneth F.
REP	392	Ackerley, John C.
REP	392	Cashion, Kenneth D.
REP	393	Catsaros, Apostolos D.
REP	393	Chandler, Marion E.
REP	393	Maenpaa, Ron
REP	393	Maenpaa, Sun
REP	393	Oakes, Wanda B.
REP	394	Buller, Peter
REP	394	Holman, David A.
REP	394	Kobayashi, Leah
REP	396	Kessler, John E.
REP	397	Roudebush, Norma J.
REP	402	Hughes, Edwin
REP	402	Johnson, Linda C.
REP	402	Rebling, Blake W.
REP	406	Pellman, Connie S.
REP	407	Chamberlin, Debra S.
REP	411	McCurdy, Lyle B.
REP	411	Winsky, Jason M.
REP	414	Bracco, William M.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the cancellation of the uncontested elections and make the appointments as listed.

44. **TRANSPORTATION: TRAFFIC RESOLUTION**

RESOLUTION NO. 2010 – 138, of the Pima County Board of Supervisors, permitting the temporary closure of the General Hitchcock Highway and Sabino Canyon Park in Pima County, Arizona, for the 4th of July Parade on Mt. Lemmon. Staff recommends APPROVAL. (District 4)

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to adopt Resolution No. 2010 - 138.

45. **PROCLAMATION**

Proclaiming June 19, 2010 to be:

“NATIONAL REUNIFICATION DAY IN PIMA COUNTY”

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the proclamation.

46. **OUTSIDE AGENCY ADVISORY COMMITTEE FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS FISCAL YEAR 2010-2011**

Community Services

Applicant	Program	Recommended Amount
Catholic Community Services dba Community Outreach Program for the Deaf (COPD)	Support Services for the Deaf, Deaf-Blind, and Hard of Hearing	24,000
Interfaith Coalition for the Homeless	Plan to end homelessness coordinator	19,000
Interfaith Coalition for the Homeless	Transportation to succeed	15,000
Our Family Services, Inc.	Community Mediation	15,000
Rise L.L.C.	RISE Equipment Recycling Center	18,000
Southern Arizona Association for the Visually Impaired	Adjustment to Blindness	24,000
Southern Arizona Legal Aid, Inc. (SALA)	Homeowner and Tenant Protection Program	30,000
Arts for All, Inc.	Arts for All, Inc.	-
Community Information & Referral	2-1-1 Arizona	-
DIRECT Center for Independence, Inc.	Independent Living Services	-
Volunteer Center of Southern Arizona	Community Involvement	-
	Total	145,000

Emergency Food & Clothing

Applicant	Program	Recommended Amount
Arivaca Coordinating Council/Human Resource Group, Inc.	Emergency Food and Clothing	48,000
Caridad-de-Porres, Inc.	Caridad Feeding and Training Program	15,000

Catalina Community Services	Catalina Community Services Emergency Food Bank	31,000
Catalina Community Services	Clothing Bank	31,500
Catholic Community Services of Southern Arizona, Inc. dba Pio Decimo Center	Emergency Food and Clothing	27,000
Community Food Bank, Inc.	Food Assistance	323,000
Community Food Bank, Inc.	Rural Branch Banks	60,000
Southern Arizona AIDS Foundation	Food, Nutrition and Basic Needs Services for Low-income People with HIV/AIDS	101,500
TMM Family Services, Inc.	Community Closet	19,500
Interfaith Community Services (ICS)	Emergency Food Bank	-
	Total	656,500

Senior Support

Applicant	Program	Recommended Amount
Administration of Resources & Choices	Elder Shelter Project	44,000
Interfaith Community Services (ICS)	Special-Diet meals for at-risk Seniors	20,000
Interfaith Community Services (ICS)	Transportation Services for at-risk Seniors	19,000
Luke's in the Desert, Inc. dba St. Luke's Home	Senior Resident Dietary Program	24,000
Mobile Meals of Tucson, Inc.	Mobile Meals	20,000
Our Family Services, Inc.	House of Neighborly Service Senior Programs	28,500
Our Family Services, Inc.	Senior Companion Program (SCP)	15,000
Portable Practical Educational Preparation, Inc.	Elderly Service Program	21,500
San Ignacio Yaqui Council Inc.	Old Pascua Senior Nutrition & Socialization Program	25,000
Tucson Urban League, Inc.	Seniors' Breakfast and Transportation Services	27,000
Green Valley Assistance Services, Inc.	Care Management for Seniors	-
	Total	244,000

Support Services, Shelter, Domestic Violence

Applicant	Program	Recommended Amount
Administration of Resources & Choices	Mortgage Default & Foreclosure Assistance	16,500
Casa de los Ninos	Family Respite Care	24,000
Catholic Community Services of Southern Arizona, Inc. dba Pio Decimo	Mortgage Default & Foreclosure Assistance	18,500
Chicanos Por la Causa Inc.	Mortgage Default & Foreclosure Assistance	31,500
Emerge! Center Against Domestic Abuse	Comprehensive Domestic Abuse Program	125,000

Family Housing Resources, Inc.	Mortgage Default & Foreclosure Assistance	27,000
Green Valley Assistance Services, Inc.	Temporary Monetary Assistance	16,500
New Beginnings for Women & Children	Roof, Job & Beyond	40,000
Our Family Services, Inc.	Common Unity Program	19,000
Primavera Foundation	Casa Paloma Drop-In Center and Transitional Shelter	25,000
Primavera Foundation	Catalina Men's House	35,500
Primavera Foundation	Relief & Referral (R&R)	26,000
San Ignacio Yaqui Council Inc.	Emergency, Employment & Social Service Program	37,000
Southwest Fair Housing Council	Don't Borrow Trouble	25,000
TMM Family Services, Inc.	Emergency Housing Assistance Program	15,000
Tucson Urban League, Inc.	Case Management Emergency Assistance Service	34,000
Wingspan	Anti-Violence Programs	17,000
Young Women's Christian Association of Tucson	Women's Counseling Network	15,000
Interfaith Community Services (ICS)	Eastside Emergency Financial Assistance	15,000
La Paloma Family Services, Inc.	Amparo de los Angeles	-
	Total	562,500

Youth, Young Adult & Parenting Support

Applicant	Program	Recommended Amount
Alta Valley School District #5	Community Schools Action Advisory Council (CSAAC) Community Coordinator	15,000
Arizona Center for the Study of Children and Families	Caring Community	28,500
Arizona Youth Partnership	Rural After School Program	37,000
Arizona's Children Association dba Las Familias	Las Familias-Children's Sexual Abuse Treatment Program	24,000
Arizona's Children Association dba The Parent Connection	First Steps (Parents as Teachers)	22,000
Casa de los Ninos	Great Beginnings	21,000
Catholic Community Services dba Pio Decimo Center	Child Care for the Working Poor	28,500
Catholic Community Services dba Pio Decimo Center	Youth Education and Enrichment	42,000
Chicanos Por la Causa Inc.	Corazon De Aztlan Wellness Program	15,000
Chicanos Por La Causa, Inc. dba Parenting Arizona	Parenting Arizona Parent Education and Life Skills	21,500
Child & Family Resources, Inc.	Pre-Adolescent Diversion Program (PADP)	15,000
International Sonoran Desert Alliance (ISDA)	Ajo Las Artes GED and Jobs Program	47,000
New Beginnings for Women & Children	Homeless Kids	20,000

Open Inn, Inc.	Truancy Outreach Program	24,500
Our Family Services, Inc.	Peer Mediation Program	23,000
Our Family Services, Inc.	La Escuelita After School Education & Prevention	69,000
Pima Prevention Partnership	Pima County Teen Court	16,500
Sahuaro Girl Scout Council, Inc. (SGSC)	Adelante Jovencitas (AJ)	21,500
Southern Arizona Association for the Visually Impaired	Ready Set Go Youth	26,500
Tu Nidito Children and Family Services	Children to Children Grief Support	15,000
Tu Nidito Children and Family Services	Pathways, support for Seriously-ill Children	15,000
Tucson Urban League, Inc.	Prevention and Intervention Program	17,000
Tucson Urban League, Inc.	Tutoring and Mentoring Program	22,000
Tucson Youth Collective/Fiscal Agent Old Pueblo Children's Academy	Skrappy's Youth Center	22,000
University of Arizona	Social Justice Education Project	15,000
University of Arizona Pima County Cooperative Extension	4-H Challenge Program	19,000
Volunteer Center of Southern Arizona	Youth Now! Collaboration	32,000
Wingspan	Eon Youth Program	17,500
Compass Health Care, Inc.	Amado Youth Alliance: BeFreePima Prevention and Mentoring	-
Easter Seals Blake Foundation	Campo Urbano	-
	Total	692,000

General Services Programs

Applicant	Program	Recommended Amount
Catholic Community Services dba Pio Decimo Center	Case Management for Homeless Families	39,858
Child and Family Resources, Inc.	Healthy Families	41,519
City of Tucson	Tucson/Pima County Historical Commission	8,304
COPE	Women's Conference	0
Community Food Bank, Inc.	Ajo Summer Meals	21,183
El Rio Santa Cruz Neighborhood Health Center, Inc. for El Pueblo Health Center	TCE Program	169,041
Jewish Family and Children's Services	Tucson Loan Chest	40,672
Metropolitan Education Commission	Operating Costs	52,199
Metropolitan Education Commission	College Access	32,956
Non-Profit Industries	Pima Housing	17,665
Pima Association of Governments	Regional Planning	291,767
Pima Council on Aging	Home Delivered Meals	11,015
Pima Council on Aging	Elder Rights and Benefits	149,764
Pima Council on Aging	Family Caregiver Support	19,065
Pima Council on Aging	Retired & Senior Volunteer Program	31,986
Pima Council on Aging	Neighbors Care	59,313
Pima County/Tucson Women's Commission	Operating Costs	0
Planned Parenthood Arizona	Protection Connection	27,060

Southern Arizona Buffelgrass Coordination Center, Inc.	Buffelgrass Coordination Efforts	49,000
Southern Arizona Children's Advocacy Center	Forensic Interview Services	57,330
Tucson Clean and Beautiful, Inc.	Operating Costs	41,519
United Way of Tucson and Southern AZ	Tax Credit Program	16,947
United Way of Tucson and Southern AZ dba PRO Neighborhoods	PRO Neighborhoods	83,037
University of Arizona, Pima County Cooperative Extension	Pima County Cooperative Extension	37,367
University of Arizona, Board of Regents	FCM Mobile Health Program	46,813
	Total	1,345,381

Economic Development and Tourism Programs

Applicant	Program	Recommended Amount
Tucson Botanical Garden	Operating Costs	37,706
El Tour (Perimeter Bicycling)	Operating Costs	26,460
Ajo Chamber of Commerce	Operating Costs	20,948
Tucson Pima Arts Council	Operating Costs	114,960
Tucson Children's Museum	Operating Costs	37,706
JobPath	Operating Costs	377,130
TREO	Operating Costs	215,399
	Total	830,309

The following speakers addressed the Board:

- A. Sheila Tobias
- B. Alison Hughes

They provided the following comments:

1. The activities of the Tucson Women's Commission benefited all members of the community.
2. They asked the Board to consider funding for the Commission and to fill the member vacancies as quickly as possible.

Supervisor Elías commented that he would like to see an outline of specific issues that the Tucson Women's Commission planned on completing for the year. He felt it was important to see what issues the taxpayers' money would fund.

On consideration, it was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Day and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the Outside Agency Advisory Committee funding recommendations for fiscal year 2010-2011.

47. **CALL TO THE PUBLIC**

The Chairman inquired whether anyone wished to be heard.

Gary Bahr addressed the Board regarding his gratitude for the County.

48. **ADJOURNMENT**

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 1:20 p.m.