



FLOWING WELLS COMMUNITY CONVERSATION

| | |
|-------------------|--|
| Project: | Pima County Comprehensive Plan |
| Project Managers: | Carla Blackwell and Arlan Colton |
| Subject: | Flowing Wells Community Conversation (November 6 th , 2013) |
| Date Submitted: | November, 2013 |

Community Conversation Results

Background:

A Community Conversation, was conducted in Flowing Wells, Arizona at the Flowing Wells Community Center on November 6th, 2013 as part of the Pima County Comprehensive Plan Update *Pima Prospers*. 7 community representatives and residents were in attendance. The overarching theme of this visit was safe streets for pedestrians and school children, as well as limiting heavy truck traffic on neighborhood streets. These concerns were made apparent as participants were asked: "What is important to your community, what would make it a healthier community and/or what would you like to improve?" They were then asked to prioritize their responses using different colored dots.

Analysis Methodology:

Results compiled at this community conversation were analyzed according to a predetermined point rating system to minimize analysis bias. The point system consisted in assigning a value to each dot. The value of each dot is provided as follows:

- Green dots = 5 points
- Yellow dots = 3 points
- Red dots = -2 points
- Comments not rated = 1 point

Based on this point system, each comment was translated to Excel tables for tabulation. Each comment was then categorized, and analyzed according to the number of points per entry.



Results:

Flowing Wells representatives participating in this Community Conversation provided 31 comments related to the County’s Comprehensive Plan Update. When rated and categorized, the three most important issues were Infrastructure, Parks and Recreation, and Social Services. The chart below outlines the total number of comments, and the total number of points earned by each category.

| Flowing Wells Results | | | |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| Category | Number of Comments | Total Points | Percent |
| Social Services | 3 | 13 | 8.1% |
| Parks / Recreation | 4 | 29 | 18.0% |
| Government / Public Process | 2 | 7 | 4.3% |
| Infrastructure | 13 | 78 | 48.4% |
| Open Space / Wildlife Habitat | 1 | 6 | 3.7% |
| Energy | 0 | 0 | 0.0% |
| Water Resources | 0 | 0 | 0.0% |
| Land Use | 3 | 13 | 8.1% |
| Community Character / Design | 0 | 0 | 0.0% |
| Green Building / Sustainable Development | 0 | 0 | 0.0% |
| Cultural Resources | 0 | 0 | 0.0% |
| Public Safety | 2 | 12 | 7.5% |
| Economic Development / Jobs | 2 | 2 | 1.2% |
| Communication | 1 | 1 | 0.6% |
| Air Quality | 0 | 0 | 0.0% |
| Arts /Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0.0% |
| Total | 31 | 161 | 100% |

Infrastructure

The Infrastructure category represented 48.4% of the total points assigned by the Flowing Wells participants. This category had a total of 13 comments. When rated, the highest value within this category was 16 points. The top five rated comments in this category include:



| Comment | Points |
|---|--------|
| Sewer infrastructure (5 areas within revitalization) | 16 |
| Spend money in road repairs (existing roadways) | 16 |
| Bike Safety - lanes/lighting & connectivity | 11 |
| Sidewalks to schools | 6 |
| Flood Control (Camino de la Tierra north of the river/south of Orange Grove & lighting/sidewalks) | 6 |

Parks & Recreation

Parks and Recreation represented 18% of the total points assigned by the Flowing Wells participants. This category had a total of 4 comments. When rated, the highest value within this category was 16 points. The top four comments in this category include:

| Comment | Points |
|--|--------|
| Complete "The Loop" | 16 |
| Parking at Flowing Wells District Park | 6 |
| Curtis Park parking | 6 |
| Access to Jacobs Park | 1 |

Social Services

The Social Services category represented 8.1% of the total points assigned by the Flowing Wells participants. This category had a total of 3 comments. When rated, the highest value within this category was 6 points. The top four comments in this category include:

| Comment | Points |
|---|--------|
| Senior Group Funding - ACES (A Club for Every Senior) may not leave county (destinations), county vans utilized | 6 |
| Kino Hospital privatization - subsidized by County | 6 |
| Massive business area cleanup | 1 |

Summary:

The three most important issues to the participants in Flowing Wells were Infrastructure, Parks and Recreation, and Social Services. Other important categories discussed at the meeting



include: Land Use, Public Safety, as well as Government and Public Process. Please see below for a complete list of comments provided at the November 6th Community Conversation.

| Comment ID | Comment | Green Dots | Total Green | Yellow Dots | Total Yellow | Red Dots | Total Red | Total Rate | Category |
|------------|---|------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|----------|-----------|------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 | Free public access to internet | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | 1 | Communication |
| 2 | JTED to provide employment training | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | 1 | Economic Development / Jobs |
| 3 | Economic Development (business corridor - infill incentive district, FW infrastructure plan/FW business district) | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | 1 | Economic Development / Jobs |
| 4 | Update 2007 Flowing Wells - Marked in book (Extend north wall from Flowing Wells Library to Ruthrauff | 1 | 5 | | 0 | | 0 | 6 | Government / Public Process |
| 5 | Pension (State) | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | 1 | Government / Public Process |
| 6 | Flood Control (Camino de la Tierra north of the river/south of Orange Grove & lighting/sidewalks) | 1 | 5 | | 0 | | 0 | 6 | Infrastructure |
| 7 | Sewer infrastructure (5 areas within revitalization) | 3 | 15 | | 0 | | 0 | 16 | Infrastructure |
| 8 | Accessibility to I-10 (schools impated by heavy traffic, children crossing streets, Orange Grove/Ruthrauff are largely void of sidewalks) | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | 1 | Infrastructure |
| 9 | Truck stop near freeway (trucks traversing residential streets - limit access (weight limit)) | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | 1 | Infrastructure |
| 10 | I-11 Corridor | 1 | 5 | | 0 | | 0 | 6 | Infrastructure |
| 11 | Invest in people and infrastructure | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | 1 | Infrastructure |
| 12 | Spend money in road repairs (existing roadways) | 3 | 15 | | 0 | | 0 | 16 | Infrastructure |
| 13 | Improve River Road & Craycroft to | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | 1 | Infrastructure |

| Comment ID | Comment | Green Dots | Total Green | Yellow Dots | Total Yellow | Red Dots | Total Red | Total Rate | Category |
|------------|--|------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|----------|-----------|------------|-------------------------------|
| | Sabino Canyon | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | Sidewalks to schools | 1 | 5 | | 0 | | 0 | 6 | Infrastructure |
| 15 | Covered bus stops near sports center | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | 1 | Infrastructure |
| 16 | Improve Silverbell (sidewalks, overpasses connecting east side to west side) | 1 | 5 | | 0 | | 0 | 6 | Infrastructure |
| 17 | Bike Safety - lanes/lighting & connectivity | 2 | 10 | | 0 | | 0 | 11 | Infrastructure |
| 18 | Follow up on Pima County/City of Tucson water study | 1 | 5 | | 0 | | 0 | 6 | Infrastructure |
| 19 | Incentivize infill - maintain and build with what we have (fill in public transportation) | 2 | 10 | | 0 | | 0 | 11 | Land Use |
| 20 | Industrial Dumping - brownfield | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | 1 | Land Use |
| 21 | Curtis/La Cholla - possible brownfield | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | 1 | Land Use |
| 22 | Conservation - purchase Open Space and continued preservation | 1 | 5 | | 0 | | 0 | 6 | Open Space / Wildlife Habitat |
| 23 | Curtis Park parking | 1 | 5 | | 0 | | 0 | 6 | Parks / Recreation |
| 24 | Access to Jacobs Park | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | 1 | Parks / Recreation |
| 25 | Parking at Flowing Wells District Park | 1 | 5 | | 0 | | 0 | 6 | Parks / Recreation |
| 26 | Complete "The Loop" | 3 | 15 | | 0 | | 0 | 16 | Parks / Recreation |
| 27 | Street Lights (reduction of vandalism, safe schools, dark streets south of wash - Prince to Grant) | 2 | 10 | | 0 | | 0 | 11 | Public Safety |
| 28 | Speed camera decentralization | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | 1 | Public Safety |



| Comment ID | Comment | Green Dots | Total Green | Yellow Dots | Total Yellow | Red Dots | Total Red | Total Rate | Category |
|------------|---|------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|----------|-----------|------------|-----------------|
| 29 | Senior Group Funding - ACES (A Club for Every Senior) may not leave county (destinations), county vans utilized | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | Social Services |
| 30 | Massive business area cleanup | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | Social Services |
| 31 | Kino Hospital privatization - subsidized by County | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | Social Services |