

1. Call to Order

Mr. Neuman called the meeting to order at 5:32 pm.

- Attendance

Present:

Tamara Barrick, Pima Paws for Life
Nancy Emptage, Animal Welfare Coalition
Pat Hubbard, Humane Society of Southern Arizona
Helen Mendelsohn, Disabled Community
Jack Neuman, Chair, PACC Volunteers
Jane Schwerin, People for Animals in the Prevention of Cruelty and Neglect
Gail Smith, MD, Board of Health

Absent:

Pat Jacobs, Tucson Kennel Club
Sophia Kaluzniacki, DVM, SPCA of AZ, Inc
Derek Marshall, Public Education
Erin O'Donnell, DVM, Southern AZ Veterinary Medical Association
Marcy Flanagan, Health Department Deputy Director, Ex-Officio (Health Department Director, Dr. Francisco García served in Ms. Flanagan's place.)

- Pledge of Allegiance

2. Adoption of the Minutes

- Adoption of the March 17, 2016 Item Three Meeting Minutes

The motion was made and seconded (Emptage/Mendelsohn) that the March 17, 2016 item three meeting minutes be adopted with the proposed updated language. (Ms. Schwerin had requested a specific dialogue from the meeting be included.) The motion carried (7-0).

- Adoption of the April 21, 2016 Meeting Minutes

The motion was made and seconded (Hubbard /Barrick) that the April 21, 2016 meeting minutes be adopted as written. The motion carried (7-0).

3. Call to the Audience

There were no speakers from the audience.

4. Management Report

- Deputy County Attorney Kreamer: Dangerous Dog Related Enforcement and Policies

Dr. García introduced Deputy County Attorney Rona Kreamer. Ms. Kreamer has been with the Pima County Attorney's Office (PCAO) for about nine and a half years and has been the lead felony issuer for animal cruelty cases for four years. She said County Attorney LaWall is committed to prosecuting animal cruelty crimes and invested in sending Ms. Kreamer to a National Animal Cruelty Conference approximately two years ago. Recently, contacts from that conference helped PCAO achieve a defendant pleading to an indictment as charged in a bestiality case.

Animal cruelty cases are investigated by either animal care personnel or by both animal care and law enforcement personnel. The Tucson Police Department and Pima County Sheriff's Department have designated detectives who have experience in animal cruelty cases. Officers on scene, evidence, witness statements and the timeframe between when the crime was committed and reported all factor in on whether cases are pursued. PCAO's standard for pursuing a case is the substantial likelihood of conviction at trial. The lack of photographs, missing police and veterinary reports, and the lack of a necropsy report, when applicable, are all examples of barriers to the substantial likelihood of conviction at trial. Law enforcement officers can typically get a telephonic search warrant in 15 to 20 minutes and seize valuable evidence which will assist PCAO. Good veterinary reports which include forensic information are also very helpful.

There are only five crimes listed in ARS 13-2910 as felonies; the rest are misdemeanors. There are other felonies, such as cockfighting and using a vicious dog for aggravated assault, listed elsewhere in the law. The five ARS 13-2910 felony charges are all class six felonies, which is the lowest level felony and judges can automatically designate them as misdemeanors. Ms. Kreamer said sentences in Justice Court are often stiffer than those handed down in felony court. When asked why, Ms. Kreamer said Justice Court Judge Felix has special training and understands the link between violence against animals and violence against people; judges dealing with felonies see far worse human on human crimes; there is a push to not institutionalize people, adding that incarceration is expensive; and because juries often knock felonies down to misdemeanors. Ms. Kreamer referred to an already adjudicated case wherein a mother dog and her puppies were starved. It took 30 days for the mother dog to get to a normal weight and 10 days for the puppies. The jury didn't think the owner caused "serious physical injury" which is the felony statutory standard. The owner eventually received a sentence of six months in jail. Ms. Kreamer contrasted this case with another adjudicated case wherein an owner threw a dog against a wall, kicked and killed it. That owner received 12 months of probation and 10 days of suspended jail time; so that owner did not do any jail time.

The Committee provided questions and comments. When asked how her office decides whether or not to pursue a case as a felony, Ms. Kreamer referred to the substantial likelihood of conviction at trial standard; the quality of the evidence; and whether the violation is an omissive act or an affirmative act. She also referred to the record of how recent similar cases have gone. She added that felony court is expensive and includes a jury, whereas in Justice Court the judge decides the case. When asked about bans on animal ownership, Ms. Kreamer said she includes such bans in her plea agreements, but added that if there is a violation regarding one animal while other animals are well treated, then a ban on all animals doesn't make sense, but checks by animal care and probation officers do. Mr. Neuman asked that if the reports are better is it better for Ms. Kreamer. She agreed the better the reports the better for her, but added that it is also important that rights not be violated because if they are then evidence will be suppressed. Ms. Mendelsohn asked if a dog bit someone then retreated onto its owner's property but was not contained on that property. Ms. Kreamer said she

likes to error on the side of caution and suggested calling law enforcement. Ms. Schwerin asked if Ms. Kreamer has anything to do with cases wherein owners are cited but allowed to keep animals or redeem them. Ms. Kreamer is not involved in PACC's decisions to seize animals. Ms. Emptage asked about situations where an animal is in distress and someone calls in to report the situation but the caller does not take any action to help the animal, can the caller be charged. Ms. Kreamer said the person who is not the owner has no legal requirement or authority to interview. She continued with the example of a dog in a hot car and cited the law that states a peace officer or animal control enforcement agent may use reasonable force in such a case. It was discussed that a court probably will not convict a citizen for breaking a window to save a dog's life, although it is not legal to do so.

Ms. Schwerin referred to the dangerous animal law and PACC's dangerous dog form, and said the form involves things that the law does not contemplate such as repairing a fence. She contended the deduction of points on the form is causing animals that should be declared dangerous to not be declared dangerous; and if not declared dangerous then they don't have to be spayed or neutered and could be bred. Ms. Schwerin referred to a letter [Nov. 17, 1999] from former Tucson Mayor George Miller, which was congruent with her statements. Ms. Hubbard interjected that Mayor Miller was a painting contractor, not a dog expert and that not all dogs that bite are dangerous. She continued that any involvement by the Committee regarding dangerous dogs and animal behavior should include input from animal behavior professionals. Mr. Neuman interjected that in a recent meeting it was discussed that the form was developed many years ago through discussion and Dr. García had already agreed to have the new enforcement manager review PACC's dangerous dog process. Ms. Kreamer added she has no involvement in the dangerous dog assessments. Dr. García confirmed the dangerous dog assessment is to be reviewed by staff and said it needs to be evidence based using best practices / industry standards.

There was some back and forth on whether the discussion should continue. Ms. Schwerin said the agenda item was supposed to be her agenda item and insisted she be allowed to continue. Mr. Neuman said she had five minutes. Ms. Schwerin went over a few dangerous dog cases wherein the dogs were not declared dangerous including revisiting two cases from the last meeting. The cases include dogs that ran out open doors and bit someone and a dog which reportedly had already killed another dog, but was not declared dangerous until it killed another dog. She commented common sense tells us these dogs should have been declared dangerous. She also commented that having a good fence is irrelevant when the dog runs out the door. She went on to quote a portion of Pima County Code 6.04.150:

Whenever Pima Animal Care has reason to believe an animal may be dangerous, an evaluation of the animal shall be conducted pursuant to guidelines developed by Pima Animal Care. These guidelines provide for an evaluation of the animal's behavior both on and off of the premises of the owner, its behavior in and out of the owner's presence and its interactions with other persons and animals.

Ms. Schwerin said to follow the law, which states the evaluation is on the animal's behavior, there shouldn't be any points deducted, such as when a fence is repaired.

Ms. Mendelsohn suggested the dangerous dog assessment be an agenda item sometime in the not too distant future. Supervisor Neil Konst commented that in the case where the dog had already killed another dog, if the first case was confirmed, then the dog would have automatically been declared dangerous, but sometimes the information is hearsay, not confirmed. He continued that within the

City of Tucson, if a dog bites it is declared vicious, which is very similar to being declared dangerous, with the exception on the insurance. Ms. Emptage said she would like to be able to have input on this matter.

- Introduction of New Enforcement Manager, Adam Ricci

Dr. García said PACC is becoming more and more professional and as such is able to attract more professional personnel. He then introduced PACC's new Enforcement Manager, Adam Ricci, who briefly went over his background. Mr. Ricci came all the way from Maine. In Maine he served as a shelter intern, was a dog trainer, did a lot of breed specific work, served as an animal control officer and was as a police officer. As a police officer Mr. Ricci served as an evidence technician, doing crime scene processing. Dr. García added that going forward regularly scheduled reports for the Committee need to be discussed, including enforcement reports.

- Distribution of Submitted PACC FY 16/17 Budget

The Committee's packet included PACC's Fiscal Year (FY) 16/17 budget as submitted to the Board of Supervisors. Dr. García said the total proposed budget is \$9.1 million and pointed out the page comparing the FY15/16 and FY16/17 budgets. He invited the Committee to take their time to digest the budget documentation and then ask questions at next month's meeting.

- Building Update

Dr. García reported the trailers / mobile buildings have been relocated, and shared some architectural renderings of the new facility. Mr. Neuman stressed that the Committee and community is counting on the new facility being completed on time and on budget. Dr. García said the new structure is to be functional in November of 2017 and the remodel of existing space is to be done in 2018. Ms. Schwerin asked if the new facility will be able to house the same or more dogs and cats as it does now, to which Dr. García replied that it will.

5. Welfare and Dangerous Dog Cases from April and Recent Animal Care Center Holds Snapshot

Supervisor Neil Konst went over comments and questions regarding the welfare cases, provided prior to the meeting. Regarding welfare case one Mr. Konst said he saw the pictures and he would not have cited the complainant (reference to question from Ms. Emptage during Management Report discussion with Ms. Kreamer). The dog was on a tie-out and jumped the fence, which is another example of why tie-outs are bad. The dog's back paws were on the ground. The dog was licensed. He continued that the owner was shown the pictures and was cited. He added the call came in at 8:11; was dispatched at 8:15; and an officer was on scene at 8:29. There was no recheck. Regarding welfare case two, which was a tie-out, but there wasn't a build-up of waste. The owner redeemed the dog and the incident cost him \$101 and a day in court. Ms. Emptage pointed out the license box was not checked on the report. The dog had to be licensed before it left PACC. Dr. Smith suggested volunteers could be utilized for drive-by rechecks. Mr. Konst cautioned that care would need to be taken to prevent overstepping bounds on such rechecks. Regarding welfare case three the impounded dogs were redeemed and the owner was cited for no water and no shelter. The complaint is still open for a recheck. Mr. Neuman asked how we know the owner will provide water and shelter after redeeming the dogs. Mr. Konst replied that we don't know, but said it is typically an education issue. He talked about water containers that cannot be turned over and about what constitutes shelter versus what owners often

think is sufficient but is not. Welfare case four included reported noise. Mr. Konst said there is a pamphlet about dogs barking. Ms. Emptage requested a copy of the pamphlet. Two dogs were impounded and only one was redeemed. At a recheck the dogs which were not impounded were no longer on site. Ms. Schwerin felt the owner should not be allowed to redeem the dog that was in the crate. Welfare case five involved dogs at large and nine dogs were impounded. The owner did not come to PACC to redeem the animals, so staff went out and cited the owner. The question arose about what the criteria is for bonding animals versus allowing them to be redeemed, and Mr. Konst discussed that a simple tie-out is not sufficient; there has to be some danger. Ms. Emptage asked about associates adopting animals for those who do not redeem their animal(s). There is no policy aimed at preventing this, but there is a policy against individuals accompanying someone turned down for an adoption adopting an animal the same day their associate was turned down. In welfare case six no animals were impounded; the owner was cited and there is no follow-up. The dog has not been licensed yet. Welfare case seven involved an old dog in terrible shape brought in for euthanasia. Ms. Hubbard interjected that some people see it as a moral or religious right to allow an animal to die naturally. Mr. Konst said staff relies on the veterinarians to help determine if citations need to be issued. Ms. Schwerin contended that the second dog in this case, which was returned to the son, should not have been returned to the son. Mr. Neuman called for more defined procedures and Dr. García agreed that good procedures and good documentation are needed to take property.

6. Old Business

- Reaffirmation of Volunteer Code of Conduct, Social Media, and Communication Policies/Enforcement

Dr. García recapped that these policies (included in the record) had been a topic of discussion in a number of Committee meetings last year; after significant input they have been completed; and he is asking for the Committee's vote of reaffirmation for the policies.

The motion was made and seconded (Hubbard /Emptage) that the Committee vote to accept the three policies as written. The motion carried (6-0), Ms. Schwerin abstained.

- Shortening of Shelter Animal Lengths of Stay (deferred to a future meeting)

There was no discussion on this agenda item.

7. New Business

- Committee's Volunteer Representative Selection Process

Mr. Neuman said a letter has been sent out to the volunteers telling them if they are interested in being on the Committee they are to submit a communication with their background information, to be looked at by PACC managers Jose Ocano and Justin Gallick, and Mr. Neuman. The names of candidates with good backgrounds will be put out for the volunteers to vote on.

8. Donations: A total of \$31,800.41 in donations was received during the month of April.

There was no discussion on this agenda item.

9. Complaints and Commendations: There were no complaints and no commendations received by staff during April.

Ms. Mendelsohn said she recently helped someone pick out a dog from PACC for Top Dog and the PACC veterinarian was very, very helpful.

10. Call to the Audience

There were no speakers from the audience.

11. Announcements, Schedules and Proposed Agenda Items

Ms. Emptage announced PACC's phone tree was updated. Dr. García said the updates include the direction to call 911 if the call is an emergency and the option to talk to an actual person if the phone tree does not provide needed direction.

Mr. Neuman requested procedures for adoptions be on the next agenda.

12. Next Meeting – June 16, 2016

The next meeting will be at the Abrams building.

13. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 7:32 pm.



**NOTICE
PUBLIC MEETING OF THE
PIMA COUNTY ANIMAL CARE ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

May 19, 2016 – 5:30 p.m.

**Abrams Building
3950 S. Country Club Road
Tucson, Arizona
Room 1108
(520) 724-7729**

AMENDED

Functions of the Committee

1. Serve in an advisory capacity to the Board, and to the Manager of the Pima Animal Care Center (PACC); and
2. Review and evaluate the operations of the Center to make recommendations in writing to the Board for the formulation of guidelines to assure that:
 - A. The Center's operations are conducted in the best interest of the public health and safety; and
 - B. The Center keeps pace with the most modern practices and procedures of animal care and welfare; and
3. Review complaints from the public concerning policies of the Center and make recommendations for resolution to the proper authority.

AGENDA

1.	Call to Order <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Roll Call• Establishment of Quorum and Pledge of Allegiance
2.	Review and Adoption of Minutes: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Adoption of March 17, 2016 item 3 Meeting Minutes• Adoption of April 21, 2016 Meeting Minutes
3.	Call to the Audience
4.	Management Report <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Deputy County Attorney Kreamer: Dangerous Dog Related Enforcement and Policies• Introduction of New Enforcement Manager, Adam Ricci• Distribution of Submitted PACC FY 16/17 Budget• Building Update
5.	Welfare and Dangerous Dog Cases from April and Recent Animal Care Center Holds Snapshot
6.	Old Business <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Reaffirmation of Volunteer Code of Conduct, Social Media, and Communication Policies/Enforcement• Shortening of Shelter Animal Lengths of Stay (deferred to a future meeting)
7.	New Business <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Committee's Volunteer Representative Selection Process
8.	Donations: A total of \$31,800.41 in donations was received during the month of April.
9.	Complaints and Commendations: There were no complaints and no commendation received by staff during April.
10.	Call to the Audience
11.	Announcements, Schedules and Proposed Agenda Items
12.	Next Meeting – June 16, 2016
13.	Adjournment

Copies of this agenda are available upon request at the Pima County Health Department, 3950 S. Country Club Road, by calling 724-7729 or at www.pima.gov/animalcare. The Committee may discuss and take action on any item on the agenda. At the conclusion of an open call to the public Committee members may only respond to criticism made; ask staff to review the matter raised; or ask to include the matter on a future agenda.

Should you require ADA accommodations, please contact the Pima County Health Department at 724-7729 five (5) days prior to the meeting.

Question from 3-17-16 Pima County Animal Care Advisory Committee Item 3.	
Schwerin	Let's say I donate \$500 and I earmark it for spaying and neutering, and you said it would be spent for spaying and neutering; but the big question is will it be spent for \$500 more spaying and neutering than would have been done had I not given that money?
Neuman	Good question.
Hancock	And I think the answer to that is, no, because we virtually spay and neuter every animal, right, that we place out for adoption.
Emptage	No, no, no, there's a difference here; you have spay and neutering for community services.
Flanagan	It would be above and beyond, because it's two different kinds of spay and neuter.
Emptage	So where would it go, would it go into the shelter operations for altering or go out into the pet fix.
Hubbard	We've already budgeted for the in-house spay and neuter.
Hancock	Correct. So, I'm not sure I understood the question; I'm sorry.
Schwerin	So what's the point in donating money for spaying and neutering if you're already doing it? (Reflected in the draft minutes, but not word for word.)
Hancock	(Answer reflected in the minutes.)

Possible additional language for the 03-17-16 minutes:

Ms. Schwerin asked, "Let's say I donate \$500 and I earmark it for spaying and neutering, and you said it would be spent for spaying and neutering; but the big question is will it be spent for \$500 more spaying and neutering than would have been done had I not given that money?" Ms. Flanagan responded that it would be above and beyond.

Pima County Animal Care Advisory Committee
Minutes
March 17, 2016
3950 S. Country Club Road
Tucson, Arizona 85714

Draft

1. Call to Order

Mr. Neuman called the meeting to order at 5:35 pm.

- Attendance

Present:

Tamara Barrick, Pima Paws for Life
Nancy Emptage, Animal Welfare Coalition
Pat Hubbard, Humane Society of Southern Arizona
Jack Neuman, Chair, PACC Volunteers
Jane Schwerin, People for Animals in the Prevention of Cruelty and Neglect
Gail Smith, MD, Board of Health
Marcy Flanagan, Health Department Deputy Director, Ex-Officio

Absent:

Pat Jacobs, Tucson Kennel Club
Sophia Kaluzniacki, DVM, SPCA of AZ, Inc
Derek Marshall, Public Education
Helen Mendelsohn, Disabled Community
Erin O'Donnell, DVM, Southern AZ Veterinary Medical Association

- Pledge of Allegiance

2. Adoption of the Minutes

- Adoption of the February 18, 2016 Meeting Minutes

Ms. Schwerin said her discussion on page five of the draft minutes was recorded inaccurately in that it states: "However, Ms. Schwerin's point was dogs which should be declared dangerous..." when it should reflect it was former Mayor Miller's point she was bringing up. Ms. Schwerin chose to edit the text verbally in lieu of providing a written edit as requested by Mr. Neuman.

The motion was made and seconded (Smith/Emptage) that the February 18, 2016 meeting minutes be adopted with the aforementioned edit. The motion carried (6-0).

3. Animal Care Center Budget Discussion

Ms. Flanagan introduced Garrett Hancock, Health Department Business Manager, who utilized the attached PowerPoint presentation to explain how budgets are done in Pima County.

In June of 1980 Arizona voters amended the Arizona Constitution prescribing an expenditure limitation for each county, city, town, and community college district. The purpose of the expenditure limitation is to control expenditures and limit future increases in spending to adjustments for inflation; deflation; population growth. There are exceptions for natural or manmade disasters or if approved by two-thirds of the governing board and a majority of the qualified voters. The budget process cycle

begins in October for the fiscal year which begins the following July. Mr. Hancock discussed the budget timeline and in-process adjustments that occur, often due to State cost transfers and insurance cost changes. In May the Board of Supervisors passes a tentative budget, which sets the budget limit, and then the final budget adoption is in June. To come up with the Department's total budget Finance starts with the current adopted budget figure and makes adjustments for any known differences. Anything in excess of the adjusted figure has to be pursued as a supplemental budget request and most of those do not get approved.

PACC's budget is divided into three parts: the PACC special revenue fund (fund 2001), the PACC grants fund (fund 2042), and the PACC bequests fund (fund 2131). Mr. Neuman asked which fund general donations go into. Mr. Hancock said donations go into fund 2001; while a grant from PetSmart goes into fund 2042; and if someone dies and leaves PACC their house it (the money from the house) goes into fund 2131. Spending authority is based on projections. Mr. Neuman asked what happens if the projected revenue amount is exceeded. Money taken in in excess of the expenditure authority goes into an account. Mr. Hancock said it cannot be spent during the current fiscal year because it is not in the budget, but can be budgeted in future years. He gave the example of the bequest money coming in and being included in budgets going forward, but not in the budget year the money was received. Ms. Emptage asked about how general donations are divided out and Mr. Hancock said a portion goes to cover costs attributed to the various municipalities. Once the County puts money into PACC's fund, the County cannot take it back. Dr. Smith asked if surplus funds in PACC's account carried over to another year result in the County reducing the general fund contribution. Mr. Hancock said in theory the County could do so, but has not. He continued that this year is the first year of his three years with the Health Department wherein PACC is not over budget. PACC's overages have been covered by the Health Department's budget in years past. Ms. Barrick said that the Committee has trouble accepting that donations are being rolled into PACC's budget to cover operations instead of for the animals specifically. Ms. Hubbard asked if money given for a specific purpose, such as spay and neuter, actually goes for that purpose. Mr. Hancock said it does. He continued that the County's financial management system tracks all the money and has stops within the system to prevent spending beyond authorized thresholds for specific master agreements (contracts) and commodities. Ms. Schwerin asked why people should give donations for things PACC is already doing. Mr. Hancock said because PACC is traditionally over budget and could not do all the things they want to do without the donations. Mr. Neuman said there is a pie of money which comes into the County; asserted that the community wants PACC to have a larger portion of the pie; and continued that donations should not be considered part of the pie. Mr. Hancock said he, PACC and the Health Department administration all agree PACC needs more money. He went on to relay that prior to PACC's tent going up the Board of Supervisors granted an extremely rare mid-year adjustment to cover the additional costs associated with erecting the tent, but PACC still went over budget due to the additional operating cost associated with housing the additional animals in the tent.

PACC's fiscal year 2015/2016, current, budget is \$8.8 million with projected revenues of just under \$6.5 million. The difference is made up by the general fund subsidy. Next year's budget is over \$9.175 million. Dr. Smith asked if the revenues include donations; Mr. Hancock said they include anticipated donations based on trends, but would not include a bequest. In response to a question Mr. Hancock explained grants have reporting requirements and audits.

Pima County Animal Care Advisory Committee
Minutes
April 21, 2016
3950 S. Country Club Road
Tucson, Arizona 85714

Draft

1. Call to Order

Mr. Neuman called the meeting to order at 5:37 pm.

- Attendance

Present:

Tamara Barrick, Pima Paws for Life
Nancy Emptage, Animal Welfare Coalition
Pat Hubbard, Humane Society of Southern Arizona
Pat Jacobs, Tucson Kennel Club
Sophia Kaluzniacki, DVM, SPCA of AZ, Inc
Derek Marshall, Public Education
Helen Mendelsohn, Disabled Community
Jack Neuman, Chair, PACC Volunteers
Erin O'Donnell, DVM, Southern AZ Veterinary Medical Association
Jane Schwerin, People for Animals in the Prevention of Cruelty and Neglect
Gail Smith, MD, Board of Health

Absent:

Marcy Flanagan, Health Department Deputy Director, Ex-Officio (Health Department Director, Dr. Francisco García served in Ms. Flanagan's place.)

- Pledge of Allegiance

2. Adoption of the Minutes

- Adoption of the March 17, 2016 Meeting Minutes

Originally the motion was made and seconded (Hubbard/Emptage) to adopt the minutes as written. Ms. Schwerin said during last month's Budget Discussion (item three), a question she had asked about if a donation for spay and neuter would purchase extra spaying and neutering over what would be done without the donation, and the question's answer, were omitted from the draft minutes; she requested they be added to the minutes. Mr. Neuman requested Mr. Schlueter go back to the recording and bring back details about the specific discussion Ms. Schwerin was referring to, for the next meeting. Ms. Schwerin continued that on page four of the draft minutes, item six, wherein Ms. Flanagan spoke about the Board of Supervisors passing the Advisory Committee ordinance, the draft minutes state, "The Board made some changes;" however, Ms. Schwerin stated Ms. Flanagan used the words, "minor changes." Ms. Schwerin asserted that the changes were not minor to her; to her they were major changes, but she wanted the minutes to reflect Ms. Flanagan said, "minor changes."

There was no vote on the first motion. A second motion was made and seconded (Mendelsohn /Emptage) that the March 17, 2016 meeting minutes be adopted in part (item three pending), as written, with the one amendment reflecting Ms. Flanagan said, "minor changes," as requested by Ms. Schwerin. The motion carried (11-0).

3. Animal Care Center Budget Discussion

This item was duplicated on the agenda. Discussion recorded under item six, Management Report, Budget Update.

4. Welfare and Dangerous Dog Cases from March and Recent Animal Care Center Holds Snapshot

Dr. Smith asked what happened to the dog in welfare case one. Supervisor Tenkate said the dog is still at PACC and goes home every night with a PACC veterinarian who is fostering the dog. She added the owner is on the do-not-adopt list. Ms. Emptage requested the court be asked to ban the owner from owning animals, since the do-not-adopt list only keeps an individual from adopting from PACC, not other agencies. Ms. Schwerin said the owner in this case did not have money for the dog's medical treatment and cited that as an example of the need for better screening for adoptions. Also the owner was giving the dog cranberry juice to treat a urinary tract infection and the PACC officer said such a remedy would only work at the onset of symptoms. Ms. Schwerin said in her experience the cranberry juice would not help. Dr. Kaluzniacki said the juice would not help the infection and cautioned against officers giving medical advice. Supervisor Tenkate acknowledged the caution and said typically officers do not give medical advice. Dr. García added that PACC doesn't want to keep animals, such as the one in this case, in the shelter for a long time, so a foster or adoption placement is sought.

Dr. Smith asked what happened with the limping dog from welfare case two. Supervisor Tenkate said as of 3-16-16 the dog was no longer limping. She added the owner was cited for no license and no vaccination. At this time staff does not know if the dog has been licensed yet.

Ms. Emptage asked if there was a recheck on the dog from welfare case three. There had not, but PACC has not received any more complaints from the neighbor.

Dr. Smith asked if the aggressive dogs in welfare case four had dangerous dog evaluations. They had; were declared dangerous; and were euthanized at PACC. Ms. Emptage expressed that the lack of veterinary care for the injured dog to her was sufficient to not allow the owner to keep his/her other dogs. Dr. Smith asked if there is a continuum of levels of severity regarding neglect and if there are higher bonds for more severe cases. Supervisor Tenkate said it is up to the judge. Ms. Hubbard asked at what point does a case become a felony. Supervisor Tenkate said the cases are turned over to law enforcement for review and for a felony there has to be intent, which is hard to prove. Dr. García added that for a felony case the standard of evidence is very high. He continued that PACC cannot remove an animal without cause related to that animal; however judges have more discretion. An unadjudicated bad outcome for one animal is not sufficient justification to confiscate another animal. Mr. Neuman asked what the liability would be if PACC took an animal that a judge says should not have been taken; would it just be give the dog back. Dr. García said the County Attorney says animals are property that cannot be seized without appropriate cause. Ms. Schwerin said the animals in distress law should be called the animals in distress or danger law and the law says animal care officers can take animals in danger. Dr. García said he will bring the issue back to the County Attorney's Office for review. Ms. Schwerin said officers can cite for cruelty instead of neglect. She felt cruelty sounds worse than neglect. Supervisor Tenkate said charging for both cruelty and neglect would be duplicitous. Dr. Kaluzniacki commented that people get charged with two charges all the time.

Ms. Mendelsohn asked why the dog in welfare case five was not confiscated. Supervisor Tenkate said because the owner agreed to keep the dog inside and there have been no more complaints. Dr. García added the law requires PACC employee the least restrictive option. Ms. Schwerin said she had never heard of the least restrictive law. Dr. García said it is a judicial concept not a law. There was no dangerous dog assessment requested or done.

Dr. Smith said the owner in welfare case six should not be allowed to own a pet. Supervisor Tenkate said the owner is on the do-not-adopt list. Ms. Emptage asked if PACC shares the do-not-adopt list. They do not. Mr. Neuman requested sharing the list with other agencies be an upcoming agenda item. Ms. Schwerin asked why the citation for this emaciated dog was for neglect, not cruelty. Mr. Neuman suggested there is too much subjectivity in these cases. Supervisor Tenkate said staff is changing how they cite from citing on scene to citing after all information is available after the veterinary exam.

Mr. Neuman asked how many welfare cases the Committee actually sees. Supervisor Tenkate said all of them, one month at a time. From past conversations, members of the Committee were under the impression that there were hundreds of these cases and they only saw a select few. Dr. García said the new enforcement manager will be charged with looking at processes such as these citations. Mr. Neuman requested the new manager be introduced to the Committee once on board. Ms. Emptage added that the dangerous dog form could use some assessment as well. Ms. Mendelsohn requested when owners are placed on the do-not-adopt list that it be expressed in the information the Committee receives so the Committee doesn't have to ask. Ms. Schwerin asked about leaving the names in the welfare cases; she wanted the names included. She added that the names of those accused of murder appear in the paper, so why not include the names in the welfare and dangerous dog report. Mr. Neuman questioned what having the names does for the Committee. Dr. García said he will consult with the County Attorney's Office on the request.

Dr. Smith said welfare case eight was horrible; the dog had maggots and was dying. She felt the whole family should be on the do-not-adopt list. The owner was cited for neglect, no veterinary care. The Committee expressed several individual comments that the citation should be for cruelty. Dr. O'Donnell said there needs to be different levels of neglect and there should be a cruelty level of neglect.

Ms. Schwerin referred to dangerous dog case one in which a dog bit a mail carrier and charged at a PACC officer. The dog was declared not dangerous. Ms. Schwerin asserted that the dog was clearly dangerous and the system is not working. Dr. Smith pointed out the dangerous dog point system form was used; the score did not add up high enough; and stated the system is not perfect.

Ms. Schwerin referred to dangerous dog case three and said the report indicates the dog in question attacked another dog at an offsite adoption event; there was a note that it was aggressive towards smaller dogs; and there was a note that the dog exhibited fearful or unsafe behavior. She asserted the dog was obviously dangerous and the point system needs to be revised. Mr. Neuman stated in a past meeting when the point system was an agenda item it was discussed that the system was created through a non-scientifically based discussion roughly 16 years ago. He asked if the system could be reviewed and made more defensible. Dr. García said the dangerous dog instrument is one of the items to be reviewed by the new enforcement supervisor when he gets on board. Ms. Mendelsohn asked about PACC's liability in light of the documented behavior. Dr. García said the liability issues are complicated, but that in this case the law says the liability is on the owner. Ms. Emptage also

expressed concern on the training for staff making dangerous dog determinations, in addition to the point system.

Ms. Schwerin said there will be an agenda item on next month's agenda regarding the enforcement of dangerous animal laws and provided copies of a November 17, 1999 letter from George Miller, who was the Tucson Mayor at that time, regarding dangerous animals.

5. Call to the Audience

There were no speakers from the audience.

6. Management Report

Dr. García spoke for Ms. Flanagan who could not attend. He utilized a PowerPoint presentation (included in the record) to address several items.

- Building Update

There was no discussion on this item.

- Budget Update

Referring to Ms. Schwerin's question at the last meeting, which she brought up during the minutes discussion in this meeting, Dr. García said if specific funds are donated for specific reasons, then there are two scenarios. The first scenario is a bequest; and with a bequest Dr. García is tasked with generating an investment plan to use the funds for a period of three to five years. The County Administrator and Board of Supervisors then has to approve the plan for PACC to spend the funds as requested. Day to day donations go to three main areas: medical, spay/neuter and shelter operations. PACC cannot spend funds beyond its spending authority, so roughly half a million dollars in spending authority is budgeted in anticipation and hope of receiving these funds.

In 2015 PACC received a significant donation from PetSmart and with those funds PACC has been able to increase pet adoptions, with a 210 percent increase in cat adoptions and a 147 percent increase in PetSmart adoptions overall.

Dr. García provided a one-page handout showing PACC's mid-April budget situation (included in the record). Year to date costs hover just below prorated expenses and year to date revenues are slightly above the prorated revenue prediction. This is the first time in Dr. García's administration that PACC has been on budget. He said reducing overtime; better utilization of staff and, of course, the volunteers are largely responsible for this achievement. Dr. Smith asked for a dollar value for the volunteer program. Dr. García said it is hard to quantify the numerous activities into dollar values. Mr. Neuman said the volunteers give PACC gave about 75,000 hours last year; and Ms. Hubbard said her organization figured their volunteers equated to 81 FTEs (full time employees) last year.

- Events

Friends of PACC raised \$41,000 in the Arizona Gives Day fund raising effort. The funds will be used for special medical equipment.

PACC has recently dealt with three hoarding cases in three weeks, totaling over 100 pets. The overwhelming majority of the animals have been placed, including 28 small breed dogs.

With the ordinance on new Committee's structure being passed by the Board of Supervisors the Deputy County Administrator and County Attorney's Office is looking at how the Committee's volunteer representative will be selected. At some point Dr. García wants to come back and report on what the recommendations are for the selection process.

A recent Best Friends / Points of Light Foundation award will give PACC access to a nationally recognized consultant in the area of animal welfare and volunteer programs. This is to help refine and improve PACC's volunteer program and possibly go through volunteer certification.

7. Old Business

- Pima Animal Care Center Enforcement Officer Numbers

There was no discussion on this agenda item. It is to be carried over to the next meeting.

8. New Business

- Use of Welfare Case Comment Sheets to Streamline Welfare Case Discussion

Ms. Emptage asked if the comment sheets have been a good tool. There was general agreement that the comment sheets are a good tool. Mr. Schlueter said some of the comments come back a little late. Generally if the information is sent out in time, then he wants the comments back eight days prior to the meeting.

- Volunteer Code of Conduct, Social Media, and Communication Policies/Enforcement

Dr. García reminded the Committee that several months ago staff discussed establishing a volunteer code of conduct, social media policy and communication policy with the Committee at more than one meeting. He said staff received over 300 public comments and used the feedback to help shape these policies, which are in use. Dr. García said he wants the Committee to look over the final policies and reaffirm them. He continued that recent occurrences coupled with a request from the County Attorney's Office to make the policies more explicit have shaped an effort to cause these policies to be more on people's minds.

- Open-Adoptions Philosophy and Presentation

Dr. García utilized his PowerPoint presentation to speak on this topic. He opened by saying if we start with the perspective that people are coming to PACC with good intentions, we will have a much better success rate in terms of finding long term matches between people and pets. The open adoptions philosophy means looking for ways to say yes instead of reasons to say no. There are cases where no adoption is the correct decision, but these cases should be rare. Open adoption processes are considered industry standard and are supported by many major animal welfare organizations. Adoptions should be more people-work and less paperwork. Maintaining a low length of stay is crucial for all aspects of sheltering. In 2015 11,977 animals were adopted from PACC; 1,977 were returned to owners; 1,788 were euthanized; and 984 were transferred out. There was a question about

monthly statistical reports that the Committee used to receive, but have not been receiving lately, and a request for more regular statistical updates. There were only 500 returns which is a 4.1 percent return rate. The industry standard is about eight percent. Ms. Schwerin pointed out unsuccessful adoptions are not all returns; opined that the return figure is not significant because she believes only a few of the unsuccessful adoptions are actually returned; and stated it is unknown what happened to other unsuccessful adoptions, which could have been dumped in the desert for all we know. Dr. García said Ms. Schwerin makes a good point; however, the return rate is what the industry uses to judge progress and it gives PACC a common proportion to compare with other agencies. Dr. Kaluzniacki asked if relicensing of adopted animals could be used as an indicator of successful adoptions. Dr. García didn't think so because relicensing compliance is poor. PACC intakes are down; live releases are up; and euthanasia numbers have never been lower. Ms. Hubbard added that the Humane Society's intakes are also down. Spay and neuter efforts are believed to be the reason for decrease intakes. Ms. Hubbard also added the Humane Society used to look for reasons to not adopt and in so doing ended up killing a lot of animals. Now they look for reasons to adopt.

Dr. García went over the current adoption guidelines detailed in the PowerPoint presentation, which begin with the adopter being an adult with valid photo identification. He referred to guidance provided to him by [Deputy] County Attorney Paula [Perrera]. Although we have the ability to place some restrictions on who gets to adopt; any policy we implement cannot discriminate against any protected class of individuals; decisions must be based on objectively demonstrable standards of care; policies must be consistently and fairly applied; and any policy should avoid the use of discretion to the greatest extent possible to avoid inconsistent application and claims of arbitrary action on the part of PACC and the County government. PACC will be repurposing three staff positions to the adoption process. Currently the Adoption Coordinator spends significant time at offsite adoptions and there is very limited staff availability for volunteers who anchor the adoption program. Additionally two more staff members will join the adoption team within the next year. Dr. García said there is a need for better training for staff and volunteers. The American Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals and the Humane Society of the United States recommend following up with adopters at three days, three weeks and three months, which is something PACC is looking to do to increase retention and customer service. Some of this follow-up program will be automated.

Questions and discussion followed the presentation. Dr. Smith asked if adopters are given information on topics such as how much to feed an animal and when to seek veterinary care. Dr. García said there is a thick stack of information, but the information is not discussed with the adopters due to time constraints. He continued, in the new facility there will be a lounge area with tables and chairs, away from the noise of the shelter, to facilitate conversation based adoptions. Ms. Emptage requested a copy of the adoption packet and the general consensus was that copies should be provided to the entire Committee. Ms. Schwerin asked what the term "open adoptions" means. Dr. García said it is a philosophy; referred back to that particular presentation slide; and said it means looking for reasons to say yes rather than reasons to say no. Ms. Schwerin said she doesn't think PACC is doing anything about helping adopters get veterinary care. Dr. García referred to health, whether animal or human, as a crapshoot, and said on the rescue side PACC is being very up front about the medical needs of animals. He continued that PACC will not be looking at adopters' tax forms to determine whether or not they can afford veterinary care, saying PACC is not in the position to make that judgment call. However, as PACC does the three day, three week, three month checks that issue can be assessed for. He added that not all returns are failures and acknowledged the strategy is not perfect. Ms. Schwerin asked what happens if someone adopts a dog and then later it gets ran over by a car and the owner has no money for veterinary care. Dr. García acknowledged PACC is not

addressing such a scenario. Later in the discussion Dr. O'Donnell pointed out that the adoption packet includes a certificate for a free veterinarian visit and her clinic invests significant time discussing many topics, including veterinary care, to set adopters up for success. She added that she wanted the free visit certificate toward the top of the packet. Mr. Neuman stated donations are built into the budget; people are not giving to save taxes; and suggested donations could go into a fund as a resource for needs such as assisting with veterinary care. Dr. García referred to the forming of the 501c3 Friends of PACC entity which he said is able to do these types of things; then balanced that against accountability to PACC partners and their different budgets and priorities. Ms. Mendelsohn asked if there is a limit on the number of animals someone may adopt from PACC. Dr. García said he would have to check on the answer. Mr. Neuman said he doesn't think the population is intrinsically good; referred to the presence of numerous law enforcement officers as evidence of his opinion; continued that law enforcement presence is there to ensure people don't do bad things; and used his example to say that PACC needs to likewise ensure things are done right regarding animals being adopted. Mr. Jacobs provided a rebuttal to Mr. Neuman's remarks, saying he believes everyone in the meeting loves animals; the people in PACC love animals; 90 percent of people are good; and that the presence of law enforcement does not indicate society is not good. Dr. García said he wants to make the right thing, regarding adoptions, the easy thing. Ms. Schwerin reminded the meeting that per the code adoptions need to be into a suitable home.

Mr. Neuman said he wanted reduction of the animal length of stay on the agenda again. Dr. García added that requesting the jurisdictional partners make their ordinances consistent in allowing shorter lengths of stay, for owned animals, was a major topic at the last jurisdictional partners meeting.

9. Donations: A total of \$35,401.59 in donations was received during the month of March.

There was no discussion on this agenda item.

10. Complaints and Commendations: There were no complaints and one commendations received by staff during March.

There was no discussion on this agenda item.

11. Call to the Audience

There were no speakers from the audience.

12. Announcements, Schedules and Proposed Agenda Items

There were several agenda items mentioned, mostly during other discussions.

Ms. Emptage asked about an update on a suggestion from a previous meeting, about updating dog tags by adding a sticker similar to how stickers are added to license plates. Many of the current tags don't have PACC's current phone number.

Ms. Emptage wants to discuss the possibility of changing the courts' authority to ban individuals from owning animals from three years to five years.

Mr. Neuman requested the sharing of the do-not-adopt list with other agencies be an agenda topic.

Ms. Schwerin said the enforcement of dangerous animal laws will be on the next agenda.

Mr. Neuman requested the shortening of shelter animal lengths of stay be added back onto the agenda.

Mr. Neuman requested the new enforcement manager be introduced to the Committee once on board.

At some point how the Committee's volunteer representative will be selected should come back to the Committee.

The Pima Animal Care Center Enforcement Officer Numbers item and the Volunteer Code of Conduct, Social Media, and Communication Policies item from today's agenda still need to be addressed.

13. Next Meeting – May 19, 2016

The next meeting will be at the Abrams building.

14. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 8:06 pm.



PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD • TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5950
www.pimaanimalcare.org


MEMORANDUM

TO: Marcy Flanagan, Deputy Director
FROM: K. Baugus , Field Officer #1918
DATE: May 5th 2016
RE: Welfare Report for April

1. A16-192323 One dog was impounded. The owner redeemed the dog and was cited for neglect, tie out. The dog was returned to the owner. This complaint is closed.
2. A16-191020 One dog was impounded, The owner redeemed the dog and was cited for neglect tie out . The dog was returned to the owner. This complaint is closed.
3. A16-192304 Two dogs were impounded. The owner redeemed both dogs and was cited for neglect, no water and neglect no shelter. The dogs were returned to the owner. This complaint is open pending a recheck for water and shelter.
4. A16-189386 Two dogs were impounded. The owner redeemed one dog and relinquished the other. The owner was cited for neglect, no shelter, and neglect, no exercise space. This complaint is closed.
5. A16191832 Nine dogs impounded. The owner was cited for leash law violations, neglect, no water, neglect, no shelter, neglect, no food, neglect, unsanitary shelter and no license. No animals were redeemed. This complaint in closed.
6. A16-191340 No animals were impounded. The animal owner was cited for neglect tie out and neglect, no shelter.
7. A16-190680 An animal was brought to Pima Animal Care Center for euthanasia. The animal owner was cited for neglect, no vet care. The animal was euthanized at PACC.

WC 1

INVESTIGATION REPORT Pima County Health Department Pima Animal Care Center 4000 N Silverbell Rd Tucson, Arizona 85745 Phone: (520) 724-5900 Fax: (520) 724-5960		SUSPECT SUSPECT'S ADDRESS CITY TUCSON STATE AZ ZIP SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS CITY STATE ZIP BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER SEX WEIGHT HEIGHT EYES HAIR ORIGIN DOB SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER		ACO NAME / BADGE # A. VARGAS #2060 ACTIVITY/BITE NUMBER A16-192323 BITE <input type="checkbox"/> WELFARE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DANGEROUS <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/> CI <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CO <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>		DRIVERS LICENSE DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT 04/26/16 0859 Date and time reported 04/26/16 0811							
DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		LITIGATION OF INCIDENT NELSON DR		FOOD <input type="checkbox"/> WATER <input type="checkbox"/> SHELTER <input type="checkbox"/> VENTILATION <input type="checkbox"/> ABANDONED <input type="checkbox"/> TIED OUT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BEATEN <input type="checkbox"/> WASTE <input type="checkbox"/> INJ / ILL <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (EXPLAIN) <input type="checkbox"/>		I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/> I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/>		VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME A. VARGAS #2060 DATE OF BIRTH RESIDENCE PHONE 520-724-5900					
REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B) <input type="checkbox"/>		VICTIM'S ADDRESS 4000 N SILVERBELL RD CITY TUCSON STATE AZ ZIP 85745		VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS CITY STATE ZIP		NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE) DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SHERIFF DEPT <input type="checkbox"/> TUCSON POLICE <input type="checkbox"/> FIRE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:		FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:					
ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION <input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION BITE SEVERITY: PART OF BODY BITTEN:		TREATED BY PHONE NUMBER DATE QUARANTINED RELEASE DATE:		PACC <input type="checkbox"/> VET <input type="checkbox"/> HOME <input type="checkbox"/>							
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM PHONE NUMBER		VET CLINIC PHONE NUMBER OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		FTD <input type="checkbox"/> LTD <input type="checkbox"/>		LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS CLINIC'S ADDRESS QUARANTINE (DAYS) 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> FRA HEAD#		3 RD PARTY CITATIONS YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> CITING ACO S. Elliott (2087) PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS					
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE		CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-3 (2)(E)(2) CITATIONS/NUMBERS 75677 (A)		REVIEWED BY DTK/PH 4.25.16		BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>							
BREED/DESCRIPTION PIT BULL MIX		ANIMAL'S NAME BRN/WHITE		COLOR M		SEX 2YRS		AGE L16270834		LICENSE # NORMAL		CONDITION ANIMAL ID#	
VICTIM OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>													
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>													
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>													
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>													
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>													
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>													
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>													
WITNESS 1 M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/> DOB ADDRESS RESIDENCE PHONE # BUSINESS PHONE #													
WITNESS 2 M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/> DOB ADDRESS RESIDENCE PHONE # BUSINESS PHONE #													
WITNESS 3 M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/> DOB ADDRESS RESIDENCE PHONE # BUSINESS PHONE #													
WITNESS 4 M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/> DOB ADDRESS RESIDENCE PHONE # BUSINESS PHONE #													



INVESTIGATION REPORT

PACC Activity: A16-192323

ACO & Badge A. VARGAS #2060

ON APRIL 26, 2016 OFFICER ANDREW VARGAS BADGE #2060 ARRIVED AT THE THE COMPLAINANTS PROPERTY OF NELSON DR WHERE THE COMPLAINANT ADVISED ME OF A DOG THAT HAD JUMPED IT'S FENCE AND WAS HANGING NOW ON HIS SIDE OF HIS FENCE BY THE MEANS OF A TIE OUT. UPON REVIEWING WHERE THE DOG WAS TIED OUT, I OBSERVED THE DOG HANGING BY A TIE OUT AND BARELY ABLE TO TOUCH THE GROUND WITH IT'S REAR PAWS. IT WAS AT THIS TIME THAT I OBSERVED THAT THE DOG WAS TIED OUT BY THE MEANS OF A WIRE LEASH. I THEN USED A SET OF BOLT CUTTERS TO REMOVE THE DOG FROM THE TIE OUT. I THEN IMPOUNDED THE DOG AND ATTEMPTED TO MAKE CONTACT AT THE DOG OWNERS ADDRESS OF NELSON DR. I DID NOT RECEIVE AN ANSWER AT THE DOOR AND WAS UNABLE TO MAKE CONTACT WITH THE DOG OWNER. A NOTICE WAS LEFT ON THE FRONT DOOR STATING THAT THE DOG WAS IMPOUNDED ALONG WITH THE DOGS ANIMAL ID. NO FURTHER ACTION WAS TAKEN.

ON APRIL 26, 2016 AT 1817 HOURS OFFICER ELLIOTT (2087) MET WITH DOG OWNER, (DOB AT THE PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER AFTER HE CAME IN TO REDEEM OFFICER ELLIOTT ISSUED NEGLECT-TIEOUT CITATIONS TO MR. UNDER CITY JURISDICTION. MR SIGNED AND RECEIVED HIS COPIES OF THE CITATIONS.

Officer's Signature:

A large, stylized handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Andrew Vargas'.

Date:

4/27/16

WC 2

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
 Pima Animal Care Center
 4000 N. Silverbell Rd
 Tucson, Arizona 85745
 Phone: (520) 243-5900
 Fax: (520) 243-5900
 www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT		ACO NAME / BADGE # 1942 Eckelbarger	COMPLAINT NUMBER A16-191020
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS		BITE <input type="checkbox"/> WELFARE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DANGEROUS <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>	
ZIP	CITY	STATE	RESIDENTIAL PHONE NUMBER
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		CODE IF OTHER :	
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER
SEX	WEIGHT	EYES	HAIR COLOR
			ORIGIN
		DOB	SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES NO

INFORMATION RECEIVED: _____ DATE AND TIME REPORTED: **4-4-16 / 1026** DATE AND TIME OCCURRED: **4-4-16 / 1045**

FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURED/ILL VENTILATION ABANDONED TIEOUT BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)

I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME 1942 Eckelbarger	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO. 724-5992
VICTIM'S ADDRESS		ZIP	CITY
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N. Silverbell Rd		ZIP 85745	CITY Tucson
			STATE AZ

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> TFD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:
---	---	--	-----------------------	---	--

ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED	PACC <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION	PART OF BODY BITTEN:			RELEASE DATE:	VET <input type="checkbox"/>
VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		HOME <input type="checkbox"/>
					FTQ <input type="checkbox"/>
					UTQ <input type="checkbox"/>


LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS	QUARANTINE 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> FRA HEAD#
3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CITING ACO 1942 Eckelbarger	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER
		OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS	

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-3 (2)(E)(2)	REVIEWED BY H-5-16 DTK 1911
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS 75486 (A)	BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL	ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
G. Shep VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Black/tan	M	5mo				Ok	
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									

WITNESS 1	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

WC 2



INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A16-191020

ACO name & Badge: 1942 Eckelbarger

On 4-4-16 at 1045 hours I Investigator Eckelbarger (1942) responded to Romero Rd unit [redacted] where I observed a black and tan G. Shepherd puppy on a leash tie-out on the front porch. The tie-out was approximately 10 feet long connected to the front porch. The dog had access to shade and water. There was a few days of animal waste in the front yard area next to the front porch. I then impounded the dog and posted a notice of impoundment on the front door.

At 1045 hours I met with dog owner, [redacted] (DOB [redacted]), at the Pima Animal Care Center after she came in to redeem her dog [redacted]. I then cited Ms. [redacted] for neglect-tieout on [redacted] under City jurisdiction. Ms. [redacted] signed and received her copy of the citation.

Officer's Signature:

A large, stylized handwritten signature in black ink. Below the signature, the number "1942" is written and underlined.

Date: 4-5-16

WC 3

INVESTIGATION REPORT		SUSPECT				ACD NAME / BADGE #		ACTIVITY/BITE NUMBER	
Pima County Health Department Pima Animal Care Center 4000 N. Silverbell Rd. Tucson, Arizona 85745 Phone: (520) 724-5900 Fax: (520) 724-5960		SUSPECT'S ADDRESS				R Tovar 2021		A16-192304	
		CITY		STATE	ZIP	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER			
		Tucson		AZ	85711				
		SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS				BITE <input type="checkbox"/> WELFARE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DANGEROUS <input type="checkbox"/>			
		CITY		STATE	ZIP	OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>		CI <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CO <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>	
						DRIVERS LICENSE			
		SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES	HAIR	ORIGIN	DOB	SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER
DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		LOCATION OF INCIDENT				DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT		Date and time reported	
						04/25/16 1615		04/25/16 1615	
		FOOD	WATER	SHELTER	VENTILATION	ABANDONED	TIED/UT	BEATEN	WASTE
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case		VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME				DATE OF BIRTH		RESIDENCE PHONE	
<input type="checkbox"/> I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.		Robert Tovar						BUSINESS PHONE	
		VICTIM'S ADDRESS				CITY		STATE ZIP	
		4000 N Silverbell Rd				Tucson		AZ 85745	
<input type="checkbox"/> REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)		VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS				CITY		STATE ZIP	
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)		DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED		RESTITUTION REQUESTED		DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER		OTHER AGENCY CASE #	
		YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/> SHERIFF DEPT <input type="checkbox"/> TUCSON POLICE <input type="checkbox"/> FIRE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:	
								FOLLOW UP REQUEST	
								<input type="checkbox"/> SD <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:	
<input type="checkbox"/> ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM		<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION		BITE SEVERITY:		TREATED BY		PHONE NUMBER	
		<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION		PART OF BODY BITTEN:				DATE QUARANTINED	
								RELEASE DATE:	
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM								PACC <input type="checkbox"/>	
PHONE NUMBER								VET <input type="checkbox"/>	
								HOME <input type="checkbox"/>	
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS		CLINIC'S ADDRESS				QUARANTINE (DAYS)		FRA HEAD#	
						10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>			
		3 RD PARTY CITATIONS REQUESTED		CITING ACD		PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS		PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	
		YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		R Tovar #2021		YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE		CODE/ORD VIOLATED				OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS		REVIEWED BY	
		4-3 (2)(B); 4-3 (2)(C)						DTK 1911 5-2-16	
		CITATIONS/NUMBERS						BOND	
		74897						YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	
BREED/DESCRIPTION		ANIMAL'S NAME		COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #	CONDITION	ANIMAL ID#
Pit Bull		VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>		Br Brindle	M	11M	269562	N	
Pit Bull		VICTIM OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Tan/ Wh	N	6Y	203846	N	
		VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>							
		VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>							
		VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>							
		VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>							
		VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>							
		VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>							
WITNESS 1		M <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS			RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #	
Officer Robeldo #1990				4000 N Silverbell Rd				520-724-5900	
WITNESS 2		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS			RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #	
WITNESS 3		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS			RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #	
WITNESS 4		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS			RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #	



INVESTIGATION REPORT

PACC Activity: A16-192304

ACO & Badge Robert Tovar #2021

On April 25, 2016 at 1615 hours I, Officer Tovar #2021, asked dispatch to create a Neglect call for the address of _____ Street. I arrived at this address at 1445 hours this afternoon in reference to another call regarding the brown brindle pit bull in the yard. There was also a tan and white pit bull in the yard. I observed that there was no shelter for the dogs and no visible water. I did see two empty aluminum bowls and a clear plastic bowl that was upside down. I called for a 2NH to assist me in impounding the two dogs. Officer Robledo #1990 arrived and we impounded the two pit bull inside of the yard. I photographed the two aluminum bowls which were completely dry and had dust inside of them. I did not see water anywhere in the yard. I saw that there was also a lot of animal waste in the yard. I left a Notice advising the dog owner that I had impounded their dogs. I gave the dogs water to drink in the truck. It should be noted that the dogs are of good weight and appear healthy.

On April 25, 2016 at 1945 hours the Pima Animal Care Center received a call from the owner, _____ who said that she will redeem her dogs as soon as possible.

On April 26, 2016 at 0850 hours Supervisor Tenkate met with the dog owner _____, who resides at _____ St, when she came to PACC to redeem her 2 impounded dogs. She explained that the dogs were impounded as the officer observed them without water or shelter and the yard contained excessive waste. Ms. _____ said she left the house at about 11:30am and the metal bowl was filled with water and they must have drank it. She said the dogs are inside most of the time and she did not know they needed access to shelter. Ms. _____ explained that she just had a baby 3 weeks ago and has been under doctor restrictions and was not able to pick up the animal waste. Supervisor Tenkate explained that she would need to get someone to pick up and dispose of the waste every 24 hours to be in compliance with the law. Ms. _____ provided Supervisor Tenkate with her Arizona drivers license for identification. She signed and received a copy of citation #74897 A-D for Neglect No Water and Neglect No Shelter for A558858 King and A558859 (A440085) Max. She also signed the premise inspection requiring water, shelter and to remove animal waste. Ms. _____ is going to redeem and keep both dogs inside as she is moving to _____ 3rd St on 5/7/16. The welfare recheck date is has been set for 5/9/16 at the new address.

Officer's Signature: *Robert Tovar*

Date: 4-29-16

WC 4

INVESTIGATION REPORT		SUSPECT				ACD NAME / BADGE # K.WALTON #1925		ACTIVITY/BITE NUMBER A16-189386	
Pima County Health Department Pima Animal Care Center 4000 N. Silverbell Rd. Tucson, Arizona 85745 Phone: (520) 724-5900 Fax: (520) 724-5960		SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS				BITE <input type="checkbox"/> WELFARE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DANGEROUS <input type="checkbox"/>		OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>	
		CITY		STATE 710		PERMITS/DRIVING NUMBER			
		SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS				CI <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CO <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>			
		CITY		STATE ZIP		BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE	
		SEX		WEIGHT		HEIGHT		EYES	
		HAIR		ORIGIN		DOB		SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER	
DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		LOCATION OF INCIDENT				DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT 03-29-16 14		Date and time reported 03-05-16 1802	
		FOOD <input type="checkbox"/> WATER <input type="checkbox"/> SHELTER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		VENTILATION <input type="checkbox"/>		ABANDONED <input type="checkbox"/> TIEDOUT <input type="checkbox"/>		BEATEN <input type="checkbox"/> WASTE <input type="checkbox"/> INJ / ILL <input type="checkbox"/>	
								<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EXERCISE SB	
<input type="checkbox"/> I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case		VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME OFFICER K. WALTON #1925				DATE OF BIRTH		RESIDENCE PHONE	
<input type="checkbox"/> I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.		VICTIM'S ADDRESS				CITY		STATE ZIP	
		VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N. SILVERBELL RD.				CITY TUCSON		STATE ZIP AZ 85745	
<input type="checkbox"/> REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. 8 13-4405 (B) and 8 8-286 (B)		NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)		DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	
						OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SHERIFF DEPT <input type="checkbox"/> TUCSON POLICE <input type="checkbox"/> FIRE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:		FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SD <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:	
<input type="checkbox"/> ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM		<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION		BITE SEVERITY:		TREATED BY		PHONE NUMBER	
		<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION		PART OF BODY BITTEN:				DATE QUARANTINED	
								RELEASE DATE:	
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM		VET CLINIC				PHONE NUMBER		OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	
PHONE NUMBER								FTB <input type="checkbox"/> UTD <input type="checkbox"/>	
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS		CLINIC'S ADDRESS				QUARANTINE (DAYS) 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> FRA HEAD#	
		3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		CITING ACD		PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	
								OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS	
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE		CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-3(2)(C), 4-3(2)(E)(1)						REVIEWED BY <i>DTR 1911 4-7-16</i>	
		CITATIONS/NUMBERS 73929						BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	
BREED/DESCRIPTION		ANIMAL'S NAME		COLOR		SEX		AGE	
TERRIER MIX				WHT/TAN		F		ADULT	
VICTIM OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								L16-26906	
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>								N	
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
WITNESS 1		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>		DOB		ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #	
WITNESS 2		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>		DOB		ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #	
WITNESS 3		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>		DOB		ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #	
WITNESS 4		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>		DOB		ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #	



INVESTIGATION REPORT

PACC Activity: A16-189386

ACO & Badge K. WALTON #1925

On March 29th 2016 1014 hours, I, Officer K.Walton 1925, arrived at Circle A Dr. regarding a welfare complaint on several dogs at this address. Complaint was in reference inadequate shelter on some dogs, a blk/wht puppy crying all day and night, and confinement on some dogs.

Upon arrival, I observed a blk/wht Pit bull mix known as Spade from the previous cases at this address. She was in the front yard, and appeared healthy. On the east side of the house, I observed a small brown dog house type structure with a wht/tan Chihuahua/Terrier mix inside which had no access out. Approximately 3ft away, I observed a "C" shaped pen with a blk/wht dog inside of it crying and barking. This appeared to be one of the dogs that the complainant called about. In the back yard I observed the pen and the other dog that the complainant called about, that dog was a tan/wht Pit bull mix.

I entered the yard, to check on the dogs and took photos. Inside the pen where the Chihuahua/Terrier was, I found excessive waste and the exercise space was to small. The dog had food and clean water, but also dirty water in one of the containers. The pen with the blk/wht dog, I observed no shelter, excessive waste, and dirty water. The pen in the back had dirty water, excessive waste and shelter. That dog had access to put his head through the fencing and was very aggressive.

I impounded the Chihuahua/Terrier and the blk/wht Pit bull pup for their welfare violations. I left notice on front gate.

Officer C.Young #1908, met with the dog owner at Pima Animal Care Center regarding the citations I requested. Ms. stated the blk/wht pup belonged to her ex husband and did not redeem that dog. She was issued citations for inadequate shelter and neglect exercise space. She redeemed her dog and was advised of the things she needed to fix for the other dogs at the residence. 1925 K. Walton

Officer's Signature:


Date:

4-7-16

425

INVESTIGATION REPORT		CLIENT				ACO NAME / BADGE #		ACTIVITY/BITE NUMBER	
Pima County Health Department Pima Animal Care Center 4000 N. Starball Rd Tucson, AZ 85705 Phone: (520) 724-5900 Fax: (520) 724-5960		SUSPECT'S ADDRESS				Valdez / 2011		A16-191832	
CITY		STATE		ZIP		RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		BITE <input type="checkbox"/> WELFARE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DANGEROUS <input type="checkbox"/>	
Tucson		AZ		85735				OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		CITY		STATE		ZIP		CI <input type="checkbox"/> CO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>	
N/A								DRIVERS LICENSE	
CITY		STATE		ZIP		BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DOB	
								SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER	
SEX		WEIGHT		HEIGHT		EYES		HAIR	
DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		LOCATION OF INCIDENT				DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT			
		Sandario Ranch Trl.				04/16/16 1600hrs N/A			
		FOOD		WATER		SHELTER		VENTILATION	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	
		ABANDONED		TIED/UT		BEATEN		WASTE	
		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	
		INJ / ILL		OTHER (EXPLAIN)					
		<input type="checkbox"/>							
<input type="checkbox"/> I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case		VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME				DATE OF BIRTH		RESIDENCE PHONE	
<input type="checkbox"/> I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.		VICTIM'S ADDRESS				CITY		STATE	
								ZIP	
<input type="checkbox"/> REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)		VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS				CITY		STATE	
								ZIP	
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)		DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED		RESTITUTION REQUESTED		DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER		OTHER AGENCY CASE #	
		YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/> SHERIFF DEPT <input type="checkbox"/> TUCSON POLICE <input type="checkbox"/> FIRE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:	
								FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:	
<input type="checkbox"/> ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM		<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION		BITE SEVERITY:		TREATED BY		PHONE NUMBER	
		<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION		PART OF BODY BITTEN:				DATE QUARANTINED	
								RELEASE DATE:	
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM		VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER		OWNER KNOWS OF BITE		PACC <input type="checkbox"/>	
PHONE NUMBER						YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		VET <input type="checkbox"/>	
								HOME <input type="checkbox"/>	
								FTQ <input type="checkbox"/>	
								UTQ <input type="checkbox"/>	
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS		CLINIC'S ADDRESS				QUARANTINE (DAYS)		FRA HEAD#	
						10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>			
		3 RD PARTY CITATIONS		CITING ACO		PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS		PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	
		YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>			
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE		CODE/ORD VIOLATED						REVIEWED BY	
		6.04.030,6.04.070,6.04.110(B)(1),6.04.110(B)(2),6.04.110(B)(3),						DTR 1911 5-2-16	
		CITATIONS/NUMBERS						BOND	
		75654, 75655, 75656, 75657, 75662, 75663, 75664, 75665						YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
BREED/DESCRIPTION		ANIMAL'S NAME		COLOR		SEX		AGE	
								LICENSE #	
								CONDITION	
								ANIMAL ID#	
Chihuahua Mix		Bean		BLK		M		2 Yr	
Pit Mix		Unknown		BL BRINDLE		M		6 M	
Heeler Mix		Unknown		BLU MERLE		F		U	
Heeler Mix		Unknown		BRO		F		U	
Lab Mix		Unknown		BLK		F		U	
Heeler Mix		Unknown		RED MERLE		F		2 Yr	
Pit Mix		Lola		WHT		F		6 M	
WITNESS 1		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>		DOB		ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #	
WITNESS 2		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>		DOB		ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #	
WITNESS 3		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>		DOB		ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #	
WITNESS 4		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>		DOB		ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #	

WCS



Activity A16-191832

Page 2

INVESTIGATION REPORT (Continued)

BREED- ANIMAL'S NAME- COLOR- SEX- AGE- LICENSE #- CONDITION- ANIMAL ID#


Heeler Mix - U - BLK - F - U - N/A - Normal -
Heeler Mix - U - BRO - F - U - N/A - Normal -
Heeler Mix - U - RED MERLE - F - U - N/A - Normal -
Heeler Mix - U - BLU MERLE - F - U - N/A - Normal -

Officer Signature

[Handwritten Signature]

Date

04/27/16



INVESTIGATION REPORT

PACC Activity: A16-191832

ACO & Badge R. Valdez #2011

On 04/16/16 at approximately 1600 hrs while enroute to a separate call, I Officer Valdez (#2011) and Officer Baugus (#1918) came across a pack of 4 dogs lying under the shade of a nearby tree on the side of the road. The pack approached the truck and 2 were able to be impounded immediately, while the other 2 (lab mix & heeler mix) retreated to a nearby yard [redacted] via an open gate. We proceeded into the yard of the residence and found no one at home. Upon further inspection of the yard there were 2 more dogs (small, black, Chihuahua & med., red, heeler mix) free roaming and 4 puppies confined in a kennel. There was not any source of water or food available for any the dogs. There was evidence water had once been available, but the containers were empty. The puppies in the kennel were living amongst multiple bags of trash and decaying livestock remains. All but the Chihuahua and a black, heeler mix were able to be impounded that day. We left food and water for the 2 remaining dogs at large and a notice on the door for the owner. While working this case a neighbor from the east brought another puppy stating it came from that residence into her yard.


On 04/26/16 at approximately 15:33 hrs. I Officer Valdez (#2011) and Officer Baugus (#1918) arrived at the residence [redacted] and met with the dog owner [redacted] who stated only 2 of the dogs at her residence are hers (A474279, A557825) and the rest are a result of her son's girlfriend (who also resides at the address) collecting stray dogs and keeping them there. She further stated strays find their way into her yard and she has called PACC multiple times to deal with this issue, but there is not any documentation in the computer system of any calls from this address. It was explained to Ms. [redacted] the law states when a resident takes responsibility for an animal (ie providing food, sanctuary, etc) they are accountable for that animal's wellbeing and actions. She understood and accepted the citations for the animals harbored at her residence. MS. [redacted] was issued citations for leash law, no lic, no food, no water, no shelter, and unsanitary shelter for the 5 puppies. MS. [redacted] signed/received her copies of the citations and was advised of her court date/time and location.

Officer's Signature: *R. Valdez #2011*

Date: 04/27/16

WC 6

INVESTIGATION REPORT Pima County Health Department Pima Animal Care Center 4000 N. Silverbell Rd Tucson, Arizona 85745 Phone: (520) 724-5900 Fax: (520) 724-5960		SUSPECT'S ADDRESS 		ACO NAME / BADGE # S. Elliott #2087		ACTIVITY/BITE NUMBER A16-191340	
CITY Tucson		STATE Az	ZIP 85713	DECIDING DATE NUMBER 			
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS Not Given		CITY NA		STATE NA	ZIP NA	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER NA	
SEX 		WEIGHT 	HEIGHT 	FYFS 	HAIR 	ORIGIN 	DOB
SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER NA							
DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		LOCATION OF INCIDENT 2115 E 35th St		DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT 4/30/16 1448hrs		Date and time reported 04/08/16 1445hrs	
<input type="checkbox"/> I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/> I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.		VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME PACC Officer S. Elliott		DATE OF BIRTH 		RESIDENCE PHONE 724-5900	
<input type="checkbox"/> REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)		VICTIM'S ADDRESS 4000 N. Silverbell Rd		CITY Tucson		STATE Az	ZIP 85745
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)		DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER 	OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SHERIFF DEPT <input type="checkbox"/> TUCSON POLICE <input type="checkbox"/> FIRE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:		FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SD <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:
<input type="checkbox"/> ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM		<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION <input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: PART OF BODY BITTEN:		TREATED BY 	PHONE NUMBER 	DATE QUARANTINED RELEASE DATE:
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM PHONE NUMBER		VET CLINIC 		PHONE NUMBER 	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		PACC <input type="checkbox"/> VET <input type="checkbox"/> HOME <input type="checkbox"/> FTB <input type="checkbox"/> UTB <input type="checkbox"/>
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS		CLINIC'S ADDRESS 		QUARANTINE (DAYS) 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> FRA HEAD#	
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE		3 rd PARTY CITATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		CITING ACO S. Elliott # 2087		PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-3(2)(E)(2), 4-3(2)(C)		CITATIONS/NUMBERS 75678		PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER		OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS	
REVIEWED BY DB/1911 5-3-16		BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					
BREED/DESCRIPTION		ANIMAL'S NAME		COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #
CONDITION		ANIMAL ID#					
Pitbull	VICTIM OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Rusty		Chocolate	M	Adult	Normal
Pitbull	VICTIM OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	JD		White/Gray	M	Adult	Normal
	VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>						
	VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>						
	VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>						
	VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>						
	VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>						
	VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>						
WITNESS 1	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #	
WITNESS 2	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #	
WITNESS 3	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #	
WITNESS 4	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #	



INVESTIGATION REPORT

PACC Activity: A16-191340

ACO & Badge S. Elliott #2087

On April 30th 2016 at _____, I Pima Animal Care Officer (PACC) S. Elliott #2087 and PACC Investigator Delgadillo #2047 arrived at _____ in reference to a report of dogs being tied out. We attempted to make contact with the current resident with no response. In the back yard we observed a male gray and white Pit bull, later identified as JD, in a confined kennel dragging a short chain. We then observed a male chocolate Pit bull, later identified as Rusty, on a tie out in the middle of the yard. PACC Investigator Delgadillo photographed the dogs. Both dogs had access to water however there was no shelter for either animal. We met with the resident of _____ and he stated that the dogs at _____ belonged to his brother in law, _____. He then contacted _____ and asked him to meet us at the residence. _____ arrived approximately five minutes later. We explained to him that tie outs were illegal in Pima County. _____ was cited into Tucson City Court for Neglect-Tie out on Rusty and Neglect- No Shelter for both dogs. He was explained his court date, time and location. _____ stated he understood, signed his citations, and accepted his copy. He then removed Rusty from the tie out and moved him inside the home. We gave him suggestions to secure the yard and to create shelter. We also provided him with a copy of the Pima County Animal Laws brochure. We then left the scene.

Officer's Signature:

Date: 5/3/2016

WC 7

INVESTIGATION REPORT
 Pima County Health Department
 Pima Animal Care Center
 4000 N Silverbell Rd
 Tucson, Arizona 85718
 Phone: (520) 724-5980
 Fax: (520) 724-5980
 www.pima.gov/animalcare



SUSPECT		ACO NAME / BADGE # D. Tenkate #1911	COMPLAINT NUMBER A16-190680
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS			
ZIP	CITY	STATE AZ	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS None		CI <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CO <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>	CODE IF OTHER :
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER
SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES
HAIR COLOR		ORIGIN	DOB
SSN		DRIVERS LICENSE	

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	LOCATION OF INCIDENT 4000 N Silverbell Rd	DATE AND TIME REPORTED 3/29/16 / 1050hrs	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 3/29/16 / 1109hrs
FOOD <input type="checkbox"/> WATER <input type="checkbox"/> SHELTER <input type="checkbox"/> INJURED/ILL <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VENTILATION <input type="checkbox"/> ABANDONED <input type="checkbox"/> TIEOUT <input type="checkbox"/> BEATEN <input type="checkbox"/> WASTE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (EXPLAIN) <input type="checkbox"/>			

<input type="checkbox"/> I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME D. Tenkate #1911	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO. 520 724-5911
<input type="checkbox"/> I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS		ZIP	CITY
<input type="checkbox"/> REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N Silverbell Rd		ZIP 85745	CITY Tucson
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)		DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> TFD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:		FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:	
---	--	--	--

<input type="checkbox"/> ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED	PACC <input type="checkbox"/>
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM	<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION	PART OF BODY BITTEN:			RELEASE DATE:	VET <input type="checkbox"/>
PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		HOME <input type="checkbox"/>


LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS	QUARANTINE 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> FRA HEAD#	
3 RD PARTY CITATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	CITING ACO Tenkate #1911	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER A14-161686	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-3(2)(D)	REVIEWED BY 4/10-16 DTH 1911
CITATIONS/NUMBERS 74850	BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL	ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
German Shorthair Pointer VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Silver	F	13y		expired	expired	III	
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									

WITNESS 1 Sarah Rios DVM	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS 4000 N Silverbell Rd	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE # 520 724-5900
WITNESS 2	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

WC 7



INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A16-190680

ACO name & Badge: D. Tenkate #1911

On 3/29/16 at 1050 hours The dog owner came to the Pima Animal Care Center to have her German Shorthair Pointer named euthanized. She said that had been healthy and within the past 3 days she did not eat or drink and could no longer stand up.

The County veterinarian Sarah Rios examined the dog named and noted the following observations:

Brought in as an OPTS.

Dog was brought in lateral with increased effort in respiration.

Oral exam: Generalized tartar and calculus.

Integ: many masses on the body including a large (~10cm) firm mass between the shoulder blades. A soft SQ (~3 cm) mass located on the rostral right mandible.

Chronic dermatitis in the right and left inguinal areas.

Both ears erythematous and thickened. Appears to be due to chronic ear infections.

Repro: Enlarged vulva

M/S: Unable to stand.

A:

Geriatric, unable to walk.

chronic dermatitis

Several masses

Dental disease

P:

Due to poor prognosis patient was euthanized.

The dog's body was placed on hold due to the dog being microchipped .(pending ownership per Home again microchip company)

WC 7

Continued page 2

On 3/29/16 at 1109 hours I Supervisor Tenkate #1911 arrived in the treatment room at the Pima Animal Care Center and observed a female German Shorthair Pointer that was lateral on some blankets. The dog had hair loss, numerous masses (tumors) on her body, her ears were infected (odorous), and nails were overgrown with open sores on her paws. I took photographs of the dogs' condition.

I then spoke with the Pima County Veterinarian Sarah Rios who had me contacted to document the condition of the German Shorthair Pointer that had been brought in by the owner for euthanasia. The dog owner had stated that the dog named [redacted] had not been to a vet for about 4 years. Dr. Rios said that in her opinion that the dog did not receive medical care that was needed to treat her conditions.

I then met with the dog owner [redacted] and I asked when was the last time that her dog [redacted] had been treated by a veterinarian. She said in 2015 [redacted] was taken to ABC Clinic on 22nd St and Craycroft Rd. She said that the vet said that the tumors were benign and not operable. She said she received medication for [redacted] skin condition and her ears. She did not know the name of the medications or the date the dog was examined. When I told Ms [redacted] that I would call and confirm that [redacted] received treatment at ABC clinic in 2015 and she said she was not sure when the dog was last seen by a veterinarian. She provided me with an AZ identification card and she signed and received a copy of citation #74850 for neglect vet care. She is aware of her court date, time and location.

I contacted ABC clinic (1114 S Craycroft Rd-745-4564) and confirmed that [redacted] brought Sara in for treatment on 12/11/14 for her skin condition and ear infection. Per the owner she had treated the dogs' skin condition with motor oil without improvement. The veterinarian assessed Sara and recommended bloodwork, skin scrape- mange and prescription shampoo which the owner declined. Ms. [redacted] agreed to antibiotic, medicated ear drops and predisone which would have treated the dog for 20 days. The owner was advised to call for a follow up appointment if Saras' conditions did not improve. Ms. [redacted] did not bring her dog back for any treatment at the ABC clinic.

I was able to research the PACC database and found a previous activity A14-161686 where a Silver German Shorthaired Pointer [redacted] named [redacted] had been impounded on 12/9/14. The dog had hair loss and a large bulge on her left shoulder. The dog also had a microchip [redacted]. The officer located and met with [redacted] the adult son of the dog owner [redacted], who resides at [redacted] Mann Ave [redacted]. [redacted] signed a premise inspection which required vet care and secure confinement by 12/12/14 and the dog, was returned to him. Per [redacted] the dog [redacted] was 14 years old, deaf and almost blind.

WC 7

Continued page 3

did not seek veterinary treatment for her dog in over a year even though her health conditions continued to deteriorate.

Note:

We were able to contact the Home Again Microchip company and found the following:

Home again and they said that the chip was never registered and was sold to Hunt Kennels,

Per Stacey at Hunt Kennel Systems. She stated the chip was sold to Valley Pet Center on 01/2003 with the collar number and Invoice Number

Officer's Signature: *D. Yun Kate*

Date: *4-10-16*

Report Snapshot										Officer's Case Report
DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		LOCATION OF INCIDENT NELSON DR			DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT 04/26/16 0859		Date and time reported 04/26/16 0811			<p>ON APRIL 26, 2016 OFFICER ANDREW VARGAS BADGE #2060 ARRIVED AT THE THE COMPLAINANTS PROPERTY WHERE THE COMPLAINANT ADVISED ME OF A DOG THAT HAD JUMPED HIS FENCE AND WAS HANGING NOW ON HIS SIDE OF HIS FENCE BY THE MEANS OF A TIE OUT. UPON REVIEWING WHERE THE DOG WAS TIED OUT, I OBSERVED THE DOG HANGING BY A TIE OUT AND BARELY ABLE TO TOUCH THE GROUND WITH IT'S REAR PAWS. IT WAS AT THIS TIME THAT I OBSERVED THAT THE DOG WAS TIED OUT BY THE MEANS OF A WIRE LEASH. I THEN USED A SET OF BOLT CUTTERS TO REMOVE THE DOG FROM THE TIE OUT. I THEN IMPOUNDED THE DOG AND ATTEMPTED TO MAKE CONTACT AT THE DOG OWNERS ADDRESS OF NELSON DR. I DID NOT RECEIVE AN ANSWER AT THE DOOR AND WAS UNABLE TO MAKE CONTACT WITH THE DOG OWNER. A NOTICE WAS LEFT ON THE FRONT DOOR STATING THAT THE DOG WAS IMPOUNDED ALONG WITH THE DOGS ANIMAL ID. NO FURTHER ACTION WAS TAKEN.</p> <p>ON APRIL 26, 2016 AT 1817 HOURS OFFICER ELLIOTT (2087) MET WITH DOG OWNER AFTER HE CAME IN TO REDEEM OFFICER ELLIOTT ISSUED NEGLECT-TIEOUT CITATIONS TO MR. INDER CITY JURISDICTION. HE SIGNED AND RECEIVED HIS COPIES OF THE CITATIONS.</p>
<input type="checkbox"/> I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/> I WAVE "upon request" rights in this case.		VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME A. VARGAS #2060		DATE OF BIRTH		RESIDENCE PHONE 520-724-5900		BUSINESS PHONE 520-724-5900		
<input type="checkbox"/> REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 9-28B (B)		VICTIM'S ADDRESS 4000 N SILVERBELL RD		CITY TUCSON		STATE AZ		ZIP 85745		
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)		DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER		OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SHERIFF DEPT <input type="checkbox"/> TUCSON POLICE <input type="checkbox"/> FIRE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:		
<input type="checkbox"/> ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM		<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION <input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION		BITE SEVERITY: PART OF BODY BITTEN:		TREATED BY		PHONE NUMBER		
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM PHONE NUMBER		VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER		OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		DATE QUARANTINED RELEASE DATE:		
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS		CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE (DAYS) 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> FRA HEAD#		OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS		
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE		3 rd PARTY CITATIONS YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		CITING ACD S. Elliott (2087)		PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER		
BREED/DESCRIPTION PIT BULL MIX		ANIMAL'S NAME		COLOR BRN/WHITE		SEX M		AGE 2YRS		
VICTIM OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		LICENSE # L16270834		CONDITION NORMAL		ANIMAL ID#		REVIEWED BY <i>OK/1911 4-28-16</i>		
CITATIONS/NUMBERS 75677 (A)		BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>								
Summary										
AI6-192323 One dog was impounded. The owner redeemed the dog and was cited for neglect, tie out. The dog was returned to the owner. This complaint is closed.										
Committee Member Comments/ Request for Information										Member
What was response time to complaint? From report "UPON REVIEWING WHERE THE DOG WAS TIED OUT, I OBSERVED THE DOG HANGING BY A TIE OUT AND BARELY ABLE TO TOUCH THE GROUND WITH IT'S REAR PAWS. IT WAS AT THIS TIME THAT I OBSERVED THAT THE DOG WAS TIED OUT BY THE MEANS OF A WIRE LEASH." The dog was left in a precarious and possibly dangerous manner by the complainant. Was the situation grave enough for the complainant to be cited for cruelty or neglect?										T. Barrick
										N. Emptage
										P. Hubbard
										P. Jacobs
										S. Kaluzniacki
										D. Marshall
This needs follow up. Tie out could result in dogs death.										H. Mendelsohn
										J. Neumann
										E. O'Donnell
										J. Schwerin

This dog could have easily been strangled due to hanging from his tie out, so this is not just a neglect case but should have been abuse as well, Has a recheck been done to be sure the dog is not tied out again?	G. Smith
	City of Tucson Rep.

Report Snapshot										Officer's Case Report									
DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					INFORMATION OF INCIDENT FOOD <input type="checkbox"/> WATER <input type="checkbox"/> SHELTER <input type="checkbox"/> INJURED/ILL <input type="checkbox"/> VENTILATION <input type="checkbox"/> ABANDONED <input type="checkbox"/> TIEOUT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BEATEN <input type="checkbox"/> WASTE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (EXPLAIN) <input type="checkbox"/>					DATE AND TIME REPORTED 4-4-16 / 1026					DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 4-4-16 / 1045				
<input type="checkbox"/> I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case.					VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME 1942 Eckelbarger					D.O.B.					RESIDENCE PHONE NO.				
<input type="checkbox"/> I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.					VICTIM'S ADDRESS					ZIP					CITY STATE				
<input type="checkbox"/> REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4415 (B) and § 8-206 (B)					VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N. Silverbell Rd					ZIP					CITY STATE Tucson AZ				
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)					DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER				
<input type="checkbox"/> ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM					<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION					BITE SEVERITY:					TREATED BY				
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM					<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION					PART OF BODY BITTEN:					PHONE NUMBER				
PHONE NUMBER					VET CLINIC					OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>					RELEASE DATE:				
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS					CLINIC'S ADDRESS					QUARANTINE 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>					<input type="checkbox"/> FRA HEAD#				
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE					3 RD PARTY CITATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					CITING ACO 1942 Eckelbarger					PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-3 (2)(E)(2)					CITATIONS/NUMBERS 7548B (A)					REVIEWED BY 4-5-16 BTB/1911					<input type="checkbox"/> BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME		COLOR		SEX		AGE		TAG COLOR		LICENSE #		VX CERTIFICATE #		COND		ANIMAL ID#	
G. Shep		<input type="checkbox"/> VICTIM <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OWNER		Black/tan		M		5mo		[]		[]		[]		Ok		[]	
Summary																			
One dog was impounded. The owner redeemed the dog and was cited for neglect tie out. The dog was returned to the owner. This complaint is closed.																			
Committee Member Comments/ Request for Information															Member				
Was the license and vaccination status checked on this animal? It is not noted on the report---just that dog is 5 months old															T. Barrick				
Were redemption fees also charged to the owner?															N. Emptage				
[]															P. Hubbard				
[]															P. Jacobs				
[]															S. Kaluzniacki				
[]															D. Marshall				
[]															H. Mendelsohn				
[]															J. Neumann				
[]															E. O'Donnell				
[]															J. Schwerin				
[]															G. Smith				
[]															City of Tucson Rep.				

Report Snapshot										Officer's Case Report
DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		INDICATION OF INCIDENT FOOD <input type="checkbox"/> WATER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SHELTER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VENTILATION <input type="checkbox"/> ABANDONED <input type="checkbox"/> TIED/IT <input type="checkbox"/> BEATEN <input type="checkbox"/> WASTE <input type="checkbox"/> INJ/ILL <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (EXPLAIN) <input type="checkbox"/>				DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT 04/25/16 1615 Date and time reported 04/25/16 1615				<p>On April 25, 2016 at 1615 hours I, Officer Tovar #2021, asked dispatch to create a Neglect call for the address of . I arrived at this address at 1445 hours this afternoon in reference to another call regarding the brown brindle pit bull in the yard. There was also a tan and white pit bull in the yard. I observed that there was no shelter for the dogs and no visible water. I did see two empty aluminum bowls and a clear plastic bowl that was upside down. I called for a 2NH to assist me in impounding the two dogs. Officer Robledo #1990 arrived and we impounded the two pit bull inside of the yard. I photographed the two aluminum bowls which were completely dry and had dust inside of them. I did not see water anywhere in the yard. I saw that there was also a lot of animal waste in the yard. I left a Notice advising the dog owner that I had Impounded their dogs. I gave the dogs water to drink in the truck. It should be noted that the dogs are of good weight and appear healthy. On April25, 2016 at 1945 hours the Pima Animal Care Center received a call from the owner, who said that she will redeem her dogs as soon as possible. On April26, 2016 at 0850 hours Supervisor Tenkate met with the dog owner who resides at , when she came to PACC to redeem her 2 impounded dogs. She explained that the dogs were Impounded as the officer observed them without water or shelter and the yard contained excessive waste. Ms. said she left the house at about 11 :30am and the metal bowl was filled with water and they must have drank it She said the dogs are inside most of the time and she did not know they needed access to shelter. Ms. explained that she just had a baby 3 weeks ago and has been under doctor restrictions and was not able to pick up the animal waste. Supervisor Tenkate explained that she would need to get someone to pick up and dispose of the waste every 24 hours to be in compliance with the law. Ms. provided Supervisor Tenkate with her Arizona drivers license for Identification. She signed and received a copy of citation #74897 A-D for Neglect No Water and Neglect No Shelter for A558858 King and A558859 (A440085) Max. She also signed the premise inspection requiring water, shelter and to remove animal waste. Ms is going to redeem and keep both dogs inside as she is moving to on 5/7/16. The welfare recheck date is has been set for 5/9/16 at the new address.</p>
<input type="checkbox"/> I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/> I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.		VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME Robert Tovar		DATE OF BIRTH		RESIDENCE PHONE		BUSINESS PHONE 520-724-5900		
<input type="checkbox"/> REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 9-286 (B)		VICTIM'S ADDRESS 4000 N Silverbell Rd		CITY Tucson		STATE AZ		ZIP 85745		
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)		DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER		OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SHERIFF DEPT <input type="checkbox"/> TUCSON POLICE <input type="checkbox"/> FIRE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:		
<input type="checkbox"/> ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM		<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION <input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION		BITE SEVERITY: PART OF BODY BITTEN:		TREATED BY		PHONE NUMBER		
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM		VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER		OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		DATE QUARANTINED RELEASE DATE:		
PHONE NUMBER		CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE (DAYS) 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> FRA HEAD#		FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SD <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:		
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS		3 RD PARTY CITATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		CITING ACO R Tovar #2021		PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER		
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE		CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-3 (2)(B); 4-3 (2)(C)		OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS		REVIEWED BY <i>DTR/1911 5-2-16</i>		BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		
CITATIONS/NUMBERS 74897		BREED/DESCRIPTION		ANIMAL'S NAME		COLOR		SEX		
<input type="checkbox"/> VICTIM <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OWNER		<input type="checkbox"/> VICTIM <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OWNER		<input type="checkbox"/> VICTIM <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OWNER		<input type="checkbox"/> VICTIM <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OWNER		<input type="checkbox"/> VICTIM <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OWNER		
Pit Bull		Pit Bull		Br Brindle		M		11M		
Pit Bull		Pit Bull		Tan/ Wh		N		6Y		
License #		License #		269562		203846		Condition		
N		N		N		N		Animal ID#		

Summary

Two dogs were impounded. The owner redeemed both dogs and was cited for neglect, no water and neglect no shelter. The dogs were returned to the owner. This complaint is open pending a recheck for water and shelter.

Committee Member Comments/ Request for Information

Member

Recheck status?	T. Barrick
Great that recheck is scheduled	N. Emptage
	P. Hubbard
	P. Jacobs
	S. Kaluzniacki
	D. Marshall
	H. Mendelsohn
	J. Neumann
	E. O'Donnell
	J. Schwerin
	G. Smith
	City of Tucson Rep.

Report Snapshot										Officer's Case Report	
DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		INFORMATION FOOD <input type="checkbox"/> WATER <input type="checkbox"/> SHELTER <input type="checkbox"/> VENTILATION <input type="checkbox"/> ABANDONED <input type="checkbox"/> TIRED <input type="checkbox"/> BEATEN <input type="checkbox"/> WASTE <input type="checkbox"/> INJ/ILL <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (EXPLAIN) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EXERCISE SB		DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT 03-29-16 14		Date and time reported 03-05-16 1802		<input type="checkbox"/> I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case. <input type="checkbox"/> I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.		VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME OFFICER K. WALTON #1925	
<input type="checkbox"/> REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. 8 13-4405 (B) and 8 8-265 (E)		VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N. SILVERBELL RD.		CITY TUCSON		STATE AZ		ZIP 85745		BUSINESS PHONE 724-5900	
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)		DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER		OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SHERIFF DEPT <input type="checkbox"/> TUCSON POLICE <input type="checkbox"/> FIRE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:		FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SD <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:	
<input type="checkbox"/> ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM		<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION		BITE SEVERITY:		TREATED BY		PHONE NUMBER		DATE QUARANTINED	
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM		<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION		PART OF BODY BITTEN:		OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		FTO <input type="checkbox"/> UTO <input type="checkbox"/>		RELEASE DATE:	
PHONE NUMBER		VET CLINIC		QUARANTINE (DAYS) 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>		FRA HEAD#		PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS		CLINIC'S ADDRESS		CITING ACD		OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS		VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-3(2)(C), 4-3(2)(E)(1)		REVIEWED BY <i>DTH/911 4-7-16</i>	
BREED/DESCRIPTION		ANIMAL'S NAME		COLOR		SEX		AGE		LICENSE #	
TERRIER MIX		WHT/TAN		F		ADULT		L16-26906		N	
VICTIM OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		ANIMAL ID#		CITATIONS/NUMBERS 73929		BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		BREED/DESCRIPTION		ANIMAL'S NAME	
Summary											
Two dogs were impounded. The owner redeemed one dog and relinquished the other. The owner was cited for neglect, no shelter, and neglect, no exercise space. This complaint is closed.											
Committee Member Comments/ Request for Information										Member	
Did anyone explain the excessive noise complaint as well to this owner?										T. Barrick	
										N. Emptage	
										P. Hubbard	
										P. Jacobs	
										S. Kaluzniacki	
										D. Marshall	
Would like to see follow up										H. Mendelsohn	
										J. Neumann	
										E. O'Donnell	
										J. Schwerin	
Was the dog in the back the tan and white pit bull? What happened to this dog? Is this the aggressive dog? This case is very confusing...there seems to be 4 dogs on this property. The chihuahua mix, the black and white pup who was crying, the aggressive dog in the back and Spade in the front.										G. Smith	
										City of Tucson Rep.	

Report Snapshot	Officer's Case Report																																																																																																																																																																
<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td colspan="2"> DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> </td> <td colspan="2"> LOCATION OF INCIDENT Sandario Ranch Trl. </td> <td colspan="2"> DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT 04/16/16 1600hrs </td> <td colspan="2"> Date and time reported N/A </td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"> <input type="checkbox"/> I CHOOSE "open request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/> I WAIVE "open request" rights in this case. </td> <td colspan="2"> FOOD <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WATER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SHELTER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VENTILATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ABANDONED <input type="checkbox"/> BUILT <input type="checkbox"/> BEATEN <input type="checkbox"/> MISTE <input type="checkbox"/> INJ./ILL <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (EXPLAIN) <input type="checkbox"/> </td> <td colspan="2"> VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME _____ </td> <td colspan="2"> DATE OF BIRTH _____ </td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"> VICTIM'S ADDRESS _____ </td> <td colspan="2"> CITY _____ </td> <td colspan="2"> STATE _____ </td> <td colspan="2"> ZIP _____ </td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"> VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS _____ </td> <td colspan="2"> CITY _____ </td> <td colspan="2"> STATE _____ </td> <td colspan="2"> ZIP _____ </td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"> NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE) _____ </td> <td colspan="2"> DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> </td> <td colspan="2"> RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> </td> <td colspan="2"> DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER _____ </td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"> <input type="checkbox"/> ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION </td> <td colspan="2"> BITE SEVERITY: _____ </td> <td colspan="2"> TREATED BY _____ </td> <td colspan="2"> PHONE NUMBER _____ </td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"> RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM _____ </td> <td colspan="2"> VET CLINIC _____ </td> <td colspan="2"> PHONE NUMBER _____ </td> <td colspan="2"> OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> </td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"> PHONE NUMBER _____ </td> <td colspan="2"> PART OF BODY BITTEN: _____ </td> <td colspan="2"> DATE QUARANTINED _____ </td> <td colspan="2"> FACE <input type="checkbox"/> NET <input type="checkbox"/> HOME <input type="checkbox"/> </td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"> LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS _____ </td> <td colspan="2"> CLINIC'S ADDRESS _____ </td> <td colspan="2"> QUARANTINE DATES 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/> _____ </td> <td colspan="2"> FRA HEAD# _____ </td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"> VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE _____ </td> <td colspan="2"> 3rd PARTY CITATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> </td> <td colspan="2"> CITING ACO _____ </td> <td colspan="2"> PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> </td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"> CODES/ORD VIOLATED 6.04.030,6.04.070,6.04.110(B)(1),6.04.110(B)(2),6.04.110(B)(3), </td> <td colspan="2"> CITATIONS/NUMBERS 75654, 75655, 75656, 75657, 75662, 75663, 75664, 75665 </td> <td colspan="2"> REVIEWED BY DTA/16 5-2-16 </td> <td colspan="2"> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> </td> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="2">Breed/Description</th> <th>Animal's Name</th> <th>Color</th> <th>Sex</th> <th>Age</th> <th>License #</th> <th>Condition</th> <th>Animal ID#</th> </tr> <tr> <td>Chihuahua Mix</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER</td> <td>Bean</td> <td>BLK</td> <td>M</td> <td>2 Yr</td> <td>L14-22511</td> <td>Normal</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Pit Mix</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER</td> <td>Unknown</td> <td>BL BRINDLE</td> <td>M</td> <td>6 M</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>Normal</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Heeler Mix</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER</td> <td>Unknown</td> <td>BLU MERLE</td> <td>F</td> <td>U</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>Normal</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Heeler Mix</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER</td> <td>Unknown</td> <td>BRO</td> <td>F</td> <td>U</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>Normal</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Lab Mix</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER</td> <td>Unknown</td> <td>BLK</td> <td>F</td> <td>U</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>Normal</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Heeler Mix</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER</td> <td>Unknown</td> <td>RED MERLE</td> <td>F</td> <td>2 Yr</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>Normal</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Pit Mix</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER</td> <td>Lola</td> <td>WHT</td> <td>F</td> <td>6 M</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>Normal</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		LOCATION OF INCIDENT Sandario Ranch Trl.		DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT 04/16/16 1600hrs		Date and time reported N/A		<input type="checkbox"/> I CHOOSE "open request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/> I WAIVE "open request" rights in this case.		FOOD <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WATER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SHELTER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VENTILATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ABANDONED <input type="checkbox"/> BUILT <input type="checkbox"/> BEATEN <input type="checkbox"/> MISTE <input type="checkbox"/> INJ./ILL <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (EXPLAIN) <input type="checkbox"/>		VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME _____		DATE OF BIRTH _____		VICTIM'S ADDRESS _____		CITY _____		STATE _____		ZIP _____		VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS _____		CITY _____		STATE _____		ZIP _____		NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE) _____		DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER _____		<input type="checkbox"/> ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION		BITE SEVERITY: _____		TREATED BY _____		PHONE NUMBER _____		RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM _____		VET CLINIC _____		PHONE NUMBER _____		OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		PHONE NUMBER _____		PART OF BODY BITTEN: _____		DATE QUARANTINED _____		FACE <input type="checkbox"/> NET <input type="checkbox"/> HOME <input type="checkbox"/>		LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS _____		CLINIC'S ADDRESS _____		QUARANTINE DATES 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/> _____		FRA HEAD# _____		VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE _____		3 rd PARTY CITATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		CITING ACO _____		PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		CODES/ORD VIOLATED 6.04.030,6.04.070,6.04.110(B)(1),6.04.110(B)(2),6.04.110(B)(3),		CITATIONS/NUMBERS 75654, 75655, 75656, 75657, 75662, 75663, 75664, 75665		REVIEWED BY DTA/16 5-2-16		YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Breed/Description		Animal's Name	Color	Sex	Age	License #	Condition	Animal ID#	Chihuahua Mix	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER	Bean	BLK	M	2 Yr	L14-22511	Normal		Pit Mix	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER	Unknown	BL BRINDLE	M	6 M	N/A	Normal		Heeler Mix	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER	Unknown	BLU MERLE	F	U	N/A	Normal		Heeler Mix	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER	Unknown	BRO	F	U	N/A	Normal		Lab Mix	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER	Unknown	BLK	F	U	N/A	Normal		Heeler Mix	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER	Unknown	RED MERLE	F	2 Yr	N/A	Normal		Pit Mix	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER	Lola	WHT	F	6 M	N/A	Normal		<p>On 04/16/16 at approximately 1600 hrs while enroute to a separate call, I Officer Valdez (#2011) and Officer Baugus (#1918) came across a pack of 4 dogs lying under the shade of a nearby tree on the side of the road. The pack approached the truck and 2 were able to be impounded immediately, while the other 2 (lab mix & heeler mix) retreated to a nearby yard via an open gate. We proceeded into the yard of the residence and found no one at home. Upon further inspection of the yard there were 2 more dogs (small, black, Chihuahua & med., red, heeler mix) free roaming and 4 puppies confined in a kennel. There was not any source of water or food available for any the dogs. There was evidence water had once been available, but the containers were empty. The puppies in the kennel were living amongst multiple bags of trash and decaying livestock remains. All but the Chihuahua and a black, heeler mix were able to be impounded that day. We left food and water for the 2 remaining dogs at large and a notice on the door for the owner. While working this case a neighbor from the east brought another puppy stating it came from that residence into her yard. On 04/16/16 at approximately 16:33 hrs. I Officer Valdez (#2011) and Officer Baugus (#1918) arrived at the residence and met with the dog owner who stated only 2 of the dogs at her residence are hers (A474279, A557825) and the rest are a result of her son's girlfriend (who also resides at the address) collecting stray dogs and keeping them there. She further stated strays find their way into her yard and she has called PACC multiple times to deal with this issue, but there is not any documentation in the computer system of any calls from this address. It was explained to Ms. the law states when a resident takes responsibility for an animal (ie providing food, sanctuary, etc) they are accountable for that animal's wellbeing and actions. She understood and accepted the citations for the animals harbored at her residence. Ms. was issued citations for leash law, no lie, no food, no water, no shelter, and unsanitary shelter for the 5 puppies. Ms. signed/received her copies of the citations and was advised of her court date/time and location.</p>
DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		LOCATION OF INCIDENT Sandario Ranch Trl.		DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT 04/16/16 1600hrs		Date and time reported N/A																																																																																																																																																											
<input type="checkbox"/> I CHOOSE "open request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/> I WAIVE "open request" rights in this case.		FOOD <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WATER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SHELTER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VENTILATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ABANDONED <input type="checkbox"/> BUILT <input type="checkbox"/> BEATEN <input type="checkbox"/> MISTE <input type="checkbox"/> INJ./ILL <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (EXPLAIN) <input type="checkbox"/>		VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME _____		DATE OF BIRTH _____																																																																																																																																																											
VICTIM'S ADDRESS _____		CITY _____		STATE _____		ZIP _____																																																																																																																																																											
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS _____		CITY _____		STATE _____		ZIP _____																																																																																																																																																											
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE) _____		DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER _____																																																																																																																																																											
<input type="checkbox"/> ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION		BITE SEVERITY: _____		TREATED BY _____		PHONE NUMBER _____																																																																																																																																																											
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM _____		VET CLINIC _____		PHONE NUMBER _____		OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>																																																																																																																																																											
PHONE NUMBER _____		PART OF BODY BITTEN: _____		DATE QUARANTINED _____		FACE <input type="checkbox"/> NET <input type="checkbox"/> HOME <input type="checkbox"/>																																																																																																																																																											
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS _____		CLINIC'S ADDRESS _____		QUARANTINE DATES 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/> _____		FRA HEAD# _____																																																																																																																																																											
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE _____		3 rd PARTY CITATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		CITING ACO _____		PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>																																																																																																																																																											
CODES/ORD VIOLATED 6.04.030,6.04.070,6.04.110(B)(1),6.04.110(B)(2),6.04.110(B)(3),		CITATIONS/NUMBERS 75654, 75655, 75656, 75657, 75662, 75663, 75664, 75665		REVIEWED BY DTA/16 5-2-16		YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>																																																																																																																																																											
Breed/Description		Animal's Name	Color	Sex	Age	License #	Condition	Animal ID#																																																																																																																																																									
Chihuahua Mix	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER	Bean	BLK	M	2 Yr	L14-22511	Normal																																																																																																																																																										
Pit Mix	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER	Unknown	BL BRINDLE	M	6 M	N/A	Normal																																																																																																																																																										
Heeler Mix	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER	Unknown	BLU MERLE	F	U	N/A	Normal																																																																																																																																																										
Heeler Mix	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER	Unknown	BRO	F	U	N/A	Normal																																																																																																																																																										
Lab Mix	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER	Unknown	BLK	F	U	N/A	Normal																																																																																																																																																										
Heeler Mix	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER	Unknown	RED MERLE	F	2 Yr	N/A	Normal																																																																																																																																																										
Pit Mix	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER	Lola	WHT	F	6 M	N/A	Normal																																																																																																																																																										
Summary																																																																																																																																																																	
Nine dogs impounded. The owner was cited for leash law violations, neglect, no water, neglect, no shelter, neglect, no food, neglect, unsanitary shelter and no license. No animals were redeemed. This complaint in closed.																																																																																																																																																																	
Committee Member Comments/ Request for Information																																																																																																																																																																	
							Member																																																																																																																																																										
Collector?							T. Barrick																																																																																																																																																										
Were the puppies also impounded?							N. Emptage																																																																																																																																																										
							P. Hubbard																																																																																																																																																										
							P. Jacobs																																																																																																																																																										
							S. Kaluzniacki																																																																																																																																																										
							D. Marshall																																																																																																																																																										
Should be on do not adopt list.							H. Mendelsohn																																																																																																																																																										
							J. Neumann																																																																																																																																																										
							E. O'Donnell																																																																																																																																																										
							J. Schwerin																																																																																																																																																										
I think the girlfriend should also be cited, if she is of age, for the dogs and puppies she has "rescued".							G. Smith																																																																																																																																																										
							City of Tucson Rep.																																																																																																																																																										

Report Snapshot								Officer's Case Report													
DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		LOCATION OF INCIDENT 2115 E 35th St				DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT 4/30/16 1448hrs		Date and time reported 04/08/16 1445hrs		On April 30th 2016 at IPima Animal care Officer (PACC) S. Elliott #2087 and PACC Investigator Delgadillo #2047 arrived at in reference to a report of dogs being tied out. We attempted to make contact with the current resident with no response. In the back yard we observed a male gray and white Pit bull, later identified as JD, In a confined kennel dragging a short chain. We then observed a male chocolate Pit bull, later identified as Rusty, on a tie out in the middle of the yard. PACC Investigator Delgadillo photographed the dogs. Both dogs had access to water however there was no shelter for either animal. We met with the and he stated that the dogs at belonged to his brother In law, He then contacted and asked him to meet us at the residence. arrived approximately five minutes later. We explained to him that tie outs were illegal in Pima County was cited into Tucson City Court for Neglect-Tie out on Rusty and Neglect- No Shelter for both dogs. He was explained his court date, time and location. Stated he understood, signed his citations, and accepted his copy. He then removed Rusty from the tie out and moved him inside the home. We gave him suggestions to secure the yard and to create shelter. We also provided him with a copy of the Pima County Animal Laws brochure. We then left the scene.											
<input type="checkbox"/> I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/> I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.		VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME PACC Officer S. Elliott		DATE OF BIRTH		RESIDENCE PHONE 724-5900		BUSINESS PHONE 724-5900													
<input type="checkbox"/> REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-206 (B)		VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N. Silverbell Rd				CITY Tucson		STATE Az										ZIP 85745			
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)		DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER		OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SHERIFF DEPT. <input type="checkbox"/> TUCSON POLICE <input type="checkbox"/> FIRE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:										FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SF <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:			
<input type="checkbox"/> ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM		<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION <input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION		BITE SEVERITY: PART OF BODY BITTEN:		TREATED BY		PHONE NUMBER										DATE QUARANTINED RELEASE DATE:		PACC <input type="checkbox"/> YET <input type="checkbox"/> HOME	
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM PHONE NUMBER		YET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER		OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		FTD <input type="checkbox"/> UTG <input type="checkbox"/>													
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS		CLINIC'S ADDRESS				QUARANTINE (DAYS) 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> FRA HEAD#													
<input type="checkbox"/> PARTY CITATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		CITING ACO S. Elliott # 2087		PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER		OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS													
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE CODE/WORD VIOLATED 4-3(2)(E)(2), 4-3(2)(C)		CITATIONS/NUMBERS 75678		REVIEWED BY <i>DPK/191 5-3-16</i>		BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>															
BREED/DESCRIPTION		ANIMAL'S NAME		COLOR		SEX		AGE										LICENSE #		CONDITION	
Pitbull		Rusty		Chocolate		M		Adult		Normal											
Pitbull		JD		White/Gray		M		Adult		Normal											
Summary																					
No animals were impounded. The animal owner was cited for neglect tie out and neglect, no shelter.																					
Committee Member Comments/ Request for Information												Member									
												T. Barrick									
The report does not indicate the status of licenses nor vaccinations—were these checked? Report indicate citations for neglect tie out and neglect no shelter issued but nothing about vaccinations or licenses noted on report.												N. Emptage									
												P. Hubbard									
												P. Jacobs									
												S. Kaluzniacki									
												D. Marshall									
Follow up if possible												H. Mendelsohn									
												J. Neumann									
												E. O'Donnell									
												J. Schwerin									
Is there a plan to check the residence for compliance?												G. Smith									
												City of Tucson Rep.									

Report Snapshot										Officer's Case Report									
DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		LOCATION OF INCIDENT 4000 N Silverbell Rd				DATE AND TIME REPORTED 3/29/16 / 1050hrs		DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 3/29/16 / 1109hrs											
<input type="checkbox"/> I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case		VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME D. Tenkate #1911		D.O.B		RESIDENCE PHONE NO.		BUSINESS PHONE NO. 520 724-5911											
<input type="checkbox"/> I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case		VICTIM'S ADDRESS				ZIP		CITY		STATE									
<input type="checkbox"/> REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 12-4415 (B) and § 9-290 (B)		VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N Silverbell Rd				ZIP 85745		CITY Tucson		STATE AZ									
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)		DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER		OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> TFD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:		FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:									
<input type="checkbox"/> ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM		<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION		BITE SEVERITY:		TREATED BY		PHONE NUMBER		DATE QUARANTINED		PACC <input type="checkbox"/>							
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM		<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION		PART OF BODY BITTEN:		PHONE NUMBER		OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		RELEASE DATE:		VET <input type="checkbox"/>							
PHONE NUMBER		VET CLINIC				PHONE NUMBER		OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		FRTG <input type="checkbox"/>		UTQ <input type="checkbox"/>							
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS		CLINIC'S ADDRESS				QUARANTINE 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> FRA HEAD#											
		3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		CITING ACO Tenkate #1911		PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER A14-161686		OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS									
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE		CODE/DIRT VIOLATED 4-3(2)(D)		CITATIONS/NUMBERS 74850						REVIEWED BY <i>4/10/16</i>		BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>							
BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME		COLOR		SEX		AGE		TAG COLOR		LICENSE #		VX CERTIFICATE #		COND		ANIMAL ID#	
German Shorthair Pointer				Silver		F		13y				expired		expired		III			
Summary																			
<p>An animal was brought to Pima Animal Care Center for euthanasia. The animal owner was cited for neglect, no vet care. The animal was euthanized at PACC.</p>										<p>On 3/29/16 at 1050 hours The dog owner came to the Pima Animal Care Center to have her German Shorthair Pointer named euthanized. She said it had been healthy and within the past 3 days she did not eat or drink and could no longer stand up. The County veterinarian Sarah Rios examined the dog and noted the following observations: Brought in as an OPTS. Dog was brought in lateral with increased effort in respiration. Oral exam: Generalized tarter and calculus. Integ: many masses on the body including a large (-10cm) firm mass between the shoulder blades. A soft SQ (-3 cm) mass located on the rostral right mandible. Chronic dermatitis in the right and left inguinal areas. Both ears erythematous and thickened. Appears to be due to chronic ear infections. Repro: Enlarged vulva MIS: Unable to stand. A: Geriatric, unable to walk, chronic dermatitis, Several masses, Dental disease P: Due to poor prognosis patient was euthanized. The dogs' body was placed on hold due to the dog being microchipped .(pending ownership per Home again microchip company)</p> <p>On 3/29/16 at 1109 hours I Supervisor Tenkate #1911 arrived in the treatment room at the Pima Animal Care Center and observed a female German Shorthair Pointer that was lateral on some blankets. The dog had hair loss, numerous masses (tumors) on her body, her ears were infected (odorous), and nails were overgrown with open sores on her paws. I took photographs of the dogs' condition. I then spoke with the Pima County Veterinarian Sarah Rios who had me contacted to document the condition of the German Shorthair Pointer that had been brought in by the owner for euthanasia. The dog owner had stated that the dog named had not been to a vet for about 4 years. Dr. Rios said that in her opinion that the dog did not receive medical care that was needed to treat her conditions. I then met with the dog owner and I asked when was the last time that her dog had been treated by a veterinarian. She said in 2015 was taken to ABC Clinic on 22nd St and Craycroft Rd. She said that the vet said that the tumors were benign and not operable. She said she received medication for skin condition and her ears. She did not know the name of the medications or the date the dog was examined. When I told Ms that I would call and confirm that received treatment at ABC clinic in 2015 and she said she was not sure when the dog was last seen by a veterinarian. She provided me with an AZ identification card and she signed and received a copy of citation #74850 for neglect vet care. She is aware of her court date,</p>									

	<p>time and location. I contacted ABC clinic (1114 S Craycroft Rd-745-4564) and confirmed brought Sara in for treatment on 12/11/14 for her skin condition and ear infection. Per the owner she had treated the dogs' skin condition with motor oil without improvement. The veterinarian assessed Sara and recommended bloodwork, skin scrape- mange and prescription shampoo which the owner declined. Ms. agreed to antibiotic, medicated ear drops and predisone which would have treated the dog for 20 days. The owner was advised to call for a follow up appointment if Saras' conditions did not improve. Ms. did not bring her dog back for any treatment at the ABC clinic. I was able to research the PACC database and found a previous activity A14- 161686 where a Silver German Shorthaired Pointer named had been impounded on 12/9/14. The dog had hair loss and a large bulge on her left shoulder. The dog also had a microchip The officer located and met with the adult son of the dog owner ,, who resides at Mann Ave . a signed a premise inspection which required vet care and secure confinement by 12/12/14 and the dog, was returned to him. Per the dog was 14 years old, deaf and almost blind. did not seek veterinary treatment for her dog even though her health conditions continued to deteriorate. Note: in over a yearw e were able to contact the Home Again Microchip company and found the following: Home again and they said that the chip was never registered and was sold to Hunt Kennels, Per Stacey at Hunt Kennel Systems. She stated the chip was sold to Valley Pet Center on 01/2003 with the collar number and Invoice Number.</p>
Committee Member Comments/ Request for Information	Member
	T. Barrick
Please note: individuals cannot plead ignorance of law....past relationship with PACC	N. Emptage
	P. Hubbard
	P. Jacobs
	S. Kaluzniacki
	D. Marshall
Can we get a not allowed to adopt on the owner?	H. Mendelsohn
	J. Neumann
	E. O'Donnell
	J. Schwerin
A horrible case of neglect. Motor oil ? For dermatitis???? I feel like this dog suffered with little or no treatment the person should not be allowed to adopt from PACC in the future..	G. Smith
	City of Tucson Rep.

Report Snapshot **Officer's Case Report**

THIS THE INCIDENT INVOLVE ANIMAL PROTECT FOR NUMBER OF DEATHS: YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		RESIDENT'S REPORT NELSON DR A. VARGAS #2060		DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT 04/26/16 0859		DATE AND TIME REPORTED 04/26/16 0811	
I WANT "open request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/>		VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME A. VARGAS #2060		DATE OF BIRTH []		RESIDENCE PHONE 520-724-5900	
I WANT "open request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/>		VICTIM ADDRESS 4000 N SILVERBELL RD		CITY TUCSON		STATE AZ	
I WANT "open request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/>		VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS []		CITY TUCSON		STATE AZ	
REQUEST PRIMER exception per A.R.C.F. 12-10-010 (a) (1) (i) (ii) (iii)		I WANT "open request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/>		I WANT "open request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/>		I WANT "open request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/>	
MAKE OR UPDATE REPRESENTATIVE OF APPLICABLE		I WANT "open request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/>		I WANT "open request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/>		I WANT "open request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/>	
ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM		I WANT "open request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/>		I WANT "open request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/>		I WANT "open request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/>	
RELATED TO VICTIM		I WANT "open request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/>		I WANT "open request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/>		I WANT "open request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/>	
PHONE NUMBER		I WANT "open request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/>		I WANT "open request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/>		I WANT "open request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/>	
CURRENT EXPOSED TO ADDRESS		I WANT "open request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/>		I WANT "open request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/>		I WANT "open request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/>	
I WANT OR LATER REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE		I WANT "open request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/>		I WANT "open request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/>		I WANT "open request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/>	
SPECIAL DESCRIPTION		I WANT "open request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/>		I WANT "open request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/>		I WANT "open request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/>	
PIT BULL MIX		I WANT "open request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/>		I WANT "open request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/>		I WANT "open request" rights in this case <input type="checkbox"/>	

ON APRIL 26, 2016 OFFICER ANDREW VARGAS BADGE #2060 ARRIVED AT THE THE COMPLAINANTS PROPERTY WHERE THE COMPLAINANT ADVISED ME OF A DOG THAT HAD JUMPED HIS FENCE AND WAS HANGING NOW ON HIS SIDE OF HIS FENCE BY THE MEANS OF A TIE OUT. UPON REVIEWING WHERE THE DOG WAS TIED OUT, I OBSERVED THE DOG HANGING BY A TIE OUT AND BARELY ABLE TO TOUCH THE GROUND WITH IT'S REAR PAWS. IT WAS AT THIS TIME THAT I OBSERVED THAT THE DOG WAS TIED OUT BY THE MEANS OF A WIRE LEASH. I THEN USED A SET OF BOLT CUTTERS TO REMOVE THE DOG FROM THE TIE OUT. I THEN IMPOUNDED THE DOG AND ATTEMPTED TO MAKE CONTACT AT THE DOG OWNERS ADDRESS OF NELSON DR. I DID NOT RECEIVE AN ANSWER AT THE DOOR AND WAS UNABLE TO MAKE CONTACT WITH THE DOG OWNER. A NOTICE WAS LEFT ON THE FRONT DOOR STATING THAT THE DOG WAS IMPOUNDED ALONG WITH THE DOGS ANIMAL ID. NO FURTHER ACTION WAS TAKEN.

ON APRIL 26, 2016 AT 1817 HOURS OFFICER ELLIOTT (2087) MET WITH DOG OWNER AFTER HE CAME IN TO REDEEM OFFICER ELLIOTT ISSUED NEGLECT-TIEOUT CITATIONS TO MR. INDER CITY JURISDICTION. HE SIGNED AND RECEIVED HIS COPIES OF THE CITATIOMS.

Summary

A16-192323 One dog was impounded. The owner redeemed the dog and was cited for neglect, tie out. The dog was returned to the owner. This complaint is closed.

Committee Member Comments/ Request for Information

Committee Member Comments/ Request for Information	Member
	T. Barnick
	N. Emplage
	P. Hubbard
	P. Jacobs
	S. Kaluzniacki
	D. Marshall
	H. Mendelsohn
	J. Neumann
	E. O'Donnell
	J. Schwerin
	G. Smith
	City of Tucson Rep.

Owner should not have been allowed to redeem. Complainant should have been cited for allowing dog to hang with its rear paws barely able to touch the ground

Report Snapshot **Officer's Case Report**

DOES THE REPORTER (YOU OR YOURS) FOR WANT TO REPORT? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		DATE OF THE REPORT 4-4-16 / 1026		DATE THE REPORTER 4-4-16 / 1045	
FOOD WATER SHELTER INADEQUATE VENTILATION <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>		ABANDONED TIEOUT SEATED WASTE OTHER EXPLAIN		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/> I CHOOSE "upon request" rights to this case		YOU AS (SPLAINT) NAME 1942 Eckelbarger		RESIDENCE PHONE NO. BUSINESS PHONE NO. 724-5992	
<input type="checkbox"/> I HAVE "upon request" rights to file		NETS ADDRESS 1942 Eckelbarger		ZIP CITY STATE 85745 Tucson AZ	
<input type="checkbox"/> QUANTITY (NUMBER) reported per I.I.S.S.B. (401) (1) (1) (1) (1)		NETS BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N. Silverbell Rd		ZIP CITY STATE 85745 Tucson AZ	
NAME OF LEAD AGENCY/AGENCY (IF APPLICABLE)		DANIELS ASSESSMENT REQUIRED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPO <input type="checkbox"/> TED <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER	
<input type="checkbox"/> ANIMALS AND PHONE NUMBER DATE AS YET		<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLENCE <input type="checkbox"/> ABUSE/NEGLECT		FURTHER INFORMATION YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	
MEASUREMENT (WEIGHT)		VET CLING		DIMENSIONS OF BEE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	
PHONE NUMBER		PERM NUMBER		FTO <input type="checkbox"/> UPO <input type="checkbox"/>	
LEAD AGENCY/AGENCY		CLERK'S ADDRESS		CLERK'S PHONE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	
VICTIM OR LEAD AGENCY REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE		3P PARTY CITATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		CITING ACP YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		CIVILIAN'S ADDRESS 1942 Eckelbarger		PREPARED BY YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
G. Shep		CIVILIAN'S NAME 1942 Eckelbarger		REVIEWED BY 4-5-16 BTK/1911	
TAG COLOR		LICENSE #		VESTIBL #	
Black/Tan		1E		1E	

On 4-4-16 at 1045 hours I Investigator Eckelbarger (1942) responded to where I observed a black and tan G. Shepherd puppy on a leash tie-out on the front porch. The tie-out was approximately 10 feet long connected to the front porch. The dog had access to shade and water. There was a few days of animal waste in the front yard area next to the front porch. I then impounded the dog and posted a notice of impoundment on the front door.

At 1045 hours I met with dog owner, (DOB), at the Pima Animal Care Center after she came in to redeem her dog. I then cited Ms. for neglect-tieout on under City jurisdiction. Ms. signed and received her copy of the citation.

Summary

One dog was impounded. The owner redeemed the dog and was cited for neglect tie out. The dog was returned to the owner. This complaint is closed.

Committee Member Comments/ Request for Information

	Member
Was the license and vaccination checked on this animal? There is no indication of status--dog is 5 months.	T. Barrick
	N. Emptage
	P. Hubbard
	P. Jacobs
	S. Kaluzniacki
	D. Marshall
	H. Mendelsohn
	J. Neumann
	E. O'Donnell
	J. Schwerin
G. Smith	
City of Tucson Rep.	

Report Snapshot										Officer's Case Report																																																																															
THIS IS THE APPLICABLE PROVISION UNDER REVIEW FOR WHICH IT APPLIES <input type="checkbox"/> I CHARGE "open request" rights in the case. <input type="checkbox"/> I NOTE "open request" rights in the case. <input type="checkbox"/> I DENY "open request" rights in the case per A.P.S. 12-4402 (1) and 12-5-202 (1).					MEMBER OF WARDEN FEED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WATER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SHELTER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FORTIFICATION <input type="checkbox"/> BARRIERS <input type="checkbox"/> HURTS <input type="checkbox"/> BLOOD <input type="checkbox"/> SCARS <input type="checkbox"/> ALL/BL <input type="checkbox"/> BURN <input type="checkbox"/> BOP/AND					DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT 04/23/16 1815 DATE AND TIME REPORTED 04/28/16 1815					<p>On April 25, 2016 at 1615 hours I, Officer Tovar #2021, asked dispatch to create a Neglect call for the address of . I arrived at this address at 1445 hours this afternoon in reference to another call regarding the brown brindle pit bull in the yard. There was also a tan and white pit bull in the yard. I observed that there was <u>no shelter for the dogs and no visible water</u>. I did see <u>two empty aluminum bowls and a clear plastic bowl that was upside down</u>. I called for a 2NH to assist me in impounding the two dogs. Officer Robledo #1990 arrived and we impounded the two pit bull inside of the yard. I photographed the two aluminum bowls which were <u>completely dry and had dust inside of them</u>. I did not see water anywhere in the yard. I saw that there was <u>also a lot of animal waste in the yard</u>. I left a Notice advising the dog owner that I had impounded their dogs. I gave the dogs water to drink in the truck. It should be noted that the dogs are of good weight and appear healthy. On April 25, 2016 at 1945 hours the Pima Animal Care Center received a call from the owner, who said that she will redeem her dogs as soon as possible. On April 26, 2016 at 0850 hours Supervisor Tenkate met with the dog owner who resides at , when she came to PACC to redeem her 2 impounded dogs. She explained that the dogs were impounded as the officer observed them without water or shelter and the yard contained excessive waste. Ms. said she left the house at about 11 :30am and the metal bowl was filled with water and they must have drank it. She said the dogs are inside most of the time and she did not know they needed access to shelter. Ms. explained that she just had a baby 3 weeks ago and has been under doctor restrictions and was not able to pick up the animal waste. Supervisor Tenkate explained that she would need to get someone to pick up and dispose of the waste every 24 hours to be in compliance with the law. Ms. provided Supervisor Tenkate with her Arizona drivers license for identification. She signed and received a copy of citation #74897 A-D for Neglect No Water and Neglect No Shelter for A558858 King and A558859 (A440085) Max. She also signed the premise inspection requiring water, shelter and to remove animal waste. Ms is going to redeem and keep <u>both dogs inside</u> as she is moving to on 5/7/16. The welfare recheck date is has been set for 5/9/16 at the new address.</p>																																																																										
VICTIM'S ADDRESS 4009 N Silverbell Rd VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS					LINE OF BUSINESS OCCUPATION CITY TUCSON STATE AZ ZIP 85748					BUSINESS PHONE 520-724-6908																																																																															
NAME OF FEDERAL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)					DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT RECEIVED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>					RESTRICTED BEHAVIOR YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>															DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER					OTHER ANIMAL CARE # <input type="checkbox"/> SPA/BEI/BEH <input type="checkbox"/> TUCSON RESCUE <input type="checkbox"/> PRC <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER					NUMBER OF INCIDENTS <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 100 <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER																																																						
ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER LOCUS AS VICTIM					<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLENCE <input type="checkbox"/> DOMESTIC VIOLENCE					BITE REPORTED															TREATED BY					PAIN MANAGER					DATE QUANTIFIED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>					RACE <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>																																																	
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM					YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>					PART OF BODY BITTEN															RELEASE DATE					RELEASED BY					RELEASED BY																																																						
LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY					CLERK'S SERVICES					RECALLED BY <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>															<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>					<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>																																																											
MEMBER LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY					PRIORITY CHARGE <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO					SIMPLIFIED R. Tovar #2021															PREVIOUS CITATIONS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO					PREVIOUS CITATION NUMBER					STREET/ADDRESS/PROPERTY																																																						
MEMBER LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY					CHARGE/VIOLATION 4-3 (2NB); 4-3 (2MC)					RETURNED BY DTK 1/11 5-2-16															DATE					<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>																																																											
BREED/COGNITION										ANIMAL NAME															COLOR										SEX										AGE										WEIGHT#										SPOONER										MARKING#														
Pit Bull										YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>															Br Brindle										M										11M										289682										N										N														
Pit Bull										YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>										Tan/Wh										F										6Y										283848										N										N																			
YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>										YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>										YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>										YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>										YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>										YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>										YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>																													
Summary																				<p>Two dogs were impounded. The owner redeemed both dogs and was cited for <u>neglect, no water and neglect no shelter</u>. The dogs were returned to the owner. This complaint is open pending a recheck for water and shelter.</p>																																																																					
Committee Member Comments/ Request for Information																																																																																									
																				Member																																																																					
																				T. Barrick																																																																					
																				N. Emplage																																																																					
																				P. Hubbard																																																																					
																				P. Jacobs																																																																					
																				S. Kaluzniacki																																																																					
																				D. Marshall																																																																					
																				H. Mendelsohn																																																																					
																				J. Neumann																																																																					
																				E. O'Donnell																																																																					
																				J. Schwerin																																																																					
																				G. Smith																																																																					
																				City of Tucson Rep.																																																																					
<p><i>What did the recheck show?</i></p>																																																																																									

Report Snapshot **Officer's Case Report**

DOES THIS INCIDENT INVOLVE THE BARRIER FOR ANIMALS REPORT? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT 03-29-16 14		Date and time reported 03-29-16 1602	
I CHOOSE "open request" rights to the city <input type="checkbox"/>	I WANT "open request" rights to the city. <input type="checkbox"/>	VENDOR/COMPLAINANT NAME OFFICER K. WALTON #1925	DATE OF BIRTH	RESIDENCE PHONE 724-5900	EXERCISE SP <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
VENDOR'S ADDRESS 4900 N. SILVERBELL RD.		CITY TUCSON	STATE AZ	ZIP 85745	
MAKE IT LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ON APPLICABLE <input type="checkbox"/>	DIVERSITY ASSISTANCE REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	IDENTIFICATION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	ANIMALS' BIRTH NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CARE # <input type="checkbox"/> SHERIFF DEPT <input type="checkbox"/> TUCSON POLICE <input type="checkbox"/> FIRE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER	RETURN TO REPORTER <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>
I HAVE READ AND UNDERSTAND THE RIGHTS OF THE ANIMALS <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> RELEASED	DATE SEIZED 03/29/16	SEIZED BY K. WALTON	PHONE NUMBER	DATE SEIZED 03/29/16
RELEASED TO FRONT YARD	<input type="checkbox"/> RELEASED	DATE SEIZED 03/29/16	SEIZED BY K. WALTON	PHONE NUMBER	DATE SEIZED 03/29/16
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS DIRECT ADDRESS	STREET ADDRESS	ZIP CODE 85745	PHONE NUMBER 724-5900	OTHER CONTACT INFO 724-5900	OTHER CONTACT INFO 724-5900
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE DATE AND TIME 4-3(2)CL 4-3(2)EN(1) 03/29/16 14	SIGNATURE [Signature]	DATE AND TIME 03/29/16 14	OTHER CONTACT INFO 724-5900	OTHER CONTACT INFO 724-5900	OTHER CONTACT INFO 724-5900
BREED/DESCRIPTION TERRIER MIX	ANIMAL'S GENDER WHITTAN	COLOR F	SEX ADULT	LICENSE # L16-26908	COMMENTS M

On March 29th 2016 1014 hours, I, Officer K. Walton 1925, arrived at regarding a welfare complaint on several dogs at this address. Complaint was in reference inadequate shelter on some dogs, a blk/wht puppy crying all day and night, and confinement on some dogs. Upon arrival, I observed a blk/wht Pit bull mix known as Spade from the previous cases at this address. She was in the front yard, and appeared healthy. On the east side of the house, I observed a small brown dog house type structure with a wht/tan Chihuahua/Terrier mix inside which had no access out. Approximately 3ft away, I observed a "C" shaped pen with a blk/wht dog inside of it crying and barking. This appeared to be one of the dogs that the complainant called about. In the back yard I observed the pen and the other dog that the complainant called about, that dog was a tan/wht Pit bull mix. I entered the yard, to check on the dogs and took photos. Inside the pen where the Chihuahua/Terrier was, I found excessive waste and the exercise space was too small. The dog had food and clean water, but also dirty water in one of the containers. The pen with the blk/wht dog, I observed no shelter, excessive waste, and dirty water. The pen in the back had dirty water, excessive waste and shelter. That dog had access to put his head through the fencing and was very aggressive. I impounded the Chihuahua/Terrier and the blk/wht Pit bull pup for their welfare violations. I left notice on front gate. Officer C. Young #1908, met with the dog owner, At Pima Animal Care Center regarding the citations I requested. Ms. stated the blk/wht pup belonged to her ex husband and did not redeem that dog. She was issued citations for inadequate shelter and neglect exercise space. She redeemed her dog and was advised of the things she needed to fix for the other dogs at the residence.

Summary

Two dogs were impounded. The owner redeemed one dog and relinquished the other. The owner was cited for neglect, no shelter, and neglect, no exercise space. This complaint is closed.

Committee Member Comments/ Request for Information

Member
T. Barrick
N. Emptage
P. Hubbard
P. Jacobs
S. Kaluzniacki
D. Marshall
H. Mendelsohn
J. Neumann
E. O'Donnell
J. Schwerin
G. Smith
City of Tucson Rep.

Terrible case. Owner should not have been allowed to redeem dog which had been confined in a tiny structure. Owner should not be allowed to have any dogs or other animals.

Report Snapshot				Officer's Case Report			
<p>Case Information</p> <p>Case Number: 041616 1860116 Date Reported: N/A</p> <p>Location: Sanctis Ranch Trl.</p>				<p>On 04/16/16 at approximately 1600 hrs while enroute to a separate call, I Officer Valdez (#2011) and Officer Baugus (#1918) came across a pack of 4 dogs lying under the shade of a nearby tree on the side of the road. The pack approached the truck and 2 were able to be impounded immediately, while the other 2 (lab mix & heeler mix) retreated to a nearby yard via an open gate. We proceeded into the yard of the residence and found no one at home. Upon further inspection of the yard there were 2 more dogs (small, black, Chihuahua & med., red, heeler mix) free roaming and 4 puppies confined in a kennel. There was not any source of water or food available for any the dogs. There was evidence water had once been available, but the containers were empty. The puppies in the kennel were living amongst multiple bags of trash and decaying livestock remains. All but the Chihuahua and a black, heeler mix were able to be impounded that day. We left food and water for the 2 remaining dogs at large and a notice on the door for the owner. While working this case a neighbor from the east brought another puppy stating it came from that residence into her yard. On 04/26/16 at approximately 16:33 hrs. I Officer Valdez (#2011) and Officer Baugus (#1918) arrived at the residence and met with the dog owner who stated only 2 of the dogs at her residence are hers (A474279, A557825) and the rest are a result of her son's girlfriend (who also resides at the address) collecting stray dogs and keeping them there. She further stated strays find their way into her yard and she has called PACC multiple times to deal with this issue, but there is not any documentation in the computer system of any calls from this address. It was explained to Ms. the law states when a resident takes responsibility for an animal (ie providing food, sanctuary, etc) they are accountable for that animal's wellbeing and actions. She understood and accepted the citations for the animals harbored at her residence. Ms. was issued citations for <u>leash law, no tie, no food, no water, no shelter, and unsanitary shelter for the 5 puppies</u>. Ms. signed/received her copies of the citations and was advised of her court date/time and location.</p>			
<p>Summary</p> <p>Nine dogs impounded. The owner was cited for <u>leash law violations, neglect, no water, neglect, no shelter, neglect, no food, neglect, unsanitary shelter and no license. No animals were redeemed.</u> This complaint is closed.</p>							
Committee Member Comments/ Request for Information				Member			
				T. Barrick			
				N. Emptage			
				P. Hubbard			
				P. Jacobs			
				S. Kaluzniacki			
				D. Marshall			
				H. Mendelsohn			
				J. Neumann			
				E. O'Donnell			
				J. Schwerin			
				G. Smith			
				City of Tucson Rep.			
<p><i>Terrible case. What happened in court?</i></p>							

time and location. I contacted ABC clinic (1114 S Craycroft Rd-745-4564) and confirmed brought Sara in for treatment on 12/11/14 for her skin condition and ear infection. Per the owner she had treated the dogs' skin condition with motor oil without improvement. The veterinarian assessed Sara and recommended bloodwork, skin scrape- mange and prescription shampoo which the owner declined. Ms. agreed to antibiotic, medicated ear drops and predisone which would have treated the dog for 20 days. The owner was advised to call for a follow up appointment if Saras' conditions did not improve. Ms. did not bring her dog back for any treatment at the ABC clinic. I was able to research the PACC database and found a previous activity A14- 161686 where a Silver German Shorthaired Pointer named had been impounded on 12/9/14. The dog had hair loss and a large bulge on her left shoulder. The dog also had a microchip The officer located and met with the adult son of the dog owner , who resides at Mann Ave . a signed a premise inspection which required vet care and secure confinement by 12/12/14 and the dog, was returned to him. Per the dog was 14 years old, deaf and almost blind. did not seek veterinary treatment for her dog even though her health conditions continued to deteriorate. Note: in over a year we were able to contact the Home Again Microchip company and found the following: Home again and they said that the chip was never registered and was sold to Hunt Kennels, Per Stacey at Hunt Kennel Systems. She stated the chip was sold to Valley Pet Center on 01/2003 with the collar number and Invoice Number.

Committee Member Comments/ Request for Information

Member

Terrible & outrageous, not only this case but also the previous case which sounds similar, which was returned to the owner even tho^{dog was} deaf & blind. What happened in the court case?

- T. Barrick
- N. Emptage
- P. Hubbard
- P. Jacobs
- S. Kaluzniacki
- D. Marshall
- H. Mendelsohn
- J. Neumann
- E. O'Donnell
- J. Schwerin
- G. Smith
- City of Tucson Rep.



PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD • TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.gov/animal/care

MEMORANDUM

TO: Marcy Flanagan, Deputy Director
FROM: Debra Tenkate, Animal Care Field Supervisor *DT*
DATE: May 3, 2016
SUBJECT: Dangerous Dog Case for April 2016

Tucson:

1. A15-168322 A dog named Kuma was impounded and declared dangerous by Investigator Delgadillo. The dog was relinquished by the owner and euthanized at PACC.
2. A16-190297 Two dogs named Meat Bone and Leo were impounded and declared dangerous by Investigator Delgadillo. Both dogs were relinquished by the owner and euthanized at PACC.
3. A16-191584 A dog named Kilo was impounded and declared dangerous by Investigator Delgadillo. The dog was relinquished by the owner and euthanized at PACC.

DD#1

INVESTIGATION REPORT		SUSPECT				ACO NAME / BADGE # J RADEMAKER 2019		COMPLAINT NUMBER A15-168322		
Pima County Health Department Pima Animal Care Center 4000 N. Silverbell Rd. Tucson, Arizona 85745 Phone: (520) 243-5900 Fax: (520) 243-5960 www.pimaanimalcare.org		SUSPECT'S ADDRESS				BITE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WELFARE <input type="checkbox"/> DANGEROUS <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>		CODE IF OTHER :		
ZIP	CITY TUCSON	STATE AZ	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER			CI <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CO <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>				
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS				ZIP		CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		
SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES	HAIR COLOR	ORIGIN	DRIVERS LICENSE		SSN		
DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		LOCATION OF INCIDENT			DATE AND TIME REPORTED		DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 03/21/15 / 0630			
FOOD <input type="checkbox"/> WATER <input type="checkbox"/> SHELTER <input type="checkbox"/> INJURED/WILL <input type="checkbox"/> VENTILATION <input type="checkbox"/> ABANDONED <input type="checkbox"/> TIEOUT <input type="checkbox"/> BEATEN <input type="checkbox"/> WASTE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (EXPLAIN) <input type="checkbox"/>										
<input type="checkbox"/> I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM / PRIMARY CONTACT NAME			D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.		BUSINESS PHONE NO.			
<input type="checkbox"/> I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS				ZIP	CITY TUCSON	STATE AZ			
<input type="checkbox"/> REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS				ZIP	CITY	STATE			
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> TFD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:		FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:				
<input type="checkbox"/> ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION <input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION		BITE SEVERITY: 3 PART OF BODY BITTEN: ARM		TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED 3/27/15 RELEASE DATE: 3/30/15		PACC <input type="checkbox"/> VET <input type="checkbox"/> HOME <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM	VET CLINIC PIMA PET CLINIC				PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>			FTQ <input type="checkbox"/> UTQ <input type="checkbox"/>	
PHONE NUMBER	CLINIC'S ADDRESS				QUARANTINE 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> FRA HEAD#			
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		CITING ACO RADEMAKER 2019		PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER		OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS	
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-7(2)(B) CITATIONS/NUMBERS 74252A-D				REVIEWED BY 4-28-15 DTK1911			BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		
BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
PIT X	VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	LEO	BW	M	1YR		CURRENT	CURRENT	N	A481961
LAB X	VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MEATBONE	B	M	4YR		CURRENT	CURRENT	N	A326020
LAB	VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	KUMA	B	F	6YR		CURRENT	CURRENT	N	A218721
	VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
	VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
	VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
	VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
WITNESS 1	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS			RESIDENCE PHONE #		BUSINESS PHONE #		
WITNESS 2	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS			RESIDENCE PHONE #		BUSINESS PHONE #		
WITNESS 3	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS			RESIDENCE PHONE #		BUSINESS PHONE #		
WITNESS 4	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS			RESIDENCE PHONE #		BUSINESS PHONE #		

DD# 1

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-168322

ACO name & Badge: J RADEMAKER 2019

March 21, 2015 at 1:59PM Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) dispatch received a fax from a hospital stating that [redacted] was bitten on the arm by her neighbor's dog.

On March 21, 2015 at 6:13PM I, Officer Rademaker 2019, went to [redacted] (rear unit) and met with bite victim [redacted] has an accent and English isn't her first language but we were able to communicate without problem. She told me she has 2 dogs, Mopo a Bichon X and Coco a lab X. She told me that she had her 2 dogs in the carport area behind her residence when she heard Mopo scream and saw it under the common fence with the neighbor at [redacted]. She said it was being dragged by the 3 dogs at the neighbors and that she instinctively got on her knees and grabbed her dog pulling it back into her yard. She was bitten on her left forearm in the process and received a large scratch on her right arm. She did not know which dog bit her. I asked her several times if she had seen how the incident started and she replied that she had not and that the first thing she had seen was her dog already partially under the fence. There were no other witnesses. I told her that citations would not be appropriate as it was unknown who went under the fence first. I explained that all 3 dogs would be quarantined as the actual biter wasn't known.

I then inquired about rabies vaccinations and licenses for her dogs. Her husband [redacted] came home at that time. He went to the neighbors to ask if they would pay his vet bills, which [redacted] said were already over \$2000.00 at Pima Pet. He returned and I restated everything that had been discussed. PACC records show neither licenses nor vaccinations for the [redacted] dogs. They were not able to provide any vet documentation. I told them I would have to issue citations for those violations and [redacted] said he would take them.

I was writing the citations in my vehicle when the [redacted] approached me. [redacted] said that there had been a communication problem between myself and his wife due to her not understanding my questions regarding her seeing the start of the incident and that she had, in fact, seen the neighbors dog's head under the fence into their yard grabbing Mopo.

DD#1

I said that I had carefully questioned her on that and that I took her original replies as fact and would not issue citations. I said that they were welcome to discuss this with an Enforcement Supervisor and explained how to do that. They said they would do so Monday.

I issued 1 citations for no license and no rabies vaccinations for both dogs, explained court and compliance and he said he understood.

On March 23, 2015 at 08:50AM [redacted] came into PACC to speak with a supervisor. There were no supervisors here this morning so Officer K Saline 1918 took their statements. The [redacted] wanted to clarify that [redacted] did in fact witness the event and she was unable to clearly understand some of the English terms being used by Officer Rademaker and was unable to explain in English the events as they happened.

[redacted] saw one of the neighbors dogs with his head through the fence pulling her dog Mopo into the neighbor's yard. There are three dogs in the neighbor's yard that all look similar. The dog is described as a large breed black dog, short hair, long tail. One of the dogs may have white on the chest. Once Mopo was pulled into the neighbor's yard, all three dogs attacked Mopo. [redacted] reached under the fence and with both arms retrieved her dog Mopo and [redacted] had to jump into the neighbor's yard to pull the dogs off his dog. The attacking dogs owner came out at that time and helped pull his dogs off Mopo and carried them into the home. The female dog owner was unable to help because she said she was scared of the dogs and they do not listen to her.

The [redacted] would like citations issued to the dog owner for leash law, dog on dog attack, for the human bite and they would like restitution for the vet bill and hospital bill and would like a Dangerous Dog evaluation done on the attacking dogs as they have been an issue for the last three years.

On March 27, 2019 at 9:42 AM Officer Henderson 1904 contacted [redacted] at his home [redacted]. He was aware of the incident but not aware of the neighbor being bit. I informed [redacted] claim of being bit on her left arm. The 3 dogs in question per Officer Rademaker's interview are Kuma, Meat Bone and Leo. All three dogs are currently licensed. On inspection of the perimeter of the property, the confinement appears to be secure. Officer Henderson approved a home quarantine and issued to Mr Irwin premise quarantine agreements on all 3 dogs, which expires 03/30/15.

On March 27, 2015 [redacted] came into PACC to relate the circumstances of the incident. He met with Enforcement Operations Manager (EOM) J. Chavez 1914 and stated that on 3-21-15 approximately 0645 hours his wife was outside their house with their dog named "Mopo" off leash. Mopo approached the neighbor's fence line and started fence fighting with the neighbor's 3 dogs (all similar in color). One of the dogs stuck his head out from underneath the bottom of the fence and started to attack Mopo. The attacking dog grabbed Mopo and began to pull Mopo underneath the fence, his wife ran over and grabbed Mopo attempting to pull Mopo away from the dog's clutch and got bit on her left arm inflicting lacerations.

DD#1

The attacking dog eventually pulled Mopo completely into the neighbor's yard and all three dogs in the yard attacked Mopo. [redacted] heard his wife yelling he ran outside and jumped over the neighbor's fence and attempted to separate the dogs from attacking Mopo. The neighbor dog owner also went out to his yard and helped [redacted] separate the dogs from the attack by picking each one of them up and putting them inside the house. [redacted] and his wife took Mopo to Pima Pet Clinic where Mopo was treated for multiple lacerations throughout the body, [redacted] stated Mopo's chest was ripped open. The vet bill was \$2500.00. [redacted] took [redacted] to UMC hospital for the bite wounds. [redacted] said the dogs have history of sticking their heads from underneath the fence when people and other dogs walked by, the neighbor dogs would always act aggressively barking and snapping as people walked by. [redacted] is requesting citations for the dog on dog attack and his wife getting bit to include dangerous dog evaluation, restitution for the vet bills and possibly his wife's hospital bills (she is on Access).

Manager Chavez directed that citations be issued to the dogs owner for the dog on dog attack by all 3 dogs and one dog for biting [redacted].

On April 6, 2015 at 10:47AM Officer Meek 2015 responded to [redacted] to follow up on a bite complaint and to meet with the bite victim. He arrived at the address and was able to meet with the bite victim [redacted] a [redacted] rg. [redacted], again recounted what happened when her bite occurred. [redacted] again advised him that her dog Mopolino was running off leash in a common area of her duplex unconfined by a fence and not under her control on a leash when he encounter the dogs residing at [redacted] behind their fence not running at large. [redacted] advised Officer Meek that she saw Mopolino being drug under the fence by the dogs residing at the [redacted] and when she rendered aid to Mopolino she was bitten. [redacted] again showed Officer Meek the spot in the shared fencing where the attack and bite occurred. He observed the hole where the attack and bite occurred to be blocked.

Officer Meek advised [redacted] that Mopolino could not run loose and had to be on a leash when outside of her fencing in a common area where there is access to the public. He advised [redacted] that he would have to issue her a leash law citation because Mopolino was running loose unconfined and in a common area. [redacted] stated she already received a citation and had to go to court. Officer Meek asked [redacted] in Spanish if she went to court for a license and rabies vaccination citations to which she replied she did. [redacted] asked if I would speak to her husband. Officer Meek advised [redacted] that he would have no problem speaking with her husband and provided his name and PACC's telephone number and concluded his meeting with her.

On April 8, 2015 EOM J Chavez 1914 meet with [redacted] at PACC. [redacted] stated he is willing to take the leash law violation citations instead of his wife. EOM Chavez told him that would be allowed since he was also present during the attack.

DD#1

He asked if the other dog owner has been cited. EOM Chavez told him we have not made contact with the owner to issue the citations. said he and his wife are home all day Thursdays and Fridays. EOM Chavez told him an Officer will meet with him tomorrow morning and hopefully also with the dog other dog owner.

On April 24, 2015 at 4:16PM I, Officer Rademaker 2019, met with and discussed the citation for Mopo being at large in the common area driveway/carport on the morning of the bite incident, 03/21/15 at 0630hrs. I issued the citation for leash law violation as instructed in the memo from EOM Chavez, explained court and he said he understood. We discussed dangerous dog evaluations and I explained that the dogs would be declared vicious in Tucson Court if found guilty. He said he would not have a dangerous dog evaluation done by PACC in lieu of the court declaration.

On April 25, 2015 at 3:05PM I went to and met with owner . I explained that the had requested that citations be issued on their behalf for the dog on dog biting incident and for the bite had received on 03/21/15. I explained the citations and court and he said he understood and signed the citations. I gave him law and dangerous dog brochures.

Officer's Signature



2019

Date:

4/25/15



PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
 PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
 4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
 (520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
 www.pima.gov/animalcare

DD#1

CASE NO: ALC-190842
 OWNER: _____
 ANIMAL NAME: Kuma A21872

ADDRESS: _____
 SEX: S BREED: Lab mix
 COLOR: Black/white DATE: 4/3/11

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:

NON-VIOLATION BITE	+ 3	_____
VIOLATION-BITE	+ 6	<u>+5</u>

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)

(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)

SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES	- 5	_____
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES	+ 5	<u>+5</u>

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)

NO BREAK IN SKIN	+ 1	_____
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING	+ 2	_____
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED)	+ 3	_____
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT	+ 4	<u>+4</u>
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM	+ 4	_____
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION)	+ 5	_____

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:

REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT	- 3	<u>-3</u>
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED	- 1	<u>-1</u>
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION	+ 1	_____
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT	+ 5	_____
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # _____	- 1	<u>-1</u>
NO CURRENT LICENSE	+ 1	_____
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION	+ 1	_____

Animal Complaints or Violations:

LEASH LAW CITATIONS	+ 2	_____
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS	+ 1	<u>+1</u>
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS	+ 2	_____
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS	+ 3	_____
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS	+ 1	_____

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):

(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)

ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE	- 3	_____
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE	- 3	_____
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <5X/YR	+ 1	<u>+1</u>
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >5X/YR	+ 2	_____
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE	+ 2	<u>+2</u>

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY	+ 1	_____
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER	+ 2	_____
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits)	+ 3	_____
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS)	+ 4	_____
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH	+ 5	_____

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (if Observed by Officer)

ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY	+ 2	_____
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE	- 2	<u>-2</u>
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR	+ 1	_____

Confinement / Fencing:

The residence is fenced with field fencing. Although no dog or other preys in the confinement was observed the probability is present

General Comments:

On March 22, 2011 the victim was walking near the residence when three dogs attacked causing injury to the victim. After investigating the incident and neighborhood interviews conducted the dogs are deemed dangerous.

OFFICER # 2047

TOTAL SCORE: +11

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

DANGEROUS
 NOT DANGEROUS

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.

DD#2

INVESTIGATION REPORT		SUSPECT		ACD NAME / BADGE # X. Delgadillo 2047		ACTIVITY/BITE NUMBER A16-190297	
Pima County Health Department Pima Animal Care Center 4000 N. Silverbell Rd. Tucson, Arizona 85745 Phone: (520) 724-5900 Fax: (520) 724-5960		SUSPECT'S ADDRESS		BITE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WELFARE <input type="checkbox"/> DANGEROUS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>	
		CITY Tucson	STATE AZ	ZIP	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		
		SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		CI <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CO <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>		DRIVERS LICENSE	
		CITY	STATE	ZIP	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		
		SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES	HAIR	ORIGIN
				DOB	SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER		
DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		LOCATION OF INCIDENT			DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT 03/22/16 08:30		Date and time reported 03/22/16 09:15
		FOOD <input type="checkbox"/>	WATER <input type="checkbox"/>	SHELTER <input type="checkbox"/>	VENTILATION <input type="checkbox"/>	ABANDONED <input type="checkbox"/>	TIED/UT <input type="checkbox"/>
				BEATEN <input type="checkbox"/>	WASTE <input type="checkbox"/>	INJ/ILL <input type="checkbox"/>	
				OTHER (EXPLAIN)			
<input type="checkbox"/> I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case		VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME		DATE OF BIRTH	RESIDENCE PHONE		BUSINESS PHONE
<input type="checkbox"/> I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.		VICTIM'S ADDRESS		CITY Tucson	STATE AZ	ZIP	
<input type="checkbox"/> REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)		VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		CITY	STATE	ZIP	
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)		DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SHERIFF DEPT <input type="checkbox"/> TUCSON POLICE <input type="checkbox"/> FIRE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:		FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SD <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:
<input type="checkbox"/> ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION		BITE SEVERITY: 3 Medical		TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER
		<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION		PART OF BODY BITTEN: R/L Calf		DATE QUARANTINED	PAGE <input type="checkbox"/>
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM		VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		VET <input type="checkbox"/>
PHONE NUMBER							HOME <input type="checkbox"/>
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS		CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE (DAYS) 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>	FRA HEAD#		
		3 rd PARTY CITATIONS YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		CITING ACD X. Delgadillo #2047	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER A15-168322	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE		CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-97, 4-7-2(B)		CITATIONS/NUMBERS 75415, 75416		REVIEWED BY 2002 Konst 3/30	
						BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	
BREED/DESCRIPTION		ANIMAL'S NAME		COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #
CONDITION		ANIMAL ID#					
Lab Mix	VICTIM OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Kuma		Black/White	F		current
Lab Mix	VICTIM OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Meat Bone		Black/White	F		current
Pit Mix	VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>	Leo		Black/White	F		current
	VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>						
	VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>						
	VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>						
	VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>						
	VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>						
WITNESS 1	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #		BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #		BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #		BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #		BUSINESS PHONE #



DD# 2

INVESTIGATION REPORT

PACC Activity: A16-190297

ACO & Badge X. Delgadillo#2047

On March 24, 2016 at approximately 19:00 I, Investigator Delgadillo #2047, arrived to meet with the bite victim.

I met with [redacted] She explained that on March 22, 2016 at approximately 0830-0900 hours, she was walking on [redacted] I and observed a couple of blocks ahead of her three black dogs at large. A white SUV came up to her and advised her to be careful. [redacted] then crossed the road to walk on the opposite side of the dogs. She looked back and the white SUV was using her vehicle to corral the dogs. The dogs went back into their yard through open gate. The woman had corraled them in the yard and used her SUV to block the gate, [redacted] did not see her attempt to get out and close the gate. [redacted] called to the woman (later identified as [redacted]) and asked if she needed assistance. As soon as she called out to her, the dogs saw her and started charging towards her. [redacted] started to climb the wall and before she could climb over, the dog(s) bit her on both legs, on the calf area. She also sustained injuries to her left wrist and both elbow areas from attempting to climb the wall. [redacted] stated that she did not know if one dog bit or all three dogs.

I explained third party citations to [redacted] and she stated that she is requesting citations and restitution. She has not received the bill from the medical visit, amount is not available at this time. [redacted] also is requesting a dangerous dog evaluation on all three dogs. [redacted] is requesting contact with the dog owners and she stated that her phone number and name could be provided to them as well to the witness identified as [redacted]

At approximately 2010 hours, I arrived to the dog owners residence of [redacted] and met with [redacted] and his [redacted]

I explained the purpose of my visit and advised that the bite is a violation bite and that the dogs would need to be taken for quarantine. [redacted] brought out the dogs and they were impounded.

I explained that the bite victim is requesting citations and I explained the third party citations. (father) stated that they had a witness that only one dog bit and they provided contact information for Pam. I explained that the bite victim could not positively identify which dog was the biter and the quarantine would apply to all three dogs.

Officer's Signature:

Date:

3/30/16



Activity A16-190297


DBA 2
Page 2

INVESTIGATION REPORT (Continued)

was cited into Tucson City Court for leash law and biting animal for Kuma 218721, Meat Bone 326020 and Leo 481961. signed his citations; received a copy and was provided his court date and time.

On March 26, 2016 at approximately 15:28 I, Investigator Delgadillo # 2047, arrived to ., I met with

explained that she witnessed the incident involving . She explained the events as stated by . When the dogs charged at I she drove her vehicle to where she was; honking her horn to get the dogs away from her. She stated that Meatbone and Kuma were in the area but it was Leo who bit

Officer Signature 

Date 3/30/16



DD#2

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
 PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
 4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
 (520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
 www.pima.gov/animalcare

CASE NO: A16-190838

ADDRESS: _____

OWNER: < _____ >

SEX: N BREED: Lab mix

ANIMAL NAME: Montone A326020

COLOR: Black/White DATE: 4-3-16

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:

NON-VIOLATION BITE	+ 3	_____
VIOLATION-BITE	+ 6	<u>15</u>

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)

NO BREAK IN SKIN	+ 1	_____
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING	+ 2	_____
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED)	+ 3	_____
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT	+ 4	<u>14</u>
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM	+ 4	_____
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION)	+ 5	_____

Animal Complaints or Violations:

LEASH LAW CITATIONS	+ 2	_____
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS	+ 1	<u>1</u>
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS	+ 2	_____
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS	+ 3	_____
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS	+ 1	_____

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY	+ 1	_____
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER	+ 2	_____
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits)	+ 3	_____
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS)	+ 4	_____
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH	+ 5	_____

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)

(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)

SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES	- 5	_____
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES	+ 5	<u>15</u>

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:

REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT	- 3	<u>-3</u>
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED	- 1	<u>-1</u>
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION	+ 1	_____
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT	+ 5	_____
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # _____	- 1	<u>-1</u>
NO CURRENT LICENSE	+ 1	_____
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION	+ 1	_____

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):

(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)

ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE	- 3	_____
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE	- 3	_____
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <5X/YR	+ 1	<u>1</u>
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >5X/YR	+ 2	_____
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE	+ 2	<u>2</u>

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)

ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY	+ 2	_____
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE	- 2	<u>-2</u>
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR	+ 1	_____

Confinement / Fencing:

The residence is fenced with field fencing. Although no digging or other breaks in the confinement was observed the probability is present

General Comments:

On March 25, 2016 the incident was walking near the residence when three dogs attacked causing injury to the victim. After investigation the incident and neighborhood interview conducted the dogs are declared dangerous

OFFICER # D #2017

TOTAL SCORE: 11

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

DANGEROUS
 NOT DANGEROUS

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.



PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
 PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
 4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
 (520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
 www.pima.gov/animalcare

CASE NO: Allo-190838

ADDRESS: _____

OWN: _____

SEX: N BREED: Lab mix

ANIMAL NAME: Leo A 481961

COLOR: Black/white DATE: 4-3-16

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:

NON-VIOLATION BITE	+ 3	_____
VIOLATION-BITE	+ 6	<u>15</u>

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)

(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)		
SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES	- 5	_____
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES	+ 5	<u>15</u>

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)		
NO BREAK IN SKIN	+ 1	_____
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING	+ 2	_____
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED)	+ 3	_____
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT	+ 4	<u>14</u>
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM	+ 4	_____
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION)	+ 5	_____

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:

REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT	- 3	<u>-3</u>
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED	- 1	<u>-1</u>
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION	+ 1	_____
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT	+ 5	_____
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # _____	- 1	<u>-1</u>
NO CURRENT LICENSE	+ 1	_____
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION	+ 1	_____

Animal Complaints or Violations:

LEASH LAW CITATIONS	+ 2	_____
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS	+ 1	<u>1</u>
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS	+ 2	_____
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS	+ 3	_____
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS	+ 1	_____

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):

(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)		
ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE	- 3	_____
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE	- 3	_____
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <5X/YR	+ 1	<u>1</u>
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >5X/YR	+ 2	_____
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE	+ 2	<u>2</u>

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY	+ 1	_____
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER	+ 2	_____
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits)	+ 3	_____
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS)	+ 4	_____
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH	+ 5	_____

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (if Observed by Officer)

ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY	+ 2	_____
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE	- 2	<u>-2</u>
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR	+ 1	_____

Confinement / Fencing:

The residence is fenced well fenced perencing although no dig in or other breaks in the confinement was observed. The propensity to escape is present

General Comments:

On March 22, 2016 the victim was walking near the residence when three dogs attacked causing injury to the victim. After emergency the incident ended. Neighbors had interview conducted. The dogs are declared dangerous

OFFICER # _____

TOTAL SCORE: 114

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

DANGEROUS
 NOT DANGEROUS

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure ,bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.

DR# 3

INVESTIGATION REPORT Pima County Health Department Pima Animal Care Center 4000 N. Starbuck Rd. Tucson, Arizona 85745 Phone: (520) 724-5900 Fax: (520) 724-5960	SUSPECT				ACD NAME / BADGE #		ACTIVITY/BITE NUMBER						
	SUSPECT'S ADDRESS				X. Delgadillo 2047		A16-191584						
	CITY		STATE	ZIP	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		BITE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WELFARE <input type="checkbox"/> DANGEROUS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>						
	Tucson		AZ				OTHER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Leash Law/Animal Attack						
	SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS				CI <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CO <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>		DRIVERS LICENSE						
CITY		STATE	ZIP	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DOB		SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER					
SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES	HAIR	ORIGIN								
DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				LOCATION OF INCIDENT		DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT		Date and time reported					
						04/13/16 06:55		04/13/16 06:55					
				FOOD <input type="checkbox"/>	WATER <input type="checkbox"/>	SHELTER <input type="checkbox"/>	VENTILATION <input type="checkbox"/>	ABANDONED <input type="checkbox"/>	TIED OUT <input type="checkbox"/>	BEATEN <input type="checkbox"/>	WASTE <input type="checkbox"/>	INJ / ILL <input type="checkbox"/>	OTHER (EXPLAIN) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LL/BA
<input type="checkbox"/> I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case				VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME		DATE OF BIRTH		RESIDENCE PHONE	BUSINESS PHONE				
<input type="checkbox"/> I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.				VICTIM'S ADDRESS		Tucson		STATE	ZIP				
<input type="checkbox"/> REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)				VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		CITY		STATE	ZIP				
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)				DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER A16-19183	OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SHERIFF DEPT <input type="checkbox"/> TUCSON POLICE <input type="checkbox"/> FIRE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:		FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SD <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:				
<input type="checkbox"/> ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM				<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION		BITE SEVERITY:		TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED	PACC <input type="checkbox"/>	VET <input type="checkbox"/>	HOME <input type="checkbox"/>
				<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION		PART OF BODY BITTEN:				RELEASE DATE:			
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM				VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER		OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		FTD <input type="checkbox"/>		UTD <input type="checkbox"/>	
PHONE NUMBER													
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS				CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE (DAYS) 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>		FRA HEAD#					
				3 rd PARTY CITATIONS YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		CITING ACD J. Henderson 1904		PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER A15-176404		OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS	
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE				CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-97, 4-7-(2)(B)								REVIEWED BY DTK1911 4-19-16	
				CITATIONS/NUMBERS 73998								BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	
BREED/DESCRIPTION		ANIMAL'S NAME		COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #	CONDITION	ANIMAL ID#				
Pit Bull		Kilo		Blue/White	M		current		529399				
Poodle Mix		Lucy		Black/White	F			deceased					
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>													
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>													
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>													
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>													
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>													
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>													
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>													
WITNESS 1		M <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #		BUSINESS PHONE #					
WITNESS 2		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #		BUSINESS PHONE #					
WITNESS 3		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #		BUSINESS PHONE #					
WITNESS 4		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #		BUSINESS PHONE #					



DD #3

INVESTIGATION REPORT

PACC Activity: A16-191584

ACO & Badge X. Delgadillo#2047

On April 13, 2016 at approximately 07:24 Officer J. Henderson #1904 responded to reference to Leash Law/Animal Attack to Assist Tucson Police Department. in

Officer Henderson met with Tucson Police Officers Coons #42391, Thrall #42410, Little #100952 and Hoyte #40358, TPD Case#1604130108.

The attacking dog (blue and white pit bull) was already contained; victim dog and owner had left the scene to obtain vet care.

TPD Officers explained that the attacking dog was first observed at large at ; the dog was chased away but then returned. The victim dog and owner exited their residence at approximately 06:55; the pit bull saw the poodle and charged at the dog. This was witnessed by the Maintenance staff on site by the name of he also assisted with breaking up the attack on the poodle. TPD advised Officer Henderson that the victim dog owner is requesting citations.

The dog owner, , arrived and stated that he was looking for his dog; he was directed to where the dog was contained and identified the attacking as Kilo.

was cited by Officer Henderson into Tucson City Court for Leash Law and Biting Animal. Mr. signed his citations; received a copy and was provided his court date and time.

On April 15, 2016 at approximately 11:53 Pima Animal Care Dispatch received a call from Victim dog owner who stated that her dog had to be euthanized due to the severity of the injuries and is requesting restitution in the amount of \$800.00 and also requested a dangerous dog evaluation.

On April 15, 2016 at approximately 18:47 I, Investigator Deloadillo #2047 and Officer Elliott #2087, arrived to and met with victim dog owner .

explained that on April 13, 2016 at approximately 07:00, she and her dog Lucy, exited the gate of her residence, she observed a blue and white pit bull running loose; the dog then charged at her. She lifted Lucy up the leash but she wiggled out of the leash and was attacked. h was advised that citations have been issued; the dog is impounded for the dangerous evaluation. provided the invoice from Valley Animal Hospital in the amount of \$819.10

Officer's Signature:

Date:

4/19/16

DD#3



PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900, option 3 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.gov/animalcare

COMPLAINT # A16-191873
OFFICER # Delgado #2047
DATE: _____

DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

- An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.
- An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.
- An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

On 4/13/16, Kilo was out at large, attacked a black and white poodle. The injuries sustained were so severe that the dog had to be euthanized. This is the second incident where Kilo had attacked a dog, the previous incident on 08/03/15, Kilo attacked the Yorkie until it was deceased. The dog is declared automatically.

OWNER: _____
ADDRESS: _____
PHONE: _____

ANIMAL NAME: Kilo
ANIMAL ID#: 529399
SEX: M COLOR: B BREED: Rt bull

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE .

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.

April 2016 Dangerous Dog Comments

Dr. Smith:

DD1&2. These three dogs are in both cases? What happened with the citations to the owners? When is the court appearance?

DD 3. Kilo killed a dog in 8/15. Was a complaint filed and citations issued? If so why was Kilo not declared dangerous at the time and euthanized?

What kind of citations are issued to owners of dangerous dogs? Most of these dogs are dangerous due to lack of training or due to training to produce a dangerous dog. These owners are more at fault than the dogs?

Ms. Emptage:

Why wasn't the dog Kilo previously declared dangerous? Can the owner(s) be prohibited ordered not to have any dogs for xxx period of time due to the fact they did not take precautions against another dog attack? If Kilo had already been declared dangerous, what penalties are the owners facing?

**Tucson Animal Care Center
Animals on Hold Report**

Animals listed are currently listed as being on hold without an outcome date. They are grouped by the type of hold

kennel no

Number on Hold 27

HOLD TYPE ENFORCEMENT

A15-184270

K16-217610 A560828 DOG POMERANIAN/
5/10/16 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A15-184270 **D255**
Kennel Comment: 3c3c3c3c3c3c3c
Dental dz R

05/10/2016 ENFORCE MHENDRI(5/10/16 21:04
05/10/16 21:04

If owner redeems cite for on behalf of #2066;
neglect no potable water 6.04.110(B)(2)
excessive animal waste 36.183.03 ARS
12210 W Cornell Dr Tucson AZ @ 16:42

K16-217611 A560834 CAT SIAMESE/
5/10/16 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN ILL SEVERE Activity:A15-184270 **RECEIVING**
Kennel Comment: 3c3c3c3c3c3c3c R

05/10/2016 ENFORCE MHENDRI(5/10/16 21:05
05/10/16 21:05

If owner redeems cite for on behalf of #2066 ;
neglect no potable water 6.04.110(B)(2)
excessive animal waste 36.183.03 ARS
12210 W Cornell Dr Tucson AZ @ 16:42

K16-217612 A560833 CAT DOMESTIC SH/
5/10/16 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN ILL SEVERE Activity:A15-184270 **CR026**
Kennel Comment: 3c3c3c3c3c3c3c
URI at intake R

K16-217613 A560830 CAT DOMESTIC SH/
5/10/16 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN ILL SEVERE Activity:A15-184270 **I034**
Kennel Comment: 3c3c3c3c3c3c3c R

05/10/2016 ENFORCE MHENDRI(5/10/16 21:03
05/10/16 21:03

If owner redeems cite for on behalf of;
neglect no potable water 6.04.110(B)(2)
excessive animal waste 36.183.03 ARS
12210 W Cornell Dr Tucson AZ @ 16:42

K16-217614 A560831 CAT BENGAL/
5/10/16 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN ILL SEVERE Activity:A15-184270 **CR027**
Kennel Comment: 3c3c3c3c3c3c3c
URI at intake R

05/10/2016 ENFORCE MHENDRI(5/10/16 21:02
05/10/16

If owner redeems cite for on behalf of #2066 ;
neglect no potable water 6.04.110(B)(2)
excessive animal waste 36.183.03 ARS
12210 W Cornell Dr Tucson AZ @ 16:42

K16-217615 A560832 CAT BENGAL/

5/10/16 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN ILL SEVERE Activity:A15-184270
Kennel Comment: 3c3c3c3c3c3c3c

I026

R

05/10/2016 ENFORCE
05/10/16 21:02 If owner redeems cite for on behalf of #2066;
neglect no potable water 6.04.110(B)(2)
excessive animal waste 36.183.03 ARS
12210 W Cornell Dr Tucson AZ @ 16:42

MHENDRI 5/10/16 21:02

K16-217616 A560835 CAT DOMESTIC MH/

5/10/16 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN ILL SEVERE Activity:A15-184270
Kennel Comment: 3c3c3c3c3c3c3c

U018

R

05/10/2016 ENFORCE
05/10/16

MHENDRI 5/10/16 21:04

If owner redeems cite for on behalf of #2066;
neglect no potable water 6.04.110(B)(2)
excessive animal waste 36.183.03 ARS
12210 W Cornell Dr Tucson AZ @ 16:42

A15-184290

K16-217238 A560382 CAT DOMESTIC SH/

5/6/16 STRAY OTC NORMAL Activity:A15-184290
Kennel Comment: no bite no chip

I011

R

K16-217241 A560384 CAT DOMESTIC SH/

5/6/16 STRAY OTC NORMAL Activity:A15-184290
Kennel Comment: no bite no chip

I006

R

K16-217242 A560386 CAT DOMESTIC SH/

5/6/16 STRAY OTC NORMAL Activity:A15-184290
Kennel Comment: no bite no chip

MISSING

R

K16-217244 A560387 CAT DOMESTIC SH/

5/6/16 STRAY OTC NORMAL Activity:A15-184290
Kennel Comment: no bite no chip

I007

R

A16-190766

K16-214513 A555804 DOG BELLA PIT BULL/

3/30/16 CONFISCATE POLICE NORMAL Activity:A16-190766
Kennel Comment: ENF HOLD FOR PCAO
((Do not release...2oo2))

D120

R

03/30/2016 ENFORCE
03/30/16 13:48 hrs ENFORCEMENT HOLD FOR PCSO CASE.
2057

akirby 3/30/16 13:48

A16-191540

K16-217258 A560408 DOG CHIHUAHUA SH/

5/6/16 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A16-191540
Kennel Comment: 3c3c3c no chip

D107

R

05/06/2016 ENFORCE
05/06/16 16:18 Hold for neglect no water, leash law

xdelgad 5/6/16 16:18

K16-217260 A560407 DOG CHIHUAHUA SH/

5/12/16 9:39

5/6/16 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL
Kennel Comment: 3c3c3c no chip

Activity:A16-191540

kennel no

D107

R

05/06/2016 ENFORCE
05/06/16 16:21Hold for neglect no water, leash law

xdelgad 5/6/16 16:21

A16-192363

K16-216475 A559083 DOG RUNTSTRAFOF PIT BULL/MIX
4/27/16 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A16-192363
Kennel Comment: DD Hold!!

D122

R

K16-216477 A559086 DOG POCKETS PIT BULL/MIX
4/27/16 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A16-192363
Kennel Comment: DD Hold!!

D122

R

K16-216478 A559087 DOG MILITIA PIT BULL/MIX
4/27/16 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A16-192363
Kennel Comment: DD hold!!
R forelimb wound

D116

R

K16-216479 A559088 DOG GIZMO PIT BULL/MIX
4/27/16 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A16-192363
Kennel Comment: DD Hold!!

D174

R

A16-192425

K16-217516 A560712 DOG LOLA TERRIER/MIX
5/10/16 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A16-192425
Kennel Comment: no chip

D256

R

05/10/2016 ENFORCE sadkins 5/10/16 9:01
05/10/16 Dog was impounded due to no one home and dog outside in a create with no water and excessive animal waste in create. S.Adkins 1961

K16-217517 A560711 DOG SARAH PIT BULL/
5/10/16 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A16-192425
Kennel Comment: no chip

DR010

R

05/10/2016 ENFORCE sadkins 5/10/16 9:03
05/10/16 Dog was impounded due to no one home and dog outside in a create with no water and excessive animal waste in create. S.Adkins 1961

K16-217518 A560713 DOG JETHRO QUEENSLAND HEEL/MIX
5/10/16 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A16-192425
Kennel Comment: no chip

D251

R

05/10/2016 ENFORCE sadkins 5/10/16 9:34
05/10/16 Dog was impounded due to no one home and dog outside in a create with no water and excessive animal waste in create. S.Adkins 1961

A16-192610

K16-216811 A559716 DOG JOHNNY LABRADOR RETR/MIX
5/2/16 STRAY NIGHT OWN INJ SEVERE Activity:A16-192610
Kennel Comment: 5/2/2016--SEE ACTIVITY MEMO.
3C 3C 3C 3C 3C 3C 1929

JWFLOOR

R

05/02/2016 ENFORCE
5/2/2016--NEED TO NOTIFY DOG OWNER OF DOG BEING AT PACC.

DATTEBEI 5/2/16 3:56

0355--CALLED DOG OWNER'S PHONE NUMBER OF
NUMBER IS NOT AVAILABLE. -PER PHONE SERVICE,

IF OWNER REDEEMS DO A WELFARE PREMISE INSPECTION FOR VET CARE. PER
EMERGENCY VET, DOG W/ BROKEN BONE IN HIND LEG. 1929

A16-192923

K16-217370 A374666 DOG RANGLE POMERANIAN/MIX
5/8/16 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A16-192923 **D204**
Kennel Comment: HAS CHIP R
3C SEE MEMO
Matted at intake
985121012901907

05/08/2016 ENFORCE AVARGAS 5/8/16 9:25
05/08/16 - 0925Hrs. If owner attempts to redeem cite owner for abandonment and no water.
-2060/1961

K16-217371 A560551 DOG UNKNOWN PIT BULL/
5/8/16 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A16-192923 **D191**
Kennel Comment: NO CHIP R
3C SEE MEMO
Collar is in intake bin

05/08/2016 ENFORCE AVARGAS 5/8/16 9:28
05/08/16 - 0925Hrs. If owner attempts to redeem cite owner for abandonment and no water.
-2060/1961

A16-193006

K16-217488 A560686 DOG RUDY GERM SHEPHERD/MIX
5/9/16 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN UNDRAGE/WT Activity:A16-193006 **D157**
Kennel Comment: no chip. 2021rt R
3C 3C 3C 3C 3C

K16-217489 A560687 DOG PEANUT STAFFORDSHIRE/MIX
5/9/16 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A16-193006 **D179**
Kennel Comment: no chip. 2021rt R
3C 3C 3C 3C 3C

A16-193168

K16-217688 A511143 DOG SMOKETTE PIT BULL/
5/12/16 STRAY NIGHT OWN NORMAL Activity:A16-193168 **U012**
Kennel Comment: 5/12/2016-SEE ACTIVITY MEMO. R
3C 3C 3C 3C 3C 3C 1929

05/12/2016 ENFORCE
5/12/2016--HOLD FOR OWNER NOTIFICATION.

DATTEBEI 5/12/16 7:00

IF OWNER REDEEMS DOG -- HAVE OWNER LOOK AT OTHER PHOTO IN ACTIVITY OF DOG BARKING AND SEE IF THEY OWN DOG. IF SO CITE FOR LEASH LAW FOR 1929 ON 5/12/2016 AT AROUND 0500 IN THE 700 BLOCK N 7TH AVE.

ALSO ADVISE OWNER THAT DOG IN PHOTO BARKING BITE SOMEONE BUT IT DID NOT BREAK THE SKIN. COMPLAINTANT AT THIS TIME DOES NOT WANT CITES ISSUED BUT ADVISE OF BITING ANIMAL LAW. 1929

HOLD TYPE VET

Number on Hold 1

A16-193160

K16-217684 A560944 OTHER BAT/

5/11/16 WILDLIFE NORMAL Activity:A16-193160

EROOM
R

Kennel Comment: 5/11/2016--SEE ACTIVITY MEMO. 1929

05/12/2016 VET DATTEBEI 5/12/16 2:47

5/11/2016--NEED VET TO DETERMINE IF BAT IS TO BE TESTED FOR RABIES. 1929

PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
Special Revenue Fund

BUDGET SUMMARY

	FY 2015/16 Adopted	FY 2015/16 Projected	FY 2016/17 Requested
Department's Package A Revenue	6,495,555	7,947,789	6,542,550
- Department's Package A Expenditures	8,801,390	8,926,389	9,175,594
= Net Income from Operations	(2,305,835)	(978,600)	(2,633,044)
+ General Fund Support	2,197,545	2,197,545	2,373,883
+ /(-) Other Operating Transfers	(19,442)	(19,442)	(19,527)
= Net Fund Impact	(127,732)	1,199,503	(278,888)
+ Beginning Fund Balance - PACC	316,738	421,908	1,621,411
= Ending Fund Balance - PACC	189,006	1,621,411	1,342,523

Supplemental Package Request Total 0

ISSUES

- In FY 2013/14, the Board approved an emergency expansion of the shelter facility to enable increased capacity and expanded medical care for sick or injured animals in the shelter. During FY 2015/16, Pima Animal Care Center underwent two major structural changes. Overtime funds were converted to salaries and wages to hire seven additional FTEs to account for this expansion. One Program Coordinator and six Animal Care Technicians were approved to assist with essential services performed both within the shelter and in the medical clinics. Operations were also bifurcated into two separate functions: Internal Operations including shelter, clinic, enforcement, dispatch, and the pet resource center, and External Outreach and Community Engagement which includes adoptions, rescue, foster, volunteers, fundraising, licensing, and business operations. This separation did not lead to additional FTEs.
- PACC's share of the Central Cost Allocation Plan increased from \$636,258 in FY 2015/16 to \$783,089 in FY 2016/17. The General Fund subsidy was adjusted by 38.63% of the increase, representing the portion of PACC expenses not billed to other jurisdictions. Other adjustments to the subsidy include motor pool, Self Insurance Reserve, benefits, and Information Technology ISF hardware, software, and server/storage.
- FY 2015/16 projected ending cash balance = (\$323,358)
FY 2016/17 projected ending cash balance = (\$857,872)
- The department requested 93.0 FTEs in Package A which is a 9.0 FTE increase over FY 2015/16.
- Total requested revenues are \$6,542,550 for FY 2016/17 or \$46,995 more than FY 2015/16 due primarily to increased donations.
- The department has requested expenditures of \$9,175,594, an increase of \$374,204 over its FY 2015/16 budget. The increase is due to a number of factors including increased personnel services, veterinary services, and hardware, software, and server/storage costs.
- The department was named as a beneficiary of two trusts in excess of \$1.3 million. There are four investments for the use of these funds that commenced in FY 2015/16 and extend into FY 2019/20. Investment #2, building a behavioral rehabilitation program for dogs has created two additional FTEs.
- The department's period 7 projection indicates a negative expenditure variance of \$125,000 reflecting the increased costs due to the overtime line item was converted to seven new FTEs for expanded shelter operations. These employees were not hired with the beginning of the fiscal year thus actual overtime pay has occurred and is causing the variance.

SUPPLEMENTALS

Package Letter/Name	Expenditures	Revenues	FY 2016/17 NFI
None	0	0	0

ROSSWALK - PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER B055

	<u>EXPENDITURES</u>	<u>REVENUES</u>	<u>TRANSFERS</u>	<u>FUND IMPACT</u>	<u>FTEs</u>
FY 2015/16 Adopted Budget	8,801,390	6,495,556	2,197,545		84.00
Transfer out to Debt Service			(19,442)	(127,732)	
Reverse Debt Service			19,442	19,442	
Decrease port charges - x 24.97%			0	0	
Increase in Central Overhead - 128,831 x 38.63%	128,831		48,895	(77,836)	
SIR Decrease 21,720@ 24.97%			(5,423)	(5,423)	
Increase for Information Technology ISF (hardware, software, server)	100,448		100,448	0	
Benefits Adjustment - 62,547 x 24.97%	63,145		13,270	(39,875)	
Motor Pool - 75,482x.2497			16,848	16,848	
Increase in municipal billings		48,995			
FY2016/17 Base Budget	9,081,614	6,644,550	2,373,683	(212,576)	84.00
Increase in FTEs	0			0	7.00
Add Two FTEs Bequets Investment #2	83,780			(83,780)	2.0
Interest revenue budgeted in PACC donations bureau		(2,000)		(2,000)	
Operating Transfer out to Debt Service			(19,527)	(19,527)	
				0	
FY 2016/17 Submitted Requested Budget	9,175,594	6,642,550	2,354,156	(327,883)	93.00
				0	0.00
FY 2016/17 Recommended Budget	9,175,594	6,642,550	2,354,156	(327,883)	93.00
				0	
FY 2016/17 Proposed Adopted Budget	9,175,594	6,642,550	2,354,156	(327,883)	93.00
				0	
FY 2016/17 Adopted Budget	9,175,594	6,642,550	2,354,156	(327,883)	93.00

Notes:

Cost increases by the County - e.g. benefits - increase the GF subsidy by 24.97% which was the FY 15/16 % of subsidized expenditures.

**Pima Animal Care Center
Five Year Budget History**

Budgeted	Total Expenditures	Total Revenues	Net Deficit	O/T	Net Fund Impact
FY 2016/17	9,175,594	6,542,550	(2,633,044)	2,354,156	(278,888)
FY 2015/16	8,801,390	6,495,555	(2,305,835)	2,178,103	(127,732)
FY 2014/15	8,452,217	6,302,375	(2,149,842)	2,113,786	(36,056)
FY 2013/14	7,446,943	5,486,629	(1,960,314)	1,945,741	(14,573)
FY 2012/13	5,904,161	4,612,917	(1,291,244)	943,342	(347,902)
FY 2011/12	4,924,366	4,478,174	(446,192)	385,727	(60,465)
FY 2010/11	4,568,414	4,275,824	(292,590)	251,900	(40,690)
FY 2009/10	5,124,007	4,345,145	(778,862)	785,856	6,994
Actual					
FY 2016/17	-	-	-	-	-
FY 2015/16	8,926,389	7,947,789	(978,600)	2,178,103	1,199,503
FY 2014/15	9,141,696	6,605,658	(2,536,038)	2,116,786	(419,252)
FY 2013/14	7,660,406	5,471,599	(2,188,807)	2,066,938	(121,869)
FY 2012/13	6,319,953	5,341,826	(978,127)	2,585,299	1,607,172
FY 2011/12	6,379,334	4,930,956	(1,448,378)	385,727	(1,062,651)
FY 2010/11	5,849,329	4,715,123	(1,134,206)	251,900	(882,306)
FY 2009/10	5,850,442	4,803,151	(1,047,291)	1,034,171	(13,120)
Variance					
FY 2016/17	-	-	-	-	-
FY 2015/16	-	-	-	-	-
FY 2014/15	(689,479)	303,283	(386,196)	3,000	(383,196)
FY 2013/14	(213,463)	(15,030)	(228,493)	121,197	(107,296)
FY 2012/13	(415,792)	728,909	313,117	1,641,957	1,955,074
FY 2011/12	(1,454,968)	452,782	(1,002,186)	-	(1,002,186)
FY 2010/11	(1,280,915)	439,299	(841,616)	-	(841,616)
FY 2009/10	(726,435)	458,006	(268,429)	248,315	(20,114)

Notes

FY 2015/16 actual is period 7 projected
 FY 2012/13 budgeted is revised to adjust for department overhead charges

SUMMARY BY OBJECT: EXPENDITURES

Department Name: Health

Bureau: Health - Pima Animal Care Center

Fund: Special Revenue - Health Department - PACC

OBJECT NAME	FY 2015/2016 Adopted	FY 2015/2016 Actuals Thru January	FY 2016/2017 Requested	Increase / Decrease
EXPENDITURE OBJECTS				
Salaries & Wages	3,147,927	1,801,101	3,318,034	170,107
Overtime	192,379	139,278	50,015	(142,364)
On Call Pay	7,000	5,563	-	(7,000)
Shift Differential	20,000	5,670	13,200	(6,800)
Temporary Help	3,105	-	-	(3,105)
Holiday Worked Pay	45,000	34,807	39,000	(6,000)
Social Security & Medicare	241,055	147,712	254,977	13,922
Unemployment Insurance	3,217	2,053	2,586	(621)
Health Insurance Premiums	609,344	350,392	704,497	95,153
Workers Compensation	49,726	34,836	52,783	3,057
Life Insurance	5,376	1,575	3,026	(2,350)
Employer Paid Benefit Fees	36	-	-	(36)
Employer Paid Subsidy	-	139	504	504
Arizona State Retirement	361,066	228,238	371,325	10,259
Dental Insurance Premiums	6,558	3,243	7,213	655
Interdepartmental Salaries - Charged out/Credit	(44,876)	(333)	-	44,876
Interdepartmental Salaries - Charged in/Debit	10,000	117,580	-	(10,000)
Interdepartmental Fringe - Charged out/Credit	(13,441)	(128)	-	13,441
Interdepartmental Fringe - Charged in/Debit	6,000	48,479	35,000	29,000
Labor Distribution Fringe Charged out/Credit	(8,589)	(11,333)	(26,000)	(17,411)
Labor Distribution Fringe Charged in/Debit	10,000	8,319	30,856	20,856
Labor Distribution Salaries Charged out/Credit	(38,389)	(39,248)	(89,000)	(50,611)
Labor Distribution Salaries Charged in/Debit	401,400	214,794	430,128	28,728
Elected Officials Retirement '2014'	-	(44)	-	-
Sick Payout	-	11,782	17,700	17,700
Vacation Payout	-	11,476	41,100	41,100
Initial Appearance Pay	-	426	-	-
Uniform Allowance	-	-	15,000	15,000
PERSONNEL SERVICES TOTAL	5,013,894	3,116,377	5,271,954	258,060
Office Supplies	18,233	11,113	18,400	167
Software Under \$5M	-	2,104	-	-
Computer Equipment less than \$1,000	-	1,253	-	-
Food Supplies	-	363	-	-
Drugs & Pharmaceuticals	203,170	111,548	190,448	(12,722)
Medical & Lab Supplies	140,425	128,969	145,000	4,575
Books, Subscriptions & Videos	-	565	-	-
Repair & Maintenance Supplies	33,714	21,202	31,064	(2,650)
Chemicals	3,224	4,412	3,224	-
Janitorial Supplies	87,120	53,355	85,820	(1,500)
Clothing, Uniforms, and Safety Apparel	45,350	19,811	39,400	(5,950)
Cameras, Film & Equipment	400	638	400	-
Other Operation Supplies	2,500	-	2,500	-
Animal Control Supplies (food & other)	235,000	81,352	226,826	(8,172)
Tools & Equipment Under \$1,000	2,000	1,051	2,000	-
Lawyers	78,792	39,396	92,901	14,109
Miscellaneous Legal Expenses	-	110	-	-
Software Maintenance and Support	26,671	18,240	26,670	(1)
Laboratory & X-ray Services	-	1,923	-	-
Veterinary Services	332,563	46,404	264,212	(68,351)
In State Training	-	150	-	-
Postage & Freight	100,000	61,538	96,944	(3,056)
Printing & Microfilming	55,175	12,250	52,020	(3,155)

SUMMARY BY OBJECT: EXPENDITURES

Department Name: Health

Bureau: Health - Pirna Animal Care Center

Fund: Special Revenue - Health Department - PACC

OBJECT NAME	FY 2015/2016 Adopted	FY 2015/2016 Actuals Thru January	FY 2016/2017 Requested	Increase / Decrease
Security	-	168	-	-
Leases & Rental	24,116	10,108	19,500	(4,616)
Leases & Rental - Real Estate & Machinery	-	73	-	-
R&M-Machinery & Equipment Services	16,862	14,905	14,700	(2,162)
R&M Building Services	44,007	13,870	45,200	1,193
R&M Grounds and Landscaping	5,512	966	6,400	888
Other Professional Services	20,872	46,176	22,800	1,928
Investigative Services	-	64	-	-
Banking Credit Card Fees and Charges	25,000	15,992	24,983	(17)
Advertising	19,522	3,978	14,500	(5,022)
Leases & Rental - Office Machines	-	3,504	-	-
Interdepartmental Supplies & Services - Charged In/Debit	5,388	4,334	5,388	-
Intradepartmental Supplies & Services - Charged In/Debit	44,601	42,539	47,361	2,760
County Administrative Overhead	636,258	371,154	763,089	126,831
Telephone & Internet	53,000	32,865	69,800	16,800
Electricity	180,000	85,392	225,000	45,000
Water & Sewer	38,608	16,552	38,608	-
Natural Gas	20,000	18,917	20,000	-
Waste Disposal and Recycling	4,782	3,750	4,022	(760)
Mileage Reimbursement	600	458	600	-
Motor Pool Charges	273,125	167,904	303,338	30,213
Dues and Memberships	800	210	-	(800)
Other Miscellaneous Charges	-	8,101	-	-
Payments To Agencies	600,000	286,026	600,000	-
General Liability Insurance Premiums	83,551	48,741	55,752	(27,799)
Property Damage Insurance Premiums	-	-	6,079	6,079
Interest Expense - Pooled Investments	-	2,143	-	-
Job Support Services & Supplies	190,000	51,827	190,000	-
Radio	14,000	12,075	13,860	(140)
Computer Hardware - ISF Charges	91,381	53,305	101,885	10,504
Software - ISF Charges	26,574	13,290	33,144	6,570
Office Machines & Computers - Non-Capital	4,600	-	-	(4,600)
Other Machines & Equipment - Non-Capital	-	61	-	-
OPERATING EXPENSES TOTAL	3,787,496	1,947,215	3,903,840	116,144
*** TOTAL: EXPENDITURE OBJECTS ***	8,801,390	5,063,592	9,175,594	374,204

SUMMARY BY OBJECT: REVENUES

Department Name: Health

Bureau: Health - Pima Animal Care Center

Fund: Special Revenue - Health Department - PACC

OBJECT NAME	FY 2015/2016 Adopted	FY 2015/2016 Actuals Thru Januray	FY 2016/2017 Requested	Increase / Decrease
REVENUE OBJECTS				
State Revenue	1,800	1,024	2,304	504
City Revenue Other Operating	4,893,351	2,818,338	4,739,737	46,366
Other Local Governments Other	190,229	290,053	196,108	5,879
Animal Control Impound Fees	231,867	9,633	232,000	133
Animal Control Fees for Duplicates	3,501	2,480	4,265	764
Animal Control Fees for Transfers	2,040	420	624	(1,416)
Animal Control Adoptions	16,711	34,645	16,711	-
Animal Control Fees	6,942	14,977	7,000	58
Animal Control Owner Pick Up	2,020	1,230	2,280	260
Animal Control Vaccinations	360	120	180	(180)
Animal Control Euthanasia	3,870	-	2,000	(1,870)
Animal Control Microchip Fees	3,634	2,457	8,619	4,985
Veterinary Medical Tests	380	1,032	400	20
Veterinary Medical Procedures	9,720	6,828	12,295	2,575
License & Permits	550,000	323,852	550,000	-
Animal Control Citations	5,726	13,769	6,000	274
Animal Control Late Penalties	75,000	37,553	75,000	-
Other Fines	3,140	2,689	5,470	2,330
Donations	600,000	349,830	600,000	-
Overages & Shortages Operating	-	63,643	-	-
Other Misc. Revenue Operating	95,264	39,589	81,557	(13,707)
NSF Check Charge Revenue Source	-	1,000	-	-
Late Fees and Interest Charges on Overdue Receivable	-	84	-	-
*** TOTAL: REVENUE OBJECTS ***	6,495,555	4,015,046	6,542,550	46,895

Pima Animal Care Center

Requested operating department expenditures, revenues and operating transfers:

PACC FUND 2001	FY 15-16 BUDGET	FY 16-17 BUDGET*	PERCENT CHANGE
Operating Expenditures	\$8,801,389	\$9,175,594	4.25%
Operating Revenues	\$6,495,555	\$6,542,550	0.72%
Operating Transfers	\$2,199,525	\$2,373,683	7.92%
PACC FTE	84	93*	10.71%

*Includes 2 FTE funded out of Burson/Rasmussen Bequests

PACC increase from FY16 to FY17 of \$374,205 due mainly to:

Increase in Central Overhead	\$48,995
Increase for ITD ISF	\$100,448
Benefits Increase	\$13,270
Motor Pool Increase	\$18,848
Burson/Rasmussen (Behavioral Rehabilitation Prog)	\$93,780

Pima Animal Care Center

Major programs and related services provided by the department:

Major Programs and Initiatives	Services/Contributions to Economic Development
Enforcement	Enforcement of local animal welfare, safety and rabies control ordinances activity including response to animal bites, free roaming, sick/injured animals, or hoarding cases disproportionately impacts the quality-of-life and property values of low-income communities in our jurisdiction
Licensing	This function is critical in decreasing the community risk of rabies, encouraging spay/neuter of pets, and improving our ability to reunite lost pets with their owners. These functions are critical in order to control the costs associated with our PACC operation. Finally we collect licensing revenue on behalf of the partner jurisdictions.
Shelter/Adoptions	Safely housing and securing stray and unwanted pets is an important cost driver for PACC. These services permit the rapid rehoming of lost pets, promote the long-term placement of other pets with families, as well as increasing vaccination and spay/neuter in Pima County.
Spay/Neuter	Low-cost spay and neuter services decrease unwanted the animal populations and are a critical to controlling the operational costs for PACC. Such intervention also provides important savings to the individual pet owner increasing disposable income to be used for other purposes.

Pima Animal Care Center

Major costs of providing programs and related services by the department:

Program	Mandates	Cost	Revenue (estimate)*
Enforcement	ARS § 11-1006 through ARS § 11-1022	\$2,746,851	\$1,757,985
Licensing	ARS § 11-1006 through ARS § 11-1022	\$575,541	\$368,346
Sheltering/live placement	ARS § 11-1006 through ARS § 11-1022	\$2,852,992	\$1,825,915
Spay/Neuter	ARS § 11-1006 through ARS § 11-1022	\$1,562,485	\$999,990
Administration	Necessary to coordinate mandated and non-mandated activities	\$1,093,682	\$699,956

*Revenues for programs based on billing for actual services and account for approximately 64% of costs to Tucson, Oro Valley, Marana, Sahuarita, and South Tucson.

PACC Mandates: ARS § 11-1006 through ARS § 11-1022

- The county shall establish civil penalties for violating animal statutes and ordinance and conduct hearings
- The county enforcement agent shall enforce provisions, issue citations
- The county enforces that no dogs shall be permitted at large (no strays)
- The county shall impound stray dogs and all impounded cats and dogs shall be given proper and humane care. For animals not reclaimed, the county enforcement agency shall take possession.
- Specified animals shall be confined and quarantined in a county pound. The county enforcement agent shall destroy a vicious animal by order of a justice of the peace or a city magistrate.
- Animals in county pound shall be properly cared for and, when required, humanely destroyed.
- Provisions to be met before dogs and cats can leave county pound: shall not be release unless altered, dogs licensed

Pima Animal Care Center

Significant changes in the requested FY2016/17 budget versus the FY 2015/16 adopted budget:

In 2015, PACC developed a plan to curtail long term overtime expenditures by hiring additional personnel to alleviate staffing shortages. This plan was approved by County administration and implemented, with the effect that overtime was virtually cut in half as of April 2016. This has had a beneficial effect on the overall PACC budget and operations. As of mid-April 2016 PACC was on track to finish the year under budget for the first time in recent history.

Major budget issues the department is facing in FY 2016/17:

Current PACC operations continue to focus on controlling our costs while delivering high-quality humane services to pets and owners in our community. Specifically PACC continues to reduce overtime, decrease contractor costs, streamline current operations, increase philanthropic funding and improve services. An additional operational consideration this year will be the inevitable disruption of services associated with the construction of the voter approved facility while simultaneously continuing to operate at capacity.

We continue to face challenges related to reimbursement from the jurisdictional partners on whose behalf we perform services in Pima County. These challenges are likely to continue.

Any requests for supplemental funding over and above the base budget amount:

No supplemental funding requests were submitted by PACC for FY16/17.

Pima Animal Care Center

Various issues related to FY2016-17 budgets administered by the Department:

Main Operating Budget

PACC requires full staffing in order to meet its statutory and contractual responsibilities. This continues to be a priority in order to avoid expensive overtime pay, improve safety, decrease stress and improve retention of both staff and volunteers.

The current clinical staffing continues to be an important element in our cost containment efforts as it decrease our reliance on outside contractors and vendors of services we are now able to perform in house.

Two years ago PACC hired a Development Director to capitalize on philanthropic opportunities to support PACC's mission. This has resulted in significant increases in donations and grant funding (\$600,000 is the amount budgeted for FY16/17 in donations).

Special Program Budgets

None

Grants Program Budgets

PetSmart Charities	\$269,000
Burson & Rassmussen Bequests	\$205,000
Other Grants	\$8,500

Capital Improvement Program Budgets

None, except for the pending new facility construction which is handled through Facilities.

Internal Service Fund Budgets



Volunteer Application and Volunteer Agreement

We are thrilled you are interested in volunteering at the Pima Animal Care Center. PACC could not thrive without the time and talents that volunteers offer us.

Volunteers work in many areas, including Cat Care, Dog Socialization, Foster Care, and as Groomers, Lobby Greeter, Adoption Counselors, PACC Ambassadors, Clinic Assistants and Office Support. Depending on the needs of PACC, volunteers may be assigned a role at the discretion of the Volunteer Coordinator.

PACC volunteers are held to the highest industry standards. To become a volunteer, the minimum requirements are:

- A six-month commitment as volunteer. Additionally, we also ask that you try to commit to a minimum of six hours per month.
- In the Generations program, youth ages 11 to 15 years old are only allowed to volunteer with a parent or guardian. Youth ages 16 to 18 years old can volunteer on their own.
- Attending the Volunteer Orientation and subsequent animal handling classes (if applicable). Once you have successfully completed the volunteer application and it is approved, we will invite you to an orientation.
- Reading and agreeing to policies, procedures and rules contained below. Failure to abide by these policies, procedures and rules is grounds for disciplinary action, up to and including, dismissal from PACC's volunteer corps.

PACC Spay/Neuter Policy:

To help control the unwanted pet population, PACC will spay/neuter all canines and felines surrendered to our care unless there is a medical reason not to sterilize the animal.

INITIAL HERE to confirm that you understand and accept “PACC Spay/Neuter Policy”: _____

PACC Euthanasia Policy: The decision to euthanize an animal is difficult and not made lightly. Pima Animal Care Center accepts all animals from the community. We do not limit the length of time an animal remains in our shelter. All animals in good health and of good temperament are placed up for adoption. We do have a good adoption rate and make every effort within our resources to help as many animals as possible; however, there are certain circumstances when an animal must be euthanized.

INITIAL HERE to confirm that you understand and accept “PACC Euthanasia Policy”:

Professional Expectations

As a PACC volunteer, I AGREE TO:

- Support the mission, vision, goals, efforts and official positions of the Pima Animal Care Center.
- Treat all animals with kindness.
- Promote goodwill by handling my responsibilities and contacts with staff, other volunteers, customers and visitors in a spirit of courtesy and cooperation.
- Observe Pima County Board of Supervisor Policies:
 - D21-2: Prevention of Sexual Harassment
 - D21-3: Prevention of Workplace Harassment
 - D23-1: Preventing, Identifying, and Addressing Workplace Bullying
 - D23-11 Preventing Workplace Violence
- Follow the most current communication plan for reporting concerns with policies, practices or procedures.
- Observe current Social Media Policy
- Observe all policies and directives from Pima County Animal Care Center.

INITIAL HERE to confirm that you understand and accept “Professional Expectations”: _____

Safety, Security and Confidentiality

As a PACC volunteer, I AGREE TO:

- Report to my volunteer job physically and mentally fit for duty.
- Observe all safety and security rules.
- Report accidents, injuries, fire, theft or other unusual incidents immediately after the occurrence or discovery.
- Refrain from using PACC property, services or supplies for personal reasons unless given prior permission by Volunteer Coordinator or Shelter Manager.
- Deal fairly with all PACC colleagues, co-workers, supervisors, customers, visitors, volunteers, etc., without regard to their gender, race, ethnicity, religion, creed, age, sexual orientation, marital status, national origin, ancestry, citizenship, military status, veteran status, handicap or disability.
- Contact the Volunteer Coordinator or another appropriate supervisor immediately if I feel discriminated against or harassed in connection with my volunteering.
- Hold harmless Pima Animal Care Center, its agents, employees, directors and insurance carriers from any and all claims, damages and judgments which I may have now or in the future against the Pima Animal Care Center in all matters pertaining to my services as an agency volunteer, including, but not limited to, personal injury.

INITIAL HERE to confirm that you understand and accept “PACC Safety, Security and Confidentiality” expectations: _____

Working with Pima County Inmates

As a PACC volunteer, I AGREE TO:

- Recognize that PACC volunteers and staff work in close proximity to inmates from the Pima County Jail in the day-to-day operation of PACC.
- Understand that under Arizona Revised Statutes inmates have a very different existence and rules of conduct than an ordinary citizen.
- Not engage in conversation or any other type of activity with inmates, other than the courtesy of hello.
- Not give, take or in any manner barter with, inmates, i.e. supply any goods, including food and soft drinks or monies. Said act is unlawful and constitutes a felony for which I can be prosecuted.
- Not handle any mail, notes, packages or verbal messages for any inmate.
- Not photograph an inmate for any reason.
- Not ask inmates to assist me in any way unless in an emergency situation where my personal safety may be in jeopardy.
- Report to PACC staff immediately if an inmate approaches me on any matter other than outlined in this memo.

INITIAL HERE to confirm that you understand and accept “Working with Pima County Inmates” expectations: _____

Confidentiality and Conflict of Interest

As a PACC volunteer, I AGREE TO:

- Maintain and safeguard the confidentiality of all business, donor, employee, volunteer and animal records, credit and financial information, and/or any information relating to the operation of the agency that is not known or readily available to the general public.
- Abide by PACC Social Media policy.
- Avoid engaging in any conduct that is or could be perceived as a conflict of interest.

INITIAL HERE to confirm that you understand and accept “Confidentiality and Conflict of Interest” expectations: _____

Community Relations

As a PACC volunteer, I AGREE TO:

- Allow the agency to use and reproduce my name, voice and/or likeness or that of my pet(s) in connection with any advertising, programming and/or promotion of the agency in media as needed.
- Refrain from any political activity while performing responsibilities as a volunteer for Pima Animal Care Center. I will not use any Pima County resources for the purpose of influencing the outcome of an election.

INITIAL HERE to confirm that you understand and accept “Community Relations” expectations: _____

By initialing all sections, checking the “I agree” button, and submitting this application, I agree to abide by the policies and procedures of the Pima Animal Care Center during my time as a member of the volunteer team, conforming to all rules and regulations commonly applied to employees of the agency, including but not limited to, safety, discrimination, harassment, confidentiality and Position Statements.

I agree that if I do not adhere to any item listed in the agreement it may result in disciplinary action, up to and including dismissal. In most cases, failure to adhere to items listed in the agreement will be addressed in three phases: verbal discussion, written warning and finally, dismissal. In egregious cases of harassment, animal endangerment or violation of social media policy, dismissal may be immediate. This is at the discretion of the PACC administration.



Social Media Policy

This policy is designed to protect individuals and Pima Animal Care Center, to ensure that references to PACC are factually correct and do not breach confidentiality requirements, and to ensure that all PACC volunteers and staff are treated with respect. Failure to follow this policy will result in disciplinary action, up to and including, dismissal from employment or the volunteer corps.

- “Social media” is defined as websites and applications that enable users to create and share content or to participate in social networking, *including, but not limited to*: Facebook, Twitter, LinkedIn, Pinterest, Instagram, Snap Chat and Yik Yak. The Pima County Communications Office is responsible for determining whether a website or application qualifies as social media.
- All PACC staff and volunteers must adhere to the procedures and guidelines of [County \(Administrative Procedure 3-31\)](#).
- Unless given authorized by the Director of Community Engagement, PACC staff and volunteers may speak for or collect images on behalf of PACC or to represent that they do in any setting including social media sites.
- PACC staff and volunteers **may not** share information that **has not** been publicly released through the official PACC Facebook page, Pima County Website, Volgistics or Foster and Rescue emails. Once information has been shared officially, staff and volunteers are encouraged to share that information.
- A respectful tone is important when referring to past or current PACC staff, volunteers, customers and partners. Derogatory or threatening speech, name calling, etc. will not be tolerated.
- Any communication between employees and/or volunteers that would be considered inappropriate in a workplace (i.e., sexual harassment, intimidation, bullying, etc.) is also prohibited for online communication.
- Social networking contact with youth volunteers is strictly forbidden.
- During a crisis situation, updates will be provided through Pima County’s official communication channels, including PACC official social media. Sharing is encouraged after official announcement is made on Pima County or PACC official FB page.
- PACC reserves the right to remove inappropriate postings on its official social media platforms in conformance with County (AP 3-31).
- PACC does not monitor non-county social media sites. When content in these sites would otherwise violate the standards on County social media and this content is brought to the attention of PACC leadership, we reserve the right to take appropriate follow-up action up to and including the termination of volunteer or employment status.
- Questions regarding social media may be directed to the PACC Director for Community Engagement, Justin Gallick.

Fundraising and social media

We greatly appreciate when you share PACC's online requests for donations with your friends and family on your personal social networking sites. We ask that you please ask your social media contacts to make gifts directly to PACC or Friends of PACC.

Before launching your campaign, we ask that you please do the following:

- Send a one-paragraph description of your fundraising idea to our Development Office at karen.hollish@pima.gov. This will allow PACC staff to be able to answer any questions from the public that might arise as a result of your fundraiser.
- Include a note at the top of your fundraising page to this effect: "This is a private fundraiser being organized by X, who is a volunteer/staff at Pima Animal Care Center. This is not an official fundraiser for Pima Animal Care Center."
- Present PACC in the best possible light and refrain from using language such as "death row" or "pound" or "kill." While we know this language can be an effective fundraising tool for fundraisers that are specific to a particular pet, we feel it also serves to drive away investment in the shelter and makes potential adopters fearful of visiting our campus.

By signing below, I indicate that I understand and accept the Pima Animal Care Center social media policy, including proper process in regard to fundraising and social media:

Signature: _____

Date: _____



General Communication Policy

We want your volunteer experience at Pima Animal Care to be fun and rewarding. PACC takes the input and concerns of our staff and volunteers very seriously. Please come to us with any issue.

We are always open to implementing positive changes, but there are some suggestions we may not be able to integrate into our policies and procedures due to County policy, legal restrictions or budgetary constraints.

We strive to have replies to your concerns within three business days. If you have not received a verbal or written response to your inquiry within that period, please contact Justin Gallick.

- For immediate emergency concerns about animal health and safety, please notify the Shelter Supervisor on Duty. If he/she is unavailable, please contact the Shelter Supervisor or Medical Team.
- To discuss a particular concern about an issue with another staff member or volunteer, please contact the Volunteer Coordinator.
- For other concerns, please refer to the PACC Communication Chart to identify the appropriate contact person.
- Concerns, suggestions and questions about PACC policy and procedures should be emailed to the appropriate contact on PACC Communication Chart. PACC leadership will review the concern, suggestion or question and either contact the submitter directly or refer the individual to the Volunteer Forum.
- Social media communication is regulated by the PACC Social Media policy.

By signing below, I indicate that I have read, understand and accept the Pima Animal Care Center General Communication Policy.

Signature: _____

Date: _____

PACC Email Tree

Shelter pet related questions- corina.rodriguez@pima.gov , Danielle.harris@pima.gov , Daniel.miranda@pima.gov and Jose.chavez@pima.gov

Adoption/off-site adoption questions- Ellie.beaubien@pima.gov, Mark.little@pima.gov and Justin.gallick@pima.gov

Rescue Group and SNA questions- Samantha.nellis@pima.gov and Justin.gallick@pima.gov

Volunteer related questions- Gina.hansen@pima.gov and Justin.Gallick@pima.gov

Foster related questions- Michelle.lindorff@pima.gov and Justin.gallick@pima.gov

Licensing related questions- Jennifer.neustadter@pima.gov

Enforcement related question- debra.tenkate@pima.gov and Niel.knost@pima.gov, adam.ricci@pima.gov and Jose.ocano@pima.gov

To report a needed repair or safety concern – Kino.davis@pima.gov

Fundraising or donor development questions- Karen.Hollish@pima.gov

Medical questions/concerns regarding a pet at PACC- Jennifer.wilcox@pima.gov and michelle.figueroa@pima.gov

Organizational questions- Justin.gallick@pima.gov and Jose.ocano@pima.gov

Policy concerns and Questions- Jose.ocano@pima.gov , Justin.Gallick@pima.gov and

Donation Activity

Period: 2016-04-01 To: 2016-04-30

Donation Code	Amount
DONATION	\$3.00
DONATION ADOP	\$364.00
DONATION GEN	\$13,924.63
DONATION OUTR	\$53.00
DONATION S/N	\$14,086.78
DONATION SAMS	\$3,369.00
Grand Total	\$31,800.41

Donation Activity

Period: 2015-07-01 To: 2016-04-30

Donation Code	Amount
DONATION	\$674.02
DONATION ADOP	\$14,590.07
DONATION ENFORCE 0972	\$0.00
DONATION GEN	\$173,228.23
DONATION LIC 0973	\$20.00
DONATION OUTR	\$565.00
DONATION S/N	\$115,509.81
DONATION SAMS	\$121,082.67
Grand Total	\$425,669.80