Pima County Animal Care Advisory Committee
Minutes
March 17, 2016
3950 S. Country Club Road
Tucson, Arizona 85714

Approved in part 4-21-16
Item 3 approved 5-19-16

1. Call to Order

Mr. Neuman called the meeting to order at 5:35 pm.

- Attendance

Present:
Tamara Barrick, Pima Paws for Life
Nancy Emptage, Animal Welfare Coalition
Pat Hubbard, Humane Society of Southern Arizona
Jack Neuman, Chair, PACC Volunteers
Jane Schwerin, People for Animals in the Prevention of Cruelty and Neglect
Gail Smith, MD, Board of Health
Marcy Flanagan, Health Department Deputy Director, Ex-Offico

Absent:
Pat Jacobs, Tucson Kennel Club
Sophia Kaluzniacki, DVM, SPCA of AZ, Inc
Derek Marshall, Public Education
Helen Mendelsohn, Disabled Community
Erin O'Donnell, DVM, Southern AZ Veterinary Medical Association

- Pledge of Allegiance

2. Adoption of the Minutes

- Adoption of the February 18, 2016 Meeting Minutes

Ms. Schwerin said her discussion on page five of the draft minutes was recorded inaccurately in that it states: "However, Ms. Schwerin's point was dogs which should be declared dangerous…" when it should reflect it was former Mayor Miller's point she was bringing up. Ms. Schwerin chose to edit the text verbally in lieu of providing a written edit as requested by Mr. Neuman.

The motion was made and seconded (Smith/Emptage) that the February 18, 2016 meeting minutes be adopted with the aforementioned edit. The motion carried (6-0).

3. Animal Care Center Budget Discussion

Ms. Flanagan introduced Garrett Hancock, Health Department Business Manager, who utilized the attached PowerPoint presentation to explain how budgets are done in Pima County.

In June of 1980 Arizona voters amended the Arizona Constitution prescribing an expenditure limitation for each county, city, town, and community college district. The purpose of the expenditure limitation is to control expenditures and limit future increases in spending to adjustments for inflation; deflation; population growth. There are exceptions for natural or manmade disasters or if approved by two-thirds of the governing board and a majority of the qualified voters. The budget process cycle

begins in October for the fiscal year which begins the following July. Mr. Hancock discussed the budget timeline and in-process adjustments that occur, often due to State cost transfers and insurance cost changes. In May the Board of Supervisors passes a tentative budget, which sets the budget limit, and then the final budget adoption is in June. To come up with the Department's total budget Finance starts with the current adopted budget figure and makes adjustments for any known differences. Anything in excess of the adjusted figure has to be pursued as a supplemental budget request and most of those do not get approved.

PACC's budget is divided into three parts: the PACC special revenue fund (fund 2001), the PACC grants fund (fund 2042), and the PACC bequests fund (fund 2131). Mr. Neuman asked which fund general donations go into. Mr. Hancock said donations go into fund 2001; while a grant from PetSmart goes into fund 2042; and if someone dies and leaves PACC their house it (the money from the house) goes into fund 2131. Spending authority is based on projections. Mr. Neuman asked what happens if the projected revenue amount is exceeded. Money taken in in excess of the expenditure authority goes into an account. Mr. Hancock said it cannot be spent during the current fiscal year because it is not in the budget, but can be budgeted in future years. He gave the example of the bequest money coming in and being included in budgets going forward, but not in the budget year the money was received. Ms. Emptage asked about how general donations are divided out and Mr. Hancock said a portion goes to cover costs attributed to the various municipalities. Once the County puts money into PACC's fund, the County cannot take it back. Dr. Smith asked if surplus funds in PACC's account carried over to another year result in the County reducing the general fund contribution. Mr. Hancock said in theory the County could do so, but has not. He continued that this year is the first year of his three years with the Health Department wherein PACC is not over budget. PACC's overages have been covered by the Health Department's budget in years past. Ms. Barrick said that the Committee has trouble accepting that donations are being rolled into PACC's budget to cover operations instead of for the animals specifically. Ms. Hubbard asked if money given for a specific purpose, such as spay and neuter, actually goes for that purpose. Mr. Hancock said it does. He continued that the County's financial management system tracks all the money and has stops within the system to prevent spending beyond authorized thresholds for specific master agreements (contracts) and commodities. Ms. Schwerin asked, "Let's say I donate $500 and I earmark it for spaying and neutering, and you said it would be spent for spaying and neutering; but the big question is will it be spent for $500 more spaying and neutering than would have been done had I not given that money?" Ms. Flanagan responded that it would be above and beyond. Ms. Schwerin asked why people should give donations for things PACC is already doing. Mr. Hancock said because PACC is traditionally over budget and could not do all the things they want to do without the donations. Mr. Neuman said there is a pie of money which comes into the County; asserted that the community wants PACC to have a larger portion of the pie; and continued that donations should not be considered part of the pie. Mr. Hancock said he, PACC and the Health Department administration all agree PACC needs more money. He went on to relay that prior to PACC's tent going up the Board of Supervisors granted an extremely rare mid-year adjustment to cover the additional costs associated with erecting the tent, but PACC still went over budget due to the additional operating cost associated with housing the additional animals in the tent.

PACC's fiscal year 2015/2016, current, budget is $8.8 million with projected revenues of just under $6.5 million. The difference is made up by the general fund subsidy. Next year's budget is over $9.175 million. Dr. Smith asked if the revenues include donations; Mr. Hancock said they include anticipated donations based on trends, but would not include a bequest. In response to a question Mr. Hancock explained grants have reporting requirements and audits.

4. Welfare and Dangerous Dog Cases from January and Recent Animal Care Center Holds Snapshot

Ms. Schwerin referred to welfare case one and said the officer recommended the owner get kennel runs for outside and crates for inside. She asserted that kennel runs are bad enough and crates are even worse. She said crates are cruel and she wished officers would not recommend crates.

Regarding the third case, Ms. Schwerin asked why the case is closed if the dog is not licensed. Supervisor Neil Konst said the situation is now in Justice Court's hands. Ms. Emptage pointed out that at the last meeting the Committee recommended owners be required to license their dogs within 30 days of a no-license citation. Ms. Flanagan said the Committee's recommendation has been forwarded to the County Attorney's Office. Supervisor Konst added the owner may have given the dog away and would therefore no longer needs to license it.

Ms. Schwerin said case four had dogs without water and the owner was allowed to redeem one of the dogs without it being neutered and she asked why the dog wasn't neutered. Supervisor Konst said all five dogs were given up to PACC, four were confiscated and the fifth was brought in.

Ms. Schwerin said case five was very bad. She said a dog was on a tie-out without water or shelter, which indicates a very bad owner. The owner received citations and the dog was eventually returned to the owner and the question was asked why. Supervisor Konst replied the report had nothing about the dog being in distress or bad shape. There has not been a follow-up visit.

Ms. Schwerin said case seven included a badly injured dog and the owner surrendered the dogs, but she added the owner should not be allowed to own animals. Supervisor Konst reported two of the dogs were euthanized and one died; he added the owner is on the do-not-adopt list. Ms. Emptage asked if the list is shared with other agencies and Konst replied it is not. Ms. Emptage requested that topic be an agenda item going forward.

5. Call to the Audience

There were no speakers from the audience.

6. Management Report

Ms. Flanagan reported on two topics.

- Accreditation Site Visit

The Department is going through the accreditation process and had a site visit last week and it went well. There were a number of positives noted by the accreditation body: dedication and passion, high function, good leadership, good work with community partners, and good relationship with governance. Areas for improvement mentioned were: there were a couple ADA accommodations on the second floor they wanted addressed, having a data analysis person who can make reports to help operational efficiencies, and having a data analysis system. The Department should hear back on the accreditation in April or May.

- Pima County Animal Care Advisory Committee Ordinance Change

The ordinance went to the Board of Supervisors on Tuesday. Ms. Flanagan said that the Board made some minor changes. They added that the Committee will include a member from the Board of Health. The terms of the current Committee members will end at the end of June this year, as opposed to the phase out originally proposed. And the County Administrator appointed staff position will be a non-voting position.

Ms. Schwerin commented that four individuals, including her, will have their terms expire earlier than originally proposed, originally the end of June 2018. She attributed this change to comments by Mr. Neuman at the Board of Supervisors meeting.

7. Old Business

- Shortening Lengthy Animal Holds

Ms. Flanagan said [Deputy County Attorney] Paula [Perrera] is on her final revision of language aimed at reducing these holds, but it was not done in time for the meeting, so Ms. Flanagan will bring the language to the next meeting for the Committee's review, then to the Board of Health, then to the Board of Supervisors.

8. New Business

- Introduction of New Volunteer Coordinator

Ms. Flanagan introduced the New Volunteer Coordinator Gina Hansen. Ms. Hansen came to PACC from the Humane Society. She briefly listed programs she ran at the Humane Society and expressed her desire to bring new programs to PACC, bring in more volunteers and do anything to help the animals.

- Pima Animal Care Center Enforcement Officer Numbers

Mr. Neuman said he was moving this item to next month's agenda, but requested information from staff regarding how the enforcement officer numbers compare to numbers from the 60s and 70s and how numbers compare to the community population numbers. PACC Operations Manager Jose Ocano said there are two final candidates for the enforcement manager position; and he is presently looking at how enforcement operations are handled and how they can be made more efficient.

9. Donations: A total of $24,655.02 in donations was received during the month of February.

Ms. Flanagan commented that donations not specified for a particular purpose are used to offset medical costs; and that donations have to be projected as revenue to be budgeted in to be able to be used.

10. Complaints and Commendations: There were no complaints and no commendations received by staff during February.

There was no discussion on this agenda item.

11. Call to the Audience

There were no speakers from the audience.

12. Announcements, Schedules and Proposed Agenda Items

Mr. Neuman said a number of volunteers have complained about adoption criteria and wants this to be an agenda item for the next meeting.

Ms. Emptage said she is still working on compiling applicable animal care statutes and will eventually be able to present it to the Committee.

Dr. Smith suggested paper conservation be considered at some point going forward.

13. Next Meeting – April 21, 2016

The next meeting will be at the Abrams building.

14. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 7:06 pm.

NOTICE
PUBLIC MEETING OF THE
PIMA COUNTY ANIMAL CARE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

March 17, 2016 – 5:30 p.m.
Abrams Building
3950 S. Country Club Road
Tucson, Arizona
(520) 724-7729

Functions of the Committee

1. Serve in an advisory capacity to the Board, and to the Manager of the Pima Animal Care Center (PACC); and
2. Review and evaluate the operations of the Center to make recommendations in writing to the Board for the formulation of guidelines to assure that:
   A. The Center's operations are conducted in the best interest of the public health and safety; and
   B. The Center keeps pace with the most modern practices and procedures of animal care and welfare; and
3. Review complaints from the public concerning policies of the Center and make recommendations for resolution to the proper authority.

	AGENDA
1.	Call to Order • Roll Call • Establishment of Quorum and Pledge of Allegiance
2.	Review and Adoption of Minutes: • Adoption of February 18, 2016 meeting minutes
3.	Animal Care Center Budget Discussion
4.	Welfare and Dangerous Dog Case from January and Recent Animal Care Center Holds Snapshot
5.	Call to the Audience
6.	Management Report • Accreditation Site Visit • Pima County Animal Care Advisory Committee Ordinance Change
7.	Old Business • Shortening Lengthy Animal Holds
8.	New Business • Introduction of New Volunteer Coordinator • Pima Animal Care Center Enforcement Officer Numbers
9.	Donations: A total of $24,655.02 in donations was received during the month of February.
10.	Complaints and Commendations: There were no complaints or commendations received by staff during February.
11.	Call to the Audience
12.	Announcements, Schedules and Proposed Agenda Items
13	Next Meeting – April 21, 2016
14	Adjournment

Copies of this agenda are available upon request at the Pima County Health Department, 3950 S. Country Club Road, by calling 724-7729 or at www.pima.gov/animalcare. The Committee may discuss and take action on any item on the agenda. At the conclusion of an open call to the public Committee members may only respond to criticism made; ask staff to review the matter raised; or ask to include the matter on a future agenda.

Should you require ADA accommodations, please contact the Pima County Health Department at 724-7729 five (5) days prior to the meeting.

Pima County Animal Care Advisory Committee
Minutes
February 18, 2016
4000 N Silverbell Road
Tucson, Arizona 85745

Draft

1. Call to Order

Mr. Neuman called the meeting to order at 5:30 pm; Ms. Emptage was delayed.

- Attendance

Present:
Nancy Emptage, Chair, Animal Welfare Coalition
Pat Hubbard, Humane Society of Southern Arizona
Pat Jacobs, Tucson Kennel Club
Sophia Kaluzniacki, DVM, SPCA of AZ, Inc
Derek Marshall, Public Education
Helen Mendelsohn, Disabled Community
Jack Neuman, Vice-Chair, PACC Volunteers
Jane Schwerin, People for Animals in the Prevention of Cruelty and Neglect
Gail Smith, MD, Board of Health
Marcy Flanagan, Health Department Deputy Director, Ex-Offico

Absent:
Tamara Barrick, Pima Paws for Life
Erin O'Donnell, DVM, Southern AZ Veterinary Medical Association

- Pledge of Allegiance

2. Adoption of the Minutes

- Adoption of the January 21, 2016 Meeting Minutes

The motion was made and seconded (Hubbard/Smith) that the January 21, 2016 meeting minutes be adopted as written. The motion carried (7-0). (Mr. Marshall and Ms. Emptage arrived after the vote on the minutes.)

3. Recent Animal Care Center Holds Snapshot

There was no discussion on this item.

4. Call to the Audience

There were no speakers at this call to the audience.

5. Management Report

Ms. Flanagan reported on four topics.

- Budget

Ms. Flanagan provided a handout on the Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) budget (included in the record). The handout showed personnel, operations and total budget, as well as revenue figures, including total budget, actual year to date figures, and straight line year to date projections. Personnel expenditures are slightly above projections; however, they are better than in years past. Operations expenditures are lower than the straight line projections; however, the summer months are normally busier. Year to date revenue well exceeds the projection. Ms. Flanagan asked for input on the information presented. The comments were positive. Additionally, there was some discussion on monthly budgets and adjusted predictions versus straight line predictions due to fluctuations and the seasonal nature of animal care.

Mr. Neuman referred to previous budget discussions and asked if Health Department Director García would be coming to a future meeting to talk about the budget. Ms. Flanagan said the Department's Business Manager, Garrett Hancock, is more closely involved with the budget and she could request he come to the next meeting. Mr. Neuman requested a budget discussion be on the next agenda with Mr. Hancock participating in the meeting.

Mr. Jacobs asked about the statistical reports the Committee had been receiving in the past. Ms. Flanagan explained no one could identify and duplicate how those reports were generated. Therefore she has tasked PACC's Chameleon (electronic animal management system) expert to generate new reports to ensure the numbers are accurate. She said different individuals using different methods have come up with different numbers in the past, so staff wants to ensure the data is authentic. There was concern voiced about being able to compare new data with old data to show trends. Ms. Flanagan said there has been considerable discussion on what should be counted and she felt confident historical data can be produced from the Chameleon system for comparison purposes.

- Personnel Updates

PACC is in the process of recruiting a Pet Support Coordinator and a new Enforcement Operations Manager. Both positions have garnered strong interest nationally. The new Volunteer Coordinator starts Monday and is to be introduced at the next meeting. Ms. Hubbard said positive things about the new coordinator who is being hired away from her organization.

- PACC Satellite Site

The Health Department recently combined two previously separate clinics into one facility and as a result can repurpose the old Walter Rogers clinic facility located at 175 West Irvington. By the end of May the Department expects to start weekend micro-chipping, vaccine and spay neuter clinics, as well as special events at this location. Also a County charter school will occupy a large portion of the building and one of the Department's WIC (women, infants and children nutrition program) offices will be moved there. Discussion brought out that the location already has clinical space which is highly suitable for the aforementioned clinics. Ms. Flanagan suggested the Committee could hold a meeting at the satellite location.

DRAFT

- Current Events

Ms. Flanagan reported that activities pursuant to the new animal care center will require the admin trailer, which is where the Committee typically meets, to be moved fairly soon. In light of this, the motion was made and seconded (Emptage/Mendelsohn) that the Committee will meet back at the Abrams building. The motion carried (8-0), Ms. Schwerin abstained.

6. Old Business

- Shortening Lengthy Animal Holds

Ms. Flanagan reported that the internal group she talked about at the last meeting has met twice with the County Attorney's Office (PCAO) on this issue. She broke the issue down into two categories: stray holds, and owner bond and appeal holds. Concerning strays some of the jurisdictions have a seven-day hold for licensed pets, while the State requirement is only five days. Staff prefers the five day hold. The thought is to work with the City of Tucson and explain the financial benefit of five days versus the seven. Hopefully once they see the benefit they will change their code to five days and the other jurisdictions will follow. PCAO is already working on updated language for the animal care IGA contracts.

Concerning owner bond and appeal holds Ms. Flanagan reported PCAO said the State Legislature would have to enact changes to impact hold times on appeals to Superior Court. PCAO will be doing research to reduce hold times on holds not appealed to Superior Court. Ms. Flanagan presented to PCAO the Committee's request for a judge to attend a Committee meeting and was told it would be against judicial code of conduct for a judge to do so; it would be inappropriate and PCOA wanted nothing to do with the request. Dr. Smith asked about using fosters for animals on holds. Ms. Flanagan said PACC does use fosters when appropriate, but the situation has to be very safe and well contained because of liabilities associated with animals escaping and/or being aggressive. There are liabilities if a held animal attacks someone. Also PACC would have to pay for the value of an animal if it is lost. Mr. Neuman suggested legitimately purchasing a held dog could be cheaper to the County than a lengthy hold process. Ms. Mendelsohn suggested binding arbitration and Ms. Flanagan said she will research it.

Ms. Schwerin said bonding is for animals in distress or danger. She went on to refer to Tucson City Code, Section 4-11. Procedure to remove and forfeit animals; notice; order to show cause hearing; disclosure; appeal, which states in part:

> (j) After a hearing conducted under this section, the magistrate or special limited magistrate shall issue an order that includes written findings of fact and conclusions of law.

She compared that to Section 4-13. Dangerous animals, which states in part:

> (9) The hearing officer shall make a written decision within five (5) working days of the hearing and notify the owner of the animal of the decision.

Ms. Schwerin said the County Code has a similar comparison wherein the dangerous dog code is stronger, requiring the five day decision; and suggested code regarding animals in distress should be

amended to require a decision within five working days like in Section 4-13. Ms. Flanagan said when it comes to Superior Court City and County code does not apply, but acknowledged the local codes could be updated to tighten up timeframes for before any appeals to Superior Court. She said she would discuss it with PCAO. Ms. Schwerin asked if there have been many appeals to Superior Court. Supervisor Tenkate said there have been such appeals, but not many and none have won. She said one dangerous dog case took six months. Mr. Jacobs asked about numbers of case completely handled in the lower courts compared to those going to Superior Court. Supervisor Tenkate said very few go up into Superior Court. A quick recollection of animals currently on holds came up with ten or so animals, of which four cases were involved with Superior Court. Supervisor Tenkate indicated the four is atypically high. A rough estimate of less than ten percent going to Superior Court was mentioned. It was stated (Hubbard) that it is not good for any animal, even one, to be confined for a lengthy time period due to court proceedings. However, it was also pointed out (Jacobs) that it is a hard sell to go to the legislature and ask them to go through the time and expense of changing State Law for one dog. Ms. Emptage requested this item be on next month's agenda.

7. New Business

- 72-Hour Pet Waste Law

There was no discussion on this item.

- Timeframe to License Dogs

Ms. Emptage referred to discussion at a previous meeting wherein it was stated that judges sometimes give cited individuals months to license unlicensed dogs. The motion was made and seconded (Smith/Mendelsohn) that the Committee recommends owners be required to license their dogs within 30 days of a no-license citation. Supervisor Tenkate gave an example of an owner with numerous dogs given approximately a year and a half to comply with licensing. The motion carried (8-1), Mr. Jacobs voted against.

- Dangerous Dog Form and Point System

Copies of the dangerous dog evaluation form were provided. Supervisor Tenkate said a dangerous dog evaluation can be requested by a bite victim or a dog on dog attack victim; it can be due to frequent aggression, initiated by an officer or initiated by the court. Once a dangerous dog has been determined guilty of a bite or an attempted bite it is declared vicious; and only a judge or magistrate can declare a dog vicious. Dangerous dog evaluations are assigned to an investigator. The investigator does research in Chameleon and does neighborhood interviews. If an owner has lived at a current address less than a year, then the previous address is also researched. Ms. Emptage asked about if a dog bites a burglar and Supervisor Tenkate called that scenario a provoked bite which would not be counted against the dog. She referred to bites in the home as no-violation bites, worth three points on the form; whereas a violation bite is worth six points on the form. Regarding quarantine, she said if a bite does not break the skin the animal is not quarantined. Supervisor Tenkate went over points for severity of injury to humans, citations and severity of injury to animals. She continued that if a person is hospitalized or severely injured, or a domestic animal is killed the attacking dog is automatically declared dangerous. Supervisor Tenkate said investigators are trained with a PowerPoint presentation and by senior investigators. Discussion brought out that the point system was believed to be established by PACC officers many years ago and there are no known

DRAFT

national standards for dangerous dog determination. Supervisor Tenkate said investigators look for tendency, propensity and disposition.

Ms. Schwerin referred to a recently discussed case in which a dog on a leash bit and injured another dog and an officer said the dog in question showed unsafe behavior, but the dog was declared not dangerous. She used that example to say there is something wrong with the point system. She also said that to her it doesn't take repeated bites for a dog to show it has a tendency to bite; one bad bite is enough. She said former Tucson Mayor George Miller was the one who got the dangerous dog law passed. She continued that a law is supposed to mean what the enactor's intended it to mean. She referred to a letter the Mayor Miller wrote saying that the intention of the legislative body regarding the dangerous dog law is not being followed. Ms. Schwerin said Mayor Miller made the point that the innate characteristics of the animal are what make it dangerous, not the wall surrounding the animal. Ms. Schwerin contended that a dog being dangerous has nothing to do with confinement. She added that Mayor Miller said dangerous animals should be spayed or neutered. Supervisor Tenkate confirmed dangerous dogs are spayed or neutered. However, Ms. Schwerin's point was dogs which should be declared dangerous, but are not, and are therefore not automatically spayed or neutered and are able to reproduce. Ms. Emptage asked if staff encourages spaying and neuter to owners of dogs under investigation, to which Supervisor Tenkate said staff are continually educating the public. Ms. Emptage requested a copy of the spay/neuter information provided to the public. Dr. Smith asked what Supervisor Tenkate thought about the score sheet, and she replied that she felt the neighborhood comments should carry more weight.

Discussion included possibly changing the form. Mr. Jacobs suggested any changes go through legal representation. The motion was made and seconded (Mendelsohn/Hubbard) that the Committee requests staff review the dangerous dog form and get back with the Committee to discuss any recommended changes. The motion carried (8-0), Ms. Schwerin abstained.

- Dog License Sticker Suggestion

Ms. Emptage said currently there are many dogs with permanent tags which don't have PACC's current phone number. She suggested that, just as with license plates, the tags could have a sticker. The sticker could show the license is current and have PACC's current phone number. Dr. Smith was concerned about the cost. Dr. Kaluzniacki pointed out that the permanent tag doesn't mean much, in that it doesn't indicate the dog is currently licensed. Ms. Hubbard expressed that she liked it better when there was a new color-coded tag each year as opposed to the current permanent tag. The motion was made and seconded (Kaluzniacki/Mendelsohn) recommending staff investigate either new tags every year or a sticker for the permanent tag. The motion carried (9-0).

8. Donations: A total of $54,671.88 in donations was received during the month of January.

There was no discussion on this agenda item.

9. Complaints and Commendations: There were no complaints and no commendations received by staff during January.

There was no discussion on this agenda item.

10. Call to the Audience

There was one speaker from the audience, Marcie Velen. Ms. Velen said No-Kill Pima County has been collecting raw data from PACC since 2012 and she reviews the data. She said the data indicates consistent improvements in many areas, and gave the example of adoptions recently going up to 61 percent compared to 55 percent for the prior six month period. She said the data has never matched. She referred to a modified rate which she said does not include owner requests for euthanasia. Foster care has been a nightmare for her statistically; if fosters are not taken into consideration, then those animals can be double counted, which influences the live release rate. Ms. Velen referred to the discussion on reducing the standard seven-day hold for licensed animals to five days, which she said she has no problem with. She continued that someone has proposed changing the three-day hold for strays down to two days, which she is not in favor of.

11. Announcements, Schedules and Proposed Agenda Items

Ms. Emptage said in light of the potential for the Committee to be restructured in the near future she is compiling an electronic list of relevant animal statutes as a reference tool.

12. Next Meeting – March 17, 2016

As established during the Management Report, Current Events, the next meeting will be at the Abrams building.

13. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 7:22 pm.

DRAFT

PIMA COUNTY
HEALTH DEPARTMENT

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD • TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.govanimal/care

MEMORANDUM

TO: Marcy Flanagan, Deputy Director

FROM: Neil Konst, Enforcement Supervisor

DATE: 2/4/16

SUBJECT: Welfare report for January 2016

---

1. A15-185374 No animal was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited. This complaint is closed. The dog is currently licensed.

2. A16-186275 The owner was cited for neglect tie out. All of the dogs were surrendered to PACC. This complaint is closed.

3. A16-186494 No animal was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and issued citations at the scene. As of 02/04/16 the dogs have not been licensed. This complaint is closed.

4. A16-186550 Four of the dogs were impounded by the officer. All of the dogs were surrendered to PACC. The dog owner was cited. This complaint is closed.

5. A16-186693 No animal was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and issued citations at the scene. As of 02/04/16 the dog has not been licensed.

6. A16-186561 One dog was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and issued citations. The owner redeemed the dog.

7. A16-186857 All of the dogs were surrendered to PACC. The dog owner was cited for neglect vet care. This complaint is closed.

8. A16-186930 No animal was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. This complaint is closed.

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 North Silverbell Road
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 724-5900
Fax: (520) 724-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT		ACO NAME / BADGE # Windauer #1984	COMPLAINT NUMBER A15-185374
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS		BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP / CITY / STATE / RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		CODE IF OTHER :	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP / CITY / STATE / BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX / WEIGHT / HEIGHT / EYES / HAIR COLOR / ORIGIN			SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒	LOCATION OF INCIDENT at	DATE AND TIME REPORTED 12/30/15 / 1219	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 1/2/16 / 1630

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☒ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO.	
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS		ZIP	CITY	STATE
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-298 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 North Silverbell Road		ZIP 85745	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: PART OF BODY BITTEN:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED / RELEASE DATE	PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM / PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐		FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐		☐ FRA HEAD#	
	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	CITING ACO Windauer #1984	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☐	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS	
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-81, 4-3(2)(E)(2)				REVIEWED BY 2002 Kmst 1/05	
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS 74961 A-B				BOND YES ☐ NO ☒	

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Pit bull mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Torreto	Brn/Wht	M	3y		cited		M	
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-185374

ACO Name & Badge: Windauer #1984

On January 2, 2016 at approximately 1625 hours, I, Officer Windauer #1984 arrived at h Street in reference to a priority Neglect-Tie Out complaint. I could see the large brown with white pit bull mix on a chain wrapped around the porch support. I walked up to the fence line to take a picture and I saw a car pull in to the driveway. I was able to take one picture before the owner was asking what the problem was.

I advised the dog's owner that tie outs were illegal. I was told the tie out was to keep the dog in the yard otherwise the dog would jump out. I suggested a kennel run with top for the outside that also contained water and shelter or maybe a crate for inside. I was told as long as someone is at home the dog didn't leave. I also recommended surgical alteration for the dog could help. I saw the dog was released from the tie out chain and was told the dog would not be returned to the tie out.

I also saw the dog had access to clean water and a large shelter. I saw, though the chain had wrapped around the porch post, the dog still had adequate room to reach both the shelter and water.

was very cooperative and accepted citations for No License and Neglect-Tie Out. This call was closed.

Officer's Signature: #1984 **Date:** 1/5/2016

2

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Road
Tucson, AZ 85745
Phone: [illegible]
Fax: (520) 724-[illegible]
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT		ACO NAME / BADGE # Windauer #1984	COMPLAINT NUMBER A16-186275
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS		BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☒	
ZIP / CITY / STATE / RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER 0		CODE IF OTHER: 5L	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP / CITY / STATE / BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX / WEIGHT / HEIGHT / EYES / HAIR COLOR / ORIGIN		DOB	SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒

LOCATION OF INCIDENT | DATE AND TIME REPORTED 1/14/16 / 1422 | DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 1/14/16 / 1422

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☒ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO. 724-5900	
VICTIM'S ADDRESS		ZIP	CITY	STATE
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 North Silverbell Road		ZIP 85745	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: PART OF BODY BITTEN:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED RELEASE DATE:	PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐		FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐		☐ FRA HEAD#	
3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	CITING ACO Windauer #1984	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☐	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS	
CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-3(2)(E)(2)				REVIEWED BY 1-19-16 DTK/911	
CITATIONS/NUMBERS 74984 A				BOND YES ☐ NO ☒	

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL #/#
Heeler mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Princess	Black/brown	F	2y	L14-	224236	exp	N	1
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

2

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A16-186275

ACO Name & Badge: Windauer #1984

On January 14, 2016 at approximately 1615 hours I, Officer Windauer #1984 had pulled onto south of I to circle back on a loose dog. Going south past AM/PM, I encountered 2 large loose dogs so I pulled over. I wasn't able to capture or lure either dog with treats. The female white/brown dog appeared in season and the large black dog was male.

As I attempted to capture or find out where they lived, the dogs started circling the address . I tried to make contact there but found no one home. I saw the white/brown dog jump into the yard and then jump back out. This dog would also hang out at the rear fenced area of apartment. I walked the perimeter and then I saw the medium size black with brown heeler mix on a chain tie out inside the yard at the south side of the apartment.

I remembered I had been here previously so I tried the owner's phone number but was only able to leave a message telling them of a problem at the house with the dogs. I then entered the fenced yard taking pictures of area and impounded the dog from the tie out, which I saw was about eight feet long- made of half chain and half clothesline. I saw the dog had a makeshift shelter from a large gutted t. v. set and 5 gallons of water but the bucket had green algae on the sides. I left a doorknocker advising of impound. I also saw two large adult dogs in a separate pen east of the apartment and two puppies and maybe a 6 month old spaniel mix in front yard.

On January 16, 2016 at approximately 1730 hours I returned and met with dog owner I . I was advised some of the dogs present were strays she had taken off the street or received from people no longer able to care for them. I was told the dog Princess-the black/brown heeler mix was kept on a tie out because the dog jumped her fence and ran in front of cars barking. She relinquished the dog Princess and the remaining 3 large dogs (including the white/brown dog). accepted a citation for Neglect-Tie Out.

Officer's Signature: **Date:** 1/16/16

LC 3

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N Silverbell Rd
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: [illegible]
Fax: (520) 724-5990
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT		ACO NAME / BADGE # T. Foster #2042	COMPLAINT NUMBER A16-186494
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS		BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP / CITY / STATE / RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		CODE IF OTHER :	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		CI ☐ CO ☒ OTHER ☐	
ZIP N/A / CITY N/A / STATE N/A / BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER N/A		DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX / WEIGHT / HEIGHT / EYES / HAIR COLOR / ORIGIN		DOB	SSN Not Asked
DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒	LOCATION OF INCIDENT	DATE AND TIME REPORTED 01/18/16 / 16:08	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 01/18/16, / 16:08
	FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☒ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐		
☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME	D.O.B N/A	RESIDENCE PHONE NO. N/A / BUSINESS PHONE NO. 520-724-5990
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS N/A	ZIP N/A	CITY N/A / STATE N/A
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-298 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N Silverbell Rd	ZIP 85745	CITY Tucson / STATE AZ
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒ / RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒ / DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER N/A	OTHER AGENCY CASE # N/A ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER: N/A	FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER: N/A
☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☐ VIOLATION / ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: N/A / PART OF BODY BITTEN: N/A	TREATED BY N/A / PHONE NUMBER N/A / DATE QUARANTINED N/A / RELEASE DATE: N/A	PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM / PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC N/A	PHONE NUMBER N/A	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐	FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS N/A	QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐	☐ FRA HEAD# N/A	
	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒ / CITING ACO 2042	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER N/A	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS N/A
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED 6.04.110(B)(5); 6.04.070		REVIEWED BY 2002 Kons 1-22	
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS 74784		BOND YES ☐ NO ☒	

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Pit Bull Mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Bear	Wh/Tan	M	1y2m	N/A	None	N/A	N	/
Pit Bull Mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Missy	Wh/Blk	F	1y2m	N/A	None	N/A	N	
Terrier Mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Spike	Blk	M	1.5y	N/A	None	N/A	N	
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A16-186494

ACO name & Badge: T. Foster #2042

01/18/16 16:08 I, Investigator Foster #2042 was in the on an unrelated call and observed a dog on a tie-out at . When I completed the call I was working on, I relocated back to 12811 to address the issue of the dog on a tie-out as well as to continue searching for an injured dog that was last seen running from towards

16:38 I arrived back at and exited the truck and noticed that there were actually two Pit Bull type dogs on chain tie-outs. Both dogs had access to shade/shelter and potable water. I photographed the dogs and then returned to the residence and knocked on the door. I was met by the dog owner, I stated my name and the reason for my visit and asked first if he had seen a small white dog that was possibly injured. He told me that he had not seen the dog in question. He did tell me that he owned a small black Terrier mix named Spike and the two dogs on chains. I observed that Spike appeared to be in good health and did not appear to have any injuries. He told me that the female Pit Bull, Missy belonged to a friend who is in jail and admitted that he has had care and custody of Missy for longer than 30 days.

I asked why the two dogs were chained on tie-outs. He explained that the recent rains caused problems with his fenced area. He also told me that his female Pit Bull was in heat and the other Pit Bull is her brother. He stated that because they are closely related he does not want them to breed and felt he had no choice but to chain them up to keep them apart. I explained the laws regarding tie-outs and asked him to remove both dogs from the chains and place them indoors and he complied.

I next requested to see proof of current rabies vaccination and licenses for his three dogs. was not able to supply me with proof of either current rabies vaccination or licenses. At that point I issued citations for three counts of No License, and two counts of Neglect-Tie out. acknowledged, signed, and accepted his citations. I returned his ID to him and provided him with his court date, time, and location. I also supplied him with a copy of the Pima County dog laws and a 'pet-fix' flier to obtain low cost spay/neuter services for his three dogs. I thanked him for his time and cooperation and left the area.

Officer's Signature: # 2042 **Date:** 1/22/2016

WC 4

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N Silverbell Rd
Tucson, AZ 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5980
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT		ACO NAME / BADGE #	ACTIVITY/BITE NUMBER
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS		K. Baugus 1918	A16-186550
CITY / STATE / ZIP / RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		CI ☐ CO ☒ OTHER ☐	
CITY / STATE / ZIP / BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE	

SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES	HAIR	ORIGIN	DOB	SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER
							N/A

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒	LOCATION OF INCIDENT	DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT 01.19.16	DATE AND TIME REPORTED 1616 hrs

FOOD ☐ WATER ☒ SHELTER ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☒ INJ / ILL ☐ OTHER ☒ (EXPLAIN) Leash law

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM / OWNER INFANT NAME	DATE OF BIRTH	RESIDENCE PHONE	BUSINESS PHONE 520.724.5900
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS	CITY	STATE	ZIP
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-206 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N Silverbell Rd	CITY Tucson	STATE Az	ZIP 85745

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SHERIFF DEPT ☐ TUCSON POLICE ☐ FIRE ☐ OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED	PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM		PART OF BODY BITTEN:			RELEASE DATE:	
PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐		FTD ☐ UTD ☐
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE (DAYS) 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐	☐ FRA HEAD#		

3rd PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☐	CITING ACO N. Konst 2002	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☒ NO ☐	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER A15-177418	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED 6.04.110 B2 6.04.030	REVIEWED BY 2002 KONST 1/21
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS 73762 73763	BOND YES ☐ NO ☐

BREED/DESCRIPTION		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #	CONDITION	ANIMAL ID#
Pit Bull	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Gorda	Blue Brindle	F	Adult	cited	good	
Pit Bull Mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Unknown	Black/White	F	12 wks	cited	good	
Pit Bull Mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Unknown	Black/White	F	12 wks	cited	good	
Pit Bull Mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Unknown	Black/White	F	12 wks	cited	good	
Pit Bull/Lab	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Lexi	Black/White	F	Adult	cited	good	
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							

WITNESS 1	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A16-186550

ACO Name & Badge: K. Baugus 1918

On January 19th 2016 at approximately 1616 hrs. I, Officer Baugus 1918 arrived at :
reference to multiple leash law complaints. Upon arrival, I observed five dogs in the yard. I observed is field fencing along the East side of the property and a heavy metal gate with fencing to prevent the dogs escape, however, four of the five dogs slipped under the gate and met me in the road. I honked and received no response from the home. I put the three young dogs in my truck and entered the yard through the unlocked gate. I knocked on the door and received no answer.

Next to the house were two metal pots, the adult Pit Bull was licking the bottom of one of the pots which has maybe 1/4 inch of water in the bottom. I photographed the empty pots and had to tilt the one pot to show the amount of water on the bottom of the pot. The two adult dogs were thirsty and continued to lick the bottom of the pot. The puppies could not reach the bottom of the pot to lick the bottom of the pot before I tried to fill it. I turned on the faucet to provide the dogs with water. The water slowly stopped as the pipes emptied. The water to the property was turned off.

The two adult dogs ran to the north end of the property chasing a rabbit and slipped through a second gate that had the fencing removed and ran across the road. Eventually, the blue Pit Bull was captured, but the adult black dog was skittish and would not approach me. I was unable to capture the fifth dog on the property. I fed and watered the remaining dog and posted a notice advising of the impound as well as the need to provide water for the dogs at all times and the lack of confinement.

There are previous complaints about these dogs not being confined that go back to August 2015. Another Officer also posted a leash law notice on September 4th 2015 (A15-177418). The dog owner has been made aware of the need to keep the dogs confined to the property.

The dog owner called Pima Animal Care Center an hour after I left the property inquiring about her dogs.
tated she would come into the center to redeem the adult Pit Bull but would sign over ownership for the puppies.

On January 20th 2016 the dog owner came into Pima Animal Care Center and met with Supervisor N. Konst #2002 who obtained her Arizona driver license and issued Neglect-No Water and Leash Law citations on all five dogs on my behalf.
signed the citations and received her copies. She was advised of the date, time and location of her court appearance.

On January 21st 2016 t again returned to Pima Animal Care Center bringing in the fifth dog and relinquished ownership for the adult dogs as well, stating that the dogs dig out of her yard and she can't afford to license them.

This complaint is now closed.

Officer's Signature: 1918 **Date:** 1-21-2016

5

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: [illegible]
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT		ACO NAME / BADGE # X. Delgadillo #2047	COMPLAINT NUMBER A16-186693
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS		BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP / CITY / RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		CODE IF OTHER :	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		CI ☐ CO ☒ OTHER ☐	
ZIP / CITY / STATE / BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX / WEIGHT / HEIGHT / EYES / HAIR COLOR / ORIGIN		DOB	SSN
LOCATION OF INCIDENT	DATE AND TIME REPORTED 01/21/16 / 12:37	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 01/21/16 / 16:49	

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒

FOOD ☐ WATER ☒ SHELTER ☒ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☒ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☒ license

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO.
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY	STATE
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N. Silverbell Rd	ZIP 85745	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒ / RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐ / DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:	
☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION / BITE SEVERITY: / PART OF BODY BITTEN:	TREATED BY / PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED / RELEASE DATE:	PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM / PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC	PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐	FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS	QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐	☐ FRA HEAD#	
	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☐ / CITING ACO	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☐	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED 6.04.110 (B)(2), 6.04.110(B)(3), 6.04.110(B)(5), 6.04.7		REVIEWED BY 2002 Kovacs 1/23	
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS 75143		BOND YES ☐ NO ☐	

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Pit bull	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Lucy	White/Tan	F	A		Cited			
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1	M ☒ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #

5

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A16-186693

ACO name & Badge:X. Delgadillo #2047

On Januarv 21, 2016 at 16:49 I, Investigator Delgadillo#2047, arrived to in reference to a dog on a tie-out complaint.

I walked down the steps toward the front door and I observed a white and tan pit mix on a tie-out with no water or shelter. I knocked on the door and received no answer. I walked to rear of the property and found no one home. I impounded the dog for its well-being.

The dog owner, arrived at approximately 18:20. claimed that she left the dog with water but it always knocks the water over. I explained to her the animal welfare laws regarding the tie-out; water and shelter.

was cited into Pima County Court for the following:

Neglect, Tie-out
Neglect No Water
Neglect No shelter
No license

igned her citation; received a copy and was provided her court date and time. The dog, Lucy, was returned to her.

Officer's Signature:

Date: 1/22/16

6

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT		ACO NAME / BADGE # 1942 Eckelbarger	COMPLAINT NUMBER A16-186561
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS		BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP / CITY / STATE / RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		CODE IF OTHER :	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP / CITY / STATE / BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX / WEIGHT / HEIGHT / EYES / HAIR COLOR	ORIGIN / DOB	SSN	
DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒	LOCATION OF INCIDENT	DATE AND TIME REPORTED 1-19-16 / 1401	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 1-21-16 / 1133
	FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐	ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☒ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐	
☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME	D.O.B / RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO.
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS	ZIP / CITY / STATE	
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N. Silverbell Rd	ZIP 85745 / CITY Tucson / STATE AZ	
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒ / RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒ / DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:
☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☐ VIOLATION / ☐ NON-VIOLATION / BITE SEVERITY: / PART OF BODY BITTEN:	TREATED BY / PHONE NUMBER / DATE QUARANTINED / RELEASE DATE:	PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM / PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC	PHONE NUMBER / OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐	FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS	QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐	☐ FRA HEAD#
	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒ / CITING ACO 2002 Konst	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒ / PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-3 (2)(E)(2)		REVIEWED BY 1-24-16 DTK/1911
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS 73764 (A)		BOND YES ☐ NO ☒

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Pit-bull	VICTIM ☐ OWNER X	Lola	Brown	F	A		L16-265172		Ok	I
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

6

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A16-186561

ACO name & Badge:1942 Eckelbarger

On 1-21-16 at 1130 hours I investigator Eckelbarger (1942) responded to where I received no answer at the door.

I then went around to the back alley and observed a brown pit-bull in the backyard through broken slats in the fence. The dog was on a cable tie-out. The dog's tie-out was partially tangled around a trampoline in the yard. The dog did have access to shade and water. I then impounded the dog due to being on a tie-out and posted a notice of impoundment on the front door.

On 1-22-16 Supervisor Konst (2002) met with dog owner . She came to the Pima Animal Care Center to redeem her dog Lola. stated Lola had chewed through a wood door and escaped the house previously. Supervisor Konst then cited on my behalf for neglect-tieout on Lola under City jurisdiction. signed and received her copies of the citations. She then redeemed Lola.

Officer's Signature: 1942 **Date: 1-24-16**

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N Silverbell Rd
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: [illegible]
Fax: (520) 724-[illegible]
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT		ACO NAME / BADGE # T. Foster #2042	COMPLAINT NUMBER A16-186857
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS		BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
DOB / MTV / STATE / DEFENDANT'S PHONE NUMBER		CODE IF OTHER :	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS UNK		CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP N/A / CITY N/A / STATE N/A / BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER N/A		DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX / WEIGHT / HEIGHT / EYES / HAIR COLOR / ORIGIN		DOB Not Asked	
DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒	LOCATION OF INCIDENT	DATE AND TIME REPORTED 01/24/16 / 16:38	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 01/24/16 / 18:18
	FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☒ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐		
☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM / OWNER / REPRESENTATIVE NAME	D.O.B N/A / RESIDENCE PHONE NO. N/A	BUSINESS PHONE NO.
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS N/A	ZIP N/A / CITY N/A	STATE N/A
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N Silverbell Rd	ZIP 85745 / CITY Tucson	STATE AZ
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒ / RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒ / DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER N/A	OTHER AGENCY CASE # N/A ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER: N/A	FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER: N/A
☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION / BITE SEVERITY: N/A / PART OF BODY BITTEN:	TREATED BY N/A / PHONE NUMBER N/A	DATE QUARANTINED N/A / RELEASE DATE: / PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM / PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC N/A	PHONE NUMBER N/A / OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐	FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS N/A	QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐	☐ FRA HEAD# N/A
	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒ / CITING ACO 2042	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒ / PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER N/A	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS N/A
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-3(2)(B)		REVIEWED BY 2002 Konst 1/28
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS #747189 (A)		BOND YES ☐ NO ☒

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Pit Bull Mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Zeus	Blk/Wh	M	P	None	None	None	Poor	1
Lab Mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Princess	Brindle/Wh	F	4y	None	None	None	Inj	
Lab Mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Bella	Sable/Wh	F	4y	None	None	None	Dead	1
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A16-186857

ACO name & Badge: T. Foster #2042

01/24/16 18:18 hours I, Investigator Foster #2042 arrived at and as I walked up the driveway the dog owner opened the door. I stated my name and the reason for my visit and asked her if she knew why I was there and she stated yes it was because her dogs were fighting. I asked her if I could see the dogs and she walked me into the backyard.

As I entered the yard I was met at the gate by a black and white Pit Bull type mixed breed dog that I initially believed was 3 or 4 months of age. I later checked his teeth and found he was closer to 8 or 9 months. The owner stated that the puppy was called Zeus and that she had owned him for two or more months. She explained that her other two dogs are sisters and that one or both are in heat and they began to fight today. s herself pregnant and nearly full term, as such she hesitated to try to break up the fight. She stated that one of the dogs was badly hurt but the other two seemed fine. As we progressed farther into the yard I saw a brindle and white mixed breed dog limping toward us. The owner told me that her name is Princess and that she is the one that got the upper hand in the fight. She told me that Zeus was involved but kept running in and out of the fight. She added that the dogs have no history of fighting and that she does not know what started the fight today.

The back yard was full or trash, debris, and possessions. I observed that there was excessive animal waste present the yard and much of it contained foreign objects such as parts of clothing and chewed trash. I observed that the dogs had access to a large container of potable water and a large pile of kibble poured out on the back step. I also observed that the dogs had access to shade/shelter in the form of an open shed with a large mattress inside. On the mattress I saw the third dog. She was lying with her back to the door and appeared to be covered in blood. I observed that her demeanor was depressed, lethargic, and she was unwilling to stand or move. told me that the dog in the shed was called Bella. I asked if she was going to take Bella to the vet and she told me that she was not due to financial constraints.

I asked the woman, , if Zeus has been seen by a vet for his weight. Based on the Purina/LaFlamme Body Scoring System I determined that Zeus was a 2-2.5 and very underweight. The owner stated that she could not afford vet care and that she was thinking about feeding him wet food and/or high protein food but had not done so yet. I asked her when she first noticed he was underweight and she claimed that she got him two months ago and was thin at that time but has gotten worse since then.

I explained that all three dogs need to be seen by a vet and that if I issued a premise inspection, that she would only have 24 hours to comply or I would issue citations and confiscate the dogs. I told her that I need to confer with a supervisor to be sure that I was not overlooking anything and returned to the PACC truck to place the call.

I spoke to Supervisor Tenkate #1911 and was instructed to issue a citation for neglect of vet care on the puppy named Zeus and to try to suggest that the owner to release ownership of all three dogs. I returned and issued the citation and after explaining her options the owner agreed to sign her three dogs over to PACC. z acknowledged, signed, and accepted her citation. She was given her court date, time, location, and her ID was returned. I then had her sign the owner surrender form and impounded the three dogs.

Officer's Signature: #2042 Date: 01/28/2016

8

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N Silverbell Rd
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: 520-724-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5980
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT		ACO NAME / BADGE # R Tovar 2021	COMPLAINT NUMBER A16-186930
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS		BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP / CITY / STATE AZ / RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		CODE IF OTHER:	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP / CITY / STATE / BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVER'S LICENSE	
SEX / WEIGHT / HEIGHT / EYES / HAIR COLOR / ORIGIN		DOB	SSN
DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☐	LOCATION OF INCIDENT	DATE AND TIME REPORTED / /	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED / /
	FOOD ☐ WATER ☒ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☒ Cruelty		
☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/OTHER AFFIANT NAME	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO. / BUSINESS PHONE NO. 520-724-5900
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY / STATE
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-206 (I)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N Silverbell	ZIP 85745	CITY Tucson / STATE AZ
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐ / RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐ / DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # E160250648 ☐ SO ☒ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:
☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION / BITE SEVERITY: / PART OF BODY BITTEN:	TREATED BY / PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED / RELEASE DATE: / PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM / PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC	PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐ / FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS	QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐	☐ FRA HEAD#
	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒ / CITING ACO R Tovar #2021	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒ / PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-3 (1); 4-3 (2)(B); 4-81; 4-76		REVIEWED BY 2002 Korst 1/26
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS 75019		BOND YES ☐ NO ☐

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	CONO	ANIMAL ID#
Terrier X	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Jake	Tri	M	1Y		Cited	Cited	N	0
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1 TPD Officer Waer	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS 270 S Stone Tucson, AZ	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE # 520-791-2677
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A16-186930

ACO name & Badge: Robert Tovar #2021

On January 25, 2016 at 1625 hours the Pima Animal Care Center received a call from an anonymous complainant who reported observing a small black and white Terrier type dog in a beige Cadillac. The complainant also said that dog had been in the car all day and that they did not obtain the vehicle license number. They did state that the windows were cracked but did not get close enough to see if the dog had food or water.

On January 25, 2016 at 17:01 hours I, Officer Tovar #2021, arrived at an apartment complex at I found the gold Cadillac with AZ license plate n one of the parking lots of the apartment complex. I walked up to the car and saw a tricolored terrier mix inside of the vehicle. The dog appeared to be in distress as it was standing up on his hind legs and yelping. The dog was also scratching at the front windows of the vehicle. I took pictures of the dog inside of the car. I could clearly see into the front seat area and saw that there was no water for the dog. I could not clearly see into the back seat as the window tint was very dark. I photographed the entire vehicle including the license plate.

I asked for the Tucson Police to respond to the area in case there was a need to gain forced entry to remove the dog from the car. While waiting for the officer to arrive I walked to the office where I spoke with Assistant Manager, Mr. , who said that he had just been working at the complex for three weeks. He walked to the vehicle with me and saw the dog inside of the car. He told me that he had never seen the car at the complex before.

TPD Officer Waer #51934, Event #E160250648, arrived and she saw the dog inside of the vehicle. Officer Waer and I were told that the vehicle owner might live in one of the apartments in front of where the car was parked. One tenant at an apartment said that she did hear a dog crying but did not know who the car belonged to. Another tenant said that they did not know who the car belonged to. We finally knocked on a door of apartment #9106 and found the dog owner, who was identified himself as Mr. . on his AZ ID card.

said that he did not own the vehicle and that it belongs to his boss who let him use her car today. rk said that this morning at 0400 hours he was kicked out of his apartment by his girlfriend so he took his dog and left. He stated that he went to work but "was not in a good space" and his boss told him to take her car and leave for the day. He explained that he was looking for a place to stay and the only place he found was with his friend at this apartment complex. asserted that his friends have a large male dog and that this dog and his dog 'Jake' did not really get along. He stated that he put Jake in the car to keep him and his friend's dog apart. When I asked him why the dog did not have water he said that he just did not give him any and that he did not have an excuse for not giving him water.

I asked what time he put Jake in the car and he said around 1615 hours this afternoon. He then said, "time just got away from me." I advised that I was going to cite him for Cruelty and Neglect-No Water based on Jake being left in the vehicle without water. I then asked him if Jake had a current rabies vaccination and license. said that he could not afford to have that done. I asked him when he got Jake and he said that he has had him for a year and got him as a small puppy. I also cited for No License and No Rabies Vaccination. signed and received his copy of said citations.

I had remove Jake from the car and I observed that Jake was of good weight and appeared healthy. After removed Jake from the car I asked him if I could look in the back seat of the car and he told me I could. I photographed the area to show that there was no water for the dog. I also took additional pictures of the front seat to show the same. I advised him that should he leave his dog in a car again his dog would be impounded and he would receive additional citations. said that he was going to look for a place to stay tonight and if he was going to stay at the apartment with his friend he would keep the dog away from his friend's dog.

Officer's Signature: Date: 1-26-16

Animal Welfare Case – Advisory Committee Comment Sheet – WC1

Activity Number: A15-185374 ACO & Badge: Windauer #1984

Report Snapshot

| | | |

Activity Number: A16-186275 ACO & Badge: Windauer #1984

Report Snapshot

	FOOD WATER SHELTER HAUNDELL VENTILATION ABANDONED TREOUT BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)									
☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case										
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case										
☐	4000 North Silverbell Road									
☐										

Animal Welfare Case – Advisory Committee Comment Sheet – WC3

Activity Number: A 16-186494 ACO & Badge: T. Foster #2042

Report Snapshot

| | | |

Activity Number: A16-186550 ACO & Badge: K. Baugus 1918

Report Snapshot

Location of Incident	
Date and Time of Incident	01.19.16 1616 hrs
Victim's Address	4000 N Silverbell Rd
City	Tucson
State	Az
Zip	85745
Victim/Complainant Name	
Date of Birth	
Residence Phone	
Business Phone	520.724.5900
Violation	☐
Non-Violation	☐
Site Severity	
Part of Body Cited	
Treated By	
Police Number	
Date Quarantined	
Release Date	
Pacc	☐
Vet	☐
None	☐
Relationship to Victim	
Phone Number	
Vet Clinic	
Police Officer	
Date Quarantine Ends	
Yes	☐
No	☐
Fin	☐
Nfn	☐
Legal Representative Address	
Clinic's Address	
Quarantine (Days)	10☐ 15☐ 45☐ 100☐
Pima Animal	☐
3rd Party Citations	Yes ☐ No ☐
Citing ACO	N. Konst 2002
Previous Violations	Yes ☒ No ☐
Previous Case Number	A15-177418
Other Animal Control Reports	
Victim or Legal Representative Signature	
Officer's Violations	6.04.110 B2 6.04.030
Citations/Warnings	73762 73763
Konst 1/21	
Cited	Yes ☐ No ☐

Breed/Description		Animal's Name	Color	Sex	Age	License #	Condition	Animal ID
Pit Bull	Victim ☐ Owner ☒	Gorda	Blue Brindle	F	Adult	cited	good	
Pit Bull Mix	Victim ☐ Owner ☒	Unknown	Black/White	F	12 wks	cited	good	
Pit Bull Mix	Victim ☐ Owner ☒	Unknown	Black/White	F	12 wks	cited	good	
Pit Bull Mix	Victim ☐ Owner ☒	Unknown	Black/White	F	12 wks	cited	good	
Pit Bull/Lab	Victim ☐ Owner ☒	Lexi	Black/White	F	Adult	cited	good	

Summary

Four of the dogs were impounded by the officer. All of the dogs were surrendered to PACC. The dog owner was cited. This complaint is closed.

Officer's Case Report

On January 19th, 2016 at approximately 1616 hrs. I, Officer Baugus 1918 arrived at reference to multiple leash law complaints. Upon arrival, I observed five dogs in the yard. I observed is field fencing along the East side of the property and a heavy metal gate with fencing to prevent the dogs escape, however, four of the five dogs slipped under the gate and met me in the road. I honked and received no response from the home. I put the three young dogs in my truck and entered the yard through the unlocked gate. I knocked on the door and received no answer. Next to the house were two metal pots, the adult Pit Bull was licking the bottom of one of the pots which has maybe 1/4 inch of water in the bottom. I photographed the empty pots and had to tilt the one pot to show the amount of water on the bottom of the pot. The two adult dogs were thirsty and continued to lick the bottom of the pot. The puppies could not reach the bottom of the pot to lick the bottom of the pot before I tried to fill it. I turned on the faucet to provide the dogs with water. The water slowly stopped as the pipes emptied. The water to the property was turned off. The two adult dogs ran to the north end of the property chasing a rabbit and slipped through a second gate that had the fencing removed and ran across the road. Eventually, the blue Pit Bull was captured, but the adult black dog was skittish and would not approach me. I was unable to capture the fifth dog on the property. I fed and watered the remaining dog and posted a notice advising of the impound as well as the need to provide water for the dogs at all times and the lack of confinement. There are previous complaints about these dogs not being confined that go back to August 2015. Another Officer also posted a leash law notice on September 4th 2015 (A15-177418). The dog owner has been made aware of the need to keep the dogs confined to the property. The dog owner called Pima Animal Care Center an hour after I left the property inquiring about her dogs. stated she would come into the center to redeem the adult Pit Bull but would sign over ownership for the puppies. On January 20th 2016 the dog owner came into Pima Animal Care Center and met with Supervisor N. Konst #2002 who obtained her Arizona driver license and issued Neglect-No Water and Leash Law citations on all five dogs on my behalf. signed the citations and received her copies. She was advised of the date, time and location of her court appearance. On January 21st 2016 again returned to Pima Animal Care Center bringing in the fifth dog and relinquished ownership for the adult dogs as weD, stating that the dogs dig out of her yard and she can't afford to license them. This complaint is now closed.

Committee Member Comments/ Request for Information

	Member
	T. Barrick
Was adult animal redeemed – neutered?	N. Emptage
	P. Hubbard
	P. Jacobs
	S. Kaluzniacki

	D. Marshall
No comment	H. Mendelsohn
	J. Neumann
	E. O'Donnell
	J. Schwerin
This owner should be banned from owning pets or getting them from PACC unless her financial status changes and she can afford to care for her pets properly.	G. Smith
	City of Tucson Rep.

Activity Number: A 16-186693 ACO & Badge: X. Delgadillo #2047

Report Snapshot

WAS THE OWNER REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVE OF RIGHT? YES ☐ NO ☒	LOCATION OF VIOLATION			DATE AND TIME REPORTED 01/21/16 / 12:37			DATE AND TIME RECEIVED 01/21/16 / 16:49				
	FOOD ☐ WATER ☒ SHELTER ☒ MANGE/ALL ☐ VENTILATION ☐			ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☒ EXATER ☐			WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☒ License				
☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME X. Delgado #2047			D.O.B		RESIDENCE PHONE NO.		BUSINESS PHONE NO. 520-724-5900*3			
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS						ZIP	CITY	STATE		
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER [illegible] as per A.R.S. § 13-4405 [illegible] and § 13-3720 (E)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N. Silverbell Rd						ZIP 85745	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ		
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒		DANGEROUS REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐		DANGEROUS DOG FAMILIE	FIRST MEDICAL USE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:			FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:		
☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION		DOG DESCRIPTION: [illegible]			RECEIVED BY	PHONE REPORT	CASE DOCUMENTED		PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐	
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC					[illegible]		[illegible] YES ☐ NO ☐		FTO ☐ UTO ☐	
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS			QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐					☐ FRA HEADS		
	3rd PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☐		CRIMO ACO	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☐			PREVIOUS CASE REVIEW		OTHER ACPS SIMILAR CASES		
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/RELEVANT VIOLATION 8.04.110 (D)(2), 8.04.110(D)(3), 8.04.110(D)(3), 8.04.7								NUMBER OF 2002 Kwest 1/22		
	DOCUMENTATION 75449									ECS YES ☐ NO ☐	

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	W. EXERCISE #	CHIP	ANIMAL ID#
Pit bull	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Lucy	White/Tan	F	A		Chief			

Officer's Case Report

On January 21, 2016 at 16:49 I, Investigator Delgadillo #2047, arrived to in reference to a dog on a tie-out complaint. I walked down the steps toward the front door and I observed a white and tan pit mix on a tie-out with no water or shelter. I knocked on the door and received no answer. I walked to rear of the property and found no one home. I impounded the dog for its well-being. The dog owner, , arrived at approximately 18:20. She claimed that she left the dog with water but it always knocks the water over. I explained to her the animal welfare laws regarding the tie-out; water and shelter.

was cited into Pima County Court for the following:
Neglect, Tie-out
Neglect No Water
Neglect No shelter
No license

signed her citation; received a copy and was provided her court date and time. The dog, Lucy, was returned to her.

Summary

No animal was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and issued citations at the scene. As of 02/04116 the dog has not been licensed.

Committee Member Comments/ Request for Information	Member
	T. Barrick
	N. Emptage
	P. Hubbard
	P. Jacobs
	S. Kaluzniacki
	D. Marshall
Please follow up	H. Mendelsohn
	J. Neumann
	E. O'Donnell
	J. Schwerin
There are many violations in this case, which concerns me that this pet owner knows nothing about good care for her pets, especially in Arizona. Is there a plan for a follow-up visit to be sure she is compliant and understands?	G. Smith
	City of Tucson Rep.

Activity Number: A16-186561 ACO & Badge: Eckelbarger #1942

Report Snapshot

WAS VICTIM REQUEST RESCUE VICTIM REQUEST FOR OWNER SURRENDER? YES ☐ NO ☒	LOCATION OF INCIDENT				DATE AND TIME REPORTED 1-19-16 / 1401				DATE AND TIME RECEIVED 1-21-16 / 1130			
	FOOD ☐	WATER ☐	SHELTER ☐	BURNED/ILL ☐	VENTILATION ☐	ABANDONED ☐	TIEOUT ☒	BEATEN ☐	WARE ☐	OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐		
☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME					D.O.B		RESIDENCE PHONE NO.		BUSINESS PHONE NO. 724-5300		
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM'S ADDRESS								ZIP	CITY	STATE	
☐ RESPER/OWNER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4233 (D) and § 13-3233 (D)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N. Silverbell Rd								ZIP 85746	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ	
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒		RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒		CHARGE(S) FOR CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:				FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:		
☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. SAME AS VICTIM	☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION		EYE SEVERITY: PART OF BODY BITTEN			TRACKED BY	PHONE NUMBER		CASE DISPOSITION RELEASE DATE		PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐	
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC					PHONE NUMBER			CHECK BOXES IF USE YES ☐ NO ☐		PTO ☐ UTO ☐	
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS					QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 100 ☐				☐ RVA HEADS		
	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒		CITING ACO 2002 Konst			PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒		PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER		CITY MUNICIPAL CODE(S)		
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CITED/CID VIOLATED 4-1 (Z)(E)(2)									REVIEWED BY 1-29-16 DPM		
	CHAPTER/SECTION 737.04 (A)										PAO YES ☐ NO ☒	

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	MICROCHIP #	CDC	ANIMAL ID#
Pit-bull	VICTIM ☐ OWNER X	Lola	Brown	F	A		L16-209172		Ok	1

Summary

One dog was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and issued citations. The owner redeemed the dog.

Officer's Case Report

On 1-21-16 at 1130 hours I Investigator Eckelbarger (1942) responded to where I received no answer at the door. I then went around to the back alley and observed a brown pit-bull in the backyard through broken slats in the fence. The dog was on a cable tie-out. The dog's tie-out was partially tangled around a trampoline in the yard. The dog did have access to shade and water. I then impounded the dog due to being on a tie-out and posted a notice of impoundment on the front door.

On 1-22-16 Supervisor Konst (2002) met with dog owner She came to the Pima Animal Care Center to redeem her dog Lola. stated Lola had chewed through a wood door and escaped the house previously. Supervisor Konst then cited on my behalf for neglect-tieout on Lola under City jurisdiction. signed and received her copies of the citations. She then redeemed Lola.

Committee Member Comments/ Request for Information

Please follow up tie out

Member
T. Barrick
N. Emptage
P. Hubbard
P. Jacobs
S. Kaluzniacki
D. Marshall
H. Mendelsohn
J. Neumann
E. O'Donnell
J. Schwerin
G. Smith
City of Tucson Rep.

Report Snapshot

DID THE REPORT REQUIRE VICTIM CONTACT FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒					DATE AND TIME OF INITIAL 01/24/16 / 18:18				DATE THE REPORT WAS MADE 01/24/16 / 18:18			
	FOOD ☐	WATER ☐	SHELTER ☐	INJURIES/ILL ☒	VENTILATION ☐	ABANDONED ☐	TIED OUT ☐	BEATEN ☐	WASTE ☐	OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐		
☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/CONTACT NAME T. Foster #2042					D.O.B N/A		REMINDER PHONE NO. N/A		BUSINESS PHONE NO. 520-734-5500		
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM'S ADDRESS N/A								ZIP N/A	CITY N/A	STATE N/A	
☐ OWNER/OWNER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4291(B) and § 13-2316 (D)	VICTIM'S MAILING ADDRESS 4000 N Silverbell Rd								ZIP 85745	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ	
NAME OF LAW'N REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	OVERDUE ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒		OVERDUE REVIEWED YES ☐ NO ☒	OVERDUE CASE NUMBER N/A		CASE MONEY DUE # N/A ☐ EO ☐ TPD ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER: N/A				FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ EO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER: N/A		
☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☐ POISON ☐ HOH-INJURIOUS		DRUG SUSPECTED N/A PLACE OF BODY INTOX.			SEIZED BY N/A	PLACE IN CARE N/A		DATE TRANSFERED N/A		PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐	
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC N/A					PHONE NUMBER N/A		DRUGS FOUND IN THE YES ☐ NO ☐			FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐	
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS N/A					QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐			☐ PRA HEARING N/A			
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒		CITING ACO 2042			PRIOR VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒		PRIOR CASE FOUND N/A		CASE ABANDONED REPORT N/A		
	REAS/SEC VIOLATE 4-323(B)									REVIEWED BY 2002. Konst Vet		
	VIOLATION/SECTION 4-323(A)									DOC YES ☐ NO ☒		

BREED/DESCRIPTION	VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL	ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VEREK/CHIP #	SOC	ANIMAL INF
Pit Bull Mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Zeus	Brn/Wh	M	P	None	None	None	Poor	I
Lab Mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Princess	Brindle/Wh	F	4y	None	None	None	Inj	
Lab Mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Bella	Sable/Wh	F	4y	None	None	None	Dead	I

Summary

All of the dogs were surrendered to PACC. The dog owner was cited for neglect vet care. This complaint is closed.

Officer's Case Report

01/24/16 18:18 hours I, Investigator Foster #2042 arrived at and as I walked up the driveway the dog owner opened the door. I stated my name and the reason for my visit and asked her if she knew why I was there and she stated yes it was because her dogs were fighting. I asked her if I could see the dogs and she walked me into the backyard. As I entered the yard I was met at the gate by a black and white Pit Bull type mixed breed dog that I initially believed was 3 or 4 months of age. I later checked his teeth and found he was closer to 8 or 9 months. The owner stated that the puppy was called Zeus and that she had owned him. for two or more months. She explained that her other two dogs are sisters and that one or both are in heat and they began to fight today. is herself pregnant and nearly full term, as such she hesitated to try to break up the fight She stated that one of the dogs was badly hurt but the other two seemed fine. As we progressed farther into the yard I saw a brindle and white mixed breed dog limping toward us. The owner told me that her name is Princess and that she is the one that got the upper hand in the fight She told me that Zeus was involved but kept running in and out of the fight. She added that the .. dogs have no history of fighting and that she does not know what started the fight today. The back yard was full or trash, debris, and possessions. I observed that there was excessive animal waste present the yard and much of it contained foreign objects such as parts of clothing and chewed trash. I observed that the dogs had access to a large container of potable water and a large pile of kibble poured out on the back step. I also observed that the dogs had access to shade/shelter in the form of an open shed with a large mattress inside. On the mattress I saw the third dog. She was lying with her back to the door and appeared to be covered in blood. I observed that her demeanor was depressed, lethargic, and she was unwilling to stand or move. told me that the dog in the shed was called Bella. I asked if she was going to take Bella to the vet and she told me that she was not due to financial constraints. 1 asked the woman, if Zeus has been seen by a vet for his weight. Based on the Purina/LaFiamme Body Scoring System I determined that Zeus was a 2-2.5 and very underweight. The owner stated that she could not afford vet care and that she was thinking about feeding him wet food and/or high protein food but had not done so yet. I asked her when she first noticed he was underweight and she claimed that she got him two months ago and was thin at that time but has gotten worse since then. I explained that all three dogs need to be seen by a

	vet and that if I issued a premise inspection, that she would only have 24 hours to comply or I would issue citations and confiscate the dogs. I told her that I need to confer with a supervisor to be sure that I was not overlooking anything and returned to the PACC truck to place the call. I spoke to Supervisor Tenkate #1911 and was instructed to issue a citation for neglect of vet care on the puppy named Zeus and to try to suggest that the owner to release ownership of all.three dogs. I returned and issued the citation and after explaining her options the owner agreed to sign her three dogs over to PACC. acknowledged, signed, and accepted her citation. She was given her court date, time, location, and her ID was returned. I then had her sign the owner surrender form and impounded the three dogs.

Committee Member Comments/ Request for Information	Member
	T. Barrick
	N. Emptage
	P. Hubbard
	P. Jacobs
	S. Kaluzniacki
	D. Marshall
Is it possible to restrict form owning dogs, area is unsafe for any animal	H. Mendelsohn
	J. Neumann
	E. O'Donnell
	J. Schwerin
Do we know the condition for the injured and underweight dog at this time? Again another owner who should be banned from adopting in the future.	G. Smith
	City of Tucson Rep.

Activity Number: A16-186930 ACO & Badge: Tovar #2021

Report Snapshot

FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURED/ILL VENTILATION ABANDONED TREAT SEATED WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)

I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case

VICTIM'S ADDRESS

VICTIM'S MAILING ADDRESS: 4000 N Silverbell

CITY: Tucson STATE: AZ

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

4-3 (1); 4-3 (2)(B); 4-81; 4-78

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	IN DENVER #	TOTE	ANIMAL ID#
Terrier X	VICTIM / OWNER	Jake	Tri	M	1Y		Cited	Cited	N	0

Summary

No animal was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. This complaint is closed.

Officer's Case Report

On January 25, 2016 at 1625 hours the Pima Animal Care Center received a call from an anonymous complainant who reported observing a small black and white Terrier type dog in a beige Cadillac. The complainant also said that dog had been in the car all day and that they did not obtain the vehicle license number. They did state that the windows were cracked but did not get close enough to see if the dog had food or water. On January 25, 2016 at 17:01 hours I, Officer Tovar #2021, arrived at an apartment complex at I found the gold Cadillac with. AZ license plate in one of the parking lots of the apartment complex. I walked up to the car and saw a tricolored terrier mix inside of the vehicle. The dog appeared to be in distress as it was standing up on his hind legs and yelping. The dog was also scratching at the front windows of the vehicle. I took pictures of the dog inside of the car. I could clearly see into the front seat area and saw that there was no water for the dog. I could not clearly see into the back seat as the window tint was very dark. I photographed the entire vehicle including the license plate. I asked for the Tucson Police to respond to the area in case there was a need to gain forced entry to remove the dog from the car. While waiting for the officer to arrive I walked to the office where I spoke with Assistant Manager, who said that he had just been working at the complex for three weeks. He walked to the vehicle with me and saw the dog inside of the car. He told me that he had never seen the car at the complex before. TPD Officer Waer #51934, Event #E160250648, arrived and she saw the dog inside of the vehicle. Officer Waer and I were told that the vehicle owner might live in one of the apartments in front of where the car was parked. One tenant at an apartment said that she did hear a dog crying but did not know who the car belonged to. Another tenant said that they did not know who the car belonged to. We finally knocked on a door of apartment #9106 and found the dog owner, who was identified himself as on his AZ ID card. said that he did not own the vehicle and that it belongs to his boss who let him use her car today. : said that this morning at 0400 hours he was kicked out of his apartment by his girlfriend so he took his dog and left. He stated that he went to work but "was not in a good space" and his boss told him to take her car and leave for the day. He explained that he was looking for a place to stay and the only place he found was with his friend at this apartment complex. asserted that his friends have a large male dog and that this dog and his dog 'Jake' did not really get along. He stated that he put Jake in the car to

keep him and his friend's dog apart. When I asked him why the dog did not have water he said that he just did not give him any and that he did not have an excuse for not giving him water. I asked what time he put Jake in the car and he said around 1615 hours this afternoon. He then said, "time just got away from me." I advised k that I was going to cite him for Cruelty and Neglect-No Water based on Jake being left in the vehicle without water. I then asked him if Jake had a current rabies vaccination and license. k said that he could not afford to have that done. I asked him when he got Jake and he said that he has had him for a year and got him as a small puppy. I also cited for No License and No Rabies Vaccination. I signed and received his copy of said citations. I had k remove Jake from the car and I observed that Jake was of good weight and appeared healthy. After removed Jake from the car I asked him if I could look in the back seat of the car and he told me I could. I photographed the area to show that there was no water for the dog. I also took additional pictures of the front seat to show the same. I advised him that should he leave his dog in a car again his dog would be impounded and he would receive additional citations. k said that he was going to look for a place to stay tonight and if he was going to stay at the apartment with his friend he would keep the dog away from his friend's dog.

Committee Member Comments/ Request for Information	Member
	T. Barrick
	N. Emptage
	P. Hubbard
	P. Jacobs
	S. Kaluzniacki
	D. Marshall
This appears to be impossible to follow up.	H. Mendelsohn
	J. Neumann
	E. O'Donnell
	J. Schwerin
	G. Smith
	City of Tucson Rep.

PIMA COUNTY
HEALTH DEPARTMENT

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD • TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.govanimal/care

MEMORANDUM

TO: Marcy Flanagan, Deputy Director

FROM: Debra Tenkate, Animal Care Field Supervisor

DATE: February 3, 2016

SUBJECT: Dangerous Dog Cases for January 2016

Tucson:

1. A11-154781 A dog named Chiquita was declared vicious by The case was dog assigned to Investigator Delgadillo who is monitoring compliance.

2. A15-173164 : A dog named Gemini was declared vicious by Judge Pollard. The case was assigned to Investigator Foster who is monitoring compliance.

Pima County/Marana:

3. A16-185921 ; Two dogs named Princess and Bart were declared dangerous by Investigator Delgadillo. Both dogs were impounded and Investigator Delgadillo is monitoring compliance.

4. A15-183418 ; A dog named Duke was declared dangerous by Investigator Delgadillo who is monitoring compliance.

5. A14-158978 A dangerous dog evaluation was ordered by Judge Felix on two dogs named Texas and Kuma. Investigator Foster evaluated the dogs which were declared not dangerous.

PIMA COUNTY ANIMAL CARE CENTER
MONTHLY INVESTIGATIONS REPORT
DANGEROUS DOG CASES FOR JANUARY 2016

City of Tucson

CASE NUMBER	OWNER'S LAST NAME	NUMBER OF ANIMALS ASSESSED	NUMBER OF ANIMALS DECLARED DANGEROUS	NUMBER OF ANIMALS DECLARED NOT DANGEROUS	NUMBER OF ANIMALS IMPOUNDED	NUMBER OF ANIMALS RELINQUISHED	NUMBER OF ANIMALS PTS	HEARING Y/N	UPHELD	NOT UPHELD
A14-154781		1	1	0	1	0	0	N		
A15-173164		1	1	0	0	0	0	N		

Pima County/Marana

CASE NUMBER	OWNER'S LAST NAME	NUMBER OF ANIMALS ASSESSED	NUMBER OF ANIMALS DECLARED DANGEROUS	NUMBER OF ANIMALS DECLARED NOT DANGEROUS	NUMBER OF ANIMALS IMPOUNDED	NUMBER OF ANIMALS RELINQUISHED	NUMBER OF ANIMALS PTS	HEARING Y/N	UPHELD	NOT UPHELD
A16-185921		2	2	0	2	0	0	N		
A15-183418		1	1	0	0	0	0	N		
A14-158978		2	0	2	0	0	0	N		

DD#1

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Mission Road
Tucson, AZ
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5990
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT				ACO NAME / BADGE #	ACTIVITY/BITE NUMBER
				J. Henderson/1904	A14-154781
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS				BITE ☒ WELFARE ☐ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
CITY: Tucson	STATE: Az	ZIP	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS: Not given				CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐	
CITY	STATE	ZIP	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER	DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES	HAIR	DRIVEN
DOB	SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER: Not given				

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☐	LOCATION OF INCIDENT			DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT: 8/29/14 10:30am	DATE AND TIME REPORTED: 8/29/14 10:30 am
	FOOD ☐	WATER ☐	SHELTER ☐	VENTILATION ☐	ABANDONED ☐
	TIEOUT ☐	BEATEN ☐	WASTE ☐	INJ / ILL ☐	OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐
☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME		DATE OF BIRTH	RESIDENCE PHONE	BUSINESS PHONE
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS			CITY: Tucson	STATE: Az / ZIP
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-288 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS			CITY	STATE / ZIP
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SHERIFF DEPT ☐ TUCSON POLICE ☐ FIRE ☐ OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:
☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☐ VIOLATION ☒ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: 3	TREATED BY: self	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED / RELEASE DATE: 09/07/14	PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM / PHONE NUMBER		PART OF BODY BITTEN: right leg, calf				
	VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐	FTD ☐ UTD ☐
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS			QUARANTINE (DAYS) 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐	☐ FRA HEAD#
	3rd PARTY CITATIONS YES ☒ NO ☐	CITING ACO: Henderson 1904	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☒ NO ☐	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS: No
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED: 4-7(2)(B) / 4-97 / 4-81 / 4-76				REVIEWED BY: DHH 9-8-14
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS: 72958 A,B,C,D				BOND YES ☐ NO ☐

BREED/DESCRIPTION		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #	CONDITION	ANIMAL ID#
Chihuahua	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Chiquita	white/tan	F	7yrs		Normal	A424854
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							

WITNESS 1 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

DD#1

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-154781

ACO Name & Badge: J. Henderson / 1984

On 09/02/14 at 17:04 hours I contacted the bite victim, at her apartment. Her address is . I was shown a puncture wound to her right leg on her calf. There was one single puncture with bruising around the area. Per she was in the office around 11:00 a.m. reloading her laundry card for the laundry room. The office is address is . The dog owner was also in the office with her dog on a leash. . said she was near the dog but not next to it. Without warning the dog bit her on the leg. The dog owner provided the victim with her apartment but denied her dog bit the victim. said she talked to the office personnel to see who saw what happened and they all denied seeing anything. claims the office personnel are not being truthful. , is requesting prosecution to the owner.

I then contacted the owner at her apartment. Her address is a's dog was at the door for a brief moment and she quickly put it away. I was not able to get a good look at her dog other than the breed and colors matched what reported. She was cooperative, but didn't appear she wanted to be. She answered my questions and provided me with the information I requested. I was able to locate her information within the Pima Animal Care Center database, which showed an address of . She denied her dog ever living at that address and would not allow me to photograph the dog for identification purposes. I was able to issued to her a quarantine transport agreement expiring on 09/03/14. I also issued to her the requested citations from the victim. Citations were issued without incident.

I also stated the office staff witnessed what happened. After issuing the citations I was approached by the the Office manager who told me her staff did not witness the incident, and would not testify for either party in court. They did not wish to provide there names, or contact information.

I was able obtain a photograph of the dog that was registered to from the I then contacted on 09/07/14 at 10:07 hours and had her look at a photograph of the dog registered to a during that time. positively identified the dog in the photograph as the dog that bit her on 09/02/14 at 11:00 hours

Officer's Signature:

Date: 9/7/14

DD#1

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE

COMPLAINT # A16-186109
OFFICER # Tinkate
DATE: 1/11/16

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900, option 3 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.gov/animalcare

DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

_____ An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

_____ An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

X An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

On 1/6/16 the Chihuahua named Chiquita was declared vicious by City of Tucson Judge.

OWNER:
ADDRESS:
PHONE:

ANIMAL NAME: Chiquita
ANIMAL ID#: A 429854
SEX: S COLOR: Red/Tan BREED: Chihuahua

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE .

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.

DD#2

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Sheerbell Rd.
Tucson, AZ 85705
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT		ACO NAME / BADGE # X. Delgadillo #2047	COMPLAINT NUMBER A15-173164
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS		BITE ☒ WELFARE ☐ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER
CODE IF OTHER :			
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER
DRIVERS LICENSE			
SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES
HAIR COLOR	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN
LOCATION OF INCIDENT		DATE AND TIME REPORTED 06/08/15 / 19:32	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 06/08/15 / 18:45

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☒ NO ☐

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☒ Leash Law

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME | D.O.B | RESIDENCE PHONE NO | BUSINESS PHONE NO.

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

VICTIM'S ADDRESS | ZIP | CITY Tucson | STATE AZ

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-288 (B)

VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS | ZIP | CITY | STATE

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒ | RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☒ NO ☐ | DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER | OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER: | FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

☒ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION | BITE SEVERITY: 1 | PART OF BODY BITTEN | TREATED BY | PHONE NUMBER | DATE QUARANTINED | RELEASE DATE: | PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

VET CLINIC | PHONE NUMBER | OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☒ NO ☐ | FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS | QUARANTINE 10 ☒ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐ | ☐ FRA HEAD#

3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☒ NO ☐ | CITING ACO X. Delgadillo #2047 | PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒ | PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER | OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-97, 4-7-(2) (B), 4-81, 4-76 | REVIEWED BY KONST 2002 7/10

CITATIONS/NUMBERS 74727, 74728 | BOND YES ☐ NO ☐

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Pit-Bull	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Gemini	White/Brown	F	A		Current	Current		521611
Pit-Bull	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Tatsu	Black Brindle	M	A		Cited	Cited		447681
Chihuahua	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Ang	Black/Brown	M	A		Cited	Cited		526922
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

DDA2

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-157320

ACO name & Badge:X. Delgadillo #2047

On July 9, 2015 at 11:52 I, Officer Delgadillo #2047, arrived at , in reference to a Leash Law/Biting Animal complaint; I met with .

stated on June 8, 2015 at approximately 18:45 she was walking near Silver Spur and when she noticed a brownish pit-bull and brown Chihuahua out at large and she recognized the dogs and where they resided. went to the address of to advise the dog owners and an elderly female resident came out of the residence and called for the dogs to come back to the yard. She stated that the woman then turned to walk back into the residence and as if to have the pit bull and the Chihuahua follow her. When the woman opened the door another Pit-Bull (white/brown) charged) charged out the door and attacked Pip her white and Tan Chihuahua/Terrier. I explained to -- -- . third party citations and she has requested those citations be issued and restitution for vet care costs incurred. An invoice detailing the Vet care costs was provided and . is requesting restitution in the amount of $188.00.

I then arrived to ; the attacking dog owner's residence. I met with and advised him the purpose of my visit. He stated that his mother was present on the day of the incident and explained to him what had occurred.

was cited into Tucson City Court for the following violations:

Leash Law and Biting Animal for Gemini a female white and brown Pit-Bull Mix

Leash Law; no rabies and no license for Tatsu a male Black Brindle Pit/Hound mix

Leash Law; no rabies and no license for Ang a male brown Chihuahua.

a signed his citations; received a copy and was provided his court date and time.

Officer's Signature: **Date:** 7/10/15

DD#2

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE

COMPLAINT # Alle-186935
OFFICER # Investigator T. Foster #2042
DATE: 10/25/2016

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900, option 3 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.gov/animalcare

DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

_____ An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

_____ An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

__✓__ An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:
On 10/5/2015 the dog known as Gemini was declared vicious by Tucson City Court Judge M. Pollard.

OWNER:
ADDRESS:
PHONE:

ANIMAL NAME: Gemini
ANIMAL ID#: A521611
SEX: F COLOR: Wh/Brn BREED: Pit Bull

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE .

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.

DD#3

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Mitchell Road
Tucson, Arizona
Phone: [illegible]
Fax: (520) 724-[illegible]
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUBJECT	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS	
ZIP	
CITY	
STATE	AZ
RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS	UNK
ZIP	N/A
CITY	N/A
STATE	N/A
BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER	N/A
SEX	
HEIGHT	
WEIGHT	
EYES	
HAIR COLOR	
ORIGIN	
DOB	
SSN	Not Asked
ACO NAME / BADGE #	T. Foster #2042
COMPLAINT NUMBER	A16-185921
BITE ☒ WELFARE ☐ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
CODE IF OTHER:	
CI ☐ CO ☒ OTHER ☐	
DRIVERS LICENSE	
DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒	
LOCATION OF INCIDENT	
DATE AND TIME REPORTED	01/08/16 / 08:10
DATE AND TIME OCCURRED	01/08/16 / 06:45
FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐	
☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	
VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME	
D.O.B	UNK
RESIDENCE PHONE NO	
BUSINESS PHONE NO.	UNK
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	
VICTIM'S ADDRESS	
ZIP	
CITY	Marana
STATE	AZ
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-298 (B)	
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS	UNK
ZIP	N/A
CITY	N/A
STATE	N/A
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	
DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED	YES ☒ NO ☐
RESTITUTION REQUESTED	YES ☒ NO ☐
DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	A16-186133
OTHER AGENCY CASE #	160108076 ☒ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:
FOLLOW UP REQUEST	☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER: N/A
☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	
☒ VIOLATION	
☐ NON-VIOLATION	
BITE SEVERITY:	N/A
PART OF BODY BITTEN:	N/A
TREATED BY	N/A
PHONE NUMBER	N/A
DATE QUARANTINED	N/A
RELEASE DATE:	
PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐	
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM	
PHONE NUMBER	
VET CLINIC	N/A
PHONE NUMBER	N/A
OWNER KNOWS OF BITE	YES ☐ NO ☐
FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐	
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	
CLINIC'S ADDRESS	N/A
QUARANTINE	10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐
☐ FRA HEAD#	
3RD PARTY CITATIONS	YES ☒ NO ☐
CITING ACO	2042
PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS	YES ☒ NO ☐
PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	See Attached
OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS	See Attached
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	
CODE/ORD VIOLATED	6.04.030; 6.04.120(B)(2)
REVIEWED BY	1-19-16 JTK 1911
CITATIONS/NUMBERS	#73755 (A, B, C, D, E)
BOND	YES ☐ NO ☒

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Chow Chow	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Princess	Tan	F	Adult		L15-262766		N	A543571
Spr. Spaniel	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Bart	White/Black	M	Adult		None		N	A548447
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1	M ☒ F ☐	DOB N/A	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # N/A	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☒ F ☐	DOB N/A	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # N/A	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

DD#3

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A16-185921

ACO name & Badge: T. Foster #2042

01/0816 08:10 Pima Animal Care Center Dispatch (PACC) received a complaint from / stating that the dogs who previously killed her rooster were back in her yard at Rd attacking her animals. The caller also stated that one of the dogs bit her and ran away. She informed the dispatch operator that she believed that the dogs were still at large.

01/08/16 09:38 I, Investigator Foster #2042 arrived at and was flagged down in the street by a woman who identified herself as the caller, was very upset and informed me that the property at belongs to the dog owner. There was a woman in the yard of that address with two dogs. The woman was later identified as a tenant of the dog owners. I observed the two dogs to be a tan colored Chow type dog and a white and black Springer Spaniel type dog.

The caller was very angry and to keep the peace between the two women I requested that return home and wait for me to come interview her. Before she left positively identified the two dogs, a Springer Spaniel and a Chow as the dogs that she witnessed attacking and killing one of her chickens this morning. She also stated that she is now requesting citations be issued to the dog owner for the situation she observed at 06:45 hours as well as a Dangerous Dog Evaluation be conducted on the two dogs.

Once the complainant left I met with the second woman who introduced herself as . She told me that she had planned to assume ownership of the Springer Spaniel but the dog owner's wife is opposed to that arrangement. I asked if the owner was available and she stated that was not and added that she rents one of the mobile homes on the property. She stated that when the owner stays at the property he sleeps in a RV located at the

BD#3

North East corner of the lot. provided me with a cell phone number for the dog owner. I explained to her that unless I could get ahold of the dog owner that I would have to impound the dogs. I also explained that PACC has received numerous complaints about these two dogs. Additionally, the reports state that the dogs are able to escape their area of confinement at will. Due to that information I could not leave the animals due to public safety concerns. stated that she was unhappy about that but also stated that she understood.

I attempted to contact the owner via the two telephone numbers I had. When I called the land line I received a message stating that the 'Magic-Jack' number was not assigned to a subscriber. When I tried the cell number I received a message stating that the number does not accept incoming calls.

I explained to the tenant that I was unable to reach the dog owner and would be impounding the two dogs. assisted me with loading them into individual kennels on the PACC truck. Both dogs were loaded without issues. I provided with a notice of impound to give to the dog owner and thanked her for her time. As the dogs were being loaded PCSO Deputy Summey #752 (Case #160108076) arrived. I explained the situation and he relocated to the caller's address to take her statement.

I arrived at ., the caller's address, and explained that I was impounding the dogs and would be placing a Dangerous Dog-Hold on them pending an investigation. y explained that at approximately 06:45 hours she observed the female tan Chow known as Princess, and the black and white Springer Spaniel from attack and kill a Rhode Island Red laying hen. She stated that both dogs had the bird on the ground and they were attacking it. She told me that she tried to save the bird and rushed toward the dogs screaming and kicking. She told me that the Spaniel ran but the Chow bit her foot /ankle and shook it. She told me that her knee high Doc Martin boots prevented the dog's teeth from breaking her skin. went on to say that the dog let go of her leg and grabbed her forearm and would not let go for a moment or two. She stated that her heavy Carhart style, double thick, canvas jacket prevented a break in the skin. She did show me that her forearm appeared swollen in the area where she stated the dog bit it.

She added that the dogs killed two other Chickens that morning but she did not witness them killing those birds but witnessed the dogs carrying the carcasses off her property. I saw a trail of feathers leading from the barn area toward the front gate that seemed to support the claims. I then photographed the area where the three birds were killed and photographed the remains of the dead hen that was not taken by the dogs.

DD#3

On 01/09/16 12:18 hours Supervisor N. Konst #2002 met with at the Pima County Animal Care Center. had a come to that facility that to redeem his two dogs Princess and Bart. Supervisor Konst explained the complaint that was filed against him and the fact that the person had also requested that he be issued third party citations. He also explained to that the complainant has requested that Pima Animal Care Center conduct a Dangerous Dog evaluation of Princess and Bart and as such they would not be released until an area of suitable confinement was approved. Supervisor Konst issued the citations to the dog owner as requested. acknowledged, signed, and accepted his copy of the citations. He was given his court date, time, and location.

Officer's Signature:

Date: ~~01/0~~ 01/17/16

DD#3

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.gov/animalcare

CASE NO: A16-186133
OWNER
ANIMAL NAME: [illegible] #546447

ADDRESS: [illegible]
SEX: M BREED: Springer Spaniel
COLOR: White / Black DATE: 1-16-16

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:

NON-VIOLATION BITE	+ 3	
VIOLATION-BITE	+ 6	

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:
(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)

NO BREAK IN SKIN	+ 1	
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING	+ 2	
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED)	+ 3	
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT	+ 4	
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM	+ 4	
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION)	+ 5	

Animal Complaints or Violations:

LEASH LAW CITATIONS	+ 2	+2 +1 +1
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS	+ 1	+1
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS	+ 2	
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS	+ 3	+3
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS	+ 1	+1

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY	+ 1	
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER	+ 2	
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits)	+ 3	
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS)	+ 4	
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH	+ 5	+5

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)
(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the Incident)

SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES	- 5	
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES	+ 5	+5

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:

REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT	- 3	
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED	- 1	-1
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION	+ 1	
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT	+ 5	
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # _____	- 1	
NO CURRENT LICENSE	+ 1	+1
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION	+ 1	+1

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):
(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)

ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE	- 3	
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE	- 3	-3
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <5X/YR	+ 1	+1
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >5X/YR	+ 2	
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE	+ 2	

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)

ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY	+ 2	
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE	- 2	-2
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR	+ 1	

Confinement / Fencing:
The property has field fencing all around the property with drive through gates. The gates are not secure due to renters entering/exiting and no guarantee the gates remain secure

General Comments:
I conducted neighbor interviews and the majority have witnessed the dog at large. The dog was involved in an attack on several chickens and a rooster which resulted in their death. Bart is declared dangerous

OFFICER # [signature] #2047 Delgadillo

TOTAL SCORE: +16

✓ DANGEROUS
____ NOT DANGEROUS

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure ,bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threating manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threating manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC **IN PERSON.**

PACC-DD1

DD#5

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.gov/animalcare

CASE NO: A16-186133
OWNER: [illegible]
ANIMAL NAME: Princess
A# 543771

ADDRESS: [illegible]
SEX: F BREED: Chow
COLOR: Tan/white DATE: 1-16-16

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:

NON-VIOLATION BITE	+3	
VIOLATION-BITE	+6	+6

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:
(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)

NO BREAK IN SKIN	+1	+1
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING	+2	
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED)	+3	
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT	+4	
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM	+4	
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION)	+5	

Animal Complaints or Violations:

LEASH LAW CITATIONS	+2	+2 +1 +1
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS	+1	+1
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS	+2	
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS	+3	+3
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS	+1	+1

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY	+1	
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER	+2	
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits)	+3	
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS)	+4	
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH	+5	+5

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)
(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)

SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES	-5	
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES	+5	+5

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:

REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT	-3	
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED	-1	-1
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION	+1	
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT	+5	
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # 415-262-766	-1	-1
NO CURRENT LICENSE	+1	
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION	+1	

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):
(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)

ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE	-3	
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE	-3	-3
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <5X/YR	+1	+1
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >5X/YR	+2	
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE	+2	

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)

ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY	+2	
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE	-2	-2
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR	+1	

Confinement / Fencing:
The property has field fencing all around the property with drive through gates. The gates are not secure due to renters entering/exiting and no guarantee the gates remain secured.

General Comments:
I conducted neighbor interviews and the majority have witnessed the dog at large. The dog was also a participant in an attack on several chickens and a rooster which resulted in death. The dog also attempted to bite the owner of chickens and Princess is declared dangerous. #2 of 2

OFFICER # X Delgadillo

TOTAL SCORE: +20

X DANGEROUS
___ NOT DANGEROUS

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure ,bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threating manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threating manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.

PACC-DD1

DD#4

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 724-3900
Fax: (520) 724-5900
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT

SUSPECT'S ADDRESS

ZIP | CITY | STATE AZ | DESIGNATE PHONE NUMBER

SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS

ZIP | CITY | STATE | BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER

SEX | WEIGHT | HEIGHT | EYES | HAIR COLOR | ORIGIN | DOB | SSN UNKNOWN

ACO BADGE NUMBER: S. ADKINS 1961

COMPLAINT NUMBER: #15-183418

BITE ☒ WELFARE ☐ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐

CODE IF OTHER: LEASH LAW

CI ☐ CO ☐ OTHER ☒

DRIVERS LICENSE

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☒ NO ☐

LOCATION OF INCIDENT

DATE AND TIME REPORTED: 11/27/15 11:07

DATE AND TIME OCCURRED: 11/26/15 16:40

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☒ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-288 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME | DATE OF BIRTH | RESIDENCE PHONE NO. | BUSINESS PHONE NO.

VICTIM'S ADDRESS | ZIP | CITY | STATE AZ

VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS: RETIRED | ZIP | CITY | STATE

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED: YES ☐ NO ☒

RESTITUTION REQUESTED: YES ☐ NO ☒

DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER AGENCY CASE # 1511-0932: ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☒ OTHER:

FOLLOW UP REQUEST: ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

☒ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION

BITE SEVERITY 3

PART OF BODY BITTEN HAND

TREATED BY | PHONE NUMBER | OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☒ NO ☐

PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☒

VET CLINIC | PHONE NUMBER | QUARANTINED DATE 12/05/15 RELEASE DATE | FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS

QUARANTINE 10 ☒ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐

☐ FRA HEAD#

3RD PARTY CITATIONS: YES | CITING ACO: C. YOUNG 1908

PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS: YES ☐ NO ☒ | PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER | OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED: 8-3-1, 8-5-2 (A)

REVIEWED BY 1-26-16 DTK 19N

CITATIONS/NUMBERS: 728274, B, C

COND: YES ☐ NO ☒

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID
LAB MIX	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	DUKE	BLACK	M	A		047554		OK	220135
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐			?						
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐			?						
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐			?						
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐			?						
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐			?						
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐			?						

WITNESS 1	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

DO#4

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-183418
ACO Name & Badge #: C. YOUNG #1908

On November 26, 2015 at approximately 17:28 hours Officer S Adkins #1961 arrived at and met with Marana Police Officer Magna, badge #442, in reference to a dog on dog attack. Both dog owners were on their way to a vet clinic when she arrived. A short time after her arrival Officer Adkins was able to meet with the owner of the attacking dog, , who had just returned from the clinic and stated that the other dog had died on the way to the clinic. In explained that his sister, who was visiting for the holiday, was bringing food into the house and had left the door open. and his sister's dog ran out of the open door and his nephew ran out to try and catch the dogs. n was also bringing food into the home when the dogs escaped but he immediately went out to help catch the dogs. The dogs were found at where Duke had attacked another dog.

Officer Adkins then met with the victim dog owners, Mr. and Mrs. , at t who had also just returned from the vet clinic. stated that her dog had died on the way to the clinic and n had paid for the vet bills and for the cremation of her dog. stated she and her husband, was returning home from walking their dogs, at approximately 16:40 hours when two loose dogs entered their front yard. The black dog, described as a Labrador Retriever, attacked her dog and her husband was bitten on the left hand between the thumb and index finger while attempting to protect their dog. stated she did not wish to pursue prosecution against the owner of the attacking dog at this time. Officer Adkins then returned to residence and explained that during the attack was bitten by Duke and Duke must be placed under quarantine for 10 days. A home quarantine agreement was completed and signed by

On November 27, 2015 at approximately 11:00 hours the Pima Animal care Center's Dispatch Office received a call from the stating that they had changed their mind and are now requesting to prosecute n for the incident.

DD#4

On January 14, 2016 at approximately 08:48 Officer C. Young #1908 met with [illegible]n at his residence and issued the citations as requested by [illegible] [illegible]n was very cooperative and offered his Arizona Driver's License for identification. [illegible] signed the citation, accepted his copy and was advised of his court date and time.

Officer's Signature: [illegible]

Date: 1/24/16

DD#4

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE

COMPLAINT # A16-186978
OFFICER # Delgadillo
DATE: 1-30-16

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900, option 3 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.gov/animalcare

DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

_____ An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

X An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

_____ An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:
On November 26, 2015, Duke was at large and attacked and Killed a domestic animal.

OWNER:
ADDRESS:
PHONE:

ANIMAL NAME: Duke
ANIMAL ID#: 220135
SEX: M COLOR: BLK BREED: Labrador

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE .

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.

DD#5

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. [illegible]
Tucson, [illegible]
Phone: [illegible]
Fax: (520) 243-[illegible]
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT		ACO NAME / BADGE # T. Foster 2042	COMPLAINT NUMBER A14-158978
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS [illegible]		BITE ☒ WELFARE ☐ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP	CITY Marana	STATE AZ	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER
CODE IF OTHER :			
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS UNK		CI ☐ CO ☒ OTHER ☐	
ZIP N/A	CITY N/A	STATE N/A	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER N/A
DRIVERS LICENSE			
SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES
HAIR COLOR	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN Not Given
LOCATION OF INCIDENT		DATE AND TIME REPORTED 10/29/14 / 09:10	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 10/29/14 / 07:50

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☒ NO ☐

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME	DOB	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO. UNK
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY Marana	STATE AZ
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS UNK	ZIP N/A	CITY N/A	STATE N/A

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:
FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:				

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☒ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: 2 PART OF BODY BITTEN: Leg	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED RELEASE DATE: 11/07/14
PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐					
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☒ NO ☐	FTQ ☐ UTQ ☒
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE 10 ☒ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐	☐ FRA HEAD#	
	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☒ NO ☐	CITING ACO 2042	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED			REVIEWED BY Konst 2002 12/6	
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS			BOND YES ☐ NO ☐	

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Catahoula	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Texas	B. Merle/W	M	AD	L14-237592		N	a110029
Catahoula	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Kuma	B. Merle/W	F	AD	L14-237591		N	a343084
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								

WITNESS 1 M ☒ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

DD#5

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-158978

ACO name & Badge: T. Foster 2042

10/29/14 15:29 I, Officer Foster 2042 arrived at and was met by the victim's mother in her driveway. stated that her son was still at school but that she believed that the dog who bit her son resides at I. also stated that she has seen the dogs from that address at large on many occasions and stated that her children have informed her that they are aggressive towards them. I advised that I would patrol the area and photograph the dogs in that yard and any dogs I observed loose to have her son ID at a future date. I then left her residence and patrolled the length of North for the biting dogs. While patrolling the area I did not observe any loose dogs, but I was able to photograph the dogs contained in the yard at . As I was leaving the area I observed that , was picking up her son at the bus stop, she flagged me down and I was able to photograph injuries and present him with the photos I took. positively identified the blue and white dogs involved in his bite, but stated that he is unsure which dog actually bit him. also stated that he believed that the incident took place at approximately 07:50 hours on 10/29/14. stated that she is requesting citations be issued on her and behalf. I then thanked and her son for their time and returned to

16:40 I arrived at and honked the Pima Animal Care Center truck horn to attract the attention of any occupants. I did not receive an answer. As I was preparing to write a notice the neighbor from approached me and asked if I was responding to a different complaint. I stated that I was not but asked her if she knew the name of the residents of that address. The woman stated that she believed that the owner/resident was named and that she drives a Yellow car. I then provided the neighbor with information that she requested about response times and photographing leash law violations to achieve a resolution to her issues with a different neighbor and their aggressive dogs. When I completed the discussion with the neighbor I posted a notice advising the residents of that neither of the blue merle and white dogs should be moved, sold or transferred from the property until the owners have met with an officer. I photographed the notice and posted it on the walk through gate.

DD#5

11/05/14 12:41 I arrived and did not observe any cars or trucks in the driveway. I honked the horn in an attempt to be heard by anyone at home but did not receive a response. I did not observe the two blue merle and white mixed breed dogs but did observe the black and tan heeler mix that was not involved in the bite incident.

12/03/14 17:20hrs I arrived at 1 and met with dog owner, provided me with proof of current license and vaccinations both of her Catahoula mix dogs named Kuma and Texas. The quarantine period ended on 11/07/14 and both dogs were seen by a vet at North West Pet Hospital shortly after the quarantine release date. The attending vet deemed them healthy at that time. As requested, I issued third party citations for leash law violations for both dogs and one biting animal for Texas. /I also volunteered the fact that she had built a six foot high fenced enclosure to protect her dogs. She chose to construct the dog run well away from any of the perimeter fences as she has previously seen neighborhood kids tormenting her pets by throwing objects. stated that she has located metal pellets under the skin of both the dogs and believes they were shot with a pellet gun. I photographed the newly fenced area and the two dogs believed to be involved in the bite incident. I then asked to provide me with a copy of he driver's license. I explained that the victim's mother has requested citations be issued on her and her son's behalf. I then returned to the Pima Animal Care vehicle and completed the afore mentioned citations. was very cooperative and signed the citations. I then provided with her court date, time, location, and provided her with a copy of the citation. I then returned license and thanked her for her time and cooperation.

Officer's Signature: 12 Date: 12/4/2014

DD#5

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.gov/animalcare

CASE NO: A16-185617

OWNER:

ANIMAL NAME: "Kuma" (A110029)

ADDRESS:

SEX: F/S BREED: Petahoula Leopard Dog

COLOR: Blue merle/White DATE: 1/4/2016

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:

NON-VIOLATION BITE	+3	
VIOLATION-BITE	+6	

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)

NO BREAK IN SKIN	+1	
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING	+2	
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED)	+3	
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT	+4	
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM	+4	
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION)	+5	

Animal Complaints or Violations:

LEASH LAW CITATIONS	+2	+2
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS	+1	
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS	+2	
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS	+3	
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS	+1	

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY	+1	
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER	+2	
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits)	+3	
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS)	+4	
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH	+5	

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)

(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the Incident)

SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES	-5	
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES	+5	+5

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:

REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT	-3	-3
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED	-1	-1
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION	+1	
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT	+5	
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC #	-1	
NO CURRENT LICENSE	+1	+1
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION	+1	

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):

(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)

ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE	-3	-3
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE	-3	-3
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <5X/YR	+1	
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >5X/YR	+2	
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE	+2	

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)

ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY	+2	
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE	-2	-2
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR	+1	

Confinement / Fencing:

6 Foot welded wire (not heavy gauge) field fencing supported by 4x4 treated timber posts.

General Comments:

The dog known as "Kuma" scored -4 and is therefore NOT declared dangerous

OFFICER # T. Foster #2042

TOTAL SCORE: -4

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

____ DANGEROUS

X NOT DANGEROUS

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure ,bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threating manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threating manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC **IN PERSON**.

PACC-DD1

DD#5

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.gov/animalcare

CASE NO: A16-185617

OWNER:

ANIMAL NAME: Texas "A343084"

ADDRESS:

SEX: M/N BREED: Catahoula Leopard Dog

COLOR: Blue merle / White DATE: 1/4/16

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:

NON-VIOLATION BITE	+3	
VIOLATION-BITE	+6	+6

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)

NO BREAK IN SKIN	+1	
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING	+2	+2
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED)	+3	
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT	+4	
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM	+4	
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION)	+5	

Animal Complaints or Violations:

LEASH LAW CITATIONS	+2	+2
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS	+1	
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS	+2	
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS	+3	
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS	+1	

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY	+1	
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER	+2	
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits)	+3	
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS)	+4	
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH	+5	

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)

(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the Incident)

SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES	-5	
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES	+5	+5

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:

REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT	-3	-3
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED	-1	-1
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION	+1	
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT	+5	
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # _____	-1	
NO CURRENT LICENSE	+1	+1
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION	+1	

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):

(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)

ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE	-3	-3
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE	-3	-3
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <5X/YR	+1	
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >5X/YR	+2	
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE	+2	

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)

ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY	+2	
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE	-2	-2
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR	+1	

Confinement / Fencing:

6-Foot welded wire (not heavy gauge) field fencing supported by treated 4x4 timber posts.

General Comments:

The dog known as Texas scored a +4 and is therefore NOT Declared Dangerous at this time.

OFFICER # T. Foster #2040

TOTAL SCORE: +4

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

____ DANGEROUS

X NOT DANGEROUS

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threating manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threating manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.

PACC-DD1

Pima Animal Care Center
Animals on Hold Report

[redacted]

kennel no

HOLD TYPE ENFORCEMEN Number on Hold 7

A16-188860

[redacted]

3/6/16 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A16-188860 **I043** R
Kennel Comment: didn't bite, no chip found.
3c3c3c

03/06/2016 ENFORCE tfoster 3/6/16 17:46

Cat was allegedly abandoned by owner when health forced him to move in w/ family members. It was reported that he abandoned this cat and took a second cat with him. I was told the two cats did not get along so he took the other one.

If owner or relative comes to redeem please have enforcement issue cites for abandonement and neglect food, and neglect water if appropriate.

2042

A16-189138

[redacted]

3/3/16 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A16-189138 **D224** R
Kennel Comment: no chip

03/03/2016 ENFORCE xdelgad 3/3/16 21:25
Hold for 3c3c3 Neglect tie-out and neglect no water.2047

[redacted]

3/3/16 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A16-189138 **D194** R
Kennel Comment: no chip 3c3c3

03/03/2016 ENFORCE xdelgad 3/3/16 21:26
Hold for neglect no water.2047

A16-189284

[redacted]

3/7/16 CONFISCATE FIELD NORMAL Activity:A16-189284 **U018** R
Kennel Comment: unable to scan
3c3c3c

2nd impound

[redacted]

3/7/16 CONFISCATE FIELD NORMAL Activity:A16-189284 **D251** R
Kennel Comment: unable to scan
3c3c3c

kennel no

03/08/2016 DHINTE 3/8/16 14:04

Hold for inadequate confinement. Do not release until confinement has been approved by an officer.

Issue cites on behalf of Officer Hinte 2068 for the following:

County Jurisdiction
03/07/16 @ 1637 hrs

-no water for A553696 "Ted", A549599 "Damo", A354039 "Dada", and A553831 "Fatgirl"

-leash law for A553696 "Ted", A549599 "Damo", A354039 "Dada", and A553831 "Fatgirl"

2068

A16-189312

3/4/16 CONFISCATE EVICTION NORMAL Activity:A16-189312 **D225** R

Kennel Comment: 3C3C3C
unable to scan in field

11/14/2015 ENFORCE DATTEBEI 11/14/15 4:15

11/14/2015--HOLD FOR OWNER NOTIFICATION. 1929

03/04/2016 ENFORCE sadkins 3/4/16 9:47

03/04/16 09:45 Owner was evicted on 3-3-16 and was given until 3-4-16 at 09:00 to remove the dog and rest of belonging and did not. Constiable attempted to call dog owner and left message. If owner redeems please cite for no water and abandonment. S.Adkins 1961

A16-189314

3/4/16 CONFISCATE BITE AGGRESSIVE Activity:A16-189314 **D122** R

Kennel Comment: unable to scan. biter. see memo

Donation Activity

Period: **02-01-16** To: **02-29-16**

Donation Code	Amount
DONATION	**$37.00**
DONATION ADOP	**$357.00**
DONATION GEN	**$9,051.98**
DONATION OUTR	**$43.00**
DONATION S/N	**$12,180.00**
DONATION SAMS	**$2,986.04**
Grand Total	**$24,655.02**

Donation Activity

Period: **07-01-15** To: **02-29-16**

Donation Code	Amount
DONATION	**$547.02**
DONATION ADOP	**$13,904.57**
DONATION ENFORCE 0972	**$0.00**
DONATION GEN	**$148,545.00**
DONATION LIC 0973	**$20.00**
DONATION OUTR	**$440.00**
DONATION S/N	**$88,792.83**
DONATION SAMS	**$106,268.38**
Grand Total	**$358,517.80**