NOTICE
PUBLIC MEETING OF THE
PIMA COUNTY ANIMAL CARE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

January 15, 2015 – 5:30 p.m.
Herbert K. Abrams Public Health Center
3950 S. Country Club Road
Tucson, Arizona 85714
Room 1108
(520) 724-7729

Functions of the Committee

1. Serve in an advisory capacity to the Board, and to the Manager of the Pima Animal Care Center; and
2. Review and evaluate the operations of the Center to make recommendations in writing to the Board for the formulation of guidelines to assure that:
   A. The Center's operations are conducted in the best interest of the public health and safety; and
   B. The Center keeps pace with the most modern practices and procedures of animal care and welfare; and
3. Review complaints from the public concerning policies of the Center and make recommendations for resolution to the proper authority.

AGENDA	
1.	Call to Order • Roll Call • Establishment of Quorum and Pledge of Allegiance
2.	Review and Adoption of Minutes: • Adoption of December 18, 2014 meeting minutes
3.	Call to the Audience
4.	Management Report • Agenda Setting (Chair Neuman/Mr. Janes)
5.	Old Business • Update on July 19, 2014 Motion for resolution for PACC to remedy issues relating to the care and welfare of pets at PACC - Operations (Chair Neuman/PACC Management Team) • Volunteer policy and Partnership Agreement (Chair Neuman/PACC Management Team) • Possible ordinance related to the sale of tie outs (Ms. Emptage) • County Administration response to the committee's request to add additional field officers and shelter staff (Chair Neuman/Mr. Janes) • General Criteria required for PACC to respond and investigate a service/welfare issue wherein an animal is in distress (Ms. Schwerin/ Ms. Emptage/PACC Enforcement Management)
6.	New Business • PACC veterinary medical treatment protocols for long term conditions such as tick or valley fever (Mr. Jacobs/PACC Management Team) • Release of PACC Volunteer List-Failure of Pima County Health Department to provide PACC Volunteer names and email addresses to PACC Volunteer Representative, Jack Neuman, for approximately a year after repeated requests (including an unanswered Freedom of Information Act Request)(Chair Neuman/PACC Management Team) • Semi Annual Board of Supervisor Letter (Chair Neuman) • Animal Defense League of Arizona Membership Retention Request (Chair Neuman/Mr. Janes)
7.	Animal Welfare and Dangerous Animal Cases for the Month of December and Recent Holds Snapshot

	Welfare		Dangerous Dogs	
	A14-162943	A14-157273	A14-160803	A14-162288
	A14-162549	A14-160633	A14-161172	A14-161700
	A14-159915	A14-162650	A14-162079	
	A14-126693	A14-162744	A14-161922	
	A14-161212	A14-151102	A14-162284	

8.	Donations: A total of 1,821 individuals gave $114,509.68 in donations during the month of December.
9.	Complaints and Commendations: There were three complaints and one commendation received by staff during December.
10.	Call to the Audience
11.	Announcements, Schedules and Proposed Agenda Items
12.	Next Meeting – February 19, 2015
13.	Adjournment

Copies of this agenda are available upon request at the Pima County Health Department, 3950 S. Country Club Road, by calling 243-7729 or at www.pima.gov/animalcare. The Committee may discuss and take action on any item on the agenda. At the conclusion of an open call to the public Committee members may only respond to criticism made; ask staff to review the matter raised; or ask to include the matter on a future agenda.

Should you require ADA accommodations, please contact the Pima County Health Department at 724-7729 five (5) days prior to the meeting.

Pima County Animal Care Advisory Committee
Minutes
December 18, 2014
3950 S. Country Club Road
Tucson, Arizona 85714

Draft

1. Call to Order

Mr. Neuman called the meeting to order at 5:31 pm

- Attendance

Present:
Tamara Barrick, Foundation for Animals in Risk
Nancy Emptage, Vice-Chair, Animal Welfare Coalition (late)
Pat Hubbard, Humane Society of Southern Arizona
Pat Jacobs, Tucson Kennel Club
Derek Marshall, Public Education
Helen Mendelsohn, Disabled Community
Jack Neuman, Chair, PACC Volunteers
Erin O'Donnell, DVM, Southern AZ Veterinary Medical Association
Jane Schwerin, People for Animals in the Prevention of Cruelty and Neglect
Gail Smith, MD, Board of Health
Kim Janes, Pima Animal Care Center (PACC), Ex-Offico

Absent:
Sophia Kaluzniacki, DVM, ASPCA of AZ, Inc
Angela Spencer, City of Tucson

- Pledge of Allegiance

2. Adoption of the Minutes

- Review of typo correction in September 18, 2014 meeting minutes

The motion was made and seconded (Hubbard/Emptage) that the September 18, 2014 meeting minutes be adopted with the typo corrected as written. The motion carried (10-0).

- Adoption of second draft of October 16, 2014 Meeting Minutes

The motion was made and seconded (Hubbard/Smith) that the second draft of the October 16, 2014 meeting minutes be adopted as written. The motion carried (10-0).

- Adoption of the November 20, 2014 Meeting Minutes

The motion was made and seconded (Mendelsohn/Hubbard) that the November 20, 2014 meeting minutes be adopted as written. The motion carried (10-0)

3. Call to the Audience

There were a number of speakers from the audience at this meeting and many spoke regarding the topic of animal rescue. Four people, Tiffany Rosler, James Dean, Justin Pope and Karen Pope, spoke

at this call to the audience. Other audience member input is recorded under the Old Business, Rescue Program agenda item.

Ms. Rosler provided and read a letter (included) from Maricopa County Animal Care and Control (MCACC), regarding terminating animal pregnancies. Currently, suspected pregnancy is confirmed, if possible, by a veterinarian as soon as possible. If pregnancy is not confirmed, then they proceed as normal based on the animal's health. If an animal is being spayed and is found pregnant, the surgery will continue. If an animal is confirmed as pregnant, then they reach out to rescue partners and ideally a group will take the animal. Ms. Rosler said that Pinal County's practice is similar. Her main point was that Maricopa and Pinal Counties are working with rescue groups to get pregnant animals out to rescue and PACC should do likewise. She added that a year ago this wasn't an issue between PACC and rescues, but now it is.

Mr. Dean said he has been a PACC volunteer since January and he and his wife combined have put in over 1,100 hours. He spoke about a dog, Shorty, with tick fever, by policy only given medication for one month then put on the short term rescue list. The animal was rescued by Tucson Cold Wet Noses, which continued his medication. Mr. Dean said he feels that PACC is playing Russian roulette with the lives of animals and requested PACC reconsider its one month only medication policy. He added that he recently heard some disparaging comments about Dr. Wilcox. He said he has great admiration for Dr. Wilcox and calls her Anne Sullivan because she is a miracle.

Mr. Pope described himself as a numbers guy, someone who works with data daily, and said he was concerned with the data Health Department Director García presented at the last meeting when he referred to the big picture. Mr. Pope provided a handout (included). He pointed out that the Animal Care Center Animal Intakes and Adoptions chart scale does not start at zero making the slope more pronounced. The bars in that chart indicate PACC adoptions total, then PACC special needs adoptions (SNA) stacked on top of the total bar which appears to indicate the SNA are double counted. A second chart from last month indicated 55 rescue partners in 2010 rescuing 2,113 animals for an average of 19. However, mathematically that average should be 38, and math errors continue through that table. His handout provided charts re-presenting the data. He said he has concerns about the validity of the data when there are such errors. Mr. Pope said last month it was emphasized that rescue is down, while SNA is up, which he agreed with for 2012 to 2013, but said both have fallen for 2013 to 2014, with SNAs down about 15 percent. He contended that the data does not support the claim that SNAs are the answer to, or the cause of, the reduced rescue rate. He concluded by saying that life and death decisions should only be made on clear data.

Ms. Pope also referred to comments from Dr. Garcia at the last meeting referring to the big picture and how SNAs are impactful. She agreed SNAs are impactful, but asserted they would not have happened without networking between volunteers and rescues. She contended that volunteers and rescues do look at the big picture; have the same goals as PACC and spend countless hours and days educating the public on vaccination, spay and neuter, and animal behavior and training. Different rescues have different animal interests, and many have complained about the lack of communication from PACC regarding the specific animal types of interest. She asserted that alienating partners is not good for the big picture and that the system is broken. She suggested that more lives could be saved if the Health Department fixed the problem rather than denying it exists.

DRAFT

4. Manager's Report

Kim Janes, Pima Animal Care Center Chief of External Affairs, said the new building timeline includes planning until next summer and the building is expected to be completed by October 2017.

- County Administration Release of County Attorney Legal Opinion Regarding Providing Animal Care Services to Cities and Towns

Mr. Janes said the local cities and towns make up 60 percent of animal care services PACC provides and the municipalities are struggling with the changing service direction. The County Administrator has made clear in the attached correspondence that the County is not changing its direction. The jurisdictions, not the County, are responsible for animal care within their jurisdictions; they have a choice on whether or not to use PACC and discussions are ongoing.

- Replies related to animal welfare questions from the November 20, 2014 meeting

Mr. Janes referred to his December 12, 2014 memorandum with answers to questions asked at the last meeting. The memo also notes that the Animal Defense League of Arizona (ADLA) has responded to the County's notification that it will be removing the ADLA seat from the Committee and wants to retain and fill the seat. In response to a question about a recheck on a dog named Goofy, Mr. Janes said the recheck occurred on December 8, unannounced, and everything looked in order.

There was discussion about case A14-157743 in which a dog was moved to a different location, which keeps PACC from making a recheck. The owner was cited initially and Mr. Janes said if the animal was located in substandard conditions the person with the dog at that time would be cited. Ms. Emptage requested the court be asked to have the owner, when he goes to court, divulge where the animal is. Mr. Janes said such a request could be made; however, this case has probably already gone to court.

- Draft senate bill related to dogs, licensing, vaccination and quarantine

Mr. Janes said the bill doesn't change the licensing or vaccination requirements, but would change a licensing violation from a class two misdemeanor to a petty offense. This keeps a licensing violation from being a criminal offense.

5. Old Business

- Update on July 19, 2014 Motion for Resolution for PACC to Remedy Issues Relating to the Care and Welfare of Pets at PACC – Operations

Mr. Neuman asked for an update on the 22 item resolution. Shelter Manager Jose Ocano said the shelter is still making progress with the County female inmates. He said they are more compassionate, but there is a higher turnover than there was with the State inmates and that creates some training challenges. He said the moving clinic supervisor is expected to be on the floor in mid-February; however, one supervisor is stepping down. The last few weeks the shelter has been focusing on moms and puppies. Mr. Neuman asked if he could meet with Mr. Ocano on the individual issues and there was no objection.

DRAFT

- Vet Holds and Confiscation Holds – Processes, Procedures and Ways to Shorten Length of Hold Time

Mr. Marshall said there is a dog at PACC named Roger, which has been at the center almost a year and lives in Dr. Lilley's office. The dog is not on the holds report and he questioned how Roger can get adopted when volunteers have a difficult time finding him and posed the question, "Where's Roger?" Mr. Janes said he will visit with staff to find out what is going on with Roger and to improve the system. Mr. Neuman pointed out there is no recent memory of Roger being on the holds report and wondered if there are other animals lost in the system.

Ms. Schwerin referred back to her comments on this topic in the November minutes and reiterated that felony cases shouldn't cause animals to be kept longer since there are no laws that require such and since PACC has a fine record of winning cases with testimony and photographs. Mr. Janes said a meeting with the County Attorneys will occur soon to discuss this issue. Mr. Janes briefly touched on that other normal options like foster and adoption are a potential for some hold animals, but in the past law enforcement and attorneys had requested PACC help build the cases through the current hold practices. Mr. Neuman requested that it be noted with the attorneys that fosters are capable of weighing and photographing the animals to help the case.

- County Administrations Response to Committee's Request to Add Additional Field Officers and Shelter Staff

This was only touched on briefly. Mr. Neuman said the response was a polite no, but that his intent is to continue to pursue the issue due to the poor staffing compared to other agencies and due to the number of service calls going unaddressed.

- Rescue Program

Mr. Neuman established he wanted input from rescue partners first, then would give the floor to PACC management, then back to rescues, then back to PACC management. Mr. Jacobs expressed concern that the discussion format was more of a public hearing and should have been advertised as such. His point was discussed to include: a suggestion for a meeting solely on rescue; a point that the Committee is not making any decision on the issue as is implied by the term hearing; a point that the Committee allows individuals who fill out the speaker forms to speak; the point that the rescue topic is on the agenda; and the point that the Committee cannot put out information that is not available, referring to what the individual speakers will say. Rescue partners were allowed to proceed. All speakers from the audience filled out speaker forms.

Kim Brandom said she fosters for in the Arms of Angles. She said in previous years there was good cooperation from PACC regarding communication; however, that cooperation has suffered dramatically in the last one to two years. Rescues take animals off PACC's hands and are a huge resource that is not being fully utilized. Underutilization translates into the use of more tax dollars. Animals fostered through PACC must be tracked by PACC, whereas those through rescues are not. She discussed how the lack of a drainage trench in the tent floor is an example of mismanagement. This poor planning creates poor sanitary conditions and requires more staff time to manage. Ms. Brandom said she has witnessed unbalanced behaviors from animals that have gone through a late term spay abort. She said she has seen animals trying to nurse items such as stuffed animals or socks and cry when doing so; and asserted that there are residual impacts on animals that have gone through

DRAFT

such procedures. Ms. Brandom expressed that, barring medical distress, aborting to help the mother animal's welfare is a broad stretch and not instituting a procedure to work with rescue groups to accommodate pregnant animals is in conflict with the mission of PACC and projects a poor image.

Terri Goddard of Tucson Cold Wet Noses said back in April of last year there was a meeting wherein early networking and pregnant animals were discussed. There were no results from the meeting so in October she put out a list of requests. She said she has seen some improvement in that a weekly list of seniors and once a week list of small dogs is being produced. She is still trying to get animals in distress on a separate list instead of the long list. She said there are ten dogs that need to be out of PACC by December 22 or they will be euthanized. Some of these animals the volunteers identify and put on facebook, but having them on a separate list will bring them to the forefront. She is still waiting for immediate notification on pregnant, possibly pregnant and animals with babies coming into PACC, notification on purebreds and a 72 hour notice before aborting pregnant animals.

Tiffany Rosler with in the Arms of Angels said volunteers do rounds and are assessing medical issues; she would rather see staff do these rounds. There is continual pressure on volunteers to do more and more and when there isn't enough staff to do adoptions the threat is made to close the tent unless volunteers do adoptions. Regarding inmates caring for animals, she said currently there are two moms with puppies and on the last three days when Ms. Rosler was at PACC she saw they had no fresh water and no food not even food bowls, so inmates teaching inmates is not working. She gets her information about pregnant animals and babies from a volunteer website, PACC Pets Need You, not from staff. She said the only reason she knows about the pregnant animals and animals with babies is because she physically goes to PACC and because of information from volunteers, not because of staff. She said that there has been division between rescues, volunteers and staff, but recently volunteers and rescues have come together out of necessity. She gave an example of a September 20 list of pregnant animals and those with babies, which was sent out by the Rescue Coordinator, and itemized how the list helped move various animals out of PACC.

Ms. Emptage asked if Chameleon (PACC's electronic management system) could send out a mass e-mail or text on certain types of animals such as pregnant animals. Mr. Janes answered that staff will be looking at all capacities and possibilities, to include Chameleon, to address issues. Mr. Neuman said there was some discussion on a possibility of some non-staff individuals having limited access to Chameleon.

Dr. Francisco García, Health Department Director, referred to a projected slide and said that without rescues 1,507 animals would have been destroyed last year and acknowledged volunteers and volunteer organizations are a vital part of the solution, but are not the entire solution. In the last four years there has been a tremendous increase in live outcomes from PACC with negligible increases in staff. He stated a goal of stretching limited dollars without over taxing staff, driving volunteers crazy and driving rescues away. He spoke of an aspiration of a real-time-basis view of all animals, something PACC is far from, as a tremendous tool to help place animals and assist our partners. However, at present there are so many animals at PACC that it is extremely difficult to get the right lists to the right people in a timely manner. Additionally management has been meeting with jurisdictional leaders. These jurisdictions accounting for a majority portion of PACC's animals and activities; and these leaders have different priorities and values that also must be taken in consideration. Dr. García said staff has to listen to input from all parties and use their best judgment on what to do.

DRAFT

Other staff responded. Kristin Barney, Chief of Operations, said she agreed with much of what was said; agreed that as was said, the system is broken and that there are strained relationships. She admitted that a fix is not readily apparent and said there are hurt feelings that are hard for many to put aside to go forward. She stressed that staff wants to work with rescues and volunteers because staff and partners want the same objectives, but just don't always agree on how to achieve them. She continued that constructive dialogue is what she wants and posed the question, "How do we get there?" Justin Gallick, Animal Care Advocate, echoed that the system is broken then pointed out that it's the animals that suffer as a result. He added that PACC cannot do what it does without volunteers; acknowledged a current dynamic of volunteers and rescues versus staff; and said many have been "digging in the heels" and that is not solving anything. Dr. García recapitulated that combined efforts have made tremendous advancements for PACC animals; advancements will continually be sought; and then referred the Committee to the provided proposed policy and volunteer agreement.

Comments went back to rescue. Ms. Rosler said that an apology would help bring parties together and said that Mr. Gallick is the only one who ever apologizes. She added that Shelter Manager Ocano has skipped out of meetings and said staff says one thing, then does something else. She referred to a meeting wherein Dr. Wilcox and Mr. Gallick agreed to network pregnant dogs, then said they went back on their word. Robin Noblin with Southern Arizona Beagle Rescue said she has been treated horribly when she has gone to pull animals from PACC; often there is no one to help her; and she would just like to be treated respectfully. Ms. Pope said that when she came to pick up her first pregnant dog from PACC the dog was listed as urgent and needing out of PACC as soon as possible, but she was turned away. She added that sometimes the service at PACC is excellent, but other times she has to wait and wait, and has even spent four hours at PACC trying to get one dog. Tina Roose said she has had to wait at PACC over three hours; that PACC only has one person to help with rescue and adoptions; and said the long waits are very annoying. Terra Hockett said she has been a volunteer at PACC for about nine months and wasn't initially aware of the divide between volunteers and staff, but sees it now. She expressed that Mr. Gallick was being warm earlier in the meeting and was cut off by the big picture numbers; she said rescue people care about saving animals not the big picture; not the image of the shelter; not about defining terms; not about assigning blame; and not about smiling and not being real. She said she didn't need an apology, but vented that she wanted someone to talk to her; "I'm here to help, hear me out." Ms. Goddard said the biggest thing for her is communication; and the current lack of communication is what she sees as the problem. She cited that the Rescue Coordinator was not present at the meeting despite Ms. Goddard's request for the Rescue Coordinator to be there and hear from her partners. Ms. Goddard added that the Rescue Coordinator is improving and needs to hear that, but she needs to be part of the discussion. Ms. Goddard closed with a call to work as a team.

Ms. Mendelsohn posed a question, echoed by Ms. Emptage, about the ability to fast track the process for rescues. Mr. Gallick said there have been streamlining efforts to have most of the paperwork done when things are known in advance, but added there is just the Rescue Coordinator and himself and sometimes just one of the two. He expressed confidence the wait times are in not the two hour range as in the past and said a rescue was just in and out the day of the meeting.

Mr. Neuman said the dialogue was good, but to move forward suggested a separate forum with representatives from PACC management and the Advisory Committee meeting with rescue groups and volunteers. Dr. García acknowledged that conversations have occurred and need to continue, but stressed that not all stories on this topic are bad and successes also need to be acknowledged.

DRAFT

Dr. O'Donnell said she sees a willingness to move forward; talked about the need to forgive and forget to move forward; and volunteered to be part of the forum. She cautioned that we won't all get all we want; there is a need for compromise. She cited the spay abort issue as a hard issue and briefly touched on both sides of the issue and the emotion it evokes. She referred to the passion, love and anger expressed on the issue as evidence that people really care. Mr. Neuman and Ms. Mendelsohn also volunteered to serve on the suggested forum. Ms. Goddard requested Mr. Gallick and the Rescue Coordinator be part of the forum. There was brief discussion that other Committee members could take part as long as a quorum was not reached.

- Criteria required for PACC to Respond and Investigate a Service/Welfare Issue Wherein an Animal is in Distress

There was no discussion on this topic.

6. New Business

- Volunteer Policy and Code of Conduct

The draft policy was provided at the meeting and will be posted on the website. Item to be carried over to the next meeting.

- Possible Ordinance Related to the Sale of Tie Outs

There was no discussion on this topic. Item to be carried over to the next meeting.

7. Animal Welfare and Dangerous Animal Cases for the Month of November and Recent Holds Snapshot

Ms. Schwerin referred to welfare case five, in which there was a pit bull with no shelter, food or water; and multiple citations were issued. She asked why the owner was allowed to redeem the animal. Mr. Janes replied that staff spoke with the owner and felt she would comply and the person who made the complaint said s/he would report any observed neglect going forward. Ms. Schwerin requested Officer Klein (the on-scene officer in this welfare case) come to an Advisory Committee meeting to discuss the decision making process on this specific case and these type of cases in general. Dr. Smith said it is a lot to ask of a citizen to monitor a neighbor's animal welfare issue and asked if complaints like this are followed up on with a surprise visit. Mr. Janes concurred that the citizen monitoring situation is not ideal; said staff would like to follow up on all these, but acknowledged there are a list of complaints that do not get addressed the first time, let alone on a follow up. The Committee briefly touched on this as why they requested and will continue to request additional officers.

8. Donations: A total of 1,034 individuals gave $33,678.20 in donations during the month of November.

Mr. Janes said donations continue to increase, and not just money, but also food and supplies. He said year to date monetary donations exceed $200,000; continued that the Fund Development Manager is doing a great job; and said PACC is currently working on the logistics to receive numerous pallets of dog food from PetSmart Charities. Mr. Neuman had a question about the Sam's fund category on the donations report. Mr. Janes said that fund is for animal medical needs; has been changed on all the

DRAFT

advertising; and will be renamed in the report. Mr. Jacobs added that the Sam this fund is named for was the first veterinarian hired by the University Medical Center and he triaged animals at the Animal Care Center many years ago.

9. Complaints and Commendations: There were three complaints and no commendations received by staff during November.

   Ms. Schwerin referred to the third complaint, which was a letter that complained of no cooperation from the Adoption Coordinator and the Rescue Coordinator. Mr. Janes said the complaint is an example of why the forum was formed and discussions need to continue.

10. Call to the Audience

    There were no speakers at this call to the audience.

11. Announcements, Schedules and Proposed Agenda Items

    Mr. Janes pointed out two upcoming Pima Alliance for Animal Welfare (PAAW) events, a January 10th cat trapping class and a January 16th PAAW Semi-Annual Community Meeting. Mr. Jacobs made a request for a copy of PACC's policy on tick fever treatment, referring back to Mr. Dean's comments from the audience. Ms. Emptage expanded the request to include the entire medication policy. Mr. Jacobs also requested that members of the public who speak off of a prepared written statement provide copies of the statement to make things easier to understand.

12. Next Meeting – January 15, 2014

    Due to the crowded attendance at the December meeting and interest expressed in the next meeting, Mr. Neuman established that the next meeting will be held at the Abrams building.

13. Adjournment

    The meeting adjourned at 7:56 pm

DRAFT

PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
ADVISORY COMMITTEE
DECEMBER 2014 OPERATIONAL REPORT

	THIS MONTH			THIS YEAR TO DATE			LAST YEAR TO DATE			YEAR TO YEAR	
	TUCSON	COUNTY	TOTAL	TUCSON	COUNTY	TOTAL	TUCSON	COUNTY	TOTAL	DELTA	%+/-
SHELTER OPERATIONS											
ALL ANIMALS HANDLED											
DOGS	684	551	1,235	4,150	3,672	7,822	4,093	3,498	7,591		
CATS	291	177	468	1,926	1,393	3,319	2,551	1,586	4,137		
OTHERS	23	37	60	174	318	492	174	300	474		
TOTAL ANIMALS HANDLED	998	765	1,763	6,250	5,383	11,633	6,818	5,384	12,202	-569	-5%
Live Animals Handled	871	652	1,523	5,213	4,582	9,795	5,997	4,748	10,745	-950	-9%
IMPOUNDED ANIMALS											
ADOPTED											
DOGS	244	212	456	1,544	1,474	3,018	1,362	1,133	2,495		
CATS	150	98	248	933	775	1,708	613	486	1,099		
OTHER	3	1	4	5	12	17	20	12	32		
TOTAL ADOPTED	397	311	708	2,482	2,261	4,743	1,995	1,631	3,626	1117	31%
RETURNED TO OWNER											
DOGS	93	58	151	516	368	884	436	337	773		
CATS	4	3	7	23	32	55	26	31	57		
OTHER	12	0	12	12	7	19	3	11	14		
TOTAL RETURNED	109	61	170	551	407	958	465	379	844	114	14%
RESCUED											
DOGS	102	121	223	570	609	1,179	648	736	1,384		
CATS	65	50	115	379	296	675	650	442	1,092		
OTHER	2	1	3	11	32	43	36	27	63		
TOTAL RESCUED	169	172	341	960	937	1,897	1,334	1,205	2,539	-642	-25%
***TOTAL LIVE RELEASES**	675	544	1,219	3,993	3,605	7,598	3,794	3,215	7,009	589	8%
****TOTAL LIVE RELEASE RATE**	82%	83%	82%	82%	83%	82%			75%		
EUTHANIZED											
DOGS	171	138	309	960	840	1,800	1,086	966	2,052		
CATS	21	34	55	217	166	383	931	530	1,461		
OTHER	1	2	3	42	55	97	25	50	75		
TOTAL EUTHANIZED	193	174	367	1,219	1,061	2,280	2042	1546	3588	-1308	-36%
(-)Owner Requsted Euthanasia	46	61	107	340	326	666			1190		
Adjusted Total Euthanasia	147	113	260	879	735	1,614			2,398		
***EUTHANASIA RATE	18%	17%	18%	18%	17%	18%			25%		
OTHER	162	132	294	1,356	1,025	2,381	957	737	1,694	687	41%
ENFORCEMENT OPERATIONS											
Welfare Responses	200	99	299	1170	568	1738	1252	554	1806	-68	-4%
ENFORCEMENT CALLS FOR SERVICE	1,336	950	2,286	8,406	5,346	13,752	8,907	5,795	14,702	-950	-6%
LICENSING OPERATIONS											
ALTERED	2,944	4,457	7,401	19,379	24,001	43,380	20,187	25,494	45,681		
UNALTERED	167	243	410	1,130	1,403	2,533	1,397	1,938	3,335		
OTHER	59	74	133	377	517	894	424	582	1,006		
TOTAL SOLD	3,170	4,774	7,944	20,886	25,921	46,807	22,008	28,014	50,022	-3,215	-6%

*Total Live Releases(TLR)=Total Adopted+Total Returned+Total Rescued
**Live Release Rate=TLR/(TLR+Adjusted Total Euthanasia)
***Euthanasia Rate=(Adjusted Total Euthanasia)/(TLR+Adjusted Total Euthanasia)

Pima County Health Department

PROGRAM POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

Subject:	Pre-Alter	PPP NO. PACC-AD-00X
Approved by Chief of Operations:		Approval Date:

A. STATEMENT OF PURPOSE

This Operating Policy and Procedure (OPP) establishes Pima Animal Care Center's (PACC) guidelines to increase adoptions by ensuring pets leave the premises altered and on the same day as adoption.

B. DEFINITIONS

1. **Evaluation Team:** Animal Care Technicians specially trained and assigned to assess pets prior to placement.

2. **Hold Time:** The period of time mandated to hold onto a stray pet prior to making available for adoption.

3. **Pre-Alter:** is defined as performing a spay or neuter procedure on a pet prior to that pet being made available.

4. **Shelter Team:** Supervisors and Animal Care Technicians assigned to work in the shelter.

5. **Spay/Neuter Team:** Animal Care Technicians assigned to work in the spay/neuter clinic.

C. POLICY

PACC will pre-alter pets to make the adoption process easier and more efficient for adopters.

All pets will be altered prior to final placement to adopter or rescue partner unless determined to be ineligible for surgery by a Pima Animal Care Center Veterinarian.

All pets two (2) months of age or later and/or a healthy body weight as determined by the veterinarian will be altered prior to final placement to adopter or rescue partner. PACC's goal is to perform fifty (50) surgeries per day.

D. PROCEDURE

1. Pet Evaluation:

    a. After pets have completed their hold time and become property of Pima County, the Evaluation Team will:

DRAFT

i. Select and prioritize the pet for pre-alter;

ii. Fill out a surgery card and staple it to the kennel; and

iii. Add the pet's animal ID number, name and Kennel number to the pre-alter list.

2. Spay/Neuter:

a. The Spay/Neuter Team will:

i. Use the Pre-alter list to identify and retrieve pets and bring them to the clinic for surgery;

ii. Evaluate/examine pets for surgery.

(1) Pets that are found not suitable for surgery, as determined by PACC Veterinarian, will be placed on the secondary evaluation list with veterinarian's note as to why the pet is not suitable for surgery and returned to the shelter.

iii. Email the Shelter supervisors/lead staff with the number of post-surgery kennels needed;

iv. Alter pets and provide recovery care in the clinic;

v. Return altered pets to the designated kennels in the shelter; and

vi. Prepare and hang up new kennel cards with the updated kennel number and reproductive status.

3. Shelter:

a. The Shelter Team will:

i. Reply to the Spay/Neuter's email with the reserved kennel numbers;

ii. Prepare post-surgery kennels; and

iii. Place "Pre-Alter" signs holding the kennels for those pets.

DRAFT

Pima Animal Care Center Advisory Committee
Request for Documentation and Protocol Change in regards to PACC Rescue Partners
Prepared for PACCAC Meeting 12.18.2014

PACC Rescue Protocol Change:

- Implementation of auto-generated lists populated with available animals by breed, age and type specifications.
- Changed the methodology of notifications for short-term rescues, sending out the list at a consistent time to help PACC rescue partners facilitate, network and find foster homes…
- Increased communication among PACC staff and leadership to advise methodology to increase communication with rescue partners.

Documentation

- The PACCAC rescue documentation request has been fulfilled through analysis of a multi-year collaboration with rescue partners and current PACC statistics, including annual animal intakes, special needs adoptions and rescue collaborators.

Next Steps:

- We are creating a singular email address for rescue and foster, respectively, to ensure that all communication from our rescue and foster partners goes to a singular email address and can be accessed by staff on duty. This will help expedite rescue and foster placements and minimize lapse in communication.
- PACC leadership has drafted a partnership agreement that will help keep all stakeholders focused on mutual goals and objectives.
- PACC leadership has drafted an alteration policy for pets leaving PACC either to potential adopters or rescue groups.

-

Pima Animal Care Center
Partnership Agreement

Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) serves a community of nearly 1 million residents and is committed to saving as many lives as possible. PACC has made significant progress toward this goal, as exemplified by PACC's live release rate which has doubled, rising from 38% in 2008 to 76% in 2014. This progress is due to the passion and dedication of PACC staff, rescue partners, volunteers, and committed citizens. PACC is responsible for the health and safety of the residents of Pima County as well as the health and safety of the pets within our care. The work PACC and its partners do is important and it is emotional. Difficult decisions are made each day at PACC, and will need to be made as we continue to improve. Mutually respectful partnerships will be the key to providing the best possible outcomes for all of the people and pets in our community.

PACC staff and rescue partners agree:

- To respect, support and promote the activities of the other PACC partners and the programs of PACC
- To make no inflammatory public statements about PACC, staff and programs, volunteers and PACC rescue partners
- To engage in respectful interaction and dialogue both in person and through electronic communication
- To bring complaints or disputes to the attention of _____
- To allow representatives of PACC access to adoption and spay/neuter records when requested
- To allow representatives of PACC access to facilities housing animals, including unannounced visits
- To comply with all applicable federal, state, and local laws governing nonprofit organizations including but not limited to those governing maintenance of its status as a 501©(3) organization, partisan political activity, lobbying, charitable solicitations and fundraising
- To comply with all applicable federal, state, and local laws and ordinances governing animal welfare
- PACC and stakeholders will continue to support and promote each other even in the instance that there is an irresolvable disagreement on policy or practice. The overarching goals of the organizations and the promotion of animal welfare take precedence over individual opinions or decisions and should not be compromised in the event of disagreements.

Either party reserves the right to dissolve any partnership if, in its sole discretion, it determines that the partner is not fulfilling the obligations as set forth in this agreement, or is otherwise engaged in conduct detrimental to the accomplishment of PACC's mission.

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR'S OFFICE

PIMA COUNTY GOVERNMENTAL CENTER
130 W. CONGRESS, FLOOR 10, TUCSON, AZ 85701-1317
(520) 724-8661 FAX (520) 724-8171

C.H. HUCKELBERRY
County Administrator

October 23, 2014

Jack Neuman, Chairman
Pima County Animal Care Advisory Committee
4000 N. Silverbell Road
Tucson, Arizona 85745

Re: **Your September 26, 2014 Letter Regarding Four Additional Field Officers to be Included in the Fiscal Year 2015/16 Budget**

Dear Mr. Neuman:

I appreciate the request and the justification provided by the Animal Care Advisory Committee (ACAC) for additional field officers. I value the opinion and recommendations of the ACAC.

As you know, over the last several years, the County has made significant investments to alter the outcomes of animals that enter the Pima Animal Care Center. Unfortunately, these programs have recently come under criticism by our jurisdictional partners due to increased costs. It is my opinion, and continues to be my recommendation to the Board of Supervisors, that all of these costs are fully justified and are necessary to support our transition from a euthanasia shelter to one that promotes adoption. The County will not retreat from this operational model; however, the concerns raised by municipalities regarding costs do require that we take your request to the various jurisdictions to determine if they are willing to pay their fair and appropriate share of the cost to provide four additional field officers.

We clearly understand the statistics in this matter and understand the municipalities will be the primary beneficiary of additional field officers, but they will also have to pay a proportional share of the cost. Hopefully, their response will be a positive one. However, given the concerns they have raised regarding operating a more humane shelter, I cannot be assured of such an outcome.

I greatly appreciate the efforts of the ACAC. Your guidance has been instrumental in our transition of care for the homeless animals within this community.

Sincerely,

C.H. Huckelberry
County Administrator

CHH/anc

Enclosure

c: The Honorable Chair and Members, Pima County Board of Supervisors
Jan Lesher, Deputy County Administrator for Medical and Health Services
Dr. Francisco Garcia, Director, Health Department
Kim Janes, Manager, Pima Animal Care Center
Jose Ocaño, Shelter Manager, Pima Animal Care Center

PIMA COUNTY ANIMAL CARE CENTER ADVISORY COMMITTEE
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 243-5909 FAX (520) 243-5954
www.pimaanimalcare.org

September 26, 2014

The Honorable Chair and Members
Pima County Board of Supervisors
130 W. Congress, Eleventh Floor
Tucson, Arizona 85701

Dear Chair and Members:

The Pima County Animal Care Advisory Committee strongly urges the Board of Health and Board of Supervisors to support the Pima Animal Care Center's request for four additional Field Officers.

As you know, from the Six City & County review, our organization is severely understaffed and over-utilized. Our Field Officers cover the second largest physical area of the six communities reviewed at 9200 Square Miles. The largest in size is Maricopa County at 9224 square miles. Pima County only has 25 field officers to serve this large area, compared to the 30 Field Officers in Maricopa County. The Pima County Field Officers responded to 29,079 calls with the 25 Field Officers, while the Maricopa County 30 Field Officers respond to only 21,368 calls.

Our Officers respond to all calls ranging from nuisance calls, animal waste calls, strays, wildlife issues, welfare and neglect issues and animal bites. The other respondents answer a variety of calls but delegate many of these calls to other agencies.

There are several examples of the disparity in responsibilities and manpower of the Pima County Field officers compared to those of the other locations in the study. First, Maricopa & Clark Counties refer wildlife issues to their State's Game and Fish departments, while San Antonio refers these calls to Texas Wildlife and Fish. Pima County Field Officers answer wild animal calls. Further, Welfare and Neglect cases are referred to Law Enforcement in Maricopa County, and their Field Officers are only called if animals need to be transported to the Humane Society for sheltering. Pima County Field Officers handle the welfare and neglect calls, only calling law enforcement when back up is needed. Finally, Animal Waste issues are handled by zoning departments in Maricopa County as well as in San Antonio and Austin, Texas. These issues are also handled by our understaffed field officers in Pima County.

At this time the citizens of Pima county are considering a 22 million dollar Bond to rebuild the Pima Animal Care Center. Unfortunately many people in the county do not understand how hard the staff at PACC work. Unless they come to the Center, they do not see the hard working staff who are trying to save and rehabilitate

as many animals as possible, to then adopt them into good homes. The most publicly visible representatives of Pima County's efforts on the behalf of pets are the Field Officers on the street. These officers often times come to community events to educate the public on the services that PACC provides for the county, in addition to all the other service calls they make. But with only 25 Officers available, dispatch must tell many callers that they will have to wait, or that the officer cannot attend the issue at this time, but will come as soon as possible. Our Field Officers would be able to better serve the community, and demonstrate to the public the progress that Pima County has made with regard to animal welfare, if there were more of them. As it is now, our Officers are spread too thin and stretched to the breaking point. They need help and we urge you to approve the funds for the four extra officers we need.

Sincerely,



Jack Neuman
Chairman
Pima County Animal Care Advisory Committee

cc: President and Members, Pima County Board of Health
C.H. Huckelberry, Pima County Administrator
Jan Lesher, Deputy Pima County Administrator, Medical and Health Services
Francisco Garcia, MD, MPH, Director, Pima County Health Department

PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
ADVISORY COMMITTEE
JUNE 2014 OPERATIONAL REPORT

	THIS MONTH			THIS YEAR TO DATE			LAST YEAR TO DATE			YEAR TO YEAR	
	TUCSON	COUNTY	TOTAL	TUCSON	COUNTY	TOTAL	TUCSON	COUNTY	TOTAL	DELTA	%+/-
SHELTER OPERATIONS											
ALL ANIMALS HANDLED											
DOGS	736	589	1,325	8,220	7,314	15,534	8,586	7,816	16,402		
CATS	457	277	734	4,923	3,128	8,051	5,963	3,456	9,419		
OTHERS	43	32	75	308	439	747	243	529	772		
TOTAL ANIMALS HANDLED	1,236	898	2,134	13,451	10,881	24,332	14,792	11,801	26,593	-2261	-9%
Live Animals Handled	1,128	837	1,965	11,998	9,813	21,811	13,160	10,484	23,644	-1833	-8%
IMPOUNDED ANIMALS											
ADOPTED											
DOGS	292	277	569	2,883	2,582	5,465	2,387	2,271	4,658		
CATS	202	92	294	1,243	905	2,148	872	617	1,489		
OTHER	9	0	9	43	14	57	30	3	33		
TOTAL ADOPTED	503	369	872	4,169	3,501	7,670	3,289	2,891	6,180	1490	24%
RETURNED TO OWNER											
DOGS	75	46	121	880	665	1,545	960	847	1,807		
CATS	6	2	8	73	61	134	93	69	162		
OTHER	0	0	0	7	13	20	4	9	13		
TOTAL RETURNED	81	48	129	960	739	1,699	1,057	925	1,982	-283	-14%
RESCUED											
DOGS	67	54	121	1,171	1,360	2,531	1,338	1,165	2,503		
CATS	65	40	105	1,072	665	1,737	1,110	577	1,687		
OTHER	1	3	4	67	48	115	9	43	52		
TOTAL RESCUED	133	97	230	2,310	2,073	4,383	2,457	1,785	4,242	141	3%
***TOTAL LIVE RELEASES**	717	514	1,231	7,439	6,313	13,752	6,803	5,601	12,404	1348	11%
****TOTAL LIVE RELEASE RATE**			80%			76%			64%		
EUTHANIZED											
DOGS	137	119	256	1,915	1,724	3,639	2,783	2,503	5,286		
CATS	106	71	177	1,532	1,009	2,541	2,909	1,774	4,683		
OTHER	3	7	10	37	78	115	54	84	138		
TOTAL EUTHANIZED	246	197	443	3,484	2,811	6,295	5,746	4,361	10,107	-3812	-38%
(-)Owner Requsted Euthanasia			142			2,047			3,084		
Adjusted Total Euthanasia			301			4,248			7,023		
***EUTHANASIA RATE			20%			24%			36%		
OTHER	384	275	659	1,720	1,260	2,980	1,840	1,488	3,328	-348	-10%
ENFORCEMENT OPERATIONS											
Welfare Responses	268	114	382	2552	1170	3722	3173	1514	4687	-965	-21%
ENFORCEMENT CALLS FOR SERVICE	1,410	844	2,254	17,853	11,075	28,928	19,438	12,300	31,738	-2,810	-9%
LICENSING OPERATIONS											
ALTERED	3,856	4,296	8,152	43,226	54,787	98,013	44,072	57,294	101,366		
UNALTERED	264	305	569	2,947	3,882	6,829	3,387	4,496	7,883		
OTHER	89	93	182	860	1,169	2,029	1,099	1,333	2,432		
TOTAL SOLD	4,209	4,694	8,903	47,033	59,838	106,871	48,558	63,123	111,681	-4,810	-4%

*Total Live Releases(TLR)=Total Adopted+Total Returned+Total Rescued
**Live Release Rate=TLR/(TLR+Adjusted Total Euthanasia)
***Euthanasia Rate=(Adjusted Total Euthanasia)/(TLR+Adjusted Total Euthanasia)

Kim Janes

From: Stephanie Nichols-Young <stephanie.nichols-young@azbar.org>
Sent: Tuesday, December 09, 2014 5:39 PM
To: Kim Janes
Subject: RE: Pima County Advisory Committee ADLA Representative

Hi Kim-

I'm just back from the Grand Canyon. If the opportunity is still available, we'd like the chance to fill that slot. I will back with you this week. hope all is well with you!

Best regards,

Stephanie Nichols-Young
642 N. Third Ave.
Phoenix, AZ 85003
602 257-9739
602 254-9659 (fax)
stephanie.nichols-young@azbar.org

From: Kim Janes [mailto:Kim.Janes@pima.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, December 09, 2014 4:03 PM
To: Stephanie Nichols-Young (stephanie.nichols-young@azbar.org)
Subject: Pima County Advisory Committee ADLA Representative

Good afternoon Stephanie, I hope all is well.

As you may know, ADLA has had a position on the Pima Animal Care Center Advisory Committee for some time but has not had the position filled since Noveber 5, 2012. The County has attempted to communicate with ADLA regarding this vacancy, the latest being the attached letter.

Though the committee is relatively large and the County has been considering reducing the number of positions on the committee, I would like to make sure there are no ADLA members, residing in Pima County, that ADLA would like to have join the committee before steps are taken to remove that position from the committee.

Thank you for your time and assistance.

Respectfully,

Kim Janes
Chief of External Affairs
520-724-7776

DEPARTMENT

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH

PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD • TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.govanimal/care

MEMORANDUM

TO: Kim Janes, Chief of External Operations

FROM: Jose Chavez, Enforcement Operations Manager

DATE: 1-5-14

SUBJECT: Welfare report for December 2014

---

1. A14-162943 No animal was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. A recheck was completed and found the dog was removed from the property. This complaint is closed.

2. A14-162549 Three animals were impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. The owner provided proof of shelter and the animals were redeemed. This complaint is closed.

3. A14-159915 One animal was impounded. Staff reviewed the animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited the owner's at the residence at a later time. The animal was not redeemed and was euthanized due to its aggression. This case is closed.

4. A14-162293 No animal was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. This complaint is closed

5. A14-161212 No animal was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. A recheck was completed and found in compliance. This case is closed.

6. A14-157273 No animals were impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. A recheck was completed and found in compliance. This complaint is closed.

7. A14-160633 No animals were impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. A recheck was completed and found in compliance. This complaint is closed.

8. A14-162650 No animal was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner. No neglect violations were found closed complaint unfounded.

9. A14-162744 One animal was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at PACC. The dog was redeemed. This complaint is closed.

10. A14-151102 Eight animals were impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at PACC. The owner relinquished all the animals. The mom dog with 4 pups were sent to rescue. One dog had to be euthanized due to the severity of the illness and the others are on a medical evaluation hold.

w/c 1

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-3900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT						ACO NAME / BADGE # Downing#1923	COMPLAINT NUMBER A14-162943
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS						BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP 857	CITY Tucson	STATE Az.	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER			CODE IF OTHER :	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS None						CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER			DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX	WEIGHT 165	HEIGHT 6' 3	EYES Haz.	HAIR COLOR Brn.	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

LOCATION OF INCIDENT		DATE AND TIME REPORTED 12-31-14 / 1427		DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 12-31-14 / 1523	
FOOD ☐	WATER ☒	SHELTER ☒	INJURED/ILL ☐	VENTILATION ☐	ABANDONED ☐
TIEOUT ☒	BEATEN ☐	WASTE ☐	OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐		
VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME Officer Downing #1923		DOB NA	RESIDENCE PHONE NO. NA	BUSINESS PHONE NO. 724-5900	
VICTIM'S ADDRESS NA			ZIP	CITY	STATE
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS NA			ZIP	CITY	STATE
DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:		FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:
☒ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: PART OF BODY BITTEN:		TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED / RELEASE DATE:	PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
VET CLINIC			PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐	FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
CLINIC'S ADDRESS			QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐		☐ FRA HEAD#
3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	CITING ACO Downing#1923		PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS
CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-3 (2) (e) (2) 4-3 (2) (c) 4-3 (2) (B)					REVIEWED BY 2002 Konst 1/4/15
CITATIONS/NUMBERS 73591 A,B,C					BOND YES ☐ NO ☒

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Pit Bull	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Benzio	Red	M	1 y			ok	A505953
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								

WITNESS 1	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #

W/C 1

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-162943

ACO name & Badge: Downing #1923

On December 31,2014, I arrived at [redacted] St. in response to a call about a dog on a tie-out. Upon arrival, I received no response from anyone. I went around to the back yard and observed a red male, Pit Bull that was tangled around a tree by a kennel chain. The dog had no water and no shelter. I took a photo and impounded the dog. As I was about to clear the scene a man showed up and claimed he lived there and gave me the dogs name and his name. I explained why I removed the dog and explained the laws. I returned the dog to him and issued the appropriate citations to him.

He said he just moved here from out of state. He had to tie the dog out because it was getting out of the yard.

Officer's Signature: #1923 **Date:** 1-2-2015

W/C 2

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT					ACO NAME / BADGE # D.Hinte 2068	COMPLAINT NUMBER A14-162549	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS 1					BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐		
ZIP 857	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		CODE IF OTHER :		
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS					CI ☐ CO ☒ OTHER ☐		
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE		
SEX	WEIGHT 185	HEIGHT 5'6"	EYES BRO	HAIR COLOR BLK	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒

LOCATION OF INCIDENT: r.
DATE AND TIME REPORTED: 12/24/14 / 1529
DATE AND TIME OCCURRED: 12/31/14 / 2005

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☒ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME: Officer D.Hinte #2068	D.O.B 09/10/1993	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO. 520-724-5900
VICTIM'S ADDRESS: 4000 N. Silverbell Rd.	ZIP 85745	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS: Pima Animal Care Center	ZIP	CITY	STATE

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐
RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐
DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER
OTHER AGENCY CASE # 141231230 ☒ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:
FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION
BITE SEVERITY:
PART OF BODY BITTEN:
TREATED BY
PHONE NUMBER
DATE QUARANTINED
RELEASE DATE:
PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐

VET CLINIC
PHONE NUMBER
OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐
FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS
QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐
☐ FRA HEAD#

3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒
CITING ACO
PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☐
PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER
OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED: 6.04.110(B)(3);6.04.070;11-1010(A) ARS
REVIEWED BY
CITATIONS/NUMBERS: 73951 A-E; 73952 A-E; 73953 A-B
BOND YES ☐ NO ☐

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE		LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Rottweiler	VICTIM ☒ OWNER ☒	Balko	Blk/tan	M	1.5 yr		CITED	CITED	N	A456789
Miniature Pinscher	VICTIM ☒ OWNER ☒	Sadie	Blk/tan	F	4 yr		CITED	CITED	N	A456790
Miniature Pinscher	VICTIM ☒ OWNER ☒	Tootsie	Blk/tan	F	2 yr		CITED	CITED	N	A398186
Yorkshire Terrier	VICTIM ☒ OWNER ☒	Shortie	Tricolor	M	1 yr		CITED	CITED	N	A505971
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1 Vivian Nguyen	M ☐ F ☒	DOB	ADDRESS 1080 W. Sea Urchin St.	RESIDENCE PHONE # 520-780-7005	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2 Deputy S. Mcleod #5882	M ☒ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS 1750 E. Benson Highway	RESIDENCE PHONE # 520-351-4600	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3 Chris Disanto	M ☒ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS 1087 W. Sea Lion Dr.	RESIDENCE PHONE # 520-225-9145	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4 Brandy Hilton	M ☐ F ☒	DOB	ADDRESS 2400 W. Las Lomitas	RESIDENCE PHONE # 520-225-9145	BUSINESS PHONE #

W/C 2

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-162549

ACO name & Badge: D.Hinte 2068

On December 24, 2014 at 3:29 PM, Vivian Nguyen P358278 called Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) dispatch to report four dogs that are always left outside with no shelter at Dr.

On December 31, 2014 at 6:24 PM, PACC dispatch received a call from Pima County Sheriff's Department (PCSO) requesting assistance regarding four dogs with no shelter at the same address as reported by Ms. Nguyen. A previous activity, A14-161624, from Deputy Hensen #7725 regarding a barking dog and welfare complaint at Dr. was received on December 8, 2014, but was not responded to. This activity was closed on December 31, 2014 by D. Atteberry #1929 as a duplicate of A14-162549.

On December 31, 2014 at 6:44 PM, Officer Rademaker #2019 and I, Officer Hinte #2068, arrived at and met with PCSO Deputy S. Mcleod #5882 who provided us with his case number of 141231230. Deputy Mcleod stated that there were four dogs in the backyard with inadequate shelter. We observed two Miniature Pinschers, one Yorkshire Terrier, and one Rottweiler in the backyard. The entire yard was visible from vantage points on both sides of the house. No shelter was available. It was raining, and had been all day, with freezing temperatures and snow forecast. At this time, we spoke with the neighbor who resides at Dr, phone number 520- Mr. stated that the residents of Dr. had just moved in around December 1st, and since then have left their dogs outside with no shelter everyday, all day and night. We also spoke to , who resides at , but is a frequent visitor of Mr. house. She corroborated Mr. statement that she has never seen the dogs inside or with any shelter available.

We decided to impound the dogs for neglect, no shelter. PCSO cut the padlock on the gate and we entered the yard and impounded three of the dogs, however, one of the Miniature Pinschers escaped into the neighborhood and was still at large when we eventually cleared the scene. Prior to leaving the scene, the owner of the dogs, returned home. We determined that none of the dogs were current on their license and vaccinations. Mr. stated that his dogs are always outside. We explained

W/C 2

the ordinances he was in violation of and informed him that we would be issuing citations and impounding the dogs until adequate shelter can be provided for them. We also informed him that an officer would be out to check the shelter before the dogs would be released to him. Mr. : received citations for 4x neglect no shelter, 4x no license, and 4x no rabies vaccination in the County. We explained his court date, time and location, he stated he understood. He signed and received his copy of the citations.

Officer's Signature: D. Hines Date: 1/02/15

W/C 3

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT						ACO NAME / BADGE # J RADEMAKER 2019	COMPLAINT NUMBER A14-159915	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS						BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐		
ZIP 857	CITY TUCSON	STATE AZ	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER 520-			CODE IF OTHER :		
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS DESERT HORIZONS 326 S WILMOT						CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐		
ZIP	CITY TUCSON	STATE AZ	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER 520-615-2255			DRIVERS LICENSE		
SEX	WEIGHT 135	HEIGHT 5'1"	EYES HAZ	HAIR COLOR BR	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN	

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒

LOCATION OF INCIDENT

DATE AND TIME REPORTED 11/12/14 / 1005

DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 11/13/14 / 0844

FOOD ☐ WATER ☒ SHELTER ☒ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☒ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☒ EXERCISE SPACE

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME OFFICER J RADEMAKER 2019	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO. 724-5900
VICTIM'S ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY	STATE
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER 4000 N SILVERBELL RD	ZIP 85745	CITY TUCSON	STATE AZ

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐

RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐

DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:

FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION

BITE SEVERITY:

PART OF BODY BITTEN:

TREATED BY

PHONE NUMBER

DATE QUARANTINED

RELEASE DATE:

PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐

VET CLINIC

PHONE NUMBER

OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐

FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS

QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐

☐ FRA HEAD#

3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒

CITING ACO

PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☐

PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-3(2)(B); 4-3(2)(C);4-3(2)(E);4-3(2)(E)(2)

REVIEWED BY

CITATIONS/NUMBERS 73663A-D

BOND YES ☐ NO ☐

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
BOXER X	VICTIM ☒ OWNER ☒	BOO	TAN	M	2		CURRENT	CURRENT	D	A481439
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #

W/C 3

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-159915

ACO name & Badge: J RADEMAKER #2019

On November 13, 2014 at 08:44AM I , Officer Rademaker #2019, went to Blvd in response to a complaint that a dog at that address was illegally tied out on a short tieout and did not have access to water. There was no dog in the front yard and I received no response to my knocks at the front door. When I knocked I heard a dog in the side yard on the North side of the house. I was easily able to see over the fence that a large male tan and white boxer/pitbull mix dog was tied to the fence with a very short tieout (approximately 4 feet). The tieout consisted of an approximately 3 foot length of plastic covered chain and approximately 1 foot of doubled over nylon leash. There was a dog carrier crate behind the dog but he would not have been able to access it because of the length of the tieout. I saw a black pot that at that time I could not determine if it held water or not.

I entered the side yard and determined that the black pot had held water but no more than a 1/10" was there then. I had to cut the leash part of the tieout in order to free and impound the dog. The dog was wearing a PACC license which is registered as Boo , a tan 2 year old boxer belonging to) of . The license is current. I posted a notice of impound and a law brochure on the front door.

I posted instructions that if the owner should attempt to redeem the dog she should receive citations for neglect, tie out; neglect, no water; neglect, lack of exercise space and neglect, no shelter. The owner did not attempt to redeem that dog and Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) evaluated the dog as not adoptable. The dog was euthanized.

On December 21, 2014 at 12:17PM I met with registered owner who stated that she was out of town from 10/15/14 - 12/17/14 and that her grandmother, was taking care of the dog Boo for her. She said Golf Links and Kolb. PACC records show her at and map confirms doesn't exist, would be in DMAFB. I reset the call for citations to be issued to caretaker

W/C 3

On December 21, 2014 at 3:32PM Officer Tovar #2021 arrived at . He spoke with Ms. and explained the reason for his visit. Ms. told him that the she took care of her daughter-in-law, 's, dog Boo at her own house as her daughter-in-law said that she did not have anywhere to keep the dog. Ms. then told me that she gave her daughter-in-law a kennel in which to keep the dog and that her daughter-in-law then took the dog to her house on Sparkman. Ms. told Officer Tovar that she never went to the house on Sparkman to take care of Boo. She added that had she been asked to take care of Boo she would have kept him at her house. She also said that she was not aware that her daughter-in-law had ever gone out of town. Ms. said that she could not remember the exact date that she returned Boo to her daughter-in-law but that it was three to six weeks prior to the dog being confiscated. Officer Tovar reset the call to meet with Ms. .

On December 20, 2014 at 6:57PM Officer Hinte #2068 and I, Officer Rademaker #2019, went to and met with . I explained to her that her grandmother Arleen Boykin had disputed the story she had told me about the care of the dog Boo. I told Ms that, as the registered owner of the dog Boo and the resident of the location where I had impounded the dog, she was responsible for the dog and would be cited for the violations I observed on 11/13/14 at 08:44AM.

I issued her citations for violations of Tucson City Code for neglect, no water; neglect, no shelter; neglect, lack of exercise space and neglect, tie out. I explained court and she said she understood. I gave her a law brochure

Officer's Signature: 2019 Date: 12/30/14

W/C 4

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT					ACO NAME / BADGE # A. Kirby #2057	COMPLAINT NUMBER A14-162293	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS					BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐		
ZIP 857	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		CODE IF OTHER :		
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 673 W. Raven Dr.					CI ☐ CO ☒ OTHER ☐		
ZIP 85286	CITY Chandler	STATE AZ	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE		
SEX	WEIGHT 153	HEIGHT 5'9"	EYES BRO	HAIR COLOR BRO	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN N/a

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒	LOCATION OF INCIDENT	DATE AND TIME REPORTED 12/19/14 / 1658 hrs	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 12/19/14 / 1720 hrs

FOOD ☐ WATER ☒ SHELTER ☒ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☒ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME PACC Officer A. Kirby #2057	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO. 520-724-5900	
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS		ZIP	CITY	STATE
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N. Silverbell Rd.		ZIP 85745	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☐ VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED	PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM	☐ NON-VIOLATION	PART OF BODY BITTEN:			RELEASE DATE:	
PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐		FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐		☐ FRA HEAD#	
	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	CITING ACO A. Kirby #2057	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☐	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS	
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED 6.04.110(B)(5), 6.04.110 (B)(2), 6.04.110 (B)(3)				REVIEWED BY 12-22-14 DTK 1911	
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS 73461				BOND YES ☐ NO ☐	

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE		LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Alaskan Husky	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Denver	White / Black	M	A		N/A	N/A	N	A504989
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

W/C 4

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-162293

ACO name & Badge: A. Kirby #2057

On December 19, 2014 at approximately 1720 hrs I Officer Kirby #2057 responded to [illegible] Dr., in reference to a dog on a tangled tie out. Upon arrival I could hear a large breed dog yelp in the back yard at which time, I was able to observe the dog over the back wall on the tangled tie out.

I then entered the yard through the unlocked gate on the side of the house, and I observed a white/black husky that was on a tie out and tangled around a tree, without access to water or shelter. I then removed the dog from the tie out and found a Petsmart identification tag on its collar which identified the dog as Denver and contained two phone numbers. [illegible] and [illegible]. Dispatch was able to make contact with the dog's owner via phone using the numbers provided.

A short time later the dogs owner Mr. [illegible] arrived. I advised Mr. [illegible] as to why I was there and asked if he had current proof of rabies vaccinations and licensing, he stated he did not as they had recently moved from Chandler approximately 1 week before. I advised he had 30 days from the date he arrived in Pima County to obtain a license for the dog.

I issued citations to Mr. [illegible] for Neglect - Tie Out, Neglect - No Water, and Neglect - No Shelter. Mr. [illegible] was explained his court date, time, and location, stated he understood and signed the citation.

Officer's Signature: [signature] **Date:** 12/19/14

W/C 5

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT					ACO NAME / BADGE # A. Kirby # 2057	COMPLAINT NUMBER A14-161212	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS					BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐		
ZIP 857!	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		CODE IF OTHER :		
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS NOT GIVEN					CI ☐ CO ☒ OTHER ☐		
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE		
SEX	WEIGHT 185	HEIGHT 5' 11"	EYES GRN	HAIR COLOR BRO	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒

LOCATION OF INCIDENT				DATE AND TIME REPORTED 12/03/14 / 0909 hrs			DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 12/13/14 / 11:00 hrs		
FOOD ☐	WATER ☐	SHELTER ☒	INJURED/ILL ☐	VENTILATION ☐	ABANDONED ☐	TIEOUT ☒	BEATEN ☐	WASTE ☐	OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME PACC Officer A. Kirby #2057	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO. 520-724-5900	
VICTIM'S ADDRESS 4000 N. Silverbell Rd.,	ZIP 85745	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ	
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY	STATE	

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # 141213103 ☒ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: PART OF BODY BITTEN:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED RELEASE DATE:	PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐		FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐		☐ FRA HEAD#	
3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	CITING ACO A. Kirby #2057	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☐	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS	

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED 6.04.110 (B)(5), 6.04.110 (B)(3), 6.04.070, 11-1010(A)	REVIEWED BY 2002 KONST 12/16
CITATIONS/NUMBERS 73458, 73459, 73460	BOND YES ☐ NO ☐

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE		LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Husky/Mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Princess	White	F	A				N	A504468
Husky	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Kodiak	White/Gray	M	A				N	A504469
German Shepherd	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Lilith	Black/Tan	F	A				N	A504470
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

W/C 5

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-161212

ACO name & Badge: A. Kirby #2057

On 12/13/14 at approximately 1100 hrs I Officer Kirby 2057 arrived at St. in response to a report of 3 large breed dogs that were tied up in the front yard. Upon arrival I located a white Husky/ Mix, a white/grey Husky/ Mix, and a black/tan German Shepherd that were all tied out without access to adequate shelter. I observed clean water for each of the dogs. I photographed each dog as well as surrounding areas of the yard to show the living conditions of the dogs at the time of my arrival.

I then attempted to make contact with the dog owner by entering the yard through the unlocked gate and knocking on the sliding glass front door. I was then met by who claimed to be the owner of the dogs, when I asked Mr. why the dogs were tied up he stated "for your protection". I observed no signs of aggression from any of the dogs. As the conversation continued Mr. became more and more hostile to the point of which he stated he better just not speak to me before he loses his temper and does something, in the interest of safety I requested the assistance of PCSO.

PCSO Deputy Serrano Badge# 6875 (PCSO Case# 141213103) arrived and assisted in keeping the peace with Mr. as I completed the investigation and paperwork. I asked Mr. for proof of rabies vaccinations as well as about a current dog license. Mr. stated he does not have any documentation for the animals here in Pima County because it is all from Idaho. I then asked Mr. how long the dogs have been in Pima County and he stated about 1 year. I then advised him that the licensing and rabies vaccinations must be current in Pima County if the dogs resides in the county for more than 30 days, to which Mr. stated was not true since he was not claiming residency in this state.

I issued citations for Neglect- Tie Out, Neglect - No Shelter, No License, and No Rabies Vaccinations for each of the 3 dogs. I explained to Mr. his citations, Court Date, Time, and location, he stated he understood and signed the citations. I then removed the tie outs from the property as they were evidence in the case, and Mr. gave reason to believe that he would place the dogs back on the tie outs upon me leaving the property.

Officer's Signature: _____ **Date:** 12/13/14

W/C 6

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT					ACO NAME / BADGE # 1942 Eckelbarger	COMPLAINT NUMBER A14-157273	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS					BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐		
ZIP 857	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		CODE IF OTHER :		
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS					CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐		
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE		
SEX	WEIGHT 200	HEIGHT 6-2	EYES Blk	HAIR COLOR BK	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒

LOCATION OF INCIDENT | DATE AND TIME REPORTED 10-5-14 / 0631 | DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 12-5-14 / 1010

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☒ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME 1942 Eckelbarger	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO. 724-5992		
VICTIM'S ADDRESS		ZIP	CITY	STATE	
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N. Silverbell Rd		ZIP 85719	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ	

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒ | RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒ | DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER | OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER: | FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION | BITE SEVERITY: | PART OF BODY BITTEN: | TREATED BY | PHONE NUMBER | DATE QUARANTINED | RELEASE DATE: | PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐

VET CLINIC | PHONE NUMBER | OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐ | FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS | QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐ | ☐ FRA HEAD#

3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒ | CITING ACO 1942 Eckelbarger | PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒ | PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER | OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-3 (Z)(E)(Z) | REVIEWED BY 2602 KONST 12/6

CITATIONS/NUMBERS 73788 (A) | BOND YES ☐ NO ☒

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Pit-bull	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Princess	Blue	F	9mo		L14-238715	Current	Ok	A502318
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

W/C 6

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-157273

ACO name & Badge:1942 Eckelbarger

On 11-21-14 at 1130 hours, I Investigator Eckelbarger (1942) responded to where I met with dog owner, (who showed me his 8 month old pit-bull mix "Princess' in the backyard. Princess was on a chain tie-out with access to shade and shelter. She appeared in ok condition. Mr. stated he has had princess for 4 months and he chained the dog up because she will chew things in the yard. He stated he found someone to take the dog and dog will be gone by 12-1-14.

I had the owner take the dog off of the chain and put her indoors. I then checked for license and rabies vaccinations on the dog and found that Mr. had not gotten either for the dog. I then cited Mr. for no license and no rabies vaccination under City jurisdiction. Mr. signed and received his copies of the citations. I advised him on the animal welfare requirements for dogs in Pima County. I also advised him I would reset the call for a recheck after 12-1-14.

On 12-5-14 at 1010 hours I responded back to where I received no answer at the door. I went around to the backyard and could see "Princess" was still on a chain tie-out in the same spot in the backyard. I observed the dog on the tie-out through the cracks in the fence. I then took photographs of the dog on the tie-out and the yard. The dog was on a tie-out with only enough slack for the dog to peek half of her body out from under the shelter provided. There was a pan of water provided for the dog. I was unsure if the dog would have access to the water though due to the length of the tie-out. I then called the dog owner, received no answer, left a message advising we are impounding the dog from the tie-out. I then impounded Princess and posted a notice of impoundment on the front door.

The dog owner, , later called in response to the notice of impoundment.

At 1234 hours I responded back to the dog owner's address at where I met with . I then cited Mr. for neglect-tieout under City jurisdiction. Mr. signed the citation by signing "refused to sign". I then gave him the copy of the signed citation.

W/C 6

I then returned Princess back to Mr. . I advised Mr. that I would be resetting the call to recheck his property again for tieout in the future. I reiterated that tie-outs are illegal. Mr. was very argumentative so I repeated the tie-out law to him.

Officer's Signature: 1942. Date: 12-5-14

W/C 7

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5990
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT					ACO NAME / BADGE # 1942 Eckelbarger	COMPLAINT NUMBER A14-160633	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS					BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐		
ZIP 857	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		CODE IF OTHER :		
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS					CI ☐ CO ☒ OTHER ☐		
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE		
SEX	WEIGHT 135	HEIGHT 5-4	EYES Haz	HAIR COLOR Br	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒	LOCATION OF INCIDENT	DATE AND TIME REPORTED 11-23-14 / 2012	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 12-1-14 / 1510

FOOD ☐ WATER ☒ SHELTER ☒ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☒ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME 1942 Eckelbarger	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO. 724-5992	
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS		ZIP	CITY	STATE
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N. Silverbell Rd		ZIP 85745	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: PART OF BODY BITTEN:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED RELEASE DATE:	PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☒		FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐		☐ FRA HEAD#	
	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	CITING ACO 1942 Eckelbarger	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS	
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED 6.04.110 (B)(5), 6.04.110 (B)(2), 6.04.110 (B)(3), 6.04.070, 11-1010 (A)ARS				REVIEWED BY 12-2-14 DTK 1911	
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS 73784 (A-D), 73785 (A-E)				BOND YES ☐ NO ☒	

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Poodle mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Sonny	White	M	4yr		Cited	Cited	Ok	A503207
Pit-bull	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	JJ	White/Black	F	5yr		Cited	Cited	Ok	A503208
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

W/C 7

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-160633

ACO name & Badge:1942 Eckelbarger

On 12-1-14 at 1510 hours, I Investigator Eckelbarger (1942) responded to where I received no answer at door. I observed a white poodle mix on a tie-out in the backyard. The poodle appeared matted and had no access to water. The tie-out got tangled around some childrens toys in the yard at one point while I was on scene. The poodle also had no access to shelter. I also observed a white and black female pit-bull in a small pen in the backyard. The pit-bull was on a tie-out approximately 10 feet long. The pit-bull also got tangled while I was on scene as she had tried to get out through a small hole at the bottom of the pen. The pit-bull also had no access to water as both buckets were dry. The pit-bull had a dog house for shelter.

I then took photographs of the yard and the dogs. I provided water for both dogs and they immediately drank the water. I then impounded both dogs and posted a notice of impoundment on the front door.

A neighbor advised the owners are and ( ). I attempted to contact the owners, received no answer, and left a message advising them to call the Pima Animal Care Center.

At 1700 hours I returned back to the dog owner's address at after we were contacted by the dog owner. I met with (DOB) who stated she had just got home from work. She stated that "JJ" can dig out and jump over the pen fencing so they put her on the tie-out. I then cited Ms. for neglect-tieout, neglect-no water, no license, and no rabies vaccination on "JJ" and for neglect-tieout, neglect-no water, neglect-no shelter, no license, and no rabies vaccination on "Sonny" under County jurisdiction. Ms. signed and received her copies of the citations. She stated she had given the rabies vaccinations herself from the feed store. I advised a licensed veterinarian has to give the vaccines by law. I advised I would reset the call to make sure dogs are no longer on the tie-out and that both dogs have access to water and shelter. I then returned both dogs to the owner who put the dogs inside the house.

Officer's Signature: 1942 **Date: 12-1-14**

W/C 8

Activity Report

Activity Number:	Activity Type:	Activity Date:	Priority:	Total Animals:	Animal Type:
A14-162650-1	INV/NEGLI	12/26/14 05:29 PM	2	1	DOG

Activity Address:	Geo Code:	Jurisdiction:
TUCSON	2T	TUC

Activity Comment:
2400N; 10-17 STATES THE DOG OUT IN COLD, NO SHELTER

Caller Information:
,
,

Owner Information: P285942
(520)

TUCSON AZ 857

Officer:	Call Taker:	Result Codes:
P999066 HENDRICKSON	DANNABLE	1 MC 1 UNFND 2 CITE 1 COMP

Dispatch Date:	Working Date:	Complete Date:	Response Time:
12/28/14 01:53 PM	12/28/14 04:36 PM	12/28/14 05:53 PM	1D 23:07

Memo:

12/28/2014 NOTE
12/28/14 17:00 I Officer Hendrickson #2066 and Officer Henderson arrive at in respose to a dog reported with no shelter. We made contact with the owner had a boxter/ pit mix named Dojo that appeared in good health. We went over a premis inspection form and had sutable water, food,no animal waste and the dogs shelter was located under his back porch inside a open storage closet. did not have a current license and vaccination,citations were given. 2066

12/28/2014 NOTE
12/28/14 17:00 I Officer Hendrickson #2066 and Officer Henderson arrive at in respose to a dog reported with no shelter. We made contact with the owner had a boxter/ pit mix named Dojo. We went over a premis inspection form and had sutable water and food and the dogs shelter was located under his back porch inside a open storage closet. did not have a current license and vaccination,citations were given. 2066

Print Date: 1/6/2015

W/C 8

Activity Report

Activity Number:	Activity Type:	Activity Date:	Priority:	Total Animals:	Animal Type:
A14-162650-1	INV/NEGLI	12/26/14 05:29 PM	2	1	DOG

Photos: NO PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN.

W/C 9

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT					ACO X. Delgadillo #2047 S. Adkins#1961	COMPLAINT NUMBER A14-162744	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS					BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐		
ZIP 857	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER 520-		CODE IF OTHER :		
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS					CI ☐ CO ☒ OTHER ☐		
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE		
SEX	WEIGHT 250	HEIGHT 5'5"	EYES Br	HAIR COLOR Br	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒

LOCATION OF INCIDENT	DATE AND TIME REPORTED	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED
	12/28/14 17:23	12/28/14 / 17:45

FOOD	WATER	SHELTER	INJURED/ILL	VENTILATION	ABANDONED	TIEOUT	BEATEN	WASTE	OTHER (EXPLAIN)
☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-3405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO.
Officer X. Delgadillo			520-724-5900*3

VICTIM'S ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY	STATE
4000 N. Silverbell RD	85745	Tucson	AZ
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY	STATE

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED	RESTITUTION REQUESTED	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE #	FOLLOW UP REQUEST
YES ☐ NO ☒	YES ☐ NO ☒		☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:	☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: PART OF BODY BITTEN:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED RELEASE DATE:	PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐		FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐		☐ FRA HEAD#	

3RD PARTY CITATIONS	CITING ACO	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS
YES ☐ NO ☒	X. Delgadillo #2047	YES ☐ NO ☐		

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED	REVIEWED BY
4-3(2) E(2), 4-3-(2) (B)	2002 KONST 1/6/15
CITATIONS/NUMBERS 73681	BOND YES ☐ NO ☐

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
husky Mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐	Zeus	Black/White	M						505713
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #

W/C 9

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-162744

ACO name & Badge:X. Delgadillo

On December 28, 2014 at 17:45, Officer Adkins #1961, arrived to Vista reference a dog on a tie-out who had jumped the fence and is fighting with a stray pit bull. When Officer Adkins arrived she observed an adult male black/white husky on a cable tie out with access to shelter. Officer Adkins knocked on the door with no response. Officer Adkins noticed there were several bowls near the tree where the dog was tied too, but all bowls were empty. Officer Adkins impounded the dog for being on a tie out and left a notice on the security screen door. Officer Adkins scanned the dog for a microchip and found 956000009324483 which was not listed in chameleon.

On January 2, 2015, Dog owner came to Pima Animal Care Center to redeem his dog. I, Officer Delgadillo, issued citations to the dog owner for neglect, tie-out and neglect, no water. Mr. signed his citations and received a copy. Mr. was advised of his court date and time.

Officer's Signature: **Date:** 1/6/15

W/C 10

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT						ACO NAME / BADGE # X. Delgadillo #2047	COMPLAINT NUMBER A14-151102
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS 10440 S. Eppperson lane						BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☒	
ZIP 85	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER 520-			CODE IF OTHER :6.4.110(B) (4), 6.4.110 (B)(2), 6.4.110 (B)(1), 6.4.110(B)(3), 6.4.110(B)(5)	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS						CI ☐ CO ☒ OTHER ☐	
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER			DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX	WEIGHT 180	HEIGHT 5'5"	EYES Bro	HAIR COLOR BR	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☒ NO ☐	LOCATION OF INCIDENT			DATE AND TIME REPORTED 07/08/14 / 1030		DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 12/30/14 / 1646	
	FOOD ☒	WATER ☒	SHELTER ☒	INJURED/ILL ☒	VENTILATION ☐	ABANDONED ☐	TIEOUT ☒ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐
☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME			D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO.	
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS				ZIP	CITY	STATE
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS				ZIP	CITY	STATE
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☒ NO ☐	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:		FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:	
☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: PART OF BODY BITTEN:		TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED RELEASE DATE:	PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC			PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐		FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS			QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐		☐ FRA HEAD#	
	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☐	CITING ACO		PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS	
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED 6.4.110(B) (4), 6.4.110 (B)(2), 6.4.110 (B)(1), 6.4.110(B)(3), 6.4.110(B)(5)					REVIEWED BY	
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS 73033, 73034, 73035,73036					BOND YES ☒ NO ☐	

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Boxer	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Brownie	Brown	M	adult					505882
Boxer	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Wedo	White	M	adult					505881
Boxer	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Chicarone	Cream	F	adult					505891
Boxer	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Loca	Brown/White	F	adult					505890
Boxer	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Puppy#1	White/Black	M	Pup					505892
Boxer	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Puppy#2	White/Black	M	Pup					505894
Boxer	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Puppy#3	Brown/Black/Wh	M	Pup					505895
Boxer	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Puppy#4	Brown/Black/Wh	M	Pup					505896

WITNESS 1	M ☒ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #

W/C 10

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-151102

ACO name & Badge:X. Delgadillo #2047

On December 30, 2014 at approximately 16:46, I Officer Delgadillo #2047 arrived to location of [redacted] reference neglect, dogs on a tie-out. When I arrived, the vehicle drive through gates were padlocked, no response to honking the vehicle horn. I tapped on the fence with my baton to see if any dogs would come to the gate and none were seen at that time.

I then drove to the side of the residence and I observed three dogs on a tie-out, a white boxer; brown and white boxer; and a cream colored Chihuahua. As I was photographing the dogs on a tie-out, I observed a severely emaciated male brown boxer. This dog was not on a tie-out and was attempting to stand but kept falling down. As I observed the brown Boxer, it was not attempting to walk but was dragging itself from under the trailer to the front of the residence. The dog was struggling to pull itself to the front of the residence and would stop and lay down in exhaustion; after he had only moved a few paces. Then the dog would start again, pulling itself, until it reached a piece of carpet by the front of the residence. The dog did not have sufficient shelter, as the only area he could go to is under the trailer. The trailer did not have skirting all the way around to protect the dog from the weather elements. The dog would not have been able to go into any of the dog houses on the property as the other dogs were tied them and if he could; the dog houses were not able to occupy more than one dog.

I called Supervisor Tenkate and described the situation and permission was granted to cut the locks and confiscate the dogs. I called Pima County Sheriff to respond. Officer Walsh arrived and I cut the lock from the side walk through gate. A male cream/white Chihuahua was tied to a tree and had no water or food; a male white boxer was tied to a stake on the side of the dog house but had no food or water; the brown and white female boxer was tied to a stake on the side of its house with no food and a pot of water. The water was not potable and appeared in green color. The brown and white boxer had four puppies, approximately one week old, in the dog house it was tied to. The dogs were impounded and a notice was placed on the vehicle drive through gate. I contacted our on-site treatment personnel and advised of the condition of the male brown boxer. Treatment advised to bring the dog to our on-site clinic. When I arrived, the dog was taken to the clinic where it was weighed at 38 lbs.

W/C 10

On December 31, 2014, the dog owner, came to Pima Animal Care Center to redeem his dogs. Mr. was not interested in redeeming Brownie(A505892), the severely emaciated Boxer or Wedo(A505881) the white boxer. Mr. was only interested in Loca(A505890) the brown and white boxer with the four puppies and Chicarone(A505891), the cream/white Chihuahua. While interviewing Mr. I asked him why he had not sought vet care for Brownie. Mr. stated that Brownie had been thin before and seemed to recover. I then stated to Mr. , "the dog was ill before and the dog was not taken to a veterinarian to seek treatment?" Mr. Noriega replied yes. I advised Mr. that the dogs he wants to redeem are bonded and would require payment in the amount of $4650.00, of which $1025.00 for Loca, $650.00 for each puppy and $650.00 for Chicarone. Mr. chose not to redeem any of the any animals and signed a release of ownership form. Mr. was cited into Pima County Justice Court for the following charges:

Brownie(A505882), Neglect, No vet care; no water; insufficient shelter, no food(nutritional).

Wedo(A505881), Neglect, tie-out; no food and no water.

Chicarone(A505891), Neglect, tie-out; no food and no water.

Loca(A505890), Neglect, tie-out; no food and no water.

Mr. signed his citations and received a copy. Mr. was advised of his court date and time. I advised him if he could not make the scheduled date, it would be his responsibly to contact the court and make arrangements. Mr. stated that he understood.

On January 2, 2015, Brownie(A505882) was euthanized due to severity of the suspected illness and was taken to Arizona State Diagnostics Lab for a necropsy.

Officer's Signature: Date: 1/6/15

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD • TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

MEMORANDUM

TO: Kim Janes, Chief of External Operations

FROM: Neil Konst, Animal Care Field Supervisor

DATE: 12/31/14

RE: Dangerous Dog Cases for December 2014

Pima County:

1. A14-160803 Steven Prather; dog named Gracie, was declared Not Dangerous by Investigator Carver.
2. A14-161172 Steven Boggs; dog named King was declared Dangerous by Investigator Carver who is monitoring compliance.

City of Tucson:

3. A14-162079 Robert Jaxel; dog named Lexi was declared Vicious in Tucson City Court by Judge Klotz. Investigator Klein is monitoring compliance.
4. A14-161922 Sabrina Rinquist; dog named Shiloh was declared Vicious in Tucson City Court by Judge Chayet. Investigator Carver is monitoring compliance
5. A14-162284 Cereriano Fernandez; dog named Chulo was declared Vicious in Tucson City Court by Judge Berning. Chulo was signed over to PACC for euthanasia.
6. A14-162288 Lorena Verdugo; dog named Sweet Pea was declared Vicious in Tucson City Court by Judge Cranshaw. Investigator Eckelbarger is monitoring compliance.
7. A14-161700 Andrew Tellez; dog named Bronson was declared Dangerous by Investigator Klein who is monitoring compliance.

DD #1

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 743-7550 FAX (520) 743-9581
www.pimaanimalcare.org

CASE NO: 14160803
OWNER: Rosalind Prather
ANIMAL NAME: Gracie

ADDRESS:
SEX: F BREED: Pit Bull
COLOR: Brn/Wht DATE: 12/10/14

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:

NON-VIOLATION BITE	+ 3	
VIOLATION-BITE	+ 6	

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)

NO BREAK IN SKIN	+ 1	
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING	+ 2	
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED)	+ 3	
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT	+ 4	
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM	+ 4	
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION)	+ 5	

Animal Complaints or Violations:

LEASH LAW CITATIONS	+ 2	+2
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS	+ 1	
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS	+ 2	
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS	+ 3	+3
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS	+ 1	

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY	+ 1	
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER	+ 2	
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits)	+ 3	
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS)	+ 4	+4
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH	+ 5	

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)

(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)

SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES	- 5	
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES	+ 5	+5

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:

REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT	- 3	-3
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED	- 1	-1
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION	+ 1	
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT	+ 5	
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # 14-107481	- 1	-1
NO CURRENT LICENSE	+ 1	
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION	+ 1	

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):

(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)

ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE	- 3	
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE	- 3	-3
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <5X/YR	+ 1	+1
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >5X/YR	+ 2	
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE	+ 2	

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)

ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY	+ 2	
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE	- 2	-2
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR	+ 1	

Confinement / Fencing:

6 foot Block + Chain link with secure gate

General Comments:

Records show no prior incidents. Neighbors report no issues. The dog was calm & friendly during assessment. The dog Gracie is declared not dangerous at this time. JC

OFFICER # Carter / 901

TOTAL SCORE: +5

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

___ DANGEROUS
X NOT DANGEROUS

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure ,bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threating manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threating manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC **IN PERSON**.

DD 1

PIMA COUNTY CONSOLIDATED JUSTICE COURT 115 N. CHURCH AVENUE TUCSON, ARIZONA 85701-1130

STATE OF ARIZONA VS. PRATHER, ROSALIND JANAE	**TRAFFIC & CRIMINAL MINUTE ENTRY ORDER AND JUDGMENT**	CASE NO. CR14-12147-MI ☐ JUVENILE ☐ ACCOUNT IN COLLECTION

PRESENT: ☐ Defense Attorney ☒ County Attorney LOCHNER
☐ Interpreter ☒ Defendant ☐ Victim ☐ Parent ☐ Officer ☐ Other

Statute Number	Description	Plea	Finding	Dismiss with prejudice	Dismiss with/out prejudice	Fine/Civil Sanction	Amount with proof
6.04.030	DOG AT LARGE		G			~~216.00~~ 450	~~150~~
6.04.120 B2	VICIOUS ANIMAL-BITING		G			~~438.00~~	
						TOTAL $ 300	$

YOUR NEXT COURT DATE IS:
Date 2 / 13 / 15 **Time** 2:30 **AM/PM**

☐ Case Management Conference ☐ Bench Trial ☐ Telephone Hearing. Call (520) 724-3505
☒ Status/Review Hearing ☐ Sentencing ☐ Affirm Pending Court Dates
☐ Change of Plea ☐ Contempt/Compliance Hearing ☐ Vacate Pending Court Dates
☐ Evidentiary Hearing/Oral Argument ☐ Restitution Hearing ☐ Other

DIVERSION OPTION: ☐ Pima County Attorney Diversion Program ☐ U of A Diversion Program (Dean of Students Office)
Report to the specified program within 3 days. For dismissal complete and show proof by _____.

DEFENDANT IS:
Placed on ☐ Unsupervised probation for _____ months. ☐ Supervised probation for _____ months; probation fees of $_____ per month.
☐ Committed to Pima County Jail for _____ days. Suspend _____ days on completion of conditions of probation. (Serve _____ days).
☐ Credit _____ days for time served.

DEFENDANT IS ORDERED TO SHOW:
☐ Valid driver's license by _____ ☐ Defensive driving school by _____
☐ Current registration by _____ ☐ Alcohol/drug evaluation by _____
☐ Current insurance due 30 days from today. ☐ _____ hours of Alcohol/drug education by _____
☐ _____ hours of Community Restitution by _____ ☒ Other DANGEROUS DOG ASSESSMENT by 1/23/15

DEFENDANT IS ORDERED TO PAY: Total $ 1913.33 **. Pay $** 150 **per month beginning** 1/1/15 **.**
☐ Pay in full today ☐ Plea incorporated
Fine $ 300 ☐ Attorney Fees $ _____ ☐ Warrant fee(s) $ _____
Suspend $ _____ ☒ $20.00 Time Payment Fee ☐ $15.00 Drug Offense Fee
Restitution $ 1593.33 ☐ $18.50 Automation (only Title 28 cases)

(NOTE: Failure to pay may result in collection costs and suspension of your driver's license)

☐ **Issue Warrant** ☐ Set Bond $_____ ☐ Warrant Remains Active ☐ Quash Warrant
☐ Bond is hereby: ☐ Exonerated $_____ ☐ Converted $_____ ☐ Assigned to _____ ☐ Forfeited $_____
☐ Set Aside Default ☐ Lift Suspension ☐ Vacate Fines/Cost Recovery Fee ☐ Remove from Collections

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED: _____

Release Agreement Statement: I promise to comply with my release conditions, including the standard conditions listed on the back of this form. I understand I have the right to be present at my trial and other proceedings in my case, and that if I fail to appear, the trial or proceedings may be held without me, any bond posted may be forfeited and a warrant may be issued for my arrest. **I promise to notify the court immediately, in writing, of any change in my current address.**
Statement of Understanding: I hereby acknowledge receipt of a copy of the foregoing order. I understand that if I violate any of these orders, the Court may issue a warrant for my arrest and order me to jail pending further proceedings. If I have been placed on probation, the Court may revoke and terminate my probation and impose sentence in accordance with the law. **I promise to notify the court immediately, in writing, of any change in my current address.**

DEFENDANT'S ADDRESS: _____

TELEPHONE: _____ DEFENDANT'S SIGNATURE: _____

DATE: 1/23/15 **JUDGE:** _____ ☐ Pro Tem ☐ Hearing Officer

JP116 (Rev:02-06-13)bd/mt ☐ Copy given to defendant in court Original: Court file Copy to: Defendant, County Attorney, Defense Attorney, Probation

DDI

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT: Rosalind Prather		ACO NAME / BADGE #: C. Meek 2015	COMPLAINT NUMBER: A14-146013
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS		BITE ☐ WELFARE ☐ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☒	
ZIP / CITY / STATE / RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		CODE IF OTHER: 6.04.120(B)(2)	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		CI ☐ CO ☒ OTHER ☐	
ZIP / CITY / STATE / BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX / WEIGHT / HEIGHT / EYES / HAIR COLOR	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☒ NO ☐

LOCATION OF INCIDENT	DATE AND TIME REPORTED	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED
	04/21/14 / 1827	04/21/14 / 1800

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☒ leash law

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM / COMPLAINTANT NAME	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO.

VICTIM'S ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY	STATE
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY	STATE

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED	RESTITUTION REQUESTED	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE #	FOLLOW UP REQUEST
YES ☐ NO ☒	YES ☒ NO ☐		☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:	☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

☐ VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED	PACC ☐
☐ NON-VIOLATION	PART OF BODY BITTEN:			RELEASE DATE:	VET ☐ HOME ☐
VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐		FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS	QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐	☐ FRA HEAD#

3RD PARTY CITATIONS	CITING ACO	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS
YES ☒ NO ☐	J. Carver 1901	YES ☐ NO ☐		

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED	REVIEWED BY
72977	6/19/14 S. Zah 1994
CITATIONS/NUMBERS: 6.040.030,6.04.120(B)(2)	BOND YES ☐ NO ☐

BREED/DESCRIPTION	VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL	ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Pit BUll	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Pit Bull-X	Brn/Wht	F	5Y		cited		ok	A257493
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐	GRACIE								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1	M ☒ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # 520-591-9214	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

DD 1

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-1416013

ACO name & Badge: C. Meek 2015

On 04/21/2014 at 1827 hours Pima Animal Care Center received a call from a advised the dispatcher that she was walking her dog on the 4000 block of stated that when she got to the driveway of a Pit Bull type dog of medium size ran up to her and attacked her Binchon named Kodi. advised the dispatcher that she was on the way to the Vet Specialty on River Road. I advised the dispatcher that the attacking dog was in the driveway when she left to take her dog to the veterinarian.

On 04/21/14 at 2019 hours I Officer Meek badge number 2015 responded to in reference to a leash law dog on dog attack complaint. The address I responded to initially was the dog attacking dog owners.

I arrived at the address and was able to meet with the supposed attacking dog owner . I advised Mr. of the alleged complaint. I asked Mr. if he had a brown and white Pit Bull mix or similar type dog. Mr advised me he did own a dog fitting that description. I asked Mr. Prather if I could see the dog and Mr. then presented me with a five year old female Pit Bull named Gracie. Gracie was inside the residence when I arrived. At that point I was joined by Ms. , I advised Ms. of the complaint as well as the alleged attack. Both Mr. and Ms. emphatically denied that Gracie attacked another dog and were just as steadfast that she was inside the residence at the time of the attack (approximately 1800).

I asked the if it were possible that Gracie was able to slip through the gate as it was open when I arrived. I was advised by Ms. that it was only opened a short time before my arrival and Gracie was indoors when it was opened. I asked the if I could photograph Gracie to show the complainant and they both agreed and Gracie was photographed for the report. Ms. advised me that she thought the mobile home behind her residence also had a brown and white Pit Bull type dog. I advised Ms. that I would make an attempt to see if there is a dog fitting the description given at that residence when I finished meeting with them. After finishing up with the I did walk the fence line behind their residence and I did not observe any dogs in the yard of the described mobile home and due to the degree of darkness it made it extremely difficult to see anything.

I advised the that I would be meeting with the victim dog owner in an effort to ensure that the right dog was found and the wrong dog was not

DD1

held accountable and that I would be back in touch with them after meeting with the victim dog owner. I provided my name, badge number, as well as complaint number. The asked that when I return to the residence to please come before 1900 hours as they have a small child the goes to be fairly early. The again advised me that Gracie was indoors at the time of the attack and that she could not have been involved in the incident.

I left the residence and then was able to meet with a neighbor residing at . I met with Mr. . Mr. advised me that he did not witness the actual attack but did hear the commotion outdoors and the victim dog squealing like a "rabbit" and went out to investigate. Mr. advised me that when he got outdoors the attack was over and the victim dog owner was carrying the dog away and it appeared as though there was blood on her shirt. Mr. advised me that the attacking dog was still loose and that he was able to see the dog and described it as a tan and white Pit Bull type dog. Mr. said the dog barked at him but he was behind the wall at his residence and never had physical contact with the dog. I showed Mr. the photograph of Gracie. Mr. identified Gracie as the dog he saw immediately after the incident with the victim dog. I asked Mr. if he would be willing to testify as to what he saw and Mr. advised me that he would be willing to testify. I gathered Mr. information.

I then preceded to the address of the residence of the victim dog owner. I knocked at the door and was able to meet with a house guest Ms. Ms. advised me that the dog owner Ms. was currently at the vet with the victim dog. Ms. advised me that the dog, a Binchon Frise, was getting ready for X-rays and would potentially be at the vet for quite some time. I provided Ms. with my name, badge number, and case number and asked that she have Ms. call Pima Animal Care Center with her availability for a meeting.

Between the dates of 04/24/14 and 04/28/14 Pima Animal Care Center received calls from both the victim dog owner Ms. and the attacking dog owner Mr. and his spouse Ms. . Ms. called to advise the dispatch department she would be out of town. The s called the dispatch department to advise that their dog was not involved with the attack and to be transferred to a supervisor.

On 04/28/14 at 1834 hours I Officer Meek badge number 2015 responded to . to follow up on a leash law complaint and to meet with the complainant and victim dog.

I arrived at the address and was able to meet with Ms. the victim dog owner. I asked Ms. to recount what happened on the evening of 04/21. Ms. went on to advise me that she went out for a walk with her dog, a Binchon Frisea named Kodi. Ms. went on to advise me that while walking in the cul-de-sac of the 4000 block of east she and Kodi were attacked by a tan and white Pit Bull. Ms. went on to describe the dog as well as she could recollect advising me that the dog was wearing a pink or red collar. Ms. advised me that she was more interested in protecting her dog and was attempting to pick up Kodi during the attack. Ms. advised me that she was not bitten during the attack. Ms. then presented me with her dog Kodi which was resting in a wire crate.

I asked Ms. about Kodi's vet care and how much cost she has

DD 1

incurred substantial costs as a result and presented me with a bill from Mesquite Valley Animal the was just over 1500 dollars. Ms. ent on to advise me that there would also be continuing care required for Kodi. Ms. advised me that Kodi suffered a punctured lung, broken ribs, torn muscles, and puncture wounds from the attack. I advised Ms t that I did photograph the dog that was described in the complaint and that I would like her to identify the dog before I met with the owners again. I presented Ms. with the photograph of the dog named Gracie. Ms. positively identified Grace as the dog that attack Kodi.

I then asked Ms if she would like citations issued to the dog owner's for the event of 04/21. Initially Ms. advised me that she would like citations issued to the Prathers. Ms. then began to ask me about the judicial process. I advised Ms. that the are emphatic that their dog was not the dog that attacked Kodi. This news was troubling to Ms. hat the were adamant that the attacking dog was not theirs. Ms. t then asked if I could meet with the and explain that Grace was identified as the attacking dog and ask that they pay her current vet bill and the care as a result of the attack. Ms. advised me that if the took responsibility for the attack she would forgo issuing citations. I advised Ms. that I would be more than happy to meet with the and explain my findings. Ms. then asked that I return to her residence after meeting with the

I then made my way to meet with Mr. and Ms. I I initially had the dispatch department make contact per their request. I explained to both Mr. and Ms. at Grace was identified as the dog that attacked Ms. dog Kodi. Upon my initial meeting was the they both were still emphatic about Grace not being the dog that was out on 04/21. I advised both the that there was a witness to the event and that Ms. was willing to work with them on the vet bills she incurred.

I advised the that Ms. was willing to work with them in regards to payment. I advised the if they would like to speak with Ms. t and attempt to work the problem out as neighbors I would make sure Ms. would be comfortable with her telephone number being given out. I made contact with the dispatch department to make contact with Ms. Ms. advised the dispatcher that she was fine with me giving her telephone number. I then provided with Ms. telephone number. I advised the that if they were unable to work out an arrangement with Ms. that I would have to return to issue citations. Both Mr. and Ms. stated they understood and would make contact with Ms. and work the issue out.

I then returned to Ms. Collett's residence to advise her of my meeting with the s. I advised Ms. that I provided the with her telephone number and to expect a call from them. I then advised Ms. that if they were unable to come to an arrangement with regards to Kodi's bills to please call Pima Animal Care Center and I would then issue the citations she requested.

Between the dates of 04/28/14 and 05/10/14 Pima Animal Care center received contact both from Ms. and the regarding the complaint. The made contact with Pima Animal Care Center to again advise that their dog was not involved in the attack. Ms. r stated that she obtained video of the attacking dog which she stated resided at an

DD1

to advise that she spoke with the and their conversation was not fruitful and she would like citations issued to them for the attack on Kodi.

On 05/20/14 at 0828 hours I Officer Meek badge number 2015 responded to to follow up on a leash law complaint. I asked the dispatch department to make contact with the per their request. I was advised that contact was made with Mr. and that he gave an availability of two hours daily between 1700 and 1900 hours to meet.

On 05/26/14 at 1904 hours Officer Foster badge number 2042 responded to to follow up on a leash law complaint. Officer Foster stated that she made contact with the dispatch department to have them make contact with the Officer Foster stated that the dispacter advised her that there were no answers at any of the telephone number they provided.

Officer Foster stated she arrived at the and found the gate to be shut. Officer Foster stated a notice was posted on the gate requesting contact.

Officer Foster stated she then made contact with Ms. at her residence. Officer Foster stated that she was able to pick up an invoice for Kodi's care along with a type letter from the . The letter from the denied their dog was involved with the attack but did offer Ms. a settlement amount in the sum of $800 dollars for her costs. During Officer Foster's meeting with Ms. it was brought up that Mr. currently is employed by and that contact may be made with him at his place of employment if a meeting was unsuccessfull at his residence.

On 06/12/14 at 0839 hours I Officer Meek 2015 responded to to follow up on a leash law complaint. I arrived at the address and found the front gate to be shut. I asked the dispatch department to make contact with the Prathers. I was advised that no contact was made with either Mr. or Ms. I posted a notice on the front gate advising the s if contact was not made with Pima Animal Care Center within twenty four (24) hours I would attempt contact at their places of employment.

On 09/14/14 at 0832 hour Pima Animal Care Center received a call from Ms. the victim dog owner in the complaint. Ms. called to inquire the status of the complaint and asked whether she needed to hire an attorney.

On 06/14/14 at 1008 hours Pima Animal Care Center dispatch department attempted to contact the with no success and indicated a message was left. An alternate telephone number was used and contact was made with r. The dispatcher advised Mr. that an Officer was in the area and wanted to meet with him. Mr. advised the dispatcher that it was not a convienent time.

On 06/14/14 at 1730 hours Investigator Carver badge number 1901 responded to in an attempt to make contact with the s. Investigator Carver stated he arrived at the dog owners residence and observed a vehicle pulling into the driveway. Investigator Carver observed a young woman walking out and yelled "NO" and the gate was shut. Investigator Carver also indicated that the male driver of the vehicle stated "I'm just here for the party, you know Father's Day." Investigator Carver again had the dispatch department attempt contact with the Prathers and was advised no one was home. Investigator Carver stated he posted a notice on the front gate requesting contact and advising the Prathers the complaint would not be closed unitl they met with an Officer.

DD1

esidence. Ms. advised Investigator Carver that after her meeting with Officer Foster on 05/26/14 Mr. r came to her residence approximately ten (10) minutes after she left. Ms. advised Investigator that Mr. still was adamant that his dog was not involved with the attack on Kodi. Ms. t went on to advise Investigator that Mr. again offered her $800 dollars to settle the matter. Investigator Carver indicated in his notes that Mr. must have been home at the time of Officer Foster's arrival when he said he was not. Investigator Carver recommended contacting Mr. supervisor in an effort to get the complaint resolved.

On 06/15/14 at 1655 hours Investigator Klein badge number 1926 made contact with Mr. via telephone on line number 5928 which is also recorded. Investigator Klein stated she would be able to meet with Mr. r this evening (06/14) to resolve the complaint. Investigator Klein stated that Mr. r said he would not meet with her as it was Father's Day. Investigator Klein went on to state she asked Mr. r when he would be available to meet. Investigator Klein stated that Mr. advised her that he has already provided his availibilty and Investigator Klein stated that Officers have been attemptimg to accommodate him and he has not been available. Investigator Klein stated that she suggested that it may be easier for him to come to Pima Animal Care Center to meet and Investigator Klein stated that Mr. advised her that if a Supervisor called him he would make arrangements. Investigator Klein stated she advised Mr. that a Supervisor has already attempted to make contact with him earlier in the day.

Investigator Klein then stated that Mr. r stated that he would not take care of the issue until an Officer came to his property to remove the red tape that was left on his gate. Mr. believes his gate has been ruined. Investigator Klein stated that she would have an Officer meet with him today to remove the tape and meet with him and asked if he would be home. Investigator Klein stated Mr. then hung up on her.

Subsequently Investigator Klein stated she checked the license and vaccination status of the dog named Gracie. Investigator Klein stated she was able to find that Gracie's license was expired but had a current rabies vaccination.

On 06/15/14 at 2005 hour Pima Animal Care Center received an anonymous complaint from a male caller. The male caller was upset about the marks left on his gate by Pima Animal Care Center Officers. The male caller advised the dispatcher that no Officer was allowed on his property and indicated that his property started at three driveways. The male caller stated that he was upset about the times the Officers had responded to his residence and that he had been unable to reach a supervisor. The dispatcher stated that the male caller spent the next twenty (20) minutes repeatedly calling back stating that he wanted to speak to a Supervisor and to make complaints about the Officers involved in the activity. The dispatcher indicated that the male caller refused to be transferred to a Supervisor. The dispatcher indicated that on the final call the male caller stated that he was available to meet with an Officer.

On 06/16/14 at 1058 hours I Officer Meek badge number 2015 and Officer Kirby badge number 2057 responded to ( ) to meet with Ms. We were able to meet with Ms. landlord who showed us to Ms. Officer. We knocked at the door and were able to meet with Ms.

DD1

was currently on maternity leave and would not be back to the office until September first. We provided a notice to Ms. business partner again advising that the complaint would not go away until contact was made with an Officer.

On 06/17/14 at approximately 1735 hours Investigator Carver badge number 1901 stated he met with both Mr. and Mrs. at their residence. Investigator Carver stated he was able to obtain Ms. Arizona Drivers license. Investigator Carver stated he issued Ms. the appropriate citations. Investigator Carver stated he advised Ms. that with the citations she would need to appear in court and provided her with the date. Investigator Carver stated that Ms. stated she understood her need to appear and signed her copy of the citations. 2015

Officer's Signature: Date: 06/18/14

DD #2

COMPLAINT # 14 161172
OFFICER # CARVER 1901
DATE: 12-11-14

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 243-5900, option 3 FAX (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

_____ An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

* An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

_____ An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

THE DOG KING HAS BEEN INVOLVED IN 3 INCIDENTS OF ATTACKING OTHER DOGS WITH EACH ONE BEING PROGRESSIVELY MORE SEVERE. THE LAST ONE RESULTED IN LIFE THREATENING INJURIES FOR ANOTHER DOG ALONG WITH BITES TO 2 PEOPLE. THE DOG KING IS DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS

#1601

OWNER: BOGGS
ADDRESS:
PHONE:

ANIMAL NAME: KING
ANIMAL ID#: A401760
SEX: M COLOR: BRN BREED: PIT BULL

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE .

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.

DD2

NVESTIGATION REPORT

'ima County Health Department
'ima Animal Care Center
000 N. Silverbell Rd.
'ucson, Arizona 85745
'hone: (520) 243-5900
'ax: (520) 243-5960
/ww.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT: Boggs		ACO NAME / BADGE #: Harrington 1959	COMPLAINT NUMBER: A14-149343
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS		BITE ☐ WELFARE ☐ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☒	
ZIP / CITY / STATE / RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		CODE IF OTHER: Leash Law/ Biting Animal	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		CI ☐ CO ☒ OTHER ☐	
ZIP / CITY / STATE / BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX / WEIGHT / HEIGHT / EYES / HAIR COLOR / ORIGIN		DOB	SSN: n/a
LOCATION OF INCIDENT	DATE AND TIME REPORTED: 06/11/14 / 18:07	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED: 06/11/14 / 18:00	
FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☒ Biting/LL			

DES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR AIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this ase

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this ase.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-05 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME	DOB	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO.
VICTIM'S ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY	STATE
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY	STATE

AME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE #: ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS CTIM

LATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

HONE NUMBER

☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: PART OF BODY BITTEN:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED / RELEASE DATE:	PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐		FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐

WFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE GNATURE

CLINIC'S ADDRESS	QUARANTINE 10 ☒ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐	☐ FRA HEAD#	
3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☒ NO ☐	CITING ACO: Harrington 1959	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☒ NO ☐	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER: A13-119442
CODE/ORD VIOLATED: 6.04.120(B)(2), 6.04.030, 6.04.070, 11-1010(A)ARS			OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS
CITATIONS/NUMBERS: 72120 A-D			REVIEWED BY 6-12-14 DTK 1911
			BOND YES ☐ NO ☐

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
it Bull	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	King	Brindle	M	A	Cited	Cited		A401760
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								

ITNESS 1	M ☐ F ☒	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #: 479-420-7933 / BUSINESS PHONE #
ITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
ITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
ITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #

DD 2

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-149343

ACO name & Badge: Harrington #1959

On 6/11/14 around 18:49 hours, I, Officer Harrington #1959 arrived and met with . Mr. stated that he was out walking his Border Collie Toby on a leash near the address of \ when a large brindle Pit Bull charged from the yard and attacked Toby. During the attack Mr. scraped up his knees while holding the leash for his dog. Mr. Seiber was able to pull the Pit Bull off of his dog and with the assistance of another witness, return the Pit Bull to its yard. Mr. r stated that Toby was not injured during the attack. Ms. was on scene and I verified that she witnessed the attack. Mr. r is requesting that the dog owner be cited for Leash law and Biting Animal.

I arrived at the dog owners address and found a large brindle Pit Bull in the yard. Ms. walked me to the gate where the dog had escaped. She had secured the gate with a chain and some baling wire. At this time the dog owner, Mr. arrived home. I spoke with Mr. regarding the incident. He showed me his brindle male Pit Bull King. Mr. secured the gate with some chain and a lock. I walked around the property and determined that the confinement was secure. I issued Mr. citations for Leash Law and Biting Animal on behalf of Mr. r. I also issued No License and No Rabies Vaccination to Mr. as King was currently expired. Mr. signed and received his copy of the citations and was made aware of his court date.

Officer's Signature: 1959 **Date:** 6/12/14

DD #3

COMPLAINT # A14-1102079
OFFICER # 1926 KLEIN
DATE: DEC. 10. 2014

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) ~~243-5900~~, option 3 ~~FAX (520) 243-5960~~
www.pimaanimalcare.org 724-5900

DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

_____ An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

_____ An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

__*__ An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

ON DECEMBER 10, 2014 THE PITBULL MIX NAMED LEXI, OWNED BY ROBERT JAXEL WAS DECLARED VICIOUS BY CITY OF TUCSON JUDGE KLOTZ.

EK #1926
E. KLEIN

OWNER: JAXEL RD77357
ADDRESS:
PHONE:

ANIMAL NAME: LEXI
ANIMAL ID#: A3104426
SEX: F COLOR: BLK BREED: PITBULL MIX

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE .

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.

DD3

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT: Jaxel		ACO NAME / BADGE #: T.Foster 2042, D.Downing 1923	COMPLAINT NUMBER: A14-154463
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS		BITE ☒ WELFARE ☐ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP / CITY / STATE	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER	CODE IF OTHER :	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS: Unk		CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP: N/A / CITY: N/A / STATE: A/Z	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER: N/A	DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX / WEIGHT / HEIGHT / EYES	HAIR COLOR / ORIGIN	DOB	SSN: Not Given

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☒ NO ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)

LOCATION OF INCIDENT	DATE AND TIME REPORTED: 08/25/14 / 08:17	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED: 08/25/14 / 07:45	
FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐			
VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME	DOB	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO.: UNK
VICTIM'S ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY	STATE
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS: UNK	ZIP	CITY	STATE

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED: YES ☐ NO ☒	RESTITUTION REQUESTED: YES ☒ NO ☐	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE #: ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST: ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

☒ VIOLATION / ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: 2-Puncture / PART OF BODY BITTEN: Leg	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED: 08/28/14 / RELEASE DATE: 09/03/14 — PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☒
VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE: YES ☒ NO ☐	FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE: 10 ☒ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐	☐ FRA HEAD#	
3RD PARTY CITATIONS: YES ☒ NO ☐	CITING ACO: 2042	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS: YES ☒ NO ☐	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER: A12-099102	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS
CODE/ORD VIOLATED: 4-97; 4-7(2)(B)				REVIEWED BY: Zerr. Konst 8/29
CITATIONS/NUMBERS: #72346 (A, B)				BOND: YES ☐ NO ☒

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Pit bull mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Lexi	Blk/Wh	F	5	L15-176172		N	A310426
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐								

WITNESS 1 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

DD3

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-154463

ACO name & Badge: T. Foster 2042

08/26/14 10:36 Officer D. Downing #1923 arrived at . and met with reference to the bite incident that took place on 08/25/14. Ms. stated to Officer Downing that on 08/25/14 at approximately 07:45 hours she was walking southbound on the west side of and turned east onto . while walking her puppy. She stated that as they turned the corner she observed a black terrier type dog that was loose with it's owner in the driveway of . She went on to state that as they were in front of the black dog exited it's yard and charged at her puppy in an attempt to attack it. She stated that she placed herself in between the attacking dog and her puppy to prevent her show quality puppy from being attacked. Ms. stated that while the dog was trying to attack her puppy she was bitten on her leg by the attacking dog. She stated that the man who was in the front yard with the dog never came and got his dog. She stated that he was yelling at the dog to come back but did not come to her aid.

Ms. also stated that she was wearing a leg brace when this incident happened since she has a pre-existing injury and stated that her leg was re-injured during the scuffle. Ms. told Officer Downing that she wanted restitution and in lieu of that she is requesting citations be issued to the dog owner on her behalf.

08/28/14 15:08 I, Officer Foster 2042 arrived at and observed a white mini van pull into the driveway as I was exiting the Pima Animal Care vehicle. I asked the man who got out of the van if he was and he stated that he was. I then stated the reason for my visit. Mr. invited me inside his home and stated that his dog did not bite that lady and that he believed she was crazy. I responded by stating that I am not able to determine who is or is not telling the truth when I respond to a bite complaint. Mr. stated that he understood. I then requested proof of a current Pima County dog license and proof of current rabies vaccinations. Mr. . was able to supply me with proof of both current rabies vaccination and a license. I then photographed his license paperwork. While there I also met with Mr. wife. Both husband and wife freely admit to the situation that took

DD 3

place on 08/25/14 but dispute that a bite took place. They stated that Lexi has issues with other dogs but that they do not believe she would bite a person. I explained that Lexi the biting dog would need to be quarantined to their property and completed a Home Quarantine form while in the home. I explained the terms of the quarantine to the s and asked for one of them to sign it if they agreed to the terms. Mrs. signed the form and accepted a copy. I then asked the couple if they had spoken with the victim since the incident. The s stated that they have not. I then stated that I believed that she may be requesting citations and offered to contact the victim and find out for sure what she wanted. The agreed and I returned to the PACC vehicle to place the call.

08/28/14 15:30 I spoke with on my personal cell phone. I stated my name and the reason for my call and asked Ms. if she had a moment to speak with me. I explained that I had confirmed that the dog is current on her Rabies vaccination and that I needed to know if she is requesting citations be issued. Ms. stated that she has not yet seen her orthopedic specialist for her knee and that what she really wanted from the dog owners was a heartfelt apology and a promise to make sure it never happens again. I responded that I am unable to compel an apology on her behalf. She then stated that I should just issue the citations for her. Ms. also recounted the bite incident completely for me and I was able to confirm the notes created by Officer Downing on 08/26/14. I thanked Ms. for her time and returned to the residence.

I again knocked on the front door of the home and was again invited inside. I stated that the victim has requested that I issue citations. Mr. is the registered owner and the person who was with the dog when it bit Ms. As such I felt he was the most responsible party. I then requested a copy of Mr. s license. He complied and I completed citations for a leash law violation and a biting animal violation. Mr. acknowledged, signed, and accepted his citations. I then returned his license to him and provided him with his court date, time, and location. I then thanked Mr. and Mrs. for their time and cooperation.

Officer's Signature: S. Foster 2042 Date: 8/29/14

DP #4

COMPLAINT # 14 16922
OFFICER # CARVER 1901
DATE: 12-15-14

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
7245900, option 3
www.pimaanimalcare.org

DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

_____ An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

_____ An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

X An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:
ON 12/5/14 the DOG Shiloh BELONGING to SABRINA RINGQUIST WAS DECLARED VICIOUS IN TUCSON City Court By JUDGE CHAYET.

1401

OWNER: Ringquist
ADDRESS:
PHONE:

ANIMAL NAME: Shiloh
ANIMAL ID#: A475986
SEX: M COLOR: B/W BREED: G RET

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE .

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.

DD 4

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT: Ringqust		ACO NAME / BADGE #: T. Haynes #2032	COMPLAINT NUMBER: A14-157108
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS		BITE ☒ WELFARE ☐ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP / CITY / STATE / RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		CODE IF OTHER :	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP / CITY / STATE / BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX / WEIGHT / HEIGHT / EYES / HAIR COLOR / ORIGIN		DOB	SSN
LOCATION OF INCIDENT	DATE AND TIME REPORTED: 10/02/14 / 1012 hours	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED: 10/02/14 / 1012 hours	

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☒ NO ☐

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☒ Attempt to Bite

☒ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO.
VICTIM'S ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY	STATE
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY	STATE

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED: YES ☐ NO ☒	RESTITUTION REQUESTED: YES ☐ NO ☒	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # E142750394 ☐ SO ☒ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:
FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:			
☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: / PART OF BODY BITTEN:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER
DATE QUARANTINED / RELEASE DATE:	PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐		
VET CLINIC	PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐	FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
CLINIC'S ADDRESS	QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐	☐ FRA HEAD#	
3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☒ NO ☐	CITING ACO: T. Haynes #2032	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☒ NO ☐	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER: A14—144898
OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS: A14-147334, A14-148135			
CODE/ORD VIOLATED: 4-97, 4-7(2)(B), 4-81		REVIEWED BY 10-7-14 DTK1911	
CITATIONS/NUMBERS: 73255, 73256		BOND YES ☐ NO ☐	

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Golden Retriever	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Shiloh	Red/White	M	2 Y		223427		Agg	A475986
Golden Retriever	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Noah	Red/White	M	8 M		Cited		Norm	A497336
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1	M ☐ F ☒	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☒	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☒ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4		DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #

DD 4

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-157108

ACO name & Badge: T. Haynes #2032

On October 2, 2014 at approximately 1057 hours I, Officer T. Haynes #2032, arrived at and met with Tucson Police in regards to a complaint of the dogs at this address being at large, chasing people and trying to bite. We went to the residence and met with the dog owners . I explained that this was not the first call that we had responded to at this address for the same complaint and asked about license and rabies vaccinations for the dogs that reside at this address. Mrs. provided me with the information for Shiloh and advised that there was a second dog, Noah, that was current on rabies vaccination, but it belonged to her father who lives in Mexico and was not current on license. Mr. did not have a current license on his dog, Chowder. I requested their identifications and they both provided me with their Arizona Driver's Licenses. I requested that they bring out Noah and Chowder so I could photograph them. They brought out both dogs, one at a time and photos were obtained. I explained that they were going to be receiving citations and that I would return once my investigation was complete.

The officer and I then left the residence and he advised that a second officer, Officer Carter #53652, had been the initial officer on scene and observed two of the dogs from this address chasing an unknown female on a bicycle northbound on Park. He also advised that Officer Carter had gotten those two dogs back into their yard prior to his arrival. He advised that there were two separate neighbors that had encounters with the dogs today and they both wanted citations issued. He provided me with their names and information. He then cleared the scene.

I then went to speak with the first individual, She stated that this morning, October 2, 2014, at approximately 1005 hours she was returning home on her bicycle with her dog when, as she turned east onto from Ave, she noticed movement across the street at She said that she is diligent about watching the property because she has had encounters with the dogs at the address in the past acting aggressively, chasing her and her children. She said that as she came to her driveway, she

DD 4

observed two dogs come off of the property at and run towards her. She said that they were both barking at her as she headed up her driveway. She immediately dumped her bike, pushed her dog in the house, and then went into the house. She said that one dog stopped in the middle of the street and the second stopped at the end of her driveway but continued to bark at her. She said that she then went to her front door and it was at this time that she noticed her neighbor a couple of doors down out in her yard. The dogs noticed the neighbor at this time also and ran down the street and into her yard. Mrs. said that she observed the dogs barking and charging at the neighbor and the neighbor trying to fend them off. Mrs. said this is when she called 911.
Mrs. is willing to testify to what she observed in court.

I then went and spoke to the second woman that had an encounter with the dogs today. and she stated that she was out in her yard doing some yard work at approximately 1012 hours today (10/02/14) when she saw the three dogs, two red ones, and a tannish one, that reside at , out of their yard. She said that she was familiar with these dogs and that they have been terrorizing the neighborhood for several months. She said that she has made attempts to speak with the dogs' owner but it has been in vain. Because of her familiarity with these dogs and how aggressive they are, she said that she quickly headed to her front door and was trying to unlock the door when the two red ones charged at her, with their hackles up, barking, growling, and bearing their teeth. She said that the more aggressive of the two dogs had a green bandana on and seemed to initiate the attacks. She said that she had a shovel and kept swinging it at them to fend them off. She said that the two attacking dogs got to the edge of the patio, about five feet away, and continued to lunge at her. She said that the third dog, the large tan one, stayed way back at the edge of the yard and just barked, as if it was just following along but it never made any attempts to charge her and she said that she never felt threatened by that dog. She said that after several minutes of swinging the shovel at the dogs and fumbling with the keys in the door she was finally able to get it unlocked. It was at about this time, she said that, Tyler Owens, one of the other residents of the home, came to the door and observed the two dogs barking, growling, and bearing their teeth at Mrs. He was able to help Mrs. into the house and the dogs ran off.

Mrs. said that at some point during this encounter she observed the large tan dog turn around and go back to . Mrs. said that the neighbor across the street at also attempted to help stop the attack by trying to get the dogs' attention but was unsuccessful.

Mrs. said that after she was inside she was standing at the closed screed door and observed the two red dogs chasing another neighbor down the street on her bicycle. She did not know that individual's name or where on the street she resided.

Mrs. is requesting citations for leash law on all three dogs and attempt to bite for the two red dogs.

DD4

I then went to speak with the neighbor at and met with She said that she heard the neighbor across the street screaming and saw the dogs trying to attack her. Mrs. said that she grabbed a hoe and went outside to try and distract the dogs. Mrs. said that she was not able to get the dog's attention but that the neighbor was able to get inside her home. She said that she did observe the dogs chasing another woman down the street on her bicycle but did not know exactly who she was.

Mrs. is willing to testify to what she saw today.

I then went back to Mr. and Ms. and advised them of what I had found out and the citations that would be issued to them.

I requested that they bring out the Golden Retriever, Shiloh, for photographs and when he was brought out, Shiloh had a green bandana around his neck, just as the neighbor had described.

Citations 73255 and 73256 were issued to Ms. for no license on Noah and leash law and biting animal (attempt to bite) on Noah and Shiloh. Citations, court date, time and location were explained to Ms. She acknowledged, signed and received a copy of the citations. Her Arizona Driver's License was then returned to her.

I was then asked by the residents to look at the fencing to see what they could do to help keep the dogs in. We went out into the back yard and I observed the common fence between their yard and to be falling down. I also found the latch to the gate to be insufficient and would not latch properly. I provided them with a Premise Inspection to fix and/or replace the fence by 10/14/14.

The remainder of the fence appeared to be in good repair.

Officer's Signature: Date: 10·05·14

PD #5

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 243-5900, option 3 FAX (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

COMPLAINT # A14-162284.
OFFICER # 1942 Eckelbarger
DATE: 12-22-14.

DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

_____ An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

_____ An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

X An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

The dog "Chulo" was declared vicious by Tucson City Court Judge Bemiing on 12-18-14.

OWNER: Fernandez
ADDRESS:
PHONE:

ANIMAL NAME: Chulo
ANIMAL ID#: A421573
SEX: N COLOR: ~~tan~~ BREED: Pitbull

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE .

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.

DD 5

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT: › Fernandez		ACO NAME / BADGE #: Windauer #1984	COMPLAINT NUMBER: A14-156730
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS		BITE ☒ WELFARE ☐ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP / CITY / STATE / RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		CODE IF OTHER :	
EMPLOYER BUSINESS ADDRESS		CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP / CITY / STATE / BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX / WEIGHT / HEIGHT / EYES / HAIR COLOR / ORIGIN		DOB	SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☒ NO ☐	LOCATION OF INCIDENT	DATE AND TIME REPORTED 9-26-14 / 1852	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 9-26-14 / 1845

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO.
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY	STATE
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY	STATE

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☒ NO ☐	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:
				FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☒ VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: 3	TREATED BY UMC	PHONE NUMBER 694-7547	DATE QUARANTINED 10-1-14
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM	☐ NON-VIOLATION	PART OF BODY BITTEN: arms, legs, trunk			RELEASE DATE: 10-5
PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☒ NO ☐	
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐	☐ FRA HEAD#	
	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☒ NO ☐	CITING ACO same	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☒ NO ☐	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-97, 4-7(2)(B), 4-81				REVIEWED BY
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS 72595 A-C				BOND YES ☐ NO ☒

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Pit bull mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Chulo	Brn w/wht	M	6y	L14-	194345	Exp 2/16/17	N	A421573
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

DD5

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-156730

ACO Name & Badge: Windauer #1984

On October 1, 2014 at approximately 1930 hours I, Officer Windauer met with bite victim at his apartment at reference a bite that occurred September 26, 2014.

Mr. told me the dog that bit him lives at property to north of his apartment on the other side of the block wall. He said at approximately 1845 hours on September 26, 2014 he had been walking along the west side of street walking northbound when he saw several members of the dog owner's family standing next to a vehicle parked along the northernmost driveway gate. He said the gate was open and the two pit bull mixes that lived there came out of the gate. I was told the male dog ran up at him and started biting him on his arms, torso and upper legs. He said at one point he was on the ground and the dog dragged him causing road rash on the front of his knee.

In that area on his leg I saw a scab approximately 1/2" wide by 1" long. I was shown bite wounds and bruises on his left forearm and right forearm, a wound on his back and a large bruise on one thigh and a bite wound on the other thigh. He said his clothes had also been damaged in the attack. Mr. said he had been reluctant to go to the hospital but his landlord had persuaded him to go. I took pictures of Mr. injuries.

We then walked to the front of the property where Mr. pointed to the neighbor's two pit mixes barking at us. I saw the block wall was approximately 5 foot high at rear and maybe 4 1/2' towards front. I took a picture of the two dogs inside the yard. Mr. identified the male dog, larger of the two dogs as the dog that had bitten him. I asked if he wished to pursue prosecution and he said yes. While checking for access to property, I saw no house number, so I called Dispatch to check Parcel Search and licensing records. I was advised the house number was and that a possible dog owner was with a listing for a dog named Patty.

I then called to the house and a hispanic looking man came outside. I explained who I was and why I was there and asked if he was the dog owner. He said he was. I then asked if the dogs were licensed and vaccinated because we needed to do a quarantine. He said he didn't understand much English. I then explained about quarantine procedures and he said that didn't

DD5

interest him. As he walked back towards the house I advised we had to do a quarantine and I needed his cooperation. He was still not interested. I advised the man that I was calling for Tucson Police to respond and then he also started calling someone. I then called our Dispatch to request Tucson Police to respond and I waited.

While waiting, the victim's landlord arrived and attempted to talk with the dog owner. A few words were exchanged in Spanish and then it ended and both men walked away. When Tucson Police Officer Macias #35656 arrived, the dog owner came back outside. Officer Macias spoke with the owner and also a young woman that had arrived. He advised in Spanish what was needed. We were then told a different beginning to the incident that ended with the victim being bit. The dog's owner refused to accept citations for the incident and maintained he had no identification, that it had been taken by other law enforcement. Officer Macias advised the man that unless he cooperated , he would have to arrest him. The young woman and a middle aged woman that had arrived and also appeared to be family appealed to the man again, seeking his identification and cooperation. This finally happened and the citations were issued to Mr. The family then maintained the male dog hadn't bitten just the female dog-Patty. I verified with Mr. Again he was 100% certain the male dog had been the biter. I requested the biter dog be brought out and put in my truck. Mr. wanted me to remove dog from house and I advised I wasn't going inside their house to take the dog. He then brought the dog out, but it was the wrong dog. Mr. then returned Patty to house and put Chulo in my vehicle. I provided him with a copy of the citations and a copy of the impound notice.

Officer's Signature: Date: 10/2/14

DD #6

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 243-5900, option 3 FAX (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

COMPLAINT # A14-162288
OFFICER # 1442 Edrelbarger
DATE: 12-20-14

DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

_____ An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

_____ An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

✓ An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

The dog "Sweet Pea" was declared vicious by Tucson City Court Judge Cranshaw on 12-15-14.

OWNER: Verdugo
ADDRESS:
PHONE:

ANIMAL NAME: Sweet Pea
ANIMAL ID#: A124529
SEX: F COLOR: Blk Brown BREED: Chihuahua

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE .

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.

DDb

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT: Verdugo		ACO NAME / BADGE #: 1942 Eckelbarger	COMPLAINT NUMBER: A14-156095
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS		BITE ☒ WELFARE ☐ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP / CITY / STATE / RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		CODE IF OTHER :	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP / CITY / STATE / BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX / WEIGHT / HEIGHT / EYES / HAIR COLOR / ORIGIN		DOB	SSN
LOCATION OF INCIDENT	DATE AND TIME REPORTED: 9-17-14 / 1250	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED: 9-17-14 / 1200	
FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐			
VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO.
VICTIM'S ADDRESS		ZIP	CITY / STATE
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		ZIP	CITY / STATE

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☒ NO ☐

☒ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED: YES ☐ NO ☒	RESTITUTION REQUESTED: YES ☐ NO ☒	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE #: ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:
				FOLLOW UP REQUEST: ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☒ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: 2 / PART OF BODY BITTEN: R-Leg	TREATED BY: Self	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED: 9-20-14 / RELEASE DATE: 9-26-14	PACC ☒ VET ☐ HOME ☐
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM / PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE: YES ☒ NO ☐		FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE: 10 ☒ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐		☐ FRA HEAD#	
	3RD PARTY CITATIONS: YES ☒ NO ☐	CITING ACO: 2002 Konst	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS: YES ☐ NO ☒	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS	
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED: 4-7 (2)(B), 4-97				REVIEWED BY: 2002 KONST 9/27	
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS: 72915 (B-C)				BOND: YES ☐ NO ☒	

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Chihuahua mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Sweet Pea	Black/Tan	F	8yr		L14-233698	Current	Ok	A124529
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

DD 6

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-156095

ACO name & Badge:1942 Eckelbarger

On 9-19-14 at 1020 hours, I Investigator Eckelbarger (1942) responded to St where I met with bite victim, who stated on 9-17-14 at approximately 1200 hours she was walking her baby in a stroller in the St when she observed two small black and brown Chihuahua dogs out loose near She stated the dogs then charged at her and the "Chubbier" one charged at her stroller so she turned the stroller away and the dog bit her on the right leg causing punctures and bruising. I took photographs of her bite wounds and attached to the activity.

Ms. requested citations for the incident. I then responded to the dog owner's address at 1030 W. Lincoln St where I met with (mother of the dog owner- stated that her daughter (dog owner- was at work and usually is available after 1600 hours. I advised of the bite report and she showed me Chihuahua "Sweet Pea" who was indoors upon my response. I took a photograph of Sweet Pea. I also observed the skinnier Chihuahua out loose next door. stated she was not sure who the other Chihuahua belongs to, but has seen the dog in the area for a while and stated sometimes the dog comes up on their property, but they do not own that dog. stated they don't close their gate sometimes and that may have been how Sweet Pea got out loose.

I then attempted to capture the stray skinnier Chihuahua, but it ran down the alley and I lost it.

I then responded back to the victims where Ms. identified Sweet Pea as the biter dog.

On 9-20-14 at 0850 hours I responded to the dog owner's address at where I met with the mother of the dog owner again. I then impounded the biter dog Sweet Pea for quarantine. release date 9-26-14. I issued a copy of the quarantine form to give to . I also spoke to owner, on the phone and advised to come to the Pima Animal Care Center on 9-26-14 to pickup Sweet Pea.

PD6

She stated she didn't think her dog bit advising there is another Chihuahua that looks like Sweet Pea. I observed the 2nd stray Chihuahua. I was unable to capture this dog again, but I was able to take photographs of it and attached to activity for comparison purposes. The victim described the two black and tan Chihuahuas; one chubbier and one skinnier.

On 9-26-14 at 1600 hours, Supervisor Konst (2002) met with dog owner, at the Pima Animal Care Center after the owner came in to redeem Sweet Pea from quarantine. Supervisor Konst then issued citations for biting animal and leash law as requested by the victim. Ms. then signed and received her copies of the citations.

Officer's Signature: 1942 Date: 9-27-14

DD #7

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) ~~743-7550~~ FAX (520) ~~743-9581~~
www.pimaanimalcare.org 724-5900

CASE NO: A14-1101700
OWNER: J. TELLEZ
ANIMAL NAME: BRANSON

ADDRESS:
SEX: M BREED: PITBULL
COLOR: CHOC / WHT DATE: 12-11-14

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:

NON-VIOLATION BITE	+ 3	
VIOLATION-BITE	+ 6	+6

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)

NO BREAK IN SKIN	+ 1	
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING	+ 2	
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED)	+ 3	
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT	+ 4	+4
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM	+ 4	
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION)	+ 5	

Animal Complaints or Violations:

LEASH LAW CITATIONS	+ 2	+2
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS	+ 1	
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS	+ 2	
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS	+ 3	+3
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS	+ 1	+1

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY	+ 1	
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER	+ 2	
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits)	+ 3	
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS)	+ 4	+4
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH	+ 5	

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)

(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)

SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES	- 5	
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES	+ 5	+5

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:

REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT	- 3	-3
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED	- 1	
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION	+ 1	+1
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT	+ 5	+5
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # _____	- 1	
NO CURRENT LICENSE	+ 1	+1
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION	+ 1	+1

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):

(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)

ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE	- 3	-3
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE	- 3	-3
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <5X/YR	+ 1	
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >5X/YR	+ 2	
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE	+ 2	

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)

ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY	+ 2	+2
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE	- 2	
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR	+ 1	

Confinement / Fencing:

THE PROPERTY DOES NOT HAVE A FENCE. A SMALL WOODEN PEN HAS BEEN PLACED NEAR THE BACK PATIO. IT IS NOT SECURE AND DOES NOT HAVE A SECURE GATE.

General Comments:

BRANSON WAS AT LARGE ON 11.28.14 WHEN HE ATTACKED A HUMAN AND A DOG CAUSING SIGNIFICANT INJURY TO BOTH. HE HAS DISPLAYED EXTREME ANIMAL AGGRESSION WHILE AT PACC. BRANSON IS DEEMED DANGEROUS. E. KLEIN

EK OFFICER # 1926

TOTAL SCORE: +26

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

X DANGEROUS
_____ NOT DANGEROUS

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure ,bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threating manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threating manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC **IN PERSON.**

ATT

PACC-DD1

DD7

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT: Tellez		ACO NAME / BADGE #: Klein 1926	COMPLAINT NUMBER: A14-160905
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS		BITE ☒ WELFARE ☐ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP / CITY / STATE / RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		CODE IF OTHER :	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP / CITY / STATE / BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX / WEIGHT / HEIGHT / EYES / HAIR COLOR / ORIGIN		DOB	SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☒ NO ☐

LOCATION OF INCIDENT | DATE AND TIME REPORTED: 11-28-14 / 1715 | DATE AND TIME OCCURRED: 11-28-14 / 1700

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☒ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME | D.O.B. | RESIDENCE PHONE NO. | BUSINESS PHONE NO.

VICTIM'S ADDRESS | ZIP | CITY | STATE

VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS | ZIP | CITY | STATE

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☒ NO ☐ | RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☒ NO ☐ | DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER | OTHER AGENCY CASE # 1411280311 ☐ SO ☒ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER: | FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

☒ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION | BITE SEVERITY: 3 | PART OF BODY BITTEN: arms | TREATED BY: Urgent Care | PHONE NUMBER | DATE QUARANTINED: 11-28-14 | RELEASE DATE: | PACC ☒ VET ☐ HOME ☐

VET CLINIC: Valley Animal Hospital | PHONE NUMBER: 520-748-0331 | OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☒ NO ☐ | FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS: 4984 E 22nd st | QUARANTINE 10 ☒ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐ | ☐ FRA HEAD#

3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☒ NO ☐ | CITING ACO: Klein 1926 | PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒ | PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER | OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED: 4-97, 4-7(2)(B), 4-76, 4-81 | REVIEWED BY: 2002 Konst 12/6

CITATIONS/NUMBERS: 73741 A,B,C,D,E | BOND YES ☐ NO ☐

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Beagle	VICTIM ☒ OWNER ☐	Molly	Tri	F	8Y		073195	current	Inj	A119685
Pit	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Bronson	Choc/wh	M	3Y		cited	cited	ok	A502999
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1: TPD Officer Burson Badge 51077	M ☒ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS: 270 S.Stone	RESIDENCE PHONE #: 520-791-4925	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

DD 7

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-160905

ACO name & Badge:Klein Badge 1926

On November 28, 2014 at 1715 hours the Tucson Police Department (TPD) contacted the Pima County Animal Care Center (PACC) dispatch department and requested assistance regarding a bite. They provided the location of . No other information was given.

On November 28, 2014 at 1738 hours I , Investigator Klein Badge 1926 arrived at and met with Tucson Police Department (TPD) Officer Burson Badge 51077. Officer Burson stated he responded under TPD case 1411280311 as requested bv the Tucson Fire Department. Officer Burson stated was walking her Beagle named Molly on a leash in front of when the Pitbull known as Bronson who lives at came running across the street and started attacking Molly.

came running after Bronson and was able to take Bronson back to told Officer Burson that Bronson belongs to his roomate, ,who was currently at work. Mr. stated they have to keep Bronson separated from the other two dogs they are dog sitting or he will fight with them.

Officer Burson said the bite victim had left to take her dog for emergency medical treatment.

Officer Burson and I then went to , where we met with and Ms. stated Bronsons owner is , her son. I was cleaning blood off of Bronsons face as we talked. She explained that she does not live here and this was her first visit to the house. She said her son called her and asked her to come over to find out what happened. I asked if Bronson has a current rabies vaccination and license. She provided her address of and said Bronson might be registered to her address. I checked the PACC data base and found one female dog listed under Mr. and two female dogs listed under Ms. I did not find any license or rabies vaccination for the male Pitbull Bronson.

DD7

Mr. I offered to show me the pen that had been built for Bronson. He stated they have to keep Bronson in the pen because he will fight with the dogs they are sitting. He said he does not know how Bronson gets out and added that he told Mr. when Bronson had gotten out the day before. The pen does not have a secure latch or secure gate. When Mr. grabbed the sides of the wooden panels the entire pen moved back and forth. The property does not have any other type of fencing. I took photographs of the pen.

I explained that I would be impounding Bronson and he would have to remain at PACC for quarantine because they do not have adequate confinement or proof of a current rabies vaccination and license.

I also explained the possibility of citations and a dangerous dog assessment. I gave Mr. and Ms the PACC case number and contact information to be given to Mr. Mr Uhl then placed Bronson in a kennel on my vehicle.

Officer Burson and I then went to urgent care on Old Spanish Trail and met with Mrs. . I showed Mrs. the photograph I took of Bronson. Mrs identified the dog and stated she was walking her 8 year old Beagle named Molly on a leash like she does every night. She said the Pitbull came running across the street and grabbed Molly by the neck and violently shook Molly. When Mrs. tried to grab Molly the Pitbull then bit Mrs forearm and shook it. Mrs. was knocked to the ground. Several people came to help. Mrs. said the boy from finally came and got the Pitbull off of Molly. Mrs. rushed Molly to Valley Animal Hospital and was told she will require emergency surgery and will need to stay over night. I took several pictures of Mrs. hands and arms. They were wrapped in gauze and blood began to seep through the wraps. Mrs. requested citations, restitution and a dangerous dog assessment.

I then drove to Valley Animal Hospital and met with the medical staff treating Molly. I took several photographs of her injuries and was told that she is stable and will go into surgery tonight to repair the damage to her neck and ear. I provided my contact information and asked that the Veterinarian treating Molly fax me the medical records.

I then returned to PACC with Bronson. I placed a hold on his kennel card and observed extreme animal aggression from him as I placed him in the quarantine area at PACC.

On December 5, 2014 at 1636hours I arrived at and met with . Mr. provided his Arizona drivers license as identification. I explained the incident as it was explained to me. I issued citation 73741 for the leash law violation, Biting Animal (Human Bite), Biting Animal (dog on dog), No Rabies Vaccination, and No License. I provided Mr. with his signed copy and explained the court appearance.

DD7

I explained that due to the severity of injuries to the victim and her dog Bronson will be declared dangerous. I provided a dangerous dog pamphlet and explained the Order Of Compliance. I asked Mr. if he was going to be able to redeem Bronson and meet the requirements. He said he was not sure. I provided my contact information and informed Mr. and explained that if he does not make contact with me by the end of quarantine at 5 pm on December 7th 2014 Bronson will be put to sleep. Mr. understood and stated he will contact me. E.Klein Badge 1926

Officer's Signature: E.Klein **Date:** 12.6.14

E.Klein #1926

Pima Animal Care Center
Animals on Hold Report

Animals listed are currently listed as being on hold without an outcome date. They are grouped by the type of hold

kennel_no

HOLD TYPE ENFORCEMEN Number on Hold **12**

A12-102940

K14-175847 A247678 DOG SATIVA ROTTWEILER/

11/6/14 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN AGGRESSIVE Activity:A12-102940 **D002**

Kennel Comment: chip 494D4C3F3D
DO NOT RELEASE!
Bond hold.1926 SAFE LOCK

R

12/17/2014 ENFORCEM JCHAVEZ 12/17/14 17:42
12-16-14 OSC hearing scheduled for 1-2-15. 1914

11/17/2014 DTENKATE 11/17/14 13:35
11/16/14 The dog owner signed and received a copy of the Bond form and has until 11/26/14 7pm to post the bond amount of $675.00. (for an Order to Show Cause Hearing)

If the bond amount is not paid by 7pm on 11/26/14 the Rottweiler A247678 named Sativa will be forfeited to PACC. 1911

11/06/2014 ENFORCEM EKLEIN 11/6/14 20:29
11-6-14, Do not release Sativa. Owner must meet with enforcement.1926

11/10/2014 ENFORCEM JCHAVEZ 11/10/14 10:14
If Mr Westfall comes to redeem Sativa
(1)serve the premise inspection ordering a wellness exam be done on Patches by a licensed veterinarian to ensure she was not injured on November 3rd,2014. PACC will not be taking possession of her unless it is ordered by a judge because pacc has not received reports of patches displaying any aggression.
(2)Serve the Bond on Sativa.And explain to Mr Westfall that he MUST post all of the bond amount to PACC within 10 days. Not 10 business days but 10 straight days as pacc is open 7 days a week.
(3) issue the following citations regarding Sativa:70757.A,B,C,D,E DD at large,Preventing inspection of a DD,Failure To comply ,No Insurance ,No license and 70758 A,B,C no rabies vaccination,DD attack (attempt on the animals) ,DD attack (Attempt on a human)
(4) issue the following citations regarding Patches : 70759 A,B,C Leash Law, no License and No Rabies vaccination.

All of the documents are in a folder in my investigator box.
Once Mr Westfall has been served and the citations have been issued a copy of everything needs to be sent to Paula Perrera and Barbara Burstein. They are aware that Sativa is currently at PACC. 1926

11-10-14 The dog owner Mr. Westfall called the center to inquire about his dog being released. I advised him of the above pending actions and advised him he needed to come into PACC and meet with an investigator or supervisor either today before 7pm or on wednesday 11-12-14 before 7pm. 1914

12/11/2014 ENFORCEM JCHAVEZ 12/11/14 10:35
12-4-14 The bond was paid on 11-26-14. The dog will be held further until the Order to Show Cause hearing is set up and conducted. 1914

A14-141780

K15-179759 A456241 DOG NO NO PIT BULL/MIX

1/2/15 CONFISCATE FIELD AGGRESSIVE Activity:A14-141780 **DR016**

Kennel Comment: ((dangerous dog))

R

02/20/2014 DTENKATE 2/20/14 15:44
Bond Posted/ OSC Hearing set for 3/14/14. 1911

02/13/2014 SMONTANC 2/13/14 17:26
Dangeous Dog Hold.

01/03/2015 ENFORCEM EKLEIN 1/3/15 14:22
1-3-14, If anyone calls or comes to pacc asking about this dog they are to be refered to enforcement department.
This dog was declared dangerous and was moved out of pima county. This dog was not to be brought back to pima county. 1926

A14-160905

K14-177394 A502999 DOG BRONSON PIT BULL/

11/28/14 QUARANTINE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-160905 **DR003** R

Kennel Comment: 3c3c3c3c3
dd hold. read memo

11/28/2014 ENFORCEM EKLEIN 11/28/14 19:15
11-28-14,bronson is to be held for quarantine and a dd assessment. owner was not home at time of impound and still needs to be cited. when owner comes to pacc he must meet with enforcement.1926

12/11/2014 ENFORCEM JCHAVEZ 12/11/14 10:42
12-11-14
The dog is under a dangerous dog evaluation and placed on hold due to confinement issues and the severity of the incident. 1914

A14-162549

K14-179694 A398186 DOG TOOTSIE MIN PINSCHER/

12/31/14 CONFISCATE FIELD NORMAL Activity:A14-162549 **D038** R

Kennel Comment: owner P258247 requests A456789, A398186, and A505971 be kenneled together

01/05/2015 ENFORCEM JCHAVEZ 1/5/15 11:37
1-5-14 I spoke to the owner to inquire about the dog's shelter and pending redemption fees, he said he is currently working on the shelter and will redeem them before the release date. 1914

12/31/2014 DHINTE 12/31/14 22:05
12/31/14 1844 CONFISCATED FOR NEGLECT NO SHELTER HOLD FOR ACO TO VERIFY SHELTER OBTAINED...2019

K14-179695 A456789 DOG BALKO ROTTWEILER/

12/31/14 CONFISCATE FIELD NORMAL Activity:A14-162549 **D038** R

Kennel Comment: owner P258247 requests A456789, A398186, and A505971 be kenneled together

RESERVED

01/05/2015 ENFORCEM JCHAVEZ 1/5/15 11:36
1-5-14 I spoke to the owner to inquire about the dog's shelter and pending redemption fees, he said he is currently working on the shelter and will redeem them before the release date. 1914

12/31/2014 DHINTE 12/31/14 22:04
12/31/14 1844 CONFISCATED FOR NEGLECT NO SHELTER HOLD FOR ACO TO VERIFY SHELTER OBTAINED...2019

K14-179697 A505971 DOG SHORTY YORKSHIRE TERR/

12/31/14 CONFISCATE FIELD NORMAL Activity:A14-162549 **D038** R

Kennel Comment: owner P258247 requests A456789, A398186, and A505971 be kenneled together

01/05/2015 ENFORCEM JCHAVEZ 1/5/15 11:37
1-5-14 I spoke to the owner to inquire about the dog's shelter and pending redemption fees, he said he is currently working on the shelter and will redeem them before the release date. 1914

12/31/2014 DHINTE 12/31/14 22:04
12/31/14 1844 CONFISCATED FOR NEGLECT NO SHELTER HOLD FOR ACO TO VERIFY SHELTER OBTAINED...2019

A14-162845

K14-179545 A429827 DOG COOKIE LABRADOR RETR/MIX

12/30/14 STRAY FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-162845 **D029** R

Kennel Comment: microchip 0A13547C2A
came in with A505806

kennel_no

12/30/2014 ENFORCEM KWALTON 12/30/14 11:33

12-30-14 upon arrival I patrolled around for the 2 dogs. I located the dogs along with 2 police units and some workers and the public. The white male was a Pit/Sharpei mix with a 2 1/2 pnd weight clipped to a med-heavy chain. The seal/wht female was a Lab/Pit mix with a brn leather collar with studs and spikes. This dog A429827 had a chip 0A3547C2A and it came back to a Scott Mcgeal P307655. We tried calling the number and it was disconnected. The license is expired as of 4-4-14. The white dog was scanned no chip found. I impounded both dogs and took photos.
If owner tries to redeem, check to see if white dog is his as well and find out why there was a chain and weight around the neck. Also how the dogs got out. 1925

A14-162901

K14-179627 A505898 DOG PIT BULL/MIX

12/31/14 CONFISCATE POLICE NORMAL Activity:A14-162901 **D121** R

Kennel Comment: NO CHIP. 2021RT
3C 3C 3C 3C 3C 3C

RESERVED Christie Blair paid $50.00
R15-719549

12/31/2014 ENFORCEM RTOVAR 12/31/14 1:59

12/30/14 22:49 hours the puppy was confiscated as it was tied-out to a chair in the back area of a business at 901 N Grande Avenue. The puppy did not have shelter or water. Citations pending. 2021rt

A14-162925

K14-179662 A505926 DOG GERM SHEPHERD/MIX

12/31/14 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN UNDRAGE/WT Activity:A14-162925 **D003** R

Kennel Comment: No Chip Detected - On To Do List
3C 3C 3C

A15-162970

K15-179805 A506084 DOG SNOWY SIBERIAN HUSKY/MIX

1/2/15 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A15-162970 **D007** R

Kennel Comment: will scan at center3c3c3c

RESERVED
p359203

A15-163219

K15-179964 A506242 DOG GERM SHEPHERD/

1/5/15 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A15-163219 **DR002** R

Kennel Comment: 3c3c3c3c3c

no bite/unable to scan in field

NO ACTIVITY NUMBER RECORDED

K14-179649 A442834 DOG FIFTY GIRL PIT BULL/

12/31/14 STRAY OTC NORMAL Activity: **D102** R

Kennel Comment: no bite, 0A13507925 (copy sent to lic)

kennel_no

HOLD TYPE VET

Number on Hold **1**

A14-151102

K14-179620 A505891 DOG CHIHUAHUA SH/

12/30/14 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN ILL SEVERE Activity:A14-151102

CLINIC R

Kennel Comment: no chip/3c3c3c3
OWNER P358760 WILL REDEEM

01/07/2015 VET ASANCHEZ 1/7/15 13:48

VET HOLD FOR MONITOR OF WEIGHT GAIN AS URI SYPMTOMS RESOLVE AND TO DOCUMENT HAIR REGROWTH IN BODY REGIONS WHERE HYPERPIGMENTATION AND ALOPECIA EXSISTED.

RECHECK SCHEDULED FOR 1/14/15. BL/ 00

01/05/2015 ENFORCEM JCHAVEZ 1/5/15 9:38

12-31-14 Owner relinquished ownership of all the dogs and was cited for the violations. 1914

Donation Activity

Period: 12/1/14 To: 12/31/14

Donation Code	Amount
DONATION	$5.00
DONATION ADOP	$2,878.00
DONATION GEN	$59,626.48
DONATION OUTR	$417.00
DONATION S/N	$19,600.20
DONATION SAMS	$31,833.00
Grand Total	$114,359.68

Donation Activity

Period: 7/1/14 To: 12/31/14

Donation Code	Amount
DONATION	$130.00
DONATION ADOP	$5,258.86
DONATION GEN	$184,874.74
DONATION OUTR	$3,806.00
DONATION S/N	$83,752.46
DONATION SAMS	$43,984.50
DONATION SHEL 0974	$20,585.00
Grand Total	$342,391.56

Complaints and Commendations for the Month of December 2014

12-3-14 thank you letter sent to PACC
Commendation
Household very happy with sweet dog adopted from PACC

12-19-14 call into PACC Chief of External Affairs Office
Complaint
Reported dead dog not picked up for a week
Course/Action
Contact made with complainant and dead animal picked up the next day. There was no record of request(s) for pick up; requests were made to another agency not PACC.

12-19-14 call into County Administration
Complaint
Person's lost dog was at PACC then at a rescue and owner cannot locate her dog.
Course/Action
Staff left a message telling owner her dog was in foster care with Pima Paws for Life and providing contact information.

12-29-14 call into Ward 5 City Council Office
Complaint
Loose dogs in neighborhood
Course/Action
Staff met with complainant and is addressing loose dog concern.

Tucson, Az
December 3, 2014

Pima County Animal Control,

We adopted a dog named M.J. Nov.1, 2014. We would like to thank all the people that didn't adopt her. She had been in the kennel since May and I can't imagine how many people passed her up. She has been the sweetest dog we could have ever hoped for. We changed her name to Ebony because of her black color. She bonded to us in just a matter of days. She loves her big back yard and also her doggie door which gives her so much freedom. She has never touched anything in the house and is completely house broken. She loves to cuddle which makes us so happy. She also loves her walks and loves to smell everything in her path. She has added so much to our lives after we lost our dog Haley who we had for nine years. We only wish that more people would adopt a dog. They do not know what joy, pleasure and love they will get in return.

Thank You

Michael Schlueter

From: Jose Chavez
Sent: Friday, December 19, 2014 5:55 PM
To: Michael Schlueter
Cc: Kim Janes; Kristin Barney
Subject: RE: Dead Dog Complaint Call

I spoke to the complainant who had reported the dead dog to other agencies, but not our center. I did search prior to calling the complainant and found no such reported call. I advised her that I will have our DOA driver pick up the dog first thing tomorrow morning. She said ok and thank me.

Jose

From: Michael Schlueter
Sent: Friday, December 19, 2014 4:15 PM
To: Jose Chavez
Cc: Kim Janes; Kristin Barney
Subject: Dead Dog Complaint Call

I just received a call from who works at Soulistic Hospice. She said there is a dead dog in the back parking area, against a brick wall; and she has been trying to get PACC to pick up the carcass for a week to no avail. She said the address is She said she calls and calls, but doesn't get anywhere and said she was ecstatic to talk to a live person. Please let me know when this is resolved.

Thank you,

Michael Schlueter
Administrative Specialist
Pima County Health Dept.
3950 S. Country Club Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85714
(520) 243-7729
michael.schlueter@pima.gov

PIMA COUNTY A Healthy Pima County

Michael Schlueter

From: Kristin Barney
Sent: Friday, December 19, 2014 11:26 AM
To: Maura Kwiatkowski; Jan Lesher; Francisco Garcia; Michael Schlueter
Cc: Justin Gallick
Subject: FW: Feedback Form 2014-12-19 05:06 AM Submission Notification

From: Justin Gallick
Sent: Friday, December 19, 2014 11:22 AM
To: Kristin Barney
Subject: RE: Feedback Form 2014-12-19 05:06 AM Submission Notification

I just left her a message that Champ is in foster care with Pima Paws for Life and gave them their contact information as well as mine for future contact if needed.

Justin

From: Kristin Barney
Sent: Friday, December 19, 2014 9:04 AM
To: Justin Gallick
Subject: FW: Feedback Form 2014-12-19 05:06 AM Submission Notification

Kristin



Follow us on Facebook!

From: Celina Cuaron
Sent: Friday, December 19, 2014 8:28 AM
To: Kristin Barney; Kim Janes; Jose Ocano
Cc: Jan Lesher; Maura Kwiatkowski
Subject: FW: Feedback Form 2014-12-19 05:06 AM Submission Notification

Please assist with this request and update us on the resolution.

Thank you,
Celina for Jan Lesher
Deputy County Administrator's Office
724-8228

From: Maura Kwiatkowski
Sent: Friday, December 19, 2014 8:10 AM
To: Jan Lesher

Cc: Celina Cuaron
Subject: FW: Feedback Form 2014-12-19 05:06 AM Submission Notification

From: notification@pima.gov [mailto:notification@pima.gov]
Sent: Friday, December 19, 2014 5:07 AM
To: Maura Kwiatkowski
Subject: Feedback Form 2014-12-19 05:06 AM Submission Notification

Feedback Form 2014-12-19 05:06 AM was submitted by Guest on 12/19/2014 5:06:40 AM (GMT-07:00) US/Arizona

Name	Value
First Name	
Last Name	
email	
Zipcode	
Message subject	Requesting information
Comment	Hello, my dog Champ was brought there Dec. 1st. I found him on the PAC website his ID # A503167. When I called to inquire about him, the man I spoke to said that a rescue took him, but he would not tell me the name, he said that PAC is not allowed to disclose that. I have looked through pages upon pages of shelters, and rescues, including those provided on the PAC website as ones that work with PAC to rescue animals. I cannot find him anywhere. I am trying to get him back. Since PAC will not tell me who adopted him, I want to know what I need to do to get a public record on him? If he has been euthanized, I would like someone to just tell me that so I can find closure in this dog was the best dog I have ever had, my best friend, now he seems to be mia. I at least want to know if he ok?
Response requested	Yes
Referred_Page	http://webcms.pima.gov/community/animal_care/animal_rescue_groups/

Thank you, Pima County, Arizona

Michael Schlueter

From: Kim Janes
Sent: Monday, December 29, 2014 4:55 PM
To: 'Heileen Evans'
Cc: 'Mark Kerr'; 'Melinda Jacobs'; 'Richard G. Fimbres'; 'Susan Gradillas'; Kristin Barney; Michael Schlueter; Jose Chavez; Robert Hendrix
Subject: RE: David Valencia 784-1116

Good afternoon Ms. Evans, an enforcement officer met with Mr. Valencia on December 28 and is addressing the loose dog concern he reported.

Respectfully,

Kim

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE

From: Kim Janes
Sent: Wednesday, December 24, 2014 7:57 AM
To: 'Heileen Evans'
Cc: Mark Kerr; Melinda Jacobs; Richard G. Fimbres; Susan Gradillas; Kristin Barney; Michael Schlueter; Jose Chavez; Robert Hendrix
Subject: RE: David Valencia 784-1116

Good morning Ms. Evans and Happy Holidays to you as well.

Our enforcement staff with contact Mr. Valencia and help him with his concerns.

Respectfully,

Kim

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE

From: Heileen Evans [mailto:Heileen.Evans@tucsonaz.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, December 23, 2014 4:44 PM
To: Kim Janes
Cc: Mark Kerr; Melinda Jacobs; Richard G. Fimbres; Susan Gradillas
Subject:

Good Afternoon Kim,

The Ward 5 Council Office received a call from a gentleman named He stated within 6 months to a year, there has been lose dogs in his neighborhood and some of them have been barking and almost biting adults as well as children in the neighborhood and fears that people in the area will get hurt.

David Valencia's Contact Information:
2720 S. Euclid Ave.
520-784-1116

Thank you and Happy Holidays!!!
Heileen

Heileen Evans
Office Assistant
Councilmember Richard G. Fimbres
Ward 5 Council Office
4300 S. Park Avenue
Tucson, AZ 85714
(520) 791-4231