



MEMORANDUM

Date: May 10, 2017

To: The Honorable Chair and Members
Pima County Board of Supervisors

From: C.H. Huckelberry
County Administrator 

Re: **Transportation Impact Fee Collections**

Attached for your information is data for collection of Transportation Impact Fees since 2004.

Impact Fees are charged to new developments occurring within specific benefit areas in the unincorporated area of Pima County. Impact fees can only be spent for roadway capacity improvements and must also be spent in the unincorporated area of the County in the area from which they are collected.

As you can see from the data, impact fee collection peaked around the same time homebuilding peaked in 2006. Since then, there has been a steady and dramatic decrease in revenues. During the peak, Fiscal Year (FY) 2006/07, nearly \$18 million in Impact Fees were collected. For FY 2016/17, the amount is nearly \$4 million, a significant reduction.

State law requires that impact fees be spent only on capacity improvements for roadways in the unincorporated area, as the fees are collected from unincorporated area development. Furthermore, the law requires the County develop specific benefit areas, have a plan for expenditures and have said plan approved by the Board of Supervisors, all of which have been completed. These plans and constraints limit impact fee capacity improvement projects in the unincorporated area. In addition, the significant decline of funding also affects project delivery.

In summary, while impact fees have been important in helping provide mobility through capacity improvements, they are becoming less significant. However, they do provide an important funding component for roadway capacity improvements in high-growth areas.

CHH/anc

Attachment

c: Carmine DeBonis, Jr., Deputy County Administrator for Public Works
Priscilla Cornelio, Director, Transportation Department

Impact Fee Revenue per the General Ledger for each Fiscal Year*

Impact Fee Area	FY2004	FY2005	FY2006	FY2007	FY2008	FY2009	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	Collections FY2007-FY2016
Altar Valley	\$59,500	\$79,983	\$137,595	\$149,142	\$112,900	\$54,903	\$24,670	\$5,043	\$5,199	\$33,342	\$4,109	\$11,274	\$8,502	\$409,084
Avra Valley	\$728,250	\$3,498,480	\$3,787,775	\$1,187,590	\$750,120	\$508,348	\$413,739	\$13,759	\$15,862	\$5,199	\$0	\$16,473	\$10,101	\$2,921,191
Catalina	\$1,132,731	\$808,181	\$1,055,175	\$539,176	\$674,085	\$194,674	\$250,333	\$118,653	\$243,574	\$317,139	\$485,130	\$1,289,810	\$647,538	\$4,760,112
CDO	\$1,218,033	\$2,308,502	\$1,762,457	\$1,730,888	\$2,302,878	\$559,974	\$417,668	\$1,351,218	\$287,079	\$1,414,153	\$866,512	\$553,635	\$470,916	\$9,954,921
San Xavier	\$1,691,829	\$2,466,762	\$2,753,063	\$2,166,890	\$1,489,267	\$600,927	\$767,663	\$598,878	\$400,836	\$272,607	\$333,680	\$243,830	\$19,179	\$6,893,757
Santa Cruz	\$942,867	\$1,853,910	\$1,347,398	\$981,701	\$1,212,536	\$484,492	\$604,325	\$386,122	\$470,343	\$395,939	\$629,742	\$653,473	\$145,105	\$5,963,778
Silverbell-Tortolita	\$306,077	\$364,037	\$981,947	\$584,526	\$349,939	\$177,810	\$287,183	\$151,904	\$165,020	\$57,114	\$126,999	\$100,215	\$114,900	\$2,115,610
Southeast											\$35,608	\$887,017	\$1,322,947	\$2,245,572
Southwest							\$224,729	\$146,409	\$581,508	\$1,008,536	\$1,253,067	\$900,004	\$1,162,788	\$5,277,041
Tucson Mountain	\$420,006	\$668,435	\$447,092	\$562,366	\$280,838	\$57,443	\$74,569	\$105,114	\$126,490	\$122,768	\$114,721	\$72,804	\$86,040	\$1,603,153
Rincon Valley	\$1,766,725	\$3,636,571	\$2,793,676	\$1,080,216	\$956,179	\$599,158	\$423,478	\$434,038	\$332,059	\$508,855	\$679,505			\$5,013,488
Mountain View	\$1,059,000	\$1,674,049	\$2,906,888	\$1,407,830	\$609,050	\$413,841	\$889,539	\$583,509	\$446,415	\$639,817	\$524,493			\$5,514,494
TOTAL	\$9,325,018	\$17,358,910	\$17,973,066	\$10,390,325	\$8,737,792	\$3,651,570	\$4,377,896	\$3,894,647	\$3,074,385	\$4,775,469	\$5,053,566	\$4,728,535	\$3,988,016	\$52,672,201

* Based on the General Ledger, not the State Report