



MEMORANDUM

Date: March 11, 2015

To: The Honorable Chair and Members
Pima County Board of Supervisors

From: C.H. Huckelberry
County Administrator 

Re: **Disproportionate Impact of State Budget Transfers to Pima County**

The table below shows every county in Arizona, as well as the anticipated budget impacts to each of county from the recently adopted State budget for Fiscal Year 2016.

In comparing these costs to the population of each county, it is clear Pima County bears a substantial disproportionate burden of all State budget balancing cost transfers. These impacts are likely even greater, since I have not factored into this information the additional \$1.1 million of Pima County funds that will be needed to conduct the Presidential Preference Election.

State Fiscal Year 2016 Budget – New Impacts to Counties

County	Total New Impacts to Counties	Population as of July 1, 2014	Per Capita Total New Impacts
Apache	\$ 244,779	71,868	\$3.41
Cochise	449,547	129,628	3.47
Coconino	460,071	139,372	3.30
Gila	183,442	54,219	3.38
Graham	127,390	38,315	3.32
Greenlee	28,877	10,476	2.76
La Paz	70,126	21,205	3.31
Maricopa	13,064,524	4,008,651	3.26
Mohave	1,235,160	204,000	6.05
Navajo	367,757	109,185	3.37
Pima	10,555,063	1,007,162	10.48
Pinal	3,747,271	396,237	9.46
Santa Cruz	162,300	49,554	3.28
Yavapai	1,272,285	215,357	5.91
Yuma	669,980	212,012	3.16
TOTALS	\$32,638,571	6,667,241	\$4.90

The Honorable Chair and Members, Pima County Board of Supervisors
Re: Disproportionate Impact of State Budget Transfers to Pima County
March 11, 2015
Page 2

It is clear Pima County was targeted with these adverse per capita budget impacts imposed by the Arizona Legislature.

CHH/mjk

c: Michael Racy, Racy Associates, Inc.
Gregory Stanley, Pinal County Manager