MEMORANDUM

Date: May 16, 2016

To: The Honorable Chair and Members
   Pima County Board of Supervisors

Re: Standard and Poor's Global Rating of Pima County, Arizona; Gas Tax

I previously provided the Board of Supervisors with a summary of Standard and Poor's (S&P) credit ratings. Of some significance is the language contained in some of the ratings. I am providing commentary on some of the more significant findings.

Some have trivialized the diversion of Highway User Revenue Funds (HURF) by the State for their purposes. The attached May 6, 2016 rating by S&P puts this diversion in perspective. They consider additional HURF diversions by the State and a continuation of the existing diversions as the largest single threat to the County's credit rating associated with HURF. The report states:

"...pledged revenues have recovered since fiscal 2012 following a roughly three-year period of decline attributable to the recession as well as state diversion of HURF revenues to other state uses."

The report also continues with:

"...uncertainty remains regarding future state interception of HURF revenues upstream of the counties."

In the Outlook section of the report, S&P describes a downside scenario as "state interference with pledged HURF revenue," which they conclude would constitute the reason for lowering the credit rating.

For your information, I have attached the published credit rating by S&P Global Rating for Gas Tax. S&P's credit rating concerns clearly do not trivialize the diversion of HURF revenues to the State for their budget purposes as opposed to supporting the use of HURF for transportation purposes.

CHH/anc
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Rationale

S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AA' long-term rating to Pima County, Ariz.'s series 2016 street and highway user revenue refunding (HURF) bonds. At the same time, S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'AA' long-term rating and underlying rating (SPUR) on the county's parity street and highway revenue debt. The outlook is stable.

The ratings reflect our view of the county's:

• Strong debt service coverage of 2.84x maximum annual debt service (MADS) based on audited fiscal 2016 pledged revenues;
• Recent growth in pledged state shared street and highway revenue; and
• Large and diverse economic base, made up of government, defense, tourism, higher education, and services.

Partly offsetting the above strengths, in our view, is the uncertainty with respect to state interception of street and highway revenues, the lack of a debt service reserve on the bonds, and a planned issuance of additional debt.

The HURF bonds are secured by a first lien on revenues received by the county from taxes, fees, charges, and other moneys collected by the state and returned to the county for street and highway purposes pursuant to Arizona law. Proceeds of the 2016 bonds will be used to refund existing maturities for debt service savings, and will not result in an extension of maturities.

Pledged street and highway revenue includes revenue from state-shared fuel taxes, vehicle registration fees, and license fees. Street and highway revenues are collected by the state and distributed monthly to local agencies based on a statutory formula, with 19% allocated to counties. Of the 19%, 72% is allocated based on point of sale, with the remainder based on population in unincorporated areas of the county relative to population in unincorporated areas of the state. Therefore, pledged revenue may vary as a function of statewide sales and collections, local sale volume, and county population relative to the state. The state may also alter the distribution formula or intercept revenues to the detriment of local agencies, as it has done in the past.

Pledged revenues have recovered since fiscal 2012 following a roughly three-year period of decline attributable to the
recession as well as state diversion of HURF revenues to other state uses. Since fiscal 2012, the county's pledged revenues have increased a total of 18.5%, or by roughly 5.8% annually, through fiscal 2015 to approach fiscal 2009 levels. We understand that the state has not diverted additional HURF revenues since fiscal 2012, although uncertainty remains regarding future state interception of HURF revenues upstream from counties. Management projects that these revenues will continue to grow by approximately 4% in fiscal 2016.

Fiscal 2015 pledged revenues provide strong coverage of MADS, in our view, at 2.8x on all outstanding parity debt. Bond documents require MADS coverage of at least 2x by the preceding year's pledged revenue in order for the county to issue additional parity debt. Additionally, Arizona statutes require annual debt service coverage of at least 1.5x for additional parity bonds. The bonds do not have a debt service reserve fund.

The county's population grew at an average annual rate of 1.6% between 2000 and 2010, and growth has since slowed to an average rate of about 0.5%, compared with the state's 1.1% growth rate from 2010-2015. A slower rate of population growth relative to the state could adversely affect the county's share of street and highway revenues, although the majority of funds (72%) are distributed according to the proportion of local sales and consumption. According to the Arizona Department of Transportation, total gallons of fuel taxed in Pima County have declined 2.6% between 2011 and 2014 (the most recent information available), while at the state level gallons taxed have remained relatively flat. Motor fuel tax revenue makes up roughly half of pledged revenue received by the county, while vehicle registration and in-lieu fees, which are based upon local registrations, make up another 42% of pledged revenue and have increased by about 4% over the same period.

Pima County encompasses 9,184 square miles of southern Arizona and has an estimated population of approximately 1.1 million, roughly half of whom reside in Tucson. Education and health care, defense, and government are the county's primary employment sectors. The University of Arizona is the leading employer, followed by Raytheon Missile Systems and Davis-Monthan Air Force Base. The local economy has been slower to recover than other parts of the state, particularly with respect to taxable property values; however, we understand that some of the most economically sensitive revenues of the county -- including local sales taxes and building permit fees -- have been increasing since fiscal 2014. The county's unemployment rate was 5.6% in 2014, and income indicators are good, in our view, with per capita effective buying income measuring 91% of the national level.

The county currently has $73.4 million in authorized but unissued street and highway revenue bond capacity approved by voters in 1997. We understand that the county may issue additional parity bonds as revenue growth permits.

**Outlook**

The stable outlook reflects our anticipation that the county will maintain at least strong MADS coverage over the two-year outlook horizon, with additional debt issuance as revenue growth permits.

**Upside scenario**

We could raise the ratings should we come to believe that the county has limited need for additional parity debt, leading to an increase in projected MADS coverage, and that the risk of further state diversion of HURF revenue is significantly diminished.
Downside scenario
Should pledged MADS coverage fall below levels we consider strong as a result of declining motor fuel sales activity or state interference with pledged HURF revenue, we could lower the ratings.
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Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.globalcreditportal.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on the S&P Global Ratings public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.
No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/ratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.