NOTICE
PUBLIC MEETING
OF THE
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER ADVISORY COMMITTEE
MARCH 20, 2014 - 5:30 P.M.
4000 N. Silverbell Road - Administration Building
(520) 243-5934
www.pima.gov/animalcare

Should you require ADA accommodations, please contact the Pima Animal Care Center at 243-5934 five (5) days prior to the meeting.

Functions of the Committee
1. Serve in an advisory capacity to the Board, and to the Manager of the Pima Animal Care Center; and
2. Review and evaluate the operations of the Center to make recommendations in writing to the Board for the formulation of guidelines to assure that:
   A. The Center's operations are conducted in the best interest of the public health and safety; and
   B. The Center keeps pace with the most modern practices and procedures of animal care and welfare; and
3. Review complaints from the public concerning policies of the Center and make recommendations for resolution to the proper authority.

AGENDA

I. Call to Order
   • Roll Call
   • Establishment of Quorum & Pledge of Allegiance

II. Adoption of the Minutes of the February 20, 2014 Meeting

III. Call to the Audience

IV. Reports
   • Operations for the Month Of February -- (continued discussion)
   • Mary George Resignation

V. Old Business
   • PACC Review of Animal Care Services -- Subcommittee Update
   • Outreach Curriculum (Derek Marshall)
   • Employee Survey (Derek Marshall-continued discussion)
   • Ideas to Reduce Number of Dogs Coming into PACC (Peggy Watson-continued discussion regarding identification and return of dogs by officers)
   • Providing Monthly Committee Meeting Minutes & New Report to the Board of Supervisors
   • Flea/Tick Treatment

VI. New Business
   • Adoption Program
   • Schedule and Number of DOC Inmates
   • Redemption Fees

VII. Animal Welfare and Dangerous Animal Cases for the Month of February

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>February 2014</th>
<th>Welfare</th>
<th>Dangerous Dog</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A14-140949</td>
<td>A14-140062</td>
<td>A13-127181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A14-141324</td>
<td>A14-140846</td>
<td>A13-127573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A14-141404</td>
<td>A14-141980</td>
<td>A14-141067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A14-141070</td>
<td>A14-1439061</td>
<td>A14-141067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A14-140314</td>
<td>A14-141858</td>
<td>A14-141346</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VIII. In February, 1,234 donors donated $16,705 along with food, treats and pet supplies to Pima Animal Care Center

IX. Six (6) complaints and one (1) commendation were received by staff.

X. Call to the Audience

XI. Announcements & Schedules

XII. Next Meeting - April 17, 2014

XIII. Adjournment

Copies of this agenda are available upon request at the Pima Animal Care Center, 4000 N. Silverbell Rd, by calling 243-5934 or at www.pima.gov/animalcare
### AGENDA ITEM

#### CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 5:31.

#### II. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

#### III. ADOPTION OF PAST MEETING MINUTES

Approval of Minutes for January 16, 2014.

After a motion duly made and seconded, the minutes of January 16, 2014 were approved.

#### IV. CALL TO THE AUDIENCE

- None

#### V. REPORTS

Operations-Manager’s Report

- Manager’s Report

Jose Ocano, the new Shelter Manager, was introduced to the committee and sat in for Mr. Janes who was absent. He relayed the total live release rate was 80% and the euthanasia rate was down to 36% for last month. Rescue and adoptions were up at 18% and 19% respectively. PACC needs to work on the return to owner rate.

#### VI. OLD BUSINESS

- PACC Review of Animal Care Services

Dr. Smith provided a detailed update regarding the response to the memo from Mr. Huckelberry of Nov. 18. The Review of Animal Care Services in Six Cities and Counties. Six cities and counties similar to Pima County were compared for animal care services. They were Maricopa County, San Diego County, San Antonio, TX, Austin, TX, and Clark County Nevada. It was determined Pima County residents have the highest demand for shelter services and the lowest budget for shelter services of any of the counties and cities evaluated. The impact was particularly

**Ongoing.**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENDA ITEM</th>
<th>DISCUSSION</th>
<th>ACTION / FOLLOW-UP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>remarkable for PACC shelter staff and the volume of animals handled that are 2-3 times greater than the other communities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PACC reports the second lowest total annual budget and provides the widest array of services. On a per capita basis the PACC budget ranks third highest at $6.34 per resident, Austin gets $7.80 and Maricopa gets $6.92. To increase the PACC budget to that of Maricopa County for the next fiscal budget requires an additional $575 thousand. To match the City of Austin it would require an additional $1.5 million added to the next fiscal budget.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The survey highlights areas that might help PACC to improve budgeting like utilizing Arizona Game and Fish for wild animal calls which Maricopa and Clark Counties do. Initiate a GIS locating service and Twitter service like Maricopa County does for lost animals. To accelerate the live release rate Pima County could partner with store front models to improve adoptions like San Antonio and the Humane Society here.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The PACC Enforcement section ranks second in response times until the number of managers, dispatchers, and supervisors is factored in at which point PACC drops to second lowest. The other respondents do not factor this additional staff in. Basically PACC needs more money and staff to meet the demands for services and to bring Pima County more in line with the other compared cities and counties.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The study had limitations partly because there was no independent verification of the actual financial and statistical data provided by the respondents. The financial and staffing analysis were based on budgeted amounts and not actual data. There were no adjustments made for regional differences in wages, benefits, or other budgeted costs. All of the respondents provided enforcement/field services, shelter operation services, and communication/outreach services but the scope of some of these services differ from what is done in Pima County.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dr. Smith provided table by table details of the comparison with the various other cities and counties and because it was a comparison there were variations between services provided or not provided. It was determined that PACC provides more services than most of the others. For example Maricopa County refers welfare investigations to other agencies and does not</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGENDA ITEM</td>
<td>DISCUSSION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status of Sick Bay Contract</td>
<td>routinely handle cats. PACC investigates animal waste and noise complaints and while with most of the other cities and towns other departments handled these complaints. For shelter services PACC is the only city with one shelter site and as previously stated demand for shelter services for Pima County is 2-3 times greater. All of the cities and counties reported an increase in live release rates due to increased utilization of veterinary services and greater partnering with animal rescue groups, fosters, and interested parties within their communities. These issues have evolved out of the widespread adoption of the Assilomar Accords and therefore more animals are deemed adoptable and live release rates have increased. All of the respondents complain of a lack of staff and actively seek grants and utilize volunteers. PACC and Maricopa county utilize Department of Corrections inmates to provide services. There was a brief discussion regarding the possibility of using female Pima County inmates and what is required. Dr. Smith presented a detailed comparison of the budgeting and administrative costs and variations. PACC is under staffed/budgeted all the way around whether it’s looked at per capita, or per animal. Dr. Smith encouraged all in attendance to attend the Bond Advisory Committee meeting tomorrow morning to support the PACC only bond proposal for the 2014 ballot. There was a brief discussion of the PACC fee scheduled and whether PACC could take in more money. The discussion also included the waiving of fees to return animals to owners. Ms. Watson commented Clark county does not waive any fees and will set up a collections account to get fees owed. Mr. Neuman commented with a comparative analysis you get variations like comparing apples and oranges. Pima Paws for Life has started taking in sick animals. They have taken roughly 60 animals and PACC has about 15 in sick bay now. When they are returning or adopting out animals they are taking a comparable number cut. Ms. Emptage asked if they are using PACC guidelines for the mandate to find suitable homes.</td>
<td>ACTION / FOLLOW-UP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGENDA ITEM</td>
<td>DISCUSSION</td>
<td>ACTION / FOLLOW-UP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outreach Curriculum and Employee Survey</td>
<td>Mr. Marshall presented a cover letter to the committee and he addressed two minor corrections to the letter. Ms. Emptage suggested looking into the possibility the County could set up a temporary email/mail account with Mr. Neuman's, Mr. Marshall's, and her email addresses for the survey. The surveys will go to them and they will forward results to the committee. The link will be sent to committee members for review. Microphone pulled off the table by Dozer. It was stressed that the results and email addresses of respondents be anonymous/not recorded.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Services and Euthanasia Protocols</td>
<td>Mr. Neuman commented this issue has been taken care of with Dr. Wilcox now on board. Ms. Emptage requested she be invited to the next meeting so the committee may meet her.</td>
<td>Request by Ms. Emptage to invite Dr. Wilcox to the next meeting to meet the PACCAC members</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideas to Reduce Number of Dogs Coming into PACC</td>
<td>Ms. Watson commented on Dr. Smith's report and that by reducing the number of dogs coming in it would also reduce the budget. She suggested the officers return dogs to owners in the field if possible. Market it so if the dog is wearing the license it will be returned without coming into PACC or maybe the first one is no charge. If the dog is chronically out loose there are other alternatives. Discussion of utilizing a volunteer with a scanner to identify animals, licensing/vaccination clinics, etc. Ms. Emptage asked the SAVMA Rep., Dr. O'Donnell, if she can approach her members about partnering with PACC to do several clinics throughout town to vaccinated/license animals. Mr. Neuman suggested they come up with a plan to do this and present it to the committee. Ms. Watson asked how to propose this for the officers and then relayed a story of a person with a service dog that was picked up and the officer refused to return the dog. She was told she would have to come into PACC to redeem it. The owner had to come in pay the fees and pick her</td>
<td>Ongoing. Ms. Watson proposed that PACC officers check for a license and/or microchip and if the owner lives nearby return the dog without bring it into PACC on a first offense. Dr. O'Donnell seconded the motion and all members voted in favor of the proposal. Ms. Watson requested information regarding the number of licensed dogs redeemed vs. number of unlicensed dogs redeemed for the next meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGENDA ITEM</td>
<td>DISCUSSION</td>
<td>ACTION / FOLLOW-UP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Providing monthly Committee Meeting Minutes to the Board of Supervisors and Another Report to the BOS</td>
<td>dog up when the dog could have been easily returned right then. Ms. Watson proposed that PACC officers check for a license and/or microchip and if the owner lives nearby return the dog without bring it into PACC on a first offense. Dr. O'Donnell seconded the motion and all members voted in favor of the proposal. Mr. Neuman asked if the minutes were being provided directly to the Board of Supervisors. Page 10 of the packet is a memo from Mr. Janes to Dr. Garcia. The minutes are being forwarded to the BOS members via Mr. Janes’s chain of command. Mr. Neuman wants something like a read receipt or confirmations from the BOS members the minutes are being received and for minutes to go to them directly and not via the chain of command. The committee discussed the draft report to the BOS provided by Mr. Neuman and he indicated the yellow highlighted items were changes. Additional changes include the Past Chair title can be removed from Mary George’s name and updating the volunteer numbers from 600 to over 700. Ms. Hubbard inquired if under Goals and Upcoming Projects is this for PACC or the Advisory Committee. Per Mr. Neuman these are discussion points for the committee for the future. Ms. Watson asked why support and assistance is being offered to Puppy Mill legislation and has that been discussed in at the last few meetings? This is going on right now. Dr. Kaluzniacki asked about the verbiage of “dogs” and “animals” and if “animals” meant dogs and cats. Per Mr. Neuman “animals” means dogs and cats. She directed them to page 3 of the draft 5 lines up from the bottom a paragraph...before animals is released.” It should be ...animals are released, or if animals refer to dogs and cats just say “dogs and cats.” Ms. Hubbard asked about an update for the tent and if it is expected to house dogs in the next four weeks. Mr. Ocano commented PACC is expecting a delivery of kennels.</td>
<td>Ongoing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGENDA ITEM</td>
<td>DISCUSSION</td>
<td>ACTION / FOLLOW-UP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tomorrow. About half are expected to come in. There is a target date for a tent warming of May 10th. There was a discussion of security for the tent. A perimeter fence with barbed wire and snake proofing has been installed. There was a discussion if the kennels were covered and possible issues if they are not and dogs getting out. Dr. Kaluzniacki suggested it would be a good idea to put tops on the kennels.</td>
<td>Mr. Neuman will make the discussed corrections to the draft, sign it, and get it scheduled to present to the Board of Supervisors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Needs Adoption (SNA) Criteria</td>
<td>Ms. Emptage as if there was any feedback from the last report. Every one of the BOS members expressed thanks to Mr. Neuman for the work put into and submission of the last report and they wanted it to continue.</td>
<td>Per Mr. Neuman the criteria for Special Needs Adoption has been change and for dogs chasing cats or birds they are no longer deemed special needs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### VII. NEW BUSINESS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Business</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ms. Emptage relayed a story about a man who redeemed his dog which had been hit by a car and suffered a compound fracture. He was referred to a nearby vet who did not treat this type of injury and when he got to a vet who did was surprised by the cost for treatment. The dog was eventually euthanized. Ms. Emptage suggested the owners of these injured animals be informed the animal will need extensive vet care and that it may be expensive and the owner is responsible for the vet costs. Mr. Ocana explained a procedure the shelter uses if an animal in need of vet care is released. A welfare check is set up through enforcement and a recheck date is scheduled. Enforcement will follow-up to make sure care is obtained and/or take necessary enforcement action with the owner. Ms. Emptage suggested the owners of injured animals be informed/educated about their responsibilities and possible costs so they may make a more informed decision before taking the animal out and perhaps prolonging its suffering. Ms. Hubbard commented the law requires owners to provide care for sick or injured animals.</td>
<td>Ongoing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGENDA ITEM</td>
<td>DISCUSSION</td>
<td>ACTION/FOLLOW-UP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review of Misdemeanor Penalties</td>
<td>Ms. Emptage commented there have been some bad cases recently and the law allows for an owner to be prohibited from owning an animal for up to three years. Ms. Emptage would like to see this raised from three to five years. Discussion that it is up to the judge in a case to impose any penalty based on what is defined by law. There was a question of how many times these types of penalties to prohibit ownership have been imposed by judges. Ms. Emptage mentioned a letter that was supposed to have been sent to judges in the past to inform them of this option and wondered if it had been sent. Dr. O'Donnell asked if there was any statistical evidence that could be cited that if an owner was prohibited from owning an animal for a period of time they would treat the next one better. If a letter was not sent Dr. O'Donnell suggested one might be sent now and to include the stats she was asking about. Dr. Smith asked if it was the person or the household that is prohibited. Discussion it is the person who would be prohibited from owning. A brief discussion followed regarding the link between animal abuse and child abuse.</td>
<td>Ongoing. Staff will determine if a letter was sent to judges regarding this option to prohibit ownership and get information regarding number of times this was imposed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licensing of Intact Animals</td>
<td>Ms. Emptage stated this subject could wait for Mr. Janes return. Something needs to be done about cats. This could be separated from the licensing requirements for dogs. If one had a cat that was not altered an intact animal fee could be charged. This fee could be charge for both cats and dogs. The unaltered dog license rate could be reduced and this fee used. This might encourage people to alter their cats.</td>
<td>Ongoing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake on Holidays</td>
<td>Mr. Ocano clarified if this was for holidays or deep cleaning days occurring on the last Sunday of every month. This is also a time for staff development and training. The first one was last month and the next will be this upcoming Sunday. All shelter staff is needed for the deep cleaning/training and options are being explored to do partial intake using enforcement and/or non-shelter staff. Generally if someone is at the back gate with an animal they will be helped. The disconnect comes when someone calls in and staff will work to get this resolved.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flea/Tick Treatment</td>
<td>Mr. Neuman spoke to Dr. Lilley regarding flea and tick treatment. Per Mr. Ocano, Dr. Wilcox is evaluating the shelter for a flea and</td>
<td>Ongoing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGENDA ITEM</td>
<td>DISCUSSION</td>
<td>ACTION / FOLLOW-UP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Give The Dog a Bone Rescue</td>
<td>Mr. Ocano commented this is an ongoing investigation and some of the animals are still on hold. 17 animals, 15 dogs and 2 cats, were removed from a foster home for Give A Dog a Bone Rescue. Since then 4 have been adopted, 2 are up for adoption, 2 are available for Special Needs Adoption, and 1 that bit while up for adoption and is currently under quarantine. Additional one was euthanized and necropsy results indicated the dog had distemper. For the two cats, one tested positive for feline leukemia and additional blood work was sent out. One more dog has been released and is very dog aggressive and has Valley Fever, options are being explored for this dog. There are 4 more on vet hold pending forensic medical documentation. Give A Dog a Bone is no longer a rescue partner with PACC. Ms. Watson asked if rescue partners are spot checked. Per Mr. Ocano, currently not unless problems have been identified. Staff is putting together a meeting with PACC and all our rescue partners tentatively scheduled for the end of March to discuss issues, develop guidelines, and improve communication.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Transfer of Dogs to Other Facilities</td>
<td>Per Mr. Neuman this issue has been resolved. It came about because some little dogs were transferred to Ajo and there was concern about where they were going and that the dogs might end up on the reservation. The Ajo Officer had expressed an interest in taking some small dogs back for adoption because she had interested parties. Mr. Ocano and Mr. Gallick traveled to Ajo. Mr. Neuman was unable to make the trip. Ajo is set up with seven large indoor/outdoor kennels and take in an average of 300 dogs per year. They also have 7 cat cages available. Because it is a small community they are able to do community based adoptions and the Ajo Officer is able to check up on adopters and animals. PACC will be sending more dogs and cats to Ajo for adoption because there is a demand for them and they have been importing cats and dogs from Yuma.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Welfare and Dangerous Animal Cases</td>
<td>No Welfare or Dangerous Dog Cases were discussed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGENDA ITEM</td>
<td>DISCUSSION</td>
<td>ACTION / FOLLOW-UP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX. DONATIONS</td>
<td>1313 individuals donated $21,116 along with food, treats and pet supplies to Pima Animal Care Center.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X. COMPLAINTS/COMMENDATIONS</td>
<td>January 2014</td>
<td>Eleven (11) complaints and three (3) commendations were received by staff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XI. CALL TO THE AUDIENCE</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XII. ANNOUNCEMENTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mr. Neuman announced the Bond Advisory Meeting is tomorrow and it is important for people to attend. The Volunteers have been notified via email.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pima Paws for Life will have a grand opening on February 22 from 11:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m. with refreshments and music on West Zinnia near Ruthraff and I-10.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>On Sunday the 22nd there will be a special event - Single Mingle. A dog walk, cat cuddle for singles to attend.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PACC is participating in the Fosters to the Rescue Event being held March 8 from 6:00-8:00 p.m. at the YWCA on Bonita.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIII. ADJOURNMENT</td>
<td>Next meeting March 20, 2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The meeting was adjourned at 19:06 P.M.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TUCSON COUNTY</th>
<th>COUNTY</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>TUCSON COUNTY</th>
<th>COUNTY</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>TUCSON COUNTY</th>
<th>COUNTY</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>DELTA</th>
<th>% +/-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SHELTER OPERATIONS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL ANIMALS HANDLED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOGS</td>
<td>634</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>1,139</td>
<td>5,382</td>
<td>4,665</td>
<td>10,047</td>
<td>6,755</td>
<td>5,204</td>
<td>12,983</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATS</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>3,157</td>
<td>2,062</td>
<td>5,259</td>
<td>3,849</td>
<td>2,081</td>
<td>5,930</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHERS</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>555</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL ANIMALS HANDLED</strong></td>
<td>931</td>
<td>811</td>
<td>1,742</td>
<td>8,729</td>
<td>7,065</td>
<td>15,944</td>
<td>9,767</td>
<td>7,611</td>
<td>17,378</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live Animals Handled</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>1,257</td>
<td>7,013</td>
<td>6,296</td>
<td>13,389</td>
<td>8,877</td>
<td>7,473</td>
<td>16,350</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IMPOUNDED ANIMALS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADOPTED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOGS</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>1,816</td>
<td>1,541</td>
<td>3,356</td>
<td>1,566</td>
<td>1,433</td>
<td>2,999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATS</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>786</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>1,405</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>1,044</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHERS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL ADOPTED</strong></td>
<td>329</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>2,852</td>
<td>2,174</td>
<td>4,806</td>
<td>2,233</td>
<td>1,638</td>
<td>4,072</td>
<td>734</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RETURNED TO OWNER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOGS</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>989</td>
<td>634</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>1,214</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATS</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>108</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHERS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL RETURNED</strong></td>
<td>55</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>1,052</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>626</td>
<td>1,328</td>
<td>-246</td>
<td>-18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESCUED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOGS</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>841</td>
<td>978</td>
<td>1,819</td>
<td>803</td>
<td>796</td>
<td>1,599</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATS</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>1,368</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>914</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHERS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL RESCUED</strong></td>
<td>124</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>1,846</td>
<td>1,620</td>
<td>3,466</td>
<td>1,519</td>
<td>1,129</td>
<td>2,648</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL LIVE RELEASES</strong></td>
<td>506</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>1,003</td>
<td>4,871</td>
<td>4,183</td>
<td>9,054</td>
<td>4,450</td>
<td>3,593</td>
<td>8,043</td>
<td>1011</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL LIVE RELEASE RATE</strong></td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUTHANIZED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOGS</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>1,328</td>
<td>1,213</td>
<td>2,541</td>
<td>1,837</td>
<td>1,753</td>
<td>3,590</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATS</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>1,119</td>
<td>692</td>
<td>1,811</td>
<td>1,020</td>
<td>1,114</td>
<td>2,134</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHERS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL EUTHANIZED</strong></td>
<td>221</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>2,488</td>
<td>1,955</td>
<td>4,443</td>
<td>3,878</td>
<td>2,920</td>
<td>6,898</td>
<td>-246</td>
<td>-36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-)Owner Requested Euthanasia</td>
<td>137</td>
<td></td>
<td>137</td>
<td>1,495</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,495</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted Total Euthanasia</td>
<td>284</td>
<td></td>
<td>284</td>
<td>2,938</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,938</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EUTHANASIA RATE</strong></td>
<td>22%</td>
<td></td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td></td>
<td>22%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHERS</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>1,180</td>
<td>907</td>
<td>2,087</td>
<td>1,206</td>
<td>959</td>
<td>2,165</td>
<td>-58</td>
<td>-3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENFORCEMENT OPERATIONS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welfare Responses</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>1,686</td>
<td>741</td>
<td>2,427</td>
<td>2162</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>3162</td>
<td>-838</td>
<td>-26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENFORCEMENT CALLS FOR SERVICE</td>
<td>1404</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>2279</td>
<td>11,713</td>
<td>7,583</td>
<td>19,298</td>
<td>13,374</td>
<td>8,287</td>
<td>21,661</td>
<td>-2,766</td>
<td>-11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LICENSING OPERATIONS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALTERED</td>
<td>3,693</td>
<td>4,862</td>
<td>6,555</td>
<td>27,392</td>
<td>35,106</td>
<td>62,498</td>
<td>26,089</td>
<td>36,320</td>
<td>62,409</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNALTERED</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>1,694</td>
<td>2,858</td>
<td>4,552</td>
<td>2,081</td>
<td>2,959</td>
<td>5,040</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHERS</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>1,401</td>
<td>718</td>
<td>916</td>
<td>1,634</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL SOLD</strong></td>
<td>3,973</td>
<td>5,074</td>
<td>9,047</td>
<td>26,537</td>
<td>38,462</td>
<td>65,000</td>
<td>20,899</td>
<td>40,196</td>
<td>61,095</td>
<td>-2,745</td>
<td>-4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Total Live Releases (TLR) = Total Adopted + Total Returned + Total Rescued
**Live Release Rate (LRR) = TLR / (TLR + Adjusted Total Euthanasia)
***Euthanasia Rate (ER) = (Adjusted Total Euthanasia) / (TLR + Adjusted Total Euthanasia)
I'm sorry I missed the meeting last night. This is the second meeting in a row that I have missed, so I think it's time to step down and let someone else represent the disabled community. It has been a privilege serving on the PACCAC Board and I really appreciate and admire all that the staff and volunteers do to help save and re-home all the amazing animals that come to PACC.

With A Grateful Heart,
Mary George
MEMORANDUM

TO: Chair and Members, Pima Animal Care Center Advisory Committee
FROM: Kim Janes, Manager
DATE: February 7, 2014
RE: February 2014 Manager’s Report

The following report is provided for your information.

During the February 20, 2014 Advisory Committee Meeting, the Committee requested information regarding the number of licensed dogs redeemed vs. number of unlicensed dogs redeemed. Additionally, the Committee inquired if a letter was previously sent to judges regarding judges' knowledge and use of the sentencing provision that prohibits ownership and get information regarding number of times this was imposed.

During Calendar Year 2013, staff redeemed 1,505 dogs to the owners of which 1,262 dogs had to be licensed at the time of redemption leaving only 243 redeemed pets that were licensed at the time of impound. In addition to the 1,505, officers also returned another 130 licensed dogs to their owners while still in the field.

Staff does not recall submitting a letter to the Courts reminding the judges of this provision. In enforcement staff experiences with the Courts, judges tend to make sentencing decisions based on the case before them and the sentence the prosecuting attorney champions. Therefore, I recommend a letter be sent to the town, city and county prosecutors reminding them of this provision and the more frequent use of it. Additionally, enforcement staff will continue to be encouraged to press for the use of this provision as adamantly as the specific case demands and level of protection the pets warrant.
MEMORANDUM

TO: Kim Janes, Manager

FROM: Kelli Saline 1918

DATE: 02.26.14

SUBJECT: Welfare Reports for February 2014

1. A14-140949 One animal impounded. Animal owner was cited at Pima Animal Care. Animal was not redeemed and was sent to rescue.

2. A14-141324 One dog relinquished to Pima Animal Care at the scene. Animal owner was cited. The animal was adopted.

3. A14-141404 One animal brought into Pima Animal Care for euthanasia. The animal owner was cited and the animal was euthanized.

4. A14-141070 One animal relinquished at Pima Animal Care. The owner was cited. The animal is still under treatment at the clinic at Pima Animal Care.

5. A13-130414 Animal owner was cited at the scene. No animals were impounded. There is a pending recheck in traffic.

6. A14-140062 Two animals impounded. Animal owner cited at Pima Animal Care. Neither dog was redeemed and both have been adopted.

7. A14-140846 Owner cited at the scene. No animals impounded. A recheck was completed and approved, the case is closed.

8. A14-141980 Owner surrendered one animal on scene. Animal owner was cited. The animal was impounded and euthanized at Pima Animal Care. There is a pending recheck date for the remaining two animals.

9. A14-139061 Three live animals impounded and bonded. The remains of five deceased animals were also confiscated. The animal owner was issued citations at the scene and the call is pending further investigation with the Pima County Sheriff’s department. No bond has been paid on any animals as of 02.26.14

10. A14-141858 One dog impounded. Owner cited at Pima Animal Care Center. The dog was redeemed by the owner. The animal owner was educated and this case is closed.
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

**Suspect**

Joshua

**Suspect's Address**

7900 N. Silverbell Rd.

**Suspect's Business Address**

N/A

**Accident Number**

ACO Name / Badge #

M. Fish

COMPLAINT NUMBER

#1995

**Bite/ Welfare / Dangerous / Other**

CODE IF OTHER:

0

**Date and Time Reported**

2-06-14 / 08:55

**Date and Time Occurred**

2-06-14 / 09:50

**FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURED ALL VENTILATION ABANDONED FLEE BEaten WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)**

**Victim/Complainant Name**

Kathy Walton #1925

**Residence Phone No.**

N/A

**Business Phone No.**

520-243-8890

**Victim's Address**

4000 N. Silverbell Rd.

**Zip Code**

85745

**City**

Tucsen

**State**

AZ

**Address and Phone Number Same As Victim**

NO

**Relationship to Victim**

VET CLINIC

**Phone Number**

FAX

**Date Quarantined**

PACQ

**Release Date**

VEH

**Veterinary**

HOME

**Other AGENCY CASE #**

TPD

**Follow Up Request**

TPD

**Other:**


**Lawful Representative Address**

CLINIC'S ADDRESS

864 E. 22ND ST.

TUCSON, AZ 85719

**3rd Party Citations**

YES NO

**Citing ACO**

1995

**Quarantine**

YES NO

**Previous Violations**

10 15 45 180

**Head**

FRA

**Other Additional Reports**

OCT-19

**Victim or Lawful Representative Signature**

SIGNED/STAMPED VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL

**Breed/Description**

**Animal's Name**

**Color**

**Sex**

**Age**

**Tag Color**

**License #**

**WI Certificate #**

**CSD#**

**Animal ID#**

Pit Bull

Diesel

Blue/White

M

3yr

N/A

L14-217380

NOEBVAC

Nrm

A445586

**Witness 1**

TPD Officer Fedalio (Badge #63918)

**DOB**

**Address**

**Residence Phone #**

**Business Phone #**

M F

DOB

296 S. Stone Ave.

M F

DOB

Residence Phone #

520-701-4929

DOB

Residence Phone #

Business Phone #
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-140649

ACO name & Badge: M. Fish #1995

On February 6th, 2014 at approximately 10:00 hours Officer Kathy Walton #1925 responded to a request for assistance from the Tucson Police department regarding a dog locked in the back of a Budget rental truck that had been abandoned on the side of the road. When Officer Walton arrived she met with Tucson Police Department Officer Fedelle (Badge #35118) who stated that the Budget truck had broken down on the side of the road and was waiting for a tow. When Officer Fedelle lifted the back door he noticed a dog in the back and called for assistance from Pima Animal Care Center. Officer Fedelle provided Officer Walton with the name of the individual who rented the Budget truck, Amanda _______ as well as a phone number for her. Officer Walton tried to make contact with Mrs. ________ by calling the phone number but was unsuccessful. Office Walton impounded the dog and found it had been left without food or water in the back of the truck. Officer Walton requested that if the owner came in to Pima animal Care Center to claim the dog that they be cited for Abandonment and Neglect-No water.

On February 13th, 2013 the dog owner, Joshua _______ came in to Pima Animal Care Center to claim the dog. According to Mr. _______ he had been out of town for several weeks and had left his dog in the care of his friends. When he came back in town he found out that the dog had been impounded and came down to claim his dog as soon as he could. Mr. _______ had nothing with him that could prove that he had been out of town so I issued him the citations for Abandonment and Neglect- No Water.

Officer's Signature: ____________________________ Date: 2-18-14
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Services
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona
Phone: 520-791-3838
Fax: (520) 791-3898
www.pimaanimalservices.org

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FIELD</th>
<th>INFORMATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>Jose L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Animals</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACO Name/Badge #</td>
<td>Webster #2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complaint Number</td>
<td>A14-141324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incident Date</td>
<td>02/11/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incident Location</td>
<td>1448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food/Water/Shelter</td>
<td>Injured/Alkaline Ventilation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abandoned</td>
<td>Tied Up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaten</td>
<td>Waste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (Explain)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victim/Complainant Name</td>
<td>Officer Webster #2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.O.B.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence Phone No.</td>
<td>520-243-5900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Phone No.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td>4000 N. Silverbell Rd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>Tucson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>Az</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Agency Case #:</td>
<td>8140210910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow Up Requested</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address and Phone Number Same as Victim</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship to Victim</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone Number</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawful Representative Address</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinic's Address</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarantine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Party Citations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citating ACO</td>
<td>Webster #2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous Violations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous Case Number</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Additional Reports</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breeds/Description</td>
<td>Schnauzer mix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal's Name</td>
<td>Oso</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color</td>
<td>Blk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>8m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tag Color</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>License #</td>
<td>cited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VACC Certificate #</td>
<td>cited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Info</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Witness 1</td>
<td>M X F O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td>1001 S 6th Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence Phone #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Phone #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Witness 2</td>
<td>M O F O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence Phone #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Phone #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Witness 3</td>
<td>M O F O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence Phone #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Phone #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Witness 4</td>
<td>M O F O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence Phone #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Phone #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-141324

ACO name & Badge: Webster #2016

On 02/11/2014 at 1530 hours, I Officer Webster #2016, arrived at Ave., in response to a dog left in the back of a pickup truck. Upon my arrival I met with South Tucson Police Officer Nunez #0291, case #S1402110010. Officer Nunez showed me onto the property to unit #. Officer Nunez stated he had knocked on the door several times, and that there was no answer to his knocks. Officer Nunez also informed me that the license plate on the truck came back registered to this address. As I approached the residence I observed a maroon colored Ford pickup truck, AZ plate # parked in front of # with a medium size black dog in the back. The dog was barking, but was not aggressive. There was a small gray plastic dog bowl with a small amount of water in one side of the bowl. The water appeared fresh, but would not have been enough for an extended period of time. The dog had only a very limited amount of space to move around in, as the bed of the truck was full of scrap wood, hoses, several piles of dog feces, and other types of debris. I took several pictures of the dog in the back of the truck, and then impounded the dog without incident. As I was placing the dog in to my vehicle, Officer Nunez informed me that the dog owner had just arrived home.

I met with the dog owner Jose and explained why I was there. He stated that he only left the dog in the back of the truck for short periods of time when he was not home, because the apartment had no yard and he had no where else to keep the dog. I explained to him that the dog could not be left in the back of the truck without shade or shelter, and that at the time of impound the truck was in full sun. After a brief discussion Mr. agreed to relinquish ownership of the dog "Coo" to Pima Animal Care Center, since he was not able to properly care for the dog.

I issued citations to Mr. for neglect-no shelter, neglect-exercise space, no license and no rabies vaccine. Mr. accepted and signed his citations and was given his court date, time and location. He also signed the release of ownership form. #2016

Officer's Signature: [Signature]

Date: 2/11/14
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**  
Pima County Health Department  
Pima Animal Services  
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.  
Tucson  
Phone:  
Fax: (520) 243-5800  
www.pimasanimalcare.org

**ACO NAME / BADGE #**  
Webster #2016  
Complaint Number A14-141404

**DATE AND TIME REPORTED**  
02/12/14 / 1415

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**  
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.

**FACILITY/OWNER NAME**  
Officer Webster #2016

**DATE AND TIME OCCURRED**  
02/12/14 / 1430

**FOOD**  
WATER  
SHELTER  
INJURED  
ILL  
VENTILATION  
ABANDONED  
TIEOUT  
BEaten  
WASTE  
OTHER (EXPLAIN)  
Cruelty

**WHO/COMPANY NAME**  
Officer Webster #2016

**DATE OF BIRTH**

**RESIDENCE PHONE NO.**  
520-243-5800

**BREED DESCRIPTION**  
Pitbull mix

**ANIMAL'S NAME**  
Chino

**COLOR**  
Cr/Wh

**SEX**  
M

**AGE**  
Sy

**TAG**  
NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL</th>
<th>ANIMAL'S NAME</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>TAG</th>
<th>LICENSE #</th>
<th>VETERINARY CERTIFICATE #</th>
<th>COMM</th>
<th>ANIMAL ID#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Owner</td>
<td>Chino</td>
<td>Cr/Wh</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Sy</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>NA9227</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WITNESS 1**  
Juno Davis #2953

**WITNESS 2**  
Er. Brenda Littay

**WITNESS 3**  

**WITNESS 4**  

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-141404
ACO name & Badge: Officer Webster #2016

On 02/12/14 at 1415, I Officer Webster #2016 while on duty at Pima Animal Care Center, was approached by Shelter Supervisor Danny Miranda and requested to go to the animal intake area at Pima Animal Care Center. He explained that shelter staff member Kino Davis #2053 was booking in a dog that had a very large ruptured tumor on his abdomen and that the owner stated that the dog had received no veterinary care. I went immediately to the animal intake area and spoke with Kino Davis who reiterated what Supervisor Miranda had told me and pointed out the dog's owner to me. The dog, a 9 year old Pitbull mix named "Chino" was in a rolling dog kennel and I was able to see very clearly into the kennel. I observed that the dog had a large ruptured tumor on the right side of his lower abdomen along his penis, and I noticed a smell consistent with infection. The tumor was coated with a purple solution.

I then spoke with the dog's owner Mr. Ramon who told me that the injuries to his dog had just happened within the past few days. I took Mr. outside so we could speak in private. I told Mr. that I believed the tumor had been there much longer, due to the advanced stage of development and the size of the tumor. Mr. then admitted that it had been like that since before Thanksgiving. I asked him if he had sought medical care for the dog, and he said that he had not because he couldn't afford it. He said that he had been giving the dog injections of penicillin that he had acquired for his horses, and that he was cleaning the tumor with rubbing alcohol, hydrogen peroxide and then showed me a bottle of a solution called "Blue Coat", which I identified as a topical antiseptic used to treat minor cuts and scrapes.

I issued citations to Mr. for neglect-no vet care, and for cruelty, as he clearly knew this dog had been ill for an extended period of time and had failed to do anything to ease the dog's suffering. Mr. signed the euthanasia release form, acknowledging ownership and giving Pima Animal Care Staff permission to euthanize the dog. Mr. accepted and signed his citations and was given his court date, time and location.

I then transferred the dog to the clinic at Pima Animal Care Center for further examination and euthanasia. The county veterinarian will be examining the dog, and will be adding additional notes to this report. #2016
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

**Pubina County Health Department**

**Pima Animal Service Center**

4000 N. Silverbell Rd.

Tucson, Arizona 85745

Phone: (520) 243-5500

Fax: (520) 243-5510

www.pimaanimals.com

---

**Suspect**

**Berenice Webster**

**Suspect's Address**

**4000 N. Silverbell Rd.**

**City**

**Tucson**

**State**

**AZ**

**Suspect's Business Address**

**Not given**

**Suspect's Phone Number**

**Not given**

---

**Complaint Number**

**A14-141070**

**Date and Time Reported**

**02/07/14 / 1715**

**Location of Incident**

**4000 N. Silverbell Rd.**

**Date and Time On Scene**

**02/07/14 / 1715**

**Cause of Event**

**BITE**

**WELFARE**

**DANGEROUS**

**OTHER**

**Veterinary Care**

**X Vet Care**

---

**Victim/Complainant Name**

**Officer Webster #2016**

**D.O.B.**

**Not given**

**Residence Phone No.**

**520-243-5500**

**Business Phone No.**

**Not given**

**Victim's Address**

**Not given**

**ZIP**

**Not given**

**City**

**Tucson**

**State**

**AZ**

---

**Veterinarian's Address**

**4000 N. Silverbell Rd.**

**City**

**Tucson**

**State**

**AZ**

**Zip**

**85745**

---

**Follow Up Request**

**Not given**

**Veterinary Care**

**Not given**

---

**Nature of Event**

**DANGEROUS**

**RESTRAINT**

**DANGEROUS**

**OTHER**

**OTHER**

**Follow Up Request**

**Not given**

---

**Address and Phone Number Same As Victim**

**Yes**

---

**Relationship to Victim**

**VET CLINIC**

---

**Quarantine**

**10**

**15**

**45**

**180**

**FRA HEAD**

---

**Breeding Description**

**Victim or Owner Animal**

**Min Pinscher**

---

**Witness**

**1**

**Laurie Flynn #2840**

**DB#**

**M**

**Residence Address**

**4000 N. Silverbell Rd.**

**Residence Phone #**

**520-243-5500**

---

**Witness 2**

**Dr. Bonnie Liddle**

**DB#**

**M**

**Residence Address**

**4000 N. Silverbell Rd.**

**Residence Phone #**

**520-243-5500**

---

**Witness 3**

**DB#**

**M**

**Residence Address**

**4000 N. Silverbell Rd.**

**Residence Phone #**

**520-243-5500**

---

**Witness 4**

**DB#**

**M**

**Residence Address**

**4000 N. Silverbell Rd.**

**Residence Phone #**

**520-243-5500**
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-141070

ACO name & Badge: Officer Webster #2016

02/07/2014 at 1715 hours. I Officer Webster #2016, was requested by Pima Animal Care Center shelter staff member Laurie Flynn #2049 to check on the condition of a dog being brought into the intake area by the dog owner. She stated that the dog appeared to have suffered a major injury to his right eye, and she was concerned because the owner stated he had not provided veterinary care for the dog. Upon entering the intake area I met with the dog owner Omar and observed his dog "Rocky" a 2 year old black and tan Miniature Pinscher. I observed that Rocky's right eye was bulging completely out of the eye socket and that the eye was covered in a grayish brown scab like film. I also noted that the dog had multiple scars and healing lacerations all over his face. I asked Mr. to step outside and speak with me in private. While outside he stated that he and his wife, who was waiting in the car at this time, had just noticed the injury this morning. He said that they thought it had happened either this morning or late last night. I told him that based on the scab like covering over the eye it appeared the injury was much older than that. He stated that he had no money for veterinary care and therefore had not sought any care for the dog.

Shelter staff member Laurie Flynn was in the process of booking the dog in to Pima Animal Care Center, she held the dog for me while I took multiple photographs of the dog's injuries. Mr. had given her his identification, which she handed to me. I instructed her to book the dog in for Mr. and to have him sign the release of ownership form for the dog. I spoke with the County Veterinarian who was on duty at this time, and I informed her of the dog's condition. I told her that I intended to issue citations to Mr. for neglect-no veterinary care for the dog "Rocky". She requested that after doing so I bring the dog over to the clinic at Pima Animal Care Center for further evaluation.

I then met again with Mr. in the shelter supervisor's office. I issued a citation to Mr. for neglect-veterinary care. Since there was some question as to whether the dog lived with Mr. or with his wife Berenice who lives at a different address, I issued a citation to Berenice as well for neglect-no veterinary care. Both Mr. and Mrs. accepted and signed their citations and were given their court date, time and location.
I requested that Pima Animal Care Center shelter staff member Laurie Flynn #2049 submit a written statement in regards to what she witness. Her statement is attached to the end of this report. #2016

To Whom It May Concern:

On February 7, 2014 P333100 came into the intake lobby around 1720 hundred hours and wanted to surrender his dog Rocky a 1/5 min pincher x to Pima animal care center. When I Laurie Flynn (2049) looked at A469721 I seen that he had a big healing wound on his head and his right eye was not in the socket and had a gray coat over it and when I turned the dog to the side I seen there were fibers in the gray coat. I asked P333100 if he seen the dogs eye and he stated that his wife told him about it this morning and he had to go to work and after work he brought the dog to PACC. I then asked P333100 if he got any vet care for the dog and he stated he had no time and no money to take the dog to a vet and that is why he brought the dog to PACC. I felt that I needed to get and officer involved so I went to see if there was and enforcement officer to look at A469721.

I came back to the intake department I asked for P333100 ID and went back to enforcement. That is when office 2016 drove back and I advised her I needed her to look at dog’s eye and handed her the ID of P333100. When officer 2016 came into intake she asked P333100 to step out side so they could talk. When 2016 and P333100 came back into intake she took A469721 into the rescue room and showed Justin and Michael the dog and then took the owner into the supervisors room and told him what was going on. When 2016 and P333100 came out he was upset and said that it was not fare for him to get a citation when his wife and him co own the dog so 2016 wrote both parties a citation.

Laurie Flynn  
2049  
February 7, 2014

Officer's Signature: [Signature]  
Date: 3/1/14
INVESTIGATION REPORT
Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N Silverbell Rd
Tucson, AZ
Phone: 520-827-3830
Fax: (520) 294-3000
www.pimaanimalcare.org

INVESTIGATOR REPORT

SUBJECT
JON-TE

VS CMI

ACO NAME / BADGE #
J RADERMAKER
A99-190444
2019

COMPLAINT NUMBER
AB2130-13

DIAGNOSIS
BITE (W) DANGEROUS (O) OTHER (O)

DATE OF INCIDENT
06/04/13 / 1154

DATE AND TIME OCCURRED
09/12/13 / 1750

LOCATION OF INCIDENT

FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURED/ILL VENTILATION ABANDONED TIEOUT BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)

VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME
OFFICER C. WEEK 2015

D.O.B.

RESIDENCE PHONE NO.
249-5900

BUSINESS PHONE NO.

VICTIMS ADDRESS
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER

ZIP CITY STATE
85745 TUCSON AZ

VICTIMS BUSINESS ADDRESS
4000 N SILVERBELL RD

ZIP CITY STATE

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE

OF APPLICABLE

DANGEROUS
ASSOCIATION REQUESTED

DANGEROUS
CASE NUMBER

OTHER AGENCY CASE #

FOLLOW UP REQUEST

YES NO

YES NO

YES NO

NAME AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

VET CLINIC

TREATED BY

PHONE NUMBER

DATE QUARANTINED

PAQC

VET

HOME

RELEASE DATE

FATQ

UTQ

CLINIC'S ADDRESS

3RD PARTY CITATIONS

PARTY CITATIONS

PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS

PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

REVIEWED BY

REPORT NUMBER

SIGNATURE

GND

YES NO

YES NO

YES NO

YES NO

YES NO

BREED/DESCRIPTION

VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL

VICTIM OWNER

HOUND

HUNTER

TAN

M 3M

VICTIM OWNER

WITNESS 1

MIDZI REAL

M 5 F

DOB

ADDRESS

1981 W BART MARYS #544 TUCSON AZ 85714

RESIDENCE PHONE #
988-4160

BUSINESS PHONE #

WITNESS 2

M 5 F

DOB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #
BUSINESS PHONE #

WITNESS 3

M 5 F

DOB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #
BUSINESS PHONE #

WITNESS 4

M 5 F

DOB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #
BUSINESS PHONE #
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A13-130413

ACO name & Badge: J RADEMAKER 2019

On September 4, 2013 Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) dispatch received a complaint from Mitzi Beal about a pup tied up on balcony with excessive animal waste and that the dog is very thin.

On September 10, 2013 at 12:03AM PACC received fax from its answering service that said the puppy is tied out on the balcony in the rain. It is a young, possibly Bloodhound. Noticeably underweight and does not appear to be fed regularly. Also balcony is not cleaned of urine and faces and smells horrible. Reported that the dog owner left the dog with the complainant once for what was supposed to be "a couple of hours" and did not return for the dog until the following day.

On September 12, 2013 at 5:22PM the caller reported to PACC that the puppy was currently tied-out on the balcony.

On September 12, 2013 at 5:50PM Officer Meek 2015 responded to Saint Mary's apartment number in reference to a neglect tie out complaint. He arrived at the address and observed a small hound mix puppy tied outside on a nylon red leash. He was able to photograph the dog on the tie out. He also was able to see that the dog did have access to water in a plastic container which he photographed. He was unable to impound the dog due to it being on a second story balcony and he had no way to access the balcony. The complex was closed for the day and he could not locate an after hours maintenance staff telephone number. The dog was not in dire straights while he was at the property so the fire department was not called. He did post a notice on the front door and requested contact from the dog owner.

Officer Meek then proceeded to the complainant's residence located at apartment . He asked if there was a good telephone number for the dog owner and was provided the first name of Jonte and the telephone number of . He was also advised that Jonte keeps irregular hours due to being employed by the as a police officer. 2015

Officer Meek requested that if contact with the owner is made by another Officer citation for neglect: tie out on 09/12/13 at 1750 hours be issued on his behalf.
On February 7, 2014 at 5:31 PM I, Officer Rademaker 2019, went to Saint Marys # and met with resident Jon-To. I explained the complaint and he said that he had tried to call PACC when he arrived home shortly after Officer Meek 2015 had left a notice on his door on 09/12/13 but had received no answer. He said the dog that was tied out on his porch was a stray that he had found while walking his dog on a river pathway and that he had taken the stray dog to Phoenix where he had given it away to strangers at the roadside. He further stated that his own dog had died of injuries sustained while playing at the apartment complex.

I spoke with the original complainant Mitzi who came to my vehicle and looked at the photograph Officer Meek had taken on 09/12/13 at 1750hrs of a dog on a tie-out on the patio of #. Ms. positively identified the dog as Hunter, the dog belonging to Mr. that she had complained about being tied out on the balcony in unsanitary conditions on 09/04/13 and again on 09/10/13 and 09/12/13.

I showed the photograph of the dog on the tie-out on his patio to Mr. and he acknowledged that that was his own dog, Hunter. I issued a citation to Mr. on behalf of Officer Meek 2015 for the neglect tie-out violation on 09/12/13 that he had witnessed and photographed. I explained the citation and court to Mr. and he said that as a police officer for the he was familiar with court. I gave him a law brochure.

Officer's Signature: [Signature] Date: 2/8/14 2019
INVESTIGATION REPORT
Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Services
400 N Silverbell Rd
Tucson, AZ 85705
Phone: (520) 298-2424
Fax: (520) 243-5800
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT
Ashley

ACO NAME/ BADGE #
C. Meek 2015
L. Webster 2016

COMPLAINT NUMBER
A14-140982

COMPLAINT DATE
01/24/14

LOCATION OF INCIDENT
400 N. Silverbell Rd

DATE AND TIME REPORTED
01/24/14 / 1224

DATE AND TIME OCCURRED
01/24/14 / 1440

FOOD Water Shelter Injured Ill Ventilation Abandoned Tie-Out Beaten Waste Other (Explain)

DIES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES □ NO □

I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case □

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME
Officer C. Meek

REQUEST/WAIVER exception for A.R.S. § 8-6401.05 (B) and § 8-238 (D)

VICTIM'S ADDRESS

ZIP CITY STATE
85705 TUC AZ

VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS

ZIP CITY STATE
85745 TUC AZ

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE
(OF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT
YES □ NO □

RESTITUTION REQUESTED
YES □ NO □

DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER
140124108

OTHER AGENCY CASE #

FOLLOW UP REQUEST

□ SO □ TPD □ OTHER:

□ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

□ VIOLATION

□ NON-VIOLATION

DATE OF OFFENSE:

TREATED BY

PHONE NUMBER

DATE QUARANTINED

PACC □

HOME □

VET □

CLINIC'S ADDRESS

PHONE NUMBER

VETERINARIAN OF RECORD

YES □ NO □

FTQ □

QUARANTINE

10 □ 15 □ 45 □ 180 □

Q GRA - HEAD

□ PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS

YES □ NO □

PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

SIGNED BY:

BREED/DESCRIPTION

VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL

ANIMAL'S NAME COLOR SEX AGE TAG COLOR LICENSE # VI CERTIFICATE # CODE ANIMAL ID#

YorkieVictim Owner

BallyBLK/TN M 11m ak A467658

TerrierVictim Owner

RosieWh/TN F 11m ak A467666

WITNESS 1
Officer L. Harrington 1959

M □ F □

DCB

ADDRESS 400 N. Silverbell Rd

RESIDENCE PHONE # 229-323-5800

WITNESS 2

M □ F □

DCB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE # BUSINESS PHONE #

WITNESS 3

M □ F □

DCB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE # BUSINESS PHONE #

WITNESS 4

M □ F □

DCB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE # BUSINESS PHONE #
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-140062

ACO name & Badge: C. Meek 2015

On 01/24/14 at 1440 I Officer Meek badge number 1959 and Officer Harrington badge number 1959 responded to the address to meet with Pima County Sheriff Office in reference to an abandonment complaint. We arrived at the address and met with Officer Borquez badge number 5835 who provided the case number 140124108.

Officer Borquez advised us that they responded to the address in reference to a burglary complaint as well as someone stealing electricity from the address. Officer Borquez stated that he was able to follow an extension cord to where he found the property un-secure and two dogs that appeared to be abandoned. Officer Borquez stated he made entry into the residence and found the interior in complete disarray.

We made entry into the residence and found the living conditions to be in a sad state for the possible human occupants. The floor was covered with dog feces as well as human debris.

We walked through the entire residence and photographed the conditions. The dogs were living in. While walking through the residence we did not observe any water available to the dogs. There was a toilet but there was no way for the dogs to gain access to the bowl as they were too small reach.

On 02/01/14 at 1615 hours Officer Webster badge number 2016 met wit a Ms. Ashley at Pima Animal Care Center. Ms. identified herself to Officer Webster as the owner of the two dogs impounded for.

Officer Webster advised Mr. that Officer Meek who impounded the dog requested citations to be issued.

Ms. then provided Officer Webster with her Arizona Driver’s license. Officer Webster then issued me. a total of four citations which included neglect no water and neglect unsanitary shelter for each of the two dogs. Officer Webster then advised Ms. that with the citations she would need to appear in court and provided her with the date. Ms. stated she understood her need to appear and signed her copy of the citations.

It was understood that Ms. then proceeded to the licensing loby to redeem the dogs. A check within the database revealed that the dogs were still in Pima Animal Care Centers possession and had not been redeemed.
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Suspect:
Gloria

ACO Name / Badge #: 1959/1925
Complaint Number: A14-140846

Zip:
85735
City:
Tucson
State:
AZ
Residence Phone Number:

Birth Date:
25/04/20

Hair Color:

Other:

Name of Lawful Representative (if applicable):

Address and Phone Number Same as:

Relationship to Victim:

Phone Number:

Veterinarian:

Clinic's Address:

Quarantine:

3rd Party Citations:

Citizen ACO:

Citations/Numbers:

Breed / Description:

Victim or Owner Animal:

Animal's Name:
Gords

Color:
White/Tan

Sex:
F

Age:
5m

License #:
Cited

Vet Certificate #:
Cited

BOID:
ok

Animal IRF:
A469333

Witness 1:
DOB:

Address:

Residence Phone #:

Business Phone #:

Witness 2:
DOB:

Address:

Residence Phone #:

Business Phone #:

Witness 3:
DOB:

Address:

Residence Phone #:

Business Phone #:

Witness 4:
DOB:

Address:

Residence Phone #:

Business Phone #:
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-140846

ACO name & Badge: Harrington 1959

Officer Walton #1925 and I, Officer Harrington #1959 arrived at in reference to a neglect complaint. Upon arrival we noticed a white and tan pit bull confined to a pen located in front of the house. The dog had a crate inside the pen. It did not have any other shelter or shaded area. We also noticed that there was a small plastic bowl that did not contain any water. There was no other water source located in the pen. We took a photograph of the empty bowl. There was a small gap on the side of the pen where the dog could potentially escape. There was some accumulation of animal waste. As we were looking around, the dog owner Gloria came out and greeted us. We explained to Ms. why we were there and the violations that we noticed regarding no water, no shade and animal waste. Ms. Espinoza stated that the dog, Gorda, is usually brought into the house. We explained the laws again and that they need to be followed at all times when the dog is outside. Ms. daughter came out and immediately cleaned up the animal waste while we were on scene. We issued citations to Ms. for neglect no water, no license and no rabies vaccination. We also issued a premise inspection to correct the no water, shade and confinement issues. Ms. signed and received her copy of the citation and premise inspection and was notified of her court date. 1969/1925

Officer's Signature: [Signature]

Date: 2-6-14
INVESTIGATION REPORT
Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Services
4000 N Silverbell Road
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 791-6500
Fax: (520) 243-5711
www.pimasanimallane.org

SUBJECT
Martin

ACO NAME / BADGE #
Robert Tovar 2021

COMPLAINT NUMBER
A14-141580

SUBJECT'S ADDRESS

ZIP CITY STATE PHONE NUMBER

BITE □ WELFARE □ DANGEROUS □ OTHER □
DUE & OTHER :

SUBJECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS
N/A

DRIVERS LICENSE

ZIP CITY STATE BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER

SUBJECT'S Height: □ F □ M 3□

HAIR COLOR

LOCATION OF INCIDENT

DATE AND TIME REPORTED
02/21/14 / 0946 hours

DATE AND TIME OCCURRED
02/21/14 / 1027 hours

FOOD □ WATER □ SHELTER □ INJURED □ VENTILATION □ ABANDONED □ TIEOUT □ BEATTEN □ WASTE □ OTHER (EXPLAIN)

□ YES □ NO

I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case

VICTIM / COMPLAINANT NAME
Robert Tovar

VICTIM'S ADDRESS

ZIP CITY STATE

D.O.B

RESIDENCE PHONE NO.

BUSINESS PHONE NO.
520-343-5000

VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS
4000 N Silverbell Road

2P CITY STATE

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE
( IF APPLICABLE)

□ NO □ YES

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT

RESTITUTION REQUESTED

DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER AGENCY CASE #
□ SO □ TPD

FOLLOW UP REQUEST
□ SO □ TPD

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE

ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS

VICTIM

□ VIOLATION □ NON-VIOLATION

BITE SEVERITY:

TREATED BY

PHONE NUMBER

DATE QUARANTINED

PACCO

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

VET CLINIC

PHONE NUMBER

OWNER NAMES OF OR

RELEASE DATE

Fig □ UTG

PHONE NUMBER

STATES

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE
SIGNATURE

4-3(2)G; 4-61; 4-76

3RD PARTY CITATIONS

CITING ACO

10 □ 15 □ 45 □ 180 □

OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS

PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER

REVIEWED BY

DATE

□ YES □ NO

BREED / DESCRIPTION

ANIMAL'S NAME

COLOR

SEX

AGE

TAG COLOR

LICENSE #

VETERINARY CERTIFICATE #

Breed

Animal ID #

Pit Bull X

Angel

Gold/Wh

F

12Y

Cited

Cited

I

A471033

Pit Bull X

King

Brn/Wh

M

4Y

Cited

Cited

N

A471112

Pit Bull X

Chiquita

Brindle

F

3Y

Cited

Cited

N

A471113

WITNESS 1

M □ F □

DOB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BUSINESS PHONE #

WITNESS 2

M □ F □

DOB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BUSINESS PHONE #

WITNESS 3

M □ F □

DOB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BUSINESS PHONE #

WITNESS 4

M □ F □

DOB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BUSINESS PHONE #
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-141980

ACO name & Badge: Robert Tovar #2021

On February 21, 2013 at 0948 hours the Pima County Animal Care Center received a call from a person who wished to remain anonymous reporting that there was a dead dog and two emaciated dogs in the yard at Street.

02/21/14 at 10:27 hours I, Officer Tovar #2021, arrived at St. I met with Mr. Martin and explained the reason for my visit. Mr. told me that he is the owner of the three dogs in his yard. I observed a brown brindle and white female pit mix that was of good weight and appeared healthy. I also observed a brown and white male pit mix that was of good weight. I observed genital sores on the male pit mix. Mr. said that he did not know what caused them.

I asked if he had a third dog in the yard and Mr. said that he did. We walked to the middle of the backyard where I observed a gold and white female pit mix that was emaciated. I could see the dog's entire skeletal structure. I asked Mr. how long has the dog been losing weight. Mr. said that the dog's name is Angel and that she began losing weight a month ago and really lost a lot of weight in the last three weeks after she went blind. I photographed all three of Mr.'s dogs. I asked Mr. if he planned on taking the dog to the veterinarian for treatment due to the dog's emaciated condition. Mr. said that he has had the dog since 2002 and was just going to let her die and then bury her in the yard. I asked why he did not take her to the veterinarian again and he told me that he had thought about it. He also said that he had thought about having Angel put to sleep but thought it would cost $500.00. Mr. stated that Angel has been eating well but continued losing weight.
Mr. asked me if I could take the dog. I told him that he could surrender the dog to the Pima Animal Care Center and that I would have to charge him the $75.00 fee for impounding his dog as well as $15.00 for euthanasia. I cited Mr. for Neglect-No Vet Care and No License and No Rabies Vaccination on Angel. I also cited him for No Rabies Vaccination and No License on his other two dogs Chiquita and King. Mr. signed and received his copy of said citations. I impounded Angel and placed her on the treatment list to be seen by the veterinarian at the Pima Animal Care Center.

I gave Mr. a Premise Inspection for Veterinarian Care for King and for Animal Waste as there was excessive waste in the yard. I advised Mr. that the animal waste needs to be picked up every 24 hours in the City of Tucson. Mr. signed and received his copy of the Premise Inspection with a recheck date of 02/28/14. It should be noted that the dogs did have water and shelter which I photographed. I gave Mr. a copy of the Tucson and Pima County Animal Laws as well information for spaying and neutering his two remaining dogs.

Officer's Signature: Robert Lower #2021 Date: 2-22-14
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Services
400 N Silverbell Rd
Tucson, AZ 85705
Phone: (520) 243-6900
Fax: (520) 243-6901
www.pimaanimalcare.org

**INVESTIGATOR**
Charles

**SUBJECT AMEER**

**ACC NAME / BADGE #**
Bowdon #28013

**COMPLAINT NUMBER**
A14-139061

**DATE & TIME REPORTED**
01/16/14 12:12

**DATE & TIME MMDDYY**
02/14/14 08:27

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**

**DATE OF INCIDENT**
01/14/14

**FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURY EML VENTILATION ABANDONED TIE OUT BEATEN WASTE OTHER**

**I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case.**

**I WAIVE " upon request" rights in this case.**

**I REQUEST/WAIVER exemption per A.R.S. §§ 41-4406(3B) and 41-4406(3C)**

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE**

**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM**

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM**

**PHONE NUMBER**

**LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS**

**CLINIC'S ADDRESS**

**QUARANTINE**

**3RD PARTY CITATIONS**

**CITING ACO**
Bowdon #28013

**PAST VIOLATIONS**

**PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER**

**VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE**

**BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANIMAL NAME</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>TAG COLOR</th>
<th>LICENSE #</th>
<th>VETERINARY #</th>
<th>BRAND</th>
<th>ANIMAL #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Camero</td>
<td>Brindle / White</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>7mo</td>
<td>listed</td>
<td>listed</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>AM74433</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brindle</td>
<td>Brindle</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>listed</td>
<td>listed</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>AM74434</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tan</td>
<td>Tan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>2mo</td>
<td>listed</td>
<td>listed</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>AM74444</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WITNESS 1**
PCCO Deputy Boris #8935
M ☑ F ☑ DOB 01/20/65
 Witness Address
G81 H Sancherio
Residence Phone # (520) 445-3011

**WITNESS 2**
PCCO Deputy Karras #1433
M ☑ F ☑ DOB 01/01/01
Witness Address
G81 H Sancherio
Residence Phone # (520) 445-3011

**WITNESS 3**
M ☑ F ☑ DOB 01/01/01
Witness Address
G81 H Sancherio
Residence Phone # (520) 445-3011

**WITNESS 4**
M ☑ F ☑ DOB 01/01/01
Witness Address
G81 H Sancherio
Residence Phone # (520) 445-3011
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-139081

ACO Name & Badge: Bowdon #2013

On 01/09/14 at approximately 16:12 hours Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) received a report of an emaciated dog in a cage located at Street in Pima County.

On 02/24/14 at approximately 08:27 hours I, PACC Officer Bowdon #2013, arrived at Street. I observed a young female Pit Bull mix confined to a small metal fenced area. I observed that the pup was thin. Inside this area I observed that there was a plastic crate for shelter and a soup pot containing filthy water with debris settled on the bottom.

I then observed that there was a male Boxer mix on a chain tie-out. I observed that this dog appeared to be very thin and he had ulcerations on his hind end. I observed that this dog also had access to shelter and there were two buckets containing very filthy water with algae growth inside the buckets. The ground was worn in a circular pattern which appeared to extend to the perimeter of the dogs reach while chained.

I knocked on the residence door repeatedly and did not receive a response. I returned to the dogs and offered them dog biscuits. Both dogs voraciously ate the biscuits. I noted that the young female dog aggressively tore at the sides of her confinement when one of the biscuits landed outside her confinement.

As I was preparing to impound the dogs due to the lack of access to potable water and the male dogs tie-out, a woman emerged from the house. I asked her if she was the dog owner. The woman stated that her parents owned the dogs, but that her parents were at work. I asked her if there was food for the dogs and she retrieved a bag of dog food from inside the residence. A man then emerged from the residence. The man identified himself as Charles Mr. stated that he was the property owner and that he has resided at this location for 20 years.
(A14-139061 continued)

Mr. stated that he had found the Boxer mix about a month ago and that the dog was in horrible condition when he found it. He stated he was "nursing the dog back to health and that he had chained the dog to prevent the dog from leaving his property. He stated that he was aware of the laws prohibiting the use of tie-outs, but that he was trying to help the dog. He stated that he had not sought any veterinary care for the dog because he could not afford to do so.

I observed some dog kennels on the west side of the property and asked Mr. why he did not utilize them to confine the dogs. Mr. stated that he had been using those kennels for chickens.

Upon closer inspection of the dog kennels I noticed what appeared to be skeletal remains on the kennel floor. Mr. stated that the remains were from chickens, however, I observed that there was a small dog collar around the area just below the skull. There was some fur remaining and it appeared that the remains were those of two tan small breed dogs, possibly Chihuahuas. I asked Mr. how the dogs had gotten into the kennel and Mr. stated that he did not know. Mr. stated that his son and daughter each owned a tan Chihuahua, but that they had moved away over a year ago and had taken their dogs with them.

I then contacted the Pima County Sheriff’s Office for assistance and additional documentation. Deputies Borquez #5835 and Korza #1383 arrived shortly thereafter.

I then noticed that there appeared to be a dog crate inside of a shed where some motorcycles were stored. There was a locked metal gate restricting access to the shed and Mr. unlocked it upon my request. I then observed that inside the crate was a mummified small breed dog, possibly another Chihuahua. Mr. stated he did not know where the dog came from. I returned to the dog kennel area for closer inspection and located a small dog skull partially buried in the ground beneath the kennel. Next to this kennel was another kennel with a plastic dog house and a blanket inside. I discovered a medium sized decomposing dog under the blanket. This dog had a microchip (0A131F141B) that was later identified as belonging to Brandon according to the PACC database. Mr. stated that he did not know how this dog had gotten into the kennel and that he did not know Brandon.

I located another plastic dog house outside of the kennels that had been deconstructed into two parts. The base of this dog house had a stain and fur embedded in the dirt that filled it.

I asked Mr. if he had any other animals inside his residence. He stated that he did and he retrieved a tan female Chihuahua pup from inside the house. He stated that this dog was purchased by his wife from a "Craigslist" ad recently. The pup appeared in good weight.
(A14-138061 continued)

I advised Mr. that I would be impounding all of the animals from his property due to the concern for their safety. Mr. stated he understood and voluntarily placed them into my vehicle. I seized the remains of all of the dead animals discovered on the property for further examination.

I then issued citations to Mr. for No License, No Rabies Vaccination, Neglect / Food, and Neglect / Water for the Boxer mix and Pit Bull mix. In addition, I issued citations for Neglect / Vet Care and Neglect / Tie-Out for the Boxer mix.

I issued a bond notice for all three live dogs and explained the bond process to Mr.

I returned to PACC with all of the animals and transferred them to the PACC veterinary staff for evaluation.

Officer's Signature: [Signature]
Date: 2/24/14
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INVESTIGATION REPORT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pitman County Health Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitman Animal &amp; Wildlife</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tucson, Arizona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone: (520) 298-8900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax: (520) 298-8900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://www.pitmananimalcare.org">www.pitmananimalcare.org</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUSPECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jessica</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACC NAME / BADGE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M. Fish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#1905</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMPLAINT NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A14-141558</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BREED/DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roscoe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANIMAL'S NAME</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>TAG</th>
<th>LICENSE #</th>
<th>VIOLATION Certificate #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roscoe</td>
<td>Red/White</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7yr</td>
<td>Silv</td>
<td>L14-220419</td>
<td>DEFENSOR 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITNESS 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITNESS 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITNESS 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITNESS 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-141858

ACO name & Badge: M. Fish #1995

On February 19th, 2014 at approximately 12:00 hours Officer T. Haynes #2032 arrived at ______ Rd. and received no response after knocking on the front door several times. Officer Haynes then went to the east side of the house and was able to clearly see in the backyard. She observed a medium red and white Pit Bull mix chained to a trampoline in the back yard. The chain was completely tangled up on itself. The dog could move no more then a couple of feet in any direction. Photos were taken from outside of the fence. Officer Haynes then went to the west side of the property and entered the backyard through an unlocked gate. She attempted to make contact with the residents again by knocking on the back sliding glass door but still received no response. As Officer Haynes approached the dog she observed a food dish and a large water dish with potable water but the dog could not reach either because of the tangled chain. She was able to get the dog on her catch pole and remove him from the chain tie out. The dog was impounded without incident. Officer Haynes provided the dog with water and scanned for a microchip but could not find one. A notice was posted on the front door informing the owners that the dog was impounded. Officer Haynes requested that the owners be cited for Neglect-Tie Out and Neglect-No Water when they come in to redeem their dog.

On February 20th, 2014 the dog’s owner, Jessica ___ came into Pima County Animal Care Center to redeem her dog. I, Officer M. Fish #1995, met with the owner and issued her citations for Neglect-Tie Out and Neglect-No Water based on Officer Hayne’s investigation.
MEMORANDUM

TO: Kim Janes, Animal Care Manager
FROM: Debra Tenkate, Animal Care Field Supervisor
DATE: March 9, 2014
RE: Dangerous Dog Cases for February 2014

City of Tucson:

1. A13-127181—Doreen Johnson, dog named Affinity was declared vicious, banished from the county by the court Investigator Eckelbarger served the orders. The dog must be tattooed and microchipped by 3/27/14 before leaving the county. Investigator Eckelbarger is monitoring compliance.

2. A13-127573—Arisela Espinoza, dog named Nina was declared vicious by the court Investigator Klein served the order. The owner brought the dog to PACC where she was euthanized.

3. A14-141067—David Janey, dog named NoNo was declared vicious by the court and impounded. Investigator Klein also conducted a dangerous dog evaluation and declared the dog dangerous. The dog was tattooed, microchipped and banned from the county.

4. A14-141067—Danyelle Martinez, dog named Tank was declared vicious by the court, impounded and held for bond. The bond was not paid and the dog was forfeited to PACC and euthanized.

5. A14-141346—Maria Alvarez, dog named Vaca was declared dangerous by Investigator Carver. The dog owner brought the dog to PACC for quarantine and signed the dog over for euthanasia.

6. A14-142014—Patricia Quintero, dog named Bayo was impounded and declared dangerous by Investigator Eckelbarger. The dog is at PACC awaiting the compliance date of 4/5/14.

7. A14-141109—Martin Hernandez, dogs named Smokey and Guerro were declared dangerous by Investigator Klein. The dog owner has complied with all of the dangerous dog requirements.

County:

8. A14-139635—Sara Valencia, dog named Raider was declared dangerous by Investigator Eckelbarger. The dog owner has complied with all of the dangerous dog requirements.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SUBJECT</td>
<td>Doreen Joan Johnson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACNO NAME/BADGE#</td>
<td>Whidauer #1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMPLAINT NUMBER</td>
<td>A13-127161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIP</td>
<td>Tucson, AZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITY</td>
<td>Tucson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATE</td>
<td>AZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHONE NUMBER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BITE COMPLAINT ALREADY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BITING WELFARE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DANGEROUS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WASTE OF RIGHTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I CHOOSE &quot;upon request&quot; rights in this</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>case</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I WAIVE &quot;upon request&quot; rights in this</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>case</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME</td>
<td>William Carroll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VICTIM'S ADDRESS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIP</td>
<td>Tucson, AZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITY</td>
<td>Tucson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATE</td>
<td>AZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHONE NUMBER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOOD WATER SHELTER INJUREDILL VENTILATION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABANDONED TIEOUT BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE AND TIME REPORTED</td>
<td>7/15/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE AND TIME OCCURRED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOLLOW UP REQUEST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER AGENCY CASE#</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL</td>
<td>pb2-lab mix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL'S NAME</td>
<td>Affinity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLOR</td>
<td>brown/white</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEX</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGE</td>
<td>2y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAG COLOR</td>
<td>L12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LICENSE #</td>
<td>204324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NV CERTIFICATE #</td>
<td>good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BID/ANIMAL ID#</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A639862</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WITNESS 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADDRESS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESIDENCE PHONE #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUSINESS PHONE #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WITNESS 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADDRESS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESIDENCE PHONE #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUSINESS PHONE #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WITNESS 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADDRESS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESIDENCE PHONE #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUSINESS PHONE #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WITNESS 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADDRESS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESIDENCE PHONE #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUSINESS PHONE #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIP</td>
<td>Tucson, AZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITY</td>
<td>Tucson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATE</td>
<td>AZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLINIC'S ADDRESS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUARANTINE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3RD PARTY CITATIONS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITING ACO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITED/OWN VIOLATED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-57, 4-7(2)(b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITATION/NUMBERS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ivirus 4- T-6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL</td>
<td>pb2-lab mix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL'S NAME</td>
<td>Affinity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLOR</td>
<td>brown/white</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEX</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGE</td>
<td>2y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAG COLOR</td>
<td>L12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LICENSE #</td>
<td>204324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NV CERTIFICATE #</td>
<td>good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BID/ANIMAL ID#</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A639862</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A13-127181
ACO name & Badge: Windauer #1984

On July 17, 2013 at approximately 1740 hours I responded to reference a dog bite. At apartment I met with victim, William Carroll and a roommate. I was told that on July 15, at approximately 0630 hours he had come walking downstairs with his dog on a leash. He said when he got to the bottom of the stairs his neighbors dog, in apartment , hit their storm door and it popped open and the dog came out and running up to him barking. He said the dog got real close and bit at his ankles. He later noticed that there had been a break in the skin on his left ankle. I took a picture of the wound and asked if Mr. Carroll wished to pursue prosecution. Mr. Carroll said he did. He felt the dog was an ongoing problem at the apartments and very aggressive. I also took a brief statement from witness which collaborated victims statement.

At the dog owners apartment I found an eviction notice taped to the window dated 7/16/13. I got no response at the apartment door, and heard no dog inside. I was advised by the neighbor that the occupant was not there and they didn't know about the dog. I took a picture and posted a doorknocker. I attempted contact with Constable Philip, who had posted notice but was unable to get any further information on owners whereabouts.

On July 19 at approximately 1505 hours I met with dog owner, Doreen Johnson at the apartment. They had received permission to remove their belongings. I explained about the complaint. She had not been aware of the dog biting anyone but had been aware that her dog-Affinity had gotten loose and had gone after the neighbor. She said someone at her residence had not latched the door properly. Ms. Johnson accepted citations for the incident. She also advised me that she had had to call out Tucson Police reference the same neighbor waving a gun at her juvenile son. I arranged to meet back with owner at her new address, after they had finished moving, to get biter quarantined.

At 1725 hours I met with Ms. Johnson at the new apartment. Ms. Johnson requested she be allowed to bring dog in, since dog gets very agitated around new people. I agreed and followed her into the Pima Animal Care Center where the dog Affinity was put into quarantine.

Officer's Signature: Date: 7/19/2013
DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VIOLENT ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

- An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

- An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

- An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

The dog "Affinity" was declared vicious and ordered boarded from Pima County to the Pima Reservation in Chandler, Arizona, on 1-28-14. It was also ordered that Affinity be tattoo'd (PAC #14-05). Compliance period ends 3-27-14 per court order.

OWNER: Darren Johnson
ADDRESS: 
PHONE: 
ANIMAL NAME: Affinity
ANIMAL ID#: A439862
SEX: M COLOR: Brown/BREED: Bull

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE.

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SITUS</th>
<th>ARISELA A ESPINOZA</th>
<th>ACO NAME / BADGE #</th>
<th>COMPLAINT NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>VELEZ / #1993</td>
<td>A13-127573</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**

```plaintext
07/21/13 1402
07/22/13 1730
```

**FOOD WATER SHELTER INJUREDILL VENTILATION ABANDONED TIEDOUT BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)**

**VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME**

KENNETH R. FORD / MARGARET FORD

**VICTIM'S ADDRESS**

KNNR FORD / MARGARET FORD

**VICTIM'S CONTACT NUMBER**

/ 1402

**RECIPIENT/WITNESS**

I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case.

**D.O.B.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D.O.B.</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE NO.</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE NO.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D.O.B.</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE NO.</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE NO.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D.O.B.</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE NO.</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE NO.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM NAME**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D.O.B.</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE NO.</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE NO.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PHONE NUMBER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D.O.B.</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE NO.</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE NO.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D.O.B.</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE NO.</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE NO.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D.O.B.</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE NO.</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE NO.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BREED/DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>ANIMAL'S NAME</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>TAG</th>
<th>LICENSE #</th>
<th>IX CERTIFICATE #</th>
<th>CMBD</th>
<th>ANIMAL MA#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PIT BULL</td>
<td>NINA</td>
<td>BLUEWHITE</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>CITED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHIHUAHUA / DACHSHUND</td>
<td>MOCHA</td>
<td>LIVERWHITE</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>5Y</td>
<td></td>
<td>L12-1616762</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WITNESS 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITNESS 1</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE #</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WITNESS 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITNESS 2</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE #</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WITNESS 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITNESS 3</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE #</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WITNESS 4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITNESS 4</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE #</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A13-127573
ACO name & Badge: R. VELEZ #1993

07/21/13 1402 hrs. Complainant Margaret "Peggy" Ford called Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) dispatch to report a dog on dog attack. Ms. Ford would like to meet with an officer and asked we call before coming over. Ms. Ford is requesting citations and restitution for any veterinary bills.

08/14/13 1018 hrs. Complainant Margaret "Peggy" Ford called PACC dispatch to find out how much longer before PACC responds to her house. Per Ms. Ford she has accrued over $900.00 in vet bills and there are more visits scheduled. Ms. Ford again requests a call when an officer is enroute.

08/25/13 1441 hrs. Officer Valez, #1993 met with Margaret Ford and Kenneth Ford. Mrs. Ford stated that on 07/20/13 at approximately 1730 hrs she and her husband Kenneth Ford were walking their five dogs on leashes. The dogs were a black lab mix named "Liza", a chocolate Dachshund named "Liebchen", a black and white Japanese Chin named Buster, a brown and white Chihuahua named "Corre", and the victim, a liver/white female Chihuahua/Dachshund mix named "Mocha". All of Mrs. Ford’s dogs were current on Rabies Vaccinations and Licenses. Mrs. Ford stated that when they walked by westbound in the street, a tan/white looking female Pit Bull was in her yard. Mrs. Ford said as they were returning home the Pit Bull was now in the driveway outside the fenced yard with its owner a male subject. Mrs. Ford stated the female Pit Bull charged her dogs in the street. The Pit Bull grabbed her dog "Mocha" by the front left leg. Mrs. Ford said the Pit Bull’s owner grabbed the dog by its legs and got the dog off of "Mocha". Mrs. Ford said she grabbed "Mocha" as soon as the Pit Bull was off of her. The Ford’s and the owner asked if the other was alright and after saying yes the Ford’s walked home. Mrs. Ford said that as they walked home they noticed that "Mocha" was limping and not putting weight on her front left leg.

Mr. Kenneth Ford went back to the attacking dog owner’s residence of and advised the male dog owner that his dog was limping and would probably go to a veterinarian. Mr. Ford said the dog owner told him that they were walking on the dog’s territory. The dog owner further told Mr. Ford that one of his dogs had injured his Pit Bull but Mr. Ford said he saw no injuries. Mr. Ford then went back home.
Mrs. Ford said that they took the injured dog "Mocha" to Valley Animal Hospital the following day. The veterinarian apparently found "Mocha" had a broken ulna of the front left leg. The Ford's have taken "Mocha" to the veterinarian twice so far and have at least two more appointments. Mr. and Mrs. Ford would like citations issued for Biting Animal - Dog on dog attack and Leash Law as well as Restitution for veterinary costs which currently stand at about $800.00. I left a notice with case information with Mrs. Ford and explained the court process. I photographed "Mocha" and her injured leg which is still wrapped. Mrs. Ford understood everything and had no questions.

I then went to dog owner's residence and met with Michael Sanchez and Arisela A. Espinoza. Mr. Sanchez stated that on 07/20/13 he saw from inside his yard two people walking their five dogs in the street near his residence. Mr. Sanchez said as the couple and the dogs approached, his dog, "Nina", a blue and white 10 month old female Pit Bull that had just had puppies became alarmed and walked towards the approaching dogs. Mr. Sanchez said his dog became defensive and approached the five dogs. Mr. Sanchez said one of the five dogs, a black lab mix, jumped on his dog and put it on its back. I asked Mr. Sanchez to show me exactly where the black lab and "Nina" were and he pointed to a spot out in the street about a foot or two from his driveway. Mr. Sanchez said he grabbed his dog and took it back inside the yard. Mr. Sanchez said his dog was bitten on one of its teats but was not taken to a veterinarian. Mr. Sanchez asked the couple if they were alright, they said yes and walked away.

Mr. Sanchez said the gentleman came back the next day and told him one of his dogs was injured, that he would probably take it to a veterinarian and that he would want Mr. Sanchez to pay the bills. Mr. Sanchez said neither the man or the woman ever came back with any bills.

I photographed "Nina" and some of her pups. I advised Mr. Sanchez that citations would be forthcoming and asked for identification. Ms. Arisela A. Espinoza said she would take the citations and asked for a repeat of the story first. I retold her the events that had transpired and she presented an Arizona Driver's License. I asked if "Nina" was current on Rabies Vaccination and License but I was told she was not. I cited Ms. Espinoza in the City of Tucson on citation #69491 A-D on the Pit Bull named "Nina". I cited for Biting Animal - Dog on Dog attack, Leash Law, No License and No Rabies Vaccination. I explained the citation to Ms. Espinoza and the court process. Ms. Espinoza signed the citation and I gave her the defendant's copy. I returned her driver's license. I also gave Ms. Espinoza a "Your Dog and the Law" pamphlet. I advised her to try and get the dog current or at least proof that she tried as the dog is currently lactating.

Officer's Signature: [Signature] Date: 08/26/18
DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VIOLENT ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

___ An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

___ An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

X An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

On February 3, 2014 City of Tucson, Judge Arbitrarily Declared the Pit Bull Known as Nino Vicious.

EVIIs #1936
E. KLEIN

OWNER: RAISELA ESPIRANZA
ADDRESS: 
PHONE: 

ANIMAL NAME: NINO
ANIMAL ID#: A4380-55
SEX: M COLOR: BLUE BREED: PIT BULL

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE.

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-141067

ACO name & Badge: M. Eckelbarger #1942

On 2/8/14 The City of Tucson Prosecutor Jean Paschen sent an e-mail verifying that the City of Tucson Police case #1402010315 had 2 independent witnesses besides the complainant that will testify that the 2 Pit Bulls from were loose on 2/1/14. The leash law violations on the 2 Pit Bulls owned by David Janey (No No A456241) and Danyelle Martinez/Robert Pryor (Tank A456243) were in violation of the Tucson Court order dated 1/21/14.

The court order stated that "if dogs belonging to the above persons are seen outside of their home or fence at any time, they will be declared dangerous immediately by the Pima County Animal Control and bond must be posted for an Order to Show Cause Petition."

On 2/7/14 Investigator Eckelbarger responded to and met with David Janey. Mr. Janey was served with the Declaration of Dangerous and the Bond Notice for his dog named NO NO. The dog was then impounded for public safety. The bond amount is $875.00 for NoNo.

He then met with Robert Pryor and Danyelle Martinez who were served with the Declaration of Dangerous and the Bond Notice for their dog named Tank. The dog was then impounded for public safety. The bond amount is $1325.00 for Tank.

Both parties are aware that the Bond must be posted by 2/18/14 by 7pm or the dogs will be forfeited to the Pima Animal Care Center.

Officer's Signature: D. Yenkte Date: 2/16/14
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-141067 / A14-141780- Supplemental Report

ACO name & Badge: Klein 1926

On February 18, 2014 City of Tucson Prosecutor Jean Paschen requested a dangerous dog assessment be done on a dog named NoNo Janey belonging to Mr. David Janey. NoNo had already been declared vicious under activity A14-141067 as ordered by Judge Hale under Tucson City Court Docket CO001443.

While conducting the investigation I found that there have been several complaints made regarding the Janey residence. Further investigation confirmed that three of the complaints involved NoNo. Mr. Janey was issued citations for leash law, attempt to bite and no current license for NoNo.

A kennel run was placed inside of the 5 foot wall that confines the back yard of the residence. Despite these efforts NoNo has still been reported as being at large.

I interviewed neighbors and learned that NoNo has been seen running at large on several occasions. During those incidents she has been aggressive toward other animals. It was also reported that NoNo was involved in chasing a neighborhood child on two separate occasions. One of those incidents resulted in citations being issued for an attempt to bite.

I have completed the evaluation criteria score sheet and NoNo scored +13 points resulting in NoNo being declared a dangerous animal. All information has been sent to the City of Tucson Prosecutor's office.

Officer's Signature: E. Klein

Date: 3/25/14
**CASE NO:** AH - 141780  
**OWNER:** DAVID JANEY  
**ANIMAL NAME:** NO NO  
**ADDRESS:**  
**SEX:** F  
**BREED:** PIT BULL MIX  
**COLOR:** BLACK  
**DATE:**  

---

### EVALUATION CRITERIA

#### REPORTED BITES:

- **Non-Violation Bite:** +3  
- **Violation Bite:** +6

#### SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:

- **No Break in Skin:** +1
- **Break in Skin or Bruising:** +2
- **Medical Care (Released):** +3
- **Multiple Bites-Single Incident:** +4
- **Bit Down and Shock Victim:** +6
- **Medical Care (Hospitализation):** +5

#### Animal Complaints or Violations:

- **Leash Law Citations:** +2
- **Leash Law Complaints:** +2
- **Attempted Bite Citations:** +2
- **Animal Attack Citations:** +3
- **Other Citations / Or Complaints:** +3

#### SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:

- **Attack With No Injury:** +1  
- **Injuries Treated By Owner:** +1  
- **Vet Care (1 To 2 Visits):** +1  
- **Extensive Vet Care (>2 Visits):** +2  
- **Injuries Resulted in Death:** +3

---

### CONFINEMENT MEASURES:

Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident:

- **Secure Fence, Wall, and Gates:** -5  
- **Inadequate Fencing or Gates:** +5  

### OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:

- **Repaired Deficient Confinement:** -3
- **Animal Is Neutered / Spayed:** +1
- **Owner Aware of Any Aggression:** +1
- **Owner Failed to Repair Confinement:** -5
- **Currently Licensed LIC # 1847745:** -5
- **No Current License:** +3
- **No Current Rabies Vaccination:** -1

### NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):

- **Two or More Neighbors Interviewed:**
  - **Animal Never Observed at Large:** -3
  - **Animal Not Observed Aggressively:** -3
  - **Animal Observed at Large >3/4yr:** +1
  - **Animal Observed at Large <3/4yr:** +1
  - **Animal Observed Being Aggressive:** +1

### DOG'S BEHAVIOR:

- **If Observed by Officer:**
  - **Animal Behaves Aggressively:** +1
  - **Animal Not Aggressive:** -1
  - **Animal Shows Unsafe Behavior:** -1

---

### Confinement / Fencing:

**The Property is Confined by a 5 Foot Tall Wall.**  
A Kennel Run has been built within the  
Local Confined Backyard. Despite these  
Efforts, PACC has received additional  
General Comments:

- **Reports of Dog in Violation of the**  
  **Leash Law and Displays Aggressive**  
  **Behavior:**

---

**Officer # 19250**

---

**TOTAL SCORE:** +18

**DANGEROUS**

---

**NOT DANGEROUS**

---

*A score of ten points or higher shall be deemed a dangerous animal.*

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, or attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner or bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.160. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog is not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.
## INVESTIGATION REPORT

**Pima County Health Department**
**Pima Animal Services**
**400 N. Cherry Ave.**
**Tucson, Arizona 85701**
**Phone:** (520) 243-6560
**Fax:** (520) 243-6560

**www.pimaanimalsafe.org**

### SUSPECT
**/ Robert Pryor/Danyelle Martinez**

**ACO NAME / BADGE #:** Eckelberger #1942

**COMPLAINT NUMBER:** A14-141067

### SUSPECT’S ADDRESS
**CITY:** Tucson
**STATE:** AZ

### SUSPECT’S BUSINESS ADDRESS
**CITY:** Tucson
**STATE:** AZ

### VICTIM’S ADDRESS
**ZIP:** 85701
**CITY:** Tucson
**STATE:** AZ

### POISON TOXICOUS EXPOSED BY
**DATE AND TIME REPORTED:** 2/11/14 / 10:00 hrs

**DATE AND TIME OCCURRED:** 2/11/14 / 10:00 hrs

### I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

### I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case

### NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

**MENTAL STATE / INJURY:**

**REASON:**

### VICTIM’S BUSINESS ADDRESS
**ZIP:** 85701
**CITY:** Tucson
**STATE:** AZ

### LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

***CLINIC’S ADDRESS***

***VETERINARY***

### VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

### BREED / DESCRIPTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PET</th>
<th>VICTIM</th>
<th>OWNER</th>
<th>ANIMAL’S NAME</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>TAG</th>
<th>LICENSE</th>
<th>VICI Certificate</th>
<th>CHID</th>
<th>MINIMAL ID#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pit Bull</td>
<td>VICTIM</td>
<td>OWNER</td>
<td>NoNo</td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>14m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A495241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pit Bull</td>
<td>VICTIM</td>
<td>OWNER</td>
<td>Tank</td>
<td>Black/White</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A495243</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### WITNESS 1
**Jerry Gonza**

### WITNESS 2
**Vanessa Stairman-Gardos**

### WITNESS 3
**M** | **F** | **DCB** | **ADDRESS** | **RESIDENCE PHONE#** | **BUSINESS PHONE#**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>DCB</td>
<td>3018 S Lundby Ave</td>
<td>604-3677</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>DCB</td>
<td>4981 E Kearney Vista</td>
<td>273-9466</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>DCB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### WITNESS 4
**M** | **F** | **DCB** | **ADDRESS** | **RESIDENCE PHONE#** | **BUSINESS PHONE#**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>DCB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-141067

ACO name & Badge: M. Eckelbarger #1942

On 2/6/14 The City of Tucson Prosecutor Jean Paschen sent an e-mail verifying that the City of Tucson Police case #1402010315 had 2 Independent witnesses besides the complainant that will testify that the 2 Pit Bulls from were loose on 2/1/14. The leash law violations on the 2 Pit Bulls owned by David Janey (No No A456241) and Danyelle Martinez/Robert Pryor (Tank A456243) were in violation of the Tucson Court order dated 1/21/14.

The court order stated that “if dogs belonging to the above persons are seen outside of their home or fence at any time, they will be declared dangerous immediately by the Pima County Animal Control and bond must be posted for an Order to Show Cause Petition.”

On 2/7/14 Investigator Eckelbarger responded to and met with David Janey. Mr Janey was served with the Declaration of Dangerous and the Bond Notice for his dog named NO NO. The dog was then impounded for public safety. The bond amount is $875.00 for NoNo.

He then met with Robert Pryor and Danyelle Martinez who were served with the Declaration of Dangerous and the Bond Notice for their dog named Tank. The dog was then impounded for public safety. The bond amount is $1325.00 for Tank.

Both parties are aware that the Bond must be posted by 2/18/14 by 7pm or the dogs will be forfeited to the Pima Animal Care Center.

Officer's Signature: D. Yenka Date: 2/18/14
DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

- An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.
- An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.
- An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

The dog "Tank" is automatically declared dangerous pursuant to court order 0001443.

OWNER: Robert Prior & Daniel Smith
ADDRESS:
PHONE: 7
SEX: M COLOR: B BREED: Pitx

ANIMAL NAME: Tank
ANIMAL ID#: A163243

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE.

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.
INVESTIGATION REPORT

PIKE COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
Pike County Animal Control
400 N. 10th Street
Tuscaloosa, AL 35401
Phone: (205) 349-6072
Fax: (205) 349-6072
www.pikeanimalcontrol.org

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Animal: Vaca

ANIMAL'S NAME: Vaca
COLOR: Black/White
SEX: F
AGE: Y
TAG: 1Y
LICENSE #: 1Y
VET CERTIFICATE #: 1Y
CAGE #: 1Y
ANIMAL ID #: 1Y

WITNESS 1

M  F
M  F
M  F
M  F
M  F
M  F

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y

DEMONSTRATE PHONE #: 1Y
BUSINESS PHONE #: 1Y
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INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-141346

ACO name & Badge: T. Haynes #2032

On February 11, 2014 at approximately 1915 hours Officer Fish #1995 arrived at and met with the bite victim, Marilyn Bland, who stated that at approximately 1820 hours this evening she was at her front door when the Black/White Pit Bull from approached her and bit her on her upper right leg. According to the victim and her son, Cody Bland, who witnessed the dog running loose after it bit his mother, the dog is always running loose and has charged them before. The victim stated that the owners are aware of the bite and when they heard her state that she was calling Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) they got in their car and left with the dog.

As Officer Fish was speaking with the victim and her son several neighbors approached them and confirmed that the dog is left unconfined, running loose and acts aggressively towards people and other animals.

Officer Fish then tried making contact with the owners at there was no answer and he did not see or hear a dog inside. He posted a notice on the dog owner's front door advising them that they need to call PACC and arrange to meet with an officer.

The victim is requesting citations be issued for Biting Animal and Leash Law as well as restitution for any medical bills she may incur as a result of the bite.

On February 12, 2014 at approximately 1344 hours I, Officer T. Haynes #2032, arrived at and met with a female individual that verbally identified herself as Maria Garcia. I explained, through a neighbor interpreter, why I was there. I asked if she owned the black/white Pit Bull that bit the neighbor and she stated that she did not. She said that the dog just roams around and she did not know who it belonged to. She said that she thinks the dog just comes around for the trash and pointed to an overflowing trash can. The neighbor interpreter also stated that he did not know who the dog belonged to either. I saw no evidence that the dog resided at this address. I thanked her for her time and returned to my truck.
I reread the investigating officer's notes and found that the victim and a witness said that the residents of placed the dog in the car and drove away immediately after the biting incident occurred. I went and met with the witness and bite victim's son, I explained that the neighbors were denying owning the dog and that I saw no evidence of the dog residing at the house. He advised me that although he and his mother had only been living in the house for about a week he had seen the black/white Pit Bull in the neighbor's back yard on multiple occasions and that he had seen the dog come and go from the house. He also said that there were other neighbors that had dealt with the dog and they could identify that the dog lived at . I explained that I was going to do some neighbor interviews and then issue the citations if I could prove that the dog lived next door. I apologized for the inconvenience and thanked him for his time.

I then went to and met with Jessica Carnes and Annette Grobe. I explained that I was there in regards to the neighbor getting bitten yesterday and that the residents of are denying that the dog resides there.

Mrs. Carnes stated that she has lived at the complex for six months and the dog has been there the entire time. She said that the dog used to be friendly and play with the kids but lately the dog has grown more aggressive and now everyone is afraid of it. She said that she has seen the dog come and go from the house and that the residents just let it run around the complex all the time.

Mrs. Carnes then stated that on February 11, 2014 at approximately 1830 hours she was walking up the driveway, pushing her 2 year old son, , on a tricycle, when she observed the black/white Pit Bull coming at them. She said that the dog lunged at her son and tried to attack him but she was able to scoop the child up and prevent him from getting bitten by the dog. She said that the dog continued to lunge at them even as she swung the tricycle at the dog. She said that they were able to make it inside without getting bitten. Mrs. Carnes said that this occurred right after the neighbor had gotten bitten. Mrs. Carnes is not requesting citations for the attempt to bite on her and her son. She also said that she had heard that the dog was taken away in the vehicle parked in front of shortly thereafter but did not witness this event.

I then spoke to Annette Grobe, mother-in-law to Mrs. Carnes. She said that she had lived in her apartment for two years and that the dog definitely lives at . She stated that the dog has been there for at least a year or a year and a half, ever since it was a puppy. She said that the dog has always been allowed to run around the complex and that it used to be a very friendly dog and all the kids used to play with it. She said that it has been just recently that the dog has started to become aggressive and that everyone is terrified of the dog. Mrs. Grobe then confirmed the story of Mrs. Carnes attempting to keep the dog at bay with the tricycle. Mrs. Grobe said that there have been numerous other encounters with this dog by other friends and neighbors. Both women agreed to testify in court if necessary. I thanked them for their time and I returned to
This time I met with Osmar Borquez, Mrs. Garcia's brother. I explained to Mr. Borquez why I was there. He was very cooperative and provided me with the dog's name, Vaca. I then requested to speak to Mrs. Garcia. Mr. Borquez translated for me. I advised her that I had spoken to several people and that the dog did live at this address. She continued to deny that she owned the dog and then stated that the dog belonged to her estranged husband.

I inquired about the location of the dog and she denied knowing where it was at. I explained that it was observed that the dog was loaded into a vehicle and removed from the premises. I requested her identification and after several minutes she finally produced her Card. I explained to Mrs. Garcia that after any bite the dog must be quarantined and that failing to produce the dog for quarantine will result in citations which could result in jail time. Mrs. Garcia then attempted to contact her husband, Rodrigo Garcia. She called him repeatedly and did not receive an answer. I asked where he lived and she stated that she did not know his address only the general area that he resided. Mrs. Garcia was adamant that she did not own the dog and that it was just there for the kids.

I had dispatch attempt to call Mr. Garcia and was advised that the call went straight to voicemail. Due to Mrs. Garcia's lack of cooperation, I then contacted Supervisor Montano and he said to issue Mrs. Garcia the citations for biting animal and leash law as she was in custody and control of the dog at the time of the bite. He also advised to issue her a written Notice of Violation giving her 24 hours to produce the dog at PACC for quarantine.

I advised Mrs. Garcia that she was going to receive the citations and returned to my truck to write them. I completed writing the citations and returned to meet with Mrs. Garcia. I inquired about her last name because her identification read Alvarez. She stated that her name was Alvarez.

Mrs. Alvarez was issued citation #71731 for leash law and biting animal. She was also issued a Notice of Violation which gave her 24 hours to produce the biting dog for quarantine. Citation, court date, time and location were explained to Mrs. Alvarez with the translation help of her brother, Osmar Borquez. She acknowledged, signed and received copies of the citation and Notice of Violation. Her Card was then returned to her.

Officer's Signature: [Signature] Date: 02/15/14
CASE NO: 14-141032
OWNER: Mares de Italiano and Alvaro Mares
ANIMAL NAME: Vaca

**EVALUATION CRITERIA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REPORTED BITES:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NON-VIOLATION BITE</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIOLATION BITE</td>
<td>+8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>+12</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO BREAK IN SKIN</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING</td>
<td>+2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED)</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT</td>
<td>+4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIT DOWN AND SHOCK VICTIM</td>
<td>+4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION)</td>
<td>+8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Animal Complaints or Violations:**

| LEASH LAW CITATIONS | +2 |
| LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS | +1 |
| ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS | +2 |
| ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS | +3 |
| OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS | +1 |
| **TOTAL** | **+8** |

**SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:**

| ATTACK WITH NO INJURY | +1 |
| INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER | +2 |
| VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits) | +3 |
| EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS) | +4 |
| INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH | +5 |

**Confinement / Fencing:**

5 foot chainlink with one section as low as 4 foot

**General Comments:**

The dog Vaca is very aggressive and has been allowed to roam the neighborhood. There are 2 reported bites & 2 that were previously unreported. The dog Vaca is declared to be dangerous.

**OFFICER # CARVER 1401**

**TOTAL SCORE:** +33

**DANGEROUS**

A score of ten points or higher shall be deemed a dangerous animal. We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite, attack, chase or change, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or change a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC in person.
INVESTIGATION REPORT
Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Services
4000 N. Apple Ave.
Tucson, AZ 85716
Phone: (520) 824-3690
Fax: (520) 298-6650
www.pimaanimalservices.org

SUBJECT
Patricia Quintano

ACO NAME / BADGE #
1942 Eckelberger

COMPLAINT NUMBER
A14-142014

ZIP CITY STATE RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER

SUBJECT'S ADDRESS
Tucson AZ

BITES □ WELFARE □ DANGEROUS □ OTHER □

CODE IF OTHER:

SUBJECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS
CI □ CO □ OTHER □

ZIP CITY STATE BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER

SEX WEIGHT HEIGHT EYES HAIR COLOR

LOCATION NUMBER:

DATE AND TIME REPORTED
2-21-14 / 1628

DATE AND TIME OCCURRED
2-21-14 / 1640

FALL/WALK SHELTER INJURED ALL VENTILATION ABANDONED TIEDOUT BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)

I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☑ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case:

☑ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. 13-4405 (B) and 13-2859 (B)

☑ NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

☑ DANGEROUS

☑ ASSESSMENT

☑ REQUESTED

☑ DANGEROUS

☑ CASE NUMBER

☑ OTHER AGENCY CASE #

☑ ◼ SO □ TPD

☑ ◼ TPD □ OTHER:

☑ FOLLOW UP REQUEST

☑ ◼ SO □ TPD

☑ ◼ OTHER:

☑ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

☑ VIOLATION

☑ BITE SEVERITY: 3

☑ TREATED BY

☑ PHONE NUMBER

☑ DATE QUARANTINED

☑ 3-25-14

☑ PACC □ VET □ HOME

☑ RELEASE DATE 3-31-14

☑ PHONE NUMBER

☑ OTHER KNOWS OF WIFE

☑ YES □ NO

☑ FTQ □ UTQ

☑ VET CLINIC

☑ RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

☑ LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

☑ CLINIC'S ADDRESS

☑ QUARANTINE

☑ 510 15 60 90 180 □

☑ ◼ FRA HEAD:

☑ VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

☑ CITING ACO

☑ 1942 Eckelberger

☑ PREVIOUS VACATIONS

☑ YES □ NO

☑ PREVIOUS CARE NUMBER

☑ OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

☑ CITATIONS/NUMBERS

☑ 4-7 (2)(b), 4-97

☑ REVIEWED BY

☑ 2-3-14

☑ BYR 14H

☑ BRED/DESCRIPTION

☑ VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL

☑ ANIMAL'S NAME

☑ COLOR

☑ SEX

☑ AGE

☑ TAG COLOR

☑ LICENSE #

☑ VETERINARY CERTIFICATE #

☑ EID #

☑ ANIMAL ID

Chow mix

☑ VICTIM

☑ OWNED

☑ BAYO

☑ BROWN

☑ M

☑ 3yr

☑ VICTIM

☑ OWNED

☑ VICTIM

☑ OWNED

☑ VICTIM

☑ OWNED

☑ VICTIM

☑ OWNED

☑ VICTIM

☑ OWNED

☑ VICTIM

☑ OWNED

☑ VICTIM

☑ OWNED

☑ WITNESS 1

☑ M □ F □

☑ DOB

☑ ADDRESS

☑ RESIDENCE PHONE #

☑ BUSINESS PHONE #

☑ WITNESS 2

☑ M □ F □

☑ DOB

☑ ADDRESS

☑ RESIDENCE PHONE #

☑ BUSINESS PHONE #

☑ WITNESS 3

☑ M □ F □

☑ DOB

☑ ADDRESS

☑ RESIDENCE PHONE #

☑ BUSINESS PHONE #

☑ WITNESS 4

☑ M □ F □

☑ DOB

☑ ADDRESS

☑ RESIDENCE PHONE #

☑ BUSINESS PHONE #
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-142014

ACO name & Badge: 1942 Eckelbarger

On 2-21-14 at 1640 hours, I Investigator Eckelbarger (1942) responded to ________ where I met with juvenile bite victim, Arturotillo and his mother, Norma Salazar (DOB 3-5-76). Ms. Salazar stated that her son was in their family member's front yard at ________ on 2-21-14 at approximately 1640 hours with her other small children when the next door neighbors brown chow mix got out of its yard and ran into their front yard charging at the children. ________ then was gathering the small children and helping them on top of their car to keep the dog away and the dog ran up and bit ________ on the right lower leg causing a bite wound. They then were yelling and screaming at the dog and trying to scare it away when the attacking dog owner came outside got the dog, took back into her yard at ________ closed all gates, and went back inside her house.

The fire department responded on scene and bandaged ________ leg (which I took a photograph of) and cleared the scene before I responded. Ms. Salazar is requesting citations, restitution, and a dangerous dog evaluation on the attacking dog.

The victim and the victim's mother then left to go to the hospital as the wound needed stitches. I then responded next door at ________ where I observed the attacking dog; a brown chow mix that was extremely aggressive in the front yard. There was also a Chihuahua in the yard. I took photographs of the biter dog and attached to activity. The front gates were locked so I attempted to contact owner who the victim's mother stated was still in the house, but would not come outside. I received no response. The dog was trying to bite me through the rod iron whenever I got too close to the gates though. I then posted a notice on the front gate and dangerous dog notice advising to call.

On 2-25-14 at 1700 hours, I responded to the dog owner's address at ________ where I met with Patricia Quintero ________ ( ) who spoke primarily Spanish. I contacted Supervisor Montano (1994) who translated over the phone for me. Ms. Quintero stated that she has had "Bayo" for 3 years, but then stated it belongs to her ex-boyfriend, Mario Gravillas. She admitted
that Bayo got out loose the day of the incident because she opened the front gate to let a vehicle out of the yard. She could not find any rabies vaccination information on the dog. She stated Mario was currently in Nogales. I then impounded the biter dog "Bayo" for quarantine and to be held pending a dangerous dog evaluation. I then issued Ms. Quintero citations for biting animal and leash law under City jurisdiction. Ms. Quintero signed and received her copies of the citations. I also issued her a dangerous dog notice of impoundment to be given to Mario Gravillas advising that he contact the Pima Animal Care Center when he gets back in town.

I then met with the bite victim and his mother who stated her son received 7 stitches. I took additional photographs of the bite wound.
CASE NO: AI-142379
OWNER: Patricia Quijano
ANIMAL NAME: Bayo

EVALUATION CRITERIA:

REPORTED BITES:
- NON-VIOLATION BITE +3
- VIOLATION BITE +6  [Total: 6]

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:
- (Check One Factor Only Per Victim)
  - NO BREAK IN SKIN +1
  - BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISE +2
  - MEDICAL CARE (RELEASING) +3
  - MULTIPLE BITES/SINGLE INCIDENT +4
  - BIT DOWN AND SHOCK VICTIM +4  [Total: 14]
  - MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION) +5

Animal Complaints or Violations:
- LEASH LAW CITATIONS +2
- LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS +1
- ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS +2
- ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS +3
- OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS +1  [Total: 7]

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:
- ATTACK WITH NO INJURY +1
- INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER +2
- VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits) +3
- EXTENSIVE VET CARE (≥3 VISITS) +4
- INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH +5

Confinement / Fencing:
Owner has a block wall around the front & backyard approx 6 ft high. The wall forms south (front street) in both blocks (Bayo) he half (one third) up., there is a man gate & a 2nd gate on the south wall.

General Comments:
The dog "Bayo" scored a +21 and is, therefore declared dangerous at this time.

TOTAL SCORE: +21

DANGEROUS
NOT DANGEROUS

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.152.

The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictional) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous; by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

**Suspect:**
- Name: Martin Hernandez
- ACO Name / Badge #: Klein 1926
- Complaint Number: A14-141109

**Address:**
- City: Tucson
- State: AZ
- Residence Street Address: [Redacted]
- ZIP: [Redacted]

**Business Address:**
- Zip: [Redacted]
- City: Tucson
- State: AZ
- Business Phone Number: [Redacted]
- Sex: Male
- Height: [Redacted]
- Weight: [Redacted]
- Hair Color: [Redacted]
- Eye Color: [Redacted]
- Sun Screen Number: [Redacted]

**Date and Time Rep: 2-8-14 / 1330**

**Foodabra 1987:**
- Shelter
- Injured
- Illness
- Ventilation
- Abandoned
- Tieout
- Beaten
- Waste
- Other (Explain):

**Victim/Complainant Name:**
- Name: Mrs. Zarren
- D.O.B: [Redacted]
- Residence Phone No.: [Redacted]
- Business Phone No.: [Redacted]

**Address:**
- Zip: [Redacted]
- City: Tucson
- State: AZ

**Name of Lawful Representative:**
- Name: [Redacted]
- Address: [Redacted]
- Phone Number: [Redacted]

**Lawful Representative Address:**
- Clinic's Address: [Redacted]
- Quarantine: [Redacted]
- 3rd Party Citations: [Redacted]

**Violation:**
- Code: 4-78, 4-81
- Date of Violation: 1-5-14

**Animal's Name:**
- Breed: Pitbull
- Color: Blue
- Sex: Male
- Age: 27
- Tag Color: [Redacted]
- License #: [Redacted]
- VX Certificate #: [Redacted]

**Witness 1:**
- M F D.O.B: [Redacted]
- Address: [Redacted]
- Residence Phone #: [Redacted]
- Business Phone #: [Redacted]
On February 8, 2014 the Tucson Police Department (TPD) contacted the Pima County Animal Care Center (PACC) to request assistance regarding a dog on dog attack that resulted in the death of one dog.

PACC Officer Windauer and Officer Foster responded and learned that the blue Pitbull known as Shadow and the tan and white Pitbull known as Guerro belonging to Martin Hernandez where in violation of the leash law when they attacked two other dogs. One of the dogs attacked died due to the injuries received from that attack.

Officer Windauer and Officer Foster met with the Zataran family at Santiago Zataran stated he saw Shadow and Guerro run up to his fence and pull his terrier known as Chico through the wrought iron bars of the fence. He stated he saw Shadow and Guerro maul Chico in the middle of the street.

Officer Windauer and Officer Foster then met with Mr. Carter who stated he saw Shadow and Guerro fence fighting with his Pitbull known as CoCoo. He stated one of the Pitbulls grabbed CoCoo's muzzle through the chainlink fence resulting in CoCoo's nose being injured.

Neither family wished to have citations issued.

Officer Windauer and Officer Foster then met with Martin Hernandez at The officers conducted a premise inspection and found the property to have secure confinement. They issued citations for no rabies vaccination and no license on three dogs belonging to Mr. Hernandez. They explained that due to the incidents the dogs known as Shadow and Guerro would be subject to a dangerous dog assessment.

On February 13, 2014 I, Investigator Klein received the dangerous dog assessment on Shadow and Guerro.
On February 16, 2014 I met with the Zataran family who stated they did not wish to have citations issued. They just want the owner to maintain control of Shadow and Guerro and keep them confined.

I met with the Carter family who also stated they do not want citations issued. They want Shadow and Guerro to be kept confined.

I then met with Mr. Hernandez. I served Mr. Hernandez the declaration of dangerous on Guerro and Shadow. I explained that due to the incident on February 8, 2014 both dogs are now deemed dangerous. I served Mr. Hernandez with the order of compliance and provided him with a signed copy.

On March 4, 2014 Mr. Hernandez completed the order of compliance for both Shadow and Guerro. 1926 E.Klein

Officer's Signature: [Signature]  
Date: 3.9.14
DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

☐ An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

☒ An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

☐ An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

ON FEBRUARY 8, 2014 THE PIT BULL POOLED AS SMOKY WAS WITNESSED ATTACKING 3 OTHER DOGS WHILE IN VIOLATION OF THE LEASH LAWS. ONE OF THE DOGS THAT SMOKY ATTACKED DIED DUE TO THE SEVERITY OF INJURIES. DUE TO THESE ATTACKS SMOKY IS DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL.

OWNER: MARTIN HERNANDEZ
ADDRESS: 
PHONE: 

ANIMAL NAME: SMOKY
ANIMAL ID#: 14-1807
SEX: m  COLOR: blue  BREED: pit

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION’S ORDINANCE / CODE.

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.
DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

☐ An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

☒ An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

☐ An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

On February 8, 2014, the Pit Bull known as Guerro was witnessed attacking another dog while in violation of the leash law. One of the dogs that Guerro attacked died due to the severity of injuries. Due to these attacks Guerro is deemed a dangerous animal.

E.K. Klein #19210

OWNER: Martin Hernandez 1114493
ADDRESS
PHONE: 877-997-0997
ANIMAL NAME: Guerro
ANIMAL ID#: A4141859
SEX: M COLOR: TAN BRED: PIT

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE.

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (6) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.
### INVESTIGATION REPORT

**Suspect:** Sarah Valencia  
**ACO Name / Badge #:** 1942 Eckelbarger  
**Complaint Number:** A14-136625  
**Bite: [ ]**  
**Welfare: [ ]**  
**Dangerous: [ ]**  
**Other: [ ]**

**Suspect's Address:**  
**City:** Tucson  
**State:** AZ  
**Zip:**  
**Date and Time Reported:** 1-18-14 / 1100  
**Date and Time Incurred:** 1-18-14 / 1100  
**Food Water Shelter Injured Ill Ventilation Abandoned Tieout Beaten Waste Other:**  
**Victim/Complainant Name:** Sandy Rodriguez  
**DOB:**  
**Relatives Phone No.:**  
**Business Phone No.:**

**VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS:**  
**Zip:**  
**City:** Tucson  
**State:** AZ

**Name of Lawful Representative:**  
**Address and Phone Number Same As Victim:**  
**Relationship to Victim:**

**Lawful Representative Address:**

**Clinic's Address:** 4832 E. Speedway Blvd  
**Previous Violations:**  
**Previous Case Number:**  
**Other Additional Reports:**

**Victim or Lawful Representative Signature:**  
**Breed/Description:** Pit-bull  
**Animal's Name:**  
**Color:**  
**Sex:**  
**Age:**  
**License #:** Cited  
**Vert Certificate #:** Cit  
**Condition:** Ok  
**Animal #:** A468930  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Witness 1</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Residence Phone #</th>
<th>Business Phone #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Witness 2</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Residence Phone #</th>
<th>Business Phone #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Witness 3</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Residence Phone #</th>
<th>Business Phone #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Witness 4</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Residence Phone #</th>
<th>Business Phone #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Witness 5</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Residence Phone #</th>
<th>Business Phone #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Note:** The form contains various sections for details such as witness information, addresses, and contact information, which are not fully filled out in the provided image.
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-139635
ACO name & Badge: 1942 Eckelbarer

On 2-1-14 at 1456 hours, I investigator Eckelbarer (1942) responded to where I met with complainant/victim, Sandra Rodriguez (     ). Ms. Rodriguez stated on 1-18-14 at approximately 1100 hours she was walking her two dogs on leashes in the on the northside of the street when a black and white pitbull ran out of the open garage at and began attacking her small Pomeranian "Trixi" causing severe lacerations and wounds on the body and stomach area. Ms. Rodriguez was then trying to save her dog Trixi from the attack and due to the pitbull being out loose and attacking she fell/was knocked to the ground and broke her right foot. She also sustained cuts on the left side of her face as a result of getting knocked/falling to the ground.

She stated at that point the dog owner, Sarah Valencia (     ), came out to help get her attacking dog. After pulling her dog "Raider" away from the incident, she secured Raider inside her home and went out to check on Ms. Rodriguez. Ms. Rodriguez then left the area after some information was exchanged. Ms. Rodriguez was treated at the hospital and her dog Trixi was treated at the Pima Pet Clinic for her injuries. Ms. Rodriguez stated she has over 2000 dollars in vet bills currently and they continue to rise as Trixi has needed be seen 7-8 times at the veterinarian up to date, with future appointments still needed as the wound developed a severe infection. Ms. Rodriguez requested citations and restitution for the incident. Ms. Rodriguez provided me with photographs of the wounds to her leg, face, as well as Trixi's wounds. She also provided copies of the veterinarians bills she had.
I then took additional photographs of Trixi's bandaged wounds and Ms. Rodriguez' leg. Ms. Rodriguez also requested a dangerous dog evaluation be conducted on the attacking dog.

I then responded to the attacking dog owner's address at where I met with dog owner, Sarah Valencia. I advised Ms. Valencia why I was there and Ms. Valencia stated she was aware of the incident and that her dog "Raider" attacked. I then checked the confinement of the dog owner.
I observed no signs of digging and the property appeared ok for temporary confinement. Ms. Valencia stated her dog Raider has never gotten out loose before and this was just a one time accident due to her leaving the garage door open and the front door open at the same time. Ms. Valencia did state that Raider is friendly to people, but not animals. She stated he does not like other animals. Ms. Valencia then brought Raider out into the yard for me to observe. Raider showed no aggression towards me so I took photographs of him and attached to the report. Ms. Valencia provided the shot records she had for Raider, but his rabies vaccination expired 12-2013. Raider is an unaltered male pitbull black and white 4 years old. Ms. Valencia also did not have a license for Raider.

I then cited Ms. Valencia for biting animal- dog on dog, biting animal- injuries as a result, leash law, no license, and no rabies vaccination on Raider under County jurisdiction. Ms. Valencia then signed and received her copies of the citations. I then issued Ms. Valencia a dangerous dog evaluation notice and advised I would be forwarding to the Supervisor for review and that she should expect to be contacted by an Investigator reference this case sometime next week.
DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

☐ An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

☒ An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

☐ An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

The dog "Raider" is declared dangerous after attacking another domestic animal without provocation while unattaching the leash. This attack resulted in severe injuries to the victim dog and the victim dog's owner.

OWNER: Sarah Valencia  
ADDRESS:  
PHONE:  
ANIMAL NAME: Raider  
ANIMAL ID#: A468930  
SEX: M  COLOR: B  BREED: Pitbull

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE.

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donation Code</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DONATION</td>
<td>$85.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION ADOP</td>
<td>($119.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION GEN</td>
<td>$3,025.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION OUTR</td>
<td>$141.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION S/N</td>
<td>$10,843.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION SANS</td>
<td>$2,744.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>$16,720.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Donation Activity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donation Code</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DONATION</td>
<td>$1,896.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION ADOP</td>
<td>$5,351.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION GEN</td>
<td>$27,998.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION OUTR</td>
<td>$2,646.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION S/N</td>
<td>$106,572.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION SAMS</td>
<td>$35,287.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$179,752.66</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Period: 7/1/13 To: 2/28/14
### Complaints for the Month of February 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Constituent/Name</th>
<th>Complaint Details</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02/10/14</td>
<td>Constituent/Ismael Shaakra</td>
<td>Three loose dogs attempted to attack him.</td>
<td>Information sent to Enforcement Supervisor for follow-up, activity call to be set up.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/12/14</td>
<td>Leslee Johnson</td>
<td>Ms. Johnson felt the complaint she made about loose dogs in her area backfired as the officer took enforcement action with her which resulted in 8 citations when no complaint had been filed for her dogs. She will not call PACC to report anything again.</td>
<td>The officer was dispatched to the area to clear several calls. While there the officer determined Ms. Johnson's dogs were not licensed and issued citations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/20/14</td>
<td>Aviva Tirosh</td>
<td>Complaint of numerous loose dogs at Pt. Lowell Park and the Rillito River Park nearby.</td>
<td>Patrols set for both areas over several days.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/24/14</td>
<td>Constituent</td>
<td>Complaint of dissatisfaction with his experience with PACC and the time it took to get service. The man had captured a stray cat and brought it into PACC. He was advised to alter the cat and return it to his neighborhood. He did not capture it to return it to his neighborhood. In addition he waited for two hours to get the cat looked at.</td>
<td>Cat was taken in a PACC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/28/14</td>
<td>Constituent/Carl O'Kelley</td>
<td>Complaint made regarding the disposition of several animal waste complaints made to PACC.</td>
<td>An Enforcement Supervisor notified Mr. O'Kelly of the results of several of his complaints. Mr. O'Kelly indicated he would provide a foundation for his complaint at Apt. D105. The third party complaint process was explained. On all but one response no violation was observed. For the observed violation the owner cleaned up the waste prior to the officer's next visit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/28/14</td>
<td>Constituent/Jerry Bodmer</td>
<td>Mr. Bodmer complained of the extended response time for PACC to get to his complaint regarding a dog attack and ongoing leash law violations by the dog owner. He had followed up several times and still no response.</td>
<td>An officer met with the Bodmer's and took their complaint. Citations were issued to the dog owner for biting dog, leash law and no license.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PACCAC Complaint Form

June 7, 2003
Michelle Moore

From: Kim Janes
Sent: Monday, February 10, 2014 3:51 PM
To: Debra Tenkate; Jose Chavez; Robert Hendrix; Steve Montano
Cc: Michelle Moore
Subject: FW: Incident at 1138 East 27th St. - Dogs

Categories: Blue Category

Debbie, can this be a working activity? If so, what is the status.

Thanks.

-----Original Message-----
From: Kim Janes
Sent: Monday, February 10, 2014 3:50 PM
To: 'Mark Kerr'; George Rodriguez
Cc: Heileen Evans; Javier Herrera; Melinda Jacobs; Richard G. Fimbres
Subject: RE: Incident at 1138 East 27th St. - Dogs

Good afternoon Mr. Kerr, thank you for forwarding this to us and I will have it checked out.

Respectfully,

Kim Janes
Manager
Pima Animal Care Center

-----Original Message-----
From: Mark Kerr [mailto:Mark.Kerr@tucsonaz.gov]
Sent: Monday, February 10, 2014 3:18 PM
To: Kim Janes; George Rodriguez
Cc: Heileen Evans; Javier Herrera; Melinda Jacobs; Richard G. Fimbres
Subject: Incident at 1138 East 27th St. - Dogs

Ismael Shafaka . . . came into the Ward 5 office to report an incident at the above address, where three dogs had attempted to attack him. He added that a neighbor had come out to help him to ward off the dogs, in addition he used his walking stick as well.

Thank you.

Mark Kerr
Aide to Councilmember Richard Fimbres (Ward 5)
4300 S. Park Avenue
Tucson AZ 85714
791-4231
791-3188 · FAX
e-mail: Mark.Kerr@tucsonaz.gov
Michelle Moore

From: Steve Montano
Sent: Thursday, February 13, 2014 2:48 PM
To: 'leslea.johnson@yahoo.com'
Cc: Michelle Moore
Subject: RE: Feedback Form 2014-02-12 07:42 PM Submission Notification

Categories: Blue Category

Ms. Johnson.

I am sorry that you feel that you had a negative experience. Officers are required to check the license status for the dogs, of all parties, that they come into contact with. I personally sent Officer Rademaker to the Amado area yesterday to clear some of our calls. He went willingly and enthusiastically. Officers do have the right, and the responsibility, to ask for identity when they find a violation.

---

From: Robert Hendrix
Sent: Wednesday, February 12, 2014 8:42 PM
To: Debra Tenkate; Jose Chavez; Steve Montano
Subject: FW: Feedback Form 2014-02-12 07:42 PM Submission Notification

---

From: notification@pima.gov [notification@pima.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, February 12, 2014 7:42 PM
To: ContactPACC
Subject: Feedback Form 2014-02-12 07:42 PM Submission Notification

Feedback Form 2014-02-12 07:42 PM was submitted by Guest on 2/12/2014 7:42:21 PM (GMT-07:00)
US/Arizona

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First Name</td>
<td>Leslea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last Name</td>
<td>Johnson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>email</td>
<td><a href="mailto:leslea.johnson@yahoo.com">leslea.johnson@yahoo.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zipcode</td>
<td>85645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Message subject</td>
<td>Sadden by officer A13-134703</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When I got home tonight, there was an animal control trucked parked in my drive way with an officer waiting in the cab. He said he was responding to a complaint I had made several weeks ago, about loose dogs running about, which was true, I had made that complaint. He then asked me for my drivers license and proceeded to look up all my dogs registrations, even called my vet, and asked for paper work to prove they had their shots. He then wrote out 8 citations for me for expired licenses and expired rabies shots for 4 of my 5 dogs. He said Pima County had zero tolerance and if I had done what I was supposed to have done, this wouldn't be happening. He remained in my drive way for about 45 minutes while writing. No complaint had been made towards me, so I am wondering how me making a complaint back fired. I didn't expect an officer could even do such a thing or my entire neighbor would be cited. Was it my mistake to even talk to him? I made some errors on my animals, it's true, but can officers simple ask for paper and
drivers licenses? Should I have said "NO". I will get everybody up to date ASAP but I will never call another complaint in again no matter what the problem is, least I am the one who ends up paying the price. I think he was mad for having drive clear out to Amado so he took it out on me.

Response requested Yes
Thank you, Pima County, Arizona
Michelle Moore

From: Kim Janes
Sent: Monday, February 24, 2014 2:19 PM
To: Steve Montano
Cc: Michelle Moore
Subject: Re: Complaint - Off Leash Dogs on Rillito River Park

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged
Categories: Blue Category

Thanks Steve please remember to copy Michelle on these.

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 21, 2014, at 10:10 AM, "George Kuck" <George.Kuck@pima.gov> wrote:

Thank you Steve for your assistance and support on this matter. I will share this with my colleague with the City Parks and Recreation also who is responsible for Ft. Lowell Park.

Thanks again,

George Kuck
Operations Maintenance Manager
520-877-6100

From: Steve Montano
Sent: Thursday, February 20, 2014 7:27 PM
To: George Kuck
Cc: Kim Janes
Subject: RE: Complaint - Off Leash Dogs on Rillito River Park

Mr. Kuck:

I believe that I got a copy of the same letter. I set up a patrol the River area, and Ft. Lowell park in the city.

From: George Kuck
Sent: Thursday, February 20, 2014 2:24 PM
To: Kim Janes; Steve Montano
Subject: Complaint - Off Leash Dogs on Rillito River Park

Hi Kim and Steve,

We received a complaint today about off leash dogs on the Rillito River Park (see attachment). It appears that this individual has encountered numerous off leash dogs on the south bank of the Rillito River Park between Swan and Craycroft though they have also had issues further west near Columbus, Dodge and Tucson Blvd. We would appreciate any assistance you can provide in helping enforce the leash law. Please let me know if we can assist you in any way with enforcing the leash law and let me know if you have any questions.
Thank you,

George Kuck
Operations Maintenance Manager
520-877-6100
Michelle Moore

From: Kim Janes  
Sent: Monday, February 24, 2014 4:04 PM  
To: Jennifer Cabrera  
Cc: Kiki Navarro; Anissa Ramirez; Michelle Moore; Corina Rodriguez; Daniel Miranda; Ellie Beaubien; Ivonne Lopez; Jennifer Wilcox; Jose Ocano; Justin Gallick; Michelle Lindorff
Subject: RE: PACC

Follow Up Flag: Follow up  
Flag Status: Flagged  
Categories: Blue Category

Thanks Ms. Cabrera. I will look into this.

Respectfully,

Kim

From: Jennifer Cabrera  
Sent: Monday, February 24, 2014 3:18 PM  
To: Kim Janes  
Cc: Kiki Navarro; Anissa Ramirez  
Subject: FW: PACC

Good Afternoon Kim,

Just an fyi...
Our office received a constituent complaint (see below).

Thanks,

Jenn Cabrera  
Supervisor: Sharon Bronson's Office  
District 3  
520-724-4091

From: Anissa Ramirez  
Sent: Monday, February 24, 2014 3:09 PM  
To: Jennifer Cabrera  
Subject: PACC

Constituent called didn't leave contact information, was dissatisfied with his experience at PACC. He had captured a stray cat that has been in the neighborhood leaving a mess and sleeping on cars. took into to the care center and was told to pay money to have cat spayed and released back into the neighborhood. Constituent was in disbelief of the request because he captured the cat to get rid of it not to put it back into the neighborhood. He also was unhappy with how slow operations were, he said it took him two hours just to get the cat he brought in looked at.

Anissa Ramirez
Mr. O'Kelley:

With photographic evidence, and your testimony, we can certainly issue a third party citation on your behalf. Please note the date and time for any photograph that is taken. If you have the ability, please email me the photos so that I can digitally include them into the database. If you don't have that ability, an officer can meet with you to get the photos.

Thank you,

Carl O'Kelley

From: Carl O'Kelley [mailto:carlokelley@hotmail.com]
Sent: Friday, February 28, 2014 5:37 PM
To: Steve Montano
Subject: RE: CONSTITUENT COMPLAINT OFFICE OF SHARON BRONSON

I will obtain and send to you and supervisor Bronson evidence to provide a foundation for an animal waste accumulation case against the dog owner at Plumtree Apartments 4201 E Monte Vista D105 Tucson AZ 8512.

Carl O'Kelley

From: Steve.Montano@pima.gov
To: CARLOKELLEY@HOTMAIL.COM
CC: Anissa.Ramirez@pima.gov; Michelle.Moore@pima.gov; Kim.Janes@pima.gov
Subject: CONSTITUENT COMPLAINT OFFICE OF SHARON BRONSON
Date: Fri, 28 Feb 2014 21:47:20 +0000

Hello Mr. O'Kelly:

I received an Inquiry from Sharon Bronson's Office about the disposition of a number of complaints that you have made. I researched our responses:

Since September PACC has responded five times to multiple complaints made by you for the apartment complex located at 4201 E Monte Vista Dr, (and for three different apartment numbers within that apartment complex). On four out of the five visits the officers found no excessive waste at the reported locations. In the one case where excessive waste had been found (apartment C108) the waste was cleaned up prior to the officer's follow up visit.

In reference to the apartment specifically noted in your Sharon Bronson feedback form (apartment D105), the investigating officer noted on January 27, 2014:
No one home at apartment #D105. I looked over the wall into the small patio/yard but saw no animal waste and no evidence of a dog at this apartment. No dog seen or heard. Closed activity as unfounded. C. Young 1908

I attempted to call you by telephone but I received a voice mail message from "Tammy." Being unsure of having the correct number I decided to contact you via email

Should you have any questions feel free to email me or call me at the phone number below.

For your reference I have included the Tucson Code that addresses animal waste.

Sec. 4-28. Disposal and accumulation of manure, animal bedding and body waste of domestic animals and pets.

Sec. 4-28(1). Except as otherwise provided, no owner, proprietor, agent or occupant of any premises where horses, cows, donkeys, goats or other domestic animals or dogs, cats or other domestic pets are kept within the city shall deposit, cause to be deposited or allow to accumulate within or about such premises for a longer time than twenty-four (24) hours, any manure, animal bedding or body wastes from domestic animals and pets. Such person shall provide a receptacle of sufficient size for the reception of such manure, animal bedding or body wastes of domestic animals and pets.

Sec. 4-28(2). Such receptacle shall be so constructed that the contents thereof will not be accessible to flies; and such receptacle shall be placed upon the premises owned, occupied or controlled by such person in a location as remote as possible from any surrounding dwelling or street. Such person shall empty and cleanse the same as often as necessary, but not less than twice each week, and oftener when directed to do so by the health officer.

Sec. 4-28(3). Nothing contained in this section shall operate to prevent the owner, proprietor, agent or occupant of a residential premises from placing animal manure produced by herbivorous animals into a residential compost pile or residential compost windrow, provided it is placed into the compost pile or windrow within twenty-four (24) hours from the time it is deposited and provided it is maintained under the conditions set forth in section 15-16(4) of the Tucson Code.

Sec. 4-28(4). When applicable, the definitions contained in section 15-1 shall apply to the provisions of chapter 4 of the Tucson Code.

Sec. 4-28(5). It shall be a civil infraction for any person to violate the provisions of this section.

(1953 Code, ch. 4, § 41; Ord. No. 4374, § 1, 7-7-75; Ord. No. 8028, § 1, 4-19-93; Ord. No. 8170, § 1, 12-6-93; Ord. No. 8174, § 1, 12-13-93)

Thank you,

Steven Montano #1894
Field Enforcement Supervisor
Tel: 520-243-5957 Fax: 520-243-5960
Good morning Mr. Franco, staff has completed the investigation of this case, found, visited with and cited the owner and met with Mr. Bodner to address his concerns.

Respectfully,

Kim

Good morning Mr. Franco, I absolutely will look into and move the response along.

Respectfully,

Kim

Kim Janes,

Jerry Bodmer called in a complaint on December 28 2013, he has not heard anything back. Could you please look into this for Mr. Bodmer and thank you in advance.

From: Jerome Bodmer [mailto:jbodmer@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 25, 2014 3:47 PM
To: Steve Montano
Cc: Bishop Marianne; District4; Debra Tenkate; Jose Chavez
Subject: Fwd: Case No.A13138195

Dear Mr. Montano:

Thank you for your e-mail of January 18. However, it has been another 38 days since your note, and 61 days since our original complaint without action by Pima County.

We have observed the same off-leash situation on January 28 and again on February 13. On the thirteenth, the owner in his golf cart told my wife: "Well, if I knew you were going to be out, I would have had the dog on a
leash." Just today we met a neighbor complaining about the dog being loose, and Marianne Bishop, our HOA Secretary has received additional complaints.

While I am sure you are busy, I think you would agree this has gone on too long without action. There are a number of elderly people in the neighborhood who walk small dogs ... or just walk. It is only a matter of time before this dog will be unleashed and bites another small dog or a person, or scares an older person who may be unsteady and causes a fall. I am sure you don't want that to happen. Can I rely on you or someone in your organization to address this complaint this week?

I have attached another copy of the original complaint letter.

Sincerely,

--------- Forwarded message ---------
From: Steve Montano <Steve.Montano@pima.gov>
Date: Sat, Jan 18, 2014 at 4:21 PM
Subject: RE: Case No. A13138195
To: "jibodmer@gmail.com" <jibodmer@gmail.com>
Cc: Debra Tenkate <Debra.Tenkate@pima.gov>, Jose Chavez <Jose.Chavez@pima.gov>

Mr. and Mrs. Bodmer:

We have received your complaint. We will respond as soon as we are able.

Thank you for your understanding.

From: Jerome Bodmer [mailto:jibodmer@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, January 13, 2014 10:10 AM
To: ContactPACC
Subject: Case No. A13138195

Dear Sir or Madame:

I called in a complaint approximately 7:00 p.m. on Friday, December 28, 2013. Since then I have followed up on the complaint by phone twice. We have yet to be contacted by a representative of the Pima County Animal Care Center regarding the complaint.
I realize that you are busy and probably understaffed. I also read on your website that it may take a few days to receive action on a case. However, it has now been sixteen days since the complaint. With all due respect, this is not very responsive customer service.

One of our neighbors said that the same dog while on a leash attacked another small dog that was being walked on-leash by its owner.

Attached is the written complaint report we would have provided to an Officer had one shown up. We still are requesting that action be taken in this matter.

Sincerely,

--

Jerry Bodmer

--

Jerry Bodmer
February 26, 2014

Jenny Kading,
I would like to thank you for participating in the Ward 5 Community Forum held on February 13, 2014. I thank you for your leadership and expertise. The services provided and available by the Pima County Health Department is important information for all residents. I thank you for your dedication and service to the Tucson community, it takes a team effort. I look forward to our continued partnership.

Respectfully,

Richard G. Fimbres
Councilman, Ward 5