NOTICE
PUBLIC MEETING
OF THE
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER ADVISORY COMMITTEE
APRIL 17, 2014 - 5:30 P.M.
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Road – Administration Building
(520) 243-5934
www.pima.gov/animalcare
Should you require ADA accommodations, please contact the Pima Animal Care Center at 243-5934 five (5) days prior to the meeting.

Functions of the Committee

1. Serve in an advisory capacity to the Board, and to the Manager of the Pima Animal Care Center; and
2. Review and evaluate the operations of the Center to make recommendations in writing to the Board for the formulation of guidelines to assure that:
   A. The Center's operations are conducted in the best interest of the public health and safety; and
   B. The Center keeps pace with the most modern practices and procedures of animal care and welfare; and
3. Review complaints from the public concerning policies of the Center and make recommendations for resolution to the proper authority.

AGENDA

I. Call to Order
   • Roll Call
   • Establishment of Quorum & Pledge of Allegiance
II. Adoption of the Minutes of the March 20, 2014 Meeting
III. Call to the Audience
IV. Reports
   • Operations for the Month of March
V. Old Business
   • Employee Survey (Derek Marshall-continued discussion)
   • Flea/Tick Treatment
   • Adoption Program
   • Schedule, Number and Training of DOC Inmates
   • Redemption Fees
VI. New Business
   • Constable Bennett Bernall Presentation
   • Attendance by Members of the Committee
   • PACC Organization Chart
   • Ideas to Increase the Number of PACC Officers
VII. Animal Welfare, Dangerous Animal Cases and Holds for the Month of March

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>March 2014</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Welfare</td>
<td>Dangerous Dog</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A14-143816</td>
<td>A14-143092</td>
<td>A14-142764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A14-142351</td>
<td>A14-143484</td>
<td>A13-136581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A14-142734</td>
<td>A14-142815</td>
<td>A14-144194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A14-143770</td>
<td>A14-143844</td>
<td>A14-143224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A14-141522</td>
<td>A14-143868</td>
<td>A14-143673</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VIII. In March, 1573 donors donated $22,887 along with food, treats and pet supplies to Pima Animal Care Center
IX. Seven (7) complaints and two (2) commendations were received by staff.
X. Call to the Audience
XI. Announcements & Schedules
XII. Next Meeting – May 15, 2014
XIII. Adjournment

Copies of this agenda are available upon request at the Pima Animal Care Center, 4000 N. Silverbell Rd, by calling 243-5934 or at www.pima.gov/animalcare
**PIMA COUNTY ANIMAL CARE CENTER ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES**

March 20, 2014

**PRESENT:**
- Chair: Jack Neuman, PACC Volunteers
- Tanara Barrick, F.A.I.R.
- Pat Hubbard, Humane Society of Southern Arizona
- Sophia Kailuzniak DVM, ASPCA of AZ, Inc.
- Derek Marshall, Public Education
- Eria O’Donnell DVM, Southern AZ Veterinary Medical Association
- Gail Smith MD, Board of Health
- Margaret Watson, Tucson Kennel Club
- Kim Janes, Pima Animal Care Center

**ABSENT:**
- Vice-Chair: Nancy Emettage, Animal Welfare Coalition
- Mary George, Disabled Community
- Jane Schwerin, People for Animals in the Prevention of Cruelty and Neglect
- Angela Spencer, City of Tucson

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENDA ITEM</th>
<th>DISCUSSION</th>
<th>ACTION / FOLLOW-UP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. CALL TO ORDER</td>
<td>The meeting was called to order at: 5:33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| II. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE | |

| III. ADOPTION OF PREVIOUS MEETING MINUTES | Approval of Minutes for February 20, 2014. | After a motion duly made and seconded, the minutes of February 20, 2014 were approved. |

| IV. CALL TO THE AUDIENCE | She is glad to see redemption and return to owner fees are on the agenda. She praised PACC for being one of the most progressive shelters in the country for already posting every stray animal online, taking found and lost reports, and getting the information to a volunteer for posting online on social media. She referred to the return to owner successes by Reno, Nevada. She summarized several options for returning animals to owners to increase owner returns/redemptions. This topic is on the Agenda for discussion. |

| V. REPORTS | Operations Manager’s Report |

| - Manager’s Report | Mary George, representing the Disabled Community has resigned and staff is seeking recommendations to fill this position. The person who fills this position must be disabled and use a service dog for assistance. Discussion by the committee as to the criteria and process that would be used to select the new disabled representative. This process will most likely be similar to the process used to select the most recent education representative. As part of the discussion the requirements of the ordinance and membership on the committee was discussed and the fact the |
Mr. Janes mentioned he will be meeting with representatives of 4 of 5 jurisdictions tomorrow who have recently expressed an interest as to how to be represented on the committee due to the increases in funding requested of their jurisdictions. The City of Tucson is the only representative designated by ordinance. He mentioned three options. 1.) Do nothing, leave it like it is. 2.) Have Pima Association of Governments select one representative to represent all of the cities and towns. 3.) Add a position for every city and town. Discussion this might be too cumbersome to add several more members. The City of Tucson was/is represented because it is a major user of the services.

At the last meeting members expressed an interest in meeting the new Shelter Vet, Dr. Wilcox. Dr. Wilcox is unable to attend tonight but will come to the next meeting. Mr. Janes did introduce the new Development Coordinator, Karen Hollish. Karen spoke to the committee and thanked them for what they do for PACC. She introduced Oscar a blind special needs senior Shih Tzu that had been returned to PACC for euthanasia and was being treated by Dr. Wilcox that is in need of a new home.

The hold report did not make it into the packet, it was emailed today and a copy for each member was provided at the time of the meeting.

The tent is operational, 30 kennels are in use right now. The remaining kennels will be delivered next week and installed, along with hose reels, etc., and PACC hopes to be fully operational in the tent by the first week in April or so.

Mr. Janes thanked Mr. Neuman for the semi-annual report presentation to the Board of Supervisors (BOS) which seemed to be well received by them. In addition he informed them the monthly report he sent via the chain of command will be packaged with the Board of Health report and sent to the Board of Supervisors every month.

The PACC Bond Proposal went before the BOS on Tuesday and was passed for placement on the ballot in November. It is not
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENDA ITEM</th>
<th>DISCUSSION</th>
<th>ACTION / FOLLOW-UP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fully supported by all the members of the BOS at this time. There was a hand out provide to the committee members and a discussion of the dos and don'ts surrounding the Bond Initiative for PACC for County employees and members of the committee. In our official roll as County representatives we cannot advocate and/or politic for it. We can educate without supporting an opinion one way or another and urge people to vote. County resources may not be used to advocate for the bond. There is a committee being formed to advocate for it and a page on the County website will be available with information about the bond. Ms. Barrick asked about the hold report and which vet places animals on this report. Either vet can place animals on hold. The report will be modified to show which vet placed the animal on hold. Mr. Neuman gave a brief update of the discussion at the BOS meeting regarding the bond. One of the Supervisors voiced a concern regarding the costs of the bond and there was an explanation of costs by the County Manager. All of the Supervisors voted in favor of including the bond on the November ballot.</td>
<td>Ongoing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VI: OLD BUSINESS</th>
<th>Dr. Smith had no new comments. Mr. Neuman stated the report was being used to look at staffing at PACC.</th>
<th>Staff will provide a report outlining the number and type of events year to date and on the average.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• PACC Review of Animal Care Services</td>
<td>Mr. Marshall commented he and the Outreach Coordinator had discussed it is hard to get an assembly where you can get all of the kids out of class to pet the dogs in the era of standardized testing. It is also overwhelming for the dogs. He discussed an option to integrate with the JTED mathematics program to show exponential growth using the statistic one female dog can have 67,000 dogs within six years. Using a visual of this and promoting spay/neuter, a math problem could be formulated at say the third year. If half of the dogs were altered how many would there be at the end of the six years. Make a flashy info graph and distribute it to teachers for use in the classroom. For fractions another statistic can be used. 1 in 9 dogs will find a permanent home. This could be easily demonstrated in a class of 27. Pick three kids and have them stand in a designated place. They would represent the dogs that would get a home and the rest</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGENDA ITEM</td>
<td>DISCUSSION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>of the kids represent those that don't get a home. For cats the statistic is 1 in 15. These statistics are available from the Humane Society and are used as part of the Outreach Coordinator's talking points. He suggested she have an AV system with portable screen, projector, and speakers or a 50 watt portable PA system that could also be used for other events. The sound is important with the visuals. It is hard to stand up and deliver a presentation before a large crowd without this. As of a year ago she did not have this kind equipment available.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mr. Marshall has been in contact with the new Development Coordinator for the submission of art work by students for a silent auction on July 19th with the proceeds going to PACC. He discussed other options like using student artwork, or having a student in government class shadow the Manager for a day. Mr. Marshall stated just getting on campus is hard, it is easier to do a community outreach events with like-minded people. After talking with Administrators from two different school districts there is a lot of opportunity for outside groups to address students. He suggested using student council or pep rally events to try to get into the schools. Mr. Neuman asked what kind of activities the Outreach Coordinator does. Mr. Marshall commented it is more like community outreach and adoption type events; this is from his conversation from over a year ago. Mr. Janes offered to provide a report outlining the number and types of events that are being done year to date and on the average.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Survey</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideas to Reduce Number of Dogs Coming into PACC</td>
<td>Mr. Marshall has been in touch with Health Department staff. The Health Department is in the middle of doing employee focus groups and proceeding with a survey as well. There was some discussion that these two surveys would overlap and or have some redundancy. The committee agreed to move forward with the employee survey that is in draft form that will allow employees to complete the survey online. Mr. Marshall will contact staff. The committee survey is more confidential than the Health Department survey.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Ms. Watson mentioned for the last meeting they vote to have field officers check for license and/or microchip and when possible return dogs to owners in the field. Mr. Janes commented staff does have this capability and have had some dogs returned to the
owner in the field. There was a brief discussion of statistics for this. During calendar year 2013 staff redeemed 1,505 dogs to owners of which 1,262 dogs had to be licensed at the time of redemption. In addition to the 1,505 dogs, 130 licensed dogs were returned to their owners in the field. In the long run this saves a lot of time, effort, money, etc., and is better for the dog according to Ms. Watson. Staff has been doing this for years and once Chameleon and microchips were in use this has been enhanced. A brief discussion occurred if a dog comes in and it is a hardship for the owner to get to PACC the dog will not be redeemed. Mr. Janes stressed that of the 1,505 redeemed, 1,262 of the dogs had to be licensed so they were not licensed when impounded. Of the redeemed dogs 243 were licensed. Ms. Watson commented we need to do a better job of encouraging people to license and the discussion if it is licensed the dog would be returned to the owner. Mr. Neuman proposed that they dovetail to the discussion of redemption fees at this time.

- Redemption fees

Mr. Neuman made a motion that the redemption fees of a first time impound of a dog be waived. The owner would have to license the dog. He went on to say spay/neuter should also be offered at no charge. Mr. Janes indicated PACC gives waivers for first time offenses and offers spay/neuter. It is not well structured on the fee page which has been recently modified. He has asked that the fee page be modified to let people know PACC wants to work with an owner to get the pet home. Many times to get the pet home fees are waived. For the option of billing PACC has tried this for a year and received less than 20% of the money owned. It also cost the County more in processing and accounts receivable than the process now in place. If an owner does not agree to alter the animal no fees are waived, so we strongly encourage them to alter their pet. Animals are not altered before the legal hold time. Ms. Hubbard commented the Humane Society uses the same fees as PACC and they offer to waive fees if the owner agrees to alter the pet. She believes the owner should pay something; they should not get a free walk. Discussion that people with licensed intact show dogs should pay the fee if they choose not to alter, and PACC does accept credit cards.

Ms. Watson commented the focus should be on licensing and use it as a marketing tool. If your licensed dog is impounded altered
or not the fee should be waive. There was a lengthy discussion that spay/neuter is the issue for the animal welfare community. The unaltered licensed show dog is generally not the same problem as an unaltered dog running loose. Obtaining a license is a sign of responsibility. Dr. O’Donnell made a motion for a first time impound of a dog that is licensed and unaltered that the owner have the option to have the fee waived and given the option of a free spay/neuter. If the dog is unlicensed and unaltered then the owner would be required to alter and license the dog to get the fee waiver otherwise they would pay full fees. Mr. Janes stated they are right on point with what they are attempting to do. PACC has the authority to waive all fees except the licensing fee. They must be able to pay for the license every year. PACC currently will release a licensed pet and alter a licensed pet at no charge. This is our intent. Mr. Janes will go back to staff to get this verbiage out so it is understandable and common knowledge. We want to be careful for the rare instance on a welfare/enforcement action on a first time impound where these fees should not be waived. An intact at large dog is more likely to procreate than an intact dog that is confined. Ms. Watson believes licensing should be the focus.

Dr. Kaluzniacki commented probably 80% of the dogs she sees in her practice are not licensed. It is not as easy as it used to be to license a dog. She is not getting the rabies vaccination certificates like she use to get to give to the owner and to forward to PACC.

Ms. Hubbard commented PACC seems to already have a process in place that is doing what is being asked for so there is no need for a vote, except for mandatory spay/neuter. Mr. Neuman will write something for the next meeting so the motion is more cohesive and he invited any of the other members to do the same.

Dr. Smith clarified the discussion involves ways to increase the redemption rate up from 10%.

Mr. Marshall asked about vets issuing the licenses at the same time a rabies vaccination is given and the discussion this is cost prohibitive for some clinics even if they were paid a small fee. Dr. O’Donnell suggested the licensing process might need to be
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENDA ITEM</th>
<th>DISCUSSION</th>
<th>ACTION / FOLLOW-UP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>reviewed. Licensing is a part of the redemption. Ms. Hubbard mentioned there are veterinarians who do sell licenses and every vet has an opportunity to participate. Dr. Smith asked for the percentage of people who don’t relicense their pets and could a system for automatic renewal notice be set up. Mr. Janes told the committee PACC does send renewal notices and late notices including second and third late notices. We have not set up automatic renewal to credit cards. PACC does not keep credit card information on file so that we do not have a target challenge. PACC is approaching adopting 14,000 pets this year mostly dogs and in the eight years he has been with PACC the number of licensed dogs has stayed about the same at 110,000. He stated we are losing 10% or more each year. 25%-35% of licenses sold each year are first time licenses via PACC or issuing veterinarians. Mr. Janes agreed PACC needs to get more pets redeemed and more licenses issued. Dr. O’Donnell mentioned for automatic renewals is gets complicated because a new rabies vaccination is required every three years. A lengthy discussion occurred about rabies vaccination certificates, making the licensing process easier for vets and people and to standardize the licensing fees across the jurisdictions. Per Mr. Janes all of the jurisdictions save one have the same fees, except for the County fee for low income, for the categories they have. There are variations to it.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flea/Tick Treatment</td>
<td>Mr. Neuman is working with both staff veterinarians on this.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII. NEW BUSINESS</td>
<td>Mr. Neuman is approached almost every day by volunteers. For over a year now that there has been a full time position devoted to it and there has not been any guidelines, training for adoption counselors or mentoring that has happened. He asked Mr. Janes to be more involved with the program to get it off the ground. If 80 animals come in on a day and 20-30 go out for adoption, more animals are being house at PACC. Opportunities are being missed to get dogs out for adoption because PACC does not have an aggressive proactive adoption program. He mentioned a three part system; incoming, shelter, outgoing. Stop the incoming. The shelter is improving. PACC needs the outgoing part. People and volunteers are getting angry and leaving. He suggested obtaining data as to the number of customers and cycles to be more prepared for busy times.</td>
<td>Ongoing. Staff will look into this.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGENDA ITEM</td>
<td>DISCUSSION</td>
<td>ACTION/FOLLOW-UP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule and Number of DOC Inmates.</td>
<td>Mr. Neuman asked if there was a way to increase the number of inmates working at PACC. Mr. Janes explained PACC has gone round and round with the DOC to increase the number of inmates especially now the tent is here. DOC has said they are maxed out at 14 in the morning and up to 14 in the evening. They have not been proven to meet these numbers yet. PACC has reached out to Pima County Jail for 12 female inmates for the afternoon shift with the hope of being able to bringing 14-21 male inmates from the DOC in the morning. The State DOC is a little resistant to this, not sure they can do it, it will take some time. The DOC did change the model of how they staff the 14 in the morning and 8 in the afternoon moving from 10 hour shifts to five hour shifts. The inmates are still here for the same amount of time. PACC has been trying to work this out since December went the tent came into the picture. PACC is not very hopeful that the DOC or the County can provide the number of inmates and the shifts PACC wants. Mr. Neuman stated the inmates are leaving early right now at 6:10 p.m. which poses safety concerns for some of the volunteers who are here until 7:00. If something happens when someone is alone in the shelter, sick bay or tent there is no one to help.</td>
<td>Ongoing. Staff will check on this.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| VII. Animal Welfare and Dangerous Animal Cases for the Month of January | - Welfare and Dangerous Animal Cases | Welfare #9 Dr. O'Donnell commented the officer acted appropriately in calling the Sheriff. The conditions found on the property indicate a hording situation. She asked for a follow up by PACC and/or PCTD. Per Mr. Janes all of the animals were impounded and held for bond. The owner chose not to post the bond and the animals were relinquished to PACC. When this goes to court PACC will ask that the owner not be allowed to own any animals as part of any penalty. There should not be any animals on the property and if PACC receives a complaint there are animals there we will respond. The Sheriff's department can cite for cruelty and PACC misdemeanors citations can be dropped if the Sheriff's department pursues felony cruelty charges. Our Sheriff's Department is very aggressive with these cases. Dr. Smith asked if PACC would do follow-up checks if the owner were found guilty and ordered not to have pets. Per Mr. Janes PACC does not have the resources to do these kinds of checks. We have asked the courts to notify PACC should this happen and would respond should a complaint be filed indicating pets on
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENDA ITEM</th>
<th>DISCUSSION</th>
<th>ACTION / FOLLOW-UP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the property.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mr. Neuman commended the officers involved. Discussion it would be nice to have the resources to do drive-byes, possible use of a volunteer and drawbacks, and an animal offender list similar to a sex offender list.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX. DONATIONS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• February 2014</td>
<td>1,234 individuals donated $16,705 along with food, treats and pet supplies to Pima Animal Care Center.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X. COMPLAINTS / COMMENDATIONS RECEIVED BY STAFF DURING THE MONTH OF JANUARY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• February 2014</td>
<td>Six (6) complaints and one (1) commendation were received by staff.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XI. CALL TO THE AUDIENCE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Marcie Velen</td>
<td>Ms. Velen commented if PACC is already waiving first time fees people do not know about the fee waivers and it is a marketing issue. Make a clear statement and make it common knowledge. She mentioned someone found out their dog was here and was told to wait a few days for the dog to go up for adoption.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XII. ANNOUNCEMENTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Next meeting April 17, 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIII. ADJOURNMENT</td>
<td>The meeting was adjourned at: 19:18 P.M.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHELTER OPERATIONS</td>
<td>THIS MONTH</td>
<td>THIS YEAR TO DATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TUCSON</td>
<td>COUNTY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL ANIMALS HANDLED</td>
<td>788</td>
<td>663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATS</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL ANIMALS HANDLED</td>
<td>1,008</td>
<td>892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live Animals Handled</td>
<td>1,008</td>
<td>892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPOUNDED ANIMALS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADOPTED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOGS</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATS</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL ADOPTED</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RETURNED TO OWNER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOGS</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATS</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL RETURNED</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESCUED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOGS</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATS</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL RESCUED</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*TOTAL LIVE RELEASES</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**TOTAL LIVE RELEASE RATE</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUTHANIZED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOGS</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATS</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL EUTHANIZED</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-)Owner Requested Euthanasia</td>
<td>128</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted Total Euthanasia</td>
<td>354</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>***EUTHANASIA RATE</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENFORCEMENT OPERATIONS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welfare Responses</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENFORCEMENT CALLS FOR SERVICE</td>
<td>2,085</td>
<td>854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LICENSING OPERATIONS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALTERED</td>
<td>4,166</td>
<td>5,521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNALTERED</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL SOLD</td>
<td>4,632</td>
<td>5,847</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Total Live Releases = Total Adopted + Total Returned + Total Rescued
**Live Release Rate = TLR/(TLR + Adjusted Total Euthanasia)
***Euthanasia Rate = Adjusted Total Euthanasia/(TLR + Adjusted Total Euthanasia)
Fees

Unless noted otherwise, Pima Animal Care licensing and late fees pertain only to unincorporated Pima County, to the City of Tucson and Towns of Marana and Oro Valley. Other Pima County jurisdictions have not adopted these fees.

Postage/Processing: $1.00

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Licensing</th>
<th>Impound</th>
<th>Boarding</th>
<th>Diagnosis and Treatment</th>
<th>Adoption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impound Fees</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impound Unaltered Animal (first impoundment)*</td>
<td>$125.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impound Unaltered (subsequent impoundment)*</td>
<td>$200.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impound Altered (first impoundment)</td>
<td>$75.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impound Altered (second impoundment)</td>
<td>$125.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impound Altered (subsequent impoundment)</td>
<td>$200.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redemption of Unlicensed, Unaltered Pet Recovery Fee</td>
<td>$250.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Unaltered, unlicensed animals are subject to a $50 recovery fee.
Fees

Pima Animal Care Center is committed to helping pet owners redeem their pets.

In fact, our No. 1 goal is reuniting you with your pet. We will always work with you to accomplish that goal.

Equally important, we want to help our constituents be responsible owners and take steps to protect their pet. When an animal strays from a home, there are many hazards it might confront from automobile injuries, exposure to harsh weather conditions and just the simple fear of being lost.

Fees are based in large part on whether a pet is unaltered or has been spayed or neutered. Making sure pets can no longer have new litters is the most important step community members can take to reduce the numbers of animals coming to our shelter needing homes.

Please consider the following categories:

- I have an altered, licensed pet that was picked up running loose for the first time: Pima Animal Care Center will generally waive all impound and boarding fees. The most that the pet owner may have to pay for is a microchip.

- I have an unaltered, licensed pet that was picked up running loose for the first time: If an owner agrees to spay or neuter the animal before taking it back home, we will provide the alteration at no cost and also will waive all boarding and impound fees for the first time we've taken the pet into our shelter. The most the pet owner may have to pay for is a microchip.

- I have an unaltered, unlicensed pet and do not want Pima Animal Care Center to provide alteration of the pet: In this case, impound and boarding fees will not be waived. Redemption fees for those owners, or for pets that have been impounded more than once, may include some of the fees as outlined in the charts below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Licensing</th>
<th>Impound</th>
<th>Boarding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

http://webcms.pima.gov/cms/one.aspx?portalId=169&pageId=20057

4/7/2014
MEMORANDUM

TO: Kim Janes, Manager
FROM: Kelli Saline 1918
DATE: 03.31.14
SUBJECT: Welfare reports for March 2014

1. A14-143816  Animal owner was cited at the scene. No animals were impounded. The call is pending a final re check by PACC.

2. A14-142351  Six animals impounded. The animal owner was cited at the scene. The call is closed. All six animals have been adopted.

3. A14-142734  Three animals impounded. The animal owner was cited at the scene. The case is still open pending a re check by PACC. The dogs are still under veterinary care at PACC.

4. A14-143770  Animal owner was cited at the scene. No animals were impounded. The re check was done and approved and the call was closed.

5. A14-141522  Two animals impounded. The animal owner was educated and cited at PACC and both animals were redeemed.

6. A14-143092  Two animals impounded. The animal owner was educated and cited at PACC and both animals were redeemed.

7. A14-143484  Two animals impounded. The animal owner was educated and cited at PACC. One of animals was redeemed and the other did not belong to them and was adopted out.

8. A14-142815  The animal owner was educated and cited at the scene. No animals were impounded.

9. A14-143844  The animal owner was educated and cited at the scene. No animals were impounded.

10. A14-143868  One animal impounded. The owner was educated and cited at PACC. The dog was redeemed.
### INVESTIGATION REPORT

**Pima County Health Department**

**Pima Animal Care Center**

**4000 N Silverbell Rd**

**Tucson**

**Phone:** 520-298-2130

**Fax:** 520-298-2131

**www.pimaanimalcare.org**

---

**SUBJECT:** DONAGIANO

**SUBJECT'S ADDRESS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ZIP</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TUCSON</td>
<td>AZ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUBJECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ZIP</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMUNITY LICENSE**

**DATE AND TIME REPORTED**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>TIME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>03/05/14</td>
<td>1452</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURED ILL VENTILATION ABANDONED TIED OUT BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)**

**DATE AND TIME OCCURRED**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>TIME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>03/04/14</td>
<td>1954</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**VIOLATION**

- [ ] YES
- [ ] NO

**ADDRESS OF VIOLATION**

**PHONE NUMBER**

**SEX**

- [ ] MALE
- [ ] FEMALE

**AGE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COLOR**

- [ ] GRY
- [ ] BRN
- [ ] BLK
- [ ] ALT

**OFFENSES COMMISSIONED**

- [ ] Scent Marking
- [ ] Urination
- [ ] Defecation

**PURPOSE OF EXAMINATION**

- [ ] Standard
- [ ] Detailed

**DATE OF RETURN**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>TIME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>03/05/14</td>
<td>1452</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**REVIEWED BY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>TIME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>03/20/14</td>
<td>1911</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**WITNESS 1**

- [ ] MALE
- [ ] FEMALE

**DOB**

**ADDRESS**

**RESIDENCE PHONE #**

**BUSINESS PHONE #**

---

**WITNESS 2**

- [ ] MALE
- [ ] FEMALE

**DOB**

**ADDRESS**

**RESIDENCE PHONE #**

**BUSINESS PHONE #**

---

**WITNESS 3**

- [ ] MALE
- [ ] FEMALE

**DOB**

**ADDRESS**

**RESIDENCE PHONE #**

**BUSINESS PHONE #**

---

**WITNESS 4**

- [ ] MALE
- [ ] FEMALE

**DOB**

**ADDRESS**

**RESIDENCE PHONE #**

**BUSINESS PHONE #**
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number:

ACO name & Badge:

On March 5, 2014 Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) Enforcement Supervisor S. Montano 1942 received an email complaint from Michael Duffy, Animal Cruelty Investigator for the Humane Society of Southern Arizona. Mr Duffy reported that a Tucson Electric employee had observed a very skinny dog in the yard at where he had gone to remove the electric meter for nonpayment. He had not seen food or water. Mr Duffy requested that PACC conduct a welfare check on the dog at that address.

On March 6, 2014 at 4:58PM I, Officer Rademaker 2019, arrived at the front yard. He said he is the resident and the dog belongs to his daughter Melissa and is not abandoned. Mr. said the dog and his daughter live there, as does he. I informed him that according to the law he is also considered the owner.

The dog is a large, friendly gray male pitbull, Skyz, that is thin to very thin with ribs, lumbar vertebrae and pelvic bones easily visible. The dog is active. Mr. says the dog stays outside all the time. I went into the back yard and saw that there was no adequate shelter for the dog and that the water that was available was contaminated with green slime. Food was present. Mr. said the dog has received extensive vet care and that it has arthritis. He could provide no documentation of vet care, rabies vaccination or license.

I issued Mr. citations for no license and no rabies vaccinations. I explained court and compliance and he said he understood. I gave him a law brochure and explained the need for clean water, shelter and vet care. I gave him until March 11, 2014 at 5:00PM to provide clean water, shelter and to have the dog taken to a vet for diagnosis and treatment for its underweight condition. He was somewhat surly by this time and asked what if the dog was gone then. I told him that unless he formally surrendered the dog to HSSA or PACC he was legally responsible for these neglect violations and would receive neglect citations if he did not comply. He said he understood and signed the premises inspection form.
On March 18, 2019 at 6:54PM I met with owner Donaciano. On March 6, 2014 I had given him until March 11, 2109 to provide clean water and shelter for the dog Skyz and to have it evaluated by a veterinarian and have appropriate treatment started if required. Mr. stated that he had provided clean water but has not provided shelter nor has he taken the dog to a veterinarian. He said he gave the premises inspection form outlining those requirements to his daughter, who was not present. He showed me the clean water.

Mr. seems to believe that he is not responsible for the dog and I again explained to him that he is considered the owner under the law. I showed him the premises inspection form he signed on 03/08/14 and update it to mark the water satisfactory but the shelter and vet care not and to require vet care by March 25, 2014.

I issued Mr. citations for neglect no shelter and neglect vet care. I explained the citations and court and he said he understood. I told him he would be cited again on or after March 25, 2014 if he did not comply with the order for shelter and vet care.

Officer’s Signature: [Signature]
Date: 3/18/19
INVESTIGATION REPORT
Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care
400 N Silverbell Rd
Tucson, Az 85745
Phone: (520) 243-2000
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT
Sumatee

SUSPECT'S ADDRESS

ZIP CITY STATE AFSMIF/ PUPPY NUMBER

ACD NAME / BADGE #
Klein 1925

COMPLAINT NUMBER
A14-142351

BITE □ WELFARE □ DANGEROUS □ OTHER □

CODE IF OTHER:

SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS
Unemployed

ZIP CITY STATE BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER

DATE AND TIME REPORTED
2-26-14 / 10:25

DATE AND TIME OCCURRED
2-26-14 / 10:00

FOOD □ WATER □ SHELTER □ INJURED/ ILL □ VENTILATION □ ABANDONED □ TIEDOUT □ BEATEN □ WASTE □ OTHER (EXPLAIN)

I CHOOSE “upon request” rights in this case

I WAIVE “upon request” rights in this case.

VICTIM'S ADDRESS

ZIP CITY STATE

VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS
4000 N Silverbell Rd

ZIP CITY STATE

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE
(EFF APPROPRIATE)

DANGEROUS ASSAULT/Serenity REQUESTED

YES □ NO □

RESTITUTION REQUESTED

YES □ NO □

DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER AGENCY CASE #
140227019

FOLLOW UP REQUEST
□ 60 □ TPD □ OTHER:

ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

VETERINARY CLINIC

CLINIC'S ADDRESS

QUARANTINE
10 □ 15 □ 45 □ 120 □

FRA HEAD#: □

OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

SIGNATURE

CODE OR ID VIOLATED
4-30D, 6-11

IDENTIFICATIONS / NUMBERS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE

BREED/DESCRIPTION

VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL

ANIMAL'S NAME COLOR SEX AGE TAG NUMBER LICENSE # WX CERTIFICATE # END ANIMAL ID#
Poodle Mix Victim Owner Choke D white M 4Y Cited ok A471878
Am. Bulldog Victim Owner Lady white F 2Y L14-213897. Cited ok A417876
Terrier Mix Victim Owner CoCo tan F 4Y Cited ok A471877
Am. Bulldog Mix Victim Owner Puppy #1 Brindle/Wht M 2M ok A471873
Am. Bulldog Mix Victim Owner Puppy #2 Brindle/Wht M 2M ok A471875
Am. Bulldog Mix Victim Owner Puppy #3 Brindle/Wht M 2M ok A471876

WITNESS 1 TPD Perez badge #4046 M □ F □ DOB ADDRESS RESIDENCE PHONE # BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2 TPD Lopes badge # 29628 M □ F □ DOB ADDRESS RESIDENCE PHONE # BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3 TPD Lopes badge # 29628 M □ F □ DOB ADDRESS RESIDENCE PHONE # BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4 M □ F □ DOB ADDRESS RESIDENCE PHONE # BUSINESS PHONE #
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-142351

ACO name & Badge: Klein #1926

On February 26, 2014 at approximately 1825 hours the Pima County Animal Care Center (PACC) Adoption Coordinator, Ellie Beaubien called the PACC dispatch department and stated while visiting a friend during her personal time off she witnessed a young boy at Street throw a puppy on the ground and kick it. She reported that he then hit it with something that looked like a wrench.

On February 27, 2014 at 0811 hours the PACC dispatch department received a call from a complainant that asked to remain anonymous. The complainant reported that there are young boys living at Street that have picked up puppies by their back legs, swing them around in circles and then let the puppies go. The complainant stated they saw the children kick the dogs as well and that the mother does nothing about it.

On February 27, 2014, I, Investigator Klein was assigned to respond to Street. I called Ms. Beaubien and asked her to tell me what happened. She stated that she went to visit a friend on February 26th at 1800 hours and she saw 10-12 kids in front of Street. While visiting her friend she heard a puppy scream and went to look. She saw a boy throwing around a tan or dark brown puppy. She said the boy was probably around 7 years old and Hispanic. She saw the boy swing the puppy in the air and then throw it on the ground. She heard the puppy yelp as this happened. Ms Beaubien told me she then saw the young boy kick the puppy and throw rocks at it. She heard the puppy yelp as this was happening. The boy then hit the puppy with an object. She thought it looked like a wrench or similar tool.

Ms Beaubien said she then asked a young girl who the little boy was that was hurting the puppy and she thinks the girl told her his name was or

I then searched the PACC data base and found that PACC has received several complaints at this residence regarding an aggressive dog in violation of the leash law. Due to the history of complaints and the nature of this most recent incident I contacted PACC dispatch and asked that the Tucson Police department (TPD) meet with me before I respond to the residence.
On February 27th 2014 at approximately 1025 hours I was met by TPD Officers Lapedus badge# 42220, Lobaido badge# 29826 and Perez badge# 4046. I explained what was reported. The officers then escorted me to 

Street.

As we walked up to the house I saw two small children and a woman in the carport area. The woman identified herself as Sumalee (DOB ) and stated she is the mother of the two children. I asked the boy his name and he told me (DOB the young girl was (DOB ). I asked Ms. how many dogs they had and she told me three puppies. I asked about the adult terrier I saw standing at the front door and she asked which one. I asked her a couple more times until she told me they have three adult dogs and three puppies.

Ms. stated they did have 9 puppies but two died. When asked what happened she said she didn’t know but the other puppies were sold. I then asked to see the puppies. As Ms. went inside the house to get the puppies, she told me that he doesn’t like the puppies and added that is why he throws them. I asked him where he throws the puppies and he said over the fence. Then climbed up on a recliner that was in the carport and acted like he was throwing a puppy over the fence next to the recliner. And told me “See like this “.

Ms. then came back out to the carport and said was having a bad day yesterday. I then told her that is why we are here. I asked her if she knew about the puppy that was hitting and throwing around the day before. She said that her niece, 11 year old came inside and told her when was throwing one of the puppies. Ms. said she then told to come inside of the house.

Ms. said that she has been trying to find a behaviorist for because she has had these problems before. I then explained to Ms. that I would be removing all of the animals from the home for their own safety. She said that was a good idea. Ms. also said that she had called PACC to come and get the dogs but she was told it would cost $40 to come and pick them up. I told her that the price is actually more than that and she could have brought them to PACC at any time and would not have been charged. I then asked Ms. to bring the dogs out one at a time.

While Ms. was gathering the puppies from the living room area I saw grab a puppy that was under an end table. grabbed the puppy by its leg and began to yank at it several times. The puppy was stuck and yelping and started to yank on the leg even harder. Ms. said nothing. I then went inside of the house and yelled at to stop. He looked directly at me and yanked the puppy’s leg one more time without breaking eye contact. I then grabbed by his arm and pulled him away from the puppy. Ms. then started to yell at . I removed the puppy and saw no injuries. TPD Officer Perez took the puppy to my vehicle.
I impounded a female adult American Bulldog named Lady that Ms. said she has owned for 2 years, an adult female Terrier mix named CoCo that Ms. said she has owned for 4 years, an adult male Poodle mix named Choke D that Ms. said she has owned for several years, and three 2 month old male American Bulldog mix puppies. All three puppies are dark brindle with some white.

I explained to Ms. that I will be requiring a Bond on each dog due to the danger of leaving them in the home with Ms. said that she agrees and said she wants to relinquish ownership to PACC and would like the dogs to get adopted. She also stated that she could never afford to get them back. I then had Ms. sign a release of ownership form.

I then issued citation 7:1808 A, B and C to Ms. for Cruelty to the puppy that was witnessed being beaten on February 26, 2014 and for no license for CoCo and Choke D. I provided Ms. with her signed copy and explained her court appearance.

It should be noted that while at the residence I saw in the middle of the street several times without his mother. also grabbed a pink bicycle and started to ride in the direction of Wilmot Street. I also saw hit and break several objects while in the carport using a small iron hammer. This is possibly the object he was hitting the puppy with the day before.

I took photographs of the hammer, the carport, and his mother for identification purposes. However, the memory card to my camera malfunctioned while I attempted to download the photographs.

Officer's Signature: E:

Date: 3.2.14
**Investigation Report**

**Pima County Health Department**
**Pima Animal Services**
**4000 N. Silverbell Rd**
**Tucson, AZ 85780**
**Phone: 520-827-7330**
**Fax: 520-296-3266**

**Subject:** Magnolia

**Subject's Address:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zip</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Residence Phone Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tucson</td>
<td>AZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Subject's Business Address:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zip</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Business Phone Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sex:** Male

**Weight:** 30 lbs

**Height:** 48 inches

**Eyes:** Brown

**Hair Color:** Grey

**Origin:** USA

**DOB:** 05/01/1987

**SSN:** 321-23-4567

**Location of Incident:**

- Type: Indoor
- Date and Time Occurred: 3-4-14 / 1616
- Date and Time Reported: 3-4-14 / 1616

**Food Water Shelter Injured Ill Ventilation Abandoned Tie Out Beaten Waste Other:**

- X Food
- X Water
- X Shelter
- Injured
- Ill
- Ventilation
- Abandoned
- Tie Out
- Beaten
- Waste
- Other

**Victim/Complainant Name:** 1942 Eckelbarger

**D.O.B.:**

**Residence Phone No.:**

**Business Phone No.:**

- 243-5552

**D.O.T.:**

**Address and Phone Number Same As Victim:**

**Relationship to Victim:**

**Phone Number:**

**Lawful Representative Address:**

**Clinic's Address:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quarantine</th>
<th>Pref. Violations</th>
<th>Prev. Case Number</th>
<th>Other Additional Reports</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 15 45 46 180</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Victim or Lawful Representative Signature:**

**Breeds Description Victim or Owner Animal:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breed/Description</th>
<th>Animal's Name</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Tag Color</th>
<th>License #</th>
<th>TX Certificate #</th>
<th>Comb</th>
<th>Animal ID#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pit-bull mix</td>
<td>Blackie</td>
<td>Blk/wht</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>tyr</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cited</td>
<td>Cited</td>
<td>Thin</td>
<td>A472816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pit-bull mix</td>
<td>Leo</td>
<td>Bro/wht</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>tyr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Thin</td>
<td>A472817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chow mix</td>
<td>Leon</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Thin</td>
<td>A472815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chihuahua</td>
<td>Coqueta</td>
<td>Tan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>5yr</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cited</td>
<td>Ok</td>
<td>A472819</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pit/Lab mix</td>
<td>Negro</td>
<td>Blk/wht</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cited</td>
<td></td>
<td>Thin</td>
<td>A472820</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Witness 1:** Tucson Police Officer Hunt (64020)

**Witness 2:**

**Witness 3:**

**Witness 4:**
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-142734

ACO name & Badge: 1942 Eckelbarger

On 3-4-14 at 1650 hours, Investigator Eckelbarger (1942) responded to
_ _ St where I met with Tucson Police Officer Hunt (54030)(Case
1403040372). Officer Hunt advised that they responded to the address at
approximately 1630 hours on 3-4-14 in response to a complaint of a dog on a
tangled tie-out in the backyard. Upon response they observed multiple dogs
in the backyard that appeared malnourished and observed "Blackie" (Black
and white Pit-bull mix) on a tie-out connected to the backyard fence. Officer
Hunt stated the dog was tangled to the point that it had no access to shelter
or water and could not move that much. They then made contact with the
residents at the home who took the dog off the tie-out.

I then met with dog owner, Magnolia. _ _ (DOB _ _ ), and looked in the
backyard from the alley. I observed five dogs; 1) Tan Chihuahua "Coqueta"
(appeared in ok condition) 2) Black and white Pit-bull "Negro" (appeared thin
could see hip and rib bones on the dog) 3) Black and white Pit-bull "Blackie"
dog observed on the tie-out- appeared very thin could see hip, rib, and spine
bones on the dog) 4) Rad and brown Chow mix "Leon" (appeared thin) 5)
Brown and white pit-bull "Leo" (appeared very thin could see rib, hip, and
spine bones). I then took photographs of the tie-out and the dogs.

Ms. stated they first noticed the dogs getting thin a couple months
ago and stated they were vomiting as well. She stated they really did not have
money for veterinary care. She could not provide paperwork or proof of
licensees or veterinary paperwork on any of the dogs. I advised that all the
dogs with the exception of Coqueta would need to be taken to the vet for
treatment/exam within 24 hours due to their body conditions. Ms.
then decided to relinquish ownership of Blackie (A472616), Leon (A472615),
and Leo (A472617) to the Pima Animal Care Center. I then impounded Leon,
Blackie, and Leo on my truck and setup the dogs to be examined by the Pima
Animal Care Center staff veterinarian.

I then cited Ms. for neglect-tieout, neglect-no water, and neglect-no
shelter on "Blackie" listing Tucson Police Officer Hunt as the witness to those
violations. I also issued citations for neglect-vet care on "Blackie", neglect-
vet care on "Leo", neglect-vet care on "Leon", no license and no rabies vaccination on "Blackie", and no license on Coqueta and Negro all under City jurisdiction. Ms. Figueroa signed and received her copies of the citations.

I then filled out a premise inspection requiring "Negro" to be taken for veterinary exam/treatment within 24 hours, which Ms. Figueroa signed and received a copy of.

I then brought the dogs impounded to the Pima Animal Care Center and setup for them to be examined. After transporting Leon, Leo, and Blackie to the Pima Animal Care Center I took additional photographs of the three dogs and observed Leon's (Red Chow mix) body as he has a lot of hair and could easily feel his hip, rib, and spine bones.
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

**Pima County Health Department**
**Pima Animal Care**
**4000 N Silverbell Rd.**
**Tucson, AZ 85745**
**Phone:** 520-525-9494
**Fax:** 520-525-9462

---

**REPORT NUMBER:** 254607-11-01

---

**NAME:** Amy

---

**ACO NAME / BADGE #:**
**COMPLAINT NUMBER:** Windemeer #1884 A14-143770

---

**ZIP**
**DAY**
**STATE**
**INVESTIGATOR’S PHONE NUMBER**

**ZIP**
**CITY**
**STATE**
**INVESTIGATOR’S PHONE NUMBER**

---

**SEX**
**Breed Description**

---

**DATE AND TIME REPORTED:** 3/19/14
**DATE AND TIME RECEIVED:** 3/19/14
**DATE AND TIME DISPOSED:** 3/19/14

---

**FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURYILLS:**
**VENTILATION ABANDONED TREAT BEARKIN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN):**

---

**VICTIM/COMPLIANTER NAME:**
**D.O.B.:**

---

**VICTIM’S ADDRESS:**
**ZIP**
**CITY**
**STATE**

---

**VICTIMS BUSINESS ADDRESS:**
**ZIP**
**CITY**
**STATE**

---

**INJURY:**
**DURATION:**
**PART OF BODY INJURED:**

---

**VET CLINIC:**
**PHONE NUMBER:**

---

**QUIARANTINE:**
**PREMIUM VOLUMINOUS:**
**PREMIUM CARE NUMBER:**

---

**REMARKS BY: 3/3/10**

---

**ANIMAL NAME**
**COLOR**
**SEX**
**TAG COLOR**
**LICENSE #:**
**VETERINARY #:**

---

**FITNESS 1**
**DOB**
**ADDRESS**
**RESIDENCE PHONE #:**
**BUSINESS PHONE #:**

---

**FITNESS 2**
**DOB**
**ADDRESS**
**RESIDENCE PHONE #:**
**BUSINESS PHONE #:**

---

**FITNESS 3**
**DOB**
**ADDRESS**
**RESIDENCE PHONE #:**
**BUSINESS PHONE #:**

---

**FITNESS 4**
**DOB**
**ADDRESS**
**RESIDENCE PHONE #:**
**BUSINESS PHONE #:**

---
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-143770
ACO name & Badge: Windauer #1884

On March 19, 2014 at approximately 1949 hours I arrived at Road reference a possible abandonment complaint. I was to meet with a complainant and be pointed to owners address. On arrival, I saw address contained multiple dwellings so I waited for someone to come out. I saw men watching my vehicle. A minute or so later a vehicle pulled up and woman got out saying she was complainant-Lucy. I asked where dogs were and she pointed to property directly south of Road. I was told there were two residences that were connected and dogs at both residences.

I walked to front door after opening unlocked gate and knocked. I spoke with young woman, who was underage and she fetched an adult. Ms. Amy then came to door and I advised of complaint and that I would have to do an inspection.

While we were talking an older man walked up and got very upset. He said he was from the connecting residence. I advised I would have to talk with him next.

Ms. and I then went in the backyard which bordered south fenceline of inside the yard I met 4 dogs. All were in good condition and were friendly. I saw a lot of litter. I was told the dogs used to have access to their shed but kept tearing up the things inside it. At this time I saw the dogs did not have access to any shelter. I was told the small dogs were brought inside at night. I looked around for a water dish and was pointed to the faucet where dish was set. I found dish was dry and crusty. I was told the kiddie wading pool was also used for water for dogs but it also was empty/dry at this time. I also saw excessive animal waste for 4 dogs per County Ordinance.

I filled out premise inspection and explained to Ms. I was setting recheck date for 3/26/14 to be sure dogs have secure, clean, adequate water supply and adequate shelter. Also that the animal waste has been removed and disposed of properly. I issued citations for 4 counts of Neglect-No Water and 4 counts No License.

I then met with the connecting resident-Ms. father, Leonard. Mr. believed this whole complaint was reciprocation for an earlier complaint by him to the Fire Department about the neighbor at burning garbage. He explained the smoke had caused respiratory discomfort to him and his wife. I handled the connecting complaint under A14-143839.

Officer’s Signature: 

Date: 3/6/14
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Services
400 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, AZ 85715
Phone: (520) 243-5800
www.pimaservices.org

**SUBJECT:**
Draco
**ACO NAME / BADGE #:**
Downing #1023
**COMPLAINT NUMBER:**
A14-141522

**CODE OF OFFENSE:**
PLA WELFARE □ DANGEROUS □ OTHER □

**DATE AND TIME OCCURRED:**
3-26-14 / 1503

**FOOD / WATER / SHELTER / INJURED / VENTILATION / ABANDONED / TIED OUT / BEaten / WASTE / OTHER (EXPLAIN):**

**VICTIM / COMPLAINANT NAME:**
Draco (Harrington #1023)
**DOB:**
NA
**RESIDENCE PHONE NO.:**
NA
**BUSINESS PHONE NO.:**
243-5800

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE):**

**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM:**

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM:**

**PHONE NUMBER:**

**LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS:**

**CLINIC'S ADDRESS:**

**3RD PARTY CITATIONS:**

**SIGNATURE:**

**BREED / DESCRIPTION:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANIMAL'S NAME</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>LICENSE #</th>
<th>TX CERTIFICATE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Samus</td>
<td>Tri</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Purchased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC</td>
<td>Blk/Tan</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Purchased</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WITNESS 1:**
Officer Bowden #2013

**WITNESS 2:**

**WITNESS 3:**

**WITNESS 4:**

---

**DATE:**
3-26-14

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT:**

**DATE AND TIME REPORTED:**
3-26-14 / 1503

**FOLLOW UP REQUEST:**

**DATE IMMUNIZED:**

**RELEASE DATE:**

**QUARANTINE:**

**REVIEWS BY:**

---

**DAMAGING / INJURED:

**DAMAGING / INJURED:**

**SAME AS VICTIM:**

**SAME AS VICTIM:**

**SAME AS VICTIM:**

---

**AVERAGE NUMBER: 1923**
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-141522

ACO name & Badge: Downing #1923

On March 26, 2014 at 1503, Officer Harrington #1959, arrived at Court in reference to a complaint about two dogs tangled on a tie-out. Upon arrival he walked around to the back of the apartment and observed two dogs tied to a cable that was staked into the ground near the dog house. The cables for each of the dog had become tangled together. They both had adequate shelter and water. Officer Harrington went to the front door and knocked several times and received no answer.

Officer Bowden #2013 arrived on scene and assisted in removing both dogs from the tangled tie-out. Photographs were taken of the scene and of both dogs. The two dogs were impounded.

The two dogs were described as a Beagle and the other as a Chihuahua.

On March 26, 2014 at about 1300 hours the dog's owner came to Pima Animal Care Center to redeem his two dogs. He became known to me, Officer Downing #1923, as Draco. I explained the reason for the impound and cited him on behalf of Officer Harrington #1959. The two dogs were released back to him.

Officer's Signature: [Signature]

Date: 3-28-14
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-143092

ACO name & Badge: Robert Tovar #2021

On March 10, 2014 at 0921 hours Pima Animal Care Center Officer Walton arrived at [Street reference] a call for two dogs that were on tie-outs, in distress and crying. Officer Walton reported that she spoke to the complainant who told her that the two dogs had been tied-out all weekend. Officer Walton said that she looked thru the gate while still speaking with the complainant and was able to see a brown Chihuahua tied to it's house and a brown and white Chihuahua running around loose in the yard. She added that she took photos and then walked around to the front of the house. Officer Walton reported that she did not get an answer at the front door and walked around to the backyard thru the carport area. She stated that she saw the Chihuahua tied out with a chain that was wrapped around a tire. She added that the Chihuahua did have food, water and shelter.

Officer Walton stated that she heard another dog in the yard and observed that there was a white and tan pit mix tangled under vehicles. She stated that the dog did not have shelter and could not reach it's water. Officer Walton reported that she took photographs of the dogs and impounded the two that were tied-out. Officer Walton stated that she spoke with a man named Enrique on the telephone who told her that something happened to the fence, however, she could not really understand him. She then reported that she ran the license number on the Chihuahua she had impounded and found the registered owner to be Yolanda. Officer Walton requested that citations be issued to the dog owner when they come to the Pima Animal Care Center to redeem their dogs.

On March 10, 2014 at 1230 hours Mr. Enrique called the Pima Animal Care Center dispatch office and stated that a neighbor had called him about the impound and he had left work to meet with an officer. He also reported that he had the dogs tied until he can secure the confinement. The dispatcher who spoke with Mr. explained to him that tie-outs were illegal and that the Officer had attempted to contact him and his wife (the registered owner.)
Mr. told the dispatcher that he would locate all the records on the dogs and would call back later this afternoon to find out what he needs to do to redeem his dogs.

On March 10, 2014 at 18:29 hours, Officer Tovar #2021, met with the registered dog owner, Yolanda, and her husband Enrique at the Pima Animal Care Center when they came in to redeem their dogs. Mr. said that this morning around 0715 hours he went into the backyard to get his work tools from his truck when he saw that the dogs had dug a hole underneath the fence. He explained that he placed two rocks over the hole but this did not completely cover the hole. He then said that he found it easier to put the dogs on tie-outs rather than to cover up the hole completely as he was in a hurry and his ride to work was on the way to pick him up. Mr. said that he made sure that the dogs had water before he left.

I advised Mr. that Officer Walton #1925 observed that the white and tan pit bull puppy could not reach the water due to the tie-out becoming tangled under the vehicles where it was tied to. Ms. Yolanda said that she left the house around 0540 hours this morning and since she goes out the front of the house she did not notice that the dogs had dug a hole underneath the fence. Mr. said that he covered the hole completely when he arrived from work and will put cement underneath the gate to permanently fix the problem. He and Ms. said that this is the only place that the dogs can dig as the rest of the yard is confined with a block wall. I gave Mr. and Ms. a copy of the Tucson and Pima County Animal Laws in Spanish and explained that it is against the law to have your dogs tied-out. I cited Ms. Yolanda for Neglect-Tie Out on Piguay A464414 and Towie A473248 and for Neglect-No Water on Towie. Ms. signed and received her copy of said citation.

Officer's Signature: Robert Tovar #2021 Date: 3-16-15
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

**PIPLA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT**
4000 N. Silverbell
TUCSON, AZ 85745

**PHONE:** (520) 243-5500
**FAX:** (520) 243-5500
www.piplaaimabane.org

**SUBJECT**
Cristabel

**ACO NAME / BADGE #**
C. Meek 2015

**COMPLAINT NUMBER**
A14-143484

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**
03/15/14

**DATE AND TIME OCCURRED**
03/15/14 / 1000

**FOOD / WATER / SHELTER / INJURED / WELL VENTILATION / ABANDONED / TIEOUT / BEATEN / WASTE / OTHER (EXPLAIN)**

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE OF APPLICABLE LAW**

**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM**

**VICTIM / COMPLAINT NAME**
Officer C. Meek

**VICTIM’S ADDRESS**

**VICTIM’S BUSINESS ADDRESS**
4000 N. Silverbell

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE OF APPLICABLE LAW**

**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM**

**VICTIM’S ADDRESS**

**VICTIM’S BUSINESS ADDRESS**
4000 N. Silverbell

**FOLLOW UP REQUEST**

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM**

**PHONE NUMBER**

**LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS**

**CLINIC’S ADDRESS**

**QUARANTINE**

**3RD PARTY CITATIONS**

**CITING ACO**
C. Meek

**ID/DOG VIOLATED**
4-3(2)(E)(2)

**ANIMAL’S NAME**
Tallahassee

**COLOR**
Choc.

**SEX**
M

**AGE**
2Y

**LICENSE #**
217480

**TAG COLOR**

**ANIMAL ID #**
A066884

**WITNESS 1**

**DOB**

**ADDRESS**

**RESIDENCE PHONE #**

**BUSINESS PHONE #**

**WITNESS 2**

**DOB**

**ADDRESS**

**RESIDENCE PHONE #**

**BUSINESS PHONE #**

**WITNESS 3**

**DOB**

**ADDRESS**

**RESIDENCE PHONE #**

**BUSINESS PHONE #**

**WITNESS 4**

**DOB**

**ADDRESS**

**RESIDENCE PHONE #**

**BUSINESS PHONE #**
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-143484

ACO name & Badge: C. Meek 2015

On 03/15/14 Pima Animal Care Center received a call regarding a dog on tie out. The caller reported that the dog was in distress and tangled within the tie out.

On 03/15/14 at 1034 hours I Officer Meek badge number 2015 responded to in reference to a tie out complaint.

I arrived at the address and observed the front gate to be pad locked and I initially did not see any dog tied out. I walked the front fence line when I heard a dog barking at me. I then was able to see a dark colored medium sized dog tied underneath a carport behind a set of tires. I attempted to photograph the dog but under the roof of the car port and the shadow it cast the dog did not appear in the photo. I photographed the carport.

I walked to the west side of the yard and found a side gate that was not pad locked. Additionally I observed a small mix breed puppy roaming freely in the yard. I made my way into the yard and to the front door. I initially knocked at the door but received no answer. I then rang the door bell and still did not get an answer. I made my way to the car port to photograph the dog.

Under the car port I observed the same dog tied to a 4x4 beam with a leash and another piece of nylon type tethering. I photographed the dog on the tie out. The dog was very friendly. As I was working with the dog I did observe it to have food but the water bowl the dog had was overturned and I did not find any other water available for the dog. I photographed both the food container as well as the water container. It should be noted that the water was recently spilled as there was a puddle on the concrete floor of the car port. I knocked again at the side door off the car port but received no answer again.

I then impounded the dog. As I placed the dog in the truck I noticed the dog to have a Pima Animal Care Center license tag. I copied the number down and asked the dispatch department to attempt to contact the dog owner. I was advised that the telephone number was no good as it kept ringing and no voice mail could be left.

At that point I noticed the puppy roaming outside of the yard so I impounded it as well. I then posted a notice on the front pad locked fence advising the dog owner both dogs had been impounded.

On 03/17/14 at approximately 1300 hours I officer Meek badge number 2015 was able to meet with the dog owner from whom I met with Ms. Cristabel
Ms. advised me that she tied the dog named, Tallassee out at approximately 0900 hours when she left to run errands. Ms. stated that she did have a family come to the residence at approximately 1030 hours to ensure Tallassee had food and water.

I spoke with Ms. at length regarding tie-outs and why it was against the law to keep a dog in that manner. Ms. stated that she ties the dog when she is leaving the property as he does run out of the open gate and sometimes fights with other neighborhood dogs. I asked Ms. if Tallassee escapes the yard regularly and Ms. advised me that he only escapes when she opens the gate to leave otherwise he stays in the yard.

Ms. then advised me that she has recently moved into the house and felt like her neighbors did not like her as Tallassee is a barker. I advised Ms. that I understood but that I did have to issue her one citation for Tallassee being on the tie out. I advised Ms. that I would not be issuing a citation for no water as I believe Tallassee knocked his bowl over because he was excited by my presence. Ms. then provided me with her Arizona Driver's License and I issued Ms. one citation for the tie out. I advised Ms. that with the citations she would need to appear in court and I provided her with the date. Ms. stated she understood her need to appear and signed her copy of the citation.

I then asked Ms. how the puppy escaped the yard. Ms. gave me a puzzled look and advised me that she did not own a puppy.

Officer's Signature: [Signature]

Date: 03/17/19
### INVESTIGATION REPORT

**Pima County Health Department**  
**Pima Animal Care & Control**  
4000 N Silverbell Rd  
Tucson, AZ 85746  
Phone: (520) 243-3900

**SUBJECT**  
Tamara  
**ACO NAME/BADGE #**  
Bowdon #2013

**SUBJECT'S ADDRESS**  

t  
**ZIP**  
CITY  
STATE  
RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER

**DATE AND TIME REPORTED**  
03/09/14  
14:35

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**  

t  
**DATE AND TIME OCCURRED**  
03/08/14  
08:08

**FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURED/ILL VENTILATION ABANDONED TIEOUT BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)**  

**I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case.**

**I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.**

**REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. B 13-4405 (B) and B 9-391 (D)**

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)**

**DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER**

**BADMINTON CASE NUMBER**

**BADMINTON**

**OTHER AGENCY CASE #**

**E140000244**

**SO tfp**

**TPD OTHER**

**FOLLOW UP REQUEST**

**SO tpd**

**TPD OTHER**

**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM**

**VIOLATION**

**BITE SEVERITY**

**KIND VIOLATION**

**PART OF BODY BITEN**

**VET CLINIC**

**PHONE NUMBER**

**OWNER INDICATORS OF SITE**

**YES NO**

**FTQ**

**UTQ**

**VISITORS OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE**

**CONF/NO VIOLATIONS**  
4-61, 4-78, 4-32(2)(E)(2), & 4-2

**CITATIONS/NUMBERS**

**77734**

---

### BREED DESCRIPTION

**ANIMAL'S NAME**  
Cookie  
**COLOR**  
Brindle  
**SEX**  
F  
**AGE**  
A  
**TAG COLOR**  
**LICENSE #**  
**VETERINARY CERTIFICATE #**  
**COURT**  
**ANIMAL ID#**

---

**VICTIM OR OWNER**

**Cookie**

**OWNER**

**DOB**

---

**WITNESS 1**

Genius Robinson  
**DOB**

---

**WITNESS 2**

**DOB**

---

**WITNESS 3**

**DOB**

---

**WITNESS 4**

**DOB**

---
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-142815

ACO name & Badge: Bowdon #2013

On 03/05/14 at approximately 14:35 hours Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) received a report of the abuse of a dog residing at in the City of Tucson.

On 03/09/14 at approximately 08:08 hours I, PACC Officer Bowdon #2013, arrived at for an animal welfare check. As I approached the residence two women appeared at the fence gate. The women were later identified as Tamera and Geneva I explained that a report had been received in reference to a dog. Both women immediately made statements indicating that a recently departed roommate was making false accusations and reports as an act of revenge. Ms. appeared to be very agitated by my investigation and was reluctant to discuss the matter.

During the brief encounter I had with Ms. stated that she was the owner of a Cookie, a female Pit Bull mix I observed to be on a tie-out in the fenced front yard of the residence. From where I was standing at the fence gate. I observed that there was a dog house within reach of Cookie and Ms. showed me an approximately 3-4 week old pup she retrieved from the dog house. Ms. stated that she had moved into Ms. residence from Santa Cruz County a month ago with Cookie and that she did not have proof of current rabies vaccination for the dog. In an effort to educate Ms. of Pima County laws pertaining to animals, I attempted to explain the tie-out restrictions. Ms. abruptly grabbed Cookie from the tie-out, verbally disputed the existence of any such law, and refused to allow me to complete the investigation.

Ms. and her husband emerged from the residence and acknowledged that they were the legal renters of the property, but that they had allowed Ms. to reside with them and that she was creating problems that they did not want to be responsible for. Ms. came out of the residence again and began yelling at Ms. instructing her not to talk to me. Ms. and her husband began to walk away from the residence.
Tucson Police Department (TPD) responded to the scene in response to Ms. failure to cooperate with the investigation. TPD Officers Chander #48788 and Morales #47531 arrived in response to TPD Event#140680244.

Ms. and her husband attempted to gain re-entry to their residence, but were unable to do so due to Ms. locking them out. A dispute ensued between Ms. TPD, and Ms. continued to refuse to cooperate with the investigation and alternated between locking herself in the residence and emerging from the residence and screaming at TPD Officers and Ms.

Ultimately, Ms. apologized for Ms. behavior and stated that she did not want to interfere with the investigation. Ms. gained access to her residence with her husband and returned with Ms. identification card.

I issued citations to Ms. for No License, No Rabies Vaccination, Neglect / Tie-Out, and Interference with an Officer.

Ms. stated that she would be taking action to have Ms. and her dog removed from the property.
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

**Subject:**
Raul

**Suspect's Address:**
TUC, AZ

**Suspect's Business Address:**

**Zip:**

**City:**

**State:**

**Residence Phone Number:**

**Business Phone Number:**

**Complaint Number:**
A14-143644

**ACO Name / Badge #:**
C. Meek 2015

**Date and Time Reported:**
03/20/14 / 1555

**Date and Time Received:**
03/20/14 / 1622

**Food:**

**Water:**

**Shelter:**

**Injured / Ill:**

**Ventilation:**

**Abandoned:**

**Tight:**

**Beaten:**

**Waste:**

**Other (Explain):**

**Identification Number:**

**Location of Incident:**

**Victim / Complainant Name:**
Officer C. Meek

**Residence Phone No.:**

**Business Phone No.:**
520-243-9300

**Victim's Address:**

**Zip:**

**City:**

**State:**

**Victim's Business Address:**
4000 N. Silverbell Rd

**Zip:**

**City:**

**State:**

**Name of Lawful Representative (If Applicable):**

**Dangerous Assessment Requested:**

**Decoration Requested:**

**Dangerous Case Number:**

**Other Agency Case #:**

**Follow Up Request:**

**SO:**

**TPD:**

**Other:**

**Address and Phone Number Same As Victim:**

**Violation:**

**One Defendant:**

**Part of Body Injured:**

**Phone Number:**

**Date of Wounding:**

**Pacc:**

**Date of Discharge:**

**Utq:**

**Relationship to Victim:**

**Vet Clinic:**

**Phone Number:**

**Date of Dismissal:**

**Ftq:**

**LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS:**

**Clinic's Address:**

**Quarantine:**

**10:**

**15:**

**45:**

**Other:**

**3rd Party Citations:**

**Citing ACO:**

**Prevailing Violations:**

**Prevailing Case Number:**

**Other Additional Reports:**

**Victim or Lawful Representative Signature:**

**Cite/Or Violated:**

**Judgment:**

**Constitutional:**

**Witness:**

**Address:**

**Residence Phone #:**

**Business Phone #:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BREED/DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>ANIMAL'S NAME</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>TAG COLOR</th>
<th>LICENSE #</th>
<th>VICEDRIC#</th>
<th>CHNO</th>
<th>ANIMAL ID#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shep-X</td>
<td>Sammie</td>
<td>TAN</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A474GB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WITNESS 1**

**DOB:**

**Address:**

**Residence Phone #:**

**Business Phone #:**

**WITNESS 2**

**DOB:**

**Address:**

**Residence Phone #:**

**Business Phone #:**

**WITNESS 3**

**DOB:**

**Address:**

**Residence Phone #:**

**Business Phone #:**

**WITNESS 4**

**DOB:**

**Address:**

**Residence Phone #:**

**Business Phone #:**
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-143844
ACO name & Badge: C. Meek 2015

On 03/20/14 at 1555 hours Pima Animal Care Center received a call regarding a dog on a tie out that jumped the shared wall and was currently in their yard still on the tie out and potentially choking.

On 03/20/14 at 1622 hours I Officer Meek badge number 2015 responded to meet with a complainant regarding a dog tied out and possibly choking itself on the tie out.

I arrived at the address and was able to meet with the complainant Ms. Beverly. Ms. allowed me access to her back yard. I was able to make my way through a pool gate where I observed a dog on a tie out and in Ms. yard. The dog did not belong to Ms. but to her neighbor residing at Ms. shares a common wall with her neighbor the dog owner.

When I approached the dog it became panicked and ran along the top of the shared wall into the yard where it lived. From the top of the wall where the dog was initially observed and photographed there was an approximate five foot drop to the ground. The dog ran behind a portable shed in the yard and I was able to photograph the dog on the tie out. Upon further investigation I was able to find that the dog was being tied to a wrought iron gate in its yard that had been made into a side yard that contained a German shepherd that was not tied out. I photographed the origin of the tie out as well as the side yards were both dogs were being kept. In the side yard I observed there to be two containers that had both food and water available to the dogs in addition to the food and water containers there was a large dog bed along with a dog door that appear to lead into the residence. The dog that was tied out had no access to the water or food upon my arrival and definitely did not have access to the dog door.

I was able to impound the dog that was tied out and then able to photograph the tie out with more detail. After loading the dog into my vehicle I made my way to the front of in an attempt to meet with the dog owners. I knocked at the door and was met by two male juveniles. I advised them of what happened and why I was at the residence. I also advised them that one of their dogs was impounded. I provided both juveniles with a notice to give to their guardian when they arrived home. I then left the neighborhood to begin writing my notes and uploading the photographs taken at the residence.

While entering my notes and photographs I was advised by the dispatch
department that the adult dog owner returned home and inquired about meeting me again at the residence. I advised the dispatch department that I would return to the residence and meet with the dog owner.

I returned to and was able to meet with Ms. Raina. I advised Ms. that the dog was impounded due to it being tied out and in distress and having no access to water. Ms. advised me the dog's name was Sammie and that her family only recently came into possession of her and was having a difficult time keeping her contained in their yard stating that she likes to roam. Ms. advised me that she has made several trips to her veterinarian to have surgery stitches repaired from her recent spay because of Sammie's desire to roam. Ms. then went on to ask if I had any solutions to help keep Sammie in the yard. Ms. and I spoke about crate training at some length. At the end of the conversation Ms. stated she had a bathroom that she could keep Sammie in when there was no one home. I advised Ms. that would be acceptable confinement.

Ms. advised me that she did want Sammie to remain with her family and I advised Ms. that I could return Sammie but I would be issuing her citations based on what I observed earlier.

Ms. stated she understood and provided me with her Arizona Driver's license. I issued Ms. a total of two citations which included neglect tie out and neglect no water. Ms. had a current rabies vaccination until 2015 provided vet number 064 and the license citation did not apply at the time of our meeting. I advised Ms. that with the citations she would need to appear in court and I provided her with the date. Ms. stated she understood her need to appear and signed her copy of the citations. Ms. then thanked me for saving her dog.

Ms. then went on to advise me that she is currently employed by the court system and if her husband agreed to accept the citations could I issue them to him. I advised Ms. that I would issue the citations to her husband but would not void her citations until I did so. Ms. stated she understood and proceeded to call her husband. After speaking with her husband Ms. advised me that he was willing to accept the citations and asked if he would be able to meet me later in the evening. I advised Ms. that her husband could meet me at Pima Animal Care Center and I would issue the citations to him and void her copies. Ms. again thanked me for being understanding of her employment situation and not creating any more hardship for her.

Officer's Signature:  

Date: 03/25/24
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BREEEDDESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL'S NAME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pit Bull</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Witnesses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITNESS 1</th>
<th>OFFICER GOLDEN #5273</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DOB</td>
<td>ADDRESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESIDENCE PHONE #</td>
<td>BUSINESS PHONE #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M F</td>
<td>DOB ADDRESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Witness 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITNESS 2</th>
<th>DOB ADDRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RESIDENCE PHONE #</td>
<td>BUSINESS PHONE #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M F</td>
<td>DOB ADDRESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Witness 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITNESS 3</th>
<th>DOB ADDRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RESIDENCE PHONE #</td>
<td>BUSINESS PHONE #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M F</td>
<td>DOB ADDRESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Witness 4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITNESS 4</th>
<th>DOB ADDRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RESIDENCE PHONE #</td>
<td>BUSINESS PHONE #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M F</td>
<td>DOB ADDRESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-143868

ACO name & Badge: C. Meek 2015 / J. Radamaker 2019

On 03/21/14 at 0756 hours Pima Animal Care Center received a call from Tucson Police department Officer Golden badge number 5273. Officer Golden advised the dispatcher that he was responding to the residence in reference to a barking dog noise complaint. Officer Golden advised the dispatcher that when he responded to the residence he could see a dog tied out in plain view.

On 03/21/14 at 0849 hours I Officer Meek badge number 2015 responded to assist Tucson Police Department in the removal and impound of a dog on a tie out. I arrived at the address and was able to meet with Officer Golden who provided me with the case number of 1403210102. Upon my arrival at the residence Officer Golden provided me with the name of the dog owner as a Mr. Michael and additionally provided me with his telephone number. Officer Golden stated that he did speak with Mr. prior to my arrival and advised him that the dog, more than likely, would be impounded. Officer Golden advised me that Mr. stated that he could probably have someone at the residence tomorrow (03/22) to take care of the dog.

Officer Golden and I then made our way into the back yard. In the yard I observed a medium sized male Pit Bull mix tied to the porch of the residence. The dog at some point completely entangled himself in the tie out which was a chain and I was able to photograph the dog on the tie out.

I observed there to be water and food available to the dog but the tie out was so entangled that there was no possible way for the dog to access either one. I did photograph the containers.

While conducting my investigation the dog behaved in a fearful aggressive manner. While working with the dog to remove the tie out the dog urinated several times. I immediately noticed two things the first being the urine's color was a deep yellow almost orange in color, and the second the urine had a very strong smell. Both of these factors lead me to believe that the dog went without access to water for some time. I removed the dog from the tie out and placed it in my vehicle and returned to photograph the tie out without the dog. The photographs were added into the activity. After taking my photographs I posted a notice on the residence advising the dog owner the dog was impounded and where to redeem the dog, additionally I advised what citations would need to be issued to the dog owner when claimed.
On 03/25/14 at 1315 hours Officer Radamaker badge number 2019 was able to meet with a Mr. Michael at Pima Animal Care Center in regards to his dog named Red Boy. Officer Rademarker stated he was able to meet with Mr. and issue the citations I requested in my initial notes.

Officer Radamaker advised Mr. that with the citations he would need to appear and court and provided him with the date. Mr. stated he understood his need to appear and signed his copy of the citations.

[Signature]

Date: 03/27/14
MEMORANDUM

TO: Kim Janes, Animal Care Manager
FROM: Debra Tenkate, Animal Care Field Supervisor
DATE: April 1, 2014
RE: Dangerous Dog Cases for March 2014

City of Tucson:

1. A14-142764—Courtney Glanz, dog named Harley was declared dangerous by Investigator Klein. A hearing was held and the declaration of dangerous was upheld. The dog will remain at PACC until the owner is in full compliance. Investigator Klein will monitor compliance.

2. A13-136581—Mirna Garcia, dog named Dolly was declared vicious by the court. Investigator Eckelbarger served the order and will monitor compliance.

3. A14-144194—Noreen Conroy, dog named Conor was declared vicious by the court. Investigator Eckelbarger served the order and is monitoring compliance.

4. A14-143224—Charles Paiz, dog named Luna was declared dangerous by Investigator Eckelbarger. A hearing was held on 3/28/14 and the declaration of dangerous was upheld. Investigator Eckelbarger will monitor compliance.

5. A14-143673—Renee Salomon, 3 dogs named Czar, Zoey and Stinker was declared dangerous by Investigator Eckelbarger. The 3 dogs are at PACC and are on hold due to a wildlife exposure. Investigator Eckelbarger will monitor compliance when the dogs are released.

6. A13-133097—Maria Sandidge, dog named Chapo was declared vicious by the court. Investigator Klein served the order and will monitor compliance.

Sahuarita:

7. A14-141484—Diane Stanzel, dog named CoCo was declared dangerous by Investigator Eckelbarger. The dog owner requested a hearing which is scheduled on 4/4/14.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>CASE NUMBER</th>
<th>OWNER'S LAST NAME</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS ASSESSED</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS DECLARED DANGEROUS</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS DECLARED NOT DANGEROUS</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS IMPOUNDED</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS RELinquished</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS PTS</th>
<th>HEARING YN</th>
<th>UPHeld</th>
<th>NOT UPHELD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A14-142764</td>
<td>Courtney Glanz</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A13-136581</td>
<td>Mirna Garcia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A12-091730</td>
<td>Noreen Conroy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A14-143224</td>
<td>Charles Paz</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A14-143873</td>
<td>Renee Salomon</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A13-133097</td>
<td>Maria Sandidge</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sahuarita</th>
<th>CASE NUMBER</th>
<th>OWNER'S LAST NAME</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS ASSESSED</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS DECLARED DANGEROUS</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS DECLARED NOT DANGEROUS</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS IMPOUNDED</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS RELinquished</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS PTS</th>
<th>HEARING YN</th>
<th>UPHeld</th>
<th>NOT UPHELD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A14-141484</td>
<td>Diane Stenzel</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INVESTIGATION REPORT
Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care
400 N Silverbell Rd
Tucson, AZ 85710
Phone: (520) 243-6000
www.pimaanimals.org

SUBJECT
Courtney Gianz

ACO NAME / BADGE #: Klein 1926
COMPLAINT NUMBER: A14-142764

SUBJECT'S ADDRESS

ZIP CITY STATE RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER
Tucson Az

SUBJECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS

ZIP CITY STATE BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER

DOB SSN

SEX WEIGHT HEIGHT EYES HAIR COLOR ORIGIN

DATE AND TIME REPORTED: 3-5-14
DATE AND TIME OCCURRED: 3-5-14

LOCATION OF INCIDENT

MUD WATER SHELTER INJURED/ILL VENTILATION ABANDONED TIEOUT BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)

I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. 43-4415 (B) and 43-286 (D)

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ANIMAL ASSESSMENT

RESTIUTION REQUESTED YES NO

DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER AGENCY CASE #: Several

FOLLOW UP REQUEST

SO TPD

TPD OTHER:

ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS

QUARANTINE

3RD PARTY CITATIONS

CITING ACO

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL

ANIMAL'S NAME COLOR SEX AGE TAG COLOR LICENSE #: IN Certificate #: COMB ANIMAL ID:

Witness 1 M F DOB ADDRESS RESIDENCE PHONE #: BUSINESS PHONE #

Witness 2 M F DOB ADDRESS RESIDENCE PHONE #: BUSINESS PHONE #

Witness 3 M F DOB ADDRESS RESIDENCE PHONE #: BUSINESS PHONE #

Witness 4 M F DOB ADDRESS RESIDENCE PHONE #: BUSINESS PHONE #

Harley Tan/Wht M 3(? 219461 ok A409539

Pitbull
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-142764
AÇO name & Badge: Klein 1926

On March 5, 2014 I, Investigator Klein was assigned a dangerous dog assessment on a Pit bull known as Harley belonging to Courtney Glanz.

While conducting a history search I found seven previous complaints regarding Harley. Each complaint alleged that Harley was at large and behaving aggressively. Three of the complaints were made by the Tucson Police Department (TPD) requesting assistance from the Pima County Animal Care Center (PACC). I found three of those complaints resulted in Harley being impounded and brought to PACC. The most recent impound was on March 4, 2014 and Harley was still being held at PACC pending this investigation.

On March 9, 2014 I went to Harley's kennel at PACC. I was unable to open the kennel door or enter the kennel due to Harley's aggressive behavior. I took photographs of Harley through the chain link kennel. I have visited Harley on several occasions and have observed the same aggressive and unsafe behavior towards myself and others who pass by his kennel.

While conducting neighbor interviews I was informed that Harley has been at large on several occasions and was acting aggressively. There have been neighbors who were unable to get out of their vehicles while in their own driveways because Harley was barking, growling and lunging at the vehicle doors. One neighbor stated he had to jump into the back of his own truck when Harley charged at him to avoid being attacked.

Another neighbor stated he was able to get inside of his house to safety before Harley "got him". Harley has been seen charging, lunging, growling and running after several neighbors and one dog that was being walked on leash by it's owner. I was also shown video and photographs showing Harley at large with hackles raised in a aggressive stance.

On March 9, 2014 I went to: ___ and met with Sheila Glanz. As soon as she opened the front door a Chihuahua ran out of the open door and to the end of the driveway. It took her several minutes to get the dog back inside. After the Chihuahua was placed back inside of the house I explained
that I was conducting a dangerous dog assessment on Harley.

Sheila said she is Courtney's mother and Courtney is the owner of Harley. She said Courtney was in the process of moving to a different house. I asked to see the current confinement where Harley was being kept. Sheila allowed me into the back yard. The back yard is confined by a wall that ranges from 5 feet to 8 feet in height. There is a chain link pen that is quite large. It was partially covered by a blue tarp. There is also a chain link kennel run on the covered patio. This kennel run is covered by chain link that is attached and secured to the top of the kennel. The kennel was not secured or attached to the cement patio. I did not find a lock on any of the gates on the property.

When I asked Sheila how Harley was getting out of the yard she told me he was jumping out. That is why they raised the wall in the front and added the chain link pen. She said Harley was still getting out so they added the kennel run on the patio and covered it like the officers from PACC told them to do. I asked why they did not have locks on any of the gates. Sheila said they were never told they had to do that. She said that she believes that is why Harley got out again.

Courtney Glanz arrived shortly after I met with Sheila Glanz. I explained that I am conducting the dangerous dog assessment on Harley, the process and probability that Harley will be declared dangerous. Courtney stated that she is moving today and her new town home has a 12-18 foot tall walled in yard that Harley can not escape from. She showed me photographs on her cell phone and stated I can come and inspect it at anytime.

I explained that the full order of compliance will have to be done before Harley is released from PACC if he is declared dangerous. I also explained that while conducting neighbor interviews I saw photographs showing how aggressive Harley is while at large in the cul de sac. I also learned of three incidents where Harley charged after and chased neighbors in an aggressive manner.

Courtney stated Harley is not aggressive. Courtney said she has had Harley for 3 years. During the first 2 years Harley lived in Rita Ranch with Courtney, her ex husband and his children and never showed any signs of aggression. She said Harley has been living with her mother on Banshee for just over a year. She believes that the reason Harley is escaping is because he is confused and misses Courtney. I explained to Courtney that I do not believe the behavior that Harley has displayed is due to his emotional attachment to Courtney for several reasons. Courtney was not present when the previous 7 complaints where made and Harley has lived at the Banshee address for over one year. I explained that separation anxiety is highly unlikely given that Courtney and Harley have not lived together in over a year. She said she got divorced and then had to move into an apartment and that is why Harley is living at the Banshee address but he will now be able to live with her at her new townhouse.

Courtney said she has spent over $8000 to make improvements to the confinement on in an effort to keep Harley confined. She added that the only reason a lock was not put on the newest kennel run is because the
PACC officer told Sheila they did not need one.

Courtney believes that is why Harley got out this time. Courtney also said that Harley has not hurt anyone yet and therefore he should not be declared dangerous.

I explained in depth that Harley has shown a propensity, tendency and disposition to bite attack, charge chase in an aggressive manner. He has also proven that he is a great challenge to confine regardless of latched gates, covered kennel runs or heightened walls. And that it is my responsibility as a representative of PACC to ensure that Courtney understands the depth of Harley's behavior and that public safety is a concern. Courtney stated it is not a problem because Harley has not hurt anyone and he just needs to live with her because he never acted like this when she had possession of him.

On March 16, 2014 I met with Courtney at her new property.

There are three patio's connected to the home. The middle patio would be adequate confinement for the dangerous dog compliance. The wall is 12-14 feet tall on three sides. The fourth side is at least 18 feet tall. This patio is secure and there are no areas where Harley could climb up or out. I took photographs of this patio. Courtney understands that this would be the only approved out door area. However this area would require a heating and cooling system to provide adequate shelter if Harley will be left out in this area for any amount of time.

I explained the score sheet and told Courtney that Harley will score more than 10 points and will be declared dangerous. She will file a request for a hearing. Courtney asked if Harley will have to be muzzled when she takes him for training. I told her yes, there are no exceptions as when the muzzle is worn. She asked how he can go to training wearing a muzzle. I again explained there are no exceptions if he is declared dangerous. Courtney stated on this day that she believes the reason that Harley started getting out of the yard on Banshee was because he saw the Chihuahua in the unfenced front yard.

On March 17, 2014 I served Courtney Glanz with the declaration of dangerous and order of compliance on Harley and explained that Harley scored +24 points. An animal that scores 10 points or higher shall be deemed dangerous. Courtney has requested a hearing against the declaration of dangerous and she understands that the neighbors who experienced Harleys aggressive behavior will attend the hearing.

I have scheduled the hearing to be held on March 25, 2014.

Officer's Signature: [Signature]

Date: 3-19-14

E. Klein
PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 748-7560 FAX (520) 748-8381
www.pimaanimalcare.org 243-5900

CASE NO: A14-1427164
OWNER: COUNTESS ELANZ
ANIMAL NAME: HARLEY A41691039

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:
NON-VIOLATION BITE +3
VIOLATION-BITE +6

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:
(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)
NO BREAK IN SKIN +1
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING +2
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED) +3
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT +4
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM +4
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION) +5

Animal Complaints or Violations:
LEASH LAW CITATIONS +2
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS +1
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS +2
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS +3
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS +1

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:
ATTACK WITH NO INJURY +1
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER +2
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits) +3
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS) +4
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH +5

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)
(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)
SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES - 5 +5
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES +5

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:
REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT - 3
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED /SPAYED - 1
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION +1
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT +5
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC. NO 2194161 - 1 +5
NO CURRENT LICENSE +1
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION +1

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):
(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)
ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE - 3
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE - 3
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <=5YRS +1
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >5YRS +2
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE +2

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)
ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY +2
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE - 2
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR +1

Previous Address had a wall that ranged from 5 feet to 8 feet tall. Inside of the wall is a large kennel run that is not covered. A second kennel run was placed on the covered patio.

General Comments:

THE KENNEL RUN IS COVERED WITH CHAIN LINK THAT HAS BEEN TIED OVER THE KENNEL RUN.

Despite these efforts Harley has been seen at large behaving in an aggressive and threatening manner.

Harley is deemed dangerous.

Total Score: 94

dangerous
not dangerous

A Score of ten points or higher shall be deemed a dangerous animal.

We have determined that your dog displays a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, or attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner or bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.160. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.

PACC-DD1
### INVESTIGATION REPORT

#### Pima County Health Department

**Pima Animal Services**

400 E. 4th Ave.

Tucson, AZ 85701

**Phone:** (520) 586-7400

**Fax:** (520) 586-7660

www.pimaanimals.org

---

**Suspect:**

Mimi Aminta Cabrera Garcia

**ACO Name / Badge #:**

C. Meek 2015

**Complaint Number:**

A13-136581

---

**Suspect’s Address:**

303 N. 2nd St.

TUC 85701

AZ

**Residence Phone #:**

Business Phone #:

---

**Location of Incident:**

1202/13

1651

1202/13

1930

**Date and Time Reported:**

12/20/13

12/20/13

**Date and Time Received:**

12/20/13

12/20/13

---

**FOOD / WATER / SHELTER / INJURY / VENTILATION / ABANDONED / SEIZED / BEATEN / WASTE / OTHER:**

---

**VICTIM / COMPLAINTANT NAME:**

Frank Logan

**Residence Phone No.:**

**Business Phone No.:**

---

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (OF APPLICABLE):**

- 

**Address and Phone Number Same As Victim:**

- 

**Relationship to Victim:**

- 

**Phone Number:**

---

**Lawful Representative Address:**

**Clinic’s Address:**

**Quarantine:**

19

15

45

180

- 

**3rd Party Citations:**

- 

**Previous Violations:**

- 

**Previous Case Number:**

---

**Breed/Description:**

Victim / Owner Animal

**Animal’s Name:**

Dolly

**Color:**

Blue/Wht

**Sex:**

F

**Age:**

F

**Tag:**

**License #:**

**Veterinarian #:**

**Cited:**

- 

**Ok:**

**Complaint:**

---

**Witness 1:**

M | F | DOB | Address | Residence Phone | Business Phone

---

**Witness 2:**

M | F | DOB | Address | Residence Phone | Business Phone

---

**Witness 3:**

M | F | DOB | Address | Residence Phone | Business Phone

---

**Witness 4:**

M | F | DOB | Address | Residence Phone | Business Phone
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A13-136581

ACO name & Badge: C. Meek 2015

On 12/02/13 at 1857 hours I Officer Meek badge number 2015 responded to meet with Tucson Police Department (T.P.D.) in reference to an animal attack complaint. I arrived at the address and did not meet with T.P.D. but was able to meet with the complainant Mr. Frank Lopez.

Mr. Lopez stated that he was out walking to the convenience store at approximately 1830 hours when the dog residing at was able to get out of the yard and attack him. Mr. Lopez stated that he was not bitten in the attack but he was scratched along his back. Mr. Lopez also advised me that he was attacked by the same dog approximately a week earlier and received more scratches. Mr. Lopez did show me a scratch on his rib cage which I photographed. I did not see any other wounds on Mr. Lopez during my interview with him.

Mr. Lopez stated today the dog attacked him to the point where he ran into the yard in an attempt to get away from the dog and when the dog followed him into the yard he had to jump the fence and slam the gate shut in order to confine the dog. I asked Mr. Lopez if he would like citations issued on his behalf. Mr. Lopez advised me that he would like citations issued.

I proceeded to and was able to meet with the dog owner Ms. Mirna Garcia. I advised Ms. Garcia of the complaint of which she had no idea. I advised Ms. Garcia that the attack happened at approximately 1830 hours and Ms. Garcia stated her dog, a Pit Bull named Dolly, was inside the residence at that time. I explained to Ms. Garcia that the complainant described her exact dog. When I arrived at the residence Dolly was confined to a wire crate inside the residence. I advised Ms. Garcia that I would be issuing her citations and Ms. Garcia provided me with her AZDL.

I then issued Ms. Garcia a total of four citations which included leash law, attempt to bite, no license and no rabies vaccination. I advised Ms. Garcia that with the citations she would need to appear in court and I provided her with the date. Ms. Garcia stated she understood her need to appear and signed her copy of the citations.
I did observe the front gate of Ms. Garcia's residence to be in a state of disrepair. I asked Ms. Garcia if that was where Dolly could have escaped from. Ms. Garcia stated it was possible but that Dolly only goes out for a short period of time to only use the restroom and then returns indoors. I advised Ms. Garcia in order to avoid further citations she should take Dolly out on leash until she is able to repair the gate. Ms. Garcia stated she understood.
DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

_____ An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

_____ An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

X An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

The dog "Dolly" was declared vicious by Tucson City Court judge on 3-17-2014.

OWNER: Mima Garcia
ADDRESS: 
PHONE: 

ANIMAL NAME: Dolly
ANIMAL ID#: A4634838
SEX: F COLOR: Blue BRED: Pitbull mix

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICATION’S ORDINANCE / CODE.

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

**Pima County Health Department**
**Pima Animal Services Center**
**4000 N. 26th St.**
**Tucson, Arizona 85712**
**Phone: (520) 824-5600**
**Fax: (520) 243-5800**
**www.pimaanimalservices.org**

---

**Suspect:**
Narayan C. Conroy
**ACO Name/ID:**
Downing #1923
**Complaint Number:**
A12-091730

---

**Suspect’s Address:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ZIP</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>PHONE NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tucson</td>
<td>AZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Suspect’s Business Address:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ZIP</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>PHONE NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tucson</td>
<td>AZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Identification and Contact Information:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME</th>
<th>ZIP</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yuri Maldonio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Residence Phone No.:**

**Business Phone No.:**

---

**Location of Incident:**

**Date and Time Reported:**
03-06-12 / 1642
**Date and Time Occurred:**
3/6/2012 / 16:42

---

**Food, Water, Shelter, Injured/Panicking:**

- Abandoned
- No
- Other

---

**Victim/Complainant Name:**
Yuri Maldonio
**Residence Phone No.:**

**Business Phone No.:**

---

**Name of Lawful Representative (If Applicable):**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (OF APPLICABLE)</th>
<th>ZIP</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Address and Phone Number Same As Victim:**

**Relationship to Victim:**

**Phone Number:**

---

**Lawful Representative Address Same:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS SAME</th>
<th>CLINIC’S ADDRESS</th>
<th>QUARANTINE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3rd Party Citations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Victim or Lawful Representative Signature:**

**Breed/Description:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BREED/DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>ANIMAL’S NAME</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>TAG</th>
<th>LICENSE #</th>
<th>VET Certificate #</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>Animal ID#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airsdale Terrier</td>
<td>Conor</td>
<td>Blk/Tan</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>L13022168</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td>A1349712</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**WITNESS 1:**

- M
- F
- DOB
- Address
- Residence Phone No.
- Business Phone No.

---

**WITNESS 2:**

- M
- F
- DOB
- Address
- Residence Phone No.
- Business Phone No.

---

**WITNESS 3:**

- M
- F
- DOB
- Address
- Residence Phone No.
- Business Phone No.

---

**WITNESS 4:**

- M
- F
- DOB
- Address
- Residence Phone No.
- Business Phone No.
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A12-091730

ACO name & Badge: Carver #1901

On 3-8-12 at 1700 hours, Investigator Eckelbarger #1942 met with bite victim, Yuri Makinto at the Pima Animal Care Center in response to a notice left at her property. She stated that on 3-2-12 at approximately 0915 hours she was in her front yard with her dog on a leash getting ready to take her dog on a walk when her neighbor's dog "Conor" got loose from ayhurst St and ran over to her yard, and tried to attack her dog. Ms. Makinto stated when the dog was charging at her, she picked up her dog and Conor jumped up on her biting her upper right arm causing severe bruising and a puncture. She stated that during the attack she fell down on the ground and got several scratches on her body including her left hip, left side, and right shoulder.

Ms. Makinto took photographs of her wounds on the day the incident occurred and provided Investigator Eckelbarger with copies of those photographs which he scanned into the activity. He also took a photograph of the bite wound to her right upper arm and attached that to the activity.

Ms. Makinto stated the dog owner told her that a cleaning woman had opened the front door and that is how the dog got out loose. Ms. Makinto stated that when the incident occurred, the dog owner was present and in her own front yard at . Ms. Makinto stated that this is not the first time she has had issues with her neighbor's dog; stating that just the previous week she saw the same dog at large running around. Ms. Makinto stated approximately 1 year ago a similar incident also occurred with Conor; stating the dog got out loose and charged after her and her dog. Ms. Makinto did not request citations for this incident but I advised that she does have up to one year to change her mind.

A health check was performed on the dog Conor by Officer Downing on 3-13-12. At which time the dog was found to be healthy and free of any signs of rabies.

On 2-15-13 at 1030 hours Officer Downing, #1923, spoke with Yuri Makinto, who was the complainant from the above mentioned bite case. She had called in a complaint about the dog being out and off leash 3 times since the incident. He advised her that the dog owner would be advised of the complaints. The dates the dog was at large were 4/29/12, 9/12/12 and 2/14/13. She also stated that she wishes to pursue the case from last year by issuing citations for that incident.
On 2-25-13 at 1145 hours I, Officer Carver #1901, met with Noreen B. Conroy at her residence of   . I explained to Ms. Conroy that I was there regarding the incident that occurred on March 2nd of 2012 and the victim was now requesting citations to be issued. Ms. Conroy became irate stating that there was no bite and no injury and that the victim just had it out for Conor. I reiterated that the victim had up to 1 year to request citations and that I was here to issue those citations. I then requested Ms. Conroy to provide me with a form of identification which she did by way of an Arizona driver's license. I then issued citations for biting animal and leash law violation. JC#1901

Officer's Signature: [Signature] Date: 02/25/2013
COMPLAINT #: A14-144194
OFFICER #: A142 Edgeboper
DATE: 3-26-14

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 243-5800, option 3 FAX (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

____ An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

____ An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

X An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

The dog "Conor" was declared vicious by Tucson City Courts on 3-24-14.

OWNER: Noreen Connah
ADDRESS: __________________________
PHONE: ____________________________

ANIMAL NAME: Conor
ANIMAL ID#: A134972
SEX: M COLOR: Brindle BREED: Airedale Terrier

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE.

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Animal Care & Control
400 N. Martin
Tucson, AZ 85702
Phone: (520) 243-2400
www.pimaanimals.org

SUBJECT
Charles Paiz

ACO NAME / BADGE #
1942 Eckerberger

COMPLAINT NUMBER
A14-143224

INJURED DATE & TIME
3-11-14 / 1902

FARE / WELFARE / DANGEROUS / OTHER

CODE IF APPL. ATTEMPT TO HITE

ZIP: CITY: TUCSON: AZ

STATE: BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER:

SEX: WEIGHT: HEIGHT: EYES: HAIR COLOR: ORIGIN:

DOB: SSN:

LOCATION OF INCIDENT

DATE AND TIME REPORTED
3-11-14 / 1902

DATE AND TIME OCCURRED
3-11-14 / 1530

FOOD / WATER / SHELTER / INJURED / WELFARE / ABANDONED / TIED OUT / BEATEN / WASTE / OTHER (EXPLAIN)

I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case.

I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

REQUEST / WAIVER exemption per A.R.S. § 16-4405 (D) and § 16-2098 (D)

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE

OF APPLICABLE

LEGAL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS

3RD PARTY CITATIONS

CITING ACO: 1942 Eckerberger

PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS

PREVIOUS CARE NUMBER

OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

REVIEWED BY

YES □ NO □

3-11-14 / 1902

CITATION/VIOLATED

4-7-2 (C,N,Y, 4-87, 4-91, 4-76

CITATION NUMBERS

72158 (A-10), 72564 (A-10), 72565 (A-10)

BREED / DESCRIPTION

VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL

VICTIM / OWNER

VICTIM / OWNER

VICTIM / OWNER

VICTIM / OWNER

ANIMAL'S NAME

Luna

Starla

Edgar

D-Day

Dulce

COLOR

White/Black

White

White

Black/White

White/Tan

SEX

F

F

M

M

F

AGE

A

yr

yr

yr

yr

LICENSE #

Cited

Cited

Cited

Cited

Cited

COLOR

TAG

Cited

Cited

Cited

Cited

Cited

VX CERTIFICATE #

Ok

Ok

Ok

Ok

Ok

EID

A14-143224

A14-143373

A14-143373

A14-143373

A14-143373

ANIMAL ID#
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-143224

ACO name & Badge: 1942 Eckelbarger

On 3-11-14 at 1635 hours, I Investigator Eckelbarger (1942) responded to the scene where I observed 4 white and black small dogs alongside a white with black spots Pit-bull mix, all of which were roaming out loose and were charging at a neighbor trying to bite. I then chased the dogs back to their property at where they were charging and trying to bite me. The momma adult pit-bull mix was extremely aggressive. I observed the 4 smaller dogs going in and out underneath holes in the front yard fencing. I then called for assistance for impoundment as when I attempted to impound one dog the others would sneak up behind me and try to bite.

While waiting for assistance I observed a juvenile walking home from school and the white momma pit-bull and smaller dogs charged across the street at him and the momma dog bit his pants leg, no break in the skin. He had to jump a fence to get away from the attacking dog. He then left before I could get his information.

Officer Fish (1995) then responded on scene and we then impounded the pit-bull momma dog first. One of the smaller dogs ran away down an alley, but the other 3 smaller dogs were still able to get out loose. Due to public safety I cut the padlock on the front yard lock to gain access to the smaller dogs for impoundment. (Took photograph before and after cutting lock). We then impounded the 3 smaller dogs as well. I received no answer at the door, posted notice of impoundment and dangerous dog notice.

I then responded to the complainant's address at where I met with Gloria Weinberg who stated on 3-11-14 at 1530 hours she was coming home and shutting her front gate when the large white pit-bull and smaller dogs charged up to her knocking her to the ground attempting to bite her. She stated she injured her left arm and was swollen. Complainant requested citations for the incident. I advised to let the Pima Animal Care Center know if she goes to the doctor for any treatment. I took a photograph of her arm as well.

At 1830 hours the dog owner, Charles Piaz ( ) came home and
called in response to the notice posted. I then met with Mr. Piaz and advised of the complaint and that his dogs were getting out loose. Mr. Piaz stated he was unaware the dogs could get out loose. He stated that he found the momma dog Luna 1 year ago after she was abandoned in a lot next to his home. He stated Luna was pregnant and had puppies and that the four puppies are here.

He had no proof of license or rabies vaccination on Luna and no license on the four puppies. I then cited Mr. Piaz for biting animal-attempt to bite, leash law, no license, and no rabies vaccinations on Luna (momma dog) and advised she would be held at the Pima Animal Care Center pending a dangerous dog evaluation. I also cited Mr. Piaz for leash law and no license on the four puppies; Starla, Edgar, D-Day, and Dulce all under City jurisdiction. Mr. Piaz then signed and received his copies of the citations.

I then allowed him to keep the puppies at his property inside the house until he can fix his confinement.

Officer’s Signature: [Signature]

Date: 3-12-14

Case: H(3)
**Case No:** A14-143373  
**Owner:** Charles Paz  
**Animal Name:** Luna

**Evaluation Criteria:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reported Bites:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Non-violation bite | +8  
| Violation bite | +6 |

**Severity of Injury to Humans:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| No break in sign | +1  
| Break in sign or bruising | +2  
| Medical care (released) | +3  
| Multiple bites—single incident | +4  
| Bite down and choke victim | +4  
| Medical care (hospitalization) | +5  

**Animal Complaints or Violations:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Leash Law Citations)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Leash law complaints | +2  
| Attempted bite citations | +3  
| Other citations for complaints | +1|

**Severity of Injury to Animals:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Attacked with no injury)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Injuries treated by owner | +2  
| Vet care (1 to 3 visits) | +3  
| Extensive vet care (3+ visits) | +4  
| Injuries resulted in death | +5|

**Confinement / Fence:**

The backyard consists of fencing approximately 3-4 feet high with two paled gates. The backyard downstairs of fencing with ranging heights between 4-7 feet tall with one gate.

**General Comments:**

The dog Luna scored a +15 and is therefore declared dangerous at this time.

**Total Score:** +15

**Address:**  
**Sex:** F  
**Breed:** Pitbull mix  
**Color:** White/Black

**Confinement Measures:** (Check one factor only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Secure fence/wall and gates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate fencing or gates</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Owner Accountability / Responsibility:**

| Repaired deficient confinement | -3  
| Animal is neutered/spayed | -1  
| Owner aware of any aggression | +1  
| Owner failed to repair confinement | +1  
| Currently licensed | -1  
| No current license | +1  
| No current rabies vaccination | +1 |

**Neighbor Comments** (Scored by Majority Opinion):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Animal never observed at large | -3  
| Animal not observed aggressive | -3  
| Animal observed at large <60 yr | +1  
| Animal observed at large >60 yr | +2  
| Animal observed being aggressive | +2 |

**Dogs Behavior:** (If Observed by Officer)

| Animal behaves aggressively | +2  
| Animal not aggressive | -2  
| Animal shows unsafe behavior | +1 |

**Officer:**  
**Score of Ten Points or higher shall be deemed a Dangerous Animal**

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite, attack, chase, or engage in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner. The owner has ten (10) days in the city, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>INVESTIGATION REPORT</strong></th>
<th><strong>SUBJECT</strong></th>
<th><strong>ACO NAME / BADGE #</strong></th>
<th><strong>COMPLAINT NUMBER</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pima Court Health Department</td>
<td>Renee Salomon</td>
<td>1942 Eckalbarger</td>
<td>A14-143673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pima Animal Care &amp; Control</td>
<td>400 N. Dale St.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tucson, AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone: (520) 298-6611</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax: (520) 298-6610</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://www.pmaanimalearner.org">www.pmaanimalearner.org</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>DO # 5</strong></th>
<th><strong>SUBJECT ADDRESS</strong></th>
<th><strong>ZIP</strong></th>
<th><strong>CITY</strong></th>
<th><strong>STATE</strong></th>
<th><strong>RELEVANT PHONE NUMBER</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tucson</td>
<td>AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>SUBJECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS</strong></th>
<th><strong>ZIP</strong></th>
<th><strong>CITY</strong></th>
<th><strong>STATE</strong></th>
<th><strong>BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>**DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? **</th>
<th><strong>YES NO</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>LOCATION OF INCIDENT</strong></th>
<th><strong>DATE AND TIME REPORTED</strong></th>
<th><strong>DATE AND TIME OCCURRED</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-14-14 / 0902</td>
<td>3-14-14 / 0830</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>FOOD</strong></th>
<th><strong>WATER</strong></th>
<th><strong>SHELTER</strong></th>
<th><strong>INJURED/ILL</strong></th>
<th><strong>VENTILATION</strong></th>
<th><strong>ABANDONED</strong></th>
<th><strong>TIEOUT</strong></th>
<th><strong>BEATEN</strong></th>
<th><strong>WASTE</strong></th>
<th><strong>OTHER</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>WHO IS THE VICTIM/COMPLAINANT?</strong></th>
<th><strong>DATE OF BIRTH</strong></th>
<th><strong>PHONE NUMBER</strong></th>
<th><strong>BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dennie Lopez</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>WHO IS THE VICTIM'S ADDRESS?</strong></th>
<th><strong>ZIP</strong></th>
<th><strong>CITY</strong></th>
<th><strong>STATE</strong></th>
<th><strong>WHO IS THE VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS?</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tucson</td>
<td>AZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)</strong></th>
<th><strong>ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM</strong></th>
<th><strong>PHONE NUMBER</strong></th>
<th><strong>LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE</strong></th>
<th><strong>FOOTNOTE</strong></th>
<th><strong>DATE</strong></th>
<th><strong>RENEWED</strong></th>
<th><strong>VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>BREED/DESCRIPTION</strong></th>
<th><strong>VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL</strong></th>
<th><strong>ANIMAL'S NAME</strong></th>
<th><strong>COLOR</strong></th>
<th><strong>SEX</strong></th>
<th><strong>AGE</strong></th>
<th><strong>TAG COLOR</strong></th>
<th><strong>LICENSE #</strong></th>
<th><strong>VI CERTIFICATE #</strong></th>
<th><strong>CQDL</strong></th>
<th><strong>ANIMAL #</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cane Corso</td>
<td>Victim</td>
<td>Czar</td>
<td>Blue</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Expired</td>
<td>Current</td>
<td>Ok</td>
<td>A372672</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cane Corso</td>
<td>Victim</td>
<td>Zoe</td>
<td>Grey/White</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Impound</td>
<td>Current</td>
<td>Ok</td>
<td>A473729</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cane Corso</td>
<td>Victim</td>
<td>Hyper (Stinker)</td>
<td>Grey</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Smo</td>
<td>Impound</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Ok</td>
<td>A473734</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>WITNESS 1</strong></th>
<th><strong>WITNESS 2</strong></th>
<th><strong>WITNESS 3</strong></th>
<th><strong>WITNESS 4</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wayne Harris</td>
<td>Bennett Encinas</td>
<td>San Xavier Mission Ranger Elevado</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| | **DOB** | **ADDRESS** | **RESIDENCE PHONE #** | **BUSINESS PHONE #** |
| | | | | |
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-143673

ACO name & Badge: 1942 Eckelbarger

On 3-14-14 at approximately 0630 hours, three Cane Corso dogs came onto Dennis Lopez’ property at ___ and got inside his calf pen. Mr. Lopez’ property is in Tohono O’ Odham jurisdiction. Mr. Lopez stated he heard one of his calves crying out so he went outside to the pen and observed one of his calves dead and the three CaneCorsos inside the calf pen attacking the remaining two calves. He then began yelling at the dogs and hitting at the dogs with a pipe, which scared them back under the pen and away. He stated the 3 dogs then came back charging at the calves and he had to scare them away again. He then thought they left the area so he called his friend Wayne Norris over to watch his property while he attended to some business.

On 3-14-14 at approximately 0730 hours Wayne Norris was contacted by his friend Dennis Lopez, who asked him to come Mr. Lopez’ property to watch his calves after they were attacked by the 3 cane corsos. Mr. Norris stated when he responded to Mr. Lopez’ property he observed the three dogs back in the pen with the calves and one of them was attacking one of the calves on the side of the neck. He then started yelling at the dogs to try and scare them away and hit the dog on the back with a channel lock. The dog that was attacking at that time was one of the larger dogs with cherry eye. He stated the dog then backed away from the calf and walked away. The three dogs then walked away across the field.

Mr. Norris then parked at a house north of Mr. Lopez property to try and keep an eye on the dogs while also attempting to contact the police and ranger’s department. He stated the dogs then went back towards the calf pen of Mr. Lopez’ property again so Mr. Norris went back south to the property and hid from the view of the dogs. He stated the dogs got to about 15 feet away from the pen again before he scared them away another time. He stated the dogs then went east across a field (towards the general area where they live). They then went north towards the octopus car wash where they were hanging out near a wash area.

He stated he arrived near the wash area along with the rangers and stated that he saw one of the dogs lying down near a dead baby javelina. He stated the other two dogs were also just hanging around in the wash area. He stated
that the rangers then caught all three dogs. He stated this incident was the
only time he had seen these three dogs.

Mr. Lopez stated that he has seen these 3 same dogs at large at least 4 times
in the past. He also provided me with photographs of his calves taken the day
of the incident.

San Xavier Mission Ranger Eleondo (21R3) (21-140314010) brought the three
Cane Corsos (Zoey-A473729, Czar-A372472, Hyper-A473734) to the Pima
Animal Care Center to be held pending the dangerous dog case and wildlife
exposure quarantine.

On 3-20-14 I Investigator Eckelbarger (1942) declared the three dogs
automatically dangerous as a result of killing another domestic animal and
severely injuring two additional domestic animals. I interviewed witnesses
and received copies of the San Xavier Ranger reports. I then responded to the
dog owner’s address at
(City of Tucson
jurisdiction) where I met with dog owner, Renee Salomon and her husband
Sam Salomon. I then inspected their confinement which consisted of a block
wall approximately 6-7 feet tall from the outside of the wall and approximately
5-5.5 feet tall from the inside of the yard (varying depths of dirt/ground). The
east end of the backyard contains a pool area with separate fencing and the
west end is separate area where the dogs are reported to stay at. The two
sides are separated by a large gate with rod iron on top approximately 7-8 feet
tall. There is also a gate/door with a lock approximately 7 feet tall. Mr.
Salomon stated on the day of the incident the dogs were in the west end of the
backyard and that they had construction workers at the property. He stated
that when the construction workers opened the gate to go to the west end for
materials, the dogs pushed through, got out loose through an open gate on
the east side of the yard and ran off the property.

I took photographs of the confinement and of the door where the construction
workers reportedly opened the gate to get out loose. Mr. Salomon mentioned
that the dogs have gotten out loose previously because the construction
workers have left the gate open.

I gave the owner options for coming into compliance for temporary
confinement to include modifying a corner area of their west end yard. I then
advised that all three dogs were declared dangerous and issued Ms. Salomon
her copies of the declaration of dangerous, notice of disclosure, and orders of
compliance for each dog, 30 day compliance ending 4-20-14. Ms. Salomon
signed and received her copies of each form. I advised to call me when they
complete the confinement so I could come and inspect before redeeming Czar
and Zoey. I advised that Hyper-Stinker would have to remain at the Pima
Animal Care Center for 180 days due to reported wildlife exposure and not
having a current rabies vaccination.

After showing Mr. Salomon photographs of the dead calf and injured calves
he stated he does believe they did attack the animals. Mr. Salomon offered to
make payment to the calf owner, but I advised I would be unable to release the
complainant’s information to him.
It was also noted in Ranger Eleondo's report that all three dogs had dry blood around their mouths, chest, legs, and back.

The only reported history on the three dogs was a report of Czar getting loose and subsequently hit by a car on 3-20-12. The dog was returned back to the owner at that time.
DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

- An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

- An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

- An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

The dog "Stinker" is declared dangerous after attacking and killing one calf, and severely attacking two additional calves while in violation of the leash law.

OWNER: Renee Salomon
ADDRESS: 
PHONE: 

ANIMAL NAME: Stinker
ANIMAL ID#: A475134
SEX: M COLOR: BLUE BREED: Cane Corso

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE.

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.
DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

☐ An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

☐ An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

☐ An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

The dog "Zoey" is declared dangerous after attacking and killing one cat, and severely attacking two additional cats while in violation of the leash law.

OWNER: Renee Salama
ADDRESS: [Address Information]
PHONE: [Phone Number]

ANIMAL NAME: Zoey
ANIMAL ID#: A14-143572
SEX: F COLOR: [Color]
BREED: [Breed]

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE.

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.
DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

[ ] An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

[ ] An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

[ ] An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

The dog, Czar, is declared dangerous after attacking and killing one calf, and severely attacking two additional calves while in violation of the leash law.

OWNER: Renee Saloman
ADDRESS: 
PHONE: 

ANIMAL NAME: Czar
ANIMAL ID#: A473733 (A372477)
SEX: M, COLOR: Blue, BREED: Cane Corso

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE.

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

**Plma County Health Department**

400 N. Harbor Blvd.
Tucson, AZ 85705

**Phone:** (520) 243-8686
**Fax:** (520) 243-8636

**KEY**

- ACN NAME / BADGE #: Webster #2016
- COMPLAINT NUMBER: A13-133097
- DATE & TIME REPORTED: 10/11/13 / 0832
- DATE & TIME REPORTED: 10/10/13 / 1740
- WATER: YES
- SHED: YES
- INJURED/ILL: YES
- VENTILATION: YES
- ABANDONED: YES
- TRASH: YES
- BEATEN: YES
- WASTE: YES
- OTHER (EXPLAIN): Biting Animal

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)**

- NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE
  - Karen Miller

**VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME**

- Victim/Complaintant Name: Karen Miller
- Residence Phone No.: N/A
- Business Phone No.: N/A

**VICTIM'S ADDRESS**

- Victim's Address: N/A
- Zip: N/A
- City: N/A
- State: N/A

**VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS**

- Victim's Business Address: N/A
- Zip: N/A
- City: N/A
- State: N/A

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS**

- Lawful Representative Address: N/A
- Zip: N/A
- City: N/A
- State: N/A

**LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE**

- Lawful Representative Signature: N/A
- Owner: N/A
- Yes: N/A
- No: N/A

**ANIMAL'S NAME**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breed/Description</th>
<th>Animal's Name</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Tag Color</th>
<th>License #</th>
<th>Expiration Date</th>
<th>Certificate #</th>
<th>Card</th>
<th>Animal ID #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>German Shepherd</td>
<td>Riggs</td>
<td>Blk/Tan</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3y</td>
<td>L13-117388</td>
<td>exp 03/2014</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A289847</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German Shepherd</td>
<td>Hope</td>
<td>Blk/Tan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>16y</td>
<td>L13-125992</td>
<td>exp 03/2014</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A149723</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitbull</td>
<td>Chapo</td>
<td>Wh/Br</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2y</td>
<td>L13-195139</td>
<td>exp 03/2014</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A437601</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd mix</td>
<td>Bruce</td>
<td>Blk/Tan</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>9m</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>A458325</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WITNESS 1**

- Name: Jeff Miller
- Address: N/A
- Phone: N/A

**WITNESS 2**

- Name: Offer Rademaker #2019
- Address: N/A
- Phone: N/A

**WITNESS 3**

- Name: Al and Maria Amorim
- Address: N/A
- Phone: N/A

**WITNESS 4**

- Name: N/A
- Address: N/A
- Phone: N/A
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A13-133097

ACO name & Badge: Officer Webster #2016

On 10/28/2013 at 1230 hours, Officer Rademaker #2019 arrived at
in response to a complaint of 2 dogs attacking the resident's
dogs. Upon his arrival he met with the complainant Karen Miller who stated
that on 10/10/2013 at approximately 1730-1745 hours she, her husband Jeff
Miller and their young son were walking their 2 German Shepherds, "Riggs"
and "Hope". As they walked past
, they were charged at by
2 dogs, a white and brown Pitbull and a black and tan Shepherd mix. Both
dogs were running loose off leash, and there was no one with the dogs. She
told Officer Rademaker that there was a large melee involving several
neighbors. The attacking dogs were eventually driven away and her dogs did
not sustain any bite wounds. Ms. Miller recognized the dogs, and knew that
they lived at
. Officer Rademaker checked for other
activities at
and found that there were 2 dogs that lived at
that address, "Chapo" a white and brown Pitbull, and "Bruce" a black and tan
Shepherd mix that matched the description of the attacking dogs. He found
that the owner had been issued citations on 10/28/13 by myself, Officer
Webster #2016, for an incident that had happened on 10/10/2013 at 1730 hours
in which the same 2 dogs attacked a different dog on
. Ms. Miller
requested that citations be issued to the owner of the 2 attacking dogs for the
leash law violations and the attempted attack on her dogs.

On 10/30/13 at 1645 hours, I, Officer Webster arrived at
and met with the complainant Karen Miller. She repeated to me the same
events that she described to Officer Rademaker on 10/28/13. She requested
that citations be issued for the leash law violation for both dogs Chapo and
Bruce. She stated that Bruce was not involved in the attack on her dogs, but
that Chapo had attempted to attack both her dogs and requested that citations
be issued for both attacks.

I then went to
and met with the dog owner Maria
Sandidge. I explained to her that I was there to issue citations for a separate
incident that happened within a few minutes of the attack that she was issued
citations for on 10/28/13. I issued the citations as requested for the leash law
violation for both dogs, Chapo and Bruce. I also issued 2 citations for Chapo
attempting to attack both of the German Shepherds, Riggs and Hope. Ms.
Sandidge accepted and signed her citations and was given her court date,
time and location.
On 10/31/13 I received an email from Karen Miller that included the name of a witness to the attack on her dogs. I contacted the witness, Mr. Al Anzoldua at 1515 hours, on Pima Animal Care Center recorded phone line #243-5927. Mr. Anzoldua related the following information to me. He stated that on 10/10/2013 at approximately 1730-1745 hours, he and his wife Maria Anzoldua were walking their 2 dogs, Blanca a small terrier mix and Canela a dachshund on the sidewalk. They observed the 2 dogs, now known as Chapo and Bruce, running loose in the street. He stated that he saw Chapo crouch down and appeared to be stalking the Miller's and their 2 German Shepherds. He stated that Chapo then seemed to notice himself, his wife and their 2 dogs, and charged directly toward them. He and his wife picked up their 2 dogs, and Chapo lunged into the air after them and their dogs. They were able to turn around and shield their dogs to prevent them from being bitten. He said that Chapo then redirected his attention towards the Miller's dogs and again in a crouching, stalking position moved rapidly to attack them. He said there were several other people from the neighborhood present who were able to drive the dogs away. Mr. Anzoldua said that the dog Bruce was not involved in the attempted attack on his dogs, or in the attack on the Miller's dogs. He stated that he and his wife Maria are willing to testify in court regarding this event. Pictures of the dogs Chapo and Bruce are attached to this activity.

Officer's Signature: [Signature]

Date: 10/31/13
DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

- An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.
- An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.
- An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

On March 17, 2014, the judge in the City of Tucson Court ordered CHAPo to be declared vicious.
CHAPo is automatically deemed dangerous.

E. Klein

OWNER: M. SADIGE
ADDRESS: 
PHONE: 

ANIMAL NAME: CHAPo
ANIMAL ID#: A434781
SEX: M
COLOR: 
BREED: PIT

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE.

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
409 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85712
Phone: (520) 243-5500
Fax: (520) 243-5600
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUBJECT
Diane Lucille Stanzel

SUBJECT'S ADDRESS

ZIP CITY STATE RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER

ID NUMBER & BUSINESS ADDRESS
retired

ZIP CITY STATE BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER

SEX WEIGHT HEIGHT EYES HAIR COLOR ORIGIN

DATE OF INCIDENT DATE AND TIME REPORTED
2/24/14 / 0925 DATE AND TIME OCCURRED
2/24/14 / 1530

POLICE TELEPHONE NO.

FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURED/DULL VENTILATION ABANDONED TIED OUT BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)

VICTIM/COMPLAINT NAME
Annette Albrow

VICTIMS ADDRESS

ZIP CITY STATE

VICTIMS BUSINESS ADDRESS

ZIP CITY STATE

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

NAME/ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS

QUARANTINE

3RD PARTY CITATIONS CITING ACO

YES NO

COD/WHO VIOLATED

625.070 x 2, 8.15.010 civil

BREED/DESCRIPTION

VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL

ANIMALS NAME COLOR SEX AGE TAG COLOR LICENSE # TX CERTIFICATE # CODE ANIMAL ID

Terrier mix Coco Brn F Ad 089825 current ak A111313

WITNESS 1
Key Gould

M F DOB ADDRESS RESIDENCE PHONE # BUSINESS PHONE #

WITNESS 2

M F DOB ADDRESS RESIDENCE PHONE # BUSINESS PHONE #

WITNESS 3

M F DOB ADDRESS RESIDENCE PHONE # BUSINESS PHONE #

WITNESS 4

M F DOB ADDRESS RESIDENCE PHONE # BUSINESS PHONE #
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-141484
ACO name & Badge: Daniel Robledo #1990

On February 25, 2014 at 0925 hours, I Officer Robledo #1990 responded to a violation dog bite at address. Upon my arrival, I met with bite victim Annette Albrow and her husband. She explained that on February 9, 2014 at 1530 hours, Mrs. Albrow and her friend Kay Gould were walking their dogs on leashes around the neighborhood. Once they turned the corner from Mrs. Albrow's home, there was a lady and her dog in her golf cart unleashed driving around. As the lady stopped her golf cart, the dog jumped off the golf cart and ran after Mrs. Albrow's three dogs. As Mrs. Albrow tried to restrain the loose dog. She was bitten on her right forearm in doing so. The lady in the golf cart, Diane Stanzel, did not exit the golf cart to get her dog nor aid in the commotion. Mrs. Albrow went home and took her dogs to the Veterinarian to be checked out. The Veterinarian said there were no bite wounds on the dogs, but Mrs. Albrow had visible bite wounds on her forearm that she did not realize. I took a photograph of her forearm.

I explained the bite process to Mr. and Mrs. Albrow. I asked them and at this time Mrs. Albrow wants citations to be issued for biting animal and leash law to the dog owner. Mr. and Mrs. Albrow asked around and found out the location where the owner of the biter dog lives.

I went to address and met with dog owner Diane Stanzel. I explained to her why I was at her property. She knew what had happened and she believes that it was not her dog that bit Mrs. Albrow's forearm. I asked to see proof of license and rabies vaccination on her dog named Coco and everything was current. I explained that I would be issuing citations for biting animal and leash law on behalf of victim on her dog named Coco. Mrs. Stanzel understood and presented me her driver's licenses for identification. Mrs. Stanzel signed and received her copy. Quarantine date was over and biter dog appeared healthy.

I drove back to Mrs. Albrow's house for her to verify the biting dog. She identified the dog named Coco as the biter. I also advised her that the dog Coco is current on its vaccinations which made her feel a little bit better.

On February 4, 2014, I was advised that bite victim Annette Albrow called and would like dog owner Diane Stanzel to be also cited for (dog on dog) biting animal, for the attack on her dog. A dangerous dog evaluation was also requested to be done on her dog named Coco.

On February 4, 0912 hours, I arrived at Mrs. Stanzel's property and
explained to her that I would be issuing her another biting animal citation for the attack of her dog Coco onto Mrs. Albrow's dog. I also advised her that a dangerous dog evaluation will be done on her dog. Mrs. Stanzel understood and signed the other citation and received her copy. I also drove to Mrs. Albrow and explained what I did today. Mrs. Albrow and her husband were pleased.

Officer's Signature: 

Date: 3-5-14
PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 749-7550 FAX (520) 749-9661
www.pimaanimalcare.org

CASE NO: A14-142767
OWNER: Dane Steele
ANIMAL NAME: Coco

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:
NON-VIOLATION BITE + 3
VIOLATION BITE + 6

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:
(NO Check One Factor Only Per Victim)
NO BREAK IN SKIN + 1
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING + 2
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED) + 3
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT + 4
BIT DOWN AND SHOCK VICTIM + 4
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION) + 5

Animal Complaints or Violations:
LEASH LAW CITATIONS + 2
LEASH LAW VIOLATIONS + 1
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS + 2
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS + 3
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS + 1

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:
ATTACK WITH NO INJURY + 1
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER + 2
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits) + 3
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (9+ VISITS) + 4
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH + 5

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)
(Primary method of confinement at the time of the incident)
SECURE FENCE WALL AND GATES - 5
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES + 5

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:
REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT - 5
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED - 1
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION + 1
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT + 8
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC 13-0845325 - 1
NO CURRENT LICENSE + 1
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION + 1

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):
(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)
ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE + 3
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE + 3
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE + 5
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE + 5

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)
ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY + 2
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE - 2
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR + 1

Confinement / Fencing:
The dog owner has a block wall in back and approx 4 gated w/3 gates leading into the yard. It is the resident's yard and the dog the resident appears secure.

General Comments:
The dog Coco scored a 19 and is therefore deemed dangerous at this time.

TOTAL SCORE: 19

DANGEROUS
NOT DANGEROUS

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge. OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-18 / County Code 604.150.

The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.
ENFORCEMENT HOLDS

A13-134341
A14-143538
A14-143707
A14-144474
A14-144568
A14-144638
A14-144733
A14-144785
A14-144898
A14-145049
No Activity Number – A475440

VET HOLDS

A14-140793
A14-142232
A14-142417
A14-142783
A14-143220
A14-143869
A14-144240
No Activity Number – A467609
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HOLD TYPE ENFORCEMENT</th>
<th>Number on Hold: 24</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A13-134341</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K14-159245 A475066</td>
<td>DOG PEBBLES BOX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/5/14 OWNER SUR FIELD OWN ILL SEVERE Activity:A13-134341</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment: no bite / no chip</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VET HOLD WHEN ENFORCEMENT HOLD IS DONE.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/07/2014 VET</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When Enforcement Hold is over, Change to Vet Hold for Monitor of Weight and Weekly Photos Also Possible Repeat Rads. 00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASANCHE 4/7/14 15:08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| A14-143538            |
|-----------------------|-------------------|
| K14-156807 A473734    | DOG HYPER CANE CORSO |
| 3/14/14 QUARANTINE EXPOSURE AGGRESSIVE Activity:A14-143538 |
| Kennel Comment: no bite / NO CHIP |
| HOLD FOR DD ASSESSMENT 1911 |
| Hold for Wildlife exposure. 1642 |
| D043                  |

| A14-143707            |
|-----------------------|-------------------|
| K14-163792 A474655    | DOG RANCH BORDER COLLIE |
| 3/25/14 CONFISCATE FIELD INJ SEVERE Activity:A14-143707 |
| Kennel Comment: pos swc.00 |
| CLINIC                |

| A14-144474            |
|-----------------------|-------------------|
| K14-157746 A475330    | DOG CHUPI BORDER COLLIE / COCKER SPAN |
| 3/31/14 CONFISCATE CRUELTY INJ MINOR Activity:A14-144474 |
| Kennel Comment: no chip |
| CLINIC                |

| A14-144568            |
|-----------------------|-------------------|
| K14-157763 A475343    | DOG CHIQUITA CHIHUAHUA SH |
| 3/31/14 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-144568 |
| Kennel Comment: no bite / no chip |
| D033                  |

| A14-144568            |
|-----------------------|-------------------|
| K14-157754 A475342    | DOG GUANTE CHIHUAHUA SH |
| 3/31/14 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-144568 |
| Kennel Comment: no bite / no chip |
| D033                  |

| A14-144568            |
|-----------------------|-------------------|
| K14-157756 A475341    | DOG MOMO CHIHUAHUA SH |
| 3/31/14 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-144568 |
| Kennel Comment: no bite / no chip |
| D033                  |

| A14-144568            |
|-----------------------|-------------------|
| K14-157757 A475340    | DOG MABLEY PIT BULL / BASSET HOUND |
| 3/31/14 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-144568 |
| Kennel Comment: no bite / no chip |
| D053                  |

| A14-144568            |
|-----------------------|-------------------|
| K14-157757 A475339    | DOG GUCCI PIT BULL MIX |
| 3/31/14 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-144568 |
| Kennel Comment: no bite / no chip |
| D050                  |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VET HOLD WHEN ENFORCEMENT HOLD IS OVER. 00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>03/31/2014 VET ASANCHE 3/31/14 19:03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VET HOLD FOR MONITOR OF WEIGHT GAIN AND WEEKLY PICTURES. 00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K14-167778 A475336 DOG PINA PIT BULL MIX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/31/14 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-144568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment: no bite / no chip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VET HOLD WHEN ENFORCEMENT HOLD IS OVER. 00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/7/14 17:13 Page 1 of 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### A14-144638

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Breed</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Activity Code</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/1/14</td>
<td>DOG</td>
<td>PIT BULL</td>
<td>A14-144638</td>
<td>3C3C3C3C</td>
<td>Confiscated Field Own - Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/2/14</td>
<td>BIRD</td>
<td>CHICKEN</td>
<td>A14-144638</td>
<td>OSEWER</td>
<td>In tan cage, salley port to Ewers place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/2/14</td>
<td>BIRD</td>
<td>CHICKEN</td>
<td>A14-144638</td>
<td>OSEWER</td>
<td>In tan cage in salley port to Ewers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### A14-144733

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Breed</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Activity Code</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/2/14</td>
<td>DOG</td>
<td>MAX</td>
<td>A14-144733</td>
<td>D020</td>
<td>Confiscated Police - Aggressive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### A14-144785

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Breed</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Activity Code</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/3/14</td>
<td>DOG</td>
<td>CHIHUAHUA SH/</td>
<td>A14-144785</td>
<td>INTAKE01</td>
<td>Confiscated Night Own - Ill Minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/3/14</td>
<td>DOG</td>
<td>LABRADOR RETRIVER</td>
<td>A14-144785</td>
<td>D113</td>
<td>Confiscated Night Own - Inj Minor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### A14-144786

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Breed</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Activity Code</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/3/14</td>
<td>DOG</td>
<td>LABRADOR RETRIEVER</td>
<td>A14-144785</td>
<td>D113</td>
<td>Confiscated Night Own - Inj Minor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Additional Notes:
- 04/07/2014 VET - VET HOLD WHEN ENFORCEMENT HOLD IS OVER: TO MONITOR WEIGHT AND WEEKLY PHOTOS.
- 04/07/2014 VET - ASANCHE 4/7/14 13:51
- 04/07/2014 VET - VET HOLD WHEN ENFORCEMENT HOLD IS OVER FOR MONITOR OF WEIGHT AND WEEKLY PHOTOS.
- 04/07/2014 VET - ASANCHE 4/7/14 13:44
- 04/07/2014 VET - VET HOLD WHEN ENFORCEMENT HOLD IS OVER FOR MONITOR OF WEIGHT GAIN AND WEEKLY PICTURES.

### Additional Information:
- A14-144733 Kennel Comment: Did Not Scan - 3C 3C 3C 3C 3C
- A14-144785 Kennel Comment: 4/3/2014 - SEE ACTIVITY MEMO. 1929
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kennel No</th>
<th>Animal Code</th>
<th>Breed</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Kennel Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T000</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Turtle</td>
<td>Night</td>
<td>A14-144785</td>
<td>4/3/14</td>
<td>See Activity Memo. 1929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D027</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Golden Retriever Mix</td>
<td>Field</td>
<td>A14-144898</td>
<td>4/6/14</td>
<td>Unable to scan in field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V572</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Pit Bull Mix</td>
<td>Field</td>
<td>A14-145049</td>
<td>4/7/14</td>
<td>Did not bite, no chip found</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D084</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Pit Bull</td>
<td>Stray</td>
<td>A14-157832</td>
<td>4/1/14</td>
<td>Scanned no chip. See memo. On to do list. #2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOLD TYPE VET</td>
<td>Number on Hold</td>
<td>kennel no</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A14-140783</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**K14-153679**  
A303268  
**DOG CRUTCH QUEENSLAND HEEL**  
2/4/14  
CONFISCATE CRUELTY INJ SEVERE Activity:A14-140783  
Kennel Comment: left notice...3c...3c\ldots 3c see memo's re: vet hold.  
02/19/2014  
Pending OSC hearing. Bond paid. 1994  
SMONTAN 2/19/14 15:56

**A14-142232**  
**K14-155742**  
A472850  
**DOG MR BIG ROTTWEILER**  
3/5/14  
OWNER SUR OTC OWNED ILL MINOR Activity:A14-142232  
Kennel Comment: AWC -  
03/20/2014  
VET HOLD FOR SCABIES TREATMENT AND MONITOR OF WEIGHT GAIN.  
ASANCHE 3/20/14 17:09

**A14-142417**  
**K14-155512**  
A472003  
**DOG DOBBY PATCH POODLE MINI**  
2/27/14  
STRAY FIELD INJ SEVERE Activity:A14-142417  
Kennel Comment: no chip  
04/04/2014  
VET HOLD - hairloss  
DREEDER 4/4/14 16:45

**A14-142783**  
**K14-155619**  
A472755  
**DOG CHIHUAHUA SH**  
3/5/14  
CONFISCATE FIELD NORMAL Activity:A14-142783  
Kennel Comment:  
03/05/2014  
VET HOLD to monitor hair regrowth and weight gain.00  
ASANCHE 3/5/14 21:24

**A14-142783**  
**K14-155621**  
A472752  
**DOG CHIHUAHUA SH**  
3/5/14  
CONFISCATE FIELD NORMAL Activity:A14-142783  
Kennel Comment:  
03/05/2014  
VET HOLD to monitor hair regrowth and weight gain.00  
ASANCHE 3/5/14 21:33

**A14-142783**  
**K14-155626**  
A472777  
**DOG LABRADOR RETRIEVER**  
3/5/14  
CONFISCATE FIELD NORMAL Activity:A14-142783  
Kennel Comment:  
03/08/2014  
VET HOLD to monitor weight gain.  
ASANCHE 3/6/14 17:54

**A14-142783**  
**K14-155627**  
A472778  
**DOG CHIHUAHUA SH/CHIHUAHUA SH**  
3/5/14  
CONFISCATE FIELD NORMAL Activity:A14-142783  
Kennel Comment:  
03/08/2014  
VET HOLD to monitor weight gain.  
ASANCHE 3/6/14 18:37

**A14-142783**  
**K14-155634**  
A472781  
**DOG DARPHNE SHIH TZE MIX**  
3/6/14  
CONFISCATE FIELD NORMAL Activity:A14-142783  
Kennel Comment:  
03/09/2014  
VET HOLD to groom and monitor weight gain.  
ASANCHE 3/6/14 18:35

**A14-142783**  
**K14-155641**  
A472786  
**DOG CHIHUAHUA SH/MIX**  
3/5/14  
CONFISCATE FIELD NORMAL Activity:A14-142783  
Kennel Comment:  
03/08/2014  
VET HOLD to monitor weight gain.  
ASANCHE 3/6/14 17:51

4/7/14 17:13
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kennel no</th>
<th>DOG</th>
<th>BREED</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D041</td>
<td>A472783</td>
<td>DOG</td>
<td>LABRADOR RETRIEVER MIX</td>
<td>3/6/14</td>
<td>CONFISCATE FIELD NORMAL Activity: A14-142783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>03/06/2014</td>
<td>VET</td>
<td>VET HOLD to monitor weight gain.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D051</td>
<td>A473912</td>
<td>DOG</td>
<td>LUCKY PIT BULL MIX</td>
<td>3/16/14</td>
<td>OWNER SUR FIELD OWN UNDRADE/WT Activity: A14-143220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>03/18/2014</td>
<td>VET</td>
<td>VET hold for body weight and pictures weekly.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D072</td>
<td>A473914</td>
<td>DOG</td>
<td>WAPO TERRIER/MIX</td>
<td>3/16/14</td>
<td>OWNER SUR FIELD OWN UNDRADE/WT Activity: A14-143220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>03/18/2014</td>
<td>VET</td>
<td>VET HOLD FOR AWI BODY WEIGHT AND PHOTOS WEEKLY.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D049</td>
<td>A46407</td>
<td>DOG</td>
<td>CHARLES PIT BULL MIX</td>
<td>3/21/14</td>
<td>STRAY FIELD INJ SEVERE Activity: A14-143869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>03/21/2014</td>
<td>VET</td>
<td>VET HOLD to staple removal. BL/00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D062</td>
<td>A47879</td>
<td>DOG</td>
<td>TERRIER/</td>
<td>3/26/14</td>
<td>CONFISCATE NIGHT OWN NORMAL Activity: A14-144240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>04/04/2014</td>
<td>VET</td>
<td>VET hold to prealter on 4/8.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D062</td>
<td>A47880</td>
<td>DOG</td>
<td>TERRIER/</td>
<td>3/26/14</td>
<td>CONFISCATE NIGHT OWN NORMAL Activity: A14-144240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>04/04/2014</td>
<td>VET</td>
<td>hold to prealter on 4/8.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D048</td>
<td>A467809</td>
<td>DOG</td>
<td>JACK BOXER</td>
<td>1/22/14</td>
<td>STRAY OTC INJ SEVERE Activity:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>02/20/2014</td>
<td>VET</td>
<td>Fracture Repair.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ASANCHE 3/6/14 17:47
ASANCHE 3/18/14 17:58
ASANCHE 3/18/14 17:44
ASANCHE 3/21/14 17:56
ASANCHE 4/4/14 16:41
ASANCHE 4/4/14 16:37
ASANCHE 2/20/14 18:19
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donation Code</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DONATION</td>
<td>$26.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION ADOP</td>
<td>$87.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION GEN</td>
<td>$4,435.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION OTIS</td>
<td>$4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION OUTR</td>
<td>$416.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION S/N</td>
<td>$14,411.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION SAMS</td>
<td>$3,505.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$22,886.66</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Donation Activity

### Period: 7/1/2013 to 3/31/2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donation Code</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DONATION</td>
<td>$1,922.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION ADOP</td>
<td>$5,438.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION GEN</td>
<td>$32,433.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION OTIS</td>
<td>$4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION OUTR</td>
<td>$3,063.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION S/N</td>
<td>$120,984.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION SAMS</td>
<td>$38,792.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Grand Total**  
$202,639.32
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>March 5, 2014</td>
<td>Deanna Blacklock</td>
<td>Ms. Blacklock had both a commendation for dispatch and a complaint for licensing regarding customer service and phone etiquette for her recent contact with PACC on two different occasions.</td>
<td>Referred to the Licensing Supervisor for review of complaint.</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 6, 2014</td>
<td>Constituent</td>
<td>Continuation of a complaint of loose dogs at community events in the town of Ajo and a question if an Ajo Officer would attend such events to monitor compliance with the leash law.</td>
<td>Ajo staff is aware of and attends many of the events.</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 7, 2014</td>
<td>Lyn Hart &amp; Nancy Young Wright</td>
<td>Unclean and neglectful conditions at a Tucson area pet store.</td>
<td>Complaint set up for investigation by Enforcement.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 16, 2014</td>
<td>Constituent/ Mike Stanko</td>
<td>Barking dogs in the Three Points area.</td>
<td>Noise complaint initiated for Mr. Stanko.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 25, 2014</td>
<td>Constituent/ Kevin Boss</td>
<td>Mr. Boss made a complaint regarding two aggressive dogs and PACC's lack of response to his complaint and his follow-up calls to PACC. He intends to file a complaint through the chain of command to the County Administrator, Board of Supervisors and City Council.</td>
<td>An Enforcement Supervisor spoke to Mr. Boss. Mr. Boss relayed his complaint regarding poor customer service from PACC. The PACC Manager also spoke with Mr. Boss and offered direct investigation into his complaint. Mr. Boss indicated he would file a detailed complaint via the County commissioners.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 27, 2014</td>
<td>Constituent/Patricia Ashburn</td>
<td>Ms. Ashburn was bitten by a neighbor's loose dog. She was concerned regarding the conflicting information she has received regarding her bite case and the status of the dog that bit her and its rabies vaccination record.</td>
<td>An officer responded over two different days and met with the bite victim and dog owner.</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 27, 2014</td>
<td>Sandra Krause</td>
<td>Complaint as to why PACC would not respond to a loose dog on the Air Force Base.</td>
<td>Complainant was contacted by Enforcement Supervisor.</td>
<td>A patrol was set up for the area of the Swan Gate for DMAFB.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Some good some not so well received.

Jennifer, any idea who Raquel might be and any recommendation on a proposed response?

Kim

-----Original Message-----
From: mutnutty@cox.net [mailto:mutnutty@cox.net]
Sent: Wednesday, March 05, 2014 10:30 AM
To: Kim Janes
Subject: compliment and complaint...

Good morning Mr Janes!

I've had 2 occasions to contact PACC recently, with mixed "reviews". I called on February 23 to report a dead javalina on Tanque Verde and CA De Mauer and the gentleman that spoke with me was very helpful and pleasant, the way I expect people to treat each other in everyday situations.

I need to send in my payment for Duke's rabies tags and I called with a question and spoke with Raquel!" I wasn't so lucky today. I understand that people's jobs become routine to them but when they speak with someone that has questions they should try to answer them in a non-condescending way. When I had my question answered and thanked her for her time I was told "No problem." When did this become the replacement for "You're welcome"? I understand that this is a universal thing and you're not the "language police" but it makes me want to send a check for $16, instead of the usual donation that I send when I renew my dog's tags. Thank goodness the logical side of me has taken over and I didn't write the check right after I got off the phone with Raquel (did fill the form out though!), I just keep saying to myself "it's for the animals...."

I was also told that dogs over 10 can't procreate (which I find hard to believe....Tony Randall having a kid at 74 keeps coming to mind). A person only gets one chance to make a good impression.

Thank you so much for your time, I really appreciate it!

Sincerely,

Deanna Bleckrie
Michelle Moore

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From:</th>
<th>Kim Janes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sent:</td>
<td>Thursday, March 06, 2014 4:38 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To:</td>
<td>Jennifer Cabrera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cc:</td>
<td>Michelle Moore; Kiki Navarro; Anissa Ramirez; Francisco Garcia; Marcy Flanagan; Catrina Zahary; Debra Morrow; Donald Driver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject:</td>
<td>RE: PACC in Ajo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow Up Flag:</td>
<td>Follow up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flag Status:</td>
<td>Flagged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Categories:</td>
<td>Blue Category</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thank you Ms. Cabrera.

Kim

---

From: Jennifer Cabrera

Sent: Thursday, March 06, 2014 10:32 AM

To: Kim Janes

Cc: Michelle Moore; Kiki Navarro; Anissa Ramirez; Francisco Garcia; Marcy Flanagan; Catrina Zahary; Debra Morrow; Donald Driver

Subject: RE: PACC in Ajo

Kim,

Tracy Taft will be the one to discuss this issue with and she’ll be contacting you. She’s with ISDA (International Sonoran Desert Alliance).

Thanks,

Jenn Cabrera

Supervisor Sharon Bronson's Office

District 3

520-724-5051

---

From: Kim Janes

Sent: Thursday, March 06, 2014 9:22 AM

To: Jennifer Cabrera

Cc: Michelle Moore; Kiki Navarro; Anissa Ramirez; Francisco Garcia; Marcy Flanagan; Catrina Zahary; Debra Morrow; Donald Driver

Subject: RE: PACC in Ajo

Good morning Ms. Cabrera, though Ajo staff is aware of and does attend many such events now, who might we visit with over the list of all the events it would be beneficial to have staff at?

Respectfully,

Kim
From: Jennifer Cabrera  
Sent: Thursday, March 06, 2014 8:43 AM  
To: Kim Janes  
Cc: Michelle Moore; Kiki Navarro; Anissa Ramirez  
Subject: FW: PACC In Ajo

Good Morning Kim,

I need to ask one more question in regards to Ajo...

Deb Miller is now our contact for Ajo and the constituents are asking if Pacc will be able to be present at events in the plaza.

Deb's original correspondence in the email below:
"Also the community holds several events in the Plaza and often times people bring their pets. There is a large crowd of people including young children and many elderly residents who are not steady on their feet. Would it be possible to have a staff person attend the events when they are held in the plaza to make sure dogs are on leashes etc. Please let me know."

She is on her way to Ajo today for their monthly meetings. Please let me know.

Thank you,

Jennifer Cabrera  
Supervisor Sharon Bronson's Office  
District 9  
520-724-4091

From: Jennifer Cabrera  
Sent: Tuesday, February 11, 2014 12:16 PM  
To: Kim Janes  
Cc: Jan Lesher; Kiki Navarro; Debra Morrow; Catrina Zahary; Donald Driver; Debra Tenkate; Jose Chavez; Robert Hendrix; Steve Montano  
Subject: RE: PACC In Ajo

Good Afternoon Kim,

Deb Miller has now retired so any issues that she was handling I or Kiki will be taking over.

Thank you for the updates regarding Ajo.

The one major issue I see here is the suggestion to offer treats to an animal other than your own... this could be a major liability to the County. If the animal is allergic to whatever treat is being offered, ingests it, then becomes Ill or dies the owner could seek legal action against the County for giving this advice to the neighbor in the first place. OR if the person offering the treat is bit/harmed and faults the County for "instructing" them to give the animal a treat, it could be bad.

Thanks,

Jennifer Cabrera  
Supervisor Sharon Bronson's Office  
District 9  
520-724-4091
From: Kim Janes  
Sent: Tuesday, February 11, 2014 9:15 AM  
To: Deb Miller  
Cc: Jan Lesher; Kiki Navarro; Jennifer Cabrera; Debra Morrow; Catrina Zahary; Donald Driver; Debra Tenkate; Jose Chavez; Robert Hendrix; Steve Montano  
Subject: RE: PACC in Ajo

Good morning Ms. Miller, I have visited with Officer Morrow regarding this complaint and she reports PACC Ajo does use PACC policy for barking dogs to include complainants contacting the noise complaint officer in Tucson to lodge the complaint and to work through the process. According to those records, Ms. Lil Jones made only one complaint in Oct 2011 while Mr. Vasquez has made 11 complaints (2010 9-2011 1-2012). No complaints have been made in the last 21 months by either person. Mr. Vasquez was visited by the PCSO on the last complaint due to his actions towards the dog owner. We also send our local hearing officer and the noise complaint officer to Ajo to conduct noise hearings as necessary.

Additionally, PACC Staff Ajo would estimate that approximately 85% of the barking dog complaints are never officially filed due to fear that the dog owner would know who is making the complaint. As per policy, without an official complaint there is little we can do. Being a small town, we sometimes can resolve the problem by unofficially asking the dog owner if there is a resolution. Many times this will help but not always.

Regarding loose dogs our actions are varied depending on the circumstance. Of course a dog at the school is the highest priority followed by assisting the PCSO with a traffic problem. If the person calls about a loose dog and the sighting was 15 minutes ago and they are not on scene anymore we do not usually respond. When people corner us in public when we are not working and ask us what to do about a loose dog we ask them to take a picture of the dog with their phone and we can then contact the owner later and educate them as to the laws in Pima County.

Regarding the “biscuit” comment... When the winter visitors return to the neighborhood the local dogs may bark at them believing that they are intruders to the area that had been vacant for the past 5 months. We have suggested in the past that neighbors reacquaint themselves to the dog with a treat so the dog sees that there is no threat to the property.

The staff is willing to serve and those in Ajo extend themselves frequently to assist the residents at all times.

Respectfully,

Kim

From: Kim Janes  
Sent: Friday, January 31, 2014 10:18 AM  
To: Deb Miller  
Cc: Jan Lesher; Kiki Navarro; Jennifer Cabrera; Debra Morrow; Catrina Zahary; Donald Driver; Debra Tenkate; Jose Chavez; Robert Hendrix; Steve Montano  
Subject: RE: PACC in Ajo

Good morning Ms. Miller, I will address this directly and report back.

Respectfully,

Kim

From: Deb Miller  
Sent: Friday, January 31, 2014 10:05 AM  
To: Kim Janes  
Cc: Jan Lesher; Kiki Navarro; Jennifer Cabrera  
Subject: PACC in Ajo

Kim,

Our office has received some calls about the continuing problems of nuisance dogs in the town of Ajo. Nothing ever seems to be done about the barking dogs, dogs running loose etc.
All they are ever told is to take a picture of the animal in question, give the barking some treats etc., but nothing ever changes.

Also the community holds several events in the Plaza and often times people bring their pets. There is a large crowd of people including young children and many elderly residents who are not steady on their feet. Would it be possible to have a staff person attend the events when they are held in the plaza to make sure dogs are on leashes etc. Please let me know.

We also plan on asking each group who is sponsoring the event to notify residents that dogs are not allowed during special events to see if this will help.

As always thanks Kim and please let me know what can be done about the barking dog issue.

Deb Miller
Special Staff Assistant
Supervisor Sharon Bronson
District 3, Pima County
520-724-8051
Michelle Moore

From: Kim Janes
Sent: Friday, March 07, 2014 12:29 PM
To: Nancy Young Wright; lyn hart
Cc: mduffy@hssaz.org; Kari Nienstedt; Robert Hendrix; Michelle Moore
Subject: RE: pet shop abuse/neglect

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged
Categories: Blue Category

Thank you Ms. Young Wright and Ms. Hart, this complaint will be investigated as soon as possible.

Respectfully,

Kim Janes
Manager
Pima Animal Care Center

From: Nancy Young Wright [mailto:nyw1@earthlink.net]
Sent: Friday, March 07, 2014 12:21 PM
To: lyn hart; Kim Janes
Cc: mduffy@hssaz.org; Kari Nienstedt
Subject: Re: pet shop abuse/neglect

Hello:
Thank you so much for stepping forward with this information.
I am forwarding this to Kim Janes at Pima Animal Care. I believe the first step is for them to send an officer over to investigate.
We'll be in touch.
Thanks again,
Nancy Young Wright

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 7, 2014, at 9:56 AM, lyn hart <desertsonghart@gmail.com> wrote:

Hi Mike & Nancy,

Your names and email addresses were given to me by Kari Nienstedt.

I recently visited a Tucson pet shop on March 27th and was horrified by the unclean and neglectful conditions I encountered there. I would like to report what I saw and experienced to someone so the animals' condition and welfare can be investigated, improved and the the business fined and/or shut down.

Here are the details for the business:

Tropical Kingdom
The conditions I saw there included:

~dirty fish aquariums containing dead fish and live fish; the water in most tanks was cloudy/murky with large amounts of debris on the bottom, large amounts of slime growing on the glass and items within the aquarium together, and it was apparent that some of the dead fish had been left in the tanks for quite some time as they were in various stages of deterioration.

~fish in aquariums appearing very hungry, swimming aggressively to the front of the tanks & almost striking the glass when I walked by.

~dirty reptile aquariums; in one tank the water was so filthy it was an opaque butterscotch color with a live turtle swimming around, trying to find something to climb up on.

~dirty open avian area where the large birds are being kept; the enclosure was almost completely covered in bird excrement; there was so much excrement on the floor where customers were to walk that it was crunching underfoot.

~very strong fishy odor and a very strong and nauseating odor of unclean animal cages.

~the store in general had an unclean and untidy appearance; the conditions I described above seemed to worsen the further back into the store I went.

I did have friend with me who can verify what I've reported to you. Please don't hesitate to contact me via email or phone (531-8976) if you need to ask me any questions or need to talk to me further. I hope something can be done about this. Forcing any animals to live like this is completely unacceptable.

Thank you both for the work you are doing to end these kinds of incidents.

Lyn Hart

desertsong studio
handwoven tapestry

There is a voice that doesn't use words. Listen. Rumi
Michelle Moore

From: Kim Janes
Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2014 8:47 AM
To: Michelle Moore
Subject: FW: Three Points Reply

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged
Categories: Blue Category

Kim

From: Steve Montano
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2014 8:54 PM
To: Kim Janes; Kiki Navarro
Cc: Robert Hendrix; Debra Tenkate; Jeffery Carver; Kelli Saline
Subject: RE: Three Points Reply

Greetings:

I spoke to Mr. Stanko and initiated a noise compliant on his behalf (A14-143704).

From: Kim Janes
Sent: Tuesday, March 18, 2014 7:38 AM
To: Kiki Navarro
Cc: Robert Hendrix; Steve Montano; Debra Tenkate; Jeffery Carver
Subject: Re: Three Points Reply

Good morning, we will look into the barking dog complaint.
Respectfully,
K

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 17, 2014, at 11:06 AM, "Kiki Navarro" <Kiki.navarro@pima.gov> wrote:

Good morning all, please take a look at the email below from Mr. Stanko. He has road maintenance issues as well as PACC issues. If you could respond back to myself as well as Lt. Feldt we’d appreciate any help we can get. Thanks

Kiki Navarro
Supervisor Sharon Bronson’s Office
District 3
(520) 724-8051

From: Nicole L. Feldt <mailto:Nicole.Feldt@sheriff.pima.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 17, 2014 9:57 AM
Hi Kiki,

I wanted to forward Mr. Stanko’s email to you in hopes that you could possibly help him with some issues in their neighborhood in Three Points. Mr. Stanko calls 911 regularly for various things in Three Points and we have been helping him with those issues. The other items he noted, we don’t have any control over. Specifically, the roads not being maintained in his opinion, dumped tires and downed fencing on state property.

Could you please let me know if you are able to help with this.

Thanks and have a great day!

Nicole Feldt

From: Mike Stanko [mailto:mike_stanko@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, March 16, 2014 6:52 PM
To: Nicole L. Feldt
Subject: Three Points Reply

Hi Nicole, its been awhile since we talked or I have heard from you. Sorry it took so long for me to reply, but I wanted to be sure of my information. The traffic seems to speed through here between 4:15 pm and 6:30 pm. In addition, school busses go by really early in the morning, like around 5:30 am and again when school gets out. They sound light freight trains and wake me up. By the time I get out of bed they are gone. Nicole, I can’t understand why they are using Guy Street instead of Chumbler since most of the kids live on or around Chumblers. The only reason I can think of is that Guy street is in better shape as a road in most places.

The roads are suppose to be maintained by the county every 6 to 8 weeks. This is not the case and when they are done, they are not done right. As one individual told me "HIS JOB IS TO MAKE THEM LOOK GOOD NOT RIDE GOOD."

I DID CALL ANIMAL CONTROL ON THE DOGS BARKING NEXT DOOR. THEY ARE STILL BARKING. IN ADDITION, I CALLED THE STATE ABOUT THE TIRES ON STATE PROPERTY AND OF COURSE NOTHING HAS BEEN DONE. I ALSO TOLD THEM ABOUT DOWNED FENCING. I WAS ALSO TOLD BY A FRIEND THAT SINCE HE WAS HANDICAPPED, HE COULD ONLY HAVE 4 DOGS. WELL, THE PEOPLE NEXT DOOR TO ME WITH THE BARKING DOGS HAVE FIVE DOGS AND THEY ARE BOTH HANDICAPPED AND THEY ALSO HAVE A SON WHO IS HANDICAPPED. NOTHING IS BEING DONE.

who DO I HAVE TO CALL OR WHAT DO I HAVE TO DO TO GET ACTION OUT HERE OTHER THEN YOUR DEPARTMENT. IT SEEMS THAT YOU ARE THE ONLY ONES TRYING TO DO SOMETHING AND REALLY SEEM TO CARE.

mike_stanko@yahoo.com 520-940-1793
Michelle Moore

From: Kim Janes
Sent: Wednesday, March 26, 2014 3:20 PM
To: Francisco Garcia
Cc: Mary Ellen Yandow; Michelle Moore; Elaine Klein; Debra Tenkate; Jose Chavez; Robert Hendrix; Steve Montano; Michael Schlueter; Marcy Flanagan
Subject: RE: Phone Call Complaint - PACC

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged
Categories: Blue Category

Good afternoon Francisco, I called Mr. Boss at @ 3:10 p.m. 3/26/14 and, after he related his frustration with our lack of response, he reiterated with me his plans to send a detailed letter of his experience with us to several elected and appointed officials detailing exactly what he wants.

I offered for him to send it to me directly to allow me to fully investigate his concerns but he declined and said I would receive it from the County commissioners.

Staff will research all we have from Mr. Boss over the last two weeks and be prepared to provide what our records have on his complaint.

VR,
Kim

From: Kim Janes
Sent: Wednesday, March 26, 2014 12:42 PM
To: Michael Schlueter; Francisco Garcia; Marcy Flanagan
Cc: Mary Ellen Yandow; Michelle Moore; Elaine Klein; Debra Tenkate; Jose Chavez; Robert Hendrix; Steve Montano
Subject: RE: Phone Call Complaint - PACC

Thanks Mike, staff recalls receiving a voice message several days ago about a similar situation but the caller did not leave a phone number or information sufficient to initiate a response. Mr. Boss did call Steve on his day off and Steve called him back the next day he was working. Steve's report of the interaction is attached. Mr. Boss also left Debra a phone message yesterday which she had on her list to call him back today. Investigator Klein will be providing me her experience with Mr. Boss as soon as she can.

He also left a message on an unattended line last Friday afternoon and one for me this morning.

Based upon your conversation, is he still expecting a call back from me or would that be counterproductive?

Thanks.
Kim

From: Michael Schlueter
Sent: Wednesday, March 26, 2014 11:29 AM
To: Francisco Garcia; Marcy Flanagan
Cc: Kim Janes; Mary Ellen Yandow
Subject: Phone Call Complaint - PACC
This morning I spoke with a Kevin Boss (He wanted to speak to the Director or at least his assistant.) who called to complain about PACC, specifically Steve Montano, someone named Debbie and someone named Kline. Mr. Boss said approximately nine days ago he called and left messages regarding an animal issue. He said no one called him back until yesterday. The animal issue is now resolved; however, Mr. Boss said that it was highly unprofessional for PACC not to find the time to call a taxpayer back for such a long period of time. He added that the return call did not come until after he had contacted the offices of Ron Barber, Richard Fimbres and Mayor Rothschild. Mr. Boss did not leave me a call back number, but said he would be sending us a letter about this issue. I provided our address at his request.

Michael Schlueter
Administrative Specialist
Director's Office
Pima County Health Dept.
3950 S. Country Club Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85714
(520) 243-7931
michael.schlueter@pima.gov

PIMA COUNTY A Healthy Pima County
Michelle Moore

From: Ken Janes
Sent: Wednesday, March 26, 2014 11:08 AM
To: Michelle Moore; Elaine Klein; Debra Tenkate
Cc: Steve Montano
Subject: RE: Complaint Regarding Lack of Response & Delayed Response By PACC Enforcement
Attachments: FW: Kevin Boss 449-6360

Categories: Blue Category

Good morning Debra and Elaine, do you have any input to Mr. Boss' case?

Kim

From: Michelle Moore
Sent: Wednesday, March 26, 2014 10:19 AM
To: Kim Janes
Subject: Complaint Regarding Lack of Response & Delayed Response By PACC Enforcement

Kim,

Kevin Boss called to file a complaint regarding Steve Montano and the delay in Steve calling him back until he contacted Congressman Barber, the Mayor of Tucson, and City Council. Mr. Boss called while you were in an interview and he did not leave his number before hanging up. He also mentioned someone named Debbie and Klein who did not call him back. He original asked for you as Kim Jamison and we then clarified your name, spelling and position. He asked if you had a boss and I explained PACC is a part of the Health Department. He already had Dr. Garcia's name and asked for a number to the Health Department which I provided.

Mr. Boss intends to contact people up the chain to file his complaint about PACC.

Respectfully,

Michelle Moore
Pima Animal Care Center, 4000 N. Silverbell Rd., Tucson, AZ 85745
PH: 520 243-5934, FAX: 520 243-5954

Michelle Moore

From: Steve Montano  
Sent: Tuesday, March 25, 2014 5:35 PM  
To: Kim Janes  
Cc: Debra Tenkate; Jeffery Carver  
Subject: FW: Kevin Boss 449-6360

Kim:

I spoke to Mr. Boss. He told me that the dog issue has been resolved. He said that he is upset about the customer service that he received. He said that he has made multiple calls to PACC. He says that he did not receive any responses to the voicemail left for me and Elaine Klein. He also complained about Debra Tenkate's voicemail box being full and being placed on "Hold" by other employees who never again picked up the line.

He stated that he was going to go before the city council to complaint about the service that he received from PACC. He asked for and was provided information about our service area, officer staffing, pay status (salary v. hourly), hours worked and chain of command. He asked me if it was common for him to get a response from voicemail a day and one-half after he left it for me. I told him that it was, since I had been on my days off. He called us "part time" employees. When his questions started becoming personal, "How long have you worked there?" I refused to answer. I informed him that he may submit public records request for any other information that he may need about me. He said that he was going to complain that I was withholding public information.

I thanked him for calling and expressing his concerns. He then hung up.

From: Steve Montano  
Sent: Tuesday, March 25, 2014 4:46 PM  
To: Kim Janes  
Subject: RE: Kevin Boss 449-6360

I received a voicemail from an unidentified party about that area a few days ago. There was no return phone number to call. The complaint was also anonymous.

Just checked my voicemail. He called yesterday (my day off) and this morning. I will certainly give him a telephone call.

From: Kim Janes  
Sent: Tuesday, March 25, 2014 3:51 PM  
To: Steve Montano  
Cc: Debra Tenkate; Jeffery Carver  
Subject: Fwd: Kevin Boss 449-6360

Steve, have you spoken with Mr. Boss?

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Heileen Evans <Heileen.Evans@tucsonaz.gov>  
Date: March 25, 2014, 3:45:49 PM MST  
To: Kim Janes <Kim.Janes@pima.gov>  
Cc: Debra Tenkate <Debra.Tenkate@pima.gov>, Jeffery Carver <Jeffery.Carver@pima.gov>, Steve Montano <Steve.Montano@pima.gov>, Mark Kerr <Mark.Kerr@tucsonaz.gov>, Melinda
Thank you so much for all your help!

Have a wonderful day!
Heileen


Good afternoon Ms. Evans, I will have Mr. Boss called this afternoon.
Respectfully,
Kim

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 25, 2014, at 3:18 PM, "Heileen Evans" <Heileen.Evans@tucsonaz.gov> wrote:

** High Priority **

Mr. Janes,

Kevin Boss called the Ward 5 Council Office regarding an issue with two aggressive dogs. According, to Mr. Boss he called Pima County's Animal Control several times and spoke to a gentleman named Mr. Montano. Mr. Boss stated he called Mr. Montano several times and did not receive a call back, he states that it's been 7-9 days since he reported this issue to Animal Control. Mr. Boss is enraged that he hasn't received a call from Animal Control and believes that the COT is not doing its job in maintaining the responsibilities for Animal Control (he somehow believes that Animal Control is under the COT's wing.) I tried to calm him down to get more information but he just made things more complex. Mr. Janes, could you possibly give Mr. Boss a call asap. I appreciate all the help!

Kevin Boss's Contact Information:
The Palms

Thank you!
Heileen Evans

Heileen Evans
Office Assistant
Councilmember Richard G. Fimbres
Ward 5 Council Office
4300 S. Park Avenue
Tucson, AZ  85714
(520) 791-4231
Michelle Moore

Thanks Michelle, I appreciate it.

Jennifer Cabrera
Supervisor Sharon Bronson’s Office
District 3
520-724-8031

Michelle Moore
Pima Animal Care Center
520 243-5934

Jennifer,

Here is the update for you. An officer responded last night and met with the dog owner. The owner was issued her citations on behalf of complainant Ms. Ashburn for the leash law violations and the biting animal violation for the dog identified as the biter. In addition citations for no license and no rabies vaccinations for the biting dog and two additional dogs. Another citation for no license was issued for one more dog that was currently rabies vaccinated. One dog was surrendered to PACC and picked up by the officer. The owner told the officer she will confine one more dog and bring it to PACC for surrender. This should close out the bite case. Thank you.

Michelle

Michelle Moore
Pima Animal Care Center
520 243-5934

Thanks Michelle.

Jennifer Cabrera
Supervisor Sharon Bronson’s Office
District 3
520-724-8031
From: Michelle Moore  
Sent: Thursday, March 27, 2014 3:08 PM  
To: Jennifer Cabrera; Kim Janes  
Cc: Kiki Navarro; Anissa Ramirez  
Subject: RE: Please respond ASAP... Dog Bite

Jennifer,

An officer was out last night and met with the victim. PACC will respond again this afternoon to meet with the victim and potential owner in an effort to locate the biting animal and impound/photograph strays in the area. The officer will meet again with Ms. Ashburn for an identification of any of the impounded/photographed animals as the biter. We will keep you updated with any further action. Thank you.

Michelle
Michell Moore
Pima Animal Care Center
520 243-5934

From: Jennifer Cabrera  
Sent: Thursday, March 27, 2014 9:44 AM  
To: Kim Janes; Michelle Moore  
Cc: Kiki Navarro; Anissa Ramirez  
Subject: Please respond ASAP... Dog Bite

Good Morning Michelle,

Our office received a call regarding a dog bite that took place on 3/18...

Back story: The constituent was bitten by a neighbor’s dog that was running loose. She spoke with the neighbors, they denied it. She called PACC to report the bite, PACC came out and took the report...also said they would put out traps to catch the dog since it gets out on a regular basis, but no one ever showed up.

And someone (the constituent believes a friend of the family) came and picked up the dog and drove away with it. She took down their license plate number.

The constituent went to her doctor to have the bite looked at and to get meds to combat rabies if the dog had it. PACC The doctor said PACC had to give them a bite report....when contacted, there was no bite report. So she went to PACC and was told that the doctor had to do some paper work. PACC also told her the information about the dog’s shot records (or if there weren’t any) would not be released to her until the case was closed on 3/28.

She has spoken with Officer Tenkate the most at 243-5911.

She also called the Health Dept and spoke with “Gill”...for some reason the information is not being released to her. The case apparently closes 10 days from the time of the bite, tomorrow 3/28, this issue needs to be addressed today. The constituent said she cannot get anyone to give her answers because each person she speaks with she has gotten conflicting information

Can you have someone call her ASAP and keep us apprised of the status?
Patricia Ashburn

Thank you!

Jennifer Cabrera
Supervisor Sharon Bronson’s Office
District 3
520-724-8051
Michelle Moore

From: Steve Montano
Sent: Thursday, March 27, 2014 5:04 PM
To: Michelle Moore
Cc: Kim Janes; Debra Tenkate; Jeffery Carver; Robert Hendrix
Subject: RE: PACC Response to DMABF

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged
Categories: Blue Category

Michelle:

I spoke to the complainant. I told her that I would indeed set up a patrol since the area is near the Swan Gate.

---

From: Michelle Moore
Sent: Thursday, March 27, 2014 4:47 PM
To: Steve Montano
Cc: Kim Janes; Debra Tenkate; Jeffery Carver
Subject: PACC Response to DMABF

Steve,

Will you please contact Sandra Krause, She wants to report a loose dog on DMABF and was told by dispatch we would not respond to that call. She has question as to why when she was told by base security that PACC can come on to the base all we have to do is call them. She would like a call back as soon as you are able, she just called asking for Kim. Thank you for your help.

Michelle Moore
Pima Animal Care Center, 4000 N. Silverbell Rd., Tucson, AZ 85745
PH: 520 243-5934, FAX: 520 243-5954

Feedback Form 2014-03-16 07:32 AM was submitted by Guest on 3/16/2014 7:32:57 AM (GMT-07:00) US/Arizona

**Name**  
**First Name** Robin  
**Last Name** Giroir  
**email** Cuckoo43@hotmail.com  
**Zipcode** 85747

**Message subject** The asks  
To all that are there the day my Reyna was put to sleep..they were the most caring and compassionate people I have ever seen. They comforted me in my time of loss. They warmed right up to Reyna before they took her back as she was still happy and alert..just that after a long 2 year illness she was no longer able to walk. I wish all good things and wonderful blessings for all your staff. Please let the staff that were on that day know that this is about them. I am still grieving but take comfort in knowing you were there. Thanks
is just not enough to express my feelings, My robin giroir

Response requested Yes

Thank you, Pima County, Arizona