COMMUNITY LAW ENFORCEMENT PARTNERSHIP COMMISSION

Monday, February 11, 2019
9:00 a.m.
Herbert K. Abrams Public Health Center
3950 S. Country Club Road
Tucson, AZ 85714
1st Floor Conference Room

MEETING MINUTES

1. Roll Call

The meeting was called to order at 9:12 a.m. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Members Present</th>
<th>Members Absent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stevan Borozan</td>
<td>Cesar Aguirre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe Cameron</td>
<td>Bobby Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laura Conover</td>
<td>Colin Oglesbee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isabel Garcia</td>
<td>Jaime Tadeo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kristen Landrum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zaira Livier Serrato</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>telephonically</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevin McNichols</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terry Parish</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiera Rainey</td>
<td>arrived at 9:12 a.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jessica Rodriguez</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabe Ruiz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Pledge of Allegiance

All present joined in the Pledge of Allegiance.

3. Call to the Public

Vice Chair Landrum inquired whether anyone wished to address the Commission. No one appeared.

4. Approval of Meeting Minutes for November 13, 2018 and December 3, 2018

It was moved by Commissioner Borozan, seconded by Commissioner Garcia and unanimously carried by an 11-0 vote, to approve the item.

5. Role and Responsibilities of the Commission

There was discussion regarding the role and responsibilities of the Commission as clarified by the Board of Supervisors (BOS).
Vice-Chair Landrum explained that the BOS’s direction to the Commission was that the Commission would be responsible for reviewing and making recommendations to the BOS on grants awarded to the Sheriff’s Department. She added that the BOS also indicated that they would consider the expansion of the Commission’s responsibilities after the current process had been streamlined.

No Commission action was taken.

6. **Review and recommendation of the following for submittal on a Board of Supervisors Agenda:**

**CONTRACT**

Pima County/Tucson Metropolitan Counter Narcotics Alliance (CNA) to establish CNA to provide drug enforcement, demand reduction and education in the Tucson Metropolitan area, $100,000.00 (CTN-SD-19-120)

Sergeant James Grisham, Pima County Sheriff’s Department, provided an overview of the CNA. He indicated that it was an alliance between law enforcement agencies that focused on narcotic activities through interdiction and intervention. He stated the alliance was overseen by the Tucson Police Department and cases were cross-jurisdictional. He explained that CNA was formally known as Mantis and provided education and benefits to the community.

Some Commission members expressed concerns over the lack of information with regards to the grants efficacy, what changes the grant had influenced and accountability of the finances.

Vice-Chair Landrum inquired about the educational and interdiction component of the grant.

Sergeant Grisham indicated that education was key and they worked with the community through outreach. He indicated that he did not have statistical information for interdiction.

Commission members provided the following comments:
- CNA was established after Mantis was eliminated when narcotics were distributed into the community.
- CNA has done a good job over the years.
- They were accountable and provided education to youth.
- CNA staff rotated regularly to deter any wrong doing.
- There should be less police and more prevention.
- All concerns surrounding these issues should be reviewed.
It was moved by Commissioner Parish and seconded by Commissioner McNichols to recommend approval of the contract to the Board of Supervisors. Upon roll call vote, the motion carried 6-5, Vice Chair Landrum and Commissioners Garcia, Livier Serrato, Rainey and Rodriguez voted “Nay.”

7. Discussion/action of the following:

On November 13, 2018, the Commission continued the following:

A. Commission Bylaws

It was moved by Commissioner Parish and seconded by Vice-Chair McNichols to approve the Bylaws. No vote was taken at this time.

Julie Castañeda, Coordinator, explained that Article IV, Section 4, was not a standard rule for boards and commissions.

The Commission made the following amendments to the bylaws:

- Delete the lettering in red, “and a registered County voter” from Section 4, Article IV.
- Retain Section 5, Article IV.
- Delete the last sentence on Article IV, Section 6c. “In no case may a member serve if his or her appointment has expired.”
- Change the amount of times a member may miss a meeting to “two (2)” on Article IV, Section 7a.
- Retain Section 2, Article IX.
- Add language to the end of the sentence, “to include a six (6) month calendar of anticipated grant approvals” on Article IX, Section 5a.
- Delete the word “not” from Section 7, Article IX.

The Commission’s discussion included sending a letter to the Sheriff’s Department requesting that additional documentation be provided with regards to the efficacy of the program and the mission of grants when submitted for review by the Commission.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 11-0, to approve the bylaws, as amended.

B. Grant Application and Awards Process

The Commission discussed the grant application and awards process corresponding with the bylaws and that the Commission re-review the process once a response was provided by the Sheriff’s Department regarding the Commission’s request for additional information.

It was moved by Commissioner Garcia, seconded by Commissioner Parish and unanimously carried by an 11-0 vote, to approve the item, as amended.
8. **Organization of the Commission**

On November 13, 2018, the Commission continued the following:

**Discussion/action regarding re-establishment of the Chair and Vice-Chair positions.**

It was moved by Commissioner Conover, seconded by Commissioner Rodriguez and unanimously carried by an 11-0 vote, to discuss this item before Minute Item No. 7.

It was then moved by Commissioner Borozan and seconded by Commissioner Ruiz to nominate Commissioner McNichols as Chair. No vote was taken at this time.

Commissioner Rodriguez moved to nominate Commissioners Garcia and Rainey for Chair. Both Commissioners Garcia and Rainey declined her nomination.

It was thereupon moved by Commissioner Garcia and seconded by Commissioner Conover to nominate Commissioner Landrum as Chair. No vote was taken as this time.

Upon roll call vote for Commissioner McNichols as Chair, the motion failed 4-7, Commissioners Cameron, Conover, Garcia, Landrum, Rainey, Rodriguez and Serrato voted “Nay.”

Thereupon the roll call vote for Commissioner Landrum as Chair, the motion carried 10-1, Commissioner Borozan voted “Nay.”

It was then moved by Commissioner Conover and seconded by Commissioner Borozan to nominate Commissioner McNichols as Vice-Chair. No vote was taken at this time.

Commissioner Rodriguez moved to nominate Commissioner Conover as Vice-Chair. Commissioner Conover declined her nomination.

Upon the vote for Commissioner McNichols as Vice-Chair, the motion carried 9-2, Commissioners Rainey and Rodriguez voted “Nay.”

9. **Adjournment**

It was moved by Commissioner Parish, seconded by Commissioner Conover and unanimously carried by an 11-0 vote, to adjourn the meeting at 11:00 a.m.