PIMA COUNTY ELECTION INTEGRITY COMMISSION
MEETING SUMMARY FOR MARCH 15, 2013
http://www.pima.gov/commission/ElectionIntegrity.shtml

The Pima County Election Integrity Commission met in regular session on March 15, 2013 in the Pima County Administration Building, Pima County Board of Supervisors First Floor Conference Room, 130 W. Congress, Tucson, Arizona 85701.

ITEM 1. ATTENDANCE

Present: Pat Pecoraro, Arnie Urken, Benny White, Mickey Duniho, Bill Beard, Barbara Tellman, Elaine Lim, Tom Ryan and John Moffatt

Absent: None

Also in attendance: Brad Nelson, Paul Harrington, Regional Sales Manager for Election Systems & Software (ES&S); Ed Wittke, Pima County Information Technology; and Mary De Camp, Member of the Green Party.

ITEM 2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Those in attendance stood for the Pledge of Allegiance.

ITEM 3. APPROVAL OF MEETING SUMMARY – February 15, 2013

The Meeting Summary for February 15, 2013 was distributed to the Members. With no discussion, it was moved by Bill Beard, seconded by Pat Pecoraro, and unanimously carried to approve the Summary.

ITEM 4. SUBCOMMITTEE REPORTS

- Tracking Election Integrity in the Legislature Subcommittee – Barbara Tellman
- Ballot Scanning Subcommittee – Benny White
- Election Equipment Criteria Development Subcommittee – Tom Ryan, Arnie Urken, Brad Nelson

Tracking Election Integrity in the Legislature Subcommittee - Update of Bills of Special Relevance

Barbara Tellman provided an update on the legislative bills of special relevance. The amendments and her comments are included in Exhibit 1.

Ballot Scanning Subcommittee - Benny White

Benny White reported his last conversation with Senator Michele Reagan, Chair of the Senate Elections Committee, in which she indicated she could not find a suitable vehicle to attach the amendment to and finding a mechanism to amend non-existing bill language is very difficult. He advised that the deadline has passed, the Legislators are moving on to budget items, and he does not anticipate any further activity.
**Election Equipment Criteria Development - Tom Ryan, Arnie Urken, Brad Nelson**

Tom Ryan stated that Arnie Urken had submitted his comments on the criteria list generated at the last meeting and sent to the Members. John Moffatt acknowledged Ed Wittke, from the Pima County IT Department, in the audience. He explained that Wittke is experienced in creating the structure for this type of RFP. Wittke and his co-workers will assist Brad Nelson and Bryan Crane from Elections in putting this framework together and will return to the Commission to add the criteria to the selection process. Moffatt emphasized the importance of the Members continuing to think and work on the development of the criteria to keep the process moving.

Moffatt discussed how the Procurement selection process works, and the importance of providing them with precise minimum requirements that are scorable in order for a successful vendor to be selected. Care must be taken to insure minimum requirements are not so stringent that all potential vendors will be eliminated, requiring that the process be repeated. Regarding the scoring process, he noted the criteria needs to be clearly designated into categories such as “minimum” (must be met), “preferred” (needs to be scored and weighted), and perhaps “nice to have” (could be used as a tie breaker). The Members discussed criteria, the current age/health of the existing equipment and other issues. They also suggested additional items for inclusion on the list generated at the last meeting.

**ITEM 5. GENERAL ELECTION UPDATE – Brad Nelson - Update on Ballot Printing Issues**

Nelson presented a sample of the printing irregularities discussed at the last meeting, which pertained primarily to the in-house tests that used both offset and laser printers. The solution suggested to the vendor was that all future in-house tests be run on both offset and laser printers. He noted the vendor did demonstrate a 99.9% accuracy rate in printing approximately 800,000 ballots.

**ITEM 6. ANTICIPATED ELECTIONS FOR 2013 – Brad Nelson**

Nelson provided the following update:

- Municipal elections for the City of South Tucson and the Towns of Marana and Sahuarita were conducted on March 12, 2013.
- A formal challenge was filed against the City of South Tucson pertaining to the use of felt tip pens for signatures on affidavits of two early ballots cast at the Clerk’s Office. There is also a related claim regarding the validity of voter registration and residency requirements. Nelson will attend the hearing; and in the event a recount is ordered, it will include 60 to 80 additional City of South Tucson ballots to preserve voter confidentiality.
- Jurisdictions that conducted their elections on March 12, 2013 may also have run-off elections (if required) or a question for the voters on May 21, 2013. The Town of Oro Valley will also have a Franchise Election item on that date.
- Vail has not filed anything other than the initial petitions for incorporation. To proceed further, the petitions must be submitted to Pima County by mid-August, 2013 in order to meet the 6 month submission deadline from obtaining the initial paperwork. The incorporation process was delayed due to the removal of the Pima County Fairgrounds and the Southeast Regional Park from their meets and bounds description, which has since been amended.
- The City of Tucson has not provided any new information on their upcoming elections or lawsuit.
• School districts will provide information on any upcoming elections the first week of July.

ITEM 7.   GEMS SOFTWARE/PRODUCTION OF ELECTION INFORMATION FOR PARTIES – Tom Ryan/Benny White - Continuation of Data Analysis

Ryan reported that his analysis indicated there were 6 issues with candidates who experienced a one-vote loss between two successive database backups between Days 1 and 2 (actually Days 2 and 3). All came from the same batch and were sent to White who reviewed the data and, based on his own log, reported that the loss of votes resulted from operator error in handling an audit batch. He explained the current process and how the error was handled and corrected.

Moffatt said that the first reason for asking for Ryan’s analysis was to determine the value of the GEMS data. The second was to determine whether there was sufficient justification to continue producing this data for party representatives and the public versus the time and costs involved. Moffatt indicated Ryan’s analysis substantiated more than sufficient reason to move toward more in-depth analysis and to continue the production of information. Ryan requested assistance in obtaining information from the databases in a more automated way. This would facilitate better use of the analysis tools he created and allow for a more comprehensive analysis of the GEMS data. Moffatt responded he and Wittke would meet with Ryan to discuss these items further.

ITEM 8.   2012 GENERAL ELECTION COSTS – John Moffatt

Moffatt stated that although there had been ongoing contact with the Recorder’s Office, he still did not have all of the data needed to formulate a report. He said he met with staff from IT, F. Ann Rodriguez and Brad Nelson on the telephone issues that occurred during the 2012 General Election. In analyzing the telephone failure problem, it appeared that all 30 lines at the Recorder’s Office were active, but not all staff was available to receive calls, which backed up the County trunk system. Some of the major increase in volume may also have resulted from confusion over precinct redistricting. An action plan is being developed to include the following:

• IT will acquire temporary trunk lines for use during elections.
• Recorder’s Office and Elections Department will provide a schedule of upcoming elections each year to IT.
• Different additional trunk lines will be added with specific numbers to be assigned to election technicians, poll workers, troubleshooters and other election workers during election times to keep main lines free.
• Larger call groups will be established with set-up at the Elections and Recorder’s Country Club facility.
• Public education efforts will increase regarding use of the website that offers elections and precinct information.
• Other suggestions include hyperlinks for text messages and the need to look at IVR systems.

Members discussed the importance of insuring that accurate information appears on the web browser, search engine optimization, special sites for voting locations, and suggested the small size of information link for smart-phone applications also be considered. White asked when precincting would be addressed again. Nelson responded that he would like to have it done by December 1, 2013 and will prepare a proposal (which could include 15-20 precincts) for submission to the Board of Supervisors by September 2013.
ITEM 9. VENDOR PRESENTATION – Consensus on Presentation Components – Brad Nelson

Nelson reported that the following presentations have been scheduled to date: April 19, 2013 - Election Systems & Software (ES&S); May 17, 2013 – Dominion Voting Systems; and June 21, 2013 – Unisyn Voting Solutions, Inc. (a southern California company) that has not yet confirmed their attendance. He noted that Unisyn is Federally Certified and offers open source code. Ryan noted that he would not be able to attend the May 17, 2013 meeting. In response to a question by Elaine Lim, he stated that the invitation will be extended to the Secretary of State, other southern Arizona counties and the City of Tucson; advised the vendors they would have at least one hour, and offered assistance with audio or video equipment, if needed. Mickey Duniho asked if the Commission Members will have additional meetings once the RFP is issued by Procurement. Moffatt said the Commission cannot talk to the vendors once Procurement enters the process. The vendor presentations are the time for questions to help create the criteria to be incorporated into the RFP process.

Members discussed other details pertaining to the presentation, including asking vendors to provide a web link to access information about their products, services, clients, etc., as well as visiting the Arizona’s Election Assistance Commission’s website to obtain testing reports. Joni will forward these links to the Members. Nelson will send the presentation invitations to the government agencies. Discussion also included having the presentations on the agenda first and the potential need for streaming, video recording, etc. which will be researched.

ITEM 10. NEXT MEETING TIME AND PLACE

The next meeting is scheduled for Friday, April 19, 2013.

ITEM 11. NEW BUSINESS

Nelson reported that revisions to the Secretary of State’s Procedures Manual will begin in early June 2013. Moffatt suggested an invitation could be extended to the southern Arizona entities to stream the meeting from Tucson, since Pima County has this capability. Nelson will keep the Members updated as more information becomes available.

ITEM 12. CALL TO THE AUDIENCE

Mary De Camp, Member of the Green Party and Occupy Tucson, appeared to express her concerns over 50% of voters in the country who are not registered to vote and the ballot selection process.

ITEM 13. ADJOURNMENT

With no further business, it was moved by Bill Beard, seconded by Elaine Lim and carried unanimously to adjourn the meeting at 11:10 a.m.

END OF MINUTES – EXHIBIT 1 TO FOLLOW:

BILLS OF SPECIAL RELEVANCE TO THE COMMISSION
March 15, 2013

**Note: Underline indicates proposed deletions and CAPS proposed additions.

- SB 1003 – Early Ballot
A VOTER MAY DESIGNATE THE VOTER'S SPOUSE, CHILD, PARENT, GRANDPARENT, GRANDCHILD, BROTHER, SISTER OR A PERSON RESIDING IN THE SAME HOUSEHOLD AS THE VOTER TO RETURN THE BALLOT TO THE ELECTIONS OFFICIAL FROM WHOM IT CAME OR TO THE PRECINCT BOARD AT A POLLING PLACE WITHIN THE COUNTY. NO EARLY BALLOT SHALL BE RETURNED BY ANY PAID OR VOLUNTEER WORKER OF ANY POLITICAL COMMITTEE AS DEFINED BY SECTION 16-901(19) OR ANY OTHER GROUP OR ORGANIZATION WHOSE BEHEST THE INDIVIDUAL DESIGNATED TO RETURN THE BALLOT IS PERFORMING A SERVICE. ANY PERSON WHO KNOWINGLY VIOLATES THIS SUBSECTION IS GUILTY OF A CLASS 5 FELONY. THIS SUBSECTION DOES NOT APPLY TO A CANDIDATE OR A CANDIDATE'S SPOUSE.

Action/Discussion:
2-15-13: Passed Senate Elections Committee
3-15-13: Passed Senate Elections Committee and Senate Amended; Passed House Judiciary, Assigned to Government and Rules. Amended to say that a ballot can be delivered by anyone, but the voter must sign a statement to the effect that he/she voted the ballot and gave it to the other person; no paid person may deliver (except the mail carrier!).

- SB 1261 – Permanent Early Voting Lists; Amendments (Proposed by F. Ann Rodriguez)
  L. NOTWITHSTANDING SUBSECTION K OF THIS SECTION, BY DECEMBER 1 OF EACH EVEN-NUMBERED YEAR, THE COUNTY RECORDER OR OTHER OFFICER IN CHARGE OF ELECTIONS MAY SEND A NOTICE TO EACH VOTER WHO IS ON THE PERMANENT EARLY VOTING LIST AND WHO DID NOT VOTE AN EARLY BALLOT IN BOTH OF THE MOST RECENT PRIMARY AND GENERAL ELECTIONS. THE NOTICE SHALL INFORM THE VOTER THAT IF THE VOTER WISHES TO REMAIN ON THE PERMANENT EARLY VOTING LIST, THE VOTER SHALL DO BOTH OF THE FOLLOWING WITH THE NOTICE RECEIVED:
    1. CONFIRM IN WRITING THE VOTER'S DESIRE TO REMAIN ON THE PERMANENT EARLY VOTING LIST.
    2. RETURN THE COMPLETED NOTICE TO THE COUNTY OFFICER IN CHARGE OF ELECTIONS WITHIN THIRTY DAYS AFTER RECEIPT BY THE VOTER. THE NOTICE SHALL BE SIGNED BY THE VOTER AND SHALL CONTAIN THE VOTER'S ADDRESS AND DATE OF BIRTH.
M. IF A VOTER RECEIVES A NOTICE AS PRESCRIBED BY SUBSECTION L OF THIS SECTION AND THE VOTER FAILS TO RESPOND WITHIN THE THIRTY DAY PERIOD, THE COUNTY OFFICER IN CHARGE OF ELECTIONS SHALL REMOVE THE VOTER'S NAME FROM THE PERMANENT EARLY VOTING LIST. THIS SUBSECTION DOES NOT APPLY TO VOTERS WHO FAILED TO VOTE AN EARLY BALLOT AND WHO MODIFIED THEIR VOTER REGISTRATION INFORMATION DURING THE PERIOD FOR EARLY VOTING FOR EITHER THE IMMEDIATELY PRECEDING PRIMARY OR GENERAL ELECTION.
N. A candidate, A political committee or another organization may distribute permanent early voting list request forms to voters. PERMANENT EARLY VOTING LIST REQUEST FORMS THAT ARE DISTRIBUTED BY A CANDIDATE, A POLITICAL COMMITTEE OR ANOTHER ORGANIZATION SHALL INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT: NOTICE: BY SIGNING THIS FORM YOU ARE AGREEING TO RECEIVE AN EARLY BALLOT FOR EVERY ELECTION IN WHICH YOU ARE ELIGIBLE TO VOTE. YOU ARE INFORMING THE RECORDER THAT YOU DO NOT WISH TO VOTE AT YOUR ASSIGNED POLLING LOCATION FOR ALL ELECTIONS. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO VOTE AT YOUR ASSIGNED POLLING LOCATION, DO NOT SIGN THIS FORM. PERMANENT EARLY VOTING LIST REQUEST FORMS THAT ARE SUBMITTED WITHOUT THE STATEMENT PRESCRIBED BY THIS SUBSECTION ARE VALID FOR PURPOSES OF REQUIRING THAT THE VOTER BE SENT AN EARLY BALLOT FOR THE IMMEDIATELY SUCCEEDING ELECTION, BUT THAT VOTER'S NAME SHALL NOT BE PLACED ON THE PERMANENT EARLY VOTING LIST.

Action/Discussion:
2-15-13: No Action
3-15-13: Passed Senate Elections Committee; Passed Senate Amended; Assigned to the House Judicial Committee and Government and Rules. This bill makes it easier to remove voters from the PEVL who have
not voted by early ballot or at the polls in two cycles (a cycle being defined as a Primary and General Election). It also classifies as a class 6 felony, rather than a class 5 felony, for knowingly altering a voter registration form without the consent of the person who is the registrant on that form. Members discussed the parameters of the bill and the estimated 18,000 voters in Pima County and 111,000 statewide who may be affected; potential security and other issues that could arise from passage of the bill. Nelson stated that verbiage similar to what California uses would be easy to implement and anticipates that the biggest concern of the county Recorders will be the cost of producing new envelopes. He will send a sample of the California verbiage to Joni for distribution to the Members.

- **SB 1274**
  
  In order to be valid and counted, the ballot and affidavit must be delivered to the office of the county recorder or other officer in charge of elections or may be deposited at any polling place in the county no later than 7:00 p.m. on THE TUESDAY BEFORE Election Day.

  **Action/Discussion:**
  
  2-15-13: None
  
  3-15-13: Held in Senate Elections Committee – No Discussion

- **SB 1275 - Removes Requirement to Name Presidential Electors on the Ballot (Proposed by Brad Nelson)**

  **Action/Discussion:**
  
  2-15-13: None
  
  3-15-13: Passed Senate Elections Committee but no further movement has been seen. This was would remove the names of Presidential Electors from the ballot to increase space.

- **SB 1276 – Ability to Conduct Elections by Mail**

  ON A SPECIFIC FINDING OF THE BOARD THAT THE NUMBER OF REGISTERED VOTERS IN THE PRECINCT WHO ARE LISTED AS PERMANENT EARLY VOTERS PURSUANT TO SECTION 16-544, WHEN SUBTRACTED FROM THE NUMBER OF ACTIVE REGISTERED VOTERS IN THE PRECINCT, WILL RESULT IN A TOTAL OF THREE HUNDRED FIFTY OR FEWER ACTIVE REGISTERED VOTERS IN THAT PRECINCT, THE OFFICER IN CHARGE OF ELECTIONS MAY CONDUCT THE ELECTION BY MAIL.

  **Action/Discussion:**
  
  2-15-13: None
  
  3-15-13: Passed Senate Elections Committee Amended and APP. This bill provides that if the number of registered voters on the PEVL in a precinct is subtracted from the number of active registered voters in the precinct results in a total of 300 (reduced from 350) or fewer active registered voters in that precinct, the election's officer in charge of elections may conduct the election by mail.

- **SB 1387 – Online Voting**

  2 SECTIONS 1. ONLINE VOTING PILOT PROGRAM; SECRETARY OF STATE; CRITERIA; DELAYED REPEAL.

  A. NOTWITHSTANDING ANY OTHER LAW AND BEFORE THE 2014 PRIMARY ELECTION, THE SECRETARY OF STATE SHALL ESTABLISH A PILOT PROGRAM THAT PROVIDES A METHOD FOR REGISTERED VOTERS TO VOTE ONLINE BY USE OF A SECURE INTERNET PORTAL. THE METHOD ESTABLISHED SHALL PROVIDE FOR ALL OF THE FOLLOWING:

  1. SECURELY IDENTIFYING AND AUTHENTICATING VOTERS FOR ONLINE VOTING.
  2. ENSURING THAT THE ONLINE VOTER IS REGISTERED AND ELIGIBLE TO VOTE IN THAT ELECTION.
  3. MAKING AND RETAINING A SECURE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE VOTES CAST AND PROVIDING FOR POST-ELECTION VERIFICATION OF VOTER IDENTIFICATION AND ELIGIBILITY.
4. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PILOT PROGRAM IN AT LEAST ONE COUNTY AND AT LEAST ONE CITY, TOWN OR OTHER LOCAL ELECTION JURISDICTION.
5. ADVANCE REVIEW AND APPROVAL OR REFUSAL OF A JURISDICTION’S PROPOSED ONLINE VOTING PROCESS ESTABLISHED PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION.
B. THE ONLINE VOTING PILOT PROGRAM SHALL BE OFFERED AS AN ADDITIONAL METHOD OF VOTING THAT IS INTENDED TO SUPPLEMENT EARLY VOTING AND VOTING AT A POLLING PLACE OR VOTING CENTER. THE SECRETARY OF STATE SHALL CONSULT WITH COUNTY, CITY AND TOWN ELECTION OFFICERS IN DEVELOPING THE ONLINE VOTING PILOT PROGRAM AND SHALL PROVIDE AN OPPORTUNITY FOR REVIEW AND COMMENT BY THE PUBLIC BEFORE FINALIZATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROGRAM IN ANY ONE OR MORE JURISDICTIONS.
C. THIS SECTION IS REPEALED FROM AND AFTER DECEMBER 31, 2016.

Action/Discussion:
2-15-13: None
3-15-13: No Committee Action. Bill Beard noted that there was no hearing, but questions regarding security and auditing were not addressed sufficiently by the vendors.

- HB 2146 and SB 1248 - Voter Registration; Same Day
  1. A PERSON WHO IS OTHERWISE QUALIFIED TO REGISTER TO VOTE MAY 10 REGISTER DURING THE TWENTY-EIGHT DAYS IMMEDIATELY PRECEDING AN ELECTION AND IS ELIGIBLE TO VOTE IN THAT ELECTION IF THE PERSON COMPLIES WITH THE FOLLOWING:
     (a) THE PERSON HAS BEEN A RESIDENT OF THE COUNTY AND THE PRECINCT IN WHICH THE PERSON RESIDES FOR AT LEAST TWENTY-NINE DAYS IMMEDIATELY PRECEDING THE ELECTION.
     b) THE PERSON COMPLETES A REGISTRATION FORM AS PROVIDED IN SECTION 16-152.
  2. A PERSON WHO IS OTHERWISE QUALIFIED TO REGISTER TO VOTE MAY REGISTER ON ELECTION DAY BY APPEARING AT THE POLLING PLACE FOR THE PRECINCT IN WHICH THAT PERSON MAINTAINS THE PERSON’S RESIDENCE, COMPLETING A REGISTRATION FORM AS PROVIDED IN SECTION 16-152 AND PROVIDING PROOF OF RESIDENCE.
  3. A PERSON WHO REGISTERS TO VOTE PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION MAY VOTE ON ELECTION DAY OR IN THE TWENTY-EIGHT DAYS IMMEDIATELY PRECEDING THAT ELECTION ONLY WITH A PROVISIONAL BALLOT AS PRESCRIBED IN SECTION 16-584, AND MAY VOTE AS OTHERWISE PROVIDED BY LAW FOR ANY ELECTION HELD AT LEAST TWENTY-NINE DAYS AFTER THE ELECTION DAY FOR WHICH THE PERSON REGISTERED.
B. REGISTRATION PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION QUALIFIES THE PERSON TO VOTE ONLY FOR CANDIDATES FOR FEDERAL OFFICES, INCLUDING PRESIDENTIAL ELECTORS, AND STATEWIDE AND COUNTYWIDE OFFICES AND THE LEGISLATURE, BUT DOES NOT QUALIFY A PERSON TO VOTE IN A PARTISAN PRIMARY ELECTION.

Action/Discussion:
2-15-13: None
3-15-13: Held in Committee. Many of the other Members feel that this bill is basically dead.

- HB 2350 - Early Ballot Request Requires Notarization

Action/Discussion:
2-15-13: No Committee Action
3-15-13: Held in Committee – No Discussion

OTHER BILLS

- SB 1033 – Changes Wording on Youth Registration
• SB 1042 – Interstate Agreement; National Popular Vote for President

**Action/Discussion:**
2-15-13: No Action
3-15-13: No Action – No Discussion

• SB 1186 – Allow Independents to Vote in Presidential Preference Elections

**Action/Discussion:**
2-15-13: No Action
3-15-13: No Action – No Discussion

• SB 1195 – Independent Expenditures; Violations; Criminal Enforcement

**Action/Discussion:**
2-15-13: No Comment
3-15-13: No Comment. No Discussion

• SB 1196 – Term Limited Officers; Filings Prohibited

**Action/Discussion:**
2-15-13: No Action
3-15-13: No Action - No Discussion

• SB 1131 - Elections; Special Districts; Technical Correction

**Action/Discussion:**
2-15-13: No Action
3-15-13: Not Listed – No Discussion

• SB 1260 - Changes Rules for Petition Amendments

**Action/Discussion:**
2-15-13: No Action

• SB 1262 - Campaign Finance Limits; Recall Election

**Action/Discussion:**
2-15-13: No Action
3-15-13: Passed Senate Amended – No Discussion

• SB 1264 – Changes Rules for Referendum and Recall

**Action/Discussion:**
• SB 1265 - Changes Campaign Finance Rules

  **Action/Discussion:**
  2-15-13: No Action
  3-15-13: Passed Senate Amended – No Discussion

• SB 1326 - Deals with Campaign Finance Disclosure

  **Action/Discussion:**
  2-15-13: No Action
  3-15-13: Passed Senate Elections Committee Amended; Passed Rules – No Discussion

• SB 1336 - Election Commission; Campaign Finance Enforcement

  **Action/Discussion:**
  Action Reported on 2-15-13: No Action
  Action Reported on 3-15-13: Passed Senate Amended – No Discussion

• HB 2007 - Require Notice on Ballot that Measure Cannot be Changed Except by Voters or 2/3 Vote of Legislature

  **Action/Discussion:**
  2-15-13: No Action
  3-15-13: Passed House and Passed Senate Elections and Rules – No Discussion

• HB 2242 – Penalty for False Registration

  **Action/Discussion:**
  2-15-13: Not Listed
  3-15-13: Passed TI and Rules – No Discussion

• HB 2035 - Resign to Run; Public Declaration

  **Action/Discussion:**
  2-15-13: No Action
  3-15-13: Passed House; Senate EL passed with Amendment – No Discussion

• HB 2286 – People Who Change Party Affiliation within 60 days of Election Cannot Run

  **Action/Discussion:**
  2-15-13: No Action
  3-15-13: No Action – No Discussion

• HB 2140 - Technical Correction; Special Districts; Elections

  **Action/Discussion:**
  2-15-13: No Action
• **HB 2156 - Elections; Public Resources Prohibited**

  A. **NOTWITHSTANDING ANY OTHER LAW, THIS STATE AND ALL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS OF THIS STATE, INCLUDING CITIES, TOWNS, COUNTIES, SPECIAL DISTRICTS, SCHOOLS OR CHARTER SCHOOLS AND ANY PUBLIC AGENCY, DEPARTMENT, BOARD, COMMISSION, COMMITTEE, COUNCIL OR AUTHORITY SHALL NOT SPEND OR USE PUBLIC RESOURCES TO INFLUENCE AN ELECTION, INCLUDING THE USE OR EXPENDITURE OF MONIES, ACCOUNTS, CREDIT, MATERIALS, EQUIPMENT, BUILDINGS, FACILITIES, VEHICLES, POSTAGE, TELECOMMUNICATIONS, COMPUTER HARDWARE AND SOFTWARE, 15 WEBPAGES AND PERSONNEL AND ANY OTHER THING OF VALUE OF THE PUBLIC ENTITY.**

  B. THIS SECTION DOES NOT PROHIBIT:

  1. **THE USE OF PUBLIC RESOURCES, INCLUDING FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT, FOR GOVERNMENT SPONSORED MEETINGS, FORUMS OR DEBATES IF THE GOVERNMENT SPONSOR REMAINS IMPARTIAL AND THE EVENTS ARE PURELY INFORMATIONAL AND PROVIDE AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY TO ALL VIEWPOINTS. THE RENTAL AND USE OF A PUBLIC FACILITY BY A PRIVATE PERSON OR ENTITY THAT MAY LAWFULLY ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE OUTCOME OF AN ELECTION IS PERMITTED IF IT DOES NOT OCCUR AT THE SAME TIME AND PLACE AS A GOVERNMENT SPONSORED EVENT.**

  **Action/Discussion:**

  2-15-13: No Action

  3-15-13: Passed House with amendment; assigned to Senate Elections Committee and Rules – No Discussion

• **HB 2282 - False Registration; Penalty**

  **Action/Discussion:**

  2-15-13: No Action

  3-15-13: Passed JUD, Rules, Min/Maj Caucuses – No Discussion

• **HB 2306 – Changes Campaign Finance Limits**

  **Action/Discussion:**

  2-15-13: No Action

  3-15-13: Passed House; Held Senate Elections Committee – No Discussion

• **HB 2313 - Only allows political parties to witness a re-tally**

  **Action/Discussion:**

  2-15-13: No Action

  3-15-13: No Action – No Discussion