PROCEDURE TITLE: Permitting Improvements to Nonconforming Uses

BACKGROUND: The Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) and the Pima County Floodplain and Erosion Hazard Management Ordinance (Ordinance) establish limitations on the extent of repairs or improvements to structures that do not conform to current floodplain management regulations before these nonconforming structures must be brought into compliance. Often called the Substantial Improvement/Substantial Damage Rules, the purpose of limiting the extent of improvements to nonconforming structures is to minimize the overall flood damage potential and to limit the number and size of claims against the National Flood Insurance Program. Per 44 CFR 59.1. Definitions: “Substantial improvement” means any reconstruction, rehabilitation, addition or other improvement to a structure, the total cost of which equals or exceeds 50 percent of the market value of the structure before the start of construction of the improvement. The Ordinance further stipulates that the District shall ensure that the cumulative costs of all improvements are less than 50 percent of the market value of the structure over the life of the structure.

If floodprone structures are improved without limitation, the risk and cost of flood damage is increased, which is contrary to the stated purpose of the National Flood Insurance Program. Although federal implementation guidelines exist, additional guidance is necessary to determine when the substantial improvement threshold has been reached and to address specific situations, some of which are related to the fact that the Ordinance imposes a higher regulatory standard than the CFR.

PURPOSE: The purpose of this procedure is to establish a clear and consistent approach for permitting repairs and improvements to nonconforming structures in order to establish when a substantial improvement has occurred, to establish a methodology for calculating cumulative improvements, and to establish an acceptable level of reporting and documentation to demonstrate the value of existing structures and the cost of repairs and/or improvements.

This procedure is available to the public to provide information and assistance to individuals making repairs and/or improvements to nonconforming use structures.
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1 Basics of Improvements to Nonconforming Uses

For the purpose of this Technical Procedure, an improvement is any attached addition or interior or exterior alteration to a structure that increases the value of the existing structure or any repair of or damage to a structure. Such alterations include, but are not limited to, any remodeling, attached decks/porches, upgraded electrical systems, foundation repair and additions, including second floor additions. In general, anything that adds value to a nonconforming structure falls into this category. Proposed new accessory structures or improvements such as free-standing garages, detached decks/porches, pools, fences, landscape walls, sheds, etc. are not subject to this procedure as they must be constructed in conformance with the Ordinance. This policy does apply to detached accessory structures such as garages, workshops and guest houses that are themselves modified, improved, damaged or repaired.

The Ordinance limits nonconforming improvements to less than 50% of the value of the original nonconforming structure unless work is performed to bring the structure into compliance with current
regulations. This limit is commonly referred to as the “50% rule.” Improvements are considered cumulatively, meaning that the total costs of all nonconforming improvements and repairs/damages must be less than 50% of the value of the original structure. For this reason, it is necessary for the District to track the cost of all improvements, whether or not each individual improvement equals or exceeds 50% of the value of the original structure. Any cumulative combination of improvements and/or damages to a structure that equals or exceeds 50% is considered to be a substantial improvement or substantial damage.

Increased Cost of Compliance (ICC) coverage on a flood insurance policy and/or “law and regulations” coverage on a homeowner’s insurance policy may provide financial assistance to individuals who must bring structures into compliance with current regulations.

1.1 Applicability

1.1.1 Structures Constructed in Violation of the Ordinance
Structures that were not constructed in accordance with applicable rules and regulations at the time of construction are not nonconforming uses. These structures are considered violations and are not covered by this policy.

1.1.2 Basic Rule
A structure may be nonconforming due to flood or erosion hazards. If an applicant proposes to improve an existing, nonconforming structure, and the cost of the improvement, when combined with the cost of any previous improvements, equals or exceeds 50% of the fair market value of the structure, then the improvements, and possibly the entire building, must be brought into conformance with current floodplain requirements. This may mean elevating the finished floor elevation to the regulatory flood elevation, providing erosion protection, or meeting other provisions of the Ordinance.

For the purpose of this Technical Procedure, a nonconforming use is a structure that was constructed in accordance with applicable floodplain management rules and regulations at the time of construction, but which does not conform to current applicable rules and regulations due to revised floodplain and/or erosion hazard information or due to revised floodplain management rules and regulations. Nonconforming use rules apply uniquely to replacement manufactured homes. Please refer to Section 3.3 for details on how to apply nonconforming use rules to manufactured home replacements.

Improvements made to a nonconforming use that are cumulatively less than 50% of the value of the nonconforming use may not have to conform to the Ordinance, but conformance to the ordinance is highly recommended in order to limit the potential damage to the improved structure. In addition, the Ordinance stipulates that the improvement cannot create a situation that puts the existing structure or the proposed improvement at greater risk of flooding than that which existed for the existing structure. Constructing improvements with floodproof materials is also highly recommended. Floodproofing (flood walls, flood resistant materials, flood venting) cannot be used to bring an existing residential structure into compliance, but flood resistant materials and flood venting may be used to bring non-residential and commercial structures into compliance.

If the finished floor of a lateral addition is not elevated at or above the RFE and the cumulative value of all improvements does not equal or exceed 50% of the value of the structure, the common wall between the existing structure and the proposed addition may be substantially modified, however, this may limit future options should additional improvements be proposed.

1.1.3 Cumulative Substantial Improvement/Substantial Damage
Substantial improvement and substantial damage provisions were in the first Floodplain Management Ordinance, but it wasn’t until 2005 that there was any consideration of cumulative substantial
improvement/damage. Even then, Ordinance 2005 FC2 added a definition of cumulative substantial improvement which stated that improvements are counted cumulatively for at least 5 years, but it didn’t follow up with that by mentioning cumulative substantial damage in the rule. This was corrected with Ordinance 2010 FC5, which not only established a rule for addressing cumulative substantial improvement/damage, but also removed the time-limiting language of “. . . at least 5 years . . .” As such, cumulative substantial improvement/damage shall be considered to be for the life of the structure for all improvements/damage after the effective date of Floodplain Management Ordinance 2010 FC5, June 3, 2010.

1.2 Exceptions to the Substantial Improvement Rule
Improvements that are made to correct building code, health or safety violations are not affected by this rule, nor are improvements to registered historic buildings provided the improvements or repairs will not preclude the structure’s continued designation as a historic structure.

1.2.1 Conforming Lateral Additions
A lateral addition does not count towards the 50% rule if the addition:
1) Conforms to current floodplain requirements, and
2) Is not structurally connected as defined in Section 1.3, and
3) The only modification to the existing structure is to add a doorway in the common wall. There can be no interior or other modifications to the existing structure, such as remodeling, roofing, etc.

See Section 4.2 for exceptions related to the addition of attached garages.

1.2.2 Code, Health and Safety Violations Exemptions
There are restrictions to exempting certain repairs from the Substantial Improvement and Substantial Damage Rule in regards to code, health and safety violations. They are as follows:
1) The violations must have been known and recognized as such by the appropriate regulatory official such as a building official, code enforcement officer, fire marshal or health officer.
2) Such deficiencies in code must be documented previous to the remodel or repair of the structure.
3) Costs to remedy violations which existed prior to the application for improvements or repair that were undocumented cannot be exempted from the cost estimate.

Costs to remedy violations cannot be exempted from the cost estimate merely because undertaking the improvement project would create or reveal violations. For instance, if during the remodeling process, it is discovered that the electrical systems no longer meet code standards, the cost to replace or repair them cannot be deducted from the cost estimate.

The amount exempted from the Substantial Improvement and Substantial Damage Rule can only be for those specific documented items, and only in like-kind replacement. For instance, if one tread of a stairway needs to be repaired, the cost of one tread may be deducted from the amount calculated as substantial improvement or repair. If the owner chooses to replace the entire stairway, for whatever reason, the cost of the entire stairway minus one tread is added to the cost estimate. If the owner wishes to replace wood treads with platinum treads, only the cost of one wood tread may be deducted from the cost estimate.

1.2.3 Historical Structure Exemption
There are a few known registered historical structures in unincorporated Pima County. To verify if a structure is registered as historical, contact the following office:

Arizona Historic Preservation Society Arizona State Parks Department
1300 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007
Note that this exemption to the Substantial Improvement and Substantial Damage Rule only applies to registered historic structures. Also note that if the proposed improvements or repairs would cause the structure to lose its historical status, then an exemption is not allowed. While an exemption may be granted, the permittee should still be encouraged to use other flood-proofing methods that do not interfere with the historic value, status, or look of the structure. Refer to the retrofitting manual for guidance. For example, a perimeter berm can protect a structure without touching it.

1.3 Structural Connection and Common Walls

Due to concerns about additions transferring flood loads to the existing building, it may be necessary for a lateral addition to be constructed so that it is not structurally connected to the existing structure. For an addition to be considered not structurally connected it must meet the following criteria:

1) The load-bearing structure of the existing building is not altered.
2) The addition is attached to the existing building with minimal (i.e. breakaway) connection. For example, the roof of the addition can be constructed so that there is a continuous surface to repel rain, but cannot transfer loads (dead or live loads) to the existing structure. Dead loads for the addition must be born completely by the addition. The addition must also be constructed so that any potential live loads (i.e. shear load), such as might be caused by either the original structure or the addition collapsing, will not be transferred between the original structure and the addition.
3) The only allowable modification to the common wall is the addition of a doorway. A doorway is considered to be a 3 foot opening.
4) Per FEMA 480-Floodplain Management Requirements, “. . . if one building is attached to another through a covered breezeway or similar connection, it is a separate building and not an addition.”
Applying the Substantial Improvement Rule: A flow chart

Does the existing structure conform to the Ordinance?
YES—New improvements must conform too; rule does not apply

NO

Is the improvement a lateral addition that meets the requirements of section 1.2.1?
YES—New improvement conforms; rule does not apply

NO

Is the improvement something that adds value to existing structure?
(include anything attached, such as a: porch, deck, room, repair, renovation, or remodel; do not include anything detached, such as a: pool, fence, landscaping, or detached structure)
NO—Rule does not apply

YES

Is the work to correct code, health or safety violations OR is the structure registered as historic?
YES—rule does not apply, but refer to Sections 1.2.2 and 1.2.3

NO

Has the structure been improved, added on to, replaced, repaired, renovated, etc. in the past?
YES—See Section 3.2 on cumulative improvements

NO

Is this a manufactured home?
YES—See section 3.3

NO

Is the structure commercial?
YES—See Section 3.4

NO

See Section 3

2  Special Cases

2.1 Roof Mounted Solar Arrays
Since roof mounted solar arrays or panels add to the value of the structure, the cost of this type of improvement must be considered towards the total allowable nonconforming use value, with the following exceptions:

1) Leased systems, as they are not real property and are owned by the lessor.
   a. A cost estimate for the solar system is required at the time of permitting, but the cost will not be applied towards the 50% rule unless the solar system is subsequently purchased.
2) Systems installed on structures within locally mapped sheetflow floodplains with a flow depth of 6 inches or less.
3) Systems installed on detached structures that are completely or partially open-sided, such as shade structures, carports, etc.

See Technical Policy TECH-032 for more information on permitting roof mounted solar panels.

2.2 Structures Within Erosion Hazard Areas
Structures may also be nonconforming uses due to their location within an erosion hazard area. For structures within an erosion hazard area for which improvements are proposed, the following shall apply:

1) Improvements that do not increase the footprint of the structure shall be counted towards the cumulative improvement value.
2) Lateral additions that are located at least partially within the erosion hazard area shall be counted towards the cumulative improvement value.
3) Lateral additions that are located entirely outside the erosion hazard area shall not be counted towards the cumulative improvement value.
4) Lateral additions shall not be located closer to the source of the erosion risk than the original structure, except that:
   a. Any addition so proposed shall be supported by an approved engineering analysis that either designs erosion protection for the addition sufficient to protect the addition from the erosion hazard or reduces the erosion hazard area so that the entire addition is outside the erosion hazard area.
   b. The analysis in 4a above shall remove the addition from being subject to the nonconforming use rules and may also allow the addition and/or original structure to be considered a conforming use, on a case-by-case basis.

2.3 Open-sided Additions
Open-sided additions such as covered patios, decks and carports may be constructed to conform to the Ordinance and therefore may be permitted without consideration of the 50% rule provided the open-sided addition meets the following criteria:
   1) The open-sided addition is not structurally connected to the nonconforming use (see Section 1.3), and
   2) The open-sided addition is constructed of floodproof materials up to the RFE.

2.4 Basements
Per FEMA P-758-Substantial Improvement/Substantial Damage Desk Reference, basements in non-residential structures may be dry floodproofed.

3 Calculation of Improvement Percentage
The calculation to determine substantial improvement depends on whether the improvement is the first improvement, or a subsequent improvement.

3.1 Improvement Percentage Calculation for First Improvement
For the first improvement to a nonconforming use structure, the formula for determining the improvement percentage is:

\[ \frac{C_i}{V_s} = R \]

where \( C_i \) is the cost of the improvement, \( V_s \) is the value of the existing structure, and \( R \) is the relative percentage of the cost of the improvement to the value of the structure. If \( R \) equals or exceeds 50%, the improvement is a substantial improvement and additional requirements apply, as discussed below.

3.2 Improvement Percentage Calculation for Subsequent Improvements
When applying the 50% improvement rule to subsequent improvements, one must consider the change in home value over time in order to avoid scenarios in which a structure is improved upon indefinitely and in excess of 50% of the value of the original structure. As an example, suppose the value of a structure is $100,000 and an improvement costing $25,000 is made. The percent remaining for future improvements would then be 25%. If at some point in the future the home is worth $250,000, by applying 25% to that new value, the property owner at that time would then have $62,500 to spend on an improvement at that time; a value that includes an increase in value due to the first improvement as well as the increase in value of the improvement over time. This is clearly a violation of the intent and spirit of the law. In order to rectify this problem, the following formula shall be used to calculate cumulative improvements. The methodology by which the formula was derived is presented in Attachment A.

To calculate the cumulative value of the structure and discount the value of previous improvements, use:
0.5 ≤ \( p_1 + p_2(1 + p_1) + p_3(1 + p_1)(1 + p_2) \ldots \)

where \( p_1 \) is the ratio of the value of the first improvement to the initial value of the structure, \( p_2 \) is the ratio of the value of the second improvement to the new value of the structure minus the initial value of the first improvement, and so forth.

By allowing the value of the structure to increase over time, the formula allows for more improvements to be made while remaining compliant with the 50% rule, as opposed to limiting the value of the structure to its value at the time of the first improvement. However, by discounting any increase in the value of the structure due to previous improvements, the formula ensures that extent of improvements is related to the original structure only. It adjusts for inflation as well as appreciating home values, higher prices, etc.

An Excel calculation worksheet has been created at the following location to assist in performing these calculations: Z:\_Shared Data\Rules_Procedures_etc\Technical Policies and Procedures\Tech 108 supporting docs/Tech 108- calculation worksheet.xls

### 3.3 Manufactured Home Replacements

Replacement of a nonconforming manufactured home is often a simple matter because the new manufactured home is considered to be new construction and can be elevated to conform to the Ordinance, and thus the nonconforming use rule does not apply. However, there are locations where new habitable structures of any kind are not permitted, but where existing structures are allowed to remain as nonconforming uses, such as floodways, erosion hazard areas, or areas that exceed the flow depth or \( DV^2 \) provisions found in Section 16.26.050.G of the Ordinance. A replacement manufactured home permitted in these areas under the nonconforming use rules must conform to current floodplain management regulations with respect to construction standards for elevation and foundation.

Replacing an existing manufactured home where a new manufactured home would otherwise not be allowed constitutes a "reconstruction" or "rehabilitation" and as such is allowed under the substantial improvement rule. The substantial improvement rule can be used in these cases to replace a manufactured home, as long as the value of the new manufactured home and the cost of installing the manufactured home does not equal or exceed 150% of the value of the replaced home.

Value of existing Manufactured Home \( \times 1.5 = \) amount available for a replacement Manufactured Home.

Example: Current manufactured home value: $50,000.00
Allowable improvements under the substantial improvement rule (50% of MH): $25,000
Allowable value of replacement home (1.5x original value) including installation costs: $75,000

### 3.3.1 Determining the Value of a Manufactured Home

Assessor’s data or an appraisal may be used to establish the value of a manufactured home. If the manufactured home has already been removed from the property and cannot be appraised for value, the applicant/owner must provide:

1) per Section 16.26.060 of the Ordinance, documentation that the manufactured home was removed from the property within the past 12 months, and
2) documentation that specifies the make, model and construction year of the manufactured home that was removed from the property, and
3) a book value from an appraiser or valuation company like NADA or Kelley Blue Book.
   a. The value of a home that is estimated through a valuation tool must assume the home to be in average condition unless justification can be provided for a valuation using a better condition category.
b. If photographs, including aerial photographs, demonstrate that the structure was in a fair or poor condition prior to being removed from the property, the valuation must take this into account. An appraiser may be required in these situations.

3.3.2 Basic Procedure
1. Regardless of value, replacement manufactured homes are considered new structures and, as such, must comply with the Ordinance. Nonconforming use rules should only be applied to manufactured home replacements where new structures would otherwise be prohibited, such as those mentioned in Section 3.3 above. If this does not apply, permit normally. If this does apply, proceed to the next step.
2. If any previous manufactured home replacements have taken place since the structure became nonconforming, use the cumulative substantial improvement rules.
3. Obtain receipts of value for both existing and replacement homes; these must be included in the Floodplain Use Permit file. A Title Certificate that lists the home's value can also be used or one of the methods outlined for fair market value in Section 3.3.1 can be used.
4. In cases where new structures are not normally allowed by the Ordinance, multiply the value of the existing (to be replaced) manufactured Home by 150% (1.50). Any amount under this number can be used for the installation of a replacement Manufactured Home.
5. In all cases, the replacement Manufactured Homes shall be installed per the provisions of the Ordinance. These provisions may require the applicant to provide an engineered foundation design for the home. The cost of the engineering analysis and design shall not be included in the amount available for the 50% rule.

Future additions or improvements to the new manufactured home will be limited by whatever is left of the 50% value originally calculated. In this case, see the Section 3.2.

Additional requirements, such as re-orienting the structure parallel to flow or prescribing specific construction techniques may be placed on the replacement manufactured home.

3.4 Commercial Structures
Commercial properties are bound by the same rules for substantial improvement as non-commercial buildings, with two notable exceptions.

3.4.1 Dry Floodproofing
In some cases, commercial buildings may use dry flood-proofing (making the structure watertight) as a means of conforming to the Ordinance. A flood-proofing certificate is required if this option is chosen. The flood-proofing certificate must show that all doors and the common wall between the existing structure and improvements are also made watertight. If a second floor is added and is a substantial improvement, then the entire building must be brought into compliance by either elevating or flood-proofing, both of which require the completion of the applicable FEMA Certificate.

3.4.2 Tenant Improvements
Some commercial structures are designed to be modified internally to meet a specific tenant's needs. When these improvements do not materially change the value of the structure, the improvements do not need to be counted against the 50% rule. A single project may include improvements that are considered tenant improvements that do not count towards the 50% rule and also include improvements that materially affect the value of the structure and which therefore do need to be counted as part of the 50% rule. An example of this is an addition which materially adds value to the structure combined with the removal, addition or relocation of partitions or product shelving units within the existing structure that do not add value to the structure.
3.5 Information Required to Calculate Nonconforming Use Rule
In order to accurately determine the value of the improvements in relation to the value of the existing structure, it is necessary to determine the value of the existing structure and obtain a cost estimate of the improvements.

If the improvement is an addition, information regarding the common wall between new and existing improvements is necessary. With respect to substantial improvements, FEMA Bulletin 480, page 8-12 states, “If the common wall is demolished as part of the project, then the entire structure must be elevated. If only a doorway is knocked through it and only minimal finishing is done [to the existing structure], then only the addition has to be elevated.” For the purposes of this procedure, a doorway is defined as an opening not to exceed three feet in width.

The value of any and all improvements initiated after the adoption of Floodplain Management Ordinance 2010 FC5 on June 3, 2010 are also required, whether or not the improvement(s) were permitted. It is the responsibility of the property owner to provide satisfactory values for unpermitted improvements.

3.5.1 Determining the Value of the Existing Structure
It is necessary to establish the "Fair market value" for the structure, before improvements. Fair Market Value applies only to the value of the structure being improved; not the land, other structures on the property, location of the property, or other improvements on the property, such as pools, landscaping, etc. Fair market value can be obtained in a number of ways:

- An appraisal of the structure performed by a licensed appraiser or other qualified professional. The appraisal cannot be completed by the property owner or someone related to the property owner.
- Assessed value of the structure as listed in the Pima County Assessor's Office. In most cases involving site built homes, this value shall be calculated by multiplying the latest assessed full cash value of the parcel by 0.65 (65%). It may be necessary to use other Assessor’s data for manufactured homes and site built structures other than the primary residence.
- A value of the structure based on NFIP claims data.

3.5.2 Determining the Cost of the Proposed Improvements (Cost Estimate)
A complete cost estimate is required. The cost estimate must be completed by a registered contractor or construction estimator not related to the property owner. Property owners cannot prepare their own cost estimate, even if a licensed contractor. The cost estimate shall include the fair market value/costs of all materials and labor separately. If any materials or labor is donated, performed by the property owner, or offered at a reduced rate, the fair market value of those items shall be used in the cost estimate. Fair market value is the value that the average person would have to pay for materials and labor based on the normal going rate in the local market.

Items to include in calculating the cost of the project:

a) All structural elements
   i. Spread or continuous foundation footings and pilings
   ii. Monolithic or other types of concrete slabs
   iii. Bearing walls, tie beams, and trusses
   iv. Floors and ceilings
   v. Attached decks and porches
   vi. Interior partition walls
   vii. Exterior wall finishes
   viii. Windows and doors
   ix. Reshingling or retiling a roof
   x. Hardware

b) All interior and exterior finishing elements
i. Tiling, linoleum, stone, or carpet over subflooring
ii. Bathroom tiling and fixtures
iii. Wall finishes (paint)
iv. Kitchen utility and bathroom cabinets
v. Built-in bookcases, cabinets and furniture
vi. Built-in appliances
vii. Hardware
viii. Drywall
ix. Paint

c) All utility and service equipment
   i. HVAC equipment
   ii. Plumbing and electrical services
   iii. Light fixtures and ceiling fans
   iv. Security systems
   v. Built-in kitchen appliances
   vi. Central vacuum systems
   vii. Water filtration, conditioning and/or recirculation systems
   viii. For alternative energy systems, the full cost of materials and labor must be provided without the inclusion of any rebates or tax incentives.

d) Overhead, profits and taxes

e) Cost to demolish storm-damaged building components

f) Labor and other costs associated with moving or altering undamaged building components to accommodate improvements or additions

Items to be excluded in calculating the cost of the project:

   i. Plans and specifications
   ii. Survey costs
   iii. Permit fees
   iv. Post-storm debris removal and cleanup (Example: If a tree falls on a roof and smashes it, the cost to remove the tree is not included in the cost estimate, but the cost to demolish and replace the damaged roof is.)
   v. Outside improvements
   vi. Landscaping and irrigation
   vii. Sidewalks
   viii. Fences
   ix. Yard lights
   x. Swimming pools
   xi. Screen pool enclosures
   xii. Detached structures

A sample cost estimate can be found in Attachment A. The sample cost estimate may include items that are not relevant to certain projects and may not include items that are relevant to certain projects. The District shall review cost estimates and plan sets to ensure that cost estimates are complete.
4 Rules Applicable to Substantial and Non-Substantial Improvements to Nonconforming Use Structures

The following rules are applicable to substantial and non-substantial improvements to nonconforming use structures.

4.1 No Increase of Hazard

In addition to the application of the 50% rule to improvements to nonconforming uses, the District requires that all such improvements be constructed in a manner which does not increase the flood or erosion damage potential of the structure. This means that the improvements shall have a finished floor elevation that is at least as high as the lowest adjacent floor of the nonconforming use, and shall have at least the same level of erosion/scour protection as the nonconforming use, as determined by the location of the improvement(s) with respect to the erosion hazard and the depth of footing of the existing structure. In accordance with this policy:

a) The floor of any proposed lateral addition must be elevated to provide at least the same level of flood protection as the existing structure, as demonstrated by the site plan and/or building plans.
   i. An Elevation Certificate shall be required to demonstrate that the improvements have been constructed in accordance with this standard.
   ii. A lateral addition that extends uphill or upstream from the footprint of the original structure could, if the finished floor of the addition matched the original structure, be at an increased risk of flooding because the BFE becomes higher the further upstream the addition extends. As such, the finished floor of a lateral addition on the upstream or uphill side of a structure must be elevated at least as high above grade as the floor of the existing structure. For example, if natural grade at the upstream edge of a lateral addition is 8 inches higher than natural grade at the upstream edge of the original structure, the finished floor of the addition must be raised at least 8 inches above the finished floor of the original structure.
   iii. A lateral addition that extends into a higher depth floodplain must be raised by that increased depth, over and above the requirements of 4.1.ii.

b) If a structure is only partially within a regulatory floodplain, the addition must be placed outside of the floodplain if practicable.

c) If the improvement(s) include an attached garage, the garage may be flood-vented and wet floodproofed to the regulatory flood elevation. Flood venting shall be on at least two exterior walls with the bottom of the vents within one foot of natural grade. The total area of flood vents shall be equal to at least one square inch of venting per square foot of enclosed area. Floodproof materials are those that can be inundated by floodwaters with little or no damage, such as concrete, stone, masonry, lumber, and epoxy paint. Vented and/or floodproofed areas or structures must remain non-habitable unless brought into compliance. The size and location of flood vents shall be shown on the site plan and/or building plans.

d) It is necessary to locate or construct the proposed improvements such that they do not increase the potential for erosion damage.
   i. A lateral addition shall not further encroach into an erosion hazard area than the existing structure.
   ii. Alternatively, to address the erosion concerns, a report by an Arizona Registered Civil Engineer may be submitted that either demonstrates that the improvements are not at increased hazard from erosion or to design erosion protection for the proposed improvements. This report requires review and approval by the District.
   iii. The footing of the proposed improvement must extend below grade to a depth that is at least equal to the maximum extension below grade of the footing of the existing structure, as demonstrated by the site plan and/or building plans.
   iv. Additions that increase the obstructive width of the structure or that are built on the upstream side of the structure may be required to be constructed with foundations that exceed the depth of the footer of the existing structure, at the discretion of the District.
e) A zero-rise analysis/certification is required for structures in the floodway demonstrating that the new improvements will not increase water surface elevations. The analysis may need to include the design of compensatory storage.

### 4.2 Attached Garage Additions

Attached garages are considered to be compliant when they are constructed with flood openings and constructed out of flood damage resistant materials to or above the RFE. Attached garages that conform to the Ordinance, either by flood venting and wet flood proofing or by elevating, do not count towards the 50% rule. Expansion of existing garages may require modification of the existing garage to make it flood damage resistant.

As with habitable additions, garage additions may not create conditions that increase the hazard to the proposed or existing improvements.

### 4.3 Lateral Additions in Minimal Risk Local Flood Hazard Areas

Additions to structures within a local approximate sheetflow floodplain with flood depths of six inches or less, are subject to the following exceptions.

a) If the finished floor of the addition is elevated at or above the RFE, the addition will not be counted towards the 50% rule for the structure, regardless of whether the original structure is otherwise remodeled or rehabilitated,

b) If the finished floor of the addition is not elevated at or above the RFE and the cumulative value of all improvements does not equal or exceed 50% of the value of the structure, the common wall between the existing structure and the proposed addition may be substantially modified, e.g. an opening may be made that is wider than 3 feet.

### 5 Substantial Improvement

If any nonconforming improvement to a nonconforming use equals or exceeds 50% of the value of the structure, as determined using the methods above, the improvement, any future improvements, and in some cases, the entire existing structure, shall be constructed or brought into compliance with the current floodplain requirements.

#### 5.1 Remodeling and Rehabilitation

Any improvements that are substantial and include remodeling or rehabilitating the existing structure requires that both the proposed and existing improvements comply with current floodplain requirements. In most cases, that means that the existing structure must be raised so that the lowest floor is elevated at or above the Regulatory Flood Elevation. Protection of the foundation of the proposed and existing structure is also required.

#### 5.2 Impact of Lateral Additions on the Nonconforming Use Structure

For a lateral addition that is a substantial improvement, the treatment of the existing structure depends on whether work is being done to the original structure and whether the addition is structurally connected (see Section 1.3).

a) If work is being done to the original structure and the addition is structurally connected, the proposed addition and the existing structure must be made compliant with current floodplain requirements.

b) If the addition is not structurally connected, only the addition must be made compliant with current floodplain regulations.

c) If the proposed work includes work in the nonconforming use structure or structural modification of the nonconforming use structure, regardless of the structural connection, the addition and the nonconforming use structure must be made to conform with current floodplain regulations.
5.3 Unusual Cases: Second Floors, Footprints, Erosion Hazard Areas, Multiple Structures
The following examples apply to improvements that constitute a substantial improvement, that is, the cost of repairs or improvement equals or exceeds 50% of the value of the original structure.

d) If a second floor is being added, the whole structure must be elevated if the improvements are substantial. This is true regardless of whether changes to the footprint are made.
e) If improvements do not add square footage to a structure but are substantial (like a remodeling, refurbishing or renovation), the entire structure must be brought into compliance.
f) If a substantially improved structure does not conform to the Ordinance because it is within an erosion hazard area, then the structure must either be relocated or otherwise demonstrated to be outside of the erosion hazard area or be protected from erosion by measures designed and as-built by an Arizona registered civil engineer prior to the construction of any substantial improvement.
g) If multiple nonconforming structures exist on the property, and owners wish to substantially improve them, each is counted separately. An appraisal must be obtained for each separate structure, and the allowable improvements are based on 50% of the value of each respective structure.

6 Substantial Damage Rule
The Code of Federal Regulations defines substantial damage as follows:
"Substantial damage means damage of any origin sustained by a structure whereby the cost of restoring the structure to its before damaged condition would equal or exceed 50 percent of the market value of the structure before the damage occurred." (44 CFR 59.1)

Any proposed rebuilding of a structure in a regulatory floodplain or erosion hazard area that is substantially damaged must comply with the Floodplain Ordinance regardless of the reason for the damage.
   a) The damage can be from any source: flood, fire, termites, tornado, crime, war, etc.
   b) The substantial damage rule applies to all structures in regulated floodplains, regardless of whether or not the structure was covered by flood insurance.
   c) A structure that is considered to be substantially damaged is automatically a substantial improvement, regardless of how much repair is actually done to the structure.

6.1 Substantial Damage - Exceptions and Variances
Exceptions for bringing a damaged structure into compliance with the Floodplain Ordinance are the same as for substantial improvements. That is, exceptions are only granted to registered historic buildings (as long as the building will maintain its historic status through the repairs) and code violations which were on record before the damage occurred. See Section 1.2 for details. The same items that can be left off the cost estimate for substantial improvements apply to cost estimates for substantial damage (see Section 3.5.2 ).

Variance requests are rarely, if ever, issued in post-disaster situations. The same criteria that apply to non-disaster variance applications apply to disaster-related variance applications. The purpose of this document is not to cover the specifics of variance cases, however let it suffice to say that "FEMA considers it highly unlikely that variance requests can meet the criteria" necessary to qualify for a variance. (From FEMA publication 213/May 1991, Answers to Questions About Substantially Damaged Buildings, page 19.)

6.2 Substantial Damage - How to process a permit for a damaged building
The formula is similar to the formula for improvements. If the cost to repair the structure equals or exceeds 50% of the value of the structure before it was damaged, then the damage is substantial and the entire structure must be brought into conformance, regardless of whether or not the owner chooses to restore the structure to the pre-damage condition.
The process for determining whether or not a building is substantially damaged, and therefore whether or not it must be brought into compliance with the Ordinance, is as follows:

a) Determine a value for the structure before the damage occurred. See Section 3.5 for details.

b) Determine a cost to repair the structure to before-damaged condition. This can be obtained from:
   i. A licensed general contractor, not related to the applicant
   ii. A professional construction estimator, not related to the applicant
   iii. Insurance adjustment papers, excluding damage to personal contents (this method is only useful as an estimation tool, rarely as a precise figure)

c) Check for previous permits. Any previous improvements to nonconforming uses must be added to the total cost. It is possible that even though the damage from the current event is not substantial, when added to the cost of all previous improvements, the project becomes a substantial improvement.

d) If this number is equal to or greater than 50%, then it is a substantial improvement and all substantial improvement rules apply. See the section on substantial improvements for the applicable structure type. If it is not substantially damaged, keep the cost estimate on record for future improvements or repairs; at some point it may become a substantial improvement.

The cost estimate must be for what is needed to return the structure to before damaged condition, no matter what the owner may choose to do at this or another time. The owner may choose to do the minimum amount of work to re-occupy the structure, but this amount is irrelevant to the cost estimate. If new improvements are done with the repair, they must be included in the cost estimate and count toward substantial improvements.

If work is done to improve the damaged structure beyond pre-damage condition, that value must be included and counted in the determination of whether the total of damage and improvements meets or exceeds the 50% threshold.

6.3 Substantial Damage - Cost Estimates
Cost estimates for damaged structures are required when the amount of damage is unknown and the owner does not wish to bring the building into compliance with the Floodplain Ordinance. Cost estimates for substantial damage must be supplied by a third party licensed contractor or professional construction estimator, or from insurance adjustment papers, though insurance adjustment papers may not be adequate (see Section 3.5.2). If damage is from a flood, then the following items are likely to also be a part of the total estimate:

- new wall board and insulation and new paint
- replacement of sub-floor and new floor coverings
- new appliances, new doors, new furnace and water heater
- cleaning of duct work
- porch repair, and repair to other attached improvements (but not detached improvements)
- cleaned and/or repaired and inspected plumbing and electrical systems and fixtures

Keep in mind that the cost to repair a flood-damaged structure is usually much higher than expected, since major flood damage can occur from only a few inches of water. Since much of the damage may occur out of sight, it is important to ensure that the cost estimate for repair is complete. Damage such as mold may not be visible at all.

6.4 Damage that is Not Substantial
If the value of the damage is less than 50%, the cost of the damage must be kept on file and counted against any future improvements or subsequent damage.

6.5 Damage and Improvements that are Substantial
It is possible that an owner may choose to take the opportunity of a damaged building to renovate the building, remodel a part or all of it, or add a second floor. Any and all improvements above and beyond the cost to repair the structure must be added to the cost estimate at this time, since they might well constitute a substantial improvement. Example: $30,000 in damage is done to a house valued at $100,000. The owners decide to also...
put on a second story which will cost $25,000. The total of repairs and improvements is now $55,000, and the structure is a substantial improvement and must now comply with all provisions of the Ordinance and approved policies and procedures.

### 6.6 Disaster - What to do When Many Structures are Damaged at Once

After a catastrophe, many buildings will need to be repaired or outright re-built. Those that are substantially damaged must be built in compliance with the Ordinance.

After a catastrophic flood or other event, an emergency response team would be created and would coordinate the department's investigation of affected areas. Whether or not those investigations would include any assessment of buildings' level of damage is not known. However, in light of the Substantial Damage rule, it is good to have some general knowledge in mind before such an event occurs.

At such a time, many homeowners will be applying for permits to re-build right away. The department will be faced with the processing of perhaps hundreds of permits. To waive the determination of whether or not a building's damage is substantial is not an option, as it would be allowing structures to violate the Ordinance, and in the case of a flood, would also be placing those very structures back into the danger which they have just sustained. However, note that owners wishing to repair and/or rebuild in compliance with the Ordinance can be processed simply as new construction.

For all substantial improvements, the plans must show the building will comply with the Ordinance. Structures are placed into one of two categories:

- a) Buildings that have obviously sustained more than substantial damage: When a building has structural damage such as collapsed walls, a roof ripped off, the structure is dislodged from its foundation, or when more than three feet of flooding has occurred, it is likely to be substantially damaged. As it will be some time before the structure can be re-built and re-occupied, and the funds for doing so may not be readily available, these permits may have less priority. However, if the owner is ready to re-build and the plans show it will be in compliance with the Ordinance, then a cost estimate is irrelevant and such permits can be processed as new construction.

- b) Buildings for which substantial damage is not easily determined or that have sustained damage that is clearly less than 50% of the value of the structure. If the owner does not wish to bring the structure into compliance with the Ordinance, such permits will have to depend on a detailed cost estimate to justify further non-compliance with the Ordinance. Compliance with the Ordinance is always the preferred alternative.

### 7 Definitions

- **Cumulative substantial damage** – See the Ordinance for the current definition.
- **Cumulative substantial improvement** – See the Ordinance for the current definition.
- **Nonconforming use** – See the Ordinance for the current definition.
- **Non-Residential** – This term is not defined by FEMA, but is generally regarded to mean any structure in which people do not sleep, either permanently or temporarily.

**Not structurally connected** - A lateral addition is “not structurally connected” if it involves no alteration of the load-bearing structure of the original building, is attached to the original building with minimal connection, and has a doorway as the only modification to the common wall. The use of a breezeway between two buildings is also considered not structurally connected. An addition that is below the BFE and “not structurally connected” is expected to sustain damage, but should not transfer loads to the original building.
**Residential** – This term is not defined by FEMA, but is generally regarded to mean any structure in which people sleep, either permanently or temporarily. Examples include homes, apartments, hotels, assisted living facilities, jails, hospitals, dormitories, etc.

**Structurally connected** - A lateral addition is “structurally connected” if it has its load-bearing structure connected to the load-bearing structure of the original building.

**Substantial damage** – See the Ordinance for the current definition.

**Substantial improvement** - See the Ordinance for the current definition.
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