NOTICE
PUBLIC MEETING
OF THE
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER ADVISORY COMMITTEE
DECEMBER 19, 2013 - 5:30 P.M.
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N Silverbell Road - Administration Building
(520) 243-5900
www.pima.gov/animalcare

Should you require ADA accommodations, please contact the Pima Animal Care Center at 243-5900 five (5) days prior to the meeting.

Functions of the Committee:
1. Serve in an advisory capacity to the Board, and to the Manager of the Pima Animal Care Center; and
2. Review and evaluate the operations of the Center to make recommendations in writing to the Board for the formulation of guidelines to assure that:
   A. The Center's operations are conducted in the best interest of the public health and safety; and
   B. The Center keeps pace with the most modern practices and procedures of animal care and welfare; and
3. Review complaints from the public concerning policies of the Center and make recommendations for resolution to the proper authority.

AGENDA

I. Call to Order
   • Roll Call
   • Establishment of Quorum & Pledge of Allegiance

II. Adoption of the Minutes of the November 19, 2013 Meeting

III. Call to the Audience

IV. Reports
   • Operations for the Month Of November - Manager's Report

V. Old Business
   • PACC Review of Animal Care Services - This topic can cover the three memos from Chuck Huckleberry regarding fees for owner requested euthanasia; services provided by inmates; and cost increases to cover funding needed for additional shelter services and staffing. We can also discuss the redemption program and fees under this topic.
   • Status of Sick Bay Contract
   • Cat Room Soundproofing
   • General Donations (rewording for on-line version and placement on mail-in form)
   • Outreach Curriculum (Derek Marshall)
   • Training and Supervision of Inmates
   • Medical Services and Euthanasia Protocols
   • Adoption Program (increase adoption hosts)
   • Alarm System for Emergencies (dog fights)
   • Conditions in U-Bay
   • Floor Plan Changes
   • Ideas to Reduce Number of Dogs Coming into PACC (Peggy Watson)

VI. New Business
   • Providing monthly Committee meeting minutes to the Board of Supervisors and discuss providing another report to the Board of Supervisors

VIII. Animal Welfare and Dangerous Animal Cases for the Month of November

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>October 2013</th>
<th>Dangerous Dog</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A13-132380</td>
<td>A13-122199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A13-133988</td>
<td>A13-133097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A13-134623</td>
<td>A13-129347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A13-134711</td>
<td>A13-119595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A13-134743</td>
<td>A13-121465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A13-135673</td>
<td>A13-114470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A13-135673</td>
<td>A13-135603</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IX. In November, 946 donors donated $16,289 along with food, treats and pet supplies to Pima Animal Care Center

X. Five (5) complaint and three (3) commendations were received by staff.

XI. Call to the Audience

XII. Announcements & Schedules

XIII. Next Meeting – January 16, 2014

XIV. Adjournment

Copies of this agenda are available upon request at the Pima Animal Care Center, 4000 N. Silverbell Rd, by calling 243-5909 or at www.pima.gov/animalcare
PIMA COUNTY ANIMAL CARE CENTER ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES
November 21, 2013

PRESENT:  Chair: Jack Neuman, PACC Volunteers
Tamara Barrick, F.A.I.R.
Nancy Emptage, Animal Welfare Coalition
Mary George, Disabled Community
Pat Hubbard, Humane Society of Southern Arizona
Sophia Kaluzniacki DVM, ASPCA of AZ, Inc.
Derek Marshall, Public Education
Erin O’Donnell DVM, Southern AZ Veterinary Medical Association
Jane Schwerin, People for Animals in the Prevention of Cruelty and Neglect
Gail Smith MD, Board of Health
Margaret Watson, Tucson Kennel Club

ABSENT: Angela Spencer, City of Tucson

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENDA ITEM</th>
<th>DISCUSSION</th>
<th>ACTION / FOLLOW-UP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CALL TO ORDER</td>
<td>The meeting was called to order at 5:31 PM.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES</td>
<td>Approval of Minutes for October 17, 2013</td>
<td>Ms. George pointed out 3 minor corrections. Page 5 change Mr. Emptage to Ms. Emptage. Further down the page paragraph starting Dr. Kaluzniacki; change “in humane” to inhumane. Lastly page 15 “brochure” is spelled wrong. Ms. Emptage suggested the minutes be summarized vs. transcribed in the interest of saving staff time. Discussion members could request a copy of the recording and times would be placed in the minutes to correspond with discussion. Members could also request a particular discussion be address in more detail in the minutes. Recording time 2:49-7:03.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV. CALL TO THE AUDIENCE</td>
<td>Carol Gelfan</td>
<td>She did a special needs adoption last week and commented what a good experience it was and commended the acting Rescue Coordinator.  She asked about an answer to her question presented last month about spaying the possibly early pregnant animals before they go to rescue and thus reducing the numbers and costs to rescue groups. Mr. Janes stated she should have received an answer by now and commented PACC has started a program where possibly pregnant, obviously pregnant, animals are being altered with some limitations for advance pregnant and were the vet determines it should not be done. Both PACC and Humane Society clinics are</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### Agenda Item

- **Jean Price**
  - She is President of Old Pueblo Dog Training Club and presented a donation of $324.73 from the proceeds of their recent fun match. The money will go to Sam's Fund.

- **Cindy Kirlin**
  - She asked for an explanation of why dogs held on the rescue line, previous biters, and dogs needing socialization that are being walked/handled daily by the volunteers but are not being altered at PACC for adoption. Recording time 7:03-12:42.

### Report

#### Operations-Manager's Report

- **Jody McCloskey – Tainted Jerky Treats**
  - Ms. McCloskey spoke about tainted jerky treats associated with deaths of pets throughout the country as a result of concerns brought up by Ms. Schwerin. This does not fall under Pima County jurisdiction to ban the sale of jerky treats. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has jurisdiction regarding the sale of these treats. The FDA is looking for reports within 24 hours of possible exposure from veterinarians. Ms. Schwerin distributed a flyer to members and warned pet owners not to feed these treats. The Pima County Health Department is looking for public comments on this subject. Discussion by committee members as to how to best notify the public. Press release, different websites Pima County, SAVMA, vet clinics. It was published in the Arizona Daily Star Nov. 8, 9, 10. Recording time 12:42-23:30.

- **Manager's Report**
  - Mr. Janes commented on the altering of pets and limited capacity of the center. PACC is hoping to hire seven positions to increase staffing by mid-December via a job fair. There are some key positions; one is a fund development position to help secure grants, major donors, etc. Second is a foster/rescue specialist to find fosters who can take animals after surgery to allow for recovery outside of PACC. They would come back for adoption or to an offsite. PACC needs to reduce the amount of time animals spend in the shelter and reduce intake as well. These positions are key to moving PACC forward to increase contracts for treatment, altering pregnant animals or animals that could not be routinely altered at the center.

  The tent is coming. The pad is out there and needs to cure for a...
week. The tent will be put up once the pad has cured then the utilities will be added, heating, cooling, water. By the end of December the kennels will arrive and be installed. They are being specially built and can be used when a more permanent facility is built.

The hiring action will include 7 positions, six of which will be Animal Care Technicians including the foster/adoption specialist and the one program coordinator for fund development. The new Shelter Manager interviews will take place tomorrow. With the Shelter Manager in place, the Animal Advocate will be able to focus more on rescues and adoptions and PACC will be better able to focus on minimizing intakes, caring for the pet population and getting them out faster and more efficiently. This being said it is absolutely necessary PACC still has the help of all its rescue partners and volunteers. All of this is not replacing anything but rather supplementing what needs to be done.

There will be 100 kennels in the tent. It will house mostly bigger dogs. With the bigger dogs coyotes and bobcats should not be a problem. There will be a security/perimeter fence that will be locked to keep intruders out. The fence will be snake proofed. Mostly the larger, longer-term dogs will be housed here. PACC will work to market/get the word out/use social networks so that people know they are there and will come in for them.

The employee survey that was created has been review by the County Human Resources and will be put up on the County website for employees. It is important employees understand the results will not be going directly to Mr. Janes or Health Department personnel unless it is release by the committee for their review. Mr. Marshall is the point of contact for technical questions involving the survey.

Ms. Emptage commented on a new virus, circovirus, it comes from pigs and birds. Ms. Watson commented there have been no cases in Arizona. It has similar symptoms to Parvo and a Parvo test comes up negative. Dr. O'Donnell comment they are not 100% sure it is the circovirus. She has treated some dogs with associated symptoms that have tested negative for Parvo. There is a vaccine for pigs for the circovirus but not one for dogs. Ms.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENDA ITEM</th>
<th>DISCUSSION</th>
<th>ACTION / FOLLOW-UP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VI. OLD BUSINESS</td>
<td>Emptage stated she is receiving increased calls for help with animals that are displaying symptoms but come up Parvo negative on the test. There are other viruses/illnesses that causes gastrointestinal problems and the treatment is much the same for all. Recording time 12:42:40:12.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACC Services-Owner Requested Euthanasia</td>
<td>Comment by Mr. Neuman there was a letter written in response to Mr. Huckleberry that was previously tabled. There is so much more going on right now, Mr. Neuman asked for a motion to table this item, Ms. Hubbard so moved and Ms. George seconded. Ms. Emptage asked this item be kept on the agenda, and Mr. Neuman commented discussion of this subject will come up in relation to discussion of other items on the agenda. Mr. Janes referred the committee to an item of the Managers report on page 22 referring to five actions for the committee to look at that could aid the Center in controlling intake numbers. These are used by other shelters successfully. These would be 1. No longer take in healthy stray and free roaming cats and increase spay/neuter funding for these animals and set up a TNR program. 2. Limit owner turn in of healthy cats and dogs to those on public assistance and refer others to various community resources for help. 3. Limit owner requested euthanasia to owners on public assistance and refer others to local veterinarians. 4. No longer take in pets from Tribal Nations or Tribal addresses. The nations currently do not provide any funding as the other jurisdictions do. This could increase loose dog problems along Tribal borders and Pima County. 5. License Cats, staff projects a very low compliance rate of maybe 10%. Mr. Janes asked for committee input on these items. Discussion this refers to the item just tabled and Mr. Neuman suggested these items should be put on the next agenda for discussion. It was suggest members put their comments in writing for the next meeting. Motion to table this discussion for the next meeting by Mr. Neuman and second by Ms. Hubbard passed by members. Recording time 40:12-51:29.</td>
<td>Committee agreed to table this item for now. Item to be put on next agenda.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGENDA ITEM</td>
<td>DISCUSSION</td>
<td>ACTION / FOLLOW-UP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACC Staffing</td>
<td>Mr. Neuman commented on the report recently sent to committee members by Deputy County Administrator Jan Lesher regarding the staffing at PACC. There seven new positions that are coming up at PACC and he suggested this be read in depth and discussed at the next meeting.</td>
<td>Place on next agenda.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mr. Neuman asked about the report from Best Friends and if there was a copy available. The evaluation was commissioned by The PAAW organization and they have a draft at this time. It is up to them to provide a copy. Recording time 51:29-53:40.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status of Sick Bay Contract</td>
<td>Mr. Neuman mentioned the contract comes up for bid on the 27th. Mr. Janes commented that he has found out there will not be a bond package until 2016 and discussion this was too long for PACC to wait. Mr. Janes suggested with the members’ approval he would be willing to take it up the chain of command to propose a PACC only bond for 2014. There are risks associated with this and others who may think their items are just as important. It has already been demonstrated this is an emergency; PACC is getting a tent to help with this issue. Jack Neuman made a motion to propose a PACC only bond proposal for $22 million for 2014 with a second by Ms. Hubbard. Ms. Watson commented PACC has the ear of the Board of Supervisors now and a lot of other support that was not there a few years ago and now would be the time to go for it. Discussion of what type of facility and the vision for a new facility. PACC has an opportunity to move from the existing warehousing shelter operation to a more modern animal care operation. It needs to include a facility that can treat, triage, isolate, rehab, and rehome the pets coming through here. Research of existing facilities and programs to find out what they are doing and how to incorporate that into getting a facility or facilities and a program that would best serve PACC and the community. Part of the bond would be designated for Ajo. The motion was passed unanimously. There are 1 or 2 vendors interested in the contract. Bids close on the 26th. The key is to find the money to do this. PACC will at least keep it to doing what is being done now for URI dogs, at</td>
<td>Motion passed to propose a 2014 PACC only bond of $22 million.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGENDA ITEM</td>
<td>DISCUSSION</td>
<td>ACTION / FOLLOW-UP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cat Room Sound Proofing</td>
<td>Facilities Management has advised the door is filled with a honey comb material and cannot be filled with sound proofing material. Staff has attempted to find sound proofing baffles that can be attached to the door but to date has not found a material which can be disinfected and kept from harboring disease. The next step is to request replacement of the door. Recording time 1:02:55-1:04:11.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Donations</td>
<td>Mr. Neuman asked to pass over this topic.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outreach Curriculum</td>
<td>This was a holdover from the last meeting. Mr. Marshall asked to meet with the Outreach Coordinator. Mr. Neuman asked if this could be done with input from Communications, Rhonda Bodfield. Recording time 1:04:11-1:05:35.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inmate Contract</td>
<td>Discussion the inmate crew schedule was changed in order to get a full crew in the morning of 14 with a correction officer (CO). The crews are in place from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. PACC is limited to 14 inmates at a time as it is a 15 passenger van used to transport them. Mr. Neuman commended the work done by the inmates. Now that the CO is in place are some tasks like a bed is needed, this dog needs to be moved, they need water etc., being directed by them or to the inmates? Per Mr. Janes it is not required in in the job description but some of them are more helpful than others. PACC staff is still responsible to check/do these things. The inmates have been asked to advise staff if something is needed and they are not taking care of it. Ms. Emptage suggested a letter of appreciation to the prison to commend an inmate's performance above and beyond what they are required to do. Per staff the inmates may be directed to do a task but may not be given anything like food, and other things. If it does not come from the prison with them they’re not to have it. PACC has done certificates and letters in the past and will do it again once the new shelter manager is in place. Recording time</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGENDA ITEM</td>
<td>DISCUSSION</td>
<td>ACTION / FOLLOW-UP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| - Medical Services | Mr. Neuman combined medical service, and under new business the euthanasia protocols for this discussion. He asked if a dog comes in injured and is being treated and is put on rescue what are the reasons why it could not be taken by the rescue groups. Mr. Janes answered he is looking at all of this and it is going change. There are times when there maybe a welfare case that is being treated and there is some forensics that need to be completed. For example an animal comes in emaciated and with food and water it improves, if it is under medical treatment, an owner pet. He is looking at ways to try to get the animals out faster. Right now other that the 4 day hold time rescues can take anything they want as soon as it is available. There are some vet holds and enforcement holds that will not be released; it is a case by case reason. PACC is going to try to minimize these.  

Mr. Neuman provided an example of a poodle with a swinging broken leg, with puppies that Poodle Rescue wanted. The dog came in in October and was placed on a vet hold. The dog had a prior break that had healed poorly; it was an ugly looking leg. The dog was put on pain meds and antibiotics preemptively and x-rays were taken. The x-rays indicated it was a prior break. Even though there were weekly inquiries by Poodle Rescue starting Nov. 2 the dog is still on vet hold. Why was the dog not allowed to go to rescue? Mr. Janes comment there are some things we do that don’t make sense to those who don’t have to live in the world of vet/patient/client relationship. He stated he himself doesn’t fully understand this. He is not going to tell a professional that he is going to override their decision and that is on him. If you need a target make him the target. He will be reviewing all these things and hopefully within a couple of months there will be a marked change in the way these things are done. What is also interesting is PACC has pets that have been here with say behavioral issues for a length of time and no one comes in for those so this is a double sided coin. Mr. Janes is committed to make the changes to start moving these animals out faster.  

Mr. Neuman suggested when there is a vet hold more information be provide as to why, when, how, medication, etc., so that people | Motion passed to provide more information about vet holds and number of vet holds. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENDA ITEM</th>
<th>DISCUSSION</th>
<th>ACTION / FOLLOW-UP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mr. Neuman made a motion to get this information for vet holds. Ms. Hubbard seconded the motion and commented the vet can put a hold on any animal but the vet and staff should be focused on getting the animals out as quickly as possible. Ms. Barrick also seconded the motion. She would like to know what the lengths of stays are for enforcement and vet holds. The average length of stays is increasing for most animals and that is why PACC does not have space. Staff has no issue with providing the requested information and trying to move the animals out as soon as possible. There are a lot of animals here that are available that no one is coming in for. Mr. Neuman would like a report to the committee detailing vet holds much like the DD and Welfare Reports. Mr. Neuman commented rescues also need to step up when animals are put on the rescue list. Ms. George left the meeting at about 19:00 hours. Recording time 1:13:15 - 1:36:30.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**VI. NEW BUSINESS**

- **Euthanasia Protocols**

  Mr. Neuman was sent a photograph of an injured dog that was brought into PACC at about 3:15 p.m. at which time a volunteer took the picture. The dog had an eye that was hanging out and an infected puncture wound in its nose oozing pus. He distributed the photo to the members. The dog was not euthanized until the next morning. Discussion as to why the dog was not euthanized when it came in. There was a delay getting the report in by the intake person, a new employee, to the euthanasia team. Per Mr. Janes PACC has had animals which looked as bad or worse come in and be treated and held for the required time and have been saved. PACC battles this every day when deciding to hold and treat or to euthanize injured animals. The evening before this animal was up and alert and this indicated maybe it could be treated with pain meds and antibiotics and held for the mandated time. However, this was not the case for this animal and the next morning it was clear the animal’s condition had changed and the animal was euthanized. By statute in the State of Arizona a veterinarian must administer the euthanasia. This is not necessarily the practice throughout the state and at PACC. PACC does have a team that is trained to do this when a vet cannot be here and it was done for this dog that morning. PACC has re instituted a process where animals will be taken to an emergency vet for assessment and/or euthanasia when the vet is not available. PACC has been successful in treating these types
of animals in the past who were up and alert, eating, drinking and they have been saved. The question is what is savable, how and when those calls are made. Ms. Emptage asked if a vet/kennel tech is available over night. To check on the animals. Staff is available from 6:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. daily to monitor the animals. From 9:00 p.m.-6:00 a.m. no one is available to do this. PACC is moving towards getting one dog per kennel so the concerns for dog fights/injury are reduced overnight. For injured animals overnight officers will take the animals to a vet. Once the Shelter Veterinarian is hired more time can be spent on these cases.

Ms. Watson commented on responsibility and at the end of the day the responsibility with this is with the owner of the dog who let the dog get this way. It happened because somebody did not take care of their dog.

Ms. Schwerin asked why the dog was not taken to one of the contract veterinarians. Per Mr. Janes determining the severity of the injury has to be weighed by the officer as to if it goes to a vet or to PACC. Although the injury looked bad the dog was up and alert, and wagging its tail. In this case there was a lapse of about four hours before treatment was started and this has been addressed by staff. PACC is bordering on hording animals to get to the point of saving what we are saving. An animal can be transported to an emergency vet overnight by an officer after contacting the enforcement supervisor on duty for permission. Ms. Schwerin read the City of Tucson law regarding euthanasia. For a licensed dog euthanasia must be authorized by a veterinarian, for an unlicensed dog this is not required.

Mr. Neuman asked who makes the decision to euthanize an animal. Staff consults with the veterinarian who makes recommendations. Usually the decision is made by the Animal Advocate, Rescue Coordinator and Shelter/Clinic Supervisor or a combination. In some cases there is a discussion with Enforcement regarding aggressive animals.

Nancy Emptage leaves the meeting at 19:27 hours. Dr. Sophia Kaluzniacki leaves the meeting at 19:29 hours.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENDA ITEM</th>
<th>DISCUSSION</th>
<th>ACTION / FOLLOW-UP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Keeping Advisory Committee Apprised of Ongoing PACC Changes and Activities</td>
<td>Mr. Neuman offered advice for holding employees accountable. Mr. Janes briefly explained how staff can be held accountable for lapses in procedure. Margaret Watson leaves the meeting at 19:30 hours. There is still a quorum. Recording time 1:36:30-2:00:00. The committee is getting more information now per Mr. Neuman.</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adoption Program</td>
<td>Mr. Neuman asked about the new Reserved Program he has heard about. PACC will be implementing a program called Meet Your Match. That will help to match potential adopters with an animal that best meets their need. There has also been a change in the interested party (IP) program. In the past PACC has called interested parties and if there was more than one once the animal is available a drawing would be held. Now if there is an interested party they would pay a non-refundable fee, the animal would be put on reserve, and the IP would be notified once the animal is available. If there is no response from the IP staff would move on to the next IP, adoption, or rescue. Recording time 2:00:00-2:03:30.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alarm for Emergency Help (Dog fights)</td>
<td>There is a need for staff &amp; volunteers to be able to call for help or get the attention of staff, or other volunteers, inmates in the case of a fight or attack/injury some sort of alarm that is audible and or can be seen. Recording time 2:03:30-2:05:45.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redemption Program and Fees</td>
<td>Mr. Neuman asked about the redemption fees at PACC being really high. Per Staff PACC works with people all the time to reduce fees where we can. Mr. Neuman suggested all the fees be waived if it is a first and the owner agrees to spay/neuter the dog. The Humane Society has a similar program where they waive all intake and daily care fees and the owner just pays the surgery fee of $60.00. PACC will also do this and in some cases the owner will just pay to license the dog. The owner has to agree to alter the dog to get the deal. Recording time 2:05:45-2:09:43.</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor Plan Changes</td>
<td>Mr. Neuman asked if the committee received the diagram of the</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGENDA ITEM</td>
<td>DISCUSSION</td>
<td>ACTION / FOLLOW-UP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideas to Reduce Number of Dogs Coming into PACC</td>
<td>Floor plan. It is the floor plan now in place with some splits in some of the kennels. It was sent to the volunteers and he is getting a lot of feedback. If sick bay is changing then the floor plan would change. Mr. Janes is open to any suggestions on this topic. There are some conditions that have to meet with changing the floor plan. Ms. Hubbard offered her help as well. Ms. Schwerin went back to the topic of adoptions and mentioned the copy of the guide provided is not the most recent one. There was information added to the back of the guide regarding vaccinations etc. that was not included in the one they received. Recording time 2:09:43-2:14:00.</td>
<td>A correct copy of the guide will be provided. Ongoing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| VII. Animal Welfare and Dangerous Animal Cases | Ms. Schwerin mentioned Welfare #6 the dogs are on a tangled tie out with no shelter and water. PACC had been there in July for tie out. The owner was cited and this is not enough for these repeat offenders. Welfare #9 – Ms. Schwerin commended Officer Bowdon who returned several times to check on the dog which was in bad shape and subsequently picked up and euthanized. Ms. Barrick thanked Mr. Janes for the statistics on tie outs. Even though the numbers are small, the high intake areas are also the high tie out areas so some education in these areas might be beneficial. | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENDA ITEM</th>
<th>DISCUSSION</th>
<th>ACTION / FOLLOW-UP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Smith inquired if the officers are able to know if they are dealing with repeat offenders. They do have access to that information.</td>
<td>No dangerous dog cases discussed specifically. Ms. Scherwin mentioned several of the cases involved dogs that charged out and bit people or attacked other animals resulting in medical care. It is common sense to her that these dogs should be declared dangerous. Recording time 2:18:20-2:23:40.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DONATIONS</strong></td>
<td>946 individuals donated $16,289 along with food, treats and pet supplies to Pima Animal Care Center. The monthly donations are consistently going up.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COMPLAINTS / COMPLIMENT</strong></td>
<td>Five (5) complaints and three (3) commendations were received by staff.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CALL TO THE AUDIENCE</strong></td>
<td>She spoke about the poodle that Poodle Rescue wants. Poodle Rescue has offered to take the dog and her puppies. She expressed a concern the dog was being given medication while nursing. She asked that Staff contact Poodle Rescue regarding this dog and work to get to dog to them.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mariana Parker</td>
<td>Mr. Franks offered the services of him and his wife who is a licensed Reiki practitioner. He commented Reiki can offer a tremendous comfort to the animals being sheltered and euthanized here. Recording time 2:23:40 -2:28:20.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larry Franks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ANNOUNCEMENTS</strong></td>
<td>The Shelter Vet position has been advertised for recruitment. PACC has been trying to get a Vet in place for the last two years. This position will report to the Shelter Manager.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Next meeting December 19, 2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CONCLUSION</strong></td>
<td>The meeting was adjourned at: 20:05 P.M.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>THIS MONTH</td>
<td>THIS YEAR TO DATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tucson</td>
<td>County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHELTER OPERATIONS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ALL ANIMALS HANDLED</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dogs</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cats</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL ANIMALS HANDLED</strong></td>
<td>916</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live Animals Handled</td>
<td>814</td>
<td>656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impeunded Animals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ADOPTED</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dogs</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cats</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL ADOPTED</strong></td>
<td>296</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Returned to owner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dogs</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cats</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL RETURNED</strong></td>
<td>68</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rescued</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dogs</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cats</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL RESCURED</strong></td>
<td>118</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL LIVE RELEASES</strong></td>
<td>562</td>
<td>452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL LIVE RELEASE RATE</strong></td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euthanized</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dogs</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cats</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL EUTHANIZED</strong></td>
<td>223</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-) Owner Requested Euthanized</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted Total Euthanized</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EUTHANASIA RATE</strong></td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enforcement Operations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welfare Responses</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enforcement Calls for Service</td>
<td>1,630</td>
<td>1,056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licensing Operations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altered</td>
<td>2,830</td>
<td>3,551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unaltered</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL SOLD</strong></td>
<td>3,075</td>
<td>3,907</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Total Live Releases = Total Adopted + Total Returned + Total Rescued
**Live Release Rate = TLR/(TLR + Adjusted Total Euthanization)
***Euthanasia Rate = (Adjusted Total Euthanization)/(TLR + Adjusted Total Euthanization)
MEMORANDUM
Medical and Health Services

Date: October 29, 2013

To: C. H. Huckelberry
   County Administrator

From: Jan Lesher
   Deputy County Administrator

Re: Town of Oro Valley Participation in Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) Spay/Neuter Program

Earlier this year you wrote to the Town of Oro Valley asking that they designate a portion of
the animal licensing revenue they collected to PACC specifically for spay/neuter programs to
assist our residents in mitigating the homeless pet population Pima County.

To better assist the Town in determining how they might participate, staff has reviewed the
recent activity of the Town.

In recent years animal licensing and other associated revenues collected by the Town of Oro
Valley have exceeded the Town’s animal related expenses and Pima County has reimbursed
the Town for that overpayment. In Fiscal Year 2012-2013, the Town of Oro Valley
incurred expenses of $93,316.90 with generated revenues of $109,182.50 leaving an
operating revenue surplus of $15,865.60. During that time, Oro Valley residents procured
5442 licenses. If the Town had earmarked $3 per license for spay/neuter programs, they
would have contributed $16,326 to the program, which would have a significant impact on
the large numbers of unaltered animals in our community.

JL/cbc

c: Francisco Garcia, Health Department Director
   Kim Janes, Pima Animal Care Center Manager
MEMORANDUM

Date: November 1, 2013

To: Jan Leahe
Deputy County Administrator
for Medical and Health Services

From: C.H. Huckelberry
County Administrator

Re: Your October 29, 2013 Memorandum Regarding Oro Valley Participation in the Pima County Spay/Neuter Program

I appreciate the information provided in your October 29, 2013 memorandum. It appears the Town of Oro Valley could easily participate in the spay/neuter program. It emphasizes that many jurisdictions, even if they can afford it, have little knowledge of their ability to participate without adverse budget impacts.

I also discussed this matter with the County Attorney regarding our ability to adopt a $3 surcharge. The consensus is that it is more appropriate to include the spay/neuter program as an operating expense of the County; rather than, as we have attempted, to have voluntary contributory participation by jurisdictions. As an operating expense of the Pima Animal Care Center (PACC), the cost of the spay/neuter program can be apportioned back to each jurisdiction and becomes an actual charge to that jurisdiction for their receiving the services of the PACC.

I would suggest that for the Fiscal Year 2014/15 budget, $750,000 be budgeted for the spay/neuter program, with this amount included as an operating cost of the PACC to be apportioned back to the participating jurisdictions.

In addition, as I suggested previously, the contracting processes and procedures for the spay/neuter program should be reviewed.

I would appreciate receiving a report discussing how $750,000 in funding for the spay/neuter program would be administered, as well as the program requirements that would be implemented for financial participation of other jurisdictions. The report should also include other factors to make the program as effective as possible in reducing the number of animal referrals and sheltering at our facilities.

CHH/mjk
Attachment

cc: Dr. Francisco Garcia, Director, Health Department
Kim Janes, Manager, Pima Animal Care Center
November 1, 2013

Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator  
Pima County Governmental Center  
130 W. Congress  
Tucson, AZ 85701-1317

RE: Request for Support of Pima Animal Care Center’s Spay/Neuter Program

Dear Mr. Huckelberry:

The Town of Oro Valley is in receipt of your request to dedicate a portion of our animal licensing fee towards the Pima Animal Care Center’s (PACC) spay/neuter program.

We recognize that reducing the number of stray animals entering local shelters will decrease the gap between the number of animals needing new homes and the community’s capacity of providing these homes. The Town of Oro Valley also acknowledges the efforts of the PACC, as well as the service it provides to the community. Consequently, we have been evaluating this request and were planning to budget our participation for next fiscal year. However, due to the recent discovery that PACC facilities have reached maximum capacity for their animal populations, the Town has decided to expedite its plan in supporting PACC’s program.

We are pleased to inform you that the Town fully supports the spay/neuter program and per your request, we would like to dedicate $3 of each license to the program, effective immediately. We also appreciate you reaching out to us regarding this issue and look forward to working with the PACC in evaluating the effectiveness of the program.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Greg Caton  
Town Manager

c: Mayor & Town Council
MEMORANDUM

To: Kim Janes, Manager
From: Marcus Fish #1995
Date: December 3rd 2013
Reference: WELFARE REPORTS NOVEMBER 2013

1. A13-132380  Animal owner was cited on scene. The animal owner signed over ownership of 14 dogs to Pima Animal Care Center. Eight of the dogs have been adopted; four of the dogs remain at Pima Animal Care Center waiting to be adopted and two of the dogs were euthanized due to severe health problems.

2. A13-133988  One dog was impounded. The dog owner was cited at Pima Animal Care Center. Dog owner redeemed their dog.

3. A13-134623  Animal owner was cited on scene.

4. A13-134711  Animal owner was cited on scene. The animal owner signed over ownership of 17 dogs to Pima Animal Care Center. All 17 dogs remain at Pima Animal Care Center under a veterinarian hold.

5. A13-134743  Two cats were impounded. The animal owner was cited at Pima Animal Care Center. The animal owner redeemed both cats.

6. A13-135673  Animal owner was cited on scene.

7. A13-135761  Animal owner was cited on scene.

8. A13-135770  Animal owner was cited on scene. The animal owner signed over ownership of two dogs to Pima Animal Care Center. Both dogs were euthanized due to severe health problems.

9. A13-135965  Two dogs were impounded. The animal owner was cited at Pima Animal Care Center.

10. A13-135967  Animal owner was cited at Pima Animal Care Center. The animal owner signed over ownership of Two dogs to Pima Animal Care Center for euthanization.
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
400 N. Silverbell Rd.
P.O. Box 864156
Ft. Morgan, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5000
Fax: (520) 243-5680
www.pimaanimalcare.org

Suspect: Jose Maria Domínguez
Suspect’s Address: 
Zip | City | State | Residence Phone Number |
SUSPECT’S BUSINESS ADDRESS
Zip | City | State | Business Phone Number |
SEX | WEIGHT | HEIGHT | EYES | HAIR COLOR | BIRTH

LOCATION OF INCIDENT
Same as above
DATE AND TIME REPORTED
10/01/2013 / 0950hrs
DATE AND TIME OCCURRED
10/01/2013 / 1115hrs

I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case

REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. 8-653

REQUEST/ WAIVER: 

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (APPLICABLE)

VICTIM’S ADDRESS
Zip | City | State |
VICTIM’S BUSINESS ADDRESS
Zip | City | State |

VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME
Daniel Robledo #1890
D.O.B. 
RESIDENCE PHONE NO.
243-5600
BUSINESS PHONE NO. 

FOOD | WATER | SHELTER | INJURED/ILL | VENTILATION | ABANDONED | TEAR OUT | BEATEN | WASTE | OTHER (EXPLAIN) |

Follow up Request:

Address and Phone Number Same as

Address and Phone Number Same as

1) VIOLATION
2) NON-VIOLATION
3) PART OF BODY BITED:

TREATED BY:
PHONE NUMBER:
DATE QUARANTINED:

RELEASE DATE:

Veterinarian:

CLINIC’S ADDRESS

3RD PARTY CITATIONS

CITING ACO
D.Robledo #1890

PREVIOUS CITATIONS

PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

CTM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNED

CASE NO.
06.04.110(E)(4) x 2, 06.04.110(E)(4),
06.04.110(E)(4) x 7

CITING ACO/NUMBERS

Breed/Description
Victim or Owner Animal

ANIMAL'S NAME | COLOR | SEX | AGE | TAG | COLOR | LICENSE # | VET CERTIFICATE # | COMM | ANIMAL DIS

Striver mix
Jade
brn
m
Ad
ok
456394

Striver mix
Andy
whit
m
Ad
bad
456395

Striver mix
Osa
whit
f
Ad
bad
456397

Striver mix
Chiquita
whit
f
Ad
bad
456398

Striver mix
Reyna
whit
f
Ad
bad
456399

Striver mix
Gordo
whit
m
Ad
bad
456391

Striver mix
No name
whit
u
Ad
bad
456392

TNSS 1
DOB
ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE # | BUSINESS PHONE #

TNSS 2
DOB
ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE # | BUSINESS PHONE #

TNSS 3
DOB
ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE # | BUSINESS PHONE #

TNSS 4
DOB
ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE # | BUSINESS PHONE #
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BREED/DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>ANIMAL'S NAME</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>LICENSE #</th>
<th>UK CERTIFICATE #</th>
<th>RROD</th>
<th>ANIMALID#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terrier VICTIM</td>
<td>no name</td>
<td>wht</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>pup</td>
<td></td>
<td>ok</td>
<td>456348</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrier OWNER</td>
<td>no name</td>
<td>wht</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>pup</td>
<td></td>
<td>ok</td>
<td>456351</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrier VICTIM</td>
<td>no name</td>
<td>wht</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>pup</td>
<td></td>
<td>ok</td>
<td>456352</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrier OWNER</td>
<td>no name</td>
<td>wht</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>pup</td>
<td></td>
<td>ok</td>
<td>456353</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrier VICTIM</td>
<td>no name</td>
<td>wht</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Ad</td>
<td></td>
<td>bad</td>
<td>456363</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrier OWNER</td>
<td>no name</td>
<td>wht</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Ad</td>
<td></td>
<td>bad</td>
<td>456365</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrier VICTIM</td>
<td>no name</td>
<td>wht</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Ad</td>
<td></td>
<td>bad</td>
<td>456367</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VICTIM Owner</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VICTIM Owner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VICTIM Owner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VICTIM Owner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VICTIM Owner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VICTIM Owner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VICTIM Owner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VICTIM Owner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VICTIM Owner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A13-132380

ACO name & Badge: Daniel Robledo #1990

October 10, 2013 at about 1115 hours, I Officer Robledo #1990, arrived at address regarding an emergency welfare complaint on fourteen dogs. Upon my arrival, I met with dog owner Jose Dominguez and his wife. I was able to clearly see all the dogs in question in the front confined yard. I explained to them why I was at their property. I saw ten dogs. Seven of the dogs coats were badly matted. Two dogs were on tie-outs and 1 of the dogs that was on a tie-out had no shelter. I explained to Mr. and Mrs. Dominguez that these violations are against the law. I asked why they have not sought vet care for the dogs that were badly matted. They explained that they are an elderly couple and have been very ill lately. They told me that they are retired and cannot care for them as they used to. They have asked for help from their children and grand children, but have not yet received help lately. They tried to give away several of the dogs, but they were given the dogs back. They do not have the money or the capability to take all the dogs to the veterinarian. Mr. Dominguez explained that his wife used to be able to shave the dogs hair, but she was diagnosed with arthritis in her hands. The two dogs on tie-outs were tied up because some how they get loose and roam the area and start to fence fight with others dogs. Mr. Dominguez does not know how they get out. One of the dogs that were tied up has no name and he said a friend was supposed to come and take him away. That's why it does not have access to shelter like the rest of the other dogs. There are three big dog houses built out of wood under a tree and under the front porch for all the dogs to go to for shelter. There are two large trees that cover the whole front yard for shade and two water buckets for all the dogs. The four little newborn puppies were in one of the dog houses. One of the terrier mixes was limping and Mr. Dominguez said he believes the large Retriever mix may have attacked her. He said that one, Osa, is the mother of the puppies. I asked the names of all the dogs and they could barely name about six of the dogs.

I consulted with my supervisor about this situation and I was advised what to do. I explained to Mr. And Mrs. Dominguez that if they sign over all the dogs, ten dogs and four newborn puppies, I would waive the impound fees and cite for seven neglect vet care, two tie-outs and one no shelter under county codes. They were very emotional, but agreed. They signed over all the dogs and Mr. Dominguez presented his license for citations. I issued all
citations, and impounded all fourteen dogs. I gave him his copies of the citations. They said their goodbyes to the dogs they raised from puppies.

Officer's Signature: 

Date: 10-16-13

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A13-133988

ACO name & Badge: C. Meek 2015

On 10/25/13 at 0801 hours Pima Animal Care Center received a complaint regarding a dog being locked inside a cage for twenty four hours a day with no access to food or water. The caller advised the dispatcher that the dog appeared to be in distress and provided the address of

On 10/25/13 at 0921 hours Officer Meek badge number 2015 responded to in reference to a neglect complaint. I arrived at the address and knocked at the door but received no answer. I could hear a dog barking from the back of the property but the dog never appeared. I walked to the back of the property where I observed an addition to the residence and I could hear a dog barking from within the addition but again the dog never appeared. I photographed the addition from the fence line. I did observe two dishes on the ground on the outside of the addition which I photographed as well.

I returned back to the front of the residence where I was approached by the complainant who advised me that the dog owner was not at home. While speaking with the complainant I was given the property owner's name of Ms. Beatrice Garcia as well as a grandson Mr. Raymond Romero. I found Ms. Garcia's information in the chameleon database and asked the dispatch department to attempt to contact Ms. Garcia. I was advised that contact could not be made. I could not find contact information for Mr. Romero.

I made my way back to the house and found the front gate to be unlocked and I made entry into the yard. I could still hear the dog barking but again never was able to see the dog. I walked to the back of the property to the addition and observed a large amount of human garbage all over the porch and couch as well as an air line style crate with the barking dog inside. I photographed the conditions of the porch and crate.

I turned my attention to the dog. I observed there to be no water inside the crate with the dog. In addition to the being no water inside the crate there was a large accumulation of solid waste inside the crate with the dog. Along with the waste I also noticed a strong smell of urine emanating from the bedding inside the crate.

I removed the dog from the crate because there was no water available to the dog and the unsanitary conditions of the living space. I placed the dog inside my vehicle and returned to the porch to photograph the crate and bedding.
walls. The bedding was saturated with urine. The bedding itself was over run with solid waste that appeared to go un-cleaned for some time. I finished photographing the crate and posted a notice on the front door of the residence advised the dog owner the dog would be at the Pima Animal Care Center and to report there to redeem it.
On 10/30/13 I Officer Konst #2002 met with Raymond Romero Jr. I issued citations to Mr. Romero on behalf of Officer Meek #2015 I explained the citation to Mr. Romero who stated he understood and signed the citation.

Mr. Romero stated he had gone to work at approximately 2100 the night before and had put the dog in the crate. He normally goes to work at 0400 hrs. His mother comes to the house at about noon and leaves the dog out for awhile. They were going to check with Humane Society to verify the dog was 11 years old...Konst #2002
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL</th>
<th>ANIMAL'S NAME</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>LICENSE #</th>
<th>VX CERTIFICATE #</th>
<th>CND</th>
<th>ANIMAL ID#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DSH VICTIM OWNER</td>
<td>Katie</td>
<td>Br Tabby</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>4y</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A459022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMH VICTIM OWNER</td>
<td>Shellie</td>
<td>Blk</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3y</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A459023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSH VICTIM OWNER</td>
<td>Shadow</td>
<td>Blue</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3y</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A459024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSH VICTIM OWNER</td>
<td>Maggle</td>
<td>Wh/Blk</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3y</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A459025</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A13-134623

ACO name & Badge: Officer Webster #2016

On 11/02/2013 at 1925 hours, I, Officer Webster responded to a call to meet with the Tucson Police Department at to check on the welfare of the cats living at that address. Upon my arrival I met with Tucson Police Officers Bilbrey #53497 and Olech #53675. They explained that they were called to check on the welfare of the residents at the address, and they were concerned about the condition of the home, and the cats living in the home. I entered the property accompanied by the Tucson Police Officers and met with the residents, Shawn Salyer i and his mother Alice Salyer As soon as we entered the home there was an overpowering stench of urine (ammonia), causing my throat and my eyes to burn. The floor and all of the surfaces in the home were covered in trash and debris. I observed what appeared to be a 1 gallon plastic water jug just inside the front door, half full of a dark yellow liquid that appeared to be urine. I saw 5 cats in the living room area. I asked Shawn how many cats there were in the home and he said there were 11 cats, and that the others were in the bedroom. All of the cats I observed were of good body weight and friendly, except for one cat "Princess". "Princess was very overweight and severely matted. I observed 6 or 7 small plastic bowls that had the remnants of dry cat food in them and a large bag of dry cat food on the floor next to the bowls. There were 2 bowls, a gray plastic bowl and a blue plastic bowl, that Shawn stated were used for water bowls, but they were both completely dry and a further search of the apartment revealed no other source of water for the cats. I asked Shawn if there was water available for the cats and he stated that they had spilled the water from the dishes and he thought they could drink the water off the floor. The floor around the bowls was also dry. I observed 2 litterboxes in the home, one in the hallway outside the kitchen and one on top of a twin bed mattress that was in the living room. Both boxes were full of feces and urine.

Officer Bilbrey and I then entered the bedroom. She confirmed that they had seen one black cat on the bed and several other cats in the bedroom. There was a male resident sleeping very soundly on the bed. Officer Bilbrey and I thoroughly searched the small bedroom but were unable to locate any more cats. Shawn stated that they were all probably hiding under the bed. The floor and all of the surfaces in this room were also covered in debris and there were many uncapped syringes all over the bedroom floor. Officer Bilbrey
the residents. Due to the number of syringes by the side of the bed and the potential risk to my health and safety I did not attempt to look under the bed for any more cats.

Shawn supplied me with a complete list of all of the cats inside the home, including names, descriptions and ages. I issued a citation to Shawn for neglect- no vet care for the cat Princess due to the severity of the matted hair on the cat. I issued citations for all 11 cats for neglect- no water, neglect- unsanitary shelter, and neglect- ventilation. Shawn Salyer accepted and signed his citations and was given his court date, time and location. I also issued a premise inspection to recheck the property on 11/05/2013. They are to have the residence clean and habitable by 1700 hours on that date. I also issued a premise inspection for him to have the cat Princess groomed by that date as well. Shawn accepted and signed the premise agreement and stated that he understood what needed to be done. Officer Olech informed me that she had already informed her Supervisor about the living conditions inside the home, and that they would be notifying Adult Protective Services to follow up as well. Photographs of the home and the cats I could see are attached.

#2016

Officer's Signature: [Signature]

Date: 11/5/13
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BREED/DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL</th>
<th>ANIMAL'S NAME</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>TAG</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>LICENSE #</th>
<th>EX CERTIFICATE #</th>
<th>COMM</th>
<th>ANIMAL ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>spherd mix</td>
<td>spherd mix owner</td>
<td>Fluffy</td>
<td>Tan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>8m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>A66129</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spherd mix</td>
<td>spherd mix owner</td>
<td>Ghost</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Unk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>A66130</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spherd mix</td>
<td>spherd mix owner</td>
<td>Princess</td>
<td>Tan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Ad</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>A66131</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spherd mix</td>
<td>spherd mix owner</td>
<td>No name</td>
<td>Tan</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Ad</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>A66132</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spherd mix</td>
<td>spherd mix owner</td>
<td>Buffy</td>
<td>Tan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Ad</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>A66133</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spherd mix</td>
<td>spherd mix owner</td>
<td>Sugar</td>
<td>Tan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>4y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>A66134</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spherd mix</td>
<td>spherd mix owner</td>
<td>Weelo</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>A66135</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**ACTIVITY:** A13-134711  
**ACO:** Webster #2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BRED/DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>ANIMAL'S NAME</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>LICENSE #</th>
<th>VETERINARIAN #</th>
<th>CODE</th>
<th>ANIMAL ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd mix</td>
<td>Pommie</td>
<td>Tan</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>6m</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>A460136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd mix</td>
<td>No name</td>
<td>Tan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Unk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>A460137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd mix</td>
<td>Brownie</td>
<td>Br/Tan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>7m</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>A460138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd mix</td>
<td>Rocky</td>
<td>Tan</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Ad</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>A460139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd mix</td>
<td>Soca</td>
<td>Blk/Tan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Ad</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>A460140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd mix</td>
<td>Wellia</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1y</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>A460141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd mix</td>
<td>No name</td>
<td>Tan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Ad</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>A460142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd mix</td>
<td>Deer</td>
<td>Tan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Ad</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>A460143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd mix</td>
<td>No name</td>
<td>Sable</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3w</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A460145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd mix</td>
<td>No name</td>
<td>Blk/Tan</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3w</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A460149</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VICTIM OWNER</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VICTIM OWNER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VICTIM OWNER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VICTIM OWNER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VICTIM OWNER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A13-134711

ACO name & Badge: Officer Webster #2016

On 11/09/2013 at 1644 hours, I Officer Webster #2016, responded to
in regards to a request to check on the welfare of the dogs living at
that address. Upon my arrival I met with the resident and dog owner Alfred
Leyva. I explained to Mr. Leyva why I was there and asked him how many
dogs he had, he stated that he had 12 dogs and allowed me into the backyard
to see the dogs. I entered the yard through a gate on the east side of the
home. I immediately saw a large pack of dogs in the patio area of the yard, all
of the dogs were emaciated, dehydrated, skeletal and appeared starving. I
asked Mr. Leyva why the dogs were so thin, and he said he just hadn’t fed
them yet that day. I asked him to show me what dog food he had to feed the
dogs. He brought a large 50 lb. bag of “Old Roy” brand dog food out from the
home. There appeared to be approximately 10-15 lbs. of food in the bag. This
would not have been enough food to feed that many dogs for even 1 meal.
When he brought the food outside, the dogs went into a frenzy, fighting with
each other and trying to get at the food. I asked him to take the food back
inside to get the dogs back under control. There was no other food available
for the dogs. There were 2 large 5 gallon buckets full of fresh water on the
patio. The patio was shaded, but there was no type of shelter for any of the
dogs, there were 5 or 6 dogs laying under a car with no wheels on the patio. I
asked Mr. Leyva where the dogs slept at night and he said that they all slept in
a group up against the door leading from the patio into the home. I explained
to Mr. Leyva that I believed that the dogs were in deplorable condition and
after a brief conversation he agreed to relinquish ownership of all of the dogs
to Pima Animal Care Center.

Officer Klein #1926 arrived and assisted with the issuing of the citations
for neglect-no food, neglect-no veterinary care and cruelty.

With the assistance of Mr. Leyva I impounded a total of 17 dogs. There
were 15 dogs between the ages of 3-6 months and adult, and 2 puppies
belonging to A460140 “Suca” that are approximately 3 weeks of age. Mr.
Leyva stated that earlier that morning there had been 3 puppies belonging to
“Suca”. We searched the entire area thoroughly and were never able to locate
the 3rd, 3 week old puppy. I then issued citations to Mr. Leyva for neglect-no
food, neglect-no veterinary care and cruelty for all of the dogs except for the
issued citations for no license for the 13 dogs that were over 3 months of age, for a total of 58 citations. I gave Mr. Leyva the relinquishment of ownership forms for all 17 dogs, which he stated that he understood and signed. Mr. Leyva accepted and signed all 58 citations and was given his court date, time and location.

I then returned to Pima Animal Care Center where I was met by Clinic Staff member Amanda Sanchez #2000. Amanda assisted with unloading all of the dogs from my vehicle. Each dog was weighed immediately when removed from the truck, and then they were all placed separately in kennels and offered food. All of the dogs were observed to eat ravenously. The dogs were then taken out to the kennel holding area, where they were offered water, and given bedding for the night. The dogs were scheduled to be examined by the County Veterinarian. Complete photographs of the scene and each individual dog are attached to this report. #2016

Officer’s Signature: [Signature]
Date: 11/12/13
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line 1</th>
<th>Line 2</th>
<th>Line 3</th>
<th>Line 4</th>
<th>Line 5</th>
<th>Line 6</th>
<th>Line 7</th>
<th>Line 8</th>
<th>Line 9</th>
<th>Line 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6842 E 42nd St</td>
<td>11:13</td>
<td>7.7 ft</td>
<td>9013c</td>
<td>04d</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>110.14z</td>
<td>04a</td>
<td>04f</td>
<td>04i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>68139</td>
<td>18.29</td>
<td>24:0</td>
<td>04p</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>24:0</td>
<td>04p</td>
<td>04p</td>
<td>04p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>8.30</td>
<td>23:1</td>
<td>24:0</td>
<td>24:0</td>
<td>24:0</td>
<td>24:0</td>
<td>24:0</td>
<td>24:0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>615</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>24:0</td>
<td>24:0</td>
<td>24:0</td>
<td>24:0</td>
<td>24:0</td>
<td>24:0</td>
<td>24:0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar</td>
<td>Sugar</td>
<td>Sugar</td>
<td>Sugar</td>
<td>Sugar</td>
<td>Sugar</td>
<td>Sugar</td>
<td>Sugar</td>
<td>Sugar</td>
<td>Sugar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A13-134743

ACO name & Badge: Officer Webster #2016

On 11/04/13 at 1500 hours, Officer Meek #2016, responded to meet with Tucson Police Department in reference to an abandonment complaint. He arrived at the apartment and met with Tucson Police Officer Vazquez #44663 who provided the event number of #E13300837.

Officer Vazquez advised him that there were two cats inside the residence that had been inside for approximately two weeks. Officer Vazquez was able to get a hold of the cat owner a Ms. Josephine Juan. Officer Vazquez stated that Ms. Juan stated she was out of state but Officer Vazquez advised Officer Meek that she was evasive about when she was returning to the property. Officer Vazquez advised him that he stated to Ms. Juan that the cats would be impounded, removed from the property and be taken to Pima Animal Care Center.

Officer Meek and Tucson Police Officer Vazquez then entered the residence. The residence was void of any furniture. Officer Meek walked through the space and photographed each room. The residence had a strong smell of waste specifically urine and there was a copious amount of human garbage scattered through the residence. There was no litter box available for the cats and the flooring was being used. He did not notice any water available for the cats. He impounded both cats. Officer Meek placed a notice on the front door of the residence advising Ms. Juan of the impound.

On 11/12/13 at 1300 hours, I, Officer Webster #2016, met with the cat's owner Josephine Juan at Pima Animal Care Center when she came to redeem her cats. Ms. Juan was unclear as to how long she had left the cats alone, but stated that she had been gone over a week.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VICTIM/OWNER ANIMAL</th>
<th>BREED/DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>LICENCE #</th>
<th>WEBican #</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In Tzu</td>
<td>Ihuahua</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1010 W 26th Ave</td>
<td>T. Havens</td>
<td>AZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tung</td>
<td>Ihuahua</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1010 W 26th Ave</td>
<td>T. Havens</td>
<td>AZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tung</td>
<td>Ihuahua</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1010 W 26th Ave</td>
<td>T. Havens</td>
<td>AZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tung</td>
<td>Ihuahua</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1010 W 26th Ave</td>
<td>T. Havens</td>
<td>AZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tung</td>
<td>Ihuahua</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1010 W 26th Ave</td>
<td>T. Havens</td>
<td>AZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tung</td>
<td>Ihuahua</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1010 W 26th Ave</td>
<td>T. Havens</td>
<td>AZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tung</td>
<td>Ihuahua</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1010 W 26th Ave</td>
<td>T. Havens</td>
<td>AZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tung</td>
<td>Ihuahua</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1010 W 26th Ave</td>
<td>T. Havens</td>
<td>AZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tung</td>
<td>Ihuahua</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1010 W 26th Ave</td>
<td>T. Havens</td>
<td>AZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tung</td>
<td>Ihuahua</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1010 W 26th Ave</td>
<td>T. Havens</td>
<td>AZ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** This report is for informational purposes only and does not constitute legal or medical advice.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BREED/DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>VICTIM</th>
<th>OWNER</th>
<th>ANIMAL'S NAME</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>LICENSE #</th>
<th>VK CERTIFICATE #</th>
<th>CAT</th>
<th>ANIMAL ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cocker Mix</td>
<td>VICTIM</td>
<td>OWNER</td>
<td>Klassa</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3mo</td>
<td>Cited</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A460963</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pug</td>
<td>VICTIM</td>
<td>OWNER</td>
<td>Ozzy</td>
<td>Blk/W</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Cited</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A130701</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cocker Mix</td>
<td>VICTIM</td>
<td>OWNER</td>
<td>Budah</td>
<td>Tricolor</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Cited</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A130899</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>VICTIM</td>
<td>OWNER</td>
<td>Wasabi</td>
<td>Gry Tab/W</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A460955</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pug</td>
<td>VICTIM</td>
<td>OWNER</td>
<td>Purdy</td>
<td>Seal Pt</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A460964</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VICTIM</td>
<td>OWNER</td>
<td>Gayby</td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A460957</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VICTIM</td>
<td>OWNER</td>
<td>SUVHl</td>
<td>Gry Tab/W</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A460958</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A13-135673

ACO name & Badge: T. Haynes #2032

On 11/17/13 at approximately 2205 hours I, Officer T. Haynes #2032, arrived at and met with Tucson Police Officer Porras #53347 (1311170413). She advised that they had responded to the address for a domestic dispute and had found several animals, 10 dogs, 4 cats, 3 hamsters, 3 parakeets and a snake, living in questionable conditions and that there was a dead cat in the freezer. She stated that the animal owner, Tina Smith, was going to be cited and released for the domestic situation and due to the condition of the home Child Protective Services had also been called. Officer Porras said that several of the animals had been cooped up in a bathroom and it smelled horrible inside. She also advised that TPD Crime Scene had already been there and photographed the home.

I was escorted into the home and all of the animals were pointed out to me. In the first room there was a cage in the corner with three hamsters inside. They all appeared healthy and in good weight. Their cage was clean, had water and food. The cage was near a window air conditioner and they had plenty of ventilation.

In the first bathroom there were two Chihuahuas, Bella, a small tricolor, female and Lola, a small black/white, female, and two cats, Purrdy, a seal point, female, Siamese and Wasabi, a gray tabby/white, female. All of these animals appeared healthy, active and in good weight. They, however, did not have access to any water. The ventilation was adequate in this room.

In the next room I was shown a Ball Python in an aquarium. The snake appeared healthy and in good weight. It had access to clean water and its cage was clean and sanitary.

I was then shown the second bathroom where reportedly all of the dogs and cats are kept. In that bathroom there were two cats, Grayby, a black, female domestic short hair and Sushi, a gray tabby/white, male domestic short hair. Grayby appeared very thin and her coat was thinning. She was not very sociable and hid as soon as I entered the bathroom. Sushi appeared healthy, active and in good weight. There was no potable water available to the cats and the room had a very strong ammonia smell. There was no ventilation in this room for the animals and the floor was covered in garbage and debris. The next area I was shown was the kitchen where the birds, three parakeets.
were housed. They all appeared healthy and had access to clean water and food.

I was then shown the last room where I was advised that, four of the dogs usually stay. There were no animals in this room at this time. There was a bowl of nonpotable water on the floor next to a bowl of food and two empty dog carriers on the floor but no bowls for water in them. The room was littered with empty food and beverage containers and trash. This room did have adequate ventilation.

Lastly, I was shown the yard where the remaining eight dogs were. Four Chihuahuas, Baby, Pumpkin, Chico and Melon, were in a small pen outside of the door with no water and no shelter. The other four dogs had free roam of the yard. Two of the dogs, Budah, a male, tricolor Aussie mix and Kisses, a white, female, Poodle, both appeared healthy, active and in good weight. Pepe, a tan, male Shih Tzu was severely matted and in need of a good grooming and Ozzy, a tricolor, male Chihuahua, has some type of abnormality on his right side. Both dogs otherwise appeared healthy, active and in good weight. These four dogs had access to clean water and shelter.

After touring the home and yard I met with the animal owner, Tina Smith, and inquired about licenses for the dogs. She said that they were not currently licensed but she wanted to get their licenses. I asked about the black cat, Grayby, and she stated that he had a medical condition but did not have any proof of vet care. I inquired about the dead cat in the freezer and she stated that she was going to take him, Felix, a male, orange/white tabby, to a vet's office to be cremated. She also informed me that the cat had been in the freezer for four months because she just hadn't gotten around to taking him. I advised Mrs. Smith that I was going to require that Grayby and Ozzy be taken to the vet for diagnosis and treatment, that Pepe be taken to the groomer and that Felix be taken to the vet for cremation or be disposed of. I gave her a deadline of 11/20/13.

I also advised her that she was going to be issued citations for the violations that I observed in the house. I requested her identification and she provided me with her Arizona Identification Card.

Citations 70375, 71726, 71727, 71728 and 71729 were issued to Mrs. Smith for no license X10, neglect-no water X10 and neglect-no ventilation X2. I also completed a premise inspection with the veterinary, grooming and disposal requirements.

Citations, court date, time, location and premise inspection were explained to Mrs. Smith. As I was explaining the citations she stated that the four brown Chihuahuas belonged to her father that was taken to jail. I explained that the dogs still are required to be licensed and she needs to bring proof of licenses to court. She acknowledged, signed and received a copy of the citations and premise inspection. Her Arizona Identification Card was then returned to her.

Mrs. Smith did advise that she was going to be moving in the next few weeks and provided me with her new address:

Officer's Signature: [Signature]  
Date: 11-18-13
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department  
Pima Animal Services  
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.  
Tucson, AZ  
Phone:  
Fax (520) 243-5000  
www.pimaanimalsafe.org

**COMPLAINT NUMBER**  
B. Lawson  
# 1997  
BITE  WELFARE  DANGEROUS  OTHER

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**  
8020 E. Jennifer Anne Dr.  
DATE AND TIME OCCURRED  
11-19-13 / 08:43 AM  
DATE AND TIME OCCURRED  
11-19-13 / 08:52 AM

**FOOD**  
WATER  SHELTER  INJURED/DIARRHEA  VENTILATION  ABANDONED  TIEOUT  BEaten  WASTE  OTHER (EXPLAIN)

**D.O.B.**  
RESIDENCE PHONE NO.  
BUSINESS PHONE NO.

**VICTIM/COMPLAINT NAME**  
B. Lawson  
D.O.B.

**VICTIM ADDRESS**  
ZIP  CITY  STATE

**VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS**  
ZIP  CITY  STATE

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE**  
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.  
(FOLLOW UP REQUEST)

**NOMINEE OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE**  
85745  TUCSON  AZ

**REQUEST/WARNER exception par A.R.S. 13-4605 (B) and 13-219 (D)**

**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS**  
VICTIM  YES  NO

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM**  
NON-VIOLATION  YES  NO

**PHONE NUMBER**  
VET CLINIC

**LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS**  
CLINIC'S ADDRESS

**VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE**  
4-32(3)(E)(4)(F)

**DATE QUARANTINE**  
10 15 45 180  
FRA HEAD

**PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS**  
YES  NO

**PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER**  
REVIEWED BY

**Breed/Description**  
VICTIM/OWNER  
VICTIM/OWNER

**ANIMAL'S NAME**  
COLOR  SEX  AGE  TAG  LICENSE  WX CERTIFICATE  CODE  ANIMAL DB#  
AGILITY  AGE  ADDRESS  PHONE  BUSINESS

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BREED/DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>ANIMAL'S NAME</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>TAG</th>
<th>LICENSE</th>
<th>WX CERTIFICATE</th>
<th>CODE</th>
<th>ANIMAL DB#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pit Bull</td>
<td>Rockle</td>
<td>Whit/Gry</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1y</td>
<td></td>
<td>L13-179967</td>
<td>Exp. 6-15-16</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>A384940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pit Bull</td>
<td>Laila</td>
<td>Gry/Whit</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1y</td>
<td></td>
<td>L13-194068</td>
<td>Exp. 11-26-13</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>A441698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pit Bull</td>
<td></td>
<td>Whit/Gry</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>8w</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pit Bull</td>
<td></td>
<td>Whit/Gry</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>8w</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pit Bull</td>
<td></td>
<td>Whit/Gry</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>8w</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pit Bull</td>
<td></td>
<td>Whit/Gry</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>8w</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WITNESS 1**  
M  F  DOB  ADDRESS

**WITNESS 2**  
M  F  DOB  ADDRESS

**WITNESS 3**  
M  F  DOB  ADDRESS

**WITNESS 4**  
M  F  DOB  ADDRESS
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A13-135761

ACO name & Badge: B. Lawson # 1997

On November 19th, 2013, I was called to the address of the backyard of
in reference to owner dogs attacking owner dogs in
and arrived at 08:52 AM.

I was met by the caller Georgina Bon who lives at
Drive phone number

Mrs. Bon stated that she was driving by the address of when she observed a puppy on
the inside of the gate to the backyard attacking another puppy and dragging it
off. The puppy being attacked was screaming per Mrs. Bon and she stopped
and observed that this puppy was bloody before it was dragged out of her
view and she could still hear the puppy screaming.

I observed a female gray and white Pit bull at the gate barking and observed
another white and gray male Pit bull on a chain tie out on the back porch, but
was unable to see the puppy or hear the puppy. I did observe four other Pit
bull puppies of various colors in the back yard and none of them looked to be
injured.

I was able to find owner information for a Brian McDowell with a phone
number and had my dispatch contact Mr. McDowell over the phone. Contact was made with Mr. McDowell who advised that he would be
home as soon as possible. There were two dogs matching the description of
the two dogs that I observed in the backyard; A441599 Laila female gray and
white eleven month old Pit bull and A384940 Rockie a male white and gray one
year old Pit bull that was on the tie out both were current on license and
rabies. I observed that Rockie and one of the puppies had dried blood around
the muzzles and legs, but it is unclear as to which dog was involved in the
attack.
I was able to enter the backyard and observed a white and gray male Pit bull puppy lying on the ground that was not moving or breathing. I was able to keep both adult dogs at bay and removed the dead puppy from the yard and placed the puppy on board the truck I was driving. A few minutes after this Mr. McDowell arrived and I explained to him the call and that one of the puppies were killed. When asked about Rockie being on a tie out Mr. McDowell stated that as he leaves for work around 05:00 am, his fourteen year old son Bryden Alfred is his task before going to school to let the dogs out into the yard to use the restroom and feed the dogs and that they were to be brought back in the house before leaving for school.

The issue of Rockie being on a tie out was addressed and Mr. McDowell stated that this is done to keep Rockie from jumping the wall and going into the neighbor's yard, as Rockie will do this if not on a tie out. Mr. McDowell stated that this is only done when Rockie is let out into the backyard and when some one is home to watch Rockie. It was explained to Mr. McDowell about the tie out law and a citation for this was issued under City of Tucson codes on citation number 71140. Mr. McDowell signed and received his copy with no incident and asked for Pima Animal Care Center to dispose of the body of the puppy.

I did not take photographs, as Mr. McDowell arrived right after I had removed the dead puppy and was placing the dead puppy on my truck. There was no issue for the welfare of the remaining dogs, as they were all in good weight, uninjured, water provided and shade/shelter for all the dogs. Animal ID's were not created for the other four puppies, as Mr. McDowell is in the process of giving the puppies away.

Officer's Signature: [Signature]  Date: 11-19-2013
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Suspect: Ramon B. Salas

Animal Information:

- Address: 5140 W Bluejay St
- Date and Time Reported: 11/19/13 / 09:34
- Date and Time Received: 11/19/13 / 10:38

Food, Water, Shelter, Injured/Inflamed, Ventrilation, Abandoned, Tire Out, Beaten, Waste

Victim/Complainant Name: PACC Officer Bowdon #2013

Address and Phone Number Same As

Victims Address: 4000 N Silverbell Rd

D.O.B.: 12/31/80

Violations:

- Non-Violation
- Part of Body bitten

Treated By: PACCO

Veterinarian:

- Phone Number
- Date Quarantined
- Release Date:

Citing AGO:

- Quaranotine
- FBI

Complaint Number: A13-137770

Bite: Welfare DANGEROUS OTHER

Sex: M, F

DOB: 12/31/80

ID #: 123-456-7890

 Breed/Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Victim or Owner Animal</th>
<th>Animal's Name</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Tag Color</th>
<th>License #</th>
<th>ISS Certificate #</th>
<th>CBOO</th>
<th>Animal ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tweller</td>
<td>Guapo</td>
<td>Black/Tan</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>A461198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tweller</td>
<td>Chici</td>
<td>Black/Tan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1y</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>A461197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tweller</td>
<td>Bebe</td>
<td>Black/Tan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3y</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>A461198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tweller</td>
<td>Goldie</td>
<td>Black/Tan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>A460919</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Victim Address:

- ZP: 807/45
- City: Tucson
- State: AZ

CLINICS ADDRESS:

- Phone Number
- Date Quarantined
- Release Date:

Citing AGO:

- Quaranotine
- FBI

Complaint Number: A13-137770

Bite: Welfare DANGEROUS OTHER

Sex: M, F

DOB: 12/31/80

ID #: 123-456-7890

 Breed/Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Victim or Owner Animal</th>
<th>Animal's Name</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Tag Color</th>
<th>License #</th>
<th>ISS Certificate #</th>
<th>CBOO</th>
<th>Animal ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tweller</td>
<td>Guapo</td>
<td>Black/Tan</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>A461198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tweller</td>
<td>Chici</td>
<td>Black/Tan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1y</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>A461197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tweller</td>
<td>Bebe</td>
<td>Black/Tan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3y</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>A461198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tweller</td>
<td>Goldie</td>
<td>Black/Tan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>A460919</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Victim Address:

- ZP: 807/45
- City: Tucson
- State: AZ

CLINICS ADDRESS:

- Phone Number
- Date Quarantined
- Release Date:

Citing AGO:

- Quaranotine
- FBI

Complaint Number: A13-137770

Bite: Welfare DANGEROUS OTHER

Sex: M, F

DOB: 12/31/80

ID #: 123-456-7890

 Breed/Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Victim or Owner Animal</th>
<th>Animal's Name</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Tag Color</th>
<th>License #</th>
<th>ISS Certificate #</th>
<th>CBOO</th>
<th>Animal ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tweller</td>
<td>Guapo</td>
<td>Black/Tan</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>A461198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tweller</td>
<td>Chici</td>
<td>Black/Tan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1y</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>A461197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tweller</td>
<td>Bebe</td>
<td>Black/Tan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3y</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>A461198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tweller</td>
<td>Goldie</td>
<td>Black/Tan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>A460919</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A13-135770

ACO name & Badge: Bowdon #2013 / Foster #2042

On 11/19/13 at 09:34 hours Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) was contacted by Ramon B Sais with a request to pick up an ill dog for euthanasia located at Pima County.

At Approximately 10:36 hours I, PACC Officer Bowdon #2013, and PACC Officer Foster #2042 arrived at and met with Mr. Ramon Sais. He escorted Officer Foster and I into the backyard where the ill dog was located. As soon as we stepped into yard through a side door in the garage, we immediately smelled a very strong odor of infection emanating from the dog, Goldie, in the side yard. We observed that Goldie was surrounded by a swarm of flies. Goldie appeared unable to stand or move from her location. Goldie appeared to be in pain and would cry out when her hind legs were moved or touched. Upon further discussion with Mr. Sais regarding Goldie’s condition Mr. Sais stated that he had sought vet care for her. Mr. Sais showed us two bottles of medication dated 09/16/13, Cephalexin 126 count with 105 remaining and Tramadol 100 count with 80 remaining. Mr. Sais stated that Goldie would not take the medication and that he had not contacted the vet to seek alternatives to the pills. Mr. Sais also stated that he was the caretaker of Goldie, not the actual owner. Mr. Sais declined to provide the name of Goldie’s owner, however, we were able to locate the owner, Blanca Rivas, in the PACC database. We were able to contact Ms. Rivas and she confirmed that she was the owner and Mr. Sais was the caretaker.

We observed that there were four additional Rottweilers in the yard that appeared to be in good health. Mr. Sais agreed to surrender the youngest dog, Capulo, and she was impounded. The other three dogs did not have current dog licenses or rabies vaccinations.

Goldie was impounded for euthanasia with permission from Ms. Rivas. Mr. Sais accepted citations for No License and No Rabies Vaccination for the three healthy adult dogs along with a citation for Neglect / Vet Care of Goldie due to his failure to administer the medications as directed by his vet and failure to seek additional care for her.

Officer’s Signature: [Signature]

Date: 11/19/13
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BREED/DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>ANIMAL'S NAME</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>LICENSE #</th>
<th>CONDITION</th>
<th>ANIMAL NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>heeler mix</td>
<td>Warble</td>
<td>black/white</td>
<td>f/s</td>
<td>1yr</td>
<td>L13-206031</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>A420162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>heeler mix</td>
<td>Nefertiti</td>
<td>black/white</td>
<td>f/s</td>
<td>1yr</td>
<td>L13-206032</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>A420161</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITNESS 1</th>
<th>MO</th>
<th>US</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE #</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WITNESS 2</td>
<td>MO</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>ADDRESS</td>
<td>RESIDENCE PHONE #</td>
<td>BUSINESS PHONE #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WITNESS 3</td>
<td>MO</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>ADDRESS</td>
<td>RESIDENCE PHONE #</td>
<td>BUSINESS PHONE #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WITNESS 4</td>
<td>MO</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>ADDRESS</td>
<td>RESIDENCE PHONE #</td>
<td>BUSINESS PHONE #</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A13-135965

ACO Name & Badge: S. Adkins 1961

On 11/21/13 at 19:35 Officer Meek responded to a tie-out complaint. Officer Meek arrived at the convenience station where he observed two dogs tied out just outside the restroom. Officer Meek photographed both dogs then impounded them.

On 11/25/13 18:45 I, Officer Adkins met with dog owner Skylin Thompson-Exner at Pluma Animal Care Center and issued citation 70865 A-B for neglect of a dog for both Nefertiti and Warble at Officer Meek’s request. Mr. Thompson-Exner signed and received a copy of the citation with the court date, time, and location.

Officer's Signature: [signature]

Date: 11-25-13
**Investigation Report**

**Plaintiff:** Alicia Delgado  
**Complaint Number:** A13-133967

**Officer:** K. Walton #1925

**Address:** 4000 N. Silverbell Rd

**Date of Incident:** 11-21-13

**Date and Time Reported:** 11-21-13

**Location:** 4000 N. Silverbell Rd

**Type of Incident:** Bite - Welfare

**Victim ID:** WC 10

---

### Victim Information

- **Name:** Alicia Delgado
- **Address:** 4000 N. Silverbell Rd
- **DOB:** 2/4/60
- **Residence Phone No.:** 243-5900
- **Business Phone No.:**

### Incident Details

- **Date of Incident:** 11-21-13
- **Time:** 1:30
- **Location:** 4000 N. Silverbell Rd
- **Reported by:** K. Walton #1925

### Victim Information

- **Name:** Alicia Delgado
- **DOB:** 2/4/60
- **Residence Phone No.:** 243-5900
- **Business Phone No.:**

### Assailant Information

- **Name:** William C. Johnson
- **DOB:** 7/4/69
- **Address:** 4000 N. Silverbell Rd
- **Residence Phone No.:** 243-5900
- **Business Phone No.:**

### Additional Information

- **Welfare:** Yes
- **Dangerous:** No
- **Other:**

---

### Violation Details

- **Number:** 1
- **Address:** 4000 N. Silverbell Rd
- **Severity:** Residential
- **Date:** 11-21-13
- **Time:** 1:30

### Victim Information

- **Name:** Alicia Delgado
- **DOB:** 2/4/60
- **Residence Phone No.:** 243-5900
- **Business Phone No.:**

### Assailant Information

- **Name:** William C. Johnson
- **DOB:** 7/4/69
- **Address:** 4000 N. Silverbell Rd
- **Residence Phone No.:** 243-5900
- **Business Phone No.:**

---

### Animal Information

- **Breed/Description:** Brownie  
- **Color:** Brown  
- **Sex:** Female  
- **Age:** 10y
- **License:** E  
- **Vet Certificate:** A641457

---

### Additional Information

- **Note:** All information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A13-135967

ACO name & Badge: K.Walton #1925

On November 21st 2013 at 1830 hours, I, Officer K.Walton #1925, was asked by Supervisor D. Miranda to come back to the shelter intake with him to check on two dogs a person had brought in. The dogs were brought in to be euthanized, and they had injuries that appeared to have been neglected. The dogs were already booked in as 2 Chow mixes known as Brownie and Cinnamon, and were 10 and 16 yrs old.

I spoke with Alicia Delgado who was 18 yrs old, and she stated the dogs belonged to her mother. She resides with her mother and helps with the care and custody of the dogs. They have had the dogs since Alicia was very little. Alicia stated Brownie the 10 yr old Chow mix has had arthritis starting at a young age, and she believes the dog got out from the property and got hit by a car about 1 month ago. This dog gets out quite frequently from the yard. They never took the dog to a veterinarian to be checked. The dog was laying lateral in the cage and could not walk. It was apparent the dog had leg injuries, and the health of the dog was not good. I observed the 2nd dog Cinnamon who was 16yrs old, and she had a large open wound to her head between the eyes with some dried blood on the area. I asked Alicia what happened to the dog, and she stated it is possible that the dog got hit by a car she was not sure. They have a wooden fence and this dog digs out. She stated it is also possible the dog scraped her head on the fence while digging out. She stated the wound looked like a small blister when it started out, and months left untreated it became this injury. This dog was never treated at a veterinarian as well. She was not sure how long this wound was left unattended, but it was at least 2 months or more.

I advised her about the welfare requirements, and of the citations she would be receiving. She was cited for 2 cruelty charges and 2 neglect vet care charges. I confirmed her identity from her Arizona id card.

I took photos of the dogs and of their kennel cards, and advised the treatment person Amanda Sanchez of the injuries. The dogs were already put on the treatment list when they were booked in. I was informed by Amanda that per Dr Liley, the dog Brownie was going to be sent to the lab to have a necropsy.
Animal Care Center. I later found out that the second dog was also going to be sent for a necropsy.
MEMORANDUM

TO: Kim Janes, Animal Care Manager
FROM: Debra Tenkate, Animal Care Field Supervisor
DATE: December 6, 2013
RE: Dangerous Dog Cases for November 2013

City of Tucson:

1. A13-133097—Maria Sandidge, 1 dog named Chapo was declared not dangerous by Investigator Klein.

County:

2. A13-129547—Timothy Shank, 2 dogs named Tailspin and Jenna were declared not dangerous by Investigator Carver.
3. A13-119595—Earl Stewart Jr, 1 dog named Rex was declared not dangerous by Investigator Carver.
4. A13-121465—Dave Watson, 1 dog named Prince was declared not dangerous by Investigator Carver.
5. A13-135603—Gary Barnes, 2 dogs named Capone and Buddy were declared dangerous by Investigator Klein. Both dogs are currently impounded. A hearing has been scheduled for December 12, 2013.
6. A13-122199—Monica Vargas, 1 dog named Marley was declared not dangerous by Investigator Carver.
7. A13-114470—Maureen Olmos, 1 dog named Sniffer was declared not dangerous by Investigator Carver.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>CASE NUMBER</th>
<th>OWNER'S LAST NAME</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS ASSESSED</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS DECLARED DANGEROUS</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS DECLARED NOT DANGEROUS</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS IMPOUNDED</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS RELINQUISHED</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS PTS</th>
<th>HEARING Y/N</th>
<th>UPHeld</th>
<th>NOT UPHeld</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A13-133097</td>
<td>Maria Sandidge</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNTY</th>
<th>CASE NUMBER</th>
<th>OWNER'S LAST NAME</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS ASSESSED</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS DECLARED DANGEROUS</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS DECLARED NOT DANGEROUS</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS IMPOUNDED</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS RELINQUISHED</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS PTS</th>
<th>HEARING Y/N</th>
<th>UPHeld</th>
<th>NOT UPHeld</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A13-129547</td>
<td>Timothy Shank</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A13-119595</td>
<td>Earl Stewart Jr.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A13-121465</td>
<td>Dave Watson</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A13-135803</td>
<td>Gary Barnes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>scheduled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A13-122109</td>
<td>Monica Vargas</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A13-114470</td>
<td>Maureen Olmos</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

**Pima County Health Department**
**Pima Animal Care Center**
4003 North Maryvale
Tucson, AZ 85712
Phone: 520-824-5959
Fax: 520-824-5959
www.pimaanimalcare.org

**ACO NAME / BADGE #:** Webster 
**COMPLAINT NUMBER:** A13-133097

**DATE AND TIME REPORTED:** 10/14/13 0832
**DATE AND TIME OCCURRED:** 10/10/13 1740

**FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURED/ILL VENTILATION ABANDONED TRASH BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN):**

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE):**
**REQUEST/WAIVER (except per A.R.S. 13-4405(B) and 13-280(B)):**

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT:**

**I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case:**
**I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case:**

**VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME:** Karen Miller
**D.O.B:**
**RESIDENCE PHONE NO.:**

**VICTIM'S ADDRESS:**
**ZIP**
**CITY**
**STATE**

**VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS:**
**ZIP**
**CITY**
**STATE**

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS:**

**CLINIC'S ADDRESS:**

**3RD PARTY CITATIONS:**

**CITING ACO:** Officer Webster #2016

**PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS:**
**PROVIDING CASE NO.:** A13-132943
**OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS:**

**BREED/DESCRIPTION:**

**VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE:**

**Chief/ori. violated:** 4-97, 4-97(B)

**CITATION/NUMBERS:** 71466-A-9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BREED/DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>ANIMAL'S NAME</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>TAG COLOR</th>
<th>LICENSE #</th>
<th>VC CERTIFICATE #</th>
<th>CHB</th>
<th>ANIMAL ID #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>German Shepherd</td>
<td>Riggs</td>
<td>Blk/Tan</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3y</td>
<td></td>
<td>L13-117388</td>
<td>exp 03/2014</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>A269347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German Shepherd</td>
<td>Hope</td>
<td>Blk/Tan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>15y</td>
<td></td>
<td>L13-029802</td>
<td>exp 03/2014</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>A146723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitbull</td>
<td>Chapo</td>
<td>Blk/Tan</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2y</td>
<td></td>
<td>L13-195139</td>
<td>exp 03/2014</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>A434781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd mix</td>
<td>Bruce</td>
<td>Blk/Tan</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>9m</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A456325</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WITNESS 1**
**Jeff Miller**
M ☑ F ☐
DOB
**ADDRESS:**
**CITY:**
**RESIDENCE PHONE #:**
**BUSINESS PHONE #:**

**WITNESS 2**
**Oliver Wadamsark #219**
M ☑ F ☐
DOB
**ADDRESS:**
**CITY:**
**RESIDENCE PHONE #:**
**BUSINESS PHONE #:**

**WITNESS 3**
**Al and Maria Amador**
M ☑ F ☐
DOB
**ADDRESS:**
**CITY:**
**RESIDENCE PHONE #:**
**BUSINESS PHONE #:**

**WITNESS 4**
M ☑ F ☐
DOB
**ADDRESS:**
**CITY:**
**RESIDENCE PHONE #:**
**BUSINESS PHONE #:**
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A13-133097
ACO name & Badge: Officer Webster #2016

On 10/28/2013 at 1230 hours, Officer Rademaker #2019 arrived at the residence, in response to a complaint of 2 dogs attacking the resident's dogs. Upon his arrival he met with the complainant Karen Miller who stated that on 10/10/2013 at approximately 1730-1745 hours she, her husband Jeff Miller and their young son were walking their 2 German Shepherds, "Riggs" and "Hope". As they walked past, they were charged at by 2 dogs, a white and brown Pitbull and a black and tan Shepherd mix. Both dogs were running loose off leash, and there was no one with the dogs. She told Officer Rademaker that there was a large melee involving several neighbors. The attacking dogs were eventually driven away and her dogs did not sustain any bite wounds. Ms. Miller recognized the dogs, and knew that they lived at . Officer Rademaker checked for other activities at and found that there were 2 dogs that lived at that address, "Chapo" a white and brown Pitbull, and "Bruce" a black and tan Shepherd mix that matched the description of the attacking dogs. He found that the owner had been issued citations on 10/28/13 by myself, Officer Webster #2016, for an incident that had happened on 10/10/2013 at 1730 hours in which the same 2 dogs attacked a different dog on . Ms. Miller requested that citations be issued to the owner of the 2 attacking dogs for the leash law violations and the attempted attack on her dogs.

On 10/30/13 at 1645 hours, I, Officer Webster arrived at and met with the complainant Karen Miller. She repeated to me the same events that she described to Officer Rademaker on 10/28/13. She requested that citations be issued for the leash law violation for both dogs Chapo and Bruce. She stated that Bruce was not involved in the attack on her dogs, but that Chapo had attempted to attack both her dogs and requested that citations be issued for both attacks.

I then went to and met with the dog owner Maria Sandridge. I explained to her that I was there to issue citations for a separate incident that happened within a few minutes of the attack that she was issued citations for on 10/26/13. I issued the citations as requested for the leash law violation for both dogs, Chapo and Bruce. I also issued 2 citations for Chapo attempting to attack both of the German Shepherds, Riggs and Hope. Ms. Sandridge accepted and signed her citations and was given her court date, time and location.
On 10/31/13 I received an email from Karen Miller that included the name of a witness to the attack on her dogs. I contacted the witness, Mr. Al Anzoldua at 1515 hours, on Pima Animal Care Center recorded phone line #243-5927. Mr. Anzoldua related the following information to me. He stated that on 10/10/2013 at approximately 1730-1745 hours, he and his wife Maria Anzoldua were walking their 2 dogs, Blanca a small terrier mix and Canela a dachshund on . They observed the 2 dogs, now known as Chapo and Bruce, running loose in the street. He stated that he saw Chapo crouch down and appeared to be stalking the Miller’s and their 2 German Shepherds. He stated that Chapo then seemed to notice himself, his wife and their 2 dogs, and charged directly toward them. He and his wife picked up their 2 dogs, and Chapo lunged into the air after them and their dogs. They were able to turn around and shield their dogs to prevent them from being bitten. He said that Chapo then redirected his attention towards the Miller’s dogs and again in a crouching, stalking position moved rapidly to attack them. He said there were several other people from the neighborhood present who were able to drive the dogs away. Mr. Anzoldua said that the dog Bruce was not involved in the attempted attack on his dogs, or in the attack on the Miller’s dogs. He stated that he and his wife Maria are willing to testify in court regarding this event. Pictures of the dogs Chapo and Bruce are attached to this activity. #2016

Officer's Signature: [Signature]

Date: 10/31/13
CASE NO: A13-134531
OWNER: MAURO SANDIDGE
ANIMAL NAME: CHAPPY 424478

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:
- NON-VIOLATION BITE + 3
- VIOLATION-BITE + 6

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:
- NO BREAK IN SKIN + 1
- BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING + 2
- MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED) + 3
- MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT + 4
- BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM + 4
- MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION) + 5

Animal Complaints or Violations:
- LEASH LAW CITATIONS + 2
- LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS + 1
- ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS + 2
- ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS + 3
- OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS + 1

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:
- ATTACK WITH NO INJURY + 1
- INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER + 2
- VET CARE (1 to 2 Visits) + 3
- EXTENSIVE VET CARE (2+ VISITS) + 4
- INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH + 5

Confinement / Fencing:
THE PROPERY IS CONFINED BY A 6 FOOT TALL WOODEN FENCE. THE SOUTH SIDE IS A 6 FOOT TALL WOODEN FENCE. THE ENTRY GATE IS 4-6 FEET TALL. THE LATCH IS IN PLACE AT THIS TIME

TOTAL SCORE: + 9

DANGEROUS
NOT DANGEROUS

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL.
We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite, attack, chase or harass, or attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or harass a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner or bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.

PACC-DD1
### INVESTIGATION REPORT

**Pima County Health Department**

**Pima Animal Care Center**

4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745

Phone: (520) 298-3900
Fax: (520) 298-3989

www.pimacareandcontrol.org

---

**SUSPECT**

Timothy Smith

**CO NAME / BADGE**

Kenton #22002

**COMPLAINT NUMBER**

A13-123547

---

**SUSPECT'S ADDRESS**

ZIP: [ ]
CITY: Tucson
STATE: AZ
PHONE NUMBER: [ ]

**SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS**

ZIP: [ ]
CITY: Tucson
STATE: AZ
BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER: [ ]

**SUSPECT'S PHONE NUMBER**

DRIVERS LICENSE

SEX: [ ]
WEIGHT: [ ]
HEIGHT: [ ]
EYES: [ ]
HAIR COLOR: [ ]
ORIGIN: [ ]
DOB: [ ]
SSN: [ ]

---

**CARE CASE**

YES [ ]
NO [ ]

**DATE AND TIME REPORTED**

08/22/13 / 0841

**DATE AND TIME OCCURRED**

08/22/13 / 0840

---

**FOOD WASTE**

Water, Shelter, Injured, Illness, Ventilation, Abandoned, Tied, Cut, Beaten, Waste, Other (Explain)

---

**VICTIM'S ADDRESS**

Bryan Pellerin

**RESIDENCE PHONE NO**

**BUSINESS PHONE NO**

---

**VICTIM'S ADDRESS**

ZIP: [ ]
CITY: Tucson
STATE: AZ

---

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (OPTIONAL)**

**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM**

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM**

VET CLINIC

**PHONE NUMBER**

**OWNERビジネス**

**LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS**

**CLINIC'S ADDRESS**

**QUARANTINE**

**3RD PARTY CITATIONS**

**CITING ACO**

Kenton #22002

---

**VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE**

---

---

**BREED DESCRIPTION**

**VICTIM OR OWNER**

**ANIMAL'S NAME**

**COLOR**

**SEX**

**AGE**

**LICENSE #**

**VET CERTIFICATE #**

**DOH**

**ANIMAL ID**

---

**PR Bull**

Victim [ ]

Owner [ ]

Tailspin

Brindle

M

2y

No

yes

ok

A30391

---

**PR Bull**

Victim [ ]

Owner [ ]

Jen

White

F

unk

No

no

ok

A43028

---

**Witness 1**

M [ ]

F [ ]

DOB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BUSINESS PHONE #

---

**Witness 2**

M [ ]

F [ ]

DOB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BUSINESS PHONE #

---

**Witness 3**

M [ ]

F [ ]

DOB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BUSINESS PHONE #

---

**Witness 4**

M [ ]

F [ ]

DOB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BUSINESS PHONE #
Activity Number:

ACO name & Badge:

On 08/22/13 at 0830 hrs I Officer Konat badge #2002 was dispatched to [Redacted] to investigate two dogs attacking a man. When I arrived I met with Pima County Deputy Terpstra, badge #7032 PCSO case # 130822035.

Deputy Terpstra had contained one of the dogs involved in his vehicle. The dog had tags and records showed the dog named Tallspin belonged to Timothy Shank. Neither I nor the Deputies were able to confine the second dog a white Pit Bull later determined to be Jenna. I met with victim Bryon Puhler and his wife Beth Puhler at there residence. Bryon Puhler requested dog owner be cited for the attack. He was walking north on [Redacted] when the dogs came up behind him. He stated the two dogs seemed to be fighting or playing with each other. He sustained a bite on his right arm and leg. The leg may have been a scratch. He stated he had to throw a stone at the dogs to get them to back away. I photographed the wounds and gave him the case number information. Bryon Puhler is requesting restitution of any medical expenses; he may go to urgent care later to have the bite cleaned up.

I then met with dog owner Timothy Shank at his residence. He stated he just took in Jenna from a friend who lost his house about two weeks ago. He has never had a problem with Tallspin getting out until today. His friend David La Tour told him the dog was licensed since he got it from the dog pound. I searched the name and found no record of Jenna. I explained the quarantine and that he needed to bring Jenna to Pima Animal Care Center for the quarantine ending 08/31/13. He stated he would bring her in if and when she returned. I set up a patrol in the system for the dog.

I issued citation 70089 to Timothy Shank for biting animal and leash law on both dogs and no Rabies vaccination on Jenna. Tallspin is current on Rabies vaccination. Timothy Shank was given a court date of 09/26/13 at 0900 hrs in Pima County Justice Court.
On 08/23/13 at 1715 hrs Officer Windauer, badge 1964 observed a white Pit Bull matching description being walked by two people near dog owners' address. She stopped and inquired about the dog. Officer Windauer found that the dog was found in their yard at the evening of 08/22/13. The two people were currently searching for its owner by walking the neighborhood. Officer Windauer then took the dog to owners' address where he verified the dog was indeed Jenna. The dog was impounded and quarantined at Pima Animal Care Center.

It was also found Jenna had a existing ID number A349475 and had previously bitten a child in a back yard in 2011. The person ID failed to show in the system due to (it) being added after the name La Tour for the 2nd. System records were updated to reflect findings. David La Tour was contacted and he verified he had given dog to new owner Timothy Shank approximately two weeks ago.

Officer's Signature: [Signature]  
Date: 08/27/13
**PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT**
**PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER**
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 743-7550 FAX (520) 743-9581
www.pimaanimalcare.org

**CASE NO:** 13-13049
**OWNER:** Tim Shank
**ANIMAL NAME:** Tika Pin

### EVALUATION CRITERIA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REPORTED BITES:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-Violation Bite</td>
<td>+ 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violation-Bite</td>
<td>+ 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:**
(An option only for each victim)

| NO BREAK IN SKIN         | + 1   |
| BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING| + 2   |
| MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED)  | + 3   |
| MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT | + 4 |
| BIT DOWN AND SHOCK VICTIM| + 4   |
| MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION) | + 5 |

**Animal Complaints or Violations:**

| LEASH LAW CITATIONS   | + 2   |
| LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS  | + 1   |
| ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS | + 2  |
| ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS | + 2  |
| OTHER CITATIONS / COMPLAINTS | + 1 |

**SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:**

| ATTACK WITH NO INJURY  | + 1   |
| INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER | + 2   |
| VET CARE (1 to 2 Visits) | + 3   |
| EXTENSIVE VET CARE (2+ VISITS) | + 4 |
| INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH | + 6 |

**Confinement / Fencing:**

- 10 foot Chain Link + Electronic Shock

**CONFINEMENT MEASURES:** (Select one factor only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRIMARY METHOD OF CONFINEMENT</th>
<th>POINTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Secure Fence/Mall and Gates</td>
<td>- 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate Fencing or Gates</td>
<td>+ 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**OWNER ACCOUTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:**

| REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT | - 3   |
| ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED    | - 1   |
| OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION  | + 1   |
| OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT | + 5 |
| CURRENTLY LICENSED Lic. 13209257 | - 1 |
| NO CURRENT LICENSE            | + 1   |
| NO CURRENT RABBIES VACCINATION | + 1   |

**NEIGHBOR COMMENTS** (Scored by Majority Opinion):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TWO OR MORE NEIGHBORS INTERVIEWED</th>
<th>POINTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE</td>
<td>- 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE</td>
<td>- 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE &lt;60 YR</td>
<td>+ 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE &gt;60 YR</td>
<td>+ 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE</td>
<td>+ 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DOGS BEHAVIOR** (If Observed by Officer):

| ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY       | + 2    |
| ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE            | - 2    |
| ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR     | + 1    |

**General Comments:**

*The dog has never been an issue with the neighbors. PACC has no prior contact with Mr. Shank. This dog is declared NOT DANGEROUS.*

**TOTAL SCORE:** +3

**A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL.**

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure thru attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure thru bite attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare his teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdiction) to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.
CASE NO: 13-136064
OWNER: Tim Shank
ANIMAL NAME: Jemur

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:
NON-VIOLATION BITE + 3
VIOLATION BITE + 6

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:
(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)
NO BREAK IN SKIN + 1
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUSING + 2
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED) + 3
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT + 4
BIT DOWN AND SHOCK VICTIM + 4
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION) + 5

Animal Complaints or Violations:
LEASH LAW CITATIONS + 2
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS + 1
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS + 2
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS + 3
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS + 1

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:
ATTACK WITH NO INJURY + 1
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER + 2
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits) + 3
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS) + 4
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH + 5

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)
(Primary Method of Confine at the time of the incident)
SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES - 5
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES + 5

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:
REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT - 3
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAVED - 1
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION + 1
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT + 5
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # 1324579 - 1
NO CURRENT LICENSE + 1
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION + 1

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):
(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)
ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE - 3
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE - 3
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <5X/YR + 1
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >5X/YR + 2
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE + 2

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)
ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY + 2
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE - 2
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR + 1

Confinement / Fencing:
6 Foot CHAIN LINK & ELECTRONIC SHOCK

General Comments:
The dog has NEVER BEEN AN ISSUE WITH NEIGHBORS. PACC HAS NO PRIOR CONTACT WITH MR. SHANK. THIS DOG IS DECLARED NOT DANGEROUS.

Total Score: 43

DANGEROUS
NOT DANGEROUS

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR has its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 8.04.160. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

**Pima County Health Department**
**Pima Animal Care Center**
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85750
Phone: (520) 791-3500
Fax: (520) 243-6900
www.pimaanimalcare.org

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUSPECT</th>
<th>ACC NAME / BADGE #</th>
<th>COMPLAINT NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Earl David Stewart Jr.</td>
<td>J. Henderson</td>
<td>A13-119555</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DATE AND TIME REPORTED**: 03-24-2013 / 09:53 PM
**DATE AND TIME RECEIVED**: 03-24-2013 / 09:53 PM

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**

**FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURED KILL VENTILATION ABANDONED TIE CUT BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I CHOOSE <em>upon request</em> rights in this case</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I WAIVE <em>upon request</em> rights in this case</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**VICTIM / COMPLAINANT NAME**: David Gallagher

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ZIP</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VICTIM / COMPLAINANT ADDRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (OF APPLICABLE)**

**REQUEST / WAIVER exception per A.R.S. 13-4405 (B) and 13-4406 (B)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VET CLINIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHONE NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS**

**CLINIC'S ADDRESS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QUARANTINE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRA HEAD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE**

**BREED DESCRIPTION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VICTIM'S NAME</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>TAG</th>
<th>LICENSE #</th>
<th>VI Certificate #</th>
<th>CORD</th>
<th>ANIMAL ID #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rex</td>
<td>BLK / WHT</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1y</td>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>L13-198806</td>
<td>1-30-15</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>AGBB645</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Witnesses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITNESS</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE #</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WITNESS 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WITNESS 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WITNESS 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WITNESS 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A13-119595

ACO name & Badge: B. Lawson # 1997

On June 13th, 2013 I Officer Lawson badge number 1997 log owner Earl Stewart at 206 S. Upland in reference to a bite by Mr. Stewart’s dog Rex a male one year old Australian shepherd mix black and white in color biting a David Gallagher at the previous address of 1500 S. number two on March 24th, 2013 at 03:00 PM.

I explained to Mr. Stewart that Mr. Gallagher is now requesting that citations for the incident on March 24th, 2013 when he was bitten by Rex. Mr. Stewart stated that Mr. Gallagher had been evicted from the property and had knocked on Mr. Stewart's door asking if he would be able to take him to the corner store. Mr. Stewart stated that his dog Rex had exited the trailer at the time he was speaking with Mr. Gallagher into the unconfined yard and as Mr. Stewart was inside the trailer getting ready to go, Mr. Gallagher knocked on the door and told Mr. Stewart the Rex had just bitten him on the left leg.

Mr. Gallagher reported this bite to Pima County Animal Control Officer Henderson badge number 1904 on March 24th, 2013 at 06:39 PM, as Officer Henderson was on another bite case involving Mr. Stewart's dog Rex. Mr. Gallagher was explained his rights and did not wish to have citations issued, being informed that he had up to one year from the date of the incident to have the citations issued.

On April 11th, 2013 at 02:45 PM Mr. Gallagher contacted Pima Animal Care Center and requested that citations for biting animal and leash law be issued to Mr. Stewart. On June 13th, 2013 at 04:41 PM, I Officer Lawson issued citations for biting animal and leash law under Pima County animal codes to Mr. Stewart. Mr. Stewart stated that he has a bench court date of August 21st, 2013 with Pima County Justice Court for docket CR13-304540 for the no license citations and the biting animal incident to Mr. Gallagher, I advised Mr. Gallagher to call the County Court and inform them that he has been cited for this incident to possible avoid multiply court dates and confusion. Mr. Stewart was cooperative and signed the citations with no incident.

Officer’s Signature: B. Lawson #1997

Date: 06-13-2013
**PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT**
**PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER**
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 743-7550 FAX (520) 743-9581
www.pimaanimalcare.org

**CASE NO:** 13-136042
**OWNER:** Earl Stewart
**ANIMAL NAME:** REX

---

**EVALUATION CRITERIA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REPORTED BITES:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NON-VIOLATION BITE</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIOLATION BITE</td>
<td>+6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:**

(Choose One Factor Only Per Victim)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO BREAK IN SKIN</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING</td>
<td>+2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED)</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION)</td>
<td>+5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Animal Complaints or Violations:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Violation</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LEASH LAW CITATIONS</td>
<td>+2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS</td>
<td>+2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Injury</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ATTACK WITH NO INJURY</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER</td>
<td>+2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits)</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXTENSIVE VET CARE (&gt;2 VISITS)</td>
<td>+4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH</td>
<td>+5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**ADDRESS:**
**SEX:** M  **BREED:** MISS X  **COLOR:** BLK/WHT  **DATE:** 12-2-13

**CONFINEMENT MEASURES:**

(Choose one factor only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES</td>
<td>-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES</td>
<td>+5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT</td>
<td>+5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # 13-198006</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO CURRENT LICENSE</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NEIGHBOR COMMENTS:**

(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE &gt;1X/YR</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE 1X/YR</td>
<td>+2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL BEING AGGRESSIVE</td>
<td>+2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DOGS BEHAVIOR:**

(If Observed by Officer)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Behavior</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY</td>
<td>+2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**General Comments:**

*This appears to be a one time incident. Neighbor's state dog is not an issue and has never been loose. Dog was well behaved during assessment. REX is declared not dangerous.*

*Signed*

**OFFICER:** M. CARVER

**TOTAL SCORE:** +3

**DANGEROUS**

**NOT DANGEROUS**

---

**TOTAL SCORE:** +3

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL.

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-183. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.

---

PACC-DD1
INVESTIGATION REPORT
Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85719
Phone: (520) 243-3600
Fax: (520) 243-3660
www.pimaanimalcare.org

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒

DATE AND TIME REPORTED
04/20/13 / 1523
DATE AND TIME OCCURRED
04/20/13 / 1500

FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURED/ILL VENTILATION ABANDONED TIED OUT BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)
☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

Victim/Complainant Name
Morris Arledge
D.O.B.
Residence Phone No.
Business Phone No.

Victim's Address
Zip
City Tucson
State AZ

Victim's Business Address
Zip
City State

Name of Lawful Representative (If Applicable)

Address and Phone Number Same as Victim
Violations
Violent Violation
Non-Violent

Relationship to Victim

Phone Number

Lawful Representative Address

Clinic's Address

Quarantine

3rd Party Citations
Citing ACO

Previous Violations

Previous Case Number

Other Additional Reports

Victim or Lawful Representative Signature

Breed/Description

Victim or Owner Animal

Animal's Name
Color Sex Age Tag Color License # VK Certificate # Cond Animal ID#

LAB
Victim Owner

Cheyenne B F 5y L13-304138 CURRENT N A143088

Terrier X
Victim Owner

Prince Wb M 12y CITED CITED N A433024

Chihuahua X
Victim Owner

Puppy BbR F 6y CITED CITED N A433025

Poodle X
Victim Owner

Bo W M 18y CITED CITED N A433026

Poodle X
Victim Owner

Missy W F 12y CITED CITED N A433027

Witness 1

M F DOB Address
Residence Phone # Business Phone #

Witness 2

M F DOB Address
Residence Phone # Business Phone #

Witness 3

M F DOB Address
Residence Phone # Business Phone #
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A13-121465
ACO name & Badge: J RADEMAKER 2019

On April 20, 2013 at 3:23PM Morris Ardle called Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) and stated that he was walking from his mailbox to his apartment at 3:03PM when he went to cross a bridge over a knoll area behind the office a small white and brown dog jumped up over the bridge wall from the knoll and started attacking his service dog. Mr Ardle said the attacking dog’s owner was in the grass knoll area with the attacking dog and his three other little dogs off leash and yelled at him that the attacking dog was charging at him. Mr. Ardle said he got the attacking dog off his dog and it took off running. One of the other three unknown dogs then started to charge at the caller’s dog and the caller blocked it until the dog owner could grab it. Mr Ardle said the dogs owner, Mr. Watson, was able to grab the three other dogs and started heading back to his apartment with them but the original dog is still loose as far as the caller is aware. Mr Ardle stated that there is an ongoing issue with the attacking dog trying to attack his service dog.

On April 20, 2013 at 6:26PM I, Officer Rademaker 2019, met complainant Morris Ardle and his wife Debbie and saw and photographed his service dog Cheyenne. Cheyenne is a currently licensed L13-084138 black lab.

Mr Ardle stated that he was walking with Cheyenne on leash in the common area of the apartment complex near Buildings 1 and 23 on 4/20/13 at 3:00PM. A neighbor known to Mr Ardle as Dave Watson was in the same common area with his four small dogs, all of which had leashes on at the time but were being allowed to be at large without the leashes being held. One of Mr Watson’s dogs, described as a wire haired mostly white with black smaller mixed breed, possibly terrier, ran to Mr Ardle’s dog Cheyenne and started fighting with it. Mr. Watson called to Mr Ardle that his dog was coming at them. Mr. Ardle tried separating the dogs and the attacking dog ran off. Mr. Watson left to retrieve the dog.
Mr Ardle stated that Mr Watson often allows his dogs to be off leash. He wants PACC to issue Mr Watson citations for leash law and biting animal (attack) for the dog that attacked Cheyenne and leash law violations for the other three dogs Mr Watson had at that time. Further, Mr Ardle requests PACC to conduct a dangerous dog evaluation on the attacking dog and that the court order restitution for the vet expenses he expects to incur.

On April 24, 2013 at 3:50PM I, Officer Rademaker, met with owner Dave Chancy Watson and his common law wife Pat Watson. I explained the leash law and biting animal complaint and Mr Watson acknowledged the incident but denied the severity. I explained third party citations and he understood.

The Watsons have 4 small dogs, none of which is licensed. They believe all are current on rabies vaccinations but do not have documentation readily available. I told them they would be cited as well for license and vaccination violations and that the vaccination violation citations would be dismissed if they found proof that the dogs are currently vaccinated as of today.

I issued Mr Watson third party citations for 4 leash law violations and 1 biting animal violation on April 20, 2013 at 3:00PM. I also issued 4 no license and 4 no rabies vaccinations citations. I explained court, licensing compliance and gave Mr Watson law and dangerous dog brochures. I explained that Mr Ardle had requested restitution and a dangerous dog evaluation and he understood.

Officer's Signature: 

Date: 4/25/13
PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 743-7550 FAX (520) 743-6581
www.pimaanimalcare.org

CASE NO.: 13-136185
OWNER: DAVE C. WATSON
ANIMAL NAME: PRINCE

ADDRESS: 
SEX: MA BREED: TERRIER X 
COLOR: WHITE DATE: 12/11/13

EVALUATION CRITERIA
REPORTED BITES:
NON-VIOLATION BITE +3
VIOLATION-BITE +6

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:
(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)
NO BREAK IN SKIN +1
BREACH IN SKIN OR BRUISING +2
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED) +8
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT +4
BIT DOWN AND SHOCK VICTIM +4
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION) +5

Animal Complaints or Violations:
LEASH LAW CITATIONS +2
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS +1
ATTENDED BITE CITATIONS +3
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS +1
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS +1

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:
ATTACK WITH NO INJURY +1
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER +2
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits) +3
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS) +4
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH +5

Confinement / Fencing:
INSIDE APARTMENT OUTSIDE ONLY ON LEASH

General Comments:
These have been no prior complaints regarding this dog. Neither the office manager or the neighbors have any issue with the dog.
PRINCE IS DECLARED NOT DANGEROUS

OFFICER # CALVER 1901

TOTAL SCORE: -2
DANGEROUS ☒ NOT DANGEROUS

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL.

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or change a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-19 / County Code 6.04.180.

The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.
## Investigation Report

### Suspect

**Name:** Gary Gene Barnes

**Address:**

**City:** Tucson  
**State:** AZ

**Telephone Number:**

**Sex:**

**Weight:**

**Height:**

**Hair Color:**

**Eye Color:**

**Date and Time Reported:**

**Date and Time Occurred:**

### Victim/Complainant

**Name:** PACO Officer Saline

**Address:**

**Telephone Number:**

**Date of Incident:**

**Location of Incident:**

### Food/Water/Shelter

**Injured/Damaged Ventilation:**

**Abandoned:**

**Tied Out:**

**Beaten Waste:**

### Name of Lawful Representative (If Applicable)

**Address and Phone Number Same As Victim:**

**Relationship To Victim Same:**

**Phone Number:**

**Lawful Representative Address:**

**CLINIC'S ADDRESS:**

**Quarantine:**

### Violation

**Part of Body Injured:**

**Treated By:**

**Hospital:**

**Phone Number:**

**Date Quarantined:**

**Release Date:**

### Other Agency Case

**Case Number:**

**Follow Up Request:**

### Victim or Lawful Representative Signature

**Code of DO Violated:**

**Previous Violations:**

**Previous Case Number:**

### 3rd Party Citations

**Citation Number:**

### Breed/Description

**Victim or Owner Animal:**

**Animal's Name:**

**Color:**

**Sex:**

**Age:**

**Tag Color:**

**License #:**

**VX Certificate #:**

### Witness

**Name:**

**Address:**

**Telephone Number:**

**Sex:**

**Race:**

**DOB:**

**Business Phone #:**

**License #:**

**VX Certificate #:**

**NVD #:**

**Animal ID #:**
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A13-135603

ACO name & Badge: Bowdon #2013

On 04/22/13 at approximately 14:32 hours Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) received a report of two aggressive dogs at large on the 10000 block of W Calle Anasazi in Pima County. It was reported that one of the dogs had bitten the resident.

Deputies from Pima County Sheriff's Office (PCSO) and Drexel Fire Department were also responding to the report.

PACC Officer Saline #1918 was dispatched to the location of the attack. While en route, Officer Saline spoke to PCSO Deputy Abbate #7717, who was already on scene. He told her that there were two very aggressive Pit Bull mixes at large in the cul de sac near the reported address. Deputy Abbate stated the dogs had been hit with a baton by PCSO Deputies, but the dogs continued to charge at them. Deputy Abbate stated he may have to shoot the dogs. Officer Saline requested assistance from PACC Officer Windauer #1984.

At approximately 15:25 hours PACC Officer Saline #1918 arrived on the 10000 block of W Calle Anasazi and observed three PCSO vehicles in the cul de sac and two dogs laying under a bush on the west side of the road. A fourth PCSO vehicle arrived and the Sargeant attempted to exit his vehicle. The dogs charged him chasing him back into his vehicle. Officer Saline moved her vehicle between the dogs and the Deputies and the dogs retreated back to the area they came from. Officer Saline exited her vehicle armed with a snare pole when the dogs were approximately 20 ft away. Both dogs charged at Officer Saline. Officer Saline returned to her vehicle to wait for Officer Windauer to arrive.

While waiting for Officer Windauer to arrive the PCSO Deputies used their batons and pepper spray when the dogs charged at them.

At approximately 16:25 hours Officer Windauer arrived. Officers Windauer and Saline formed a large half circle around the dogs with the deputies between Officer Windauer and Saline. The dogs immediately charged at them. The brown and white dog, Buddy, charged at Officer Saline. When she attempted to secure Buddy with her snare pole, the brown dog (Capone)
charged at her. Buddy bit Officer Saline's pant leg and Capone dog bit her left arm. The Deputies used their batons on Buddy while Officer Windauer snared Capone with assistance from a Deputy. Capone was placed into a kennel on Officer Windauer's vehicle. Buddy ran under some bushes. The Deputies and PACC Officers again surrounded Buddy and successfully secured him. Buddy was also placed in Officer Windauer's truck.

Officer Saline met with the initial bite victim, Richard Currier, who stated he was bitten on the back of the right thigh by Buddy while walking near his home at approximately 1430 hrs. He stated that both dogs charged, growled and bared their teeth. Mr. Currier requested citations be issued to the dog owner for the attack along with a dangerous dog evaluation of both dogs. Officer Saline observed a bandaged area through torn jeans on Mr. Currier's thigh. Medics had treated him before her arrival.

Officer Saline was also treated for her bite on scene by medics. She then went to Northwest ER for additional treatment.

A notice of impoundment was posted at the reported dog owner's residence.

Both dogs were transported to PACC and placed under quarantine. Officer Saline observed that the yard at 1 is fenced, but it is wide square field fencing. Officer Saline reported that a large black dog was still in the yard and was putting his head through the fence trying to bite at her. In addition, she observed a smaller black dog in the yard that did not act aggressively.

At Approximately 18:04 hours Gary Barnes contacted PACC in response to the notice posted on his fence. Mr. Barnes was briefed on the situation and he stated that he would come to PACC the following morning, 11/17/13.

On 11/17/13 at approximately 10:00 hours, PACC Officer Bowdon #2013, met with Mr. Barnes at PACC. Mr. Barnes stated that his dogs had never acted aggressively in the past. I issued citations to Mr. Barnes for the bites received by Mr. Currier and Officer Saline, the attempted bites, and the leash law violations. I advised Mr. Currier of the quarantine process and the dangerous dog evaluation. Mr. Currier expressed concern that his dogs were unresponsive to him when he visited their kennel. I then placed the dogs on the treatment list after reading the report notes indicating the dogs had been hit with batons and pepper sprayed.
CASE NO: A13-135875
OWNER: GARY BEREDES
ANIMAL NAME: CARRO A46-0899

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:
NON-VIOLATION BITE + 3
VIOLATION BITE + 6

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:
(NO BREAK IN SKIN + 1
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING + 2
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED) + 3
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT + 4
BIT DOWN AND SHOCK VICTIM + 4
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION) + 5

Animal Complaints or Violations:
LEASH LAW CITATIONS + 2
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS + 1
ATTENDED BITE CITATIONS + 2
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS + 3
OTHER CITATIONS/COMPLAINTS + 1

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:
ATTACK WITH NO INJURY + 1
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER + 2
VET CARE (1 TO 2 VISITS) + 3
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS) + 4
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH + 5

CONFINEMENT MEASURES:
(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)
SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES - 5
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES + 5

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY/RESPONSIBILITY:
REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT - 3
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED/SPAYED - 1
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION + 1
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT + 5
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # - 1
NO CURRENT LICENSE + 1
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION + 1

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):
(2 or More Neighbors Interviewed)
ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE - 3
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE - 3
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <550YR + 1
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >550YR + 2
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE + 2

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)
ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY + 2
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE - 2
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR + 1

Confinement / Fencing:
The property is confined by 4 foot tall field fencing. Two gates are present. One gate is less than 4 feet in height.

General Comments:
After completing the dangerous dog assessment I have deemed Carro a dangerous animal.

TOTAL SCORE: +30

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL.

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 8.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.

SKY
OFFICER # PACC-DD1
CASE NO: A13-135875
OWNER: Gary Bagges
ANIMAL NAME: Buddy

EVALUATION CRITERIA:

REPORTED BITES:
- NON-VIOLATION BITE: +3
- VIOLATION-BITE: +6

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:
- NO BREAK IN SKIN: +1
- BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING: +2
- MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED): +3
- MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT: +4
- BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM: +4
- MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION): +5

Animal Complaints or Violations:
- LEASH LAW CITATIONS: +2
- LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS: +1
- ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS: +2
- ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS: +3
- OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS: +1

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:
- ATTACK WITH NO INJURY: +1
- INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER: +2
- VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits): +3
- EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 Visits): +4
- INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH: +5

Confinement / Fencing:

THE PROPERTY IS CONFINED BY A 4 FOOT TALL FIELD FENCING. TOT GATES ARE PRESENT ONE GATE IS LESS THAN 4 FEET IN HEIGHT.

General Comments:

AFTER COMPLETING THE DANGEROUS DOG ASSESSMENT I HAVE DETERMINED BUDDY A DANGEROUS ANIMAL.

TOTAL SCORE: 43

* DANGEROUS
--- NOT DANGEROUS

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL.

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner. City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.160.

The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACO IN PERSON.
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A13-122199

ACD Name & Badge: JCarver/1901, THaynes/2032, CYoung/1908, JHenders/1904

On 05/07/13 at 05:38 am Pima County Sheriffs Office called Pima Animal Care Center and advised they were en route to in reference to an unknown female that is waiting in front of this address that was bitten by a pitbull and was bleeding.

On 05/02/13 at 08:41 am Barbara Leinweber called Pima Animal Care Center and reported that this morning she was bitten on her right leg just above her ankle while in front of . She was by a stray white pit bull with gray and gold spots on it. Per Ms Leinweber she did not like the wait time and had gone to the local fire station for medical attention. Per caller, she is now at home and will be there for the next 20 to 30 minutes before leaving for work.

On 5/02/13 at approximately 12:20 hours Officer Carver #1901 met with victim, Barbara Leinweber at her place of employment. She stated to him that earlier today at approximately 08:20 she was out running in the area of . . . when she saw 2 dogs at large. One dog was described as a medium size blackish and greyish shaggy dog, and the other a mostly white with tan speckles medium size pit bull. The pit bull had attacked her biting her on the right lower leg. She tried to get assistance knocking on doors in the area but no one would answer their door. After the dogs wondered off, she said she walked to the Tucson Fire Station on North 1st Ave. just south of River Rd. The paramedics treated her wounds.

Ms. Leinweber requested citations and restitution for any medical expenses. Per Officer Carver her injuries were bandaged when he met her, but she had taken photographs of the injuries which he observed on her camera. Ms. Leinweber said she would e-mail them to Officer Carver so they can be placed with the bite case activity. She further commented to Officer Carver that although she runs in that neighborhood regularly she has never seen these dogs before. Officer Carver advised Ms. Leinweber we would patrol the area, and speak with residents to see if we can locate the dogs. She added the dogs did not act like they lived at the address.

On 05/08/13 A tip was e-mailed to Officer Carver from the Neighborhood Watch Area Leader with the possible dog owner information. It read as follows:

I live on Agave Dr. and am the Neighborhood Watch Area Leader for Oracle Foothills. I have a lead on the location of the dogs involved in the attack on the woman on Agave Dr. on May 2. I am told they live at 1, which is just across 1st Ave from our neighborhood. They have been observed in this yard, and do act very aggressively at passersby. Many residents in my neighborhood have seen them running loose on our streets.

I would appreciate it if you could give me a call tomorrow morning (Wed.) at , which is my cell, or the number below.

Thank you,
Carole DeAngelis

Officer's Signature: JHenders 904  
Date: 6/22/13
On 05/15/13 at 11:31 hours Officer Haynes arrived at ... and was greeted at the closed vehicle gate by a large, male yellow Labrador, and a small, female, white Poodle. A man named James came to the gate and Officer Haynes explained who she was, and that she was there to photograph the Labrador. She explained they may have possibly bitten a person. Per Officer Haynes he was very cooperative, and informed her that the Labrador's name is Marley and the Poodle's name is Princessa. He allowed her to photograph both dogs. The photos were then connected to the bite case activity.

On May 16, 2013 at approximately 08:47 hours Officer Young met with the bite victim, Barbara Leitweber, at her residence. He showed her the photo of the dog from ... She looked at the photo and immediately stated "Yes, that's the dog." She explained that on May 02, 2013 at approximately 05:30 hours she was jogging on Agave Dr, running toward 1st Ave, when she noticed two dogs loose in the street. She said she allowed to a walk as she always does when she comes upon a dog while running, and as she passed by, the shaggy dog ran off, but the light colored dog came up to her, and bit her on the ankle. She said the shaggy dog then started to come back, and the biter then let go of her ankle and left with the shaggy dog.

Ms. Leitweber is requesting to presentment for the incident, and would like restitution for her medical bills. She apologized for not knowing her breeds as she thought the dog may have been a pit bull, but she is sure the dog in the photograph is the biter dog.

On 06/21/13 at approximately 14:27 hours, I Officer Henderson 19044 was contacted by Monica Montano Vargas from ... The resident and admitted dog owner Monica Montano Vargas arrived at Pima Animal Care Center on her own free will. I informed her about the details of the case. Her son was acting as an interpreter, however Ms. Vargas was able to answer several of my questions after I asked them not waiting for the interpretation from her son. This led me to believe she understood more than she wanted me to think. It was denied by Ms. Vargas her dog Marley was out, during the date and time in question, and bit anyone. I was asked several questions by the son for defense purposes, which I declined to answer.

The citations were issued without incident, and Ms. Vargas was given her copies with the court date and time.
PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD., TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 743-7560 FAX (520) 743-9581
www.pimaanimalcare.org

CASE NO: 13-133609
OWNER: Monica Vargas
ANIMAL NAME: Marley

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:
- NON-VIOLATION BITE: +3
- VIOLATION BITE: +6

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:
- NO BREAK IN SKIN: +1
- BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING: +2
- MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED): +3
- MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT: +4
- BIT DOWN AND SHOCK VICTIM: +4
- MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION): +5

Animal Complaints or Violations:
- LEASH LAW CITATIONS: +2
- LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS: +1
- ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS: +2
- ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS: +3
- OTHER CITATIONS / FOR COMPLAINTS: +1

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:
- ATTACK WITH NO INJURY: +1
- INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER: +2
- VET CARE (1 To 6 Visits): +3
- EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>6 VISITS): +4
- INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH: 

Confinement / Fencing:
6+ Block Wall with Secure Iron Gates

ADDRESS:
SEX: M
BREED: LABRADOR
COLOR: TAN
DATE: 11/3/13

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)
- SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES: -5
- INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES: +5

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:
- REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT: -3
- ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED: -1
- OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION: +1
- OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT: +5
- CURRENTLY LICENSED: -1
- NO CURRENT LICENSE: +1
- NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION: +1

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):
- ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE: -3
- ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE: -3
- ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE: +1
- ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE: +2
- ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE: +2

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)
- ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY: +2
- ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE: -2
- ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR: +1

General Comments:
The alleged bite appears to have been a one time incident. The dog was friendly and well behaved during the assessment. The neighbors have never had an issue with the dog. Marley is declared to be not dangerous.

TOTAL SCORE: 7

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

OFFICER: Cano

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge. OR attempt to injure bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other Jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department

Maureen Sue Olmes

**ACO NAME / BADGE #**
C. Meek 2015

**COMPLAINT NUMBER**
A13-114470

---

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**

DATE AND TIME REPORTED:
01/11/13 / 1717

DATE AND TIME OCCURRED:
01/11/13 / 1953

FOOD / WATER / SHELTER / INJURED / ILL / VENTILATION / ABANDONED / TIED OUT / BEaten / WASTE / OTHER (EXPLAIN):

---

**VICTIM / COMPLAINANT NAME**
Officer C. Meek

**ADDRESS**

**ZIP**

**CITY**

**STATE**

**RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER**

**BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER**

---

**VICTIM / LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE**

**ADDRESS**

**ZIP**

**CITY**

**STATE**

---

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE**

**ADDRESS**

**ZIP**

**CITY**

**STATE**

---

**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM**

---

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM**

---

**PHONE NUMBER**

---

**LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS**

**CLINIC'S ADDRESS**

**QURANTINE**

10 / 15 / 45

---

**3RD PARTY CITATIONS**

---

**PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS**

---

**VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE**

---

**ANIMAL'S NAME**

**COLOR**

**SEX**

**AGE**

**TAG**

**LICENSE #**

**VX CERTIFICATE #**

**CONDO**

**ANIMAL ID**

---

**BRAND**

---

**WITNESS 1**

**DOB**

**ADDRESS**

**RESIDENCE PHONE #**

**BUSINESS PHONE #**

---

**WITNESS 2**

**DOB**

**ADDRESS**

**RESIDENCE PHONE #**

**BUSINESS PHONE #**

---

**WITNESS 3**

**DOB**

**ADDRESS**

**RESIDENCE PHONE #**

**BUSINESS PHONE #**

---

**WITNESS 4**

**DOB**

**ADDRESS**

**RESIDENCE PHONE #**

**BUSINESS PHONE #**

---
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A13-114470

ACO name & Badge: C. Meek 2015

On 01/11/2013 at 1853 I Officer Meek badge number 2015 responded to 200 S 2nd St in reference to a neglect complaint. The complaint alleged that five American Bulldogs were in the back yard of the residence and were fighting and some of the dogs appeared to be injured.

I arrived at the address and did in fact observe several Bulldogs in the backyard when I approached the fence. I also observed that two of the dogs did have fight wounds and did have dried blood on their coats. I did not enter the yard due to the dog’s aggression at the gate. I walked to the neighbor’s residence and asked if I could use their back yard to photograph the yard at

I was allowed access to the backyard. I then stood on a chair and was able to photograph into the yard. I observed four American Bulldogs and one geriatric Labrador. Two of the bulldogs were limping while barking at me. Inside the backyard I did not observe any shelter available to the dogs. There was a back cover patio but it did not have sides. I also observed a copious amount of animal waste in the yard. I also could not ascertain whether there was water in the yard. I photographed the yard.

I then walked to the address and knocked at the door and rang the bell. I received no answer. I then advised the dispatch department that I would need assistance in the removal of the dogs. I was advised by the dispatch department that I would have to Officers to assist me. I then walked to the west side of the residence and noticed a bedroom light on. I knocked at the window and could see movement from within the residence. I then met Mr. Robert Olmos the Father in Law to the dog owner. I advised Mr. Olmos that a fight occurred in the backyard and that at least two of his dogs were injured. Mr. Olmos then advised me that the dogs were not his but belonged to his son and daughter in law and he recently just moved into the residence. I asked Mr. Olmos if we could get into contact with one of the dog owners and he then called his daughter in law Ms. Maureen Olmos.

I then asked Mr. Olmos if I could come inside the residence to see if I could get a better view of the dogs. Mr. Olmos then invited me into the residence and I then advised the dispatch department that I would no longer need assistance.

Once inside the residence I could see the dogs through the sliding back door and I confirmed that only two of the dogs were injured. Inside the residence I observed there to be bedding on the floor of the kitchen for the dogs. I also
noted that there was a water bowl located to side of the kitchen island. I photographed both the bowl and the bedding. I did notice that there was a lingering smell of urine from within the residence and some stains on the floor. I photographed the stains in addition.

I then met with Ms. Maureen Olmos the dog owner. Ms. Olmos stated that she only was gone for a couple of hours and did not know why they would have got into a fight. Ms. Olmos then let the dogs into the residence. Ms. Olmos confirmed that the dogs named Hulk and Sniffer were the two injured dogs. Ms. Olmos stated she would have them into Ina Road Pet Clinic on Saturday 01/12/13. Ms. Olmos then advised me of all the dog’s names.

I then asked Ms. Olmos to see the backyard because I was concerned that no shelter was available. Ms. Olmos then allowed me access to the backyard. While in the back yard I did observe a large amount of waste and advised Ms. Olmos that within the county waste needed to be picked up every three days. Ms. Olmos stated she understood and would have it picked up the next day Saturday 01/12/13. While in the backyard I did observe a large five gallon bucket that contained fresh potable water. I then advised Ms. Olmos that I also did not see any shelter available for the dogs. Ms. Olmos stated that the dogs were not outdoor dogs and were only out when she was not at home. I advised Ms. Olmos of the Pima County animal welfare statutes and Ms. Olmos stated she understood.

I then asked Ms. Olmos if any of the dogs were currently vaccinated for Rabies and licensed within Pima County. Ms. Olmos stated all of the dogs were vaccinated and provided me proof that the shots were given Arizona Spay Neuter Clinic. I found that none of the dogs were licensed. I then advised Ms. Olmos that I would be issuing her citations for the dogs not being licensed and that I would also be issuing her citations for the dogs not having shelter in the backyard. Ms. Olmos then provided me with her Arizona Driver’s License. I then issued Ms. Olmos a total of nine citations which included no license for the four bulldogs and five no shelter citations for the four bulldogs and the Labrador. I advised Ms. Olmos that with the citations she would need to appear in court and I provided her with the date. Ms. Olmos stated that she understood her need to appear and signed her copy of the citations. I then advised Ms. Olmos that I would be back on Tuesday 01/15/13 to follow up on the vet care for both Hulk and Sniffer and to make sure the backyard was cleaned. I advised Ms. Olmos that if the vet care was not provided I would issue citations for the neglect of vet care and if the yard was not cleaned citations could be issued for excessive waste. Ms. Olmos stated she understood.

/ 

Officer’s Signature

Date: 01/12/2013
PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT  
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER  
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745  
(520) 743-7550 FAX (520) 743-9581  
www.pimaanimalcare.org

CASE NO: 13134600  
OWNER: MAUREEN OLMOS  
ANIMAL NAME: SNIFTER  

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:

- NON-VIOLATION BITE + 3  
- VIOLATION-BITE + 6

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:

- NO BREAK IN SKIN + 1  
- BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING + 2  
- MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED) + 3  
- MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT + 4

Animal Complaints or Violations:

- LEASH LAW CITATIONS + 2  
- LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS + 1  
- ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS + 2  
- ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS + 3

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:

- ATTACK WITH NO INJURY + 1  
- INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER + 2  
- VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits) + 3

Confinement / Fencing:

- BLOCK WITH IRON GATE

General Comments:

THERE HAVE BEEN NO REPORTS OF THIS DOG BEING AT LARGE. NEIGHBORS STATE THE DOG HAS NOT BEEN LOOSE OR AGGRESSIVE. OWNER HAS REMOVED THE OTHER DOG THAT WAS INVOLVED IN THE INCIDENT. THE DOG SNIFTER IS DECLARED NOT DANGEROUS.

TOTAL SCORE: - B

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL.

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner. As a result, the owner has ten (10) days to appeal the determination. If the owner fails to appeal, the determination shall be final. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.

OFFICER  
1401
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donation Code</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DONATION</td>
<td>$1,293.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION ADOP</td>
<td>$439.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION GEN</td>
<td>$2,013.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION OUTR</td>
<td>$305.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION S/N</td>
<td>$9,738.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION SAMS</td>
<td>$2,498.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$16,288.69</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Donation Activity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donation Code</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DONATION</td>
<td>$1,738.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION ADOP</td>
<td>$2,427.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION GEN</td>
<td>$13,845.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION OUTR</td>
<td>$1,953.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION S/N</td>
<td>$69,955.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION SAMS</td>
<td>$8,729.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$98,649.75</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Period: 7/1/13     To: 11/30/13
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Name/Relation</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11/4/13</td>
<td>Constituent/Ann Haber</td>
<td>Feedback form to BOS</td>
<td>Ms. Haber supports the renovation for PACC and asked that of the BOS member.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/19/13</td>
<td>Carol Flickinger</td>
<td>She complained of the poor behavior of an Officer when she was told to bring and stray dog she had caught in traffic. She did commend the shelter staff who did the intake.</td>
<td>She was contacted by an Enforcement Supervisor.</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/21/13</td>
<td>Constituent/Donna Heldinger</td>
<td>Complaint regarding PACC's inability to respond to a caught dog call and failure to match it to a missing report. The finder of the dog was ill-served by PACC.</td>
<td>Referred to Enforcement Manager for Investigation.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/27/13</td>
<td>Constituent/Annette Duarte</td>
<td>Complainant had a stray dog that would not leave her yard and wanted picked up before Thanksgiving.</td>
<td>Existing call in Enforcement traffic was raised in priority.</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/25/13</td>
<td>Constituent/Francis Mercuri</td>
<td>Complaint of ongoing problems with neighbor regarding animal waste and leash law. PACC officer has not responded.</td>
<td>Call was sent to the field on 11/19/13 and an officer had yet to respond. The enforcement Manager contacted the dog owner by phone and discussed the complaint. Mr. Mercuri was contacted by the Enforcement Manager and a message left. Call remained for a field response.</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Good morning Ms. Quihuis, thank you for forwarding this to staff.

Respectfully,

Kim

FYI: constituent

Supervisor Richard Elias Feedback Form 2013-11-02 02:05 PM was submitted by Guest on 11/2/2013 2:05:59 PM (GMT-07:00) US/Arizona

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First Name</td>
<td>Ann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last Name</td>
<td>Haber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td><a href="mailto:aehaber751@gmail.com">aehaber751@gmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Phone
Address
City Tucson
State AZ
Zipcode

District_of_Concern

Department_of_concern Animal Care Center

Subject_or_Nature_of_Concern Please support renovations

Hello: I am a volunteer and Pima Animal Care Center. I also network shelter animals on facebook, so I see what shelters are like across the country as well, and I can tell you that PACC does an excellent job with what they have. But the stress on the animals and the staff at the shelter is high. Unlike the Humane Society, PACC is an open (and free) intake shelter, and overcrowding and an overworked staff are significant problems. I am writing Comments to ask you to support any upcoming renovations of PACC. A recent public opinion survey showed that there is considerable public support for improving the care of the unwanted animals in Pima County. We need new facilities and more staff! I cannot be at Tuesdays meeting, but please realize that myself and many others in the community support taxes, bonds or anything that well help alleviate the stress of overcrowding at PACC. Thank you! Ann Haber

Would_like_a_response Yes

Thank you, Pima County, Arizona
Michelle Moore

From: Steve Montano
Sent: Thursday, November 21, 2013 5:05 PM
To: Kim Janes
Cc: Jose Chavez; Michelle Moore
Subject: FW: Poor Behavior of Animal Control Officer Today

Categories: Blue Category

Sir:

I spoke with Mr. Flickinger this afternoon. I did thank her for going the extra mile by taking time off of her job so that she may turn in the dog to us.

I explained our field officer staffing, our call load, and our field priorities to her. I also explained that, just because there are five kennel vehicles in our parking lots, does not mean that there are five officers that are in the building. At the reported time of the incident Officer Bowden and Trainee Foster had just dropped off an injured animal. All other on-duty officers were in the field.

It should be noted that Jose Chavez and I spoke to Bowdon and Foster about the incident yesterday. They told us that at approximately 1000 hours they were preparing to go back to the field after dropping off an animal. They saw a lady put down the chain and sign and drive into the parking lot. Trainee Foster met the woman and told her that Animal Intake was not open to the public until 1200 hours. The lady told Foster that she had been told that she could bring in a dog that she had found. Tiffany said, "Okay," and then pointed out the direction to intake. Officer Bowdon, who was witness to the interaction said that Foster was "extremely polite" to the lady. The Officers said that they rolled the vehicle gate closed when they departed because other vehicles were lined up to drive into the intake lot.

I did tell Ms. Flickinger that I did speak to the officers involved. She said that she does not remember any vehicles being at the gate. She says that she thought that, the officers locking her in the lot, was intentional. I told her that I would again address that issue with the officers.

I also explained to Mr. Flickinger that the shelter hours are limited due to shelter staffing. I also explained that the Board of Supervisors has approved more funding for additional staff. I told her that it is my understanding that the additional staffing will result in an expanded schedule for animal drop offs.

Ms. Flickinger told me that she would like follow up on the dog. I told her that I could keep her posted.

Ms. Flickinger said that she appreciates me contacting her. She appeared to be satisfied with my explanations.

From: Steve Montano
Sent: Thursday, November 21, 2013 4:53 PM
To: ‘Flickinger, Carol D.’
Subject: FW: Poor Behavior of Animal Control Officer Today

Ms. Flickinger:

I apologize; I gave you the wrong animal number. The correct animal number is A461076.

From: Steve Montano
Sent: Thursday, November 21, 2013 3:37 PM
To: ‘Flickinger, Carol D.’
Subject: RE: Poor Behavior of Animal Control Officer Today
Ms. Flickinger:

Thank you for the positive telephone conversation this afternoon. I again apologize for the negative experience that you had with my organization. I do thank you for going the extra mile to ensure that the dog was safe.

In addition, if you would like, I can follow up on the disposition of the dog that you brought in to us. The animal number is 400441.

Thank you,

From: Flickinger, Carol D. [mailto:Carol.Flickinger@va.gov]
Sent: Thursday, November 21, 2013 9:02 AM
To: Steve Montano
Subject: RE: Poor Behavior of Animal Control Officer Today

Hi Steve, I've received your two voice messages and will try to call you after 1pm today. Thanks,

Carol

From: Flickinger, Carol D.
Sent: Tuesday, November 19, 2013 12:54 PM
To: 'steve.montano@plma.gov'; 'jose.chavez@plma.gov'
Subject: Poor Behavior of Animal Control Officer Today

I managed to keep a stray dog off the freeway this morning and called Animal Control from the Circle K at I-10 and 6th Ave. The person I spoke to said it would be “several days” before they would send someone. I asked her to clarify that she said “days” and she did. She said it would be much better if I took the dog to the shelter myself, which I did, with the assistance of another concerned person who helped me get the dog into my car. When I arrived around 10:15 am, the driveway had a chain across it, so I removed the chain and drove up to the building. I saw approximately five animal control vehicles in the parking areas and wonder why none were available to come get the dog? I assume our taxes pay for the vehicles and salaries of staff, yet the only contact I had with staff happened a few minutes later in the parking lot as one of the animal control vehicles was leaving. The lady in uniform got out of the vehicle and told me that the chain was there for a reason, to keep me out, and that the facility didn’t open until noon. I explained to her that I had just called animal control and had been told to bring the dog in myself because no staff or vehicles were available for several days (wondering why she had time to make an issue with me, but not time to come get the dog?), and that I wasn’t stupid and could read the sign on the chain, but didn’t know where else they instructed me to take the dog. She proceeded to leave the parking lot, move the chain out of the way, close the rolling gate and padlock it so I could not leave the parking lot. It’s really sad that this is what our taxes pay for. Admittedly, I may have not received the best information from the person on the phone about when/where to take the dog, but this employee’s behavior was totally out of bounds. It’s a shame that we pay for staff that don’t have time to do the job that we pay them to do, but have plenty of time to harass concerned citizens that are doing the job for them, by locking them into parking lots. I had to take additional leave time from work, so transporting the dog wasn’t free. Then I had to spend additional time to find someone to unlock the gate. That expense was in addition to costs that the public already pays for supposed “animal control services”. I called a couple of hours later and spoke to someone in dispatch, explained what had happened, and asked if she was the person I spoke to earlier. She said she “couldn’t remember” and said snobbishly that no one would have told me to bring the dog on over if the shelter was closed. I informed her that I didn’t appreciate being called a liar in addition on top of the experience I’d already had.

I have to say that despite this fiasco caused by animal control dispatch and vehicle operators, The shelter staff were very pleasant, courteous and professional at taking the animal and also taking time away from their duties to open the padlocked gate to let me out.
Unfortunately I can't say the same about the other animal control employees and I would like this issue addressed with those folks.

Carol Flickinger
Clinical Contract Coordinator
Logistics/Resource Management Service Line
Southern Arizona VA Health Care System
3601 S 6th Ave
Tucson, AZ 85723
Good morning Mr. Cuffari, our Enforcement Manager will look into this and contact Ms. Heidinger on the status of the case.

Respectfully,

Kim

Good morning Mr. James,

Below is a letter about the (lack of) response time from PACC. I would like for you to be aware of the complaint.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,
Joseph Cuffari
Constituent Liaison – Supervisor Ally Miller

Dear Supervisor Miller,

I have been asked to report to you a situation involving PACC. The neighborhood in which the incident occurred is a 1-acre lots with substantial natural growth as landscaping, on Chapala Dr in the area of Cross and Hareison Schools.

On Nov 16, a non-aggressive male lab/pitbull mix, chipped and tagged, took leave of his residence. The owners were beside themselves. They called the HSUS and PACC and left reports of their dog having escaped.

Unbeknownst to them, neighbors a few doors to the east saw the stray and put him in their yard. Because they recognized the pitbull in the dog, they were uncomfortable approaching the dog's head to read the tags, tho the dog was extremely friendly and followed them everywhere. They fed and watered him.

The gentleman in residence called PACC and was told by a male that no one could come out to retrieve the dog for 3 days. They were not advised that a resident proximate to them had reported this dog AWOL.

Unsure of what to do, the rescuers called the fire dept, who responded. However, since no one required medical assistance, they advised the family that managing stray dogs was not under their purview.
Because reaching for the dog's collar was not in their comfort zone, the lady rescuer called PACC again. She was advised by a female that they could not come out to retrieve the dog for 3 days, declining to explain why. The rescuer explained that they could not keep the dog that long because of their own dog. PACC advised them to liberate the stray. The rescuer explained that they resided across the street from Harelson & Cross schools, and even tho the dog was friendly, they did not want to chance putting any child at risk by releasing the dog to wandering. Again, throughout this conversation, the rescuers were not advised that a dog matching this description was reported missing 3 houses down the street from them.

And this is the essence of the complaint, that PACC appeared uninterested in the situation and did not mention that the dog had been reported missing and lived 3 houses away, that they recommended liberating the dog (which the rescuers felt was irresponsible), and, when asked, would not indicate why they would not retrieve the dog for 3 days. The rescuers were ill-served by PACC.

Not knowing what to do, the gentleman rescuer took the dog outside and began to walk the street with him, and the owners, who had been out for 3 hours looking for their dog, drove past and happily claimed him.

So all is well with the dog. The rescuers, however, are upset & angry with the (lack of) response from PACC.

A situation as such is likely to stifle efforts to assist a stray. As it stands, our neighborhood has an excellent record of reuniting errant pets with their owners via the kindness of residents who take in these strays and report them. (I was traveling on the 16th and by the time I arrived home and would have been able to send out a distribution of the missing dog, which the rescuers would have received, the situation had been resolved.)

Looking forward to seeing you this evening.

Donna Heidinger, LCMNA Liaison
Michelle Moore

From: Jose Chavez
Sent: Wednesday, November 27, 2013 11:15 AM
To: Michelle Moore
Cc: Robert Hendrix
Subject: RE: Constituent Call

Categories: Blue Category

As I was explaining our delayed response times for these types of complaints to due to higher priorities, one of our officer arrived. Ms. Duarte said she understood PACC is very busy and was only concerned the dog would not leave; she thanked me and attended to our officer.

Jose

From: Robert Hendrix
Sent: Wednesday, November 27, 2013 10:20 AM
To: Michelle Moore; Jose Chavez
Subject: RE: Constituent Call

I raised it to a priority 2. A13-135757

Robert Hendrix
Pima Animal Care Center
Dispatch Supervisor
520-243-5901

From: Michelle Moore
Sent: Wednesday, November 27, 2013 9:53 AM
To: Jose Chavez
Cc: Robert Hendrix
Subject: Constituent Call
Importance: High

Jose,

Joe Cuffari of Supervisor Miller’s office called. He had a call from an Annette Duarte, . She has a small poodle type dog that won’t leave her yard and wants it picked up today. Can we set this up please. Thank you.

Michelle

Michelle Moore
Pima Animal Care Center, 4000 N. Silverbell Rd., Tucson, AZ 85745
PH: 520 243-5934, FAX: 520 243-5954
Hi Jennifer,

Here is the update for you. An officer did respond and met with the one of the dog owners on 12-3-13. She met with Fred Ferguson and he stated he lives there with a friend Angela Coleman and his mother who just moved down here 1 month ago. Mr. Ferguson has a Rottweiler named Rosco who is currently licensed and vaccinated. He has also extensive training. The officer observed the dog while inside the house and an Italian greyhound mix belonging to Angela Coleman, who was not home at the time. The officer inspected the property and saw 3-4 fresh piles of waste, the rest was very clean. The officer also observed all the work with fencing that Mr. Ferguson has put up. The dogs no longer go into the front yard. They keep the gate open for the mailman. It was reported the dogs that live diagonal and across from the complainant are always out and coming onto their property. She observed the fencing between the complainant’s house and the residence of Mr. Ferguson and Ms. Coleman. The fence was extended quite a bit higher. Mr. Ferguson stated they are trying everything to keep everyone happy and to keep the peace. The mail was being sent to the house instead of the mailing address. The call was closed.

Respectfully,

Michelle Moore
Pima Animal Care Center
520 243-5934

Good Morning Michelle,

Hope you had a nice Thanksgiving!

If needed in the future (if this continues to happen) Mr. Mercuri does have pictures of said waste. However, the owner may have cleaned it up by this point.

Thanks,

Jenn Cabrera
Supervisor Sharon Bronson's Office
District 5
520-724-8051
From: Michelle Moore  
Sent: Wednesday, November 27, 2013 1:28 PM  
To: Jennifer Cabrera  
Cc: Kim Janes  
Subject: RE:

Hi Jennifer,

This is the latest. Have a wonderful Thanksgiving.

Michelle Moore
Pima Animal Care Center
520 243-5934

From: Jose Chavez  
Sent: Wednesday, November 27, 2013 1:09 PM  
To: Michelle Moore  
Cc: Kim Janes  
Subject: RE:

Mr. Mercuri turned in his animal waste form on 11-19-13 we have set up in traffic and we have not made it out there yet. I did call and speak with the dog owner Ms. Coleman, very nice lady. I advised her of the complaint which she already knew about after speaking with Mr. Mercuri in the past. Ms. Coleman admitted to the Rotty getting out of the yard and has since fixed the confinement and sectioned part of the property where the dogs are contained. She said there is no animal waste on her 1 acre property, she’s discussed these issues with Mr. Mercuri and has complied with his concerns. Ms. Coleman was advised an officer would meet with her this weekend and inspect her property, she understood. Mr. Mercuri was also called, he was not home, a message was left to return our call.

Jose

From: Jennifer Cabrera  
Sent: Wednesday, November 27, 2013 9:09 AM  
To: Michelle Moore  
Cc: Kim Janes; Deb Miller  
Subject: FW:

Hi Michelle,
Just looking to see if there is an update on this case.
Thanks.

Jennifer Cabrera
Supervisor Sharon Bronson's Office
District 3
520-724-8051

From: Michelle Moore  
Sent: Monday, November 25, 2013 12:08 PM  
To: Jennifer Cabrera; Kim Janes  
Cc: Deb Miller  
Subject: RE:  

Hi Jennifer,
It has been referred to the Enforcement Manager. Thank you.

Michelle Moore  
Pima Animal Care Center  
520 243-5934

From: Jennifer Cabrera  
Sent: Monday, November 25, 2013 11:42 AM  
To: Kim Janes; Michelle Moore  
Cc: Deb Miller  
Subject:

Good Morning Michelle,

Our office received a call regarding the following issue:

In mid-August 2013, Francis Mercuri started submitting complaints regarding 14254 W. Guy St. The property’s owner has 4 dogs and does not clean up after them, thus making the yard into a bio hazard swarming with flies, and when it rains, it turns Mr. Mercuri’s yard into a hazardous area as well. He has called and emailed pictures multiple times, he has spoken to and emailed Marcus Fish, who has been very nice and polite, but nothing has been done about the complaints yet. Mr. Mercuri has been told multiple times that PACC is “back logged” and that it will be handled “in the next 7 days,” but nothing has happened.

Also, he made a complaint about the owner’s 110lbs Rottweiler getting out of the fence on numerous occasions and been aggressive to other dogs in the area, but has seen no action on that issue.

He did say he has spoken many times with the owner but has been told to mind his own business and called a troublemaker.

Can you have an investigator go out this week before the holiday and handle this complaint?

Please keep our office posted, thanks!

Property owner  
Angela Coleman

Complainant  
Francis Mercuri

Jenn Cabrera  
Supervisor Sharon Bronson’s Office  
District 3  
520-724-8051
November 4, 2013

Pima County Board of Supervisors
130 W Congress
Tucson, AZ 85743

Re: Funding for PACC Tent City

Dear Supervisors Ally Miller, Ramon Valadez, Sharon Bronson, Ray Carroll, and Richard Elias:

I read in Saturday’s Arizona Daily Star that County Administrator Chuck Huckelberry is asking the Board of Supervisors to fund a semi-permanent structure for Pima Animal Care Center. I do not know your individual stands on this issue but as an avid dog-lover, I am writing to ask for your support.

It is very sad that we have an overpopulation of dogs and cats. People do not realize the consequences of their refusal, neglect, or ignorance not to spay and neuter their pets. And, there are those people who do not provide a safe and secure home for their pets. Sadly, the pets, at no fault of their own, pay the ugly price. Animals are the voiceless. They have no choice in their fate.

Human beings have created this lousy situation, and I believe that human beings are responsible for helping to deal with it. I am hopeful that as time goes by more and more people will learn to be responsible pet owners. Perhaps some day, we can close all animal shelters.

Again, please help the animals at PACC. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Patricia Cicala

Cc: Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator
    Kim Janes, Manager PACC
Mr. Jancs,

Thank you for your assistance helping me to get another dog. I would also like to thank Carina, Ellie and Kimberly for their exceptional work. Please stop me if I ever show up again wishing to add yet another to my humble abode.

Ray Carroll
Guiding Eyes for the Blind

For information regarding our wide range of programs and new horizons of opportunity, call 1-800-997-2083.

Guiding Eyes for the Blind is dedicated to: the needs of blind and visually impaired men and women by providing them with the freedom to live the lives of blind and visually impaired men and women by providing them with the freedom to live.

For information regarding our wide range of programs and new horizons of opportunity, call 1-800-997-2083.
I RESCUED A HUMAN TODAY. Her eyes met mine as she walked down the corridor peering apprehensively into the kennels. I felt her need instantly and knew I had to help her. I wagged my tail, not too exuberantly, so she wouldn’t be afraid.

As she stopped at my kennel I blocked her view from a little accident I had in the back of my cage. I didn’t want her to know that I hadn’t been walked today. Sometimes the shelter keepers get too busy and I didn’t want her to think poorly of them.

As she read my kennel card I hoped that she wouldn’t feel sad about my past. I only have the future to look forward to and want to make a difference in someone’s life.

She got down on her knees and made little kissy sounds at me. I shoved my shoulder and side of my head up against the bars to comfort her. Gentle fingertips caressed my neck; she was desperate for companionship.

A tear fell down her cheek and I raised my paw to assure her that all would be well. Soon my kennel door opened and her smile was so bright that I instantly jumped into her arms. I would promise to keep her safe. I would promise to always be by her side. I would promise to do everything I could to see that radiant smile and sparkle in her eyes. I was so fortunate that she came down my corridor. So many more are out there who haven’t walked the corridors. So many more to be saved. At least I could save one.

I rescued a human today.
Dear [Name],

I hope this finds you well and getting better. Oftentimes, I have to be honest with you, but I'm trying to be more transparent. You mentioned you have a headache, but I'm not sure if it's a big deal or just a minor discomfort. I'm sending you my best wishes and hoping you feel better soon.

Thank you so much for your help.

[Name]

- 5-13

[Signature]
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