ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING
Results Memorandum
Valencia Road: Wade Road to Ajo Highway (SR 86)
PCDOT Project Number 4RTVWE

Screening Process Description

• Project Kickoff Meeting was held on February 17, 2016. Attendees included representatives from Pima County and project consultants. The project was described briefly (Figure 1. Project Location) and the project-related roles and responsibilities were generally outlined, and known issues, i.e., existing and planned developments and noise-related impacts were discussed. The meeting was followed by site visit as shown in Figure 2. Project Vicinity.

• Project Team Meetings have been held monthly since April 2016, and are scheduled to be ongoing through completion of the Environmental Assessment and Mitigation Report (EAMR) process.

• Environmental Coordination Meeting was held on September 13, 2016. Attendees included representatives from Pima County and regulatory agencies (Bureau of Land Management Arizona Game and Fish Department), and consultants from Kimley-Horn, EcoPlan, and Ninyo & Moore. Meeting discussions focused on environmental topics and related technical issues, including required environmental documents, their sequence of submittal, and approval process. Meeting agenda and minutes are attached.

Identification of Technical Studies Completed During Screening Process

• Biology Site Evaluation EcoPlan reviewed the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and Arizona Game and Fish Department (AGFD) databases to determine the presence of protected/listed/threatened and endangered species within the project area, and conducted site visits on June 23 and June 29, 2016. The draft Biological Evaluation has been submitted to BLM (8/31/16), approval is pending.

• A Preliminary Initial Site Assessment (PISA) was approved by Pima County on July 31, 2016. This constitutes final approval.

• A draft Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination (PJD) of Waters of the United States is currently being prepared, and will be submitted to Pima County for review in October 2016. The USACE has assigned the PJD #SPL-2016-00749-KWG. If impact analysis results indicate permanent impacts to Waters will be less than 0.1 acres, the project will qualify as a non-notifying Nationwide Permit 14.

• Cultural Resources Class I Inventory (database search) and Class III Survey of the project area (conducted July 13, 216) have been completed for the project. Results are detailed in the State
Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) Survey Report Summary Form, which was submitted to BLM on 8/31/16. Approval is pending.

- A Noise Study was conducted and approved in July, 2016. Modeled noise levels for the project through its design year (2040) were determined not to exceed the threshold for abatement for existing and future land used based on criteria given in the Pima County Noise Abatement Procedure.

Environmental Issues Identified During Screening Process

Biology

- The Biological Evaluation (BE) report evaluated the potential presence of, or habitat for, federally-listed and other special status species, including BLM special status species for in the project area. The report identified potential habitat for Pima Pineapple cactus (PPC) and migratory birds, and the presence of native plants. Approval of the BE by BLM is pending.

- Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA). Vegetation removal, specifically the removal of larger mesquite trees along the south side of Valencia Road near the west end of the project, may affect migratory bird species. Impacts to migratory birds should be minimized by limiting vegetation removal to outside of the breeding season (March 1 - August 31).

- Native and Protected Plants. Suitable habitat for Pima Pineapple Cactus exists within the project limits and will be affected by vegetation removal, as will other protected native vegetation, including cacti, desert trees, and shrubs. Mitigation requirements will be based on the Pima County Environmentally Sensitive Roadway (ESR) process and FCD Floodplain Use Permit requirements. No PPC were located within the project footprint.

- A native plant inventory will be completed in conjunction with development revegetation and landscaping plans. Subsequent landscaping and mitigation plans will be developed in accordance with Pima County ESR guidelines. Landscaping plans will utilize native species.

- Regulated Riparian Habitat (RRH). These habitat types are present within the project area in multiple locations, and will be impacted by project construction. It is expected that the project will impact more than 1/3 acres of RRH, and appropriate mitigation will be required. Mitigation measures will be developed by Kimley-Horn and presented in the landscaping plans.

Hazardous Materials

- A PISA was completed for the project area and identified five potentially regulated facilities, including four residential developments and Ryan Airfield. The project is not expected to impact any of these facilities. Transformers on overhead transmission lines are not leaking and would be responsibility of the utility. There were no registered underground storage tanks or other potentially hazardous underground facilities identified.
Clean Water Act – Waters of the United States

- Section 404/401 - Multiple dry, ephemeral washes cross the project area. A Preliminary Jurisdictional Delineation (PJD) is underway to evaluate potential impacts to Waters and the appropriate level of Section 404 permitting that would be required. Preliminary results indicate the presence of 18-25 drainages that could be considered as Waters. Preliminary design indicates permanent impacts will be less than 0.10 acres for each drainage crossing and qualify for a non-notifying Linear Transportation Projects Nationwide Permit 14 (NWP #14). A determination of permit type, based on acreage of temporary and permanent impacts to Waters, is expected in late November 2016. Projects meeting NWP #14 are Conditionally certified under Section 401 State Water Quality Certification through the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ).

- Section 402 – Because more than 1 acre of land will be disturbed during project construction, a CWA Section 402 Arizona Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit would be required. A Notice of Intent and a Notice of Termination will be prepared and submitted to ADEQ. As required by the permit, a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) will be prepared.

Cultural

- A SHPO Survey Report Summary Form (Form) was completed based on results of a Cultural Resources Class I inventory and Class III survey. Cultural and historical findings within the project limits include a historical farmstead south of Valencia Road near the west end of the project and a historic Government Land Office (GLO) survey marker. The historic farmstead is outside the Area of Potential Effect (APE), and the GLO survey marker was not recommended eligible for the National Register of Historic Places. The report was approved by Pima County, and submitted to the BLM for review on 8/31/16. BLM approval will constitute final approval.

Air Quality

- The project is expected to have temporary local impacts to particulate levels (dust) during construction. The impacts would be reduced by PCDOT standard specification for dust suppression during construction. The contractor would obtain a PDEQ Fugitive Dust Activity Permit.

Suggestions on Addressing Issues through Design Modifications and/or Alternative Investigation

- Impacts to migratory birds should be minimized by limiting vegetation removal to outside of the breeding season (March 1 - August 31).

- Impacts to native plants should be minimized through the development and implantation of landscaping and mitigation plans developed in accordance with Pima County ESR guidelines, and landscaping with only native species.
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- Impacts to Regulated Riparian Habitat should be mitigated through the development of a Riparian Habitat Mitigation Plan, and associated Floodplain Use Permit submitted to Pima County Regional Flood Control District.

- Project drainage improvements should be designed to minimize construction features within washes, and thus avoid or reduce impacts to potential Waters. Minimizing permanent impacts to any single Water of the US to less than 0.1 acres would not require the submittal of a Nationwide Permit 14 Preconstruction Notification (PCN) to the USACE. Should permanent impacts exceed 0.10 acres a PCN would be required resulting in additional USACE review and time.

- The preparation of a SWPPP to include temporary and permanent sediment and erosion control measures to prevent release of potential water pollutants would be required. The SWPPP would include requirements to file a Notice of Intent and Notice of Termination with ADEQ.

- The contractor should obtain a Fugitive Dust Activity Permit from PDEQ prior to any earthmoving activities.

Identification of Any Additional Technical Analyses Needed
Technical analyses beyond the original scope of work for the Environmental Assessment and Mitigation Report are not necessary.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Project limits suitable for environmental clearance: Y ☒ N ☐

Limitations: Y ☒ N ☐ if yes, describe:

- Mitigation measures recommended by USACE (per NWP #14 Standard Terms and Conditions).
- Mitigation measures applicable to ADEQ Section 401 General Conditions.
- Mitigation measures for Floodplain Use Permit (needed for impacts to RHH)
- Mitigation measures for migratory birds that will avoid vegetation removal during the breeding season
- Mitigation measures for vegetation removal (landscaping and mitigation plans)

Follow-up actions: Y ☒ N ☐ if yes, describe:

- Section 404 Permitting
- Floodplain Use Permit
• Landscaping and Mitigation Plan
• Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan
• Air Quality Fugitive Dust Permit

Approval:
Environmental and Transportation Planning Division
Engineering Division: _________________________________
Environmental Planning Branch: _______________________
Pima County Department of Environmental Quality: ____________

Attachments
1. Figure 1. Project Location
2. Figure 2. Project Vicinity
3. Completed Environmental Questionnaire
4. Environmental Impact Screening Summary Matrix
5. Environmental coordination meeting agenda and meeting minutes
6. Kickoff meeting agenda and meeting minutes
7. The State Historic Preservation Office Survey Report Summary Form
8. AGFD On-Line Environmental Review Tool Receipt
9. USFWS Official Species List
10. BLM Sensitive Species List
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Figure 1. Project location
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Figure 2. Project vicinity
Attachment #3. Completed Environmental Questionnaire
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Environmental Screening  
Questionnaire for Establishing Potential Areas of Impact

INTRODUCTORY INFORMATION

Project Identification

• Project Name: Valencia Road: Wade Road to Ajo Way
• Pima County Project Manager: Paul Bennett

Project Location and Limits

• Location of project in Pima County: The project is in eastern Pima County, approximately 6 miles west of the City of Tucson. The Pascua Yaqui Indian Reservation is 1.5 miles to the east, and the San Xavier Indian Reservation is 2 miles to the south. (See Figures 1 and 2 for project location).

• Limits of project: Project limits extend along the existing Valencia Road alignment, from approximately 1,700 feet west of Wade Road to Ajo Way.

From end to end: Project length is approximately 3.0 miles.

From side to side: The existing right-of-way (ROW) varies on either side of the roadway centerline, with total ROW widths ranging from 150 to 200 feet. Most of the existing right-of-way along Valencia Road belongs to Pima County. Pima County is currently updating their easement agreement with the BLM on their parcel west of Reed Bunting Drive. A portion of the existing Vahalla Road alignment south of Valencia Road is on ASLD land, and will be realigned eastward onto Pima County ROW. Drainage easements are expected be required for drainage improvements at several culvert crossings.

Funding Source

• Funding source anticipated for use in construction project?

  County funding: Y ☑  N ☐
  Funding through: Pima County Department of Transportation (PCDOT) Project No.: 4RTVWE (Valencia Road: Wade Road to Ajo Way), Pima Association of Governments (PAG) Regional Transportation Authority (RTA) Plan, and Impact Fees.

  Federal funding: Y ☐  N ☑

  Other: Project is listed in the PAG 5-Year Regional Transportation Improvement Program, 2016-2020 (approved May 28, 2015) as TIP# 114.06, with a total estimated cost of $25 million.
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Source: PAG 5-Year Regional Transportation Improvement Program, 2016-2020.

**Primary Project Purpose**

- Primary purpose of project: Valencia Road between Wade Road and Ajo Way does not meet its designation as a “parkway” as described in the approved Pima Association of Governments 2040 Regional Transportation Plan. The roadway does not currently accommodate the increased traffic volumes projected for the year 2040. This project would reconstruct Valencia Road to meet the PAG designation of “parkway”, and increase capacity to meet the projected year 2040 traffic demand.

  - Modernize roadway: Y ☑ N ☐
  - Increase capacity: Y ☑ N ☐
  - Add bicycle lanes: Y ☑ N ☐
  - Improve safety: Y ☑ N ☐

  **Other:** The project would provide a more level roadway profile that improves stopping sight distances. Additionally, project-related drainage improvements would reduce localized flooding over the roadway, which may impact local and emergency access through the project area.

**Existing Conditions within Project Limits**

- Roadway specifications?

  Valencia Road from Wade Road to Ajo Way is currently an undivided, two-lane, paved, roadway. There is one lane of travel in each direction, and areas with a marked center turn lane near residential developments. The horizontal alignment of Valencia Road is straight. There are several gentle dips in the vertical roadway profile, where stormwater runoff occasionally collects.

  Cross roads intersecting Valencia Road are generally stop-controlled; there are no stop signs on Valencia Road. There are no signalized intersections within the project limits.

  Drainage is currently managed through approximately 20 culvert crossings; however, some runoff occasionally gathers in low areas on the roadway.

  - Right-of-way: Varies between 150 and 200 feet
  - Pavement width: Varies from approximately 44 feet to approximately 24 feet, depending on width of paved shoulder, and on presence/absence of a center turn lane.
  - Number of through lanes in each direction: 2

- Number of turning lanes? 1 discontinuous center turn lane

  - Right-turn lanes: None
Valencia Road: Wade Road to Ajo Way  
PCDOT Project Number 4RTVWE

**Left-turn lanes:** None

**Middle-turn lanes:** 1 discontinuous center turn lane

- **Existing intersections?**

  **Number of signalized intersections:** None

  **Number of unsignalized intersections:** 11

  Existing parking (e.g. on-street) Y □ N ☒

- **Existing bike lanes?** Y □ N ☒

- **Existing sidewalk?** Y □ N ☒

  There are no sidewalks or paved pathways on Valencia Road, but sidewalks are present on some cross streets near residential developments

- **Existing transit stops?** Y □ N ☒

- **Other:** None

**Project Components**

- Anticipated specifications of the project? Valencia Road will be widened to approximately 75-feet wide to accommodate two 11-foot travel lanes in each direction, a raised center median with periodic center turning bays, and a 6-foot wide bicycle lane on both sides of the roadway. Five-foot wide sidewalks will be added on both sides of the roadway. Major intersections will be signalized, and street lights added near intersections. Approximately 20 culverts will be reconstructed.

  **Amount of additional right-of-way to be acquired:** Additional ROW or easement may be acquired for reconstruction of Valencia Road, and realignment of Valhalla Road; Drainage easements will be needed for reconstruction of several culverts. Coordination with PCRFCD is anticipated for some culverts. Other culverts will be on lands administered by BLM and ASLD.

  Under 1 acre: □

  1–5 acres: □

  5–10 acres: □

  Over 10 acres: ☒ The project is expected to disturb more than 10 acres of surface area.

  Surface disturbance activities will include: reconstruction of approximately 3.0 miles of Valencia Road; drainage improvements at roughly 20 locations, construction of a separate continuous pedestrian pathway, and realigning a portion of Valhalla Drive south of Valencia Road.

  **Change in the vertical or horizontal alignment:** Y ☒ N □
Minor adjustments will be made to the vertical roadway profile of Valencia Road to correct existing drainage issues. The horizontal roadway alignment will be straightened, and shifted to a position nearest the center of existing right of way.

*New alignment:* Y ☐ N ☒

Shifts in roadway alignment will be minor and will not constitute a new alignment.

*Pavement width to be added:* Approximately 30 feet of new pavement width will be added to accommodate two additional travel lanes, raised center median with turning bays, and one bicycle lane on both sides of the roadway.

*Number of through lanes to be added:* Two, one in each direction (11 foot lane widths).

*Number of turn lanes to be added:* None

Right-turn lanes: Added at Mountain Eagle Drive, Vahalla Road, Iberia Road, and Eagle Talon Parkway

Left-turn lanes: None

Middle-turn lanes: There is an existing center turn lane throughout most of the project limits. The project would modify the existing lane with a new raised center median and periodic turn bays.

*Any associated parking (e.g., on-street):* Y ☐ N ☒

*Bicycle lanes to be added:* Y ☒ N ☐ Paved cross-section will include 6-foot paved bicycle lanes in each direction at the outside edges of the roadway.

*Sidewalk to be added:* Y ☒ N ☐ Sidewalk and curb ramps will be constructed at the intersections of Valencia Road. It is expected that any new sidewalk constructed will be extended to meet existing sidewalks if present on cross streets.

*Landscaping to be added:* Y ☒ N ☐

Landscaping will be added in the median, as well as at roadway shoulders.

- Number of intersections to be signalized: It is anticipated that Valencia Road intersections at Iberia Avenue and Valhalla Road will be signalized in the future. Conduit will be placed as part of the construction, but signals would not be installed until traffic warrants are met. Additional studies are underway to evaluate signalization at Eagles Talon Parkway and Mountain Eagle Drive.

- Street Lighting: Street lighting will be added to all cross-street intersections with Valencia Road and along the approach to SR 86. Lighting will be shielded to reduce intrusion into neighborhoods.
Valencia Road: Wade Road to Ajo Way  
PCDOT Project Number 4RTVWE

Phasing

- Is the project:

  *A portion of a unified development plan? Y ☒ N ☐

  The project is in the Regional Transportation Authority Plan (approved 2006) as RTA-21, which included improvements on Valencia Road from Mark Road to Ajo Way. Improvements from Mark Road to Wade Road have already been completed. The current project will complete RTA-21 by conducting planned improvements from Wade Road to Ajo Way, which is programmed through the PAG Regional Transportation Improvement Program (2016-2020).

  *One of a series of projects that may result in a cumulative set of environmental impacts on an identifiable area? Y ☒ N ☐

  Environmental impacts from this project may be cumulative when considered with the adjacent PCDOT project Valencia Road, Mark Road to Wade Road (EAMR, 2012), and ADOT project SR 86, Sandario Road – Kinney Road (EA, 2010). The impacts can be considered minor as impacts from all three projects are primarily within existing right-of-way.

Source: PAG Regional Transportation Improvement Program 2016-2020; Valencia Road, Wade Road to Mark Road, Final Environmental Assessment and Mitigation Report, PCDOT, 2012; Draft Environmental Assessment, SR86: Sandario Road to Kinney Road, ADOT, 2010; Valencia Road, Mountain Eagle Drive to Wade Road, Draft Concept Report, PCDOT 2011.

Traffic

- Existing average daily traffic (ADT) in the project area?

Estimated ADT for the subject roadway varies from 2,900 to 9,700 based on a traffic analysis memorandum prepared for Pima County to document existing and Design Year 2040 traffic conditions (KHA, 2016).

- Projected ADT in the project area for the build year? For the build year 2040, traffic volumes are expected to reach approximately 25,000 (KHA, 2016).


Land Uses

- Existing adjacent land uses? Check all that apply and circle primary uses.

  *Commercial (e.g., retail businesses, service businesses): Y ☒ N ☐
  Institutional (e.g., schools, hospitals, social services agencies): Y ☒ N ☐
Residential (e.g., single-family houses, apartments, townhouses): Y ☒  N ☐

Vacant lots: Y ☐  N ☒

Lands within project area are primarily a mix of residential developments and undeveloped lands. Ryan Airfield is situated adjacent to, but outside, the project limits.

Industrial (e.g., light industry, heavy industry): Y ☐  N ☒

Recreational (e.g., parks, sports fields): Y ☐  N ☒

Source: Field reconnaissance, Pima County assessor’s records, Pima County MapGuide

Property Ownership

- Existing land ownership
  - Majority public: ☐
  - Majority private: ☐
  
  About evenly divided between public and private: ☒ Private, BLM, and State Lands

Source: Pima County Assessor’s records

ENVIRONMENTAL CATEGORIES

Drainage

- Will any storm water drain from the project discharge into detention or retention basins on site? Y ☒  N ☐

Project drainage will enter existing storm drain channels on the north side of Valencia Road between Iberia Avenue and Vahalla Drive, and west of Bullfinch Road. The channels are maintained by PCRFCD. A new channel will be constructed on south side of Valencia Road west of Via Molino de Viento.

Section 401/404

- Are any culverts likely to be installed, replaced, or extended? Y ☒  N ☐

  The project crosses approximately 15-23 unnamed, ephemeral, dry washes, which are currently conveyed under the roadway by culverts. Existing culverts will be reconstructed and extended to accommodate the widening of Valencia Road.

- Are there any bridges being upgraded, extended, or replaced? Y ☐  N ☒

- Is there any bank protection required in the construction of this project? Y ☐  N ☒
Drainage improvements at existing culverts will likely include constructing new drop-style inlets, replacing culvert pipe, and placing riprap at culvert mouths. The drainage report (forthcoming) will evaluate the need for, and extent of, downstream bank protection.

- Are there any wetlands within the project area? Y ☑️ N ☑️

Is it anticipated that there will be any discharge of dredged or fill material into “Waters of the United States”? Y ☑️ N ☑️

Minimizing impacts to Waters of the U.S. is a project goal. However, constructing new, and longer, culverts is expected to have some impacts to Waters of the U.S., which will be addressed through the Section 404/401 permitting process.

Source: Field reconnaissance; review of aerial imagery; review of preliminary design with project team.

Section 402

The project is expected to disturb more than 1 surface acre and will therefore require an Arizona Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit from ADEQ. The permit requires the preparation of a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP), Notice of Intent and Notice of Termination. The project area is not in the vicinity of any designated Unique or Impaired Water as defined by ADEQ. The nearest Unique Water (Cienega Creek) and Impaired Water (portions of Santa Cruz River) are over 10 miles distant.

Floodplain

- Is the project area within a 100-year floodplain delineated on the Federal Emergency Flood Insurance Rate Map? Y ☑️ N ☑️


If “yes,” will the project substantially modify the topography of the floodplain either by placement or removal of materials within the floodplain? Y ☑️ N ☑️

The project will widen the existing Valencia Road alignment, and extend existing culverts in their current locations. The extents of impacts to the floodplain are expected to be minor. Valencia Road will be slightly raised to allow the addition of drainage pipes /culverts to carry storm flows under the roadway. The project drainage analysis concluded no changes in the FEMA floodplain would occur.

Source: CMG Drainage Engineering - Initial Drainage Report, Valencia Road (Wade Road to Ajo Highway).
Biological Resources

- Are there listed threatened, endangered, proposed, and/or candidate species likely to be found in the project vicinity? Y ☒ N ☐

A Biological Evaluation for the area has been completed for the project, in which federally listed threatened or endangered species were identified within 3 miles of the project including Chiricahua leopard frog and Pima pineapple cactus (PPC). Because no wetlands, stock tanks, or other wet areas are known to be in project area, Chiricahua leopard frogs are not expected to be impacted. One PPC was observed approximately 80 feet outside the project limits during the field survey. No PPC were observed inside the project limits, and individuals of this species are not anticipated to be impacted by project activities.


- Are listed special status species likely to be found in the project vicinity? Y ☒ N ☐

BLM sensitive species have been identified within 3 miles of the project including Arizona whiptail, Western narrow-mouthed toad, and Tumamoc globeberry.

- Are protected native plants likely to be found in the project vicinity? Y ☒ N ☐

Plants protected by Arizona’s Native Plant Law were identified within 3 miles of the project area including Pima pineapple cactus, Thornber fishhook cactus, and Tumamoc globeberry. Mesquite and paloverde were also observed during the field survey.

- Are construction activities anticipated to remove/disturb any vegetation? Y ☒ N ☐

Clearing and grubbing up to the ROW are expected on both sides of the roadway. In accordance with the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MTBA) this work will be completed during the non-breeding season (estimated August 31 – February 15) to avoid disturbance to migratory bird species.

- Is the project within the Conservation Land System? Y ☒ N ☐

Designated Important Riparian Areas (Conservation Land System) cross the project area at Mountain Eagle Drive and west of Via Molino de Viento. Additionally, Regulated Riparian Habitats (as defined in Pima County Title 16, Floodplain Management Ordinance) cross the project area in multiple locations along Valencia Road.

- Is the project along a designated Scenic Route? Y ☒ N ☐


Source: Field reconnaissance; Pima County MapGuide
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Air Quality

- Is the project in an:
  - Attainment area? Y ☑ N ☐
  - Nonattainment area? Y ☐ N ☑ If “yes,” what are the pollutants of concern?
  - Maintenance area? Y ☑ N ☐ If “yes,” what are the pollutants of concern? Carbon monoxide.

Construction activities are expected to result in temporary impacts to particulate levels. The contractor shall obtain and comply with a Pima County Department of Environmental Quality Fugitive Dust Activity Permit.


Noise

- Are there sensitive noise receptors in the area? Y ☑ N ☐
  - If “yes,” identify type of noise receptors and briefly describe:
    - Residences: There are single-family residences along Valencia Road and cross roads. Most residences have some type of privacy wall, but the heights of the walls, elevations of the houses, and setback from the roadway vary between developments.
    - Schools: None.
    - Churches: None.
    - Other: None.

- When the project is completed and used as anticipated, is it likely to contribute to an exceeded level of noise quality standards. Y ☐ N ☑
  - A Noise Study was conducted and approved in July, 2016. Modeled noise levels for the project through its design year (2040) were determined not to exceed the threshold for abatement for existing and future land used based on criteria given in the Pima County Noise Abatement Procedure.

Source: Field reconnaissance, aerial photo review, Pima County MapGuide, review of design concepts with the project team. Noise Review for Valencia Road, Wade Road to Ajo Highway (AZ 86), Pima County, 2016
Utilities

- Will the construction include any utility involvement? Y ☑ N ☐
  
  If “yes,” what kind of work is anticipated?

  Utility relocation: Multiple types of utilities are present and in conflict with the project design, including overhead power, natural gas, communications, water, and wastewater.

  Temporary disconnection of service: Temporary service disconnections may occur. PCDOT is coordinating with utilities to determine the extent and timing of utility relocations.

  Utility replacement: Several utility relocations are expected including moving Tucson Electric Power poles. The design team is aware of several planned utility upgrades by Trico Electric, Arizona G & T Cooperative, and Pima County Reclamation and Wastewater Department (sewer)

- Are there any scheduled plans for utility upgrades in the vicinity that are not related to the project? Y ☑ N ☐ To be determined
  
  Unknown at this time. Coordination with utility companies will occur throughout design.

Hazardous Materials

- Is it likely that any hazardous wastes or hazardous substances in the past have been generated, treated, stored, released, discarded or disposed of on site or are any such wastes now accumulated on site? Y ☑ N ☐ Don’t know ☐

  A PISA was completed for the project and identified five potentially regulated facilities, including four residential developments and Ryan Airfield. The project is not expected to impact any of these facilities. Transformers on overhead transmission lines are not leaking and would be responsibility of the utility. There were no registered underground storage tanks or other potentially hazardous underground facilities identified.

- Have any test borings been performed? Y ☑ N ☒

  Test borings have not been conducted for the discovery of hazardous materials, and are not expected to be required at this time.

  If “yes,” were any wastes discovered on the premises in the course of the test borings or excavation work for the project? Y ☑ N ☐ NA

Source: Field reconnaissance and discussions with Pima County. Preliminary Initial Site Assessment Valencia Road: Wade Road to Ajo Highway (SR86), West Valencia Road From South Wade Road To West Ajo Highway. (Pima County, July 26, 2016)
Historic Preservation

- Are there any cultural resources (archaeological or historic) in the vicinity of the project area that are listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places? Y ☒ N ☐

A SHPO Survey Report Summary Form (Form) was completed based on results of a Cultural Resources Class I inventory and Class III survey. Cultural and historical findings within the project limits include a historical farmstead south of Valencia Road near the west end of the project and a historic Government Land Office (GLO) survey marker. The historic farmstead is outside the Area of Potential Effect (APE), and the GLO survey marker was not recommended eligible for the National Register of Historic Places. The report was approved by Pima County, and submitted to the BLM for review on 8/31/16. BLM approval will constitute final approval.

- Are any of the sites considered “Priority Cultural Resources”? Y ☐ N ☒

- If the answer is “yes” to either or both of the preceding questions, please list the resource(s)/site(s):

- Of those properties listed or eligible, are any located near enough to the project to be affected by the project location, construction, or anticipated future traffic? Y ☐ N ☒

- Are there any structures likely to be 50 years old or older in, or adjacent to, the project area? Y ☐ N ☒

If “yes,” please list addresses below:

Historical findings include a historical farmstead south of Valencia Road near the west end of the project and a historic Government Land Office (GLO) survey marker.


Visual Impact

- Is the project likely to affect noticeably the views from adjacent properties? Y ☒ N ☐

If “yes,” briefly describe: The Valencia Road alignment currently exists; however the visual character of the project area will be altered by the increased paving, relocation of power poles, and enlargement of drainage features. Signalized intersections may be added at some future date, and would also change the visual character.

Is the project likely to cause a noticeable change in the foreground, middle-ground, or background views from the road? Y ☒ N ☐User groups located directly on or adjacent to the roadway will be affected by the addition of the proposed project improvements. User
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groups located a distance away from the roadway will not be affected by the additional paved area or larger drainage features. However, they will be affected by the relocated power poles, which typically have been significantly larger that the poles they replace.

Currently no intersection street lighting is present. The project will add lighting at all cross-street intersections. This will add a visual element of the new poles.

Source: Field reconnaissance and review of preliminary design concepts. DRAFT Visual Assessment Report, Pima County, October 2016

Neighborhood/Social Impact

• Is there likely to be any commercial or residential displacement due to the construction of this project? Y ☐ N ☒

• Are there likely to be any temporary changes in:
  - Business access: Y ☐ N ☒
  - Parking: Y ☐ N ☒

• Are there likely to be any permanent changes in:
  - Traffic service: Y ☒ N ☐
  - Traffic circulation: Y ☒ N ☐

  Additional travel lanes on Valencia Road will increase local vehicle access to residential neighborhoods and improve through-traffic use. The addition of bicycle lanes and pedestrian pathway/sidewalks will add alternative transportation options for local residents.

  The new raised median with center turn bays will improve vehicle turning movements between Valencia Road and side streets. The additional of signalization at select cross streets will improve access to and from residential areas along Valencia Road.

• Is the project likely to affect continuity in neighborhoods in the vicinity? Y ☐ N ☒

Source: Pima County Map Guide, aerial photography review, design discussions with Pima County DOT.

LOCAL JURISDICTION/AGENCY COORDINATION

• Are there local jurisdictions and governmental agencies with which coordination is anticipated or has begun? Y ☒ N ☐

  Pima County Department of Transportation
  Pima County Regional Flood Control District
Valencia Road: Wade Road to Ajo Way  
PCDOT Project Number 4RTVWE  

Pima County Real Property Services
Pima County Cultural Resources and Historic Preservation Office
Pima County Department of Environmental Quality
Arizona Department of Agriculture
Arizona Department of Department of Environmental Quality
Regional Transportation Authority


- Note any issues for coordination that have been identified to date:
  - Project will require Clean Water Act Section 404/401 permit (USACE)
  - Riparian habitats are present along Valencia Road (PCRFCD)
  - Biological resources and protected plant/animal species (BLM, USFWS, AZGFD, Arizona Department of Agriculture)

- Briefly describe coordination efforts planned or under way:
  - The Environmental Coordination meeting with local, regional, state, and federal agencies and jurisdictions took place on 9/13/2016.

Source:

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

- Has a Public Involvement Plan been developed for the project? Y ☑ N ☐
  - A public involvement plan was developed by Pima County to include the formation of a Community Advisory Committee (CAC).

- Has a Community Advisory Committee been formed, or is one being formed? Y ☑ N ☐
  - A CAC was developed for project, made up of representatives from the project area. The first meeting was held on 8/25/2016 and the second meeting on September 20, 2016. Additional meetings will be regularly scheduled through the project duration, as coordinated by Pima County. Have any public meetings been scheduled? Y ☑ N ☐

  If “yes,” have any meetings been held to date? Y ☑ N ☐
The first Public Open House is tentatively scheduled for November 15, 2016, at the Lawrence Elementary School, 4850 W. Jeffery Road. The meeting will be advertised through public notices and direct mailings. At that meeting the project Design Concept Report and EAMR would be presented, and public comments solicited. A second public open house would be scheduled in late 2017 prior to project construction to present the roadway plans and construction schedule.

- Has any information useful to the project development been identified through public interaction to date? Y ☑️ N ☐️ NA

  If “yes,” briefly describe: The CAC members, being very familiar with the recently constructed Mark Road to Wade Road segment of Valencia Road has offered thoughts on landscaping and traffic operations.

- Is there any known controversy over this project to date? Y ☐️ N ☑️

  If “yes,” briefly describe:

Source: Community Advisory Committee meeting minutes from August 25, 2016 and September 20, 2016.

PERMITS

- Anticipated permits and/or approvals?

  - **Section 404 Permit**: Yes, preliminary design indicates project to qualify for a non-notifying Nationwide Permit #14, Linear Transportation Projects.

  - **Section 401 Permit**: Yes, Conditional Certification through Section 404 permit.

  - **Air Quality Fugitive Dust Activity Permit (PDEQ)**: Yes

  - **Sole Source Aquifer**: Not applicable.

  - **State Historic Preservation Officer clearance**: The BLM has an agreement with SHPO on “no find” surveys that exempts a project from formal consultation. The State Historic Preservation Office Survey Report Summary Form is currently under review with BLM.

  - **Arizona Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit (AZDPES) and Construction General Permit AZG2013-001 (ADEQ)**: Yes

  - **Pima County Floodplain Use Permit (PCRFCD)**: Yes

  - **National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants Permit (PDEQ)**: Not applicable.

  - **Other**: Pima County Roadway Design Manual, Chapter 4 – Environmentally Sensitive Roadway Design Guidelines; Pima County Department of Environmental Quality
Other: Bureau of Land Management right-of-way: The BLM and Pima County are in process of renewing the Valencia Road Grant of Right-of-Way. This process includes review and approval of a Cultural Resources Report, Biological Evaluation, and Roadway Plan of Development. All document have been submitted to BLM (August 31, 2016) and pending approval. Based on the review, BLM will determine if the right-of-way action meets the criteria of a Categorical Exclusion under their National Environmental Policy Act guidance.

Source: Clean Water Act Sections 404 and 401; Arizona Department of Environmental Quality; National Historic Preservation Act; Pima County ordinances

Completed by: Michael R. Dawson, Senior Environmental Planner, EcoPlan Associates, Inc.

Date: October 18, 2016

Attachments

Figure 1 – Project Location
Figure 2 – Project Vicinity
Impact Summary Matrix
Attachment #4. Environmental Impact Screening Summary Matrix
### Environmental Impact Screening Summary Matrix

(4RTVWE) Valencia Road: Wade Road to Ajo Highway (SR 86)

#### Potentially Affected Environmental Categories

Applicable to Project Construction and Operation Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Water Quality</th>
<th>100-Year Floodplain</th>
<th>Protected Waterbodies</th>
<th>Visual Quality/Viewsheds</th>
<th>Protected Plants/Species</th>
<th>Protected Animals/Wildlife</th>
<th>Cultural Resources</th>
<th>Air Quality</th>
<th>Noise</th>
<th>Hazardous Materials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Change in the Vertical or Horizontal Alignment</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Alignment</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Added Capacity (i.e., through lanes)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milling/Grading</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Access (e.g., driveways, intersections)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearing and Grubbing</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excavation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cut Slope</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demolition</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demolition Debris Disposal</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition of Additional Right-of-Way</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary Construction Easements</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge of Dredge or Fill Material</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channeling or Dredging</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hauling</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Signals</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storm Water Drainage</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Easements</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detour Route</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0 = No involvement; X = Potential involvement, but no or minimal impact; M = Potential moderate impact; H = Potential high impact; TBD = To be Determined; NA = Not Applicable
Valencia Road: Wade Road to Ajo Way  
PCDOT Project Number 4RTVWE

Environmental Coordination Meeting  
September 13, 2016, 2:00pm-4:00pm  
Pima County Department of Transportation  
4th Floor Conference Room  
201 N. Stone Ave.  
Tucson, AZ

Agenda

Project Overview (Paul Bennett, PCDOT Project Manager)

Technical Reports (Karla Reeve-Wise, PCDEQ / Mike Dawson EcoPlan, and team)

Review process and guidelines/formats for the following items/issues:

- Citizens Advisory Committee status
- Biological Evaluation, Native plant inventory, landscaping and mitigation plans
- Preliminary Jurisdictional Delineation/404 Permitting
- Riparian evaluation and Floodplain Use Permit
- Cultural Resources
- Noise Analysis
- Preliminary Initial Site Assessment/Phase I Environmental Site Assessment
- Environmental Screening Summary Matrix/Results Memorandum
- Environmental Assessment and Mitigation Report (EAMR)
- AZPDES Construction General Permit and SWPPP
- Project Schedule
Valencia Road: Wade Road to Ajo Highway (SR86)
Pima County DOT project # 4RTVWE

Environmental Coordination Meeting
MINUTES
September 13, 2016, 2:00pm-4:00pm
Pima County Department of Transportation-4th Floor Conference Room
201 N. Stone Ave.

1. Attendance
   PCDOT: Paul Bennett, Ellen Alster
   PCDEQ: Karla Reeve-Wise, Kimberly Baeza
   PC Cultural: Ian Milliken
   PCRFCD: Patricia Gilbert
   AGFD: Kristin Terpening
   Kimley-Horn: Rebeca Field, Rick Solis
   Ninyo & Moore: Heather Shoemaker
   EcoPlan: Mike Dawson, Patrick Blair
   BLM: Linda Dunlavey, Karen Simms

2. Project Overview
   Paul Bennet described the project purpose, and briefly outlined the general scope of work and schedule for construction. The project intends to widen Valencia Road from Wade Road to Ajo Highway to four lanes with raised medians and center turning bays. The new roadway will match the road profile and cross section of the recently completed Valencia Road widening project that terminated just west of Wade Road.

3. Environmental Coordination Overview
   Mike Dawson gave a description of Pima County’s Environmental Assessment and Mitigation Report (EAMR) process, and explained that it is intended to parallel NEPA at the County level. The typical intent of the Environmental Coordination Meeting as part of the EAMR process is to act as a kickoff for environmental studies, and determine the level of effort and documentation required for environmental clearance. The meeting was delayed while determining the level of BLM involvement, and the environmental studies were initiated early with PCDOT consent to maintain project schedule. Because BLM participation was unknown, environmental studies were conducted to a level appropriate for BLM consultation. Mike briefly outlined the technical reports required for the project, and our preliminary findings.

4. Discussion of Environmental Topics
   - Public Involvement and the Community Advisory Committee: Paul Bennett explained that a Community Advisory Committee (CAC) has been formed, and one meeting has been held to-date. Future meetings will occur on a monthly basis for the next several
months. The next planned meeting will initiate discussions regarding the EAMR and its review/approval process.

- **Biological Evaluation**: Mike Dawson indicated a Biological Evaluation (BE) has been drafted and reviewed by PCDOT and PCDEQ. The document addresses potential Endangered Species Act protected plants and animals, BLM sensitive species, and species listed in the Pima County Multi-Species Conservation Plan (Section 10). Coordination included a site visit, Arizona Game and Fish Department On-Line Review Tool, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Information for Planning and Conservation, and will include BLM biology staff review of the completed BE. The County provided the BE to the BLM for review on August 31, 2016, comments have not yet been received. The BE identified potential habitat for Pima Pineapple cactus (PPC), as well as migratory bird habitat and the presence of native plants within the ROW. It was noted no PPC were found within the project footprint. A single PPC was located about 80 feet outside the construction limits on BLM lands. Rebeca Field indicated that Kimley-Horn has conducted a native plant inventory, and will be producing landscaping and mitigation plans based on the Pima County Environmentally Sensitive Roadway (ESR) process and FCD Floodplain Use Permit requirements. Ellen Alster commented that noxious/invasive plant species were present (dominated by Buffelgrass) and have been sprayed, and will need to be sprayed again.

- **Preliminary Jurisdictional Delineation/404 Permitting**: Mike Dawson noted that preliminary field work occurred in early August, 2016, and early results indicate the presence of 18 washes with potential to be Waters of the US. Kimley-Horn and EcoPlan are working together to evaluate the acreage of temporary and permanent impacts to Waters. The draft PJD is expected to be submitted to PCDOT in late September for review. Permanent impacts are expected to be less than 0.1 acres and that the project will meet the criteria for a non-notifying Nationwide Permit 14 with no formal USACE consultation required. Karen Simms indicated that if impacts do exceed 0.1 acres the BLM will decide if they or USACE would be the lead agency. Rick Solis mentioned that the initial Drainage Report was submitted to Pima County for review.

- **Riparian Evaluation and Floodplain Use Permit**: The group discussed the presence of Regulated Riparian Habitats that would likely exceed Pima County Regional Flood Control District’s mitigation threshold of 1/3 acre. Rebeca Field indicated that Kimley-Horn was currently evaluating this and would have a summary of impacts and expected mitigation soon.

- **Cultural Resources**: Mike Dawson and Ian Milliken shared with the group that the cultural resources evaluation for the project is complete. No sites eligible for the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) were discovered within the Area of Potential Effect (APE). A historic farmstead is located just south of the planned ROW and would not be impacted. One isolated occurrence, a 1916 Government Land Office survey marker was located in the APE, however it was not recommended as eligible for the NRHP. The County provided the cultural documentation to the BLM for review on August 31, 2016. Comments have not yet been received. Following report approval, Section 106 consultation would occur by BLM and Pima County.

- **Noise Analysis**: Mike Dawson discussed the findings of the noise report (conducted by Noise Expert, LLC in June, 2016). Noise measurements were taken at peak travel periods to obtain conservative values. Results indicated that the future build-out scenario would not exceed Pima County’s Noise Abatement Criteria for existing or future planned land uses (mostly single and multi-family residential). As such, noise walls are not expected to be required for the project. Paul Bennett mentioned that rubberized asphalt would not be
used to reduce traffic noise, as it was not needed. The project will use the same surfacing as the neighboring project on Valencia Road to better blend the two projects.

- **Visual Impacts:** Rebeca Field said that Kimley-Horn is currently conducting a Visual Impacts Study, with results forthcoming. Mike Dawson indicated that his understanding was that the project would meet BLM’s Visual Resource Management classification for the area. Karen Simms suggested that she agreed, but would have to verify.

- **Preliminary Initial Site Assessment:** A PISA was completed by Ninyo & Moore in late July, 2016. Heather Shoemaker indicated that the only facilities with potential to be hazmat sources included several of the adjacent residential developments and Ryan Airfield. None of these are expected to be impacted. Transformers on overhead power lines were not leaking, and would be responsibility of the utility if leaks were detected. There were no other issues.

- **Environmental Screening Summary Matrix and Results Memorandum:** The matrix and memorandum will be completed in September by EcoPlan (contact Mike Dawson or Patrick Blair), and submitted to Pima County.

- **Environmental Assessment and Mitigation Report (EAMR):** The Draft EAMR is expected to be complete by mid-October 2016. This document covers the full range of environmental issues and requires approval by the CAC. After CAC approval, the EAMR must be approved by vote of the Pima County Board of Supervisors, which is anticipated in January, 2017.

- **AZPDES Construction General Permit - SWPPP:** The project will disturb more than 1 acre, and so the project will require an approved SWPPP to control stormwater runoff.

- **Project Schedule:** Paul Bennett indicated that project construction is expected to begin in late 2017 or early 2018.

5. **Action Items**

- Mike Dawson will prepare for and attend the upcoming CAC meeting to discuss the EAMR process and share appropriate environmental details as they come up.
- Mike Dawson to complete the draft EAMR for submittal to Pima County
- Patrick Blair to complete Environmental Screening Summary Matrix and Results Memorandum (September 2016)
- Patrick Blair to complete the draft PJD and impacts analysis to determine Section 404 Permit Type
- Kimley-Horn to complete native plant inventory, Regulated Riparian Habitat evaluation, and the landscaping and mitigation plans
- Patricia Gilbert (PCRFD) mentioned an existing mitigation project along Valencia Rd. at Sonoran Ranch Estates, and Rick Solis said he would contact her about how this might be affected.

Attachment #6. Kickoff meeting agenda and meeting minutes
External Memorandum

MEETING NOTES

TO
X  Paul Bennett, PCDOT
X  Carol Brichta, PCDOT
X  Sal Caccavale, PCDOT
X  Jim Cunningham, PCDOT
X  Xavier de la Garza, PCDOT
X  Daniel Lucero, PCDOT
X  Ann Moynihan, PCRFCD
X  Bill Satterly, PC Real Property
X  Daniel Tremblay, PCDOT Survey
X  Gary Underwood, PCDOT Utility
X  Rick Solis, Kimley-Horn
X  Rebeca Field, Kimley-Horn
X  Jason Freitas, Kimley-Horn
X  Allen Hathcock, Kimley-Horn
X  Tim Rhine, Kimley-Horn
X  Jeff Dana, Stantec
X  Jerry Curless, CMG
X  Mike Dawson, EcoPlan
X  Marek Kasztalski, Ninyo & Moore

X=Attended Meeting
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Project: 4RTVWE: Valencia Road: Wade To Ajo Way (AZ86)
Meeting Place: Pima County Department of Transportation
201 N. Stone Ave, Tucson, AZ 85701
4th Floor
From: Rick Solis, Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
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The meeting minutes for the aforementioned project are attached for your information and use. If you have any comments or questions, please contact me at (520) 615-9191. Thanks to all who participated in the meeting.
MEETING NOTES
4RTVWE: VALENCIA ROAD: WADE TO AJO WAY (AZ86)

1. Paul Bennett kicked off the meeting and noted the purpose of meeting was to introduce participants from the design team and the County, review the overall schedule, and identify next steps. Paul also mentioned the adjacent Valencia project should be done within the next 6 weeks.

2. Rick Solis presented the meeting agenda and its contents. Rick discussed the project team and highlighted team members – Peak Corrosion, Noise Experts, and CMG Drainage. Rick noted that noise analysis could play an important role.

3. Paul noted that noise reduction credits can’t be taken when using terminal blend asphalt. Paul also added that this project may investigate the potential in reduction of noise by sampling along a roadway that has terminal blend.

4. Rick provided a project overview. Both Google Earth and roll plots were used. Key overview points included:
   - Reconstruct 2.8 miles of Valencia between Ajo Way (AZ86), terminating 1/3 mile west of Wade Road (Project - 4RTVMW).
   - A parkway typical section will be used consistent with the recently constructed east section. The laneage will include 11’ lanes (two in each direction), 6’ bike lanes, and either 5’ sidewalk or an 8’ wide paved pathway, along with rumble strips.
   - Landscape will be included within the median and along shoulders. Art will also be included in the project.
   - Signals/lighting at Vahalla Road and Iberia Avenue intersections and two other intersections will be evaluated. Rick added that these two signals were recommended in the 2011 Pima County Traffic Study for the corridor.
   - The existing ROW varies between 150-200 feet. TCEs will likely be required at drainage crossings. Avoidance of BLM / ASLD properties is critical to the project. Rick displayed a picture of a gate at the BLM property. Paul mentioned to review only paving driveway up to the gate which is at the right of way limits. The driveway should have header curb at its end just within right of way.
   - Utilities affected include: TEP lines along the west end of the project may need to move to the north side of the project; TRICO facilities at Iberia (3 phase cluster does not have redundancy and serves over 400 people in the Diablo Village / Tucson Mountain Ranch Neighborhoods); Avoid the 42” Tucson water main along Valencia, 12” Tucson water lines will require modification “dips” at drainage crossings; Coordination with 8” Metro Water dips; and Gas - distribution through most of project with Higher Pressure Line at West end (seasonal
constraints). 8” Metro Water lines will require adjustment (dips) at culvert crossings.

- The Diablo channel 10 pipe crossing was shown as a reminder that when generating traffic control costs, additional attention should be paid to this crossing and coordination with Ali Fermawi (PCDOT Field) should be started early. Xavier noted that an access easement near the pipe crossing may exist and will need to be maintained.

- Coordination with ongoing development / construction – ADOT / Sonoran Ranch II along north R/W line just east of the BLM property.

5. Xavier mentioned he will gather development plans for Sonoran Ranch to check driveway designs accessing Valencia. These designs will be evaluated as necessary when the team receives the plans.

6. Rick noted that Vahalla Road jogs through the intersection with Valencia. Bill Satterly mentioned that ROW resolution with ASLD is currently being pursued for this area. Pima County’s intentions for this roadway are to realign the roadway intersection to eliminate the offset.

7. New ADTs will be counted in late March 2016, which is after the completion of the previous Valencia segment. Turning Movement counts will be taken at Wade. These counts will allow the team to check if any differences have come about in the previous years.

8. Mike Dawson commented on the noise analysis needs which include ADTs, peak truck volumes, and 85% percentile speed numbers.

9. Rick stated there are 4 existing mailboxes in the project vicinity which are located East of Victor. These will need to be coordinated when commencing design.

PROJECT ADMINISTRATION

10. Lines of Communication: Allen Hathcock will always be copied when Rick Solis sends out emails. For external type emails/communication from the design team; at least two employees of Kimley-Horn will be on all emails. Paul Bennett requested he be copied from County personnel as well.

11. Monthly Meetings: It was decided that the entire project team will meet at 1:00 p.m. on the 3rd Wednesday of each month. The design team will have weekly 30 minute conference calls to discuss project updates.

12. Project Filing: Rick told the team that a share-file site has been setup with access for everyone to upload / download files for team viewership. This information will also be communicated as part of the distribution of meeting minutes.
SCHEDULE AND DELIVERABLES

13. Schedule: The team reviewed the schedule attached in the agenda. Paul mentioned he hopes the design is completed by Fall of 2017.

14. Critical Path: Rick mentioned a few critical path items for the schedule. First Rick noted Traffic Counts (Item 10) being important to begin the Noise analysis. Next, Bluestake and Potholing (Items 21 and 22) are key items to keep the project on course. The team will determine a utility conflict list (Item 26). The team mentioned that Metro Water needs to be added into the schedule for relocation. It was mentioned that gathering right of way (Item 52) needs to be completed by August 2016. Paul requested that Post Construction CLOMR be deleted from the schedule.

15. Mike Dawson mentioned he was concerned about the BLM and ASLD properties extending to the section line which is at the middle of the roadway. Bill Satterly will verify ownership.

16. Rick mentioned that Valencia appears to be higher than the BLM property and that headcutting into the Valencia shoulder is occurring near right-of-way. This would provide a depression for the proposed culverts to be placed within, therefore eliminating the need for TCE/ROW within BLM property.

TECHNICAL DATA

17. Design Standards: Rick mentioned the design standards that will be followed; 2013 PCDOT RDM, PAG standards and specifications, and any stored specifications. Paul noted to contact Robert Johnson for any stored specs. Xavier noted that the updated pavement design procedures are nearing completion. Paul stated that the contract considered the pavement design (R-value) update.

18. Rick mentioned that Value Engineering will be applied early in the project design. Paul noted that as an option, the team could explore cost and material savings from using TxDOT boxes instead of ADOT boxes once extent/size of boxes are determined.

19. The team discussed some lessons learned from previous projects. The first ones discussed were “level” wingwalls that could be sloped with the fill slopes instead of sticking out so high. The next was for contractors to pour slurry over the steel pipes so trucks can drive over them without the risk of failure. Channel lining and the use of hand placed grouted rip rap vs concrete was also discussed. Paul said we will decide grouted rip rap vs concrete at a later time. The team should keep in mind putting conduit under the roadway for future signal designs. Sal suggested that the team make sure to evaluate the possibility of “Pima Trails” in the project area. Jim
brought up the idea of allocating shoulder for future sidewalk or multi-use path on both sides even though only one side will receive sidewalk/multi-use path.

20. List of Deliverables: The team looked over the project deliverables in the agenda. Mike mentioned a cultural resources report needs to be added. It was mentioned that the utilities item in the schedule needs to reference detail 600. Pima County will supply this detail to Kimley-Horn.

21. Jerry from CMG mentioned a drainage report will not accompany the initial PS&E.

QUALITY CONTROL PLAN

22. Rick discussed that a draft QC Plan is in process and that it contains certifications of compliance for consultants and review processes consistent with the RDM requirements. Rick stated that for major submittals, three weeks will be allocated for QC and revisions. Two weeks will be allocated for minor report submittals.

End Meeting

ACTION ITEMS

- Gather development plans of Sonoran Ranch – Xavier (PCDOT)
- Add Metro Water relocation into schedule – Rick (Kimley-Horn)
- Delete Post CLOMR from Schedule – Rick (Kimley-Horn)
- Verify BLM / ASLD extents – Bill Satterly (Real Property)
- Add cultural resources report to the project deliverables – Rick (Kimley-Horn)
- Ted to supply Detail 600 to Kimley-Horn – COMPLETE
- Contact Robert Johnson for additional stored specs – Rick (Kimley-Horn)
- Provide updated detail for push button close to the road – Paul Bennett/Larry Wallace (PCDOT)
- Verify if easement near the Diablo Channel Pipe crossing needs a driveway – Xavier (PCDOT)
- Request 42” CC Water avoidance zone paperwork from Paul – Rick (Kimley-Horn) - COMPLETE
- Verify inclusion of cattle guard in ADOT SR 86 Kinney Plans – Rick (Kimley-Horn)
Attachment #7. The State Historic Preservation Office Survey Report
Summary Form
1. REPORT TITLE
   1a. Report Title: Cultural Resources Survey, Valencia Road Widening Project, Wade Road to Ajo Highway, Pima County, Arizona
   1b. Report Author: Scott O'Mack
   1c. Date: August 2016
   1d. Report No.: 16-567

2. PROJECT REGISTRATION/PERMITS
   2a. ASM Accession Number: 2016-0302
   2b. AAA Permit No.: 2016-035bl
   2c. ASLD Lease Application Number: Not applicable (N/A)
   2d. Other Permit Number: Bureau of Land Management (BLM) AZ-000548

3. ORGANIZATION/CONSULTING FIRM
   3a. Name: EcoPlan Associates, Inc. (EcoPlan)
   3b. Internal Project Number: 16-567
   3c. Internal Project Name: Valencia Road: Wade Road to Ajo Highway
   3d. Contact Name: Scott O'Mack
   3e. Contact Address: 78 W. Cushing St., Tucson AZ 85701
   3f. Contact Phone: (520) 624-4326, ext. 166
   3g. Contact Email: somack@ecoplanaz.com

4. SPONSOR/LEAD AGENCY
   4a. Sponsor: Pima County Department of Transportation
   4b. Lead Agency: BLM
   4c. Agency Project Number: Pima County Project No. 4RTVWE
   4d. Agency Project Name: Valencia Road: Wade Road to Ajo Highway
   4e. Funding Source: Pima County Department of Transportation
   4f. Other Involved Agencies: N/A
   4g. Applicable Regulations: National Historic Preservation Act, Arizona Antiquities Act

5. DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT OR UNDERTAKING: The Pima County Department of Transportation plans to widen Valencia Road from Wade Road to Ajo Highway (State Route 86). This project consists of widening approximately 2.75 miles of Valencia Road from an existing two-lane roadway to a four-lane Parkway in compliance with applicable regulations.
with the Regional Transportation Authority regional transportation plan. The new roadway will be divided by a raised median, with two travel lanes in each direction, turning lanes, bicycle lanes, and pedestrian facilities.

The purpose of the intensive pedestrian (Class III) cultural resources survey reported here was to identify cultural resources within the area of potential effects (APE), assess the eligibility of identified resources for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) in accordance with 36 Code of Federal Regulations 60.4, and make a recommendation concerning the potential effect of the proposed undertaking on resources listed in or eligible for listing in the NRHP.

6. **PROJECT AREA/AREA OF POTENTIAL EFFECTS:** The Valencia Road widening project will be restricted largely to the existing Valencia Road right-of-way (ROW) between Wade Road on the east and Ajo Highway on the west. The length of this segment of the ROW is 3.25 miles (5.23 km); the width varies from 150 to 200 feet (46 to 61 m). The APE also includes a portion of the existing ROW of a minor cross street (Vahalla Road) and small areas along the Valencia Road ROW where ancillary drainage features will be constructed (see Figure 19b).

7. **PROJECT LOCATION**
   7a. **Address:** N/A
   7b. **Route:** N/A
   7c. **Milepost Limits:** N/A
   7d. **Nearest City/Town:** Tucson (3 miles east)
   7e. **County:** Pima
   7f. **Project Locator UTM:**
       - 486736m Easting
       - 3555209m Northing
   7g. **NAD:** 83
   7h. **Zone:** 12
   7i. **Meridian and Base Line:** Gila and Salt River
   7j. **USGS Quadrangles:** Cat Mountain, Brown Mountain
   7k. **Legal Description:** T15S, R11E, S12, SE¼ of SE¼; T15S, R11E, S13, NE¼ of NE¼; T15S, R12E, S7, S½ of S½; T15S, R12E, S8, S½ of S½; T15S, R12E, S9, S½ of S½; T15S, R12E, S16, N½ of N½; T15S, R12E, S17, N½ of N½; T15S, R12E, S18, N½ of N½
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8. **SURVEY AREA**

8a. **Total Acres:** 74.13

8b. **Survey area:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pima County</td>
<td>53.36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona State Land</td>
<td>9.12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLM</td>
<td>11.65</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. **ENVIRONMENTAL CONTEXTS**

9a. **Landform:** Bajada (coalescing alluvial fan)

9b. **Elevation:** 2,440–2,480 feet above mean sea level

9c. **Surrounding Topographic Features:** The project area is about 4 miles southwest of the Tucson Mountains.

9d. **Nearest Drainage:** The Santa Cruz River runs south–north about 7 miles east of the project area. Several small seasonal washes trend southeast–northwest through the project area, part of a system of washes that drain eventually into Brawley Wash about 9 miles west of the project area.

9e. **Local Geology:** Mixed-fan alluvium (National Resources Conservation Service [NRCS] 2013)

9f. **Vegetation:** Sonoran Desert Region, Arizona Upland Subdivision (Turner and Brown 1994); no intact native vegetation is found in the survey area.

9g. **Soils/Deposition:** Soils range from well-drained loam to well-drained fine sandy loam of the Tubac, Mohave, and Yaqui complexes that form on floodplains and fan terraces (NRCS 2013).

9h. **Buried Deposits:** Not likely

9i. **Justification:** The area has been heavily disturbed through construction of Valencia Road.

10. **BUILD ENVIRONMENT:** Valencia Road and ancillary drainage features

11. **INVENTORY CLASS COMPLETED**

11a. **Class I Inventory:** ☐

11b. **Researchers:**

11c. **Class II Survey:** ☐
11d. Sampling Strategy: 
11e. Class III Inventory: ☒

12. BACKGROUND RESEARCH SOURCES
12a. AZSITE: ☒
12b. ASM Archaeological Records Office: ☒
12c. State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) Inventories and/or SHPO Library: ☐
12d. NRHP Database: ☒
12e. Arizona Department of Transportation Portal: ☐
12f. General Land Office (GLO) Maps: Township 15 South, Range 11 East, Gila and Salt River Meridian and Base Line, filed June 11, 1888; and Township 15 South, Range 12 East, Gila and Salt River Meridian and Base Line, filed October 14, 1918; no potential resources are shown within the APE.
12g. Land-Managing Agency Files: BLM Tucson Field Office
12h. Tribal Cultural Resources Files: N/A
12i. Local Government Websites: N/A
12j. Other: N/A

13. BACKGROUND RESEARCH RESULTS
13a. Previous Projects Within Study Area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Project Reference Number</th>
<th>2. Project Name</th>
<th>3. Author(s)</th>
<th>4. Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1991-255.ASM</td>
<td>Valencia Project</td>
<td>Roberts and Seymour</td>
<td>1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993-125.ASM</td>
<td>Vahalla Road Survey</td>
<td>Roberts</td>
<td>1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993-257.ASM</td>
<td>Blanco Estates Survey</td>
<td>Seymour</td>
<td>1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994-326.ASM</td>
<td>Ryan Field Survey</td>
<td>Freeman</td>
<td>1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995-274.ASM</td>
<td>Fiesta Estates Survey</td>
<td>Carpenter</td>
<td>1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996-84.ASM</td>
<td>Diablo Village</td>
<td>Yoder</td>
<td>1996</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Reference Number</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cooperative, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986-162.ASM</td>
<td>Archaeological Clearance Survey Along State Route 86 near Ryan Field, Pima</td>
<td>Ervin</td>
<td>1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988-92.ASM</td>
<td>Archaeological Survey of 3.5 Miles of ROW for a Proposed Powerline</td>
<td>Maldonado</td>
<td>1988b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>available</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975-14.ASM</td>
<td>Avra Valley–Ryan Field</td>
<td>No information</td>
<td>1975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>available</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999-85.ASM</td>
<td>Survey for the Three Points to Kinney Rd. Pavement</td>
<td>Hill and Bruder</td>
<td>1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preservation Project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(00SV#18)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001-90.ASM</td>
<td>Ryan Field County Park</td>
<td>Wyman and Dart</td>
<td>2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cultural Resources Assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996-428.ASM</td>
<td>Fiesta 2 Survey</td>
<td>Tompkins</td>
<td>1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002-4.ASM</td>
<td>Picture Rocks/Sandario to Valencia/Camino de Oeste</td>
<td>Jones and Dart</td>
<td>2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ca. 31-Mile Cultural Resources Survey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003-368.ASM</td>
<td>Sonoran Archaeological Survey</td>
<td>Sayre</td>
<td>2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHPO-2002-135</td>
<td>Cultural Resources Survey of a 0.5-Mile Right-of-Way on State Trust Land in</td>
<td>Rieder</td>
<td>2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the Avra Valley</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Project Reference Number</td>
<td>2. Project Name</td>
<td>3. Author(s)</td>
<td>4. Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003-1471.ASM</td>
<td>TRICO Vahalla–Los Reales Survey</td>
<td>Hesse</td>
<td>2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004-1725.ASM</td>
<td>Valencia Road and Ajo Way Survey</td>
<td>Craig</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004-624.ASM</td>
<td>TRICO Diablo Village Estates Cultural Resources</td>
<td>Barr</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005-479.ASM</td>
<td>Valencia and Vahalla Roads Survey</td>
<td>Hopkins</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003-1368.ASM</td>
<td>TEP ASLD Survey</td>
<td>Harrison and Hesse</td>
<td>2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005-292.ASM</td>
<td>Sonoran Estate II Easement Sec. 7</td>
<td>Stephen</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005-1233.ASM</td>
<td>Camino Verde</td>
<td>Williams</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-514.ASM</td>
<td>Recon 18.5</td>
<td>Luchetta and Moses</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-458.ASM</td>
<td>Valencia/Camino Verde</td>
<td>Murphy and Urban</td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006-551.ASM</td>
<td>Valencia Road Improvements</td>
<td>Barr</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007-583.ASM</td>
<td>Diablo Village Estates CWA Permitting</td>
<td>Malarchik</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006-942.ASM</td>
<td>Pomegranate Farms II Survey</td>
<td>Howell</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-242.ASM</td>
<td>TEP Ryan Line EA</td>
<td>Barr</td>
<td>2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-300.ASM</td>
<td>Valencia Road, Mountain Eagle to Mark Road</td>
<td>Lundin</td>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-346.ASM</td>
<td>Trico Vahalla Tie-Line Environmental Services</td>
<td>Barr</td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006-831.ASM</td>
<td>No information available</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-432.ASM</td>
<td>No information available</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-62.ASM</td>
<td>No information available</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-384.ASM</td>
<td>No information available</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-23.ASM</td>
<td>No information available</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-141.BLM</td>
<td>Archaeological Clearance Survey Along State Route 86</td>
<td>Ervin</td>
<td>1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-145.BLM</td>
<td>No information available</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-108.BLM</td>
<td>Navajo–Hopi Land Exchange</td>
<td>Taylor</td>
<td>1985</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
13b. Previously Recorded Cultural Resources Within Study Area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Site Number/Name</th>
<th>2. Cultural/Temporal Affiliation</th>
<th>3. Site Type</th>
<th>4. Eligibility Status</th>
<th>5. Associated Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AZ AA:16:377 (ASM)</td>
<td>Euro-American/A.D. 1900–1950/Late Historic</td>
<td>Road/State Route 86</td>
<td>Not evaluated</td>
<td>Ervin 1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ AA:16:422 (ASM)</td>
<td>Hohokam/Middle Rincon Phase</td>
<td>Artifact scatter with no features</td>
<td>Considered eligible (Criterion D)</td>
<td>Stephen 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ AA:16:52 (ASM)</td>
<td>Hohokam/A.D. 950–1100/Late Rincon Phase</td>
<td>Artifact scatter</td>
<td>Considered eligible (Criterion D)</td>
<td>Malarchik 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ AA:16:458 (ASM)</td>
<td>Hohokam/A.D. 250–1500/Ceramic Period; Euro-American/A.D. 1900–1950/Late Historic</td>
<td>Historic Structures, thermal features, and artifact scatter</td>
<td>Considered eligible (Criterion D); SHPO concurrence 2003</td>
<td>Sayre 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ AA:16:518 (ASM)</td>
<td>Hohokam/A.D. 450–1100/Pre-Classical period</td>
<td>Artifact scatter</td>
<td>Considered eligible (Criterion D)</td>
<td>Howell 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Site Number/Name</td>
<td>2. Cultural/Temporal Affiliation</td>
<td>3. Site Type</td>
<td>4. Eligibility Status</td>
<td>5. Associated Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ AA:16:482 (ASM)</td>
<td>No information available</td>
<td>No information available</td>
<td>No information available</td>
<td>No information available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ AA:16:550 (ASM)</td>
<td>No information available</td>
<td>No information available</td>
<td>No information available</td>
<td>No information available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ AA:16:568 (ASM)</td>
<td>No information available</td>
<td>No information available</td>
<td>No information available</td>
<td>No information available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ AA:16:612 (ASM)</td>
<td>No information available</td>
<td>No information available</td>
<td>No information available</td>
<td>No information available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ AA:16:613 (ASM)</td>
<td>No information available</td>
<td>No information available</td>
<td>No information available</td>
<td>No information available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ AA:16:3 (BLM)</td>
<td>Unknown Native American/prehistoric</td>
<td>Artifact scatter</td>
<td>Not eligible</td>
<td>Taylor 1985</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Property Name or Address</th>
<th>2. Year</th>
<th>3. Eligibility Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14. CULTURAL CONTEXTS

14a. Prehistoric Culture: Paleoindian, Archaic, Hohokam
14b. Protohistoric Culture: Tohono O’odham, Apache
14c. Indigenous Historic Culture: Tohono O’odham, Yaqui
14d. Euro-American Culture: A.D. 1690–1950

15. FIELD SURVEY PERSONNEL

15a. Principal Investigator: Scott O’Mack
15b. Field Supervisor: Christopher Taylor
15c. Crew: Ted Eldridge
15d. Fieldwork Date: July 13, 2016

16. SURVEY METHODS
16a. Transect Intervals: 15 m
16b. Coverage (%): 100
16c. Site Recording Criteria: Arizona State Museum (ASM)
16d. Ground Surface Visibility (%): 75–100
16e. Observed Disturbances: Construction of existing Valencia Road

17. FIELD SURVEY RESULTS
17a. No Cultural Resources Identified: 
17b. Isolated Occurrences (IOs) Only: ✗
17c. Number of IOs Recorded: 1
17d. Table of IOs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. IO Number</th>
<th>2. Description</th>
<th>3. Date Range</th>
<th>4. UTMss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>GLO survey marker (half-section marker on section line between S16 and S17, T15S, R12E); brass cap on steel pipe embedded vertically in ground</td>
<td>1916 (stamped on survey marker)</td>
<td>0487577 E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3554413 N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

18. COMMENTS:
EcoPlan conducted a Class III cultural resources survey of the APE in anticipation of a road-widening project along approximately 2.75 miles of Valencia Road. The APE includes the existing Valencia Road ROW between Wade Road and Ajo Highway, plus a portion of the existing ROW of a minor cross street (Vahalla Road) and small areas along the Valencia Road ROW where ancillary drainage features will be constructed. EcoPlan archaeologists Christopher Taylor and Ted Eldridge conducted the survey on July 13, 2016, and found a single cultural resource in the APE—a GLO survey marker placed in 1916. EcoPlan recommends that the marker, designated Isolated Occurrence (IO) 1, be considered ineligible for listing in the NRHP.

Only one cultural resource was previously recorded within the APE—archaeological site AZ AA:16:458 (ASM), the remains of a historic-period farmstead. The site was found by SWCA Environmental Consultants, Inc., in a survey for a proposed housing development (Sayre 2002) and was determined eligible for listing in the NRHP by the Arizona SHPO in 2003 (AZSITE 2016). Most of the site was recorded south of the Valencia Road ROW, but a
small portion may have extended into the current APE (the plot of the site in AZSITE and the original site record is ambiguous). In the EcoPlan survey, no trace of the site could be found in the APE. Some of the site’s features are visible just south of the APE, but the EcoPlan survey did not extend beyond the APE.

Based on the results of the Class III survey, EcoPlan recommends a finding of no historic properties affected for the proposed road-widening project.

19. ATTACHMENTS

19a. Project Location Map: ☒
19b. Land Jurisdiction Map: ☒
19c. Background Research Map: ☒ (Redacted for public viewing)
19d. GLO Map: ☐
19e. References: ☐

20. CONSULTANT CERTIFICATION

I certify the information provided herein has been reviewed for content and accuracy and all work meets applicable agency standards.

Signature: [Signature]
Name: Scott O’Mack, MA, RPA
Title: Principal Investigator
Date: August 30, 2016

21. DISCOVERY CLAUSE

In the event that previously unreported cultural resources are encountered during ground-disturbing activities, all work must immediately cease within 30 m (100 feet) until a qualified archaeologist has documented the discovery and evaluated its eligibility for the Arizona or National Register of Historic Places in consultation with the lead agency, SHPO, and the tribes, as appropriate. Work must not resume in this area without approval of the lead agency.

If human remains are encountered during ground-disturbing activities, all work must immediately cease within 30 m (100 feet) of the discovery, and the area must be secured. The ASM, lead agency, SHPO, and appropriate tribes must be notified of the discovery. All discoveries would be treated in accordance with Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (Public Law 101-601; 25 U.S.C. 3001-3013) or Arizona Revised Statutes (A.R.S. § 41-844 and A.R.S. § 41-865), as appropriate, and work must not resume in this area without authorization from ASM and the lead agency.
Figure 19a. Project location map
Figure 19b. Land jurisdiction map
Figure 19c. Background research map
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Attachment #8. AGFD On-Line Environmental Review Tool Receipt
Arizona Environmental Online Review Tool Report

Arizona Game and Fish Department Mission
To conserve Arizona’s diverse wildlife resources and manage for safe, compatible outdoor recreation opportunities for current and future generations.

Project Name:
Pima County DOT project No. 4RTVWE: Valencia Road: Wade Road to Ajo Highway (SR 86).

User Project Number:
16-567

Project Description:
Pima County DOT project No. 4RTVWE: Valencia Road: Wade Road to Ajo Highway (SR 86). This is a roadway widening project along approximately 2.8 miles of Valencia Road, from Ajo Highway to just west of Wade Road, and portions of Valhalla Road to 1/4 mile north and 1/2 mile south of Valencia Road in Pima County, Arizona.

Project Type:
Transportation & Infrastructure, Road construction (including staging areas), Road widening (shoulders or additional or new lanes)

Contact Person:
Thomas Ashbeck

Organization:
EcoPlan Associates, Inc.

On Behalf Of:
CONSULTING

Project ID:
HGIS-03777
Please review the entire report for project type and/or species recommendations for the location information entered. Please retain a copy for future reference.
Disclaimer:

1. This Environmental Review is based on the project study area that was entered. The report must be updated if the project study area, location, or the type of project changes.

2. This is a preliminary environmental screening tool. It is not a substitute for the potential knowledge gained by having a biologist conduct a field survey of the project area. This review is also not intended to replace environmental consultation (including federal consultation under the Endangered Species Act), land use permitting, or the Departments review of site-specific projects.

3. The Departments Heritage Data Management System (HDMS) data is not intended to include potential distribution of special status species. Arizona is large and diverse with plants, animals, and environmental conditions that are ever changing. Consequently, many areas may contain species that biologists do not know about or species previously noted in a particular area may no longer occur there. HDMS data contains information about species occurrences that have actually been reported to the Department. Not all of Arizona has been surveyed for special status species, and surveys that have been conducted have varied greatly in scope and intensity. Such surveys may reveal previously undocumented population of species of special concern.

4. HabiMap Arizona data, specifically Species of Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN) under our State Wildlife Action Plan (SWAP) and Species of Economic and Recreational Importance (SERI), represent potential species distribution models for the State of Arizona which are subject to ongoing change, modification and refinement. The status of a wildlife resource can change quickly, and the availability of new data will necessitate a refined assessment.

Locations Accuracy Disclaimer:
Project locations are assumed to be both precise and accurate for the purposes of environmental review. The creator/owner of the Project Review Report is solely responsible for the project location and thus the correctness of the Project Review Report content.
Recommendations Disclaimer:

1. The Department is interested in the conservation of all fish and wildlife resources, including those species listed in this report and those that may have not been documented within the project vicinity as well as other game and nongame wildlife.

2. Recommendations have been made by the Department, under authority of Arizona Revised Statutes Title 5 (Amusements and Sports), 17 (Game and Fish), and 28 (Transportation).

3. Potential impacts to fish and wildlife resources may be minimized or avoided by the recommendations generated from information submitted for your proposed project. These recommendations are preliminary in scope, designed to provide early considerations on all species of wildlife.

4. Making this information directly available does not substitute for the Department’s review of project proposals, and should not decrease our opportunity to review and evaluate additional project information and/or new project proposals.

5. Further coordination with the Department requires the submittal of this Environmental Review Report with a cover letter and project plans or documentation that includes project narrative, acreage to be impacted, how construction or project activity(s) are to be accomplished, and project locality information (including site map). Once AGFD had received the information, please allow 30 days for completion of project reviews. Send requests to:

   Project Evaluation Program, Habitat Branch
   Arizona Game and Fish Department
   5000 West Carefree Highway
   Phoenix, Arizona 85086-5000
   Phone Number: (623) 236-7600
   Fax Number: (623) 236-7366
   Or
   PEP@azgfd.gov

6. Coordination may also be necessary under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and/or Endangered Species Act (ESA). Site specific recommendations may be proposed during further NEPA/ESA analysis or through coordination with affected agencies.
Project ID: HGIS-03777 Review Date: 6/22/2016 03:36:36 PM
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Special Status Species and Special Areas Documented within 3 Miles of Project Vicinity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>FWS</th>
<th>USFS</th>
<th>BLM</th>
<th>NPL</th>
<th>SGCN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aspidoscelis arizonae</td>
<td>Arizona Striped Whiptail</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bat Colony</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coryphaptha scheeri var. robustispina</td>
<td>Pima Pineapple Cactus</td>
<td>LE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrophryne olivacea</td>
<td>Western Narrow-mouthed Toad</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heloderma suspectum suspectum</td>
<td>Reticulate Gila Monster</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithobates chiricahuensis</td>
<td>Chiricahua Leopard Frog</td>
<td>LT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammillaria thornberi</td>
<td>Thornber Fishhook Cactus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pascua Yaqui Indian Reservation</td>
<td>Pascua Yaqui Indian Reservation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Xavier Indian Reservation</td>
<td>San Xavier Indian Reservation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumamoc macdougalii</td>
<td>Tumamoc Globeberry</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Status code definitions can be found at http://www.azgfd.gov/w_c/edits/hdms_status_definitions.shtml.

Species of Greatest Conservation Need
Predicted within Project Vicinity based on Predicted Range Models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>FWS</th>
<th>USFS</th>
<th>BLM</th>
<th>NPL</th>
<th>SGCN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aix sponsa</td>
<td>Wood Duck</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammospermophilus harrisii</td>
<td>Harris' Antelope Squirrel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaxyrus retiformis</td>
<td>Sonoran Green Toad</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthus spragueii</td>
<td>Sprague's Pipit</td>
<td>C*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquila chrysaetos</td>
<td>Golden Eagle</td>
<td>BGA</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspidoscelis stictogramma</td>
<td>Giant Spotted Whiptail</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athene cunicularia hypugae</td>
<td>Western Burrowing Owl</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botaurus lentiginosus</td>
<td>American Bittern</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buteo regalis</td>
<td>Ferruginous Hawk</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chilomeniscus stramineus</td>
<td>Variable Sandsnake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colaptes chrysoides</td>
<td>Gilded Flicker</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coluber lineatus</td>
<td>Sonoran Whipsnake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corynorhinus townsendii pallescens</td>
<td>Pale Townsend's Big-eared Bat</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crotalus tigris</td>
<td>Tiger Rattlesnake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crotaphytus nebulius</td>
<td>Sonoran Collared Lizard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cynanthus latirostris</td>
<td>Broad-billed Hummingbird</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dipodomys spectabilis</td>
<td>Banner-tailed Kangaroo Rat</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euderma maculatum</td>
<td>Spotted Bat</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eumops perotis Californicus</td>
<td>Greater Western Bonneted Bat</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falco peregrinus anatum</td>
<td>American Peregrine Falcon</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td>1A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glaucidium brasilianum cactorum</td>
<td>Cactus Ferruginous Pygmy-owl</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gopherus morafkai</td>
<td>Sonoran Desert Tortoise</td>
<td>C*</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Species of Greatest Conservation Need
Predicted within Project Vicinity based on Predicted Range Models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>FWS</th>
<th>USFS</th>
<th>BLM</th>
<th>NPL</th>
<th>SGCN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</td>
<td>Bald Eagle</td>
<td>SC, BGA</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>1A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heloderma suspectum</td>
<td>Gila Monster</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypsiglena sp. nov.</td>
<td>Hooded Nightsnake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incilius alvarius</td>
<td>Sonoran Desert Toad</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lasiurus blossevillii</td>
<td>Western Red Bat</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lasiurus xanthinus</td>
<td>Western Yellow Bat</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leopardus pardalis</td>
<td>Ocelot</td>
<td>LE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptonycteris curasoae</td>
<td>Lesser Long-nosed Bat</td>
<td>LE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yerbabuenae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepus alleni</td>
<td>Antelope Jackrabbit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrotus californicus</td>
<td>California Leaf-nosed Bat</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanerpes uropygialis</td>
<td>Gila Woodpecker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melospiza lincolni</td>
<td>Lincoln's Sparrow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melozone aberti</td>
<td>Abert's Towhee</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micruroides euryxanthus</td>
<td>Sonoran Coralsnake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myotis occultus</td>
<td>Arizona Myotis</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myotis velifer</td>
<td>Cave Myotis</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myotis yumanensis</td>
<td>Yuma Myotis</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nyctinomops femorosaccus</td>
<td>Pocketed Free-tailed Bat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panthera onca</td>
<td>Jaguar</td>
<td>LE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passerculus sandwichensis</td>
<td>Savannah Sparrow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perognathus amplus</td>
<td>Arizona Pocket Mouse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peucaea carpalis</td>
<td>Rufous-winged Sparrow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phrynosoma solare</td>
<td>Regal Horned Lizard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phyllorhynchus browni</td>
<td>Saddled Leaf-nosed Snake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progne subis hesperia</td>
<td>Desert Purple Martin</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setophaga petechia</td>
<td>Yellow Warbler</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonorella papagorum</td>
<td>Black Mountain Talusssnail</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tadarida brasiliensis</td>
<td>Brazilian Free-tailed Bat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troglodytes pacificus</td>
<td>Pacific Wren</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vireo bellii arizonae</td>
<td>Arizona Bell's Vireo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vulpes macrotis</td>
<td>Kit Fox</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Species of Economic and Recreation Importance Predicted within Project Vicinity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>FWS</th>
<th>USFS</th>
<th>BLM</th>
<th>NPL</th>
<th>SGCN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Callipepla gambelii</td>
<td>Gambel's Quail</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Callipepla squamata</td>
<td>Scaled Quail</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odocoileus hemionus</td>
<td>Mule Deer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Species of Economic and Recreation Importance Predicted within Project Vicinity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pecari tajacu</td>
<td>Javelina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puma concolor</td>
<td>Mountain Lion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zenaida asiatica</td>
<td>White-winged Dove</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zenaida macroura</td>
<td>Mourning Dove</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Project Type: Transportation & Infrastructure, Road construction (including staging areas), Road widening (shoulders or additional or new lanes)**

**Project Type Recommendations:**

Fence recommendations will be dependant upon the goals of the fence project and the wildlife species expected to be impacted by the project. General guidelines for ensuring wildlife-friendly fences include: barbless wire on the top and bottom with the maximum fence height 42”, minimum height for bottom 16”. Modifications to this design may be considered for fencing anticipated to be routinely encountered by elk, bighorn sheep or pronghorn (e.g., Pronghorn fencing would require 18” minimum height on the bottom). Please refer to the Department's Fencing Guidelines located on the home page of this application at [http://www.azgfd.gov/hgis/guidelines.aspx](http://www.azgfd.gov/hgis/guidelines.aspx).

During the planning stages of your project, please consider the local or regional needs of wildlife in regards to movement, connectivity, and access to habitat needs. Loss of this permeability prevents wildlife from accessing resources, finding mates, reduces gene flow, prevents wildlife from re-colonizing areas where local extirpations may have occurred, and ultimately prevents wildlife from contributing to ecosystem functions, such as pollination, seed dispersal, control of prey numbers, and resistance to invasive species. In many cases, streams and washes provide natural movement corridors for wildlife and should be maintained in their natural state. Uplands also support a large diversity of species, and should be contained within important wildlife movement corridors. In addition, maintaining biodiversity and ecosystem functions can be facilitated through improving designs of structures, fences, roadways, and culverts to promote passage for a variety of wildlife.

Minimize potential introduction or spread of exotic invasive species. Invasive species can be plants, animals (exotic snails), and other organisms (e.g., microbes), which may cause alteration to ecological functions or compete with or prey upon native species and can cause social impacts (e.g., livestock forage reduction, increase wildfire risk). The terms noxious weed or invasive plants are often used interchangeably. Precautions should be taken to wash all equipment utilized in the project activities before leaving the site. Arizona has noxious weed regulations (Arizona Revised Statutes, Rules R3-4-244 and R3-4-245). See Arizona Department of Agriculture website for restricted plants, [https://agriculture.az.gov/](https://agriculture.az.gov/). Additionally, the U.S. Department of Agriculture has information regarding pest and invasive plant control methods including: pesticide, herbicide, biological control agents, and mechanical control, [http://www.usda.gov/wps/portal/usdahome](http://www.usda.gov/wps/portal/usdahome). The Department regulates the importation, purchasing, and transportation of wildlife and fish (Restricted Live Wildlife), please refer to the hunting regulations for further information [http://www.azgfd.gov/h_f/hunting_rules.shtml](http://www.azgfd.gov/h_f/hunting_rules.shtml).

The Department recommends that wildlife surveys are conducted to determine if noise-sensitive species occur within the project area. Avoidance or minimization measures could include conducting project activities outside of breeding seasons.

Based on the project type entered, coordination with State Historic Preservation Office may be required [http://azstateparks.com/SHP/index.html](http://azstateparks.com/SHP/index.html).
Design culverts to minimize impacts to channel geometry, or design channel geometry (low flow, overbank, floodplains) and substrates to carry expected discharge using local drainages of appropriate size as templates. Reduce/minimize barriers to allow movement of amphibians or fish (e.g., eliminate falls). Also for terrestrial wildlife, washes and stream corridors often provide important corridors for movement. Overall culvert width, height, and length should be optimized for movement of the greatest number and diversity of species expected to utilize the passage. Culvert designs should consider moisture, light, and noise, while providing clear views at both ends to maximize utilization. For many species, fencing is an important design feature that can be utilized with culverts to funnel wildlife into these areas and minimize the potential for roadway collisions. Guidelines for culvert designs to facilitate wildlife passage can be found on the home page of this application at [http://www.azgfd.gov/hgis/guidelines.aspx](http://www.azgfd.gov/hgis/guidelines.aspx).

Based on the project type entered, coordination with U.S. Army Corps of Engineers may be required ([http://www.usace.army.mil/](http://www.usace.army.mil/)).

Vegetation restoration projects (including treatments of invasive or exotic species) should have a completed site-evaluation plan (identifying environmental conditions necessary to re-establish native vegetation), a revegetation plan (species, density, method of establishment), a short and long-term monitoring plan, including adaptive management guidelines to address needs for replacement vegetation.

**The Department requests further coordination to provide project/species specific recommendations, please contact Project Evaluation Program directly.** [PEP@azgfd.gov](mailto:PEP@azgfd.gov)

**Project Location and/or Species Recommendations:**

HDMS records indicate that one or more native plants listed on the Arizona Native Plant Law and Antiquities Act have been documented within the vicinity of your project area. Please contact:

Arizona Department of Agriculture
1688 W Adams St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007
Phone: 602.542.4373
[https://agriculture.az.gov/environmental-services/np1](https://agriculture.az.gov/environmental-services/np1)

HDMS records indicate that one or more listed, proposed, or candidate species or Critical Habitat (Designated or Proposed) have been documented in the vicinity of your project. The Endangered Species Act (ESA) gives the US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) regulatory authority over all federally listed species. Please contact USFWS Ecological Services Offices at [http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizona/](http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizona/) or:

**Phoenix Main Office**
2321 W. Royal Palm Rd, Suite 103
Phoenix, AZ 85021
Phone: 602-242-0210
Fax: 602-242-2513

**Tucson Sub-Office**
201 N. Bonita Suite 141
Tucson, AZ 85745
Phone: 520-670-6144
Fax: 520-670-6155

**Flagstaff Sub-Office**
SW Forest Science Complex
2500 S. Pine Knoll Dr.
Flagstaff, AZ 86001
Phone: 928-556-2157
Fax: 928-556-2121

HDMS records indicate that Chiricahua Leopard Frogs have been documented within the vicinity of your project area. Please review the Chiricahua Leopard Frog Management Guidelines found at [http://www.azgfd.gov/hgis/documents/FINALLithchirHabitatGdlns.pdf](http://www.azgfd.gov/hgis/documents/FINALLithchirHabitatGdlns.pdf).
Tribal Lands are within the vicinity of your project area and may require further coordination. Please contact:
Pascua Yaqui Tribe  
7474 S Camino de Oeste  
Tucson, AZ 85746  
(520) 883-5000 ext. 5016  
(520) 883-5014 (fax)

Tribal Lands are within the vicinity of your project area and may require further coordination. Please contact:  
Tohono O'odham Nation  
PO Box 837  
Sells, AZ 85634  
(520) 383-2028  
(520) 383-3379 (fax)
Attachment #9. USFWS Official Species List
If the Federal action agency determines that listed species or critical habitat may be affected by a federally funded, permitted or authorized activity, the agency must consult with us pursuant to 50 CFR 402. Note that a “may affect” determination includes effects that may not be adverse and that may be beneficial, insignificant, or discountable. An effect exists even if only one individual or habitat segment may be affected. The effects analysis should include the entire action area, which often extends well outside the project boundary or “footprint” (e.g., downstream). If the Federal action agency determines that the action may jeopardize a proposed species or adversely modify proposed critical habitat, the agency must enter into a section 7 conference. The agency may choose to confer with us on an action that may affect proposed species or critical habitat.

Candidate species are those for which there is sufficient information to support a proposal for listing. Although candidate species have no legal protection under the Act, we recommend that they be considered in the planning process in the event they become proposed or listed prior to project completion. More information on the regulations (50 CFR 402) and procedures for section 7 consultation, including the role of permit or license applicants, can be found in our Endangered Species Consultation Handbook at: http://www.fws.gov/protectedspecies/section7consultation.html.

In addition to species listed under the Act, we advise you to consider species protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) (16 U.S.C. 703-712) and the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (BGPEA) (16 U.S.C. 668 et seq.). Both laws prohibit the take of covered species. The list of MBTA-protected birds is in 50 CFR 10.13 (for an alphabetical list see http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/RegulationsPolicies/mbta/MBTAIndex.html). The Service’s Division of Migratory Birds is the lead for consultations under these laws (Southwest Regional Office phone number: 505/248-7882). For more information regarding the MBTA, BGPEA, and permitting processes, please visit the following web site: http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/mbpermits.html. Guidance for minimizing impacts to migratory birds for projects including communications towers (e.g., cellular, digital television, radio, and emergency broadcast) can be found at: http://www.fws.gov/southwest/es/arizona/CellTower.htm.

Although bald eagles (Haliaeetus leucocephalus) are no longer listed under the Act, they are protected under both the BGPEA and the MBTA. If a bald eagle nest occurs in or near the proposed project area, our office should be contacted. An evaluation must be performed to determine whether the project is likely to disturb nesting bald eagles (see http://www.fws.gov/southeast/es/baldeagle/) and the Division of Migratory Birds consulted if necessary. The National Bald Eagle Management Guidelines provide recommendations to minimize potential project impacts to bald eagles (see http://www.fws.gov/midwest/eleagle/pdfs/NationalBaldEagleManagementGuidelines.pdf).

Activities that involve streams and/or wetlands are regulated by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps). We recommend that you contact the Corps to determine their interest in proposed projects in these areas. For activities within a National Wildlife Refuge, we recommend that you contact refuge staff for specific information about refuge resources.

If your action is on Indian land or has implications for off-reservation tribal interests, we encourage you to contact the tribe(s) and the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) to discuss potential
tribal concerns, and to invite any affected tribe and the BIA to participate in the section 7 consultation. In keeping with our tribal trust responsibility, we will notify tribes that may be affected by proposed actions when section 7 consultation is initiated. For more information, please contact our tribal coordinator, John Nystedt, at (928) 556-2160 or John_Nystedt@fws.gov.

The State of Arizona protects some species not protected by Federal law. We recommend you contact the Arizona Game and Fish Department (AGFD) for animals and Arizona Department of Agriculture for plants to determine if species protected by or of concern to the State may occur in your action area. The AGFD has an Environmental Review On-Line Tool that can be accessed at http://www.azgfd.gov/hgis/. We also recommend that you coordinate with the AGFD regarding your project.

For additional communications regarding this project, please refer to the consultation Tracking Number in the header of this letter. We appreciate your concern for threatened and endangered species. If we may be of further assistance, please contact Brenda Smith at 928/556-2157 for projects in Northern Arizona, our general Phoenix number (602/242-0210) for central Arizona, or Jean Calhoun at 520/670-6150 (x223) for projects in southern Arizona.

Sincerely,

/s/ Steven L. Spangle
Field Supervisor

Attachment
Endangered Species Act Species List

There are a total of 8 threatened, endangered, or candidate species on your species list. Species on this list should be considered in an effects analysis for your project and could include species that exist in another geographic area. For example, certain fish may appear on the species list because a project could affect downstream species. Critical habitats listed under the Has Critical Habitat column may or may not lie within your project area. See the Critical habitats within your project area section further below for critical habitat that lies within your project. Please contact the designated FWS office if you have questions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Birds</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Has Critical Habitat</th>
<th>Condition(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California Least tern <em>(Sterna antillarum browni)</em></td>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow-Billed Cuckoo <em>(Coccyzus americanus)</em></td>
<td>Proposed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Flowering Plants

| Pima Pineapple cactus *(Coryphantha scheeri var. robustispina)* | Endangered | |

Mammals

| Jaguar *(Panthera onca)* | Endangered | Final designated |
| Lesser Long-Nosed bat *(Leptonycteris curasoae yerbaeae)* | Endangered | |
| Sonoran pronghorn *(Antilocapra americana sonoriensis)* | Endangered | |

Reptiles

Project Location Map:

Project Coordinates: The coordinates are too numerous to display here.

Project Counties: Pima, AZ
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northern Mexican gartersnake (Thamnophis eques megalops)</td>
<td>Threatened</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonoyta Mud turtle (Kinosternon sonoriense longifemorale)</td>
<td>Candidate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Critical habitats that lie within your project area

There are no critical habitats within your project area.
Attachment #10. BLM Sensitive Species List
### Arizona Bureau of Land Management Sensitive Species List – December 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Colorado River District</th>
<th>Phoenix District</th>
<th>Arizona Strip District</th>
<th>Gila District</th>
<th>Unique Habitat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>AMPHIBIANS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Plains Narrow-mouthed Toad</td>
<td>Gastrophryne olivacea</td>
<td>v</td>
<td></td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>healthy grasslands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowland Burrowing Treefrog</td>
<td>Smilisca fodiens</td>
<td>v</td>
<td></td>
<td>v</td>
<td></td>
<td>healthy grasslands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowland Leopard Frog</td>
<td>Lithobates yavapaiensis</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td></td>
<td>wetlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Leopard Frog</td>
<td>Lithobates pipiens</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>wetlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plains Leopard Frog</td>
<td>Lithobates blair</td>
<td>h</td>
<td></td>
<td>h</td>
<td></td>
<td>wetlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonoran Green Toad</td>
<td>Bufo retiformis</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td></td>
<td>healthy grasslands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BIRDS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Peregrine Falcon</td>
<td>Falco peregrinus anatum</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>cliffs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona Botteri’s Sparrow</td>
<td>Peucaea botterii arizonae</td>
<td>v</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>healthy grasslands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona Grasshopper Sparrow</td>
<td>Ammodramus savannarum ammolegus</td>
<td>v</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>healthy grasslands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bald Eagle (non-listed DPS)</td>
<td>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>undisturbed foraging/nesting areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cactus Ferruginous Pygmy-Owl</td>
<td>Glaucidium brasilianum cactorum</td>
<td>v</td>
<td></td>
<td>v</td>
<td></td>
<td>dense Sonoran scrub washes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Black Rail</td>
<td>Laterallus jamaicensis coturniculus</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>h</td>
<td></td>
<td>marshes along Colorado River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desert Purple Martin</td>
<td>Progne subis hesperia</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>saguaro cacti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferruginous Hawk (breeding population only)</td>
<td>Buteo regalis</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>healthy grasslands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilded Flicker</td>
<td>Colaptes chrysoides</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>saguaro cacti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Eagle</td>
<td>Aquila chrysaetus</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>significant cliffs, large undeveloped areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Le Conte’s Thrasher</td>
<td>Toxostoma lecontei</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>h</td>
<td></td>
<td>remote creosote scrub</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Goshawk</td>
<td>Accipiter gentilis atricapillus</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>healthy forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinyon Jay</td>
<td>Gymnorhинus cyanocephalus</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>healthy pinyon pine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Burrowing Owl</td>
<td>Athene cuniculаrіа hypugаeа</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>grasslands, undeveloped valley bottoms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### FISH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>v</th>
<th>h</th>
<th>aquatic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bluehead Sucker</td>
<td><em>Catostomus discobolus</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desert Sucker</td>
<td><em>Catostomus clarki</em></td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flannelmouth Sucker</td>
<td><em>Catostomus latipinnis</em></td>
<td>v</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Colorado Sucker</td>
<td><em>Catostomus sp.</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>v</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longfin Dace</td>
<td><em>Agosia chrysogaster</em></td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonora Sucker</td>
<td><em>Catostomus insignis</em></td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speckled Dace</td>
<td><em>Rhinichthys osculus</em></td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virgin Spinedace</td>
<td><em>Lepidomeda mollispinis</em></td>
<td>v</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### INVERTEBRATES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>v</th>
<th>h</th>
<th>v</th>
<th>h</th>
<th>springs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arizona Cave Amphipod</td>
<td><em>Stygobromus arizonesis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blyas Springsnail</td>
<td><em>Pyrgulopsis arizonae</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>springs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desert Springsnail</td>
<td><em>Pyrgulopsis deserta</em></td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td></td>
<td>springs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gila Tryonia</td>
<td><em>Tryonia gila</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>springs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Wash Springsnail</td>
<td><em>Pyrgulopsis bacchus</em></td>
<td>v</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>springs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrobiid Spring Snails</td>
<td>all species in genus <em>Pyrgulopsis</em></td>
<td>v</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>springs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingman Springsnail</td>
<td><em>Pyrgulopsis conica</em></td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td></td>
<td>springs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Succineid Snails</td>
<td>all species in family <em>Succineidae</em></td>
<td>v</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>springs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MAMMALS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>v</th>
<th>h</th>
<th>v</th>
<th>h</th>
<th>v</th>
<th>caves, mines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allen's Big-eared Bat</td>
<td><em>Idionycteris phyllotis</em></td>
<td>v</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>v</td>
<td></td>
<td>caves, mines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona Myotis</td>
<td><em>Myotis occultus</em></td>
<td>h</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>v</td>
<td></td>
<td>caves, mines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banner-tailed Kangaroo Rat</td>
<td><em>Dipodomys spectabilis</em></td>
<td>h</td>
<td></td>
<td>v</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>healthy grasslands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black-tailed Prairie Dog</td>
<td><em>Cynomys ludovicianus</em></td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td></td>
<td>healthy grasslands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Leaf-nosed Bat</td>
<td><em>Macroctus californicus</em></td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td></td>
<td>caves, mines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cave Myotis</td>
<td><em>Myotis velifer</em></td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>v</td>
<td></td>
<td>caves, mines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Western Mastiff Bat</td>
<td><em>Eumops perotis californicus</em></td>
<td>v</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>v</td>
<td></td>
<td>caves, mines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunnison's Prairie Dog</td>
<td><em>Cynomys gunnisoni</em></td>
<td>h</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>v</td>
<td></td>
<td>healthy grasslands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Family and Species</td>
<td>Scientific Name</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houserock Valley Chisel-toothed Kangaroo Rat</td>
<td>Dipodomys microps leucotis</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>Atriplex scrub</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican Long-tongued Bat</td>
<td>Choeronycteris mexicana</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>caves, mines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spotted Bat</td>
<td>Euderma maculatum</td>
<td>h h v h</td>
<td>caves, mines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Townsend's Big-eared Bat</td>
<td>Corynorhinus (=Plecotus) townsendii</td>
<td>v v v v</td>
<td>caves, mines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**REPTILES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arizona Striped Whiptail</td>
<td>Aspidoscelis arizonae</td>
<td>h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohave Fringe-toed Lizard</td>
<td>Uma scoparia</td>
<td>v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desert Ornate Box Turtle</td>
<td>Terrapene ornata</td>
<td>v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slevin's Bunchgrass Lizard</td>
<td>Sceloporus slevini</td>
<td>h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonora Mud Turtle</td>
<td>Kinosternon sonoriense sonoriense</td>
<td>v v v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuman Desert Fringe-toed Lizard</td>
<td>Uma rufopunctata</td>
<td>v</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PLANTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aquarius Milkvetch</td>
<td>Astragalus newberryi var. aquaria</td>
<td>v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aravaipa Sage</td>
<td>Salvia amissa</td>
<td>v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aravaipa Woodfern</td>
<td>Thelypteris puberula var. sonorensis</td>
<td>v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona Sonoran Rosewood</td>
<td>Vauquelinia californica ssp. sonorensis</td>
<td>v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartram Stonecrop</td>
<td>Graptopetalum bartramii</td>
<td>v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Sand Lily</td>
<td>Triteleopsis palmeri</td>
<td>v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Flannelbush</td>
<td>Fremontodendron californica</td>
<td>v v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chihuahua Breadroot</td>
<td>Pediomelum pentaphyllum</td>
<td>v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clifton Rock Daisy</td>
<td>Perityle ambrosilfolia</td>
<td>v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dalhouse Spleenwort</td>
<td>Asplenium (=Ceterach) dalhousiae</td>
<td>v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant Name</td>
<td>Scientific Name</td>
<td>Distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diamond Butte Milkvetch</td>
<td><em>Astragalus toanus var. scidulus</em></td>
<td>v narrow range, Moenkopi Formation badlands/ red soils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish Creek Fleabane</td>
<td><em>Erigeron piscaticus</em></td>
<td>h narrow range, floodplain terraces in shady canyons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentry Indigo Bush</td>
<td><em>Dalea tentaculoides</em></td>
<td>h narrow range, floodplain terraces in shady canyons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giant Sedge</td>
<td><em>Carex spissa var. ultra</em></td>
<td>v v springs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Canyon Rose</td>
<td><em>Rosa stellata var. abyssa</em></td>
<td>v narrow range, limestone cliff rims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huachuca Golden Aster</td>
<td><em>Heterotheca rutteri</em></td>
<td>v narrow range, Plains Grassland, LCNCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huachuca Milkvetch</td>
<td><em>Astragalus hypoxylus</em></td>
<td>h narrow range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaibab Pincushion [Plains] Cactus</td>
<td><em>Pedioactus paradisei</em></td>
<td>v relict species in shady canyons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kearney Sumac</td>
<td><em>Rhus kearneyi ssp. kearneyi</em></td>
<td>v relict species in shady canyons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kofa Mt. Barberry</td>
<td><em>Berberis harrisoniana</em></td>
<td>h relict species in shady canyons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marble Canyon Indigo Bush</td>
<td><em>Psorothamnus arborescens var. pubescens</em></td>
<td>v narrow range, red soils of Moenkopi Formation Marble Canyon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marble Canyon Milkvetch</td>
<td><em>Astragalus crennophylax var. hevronii</em></td>
<td>v narrow range, limestone cliff rims, Marble Canyon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt Trumbull Beardtongue</td>
<td><em>Penstemon distans</em></td>
<td>v narrow range, limestone soils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murphey Agave</td>
<td><em>Agave murpheyi</em></td>
<td>v low numbers, desert foothills, central AZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paria Plateau Fishhook Cactus</td>
<td><em>Sclerocactus sili</em></td>
<td>v narrow range, sandy soils, Paria Plateau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parish Phacelia</td>
<td><em>Phacelia parishii</em></td>
<td>v narrow range, limestone deposits, Burro Creek area, dry lake beds, Red Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parish Wild Onion</td>
<td><em>Allium parishii</em></td>
<td>v narrow range, higher elevation desert mts, Mohave Mts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pima Indian Mallow</td>
<td><em>Abutilon parishii</em></td>
<td>v rocky slopes, good condition desert mts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinto Beardtongue</td>
<td><em>Penstemon bicolor</em></td>
<td>v narrow range, desert washes, Black Mts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purple-spike Coralroot</td>
<td><em>Hexalectris warnockii</em></td>
<td>v few populations, leaf litter under Madrean Woodland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round-leaf Broom</td>
<td><em>Errazurizia rotundata</em></td>
<td>v narrow range, Shinarump Hills, Holbrook area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Pedro River Wild Buckwheat</td>
<td><em>Eriogonum terrenatum</em></td>
<td>v narrow range, limestone and clay soils of St. David Formation, SPRNCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Scientific Name</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand Food</td>
<td><em>Pholisma sonorae</em></td>
<td>v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scaly Sand Food</td>
<td><em>Pholisma arenaria</em></td>
<td>v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schott Wire-lettuce</td>
<td><em>Stephanomeria schottii</em></td>
<td>v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 11 Stickleaf</td>
<td><em>Mentzelia memorabilis</em></td>
<td>v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silverleaf Sunray</td>
<td><em>Enceliopsis argophylla</em></td>
<td>v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smooth Catseye</td>
<td><em>Cryptheon semiglobra</em></td>
<td>v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sticky Wild Buckwheat</td>
<td><em>Eriogonum viscidulum</em></td>
<td>v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three-cornered Milkvetch</td>
<td><em>Astragalus geyeri var. triquetrus</em></td>
<td>v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumamoc Globeberry</td>
<td><em>Tumamoca mcdougalii</em></td>
<td>v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White-margined Penstemon</td>
<td><em>Penstemon albomarginatus</em></td>
<td>v</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All federally designated candidate species, proposed species, and delisted species in the 5 years following their delisting shall be conserved as Bureau sensitive species. See U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service list for current candidates.

v=Known Occurrence, h=Probable, Potential or Hypothetical Occurrence.