1. **Call to Order**

Mr. Emptage called the meeting to order at 5:31 pm

- Attendance

Present:
- Nancy Emptage, Vice-Chair, Animal Welfare Coalition
- Pat Hubbard, Humane Society of Southern Arizona
- Pat Jacobs, Tucson Kennel Club
- Derek Marshall, Public Education
- Helen Mendelsohn, Disabled Community
- Jane Schwerin, People for Animals in the Prevention of Cruelty and Neglect
- Gail Smith, MD, Board of Health
- Kim Janes, Pima Animal Care Center, Ex-Offico

Absent:
- Tamara Barrick, Foundation for Animals in Risk
- Sophia Kaluzniacki, DVM, ASPCA of AZ, Inc
- Jack Neuman, Chair, PACC Volunteers
- Erin O'Donnell, DVM, Southern AZ Veterinary Medical Association
- Angela Spencer, City of Tucson

- Pledge of Allegiance

2. **Adoption of the Minutes**

- Adoption of the September 18, 2014 Meeting Minutes

Ms. Emptage pointed out one typo. The motion was made and seconded (Hubbard/Smith) that the
September 18, 2014 meeting minutes be adopted as written, with typo corrected. The motion carried (6-0).

3. **Call to the Audience**

Kim Silver addressed the Committee regarding the relationship between the Pima Animal Care Center
and rescue organizations. Per No Kill Pima County, the 2012 rescue rate was 12 percent of PACC
animals, but currently the rate is down to nine percent. PACC’s animal numbers are at an all-time
high. With increased medical care and a budding foster program PACC staff implies that rescue
numbers should be declining. Ms. Silver disagrees and thinks rescue numbers should be increasing.
She expressed that PACC should provide job descriptions, as were requested more than once, for
positions such as Live Release Manager and Rescue Coordinator, which imply certain functions to the
public. She asserted that the current Rescue Coordinator’s functions are drastically different that the
former’s.
Ms. Silver said feedback from rescue partners Tucson Cold Wet Noses (TCWN) and In the Arms of Angels (AOA) indicates the consensus among rescue partners is that it is difficult to work with PACC and receive accurate information regarding PACC animals. The previous Rescue Coordinator sent out categorized e-mails about certain types of shelter animals such as seniors, pregnant dogs or small special needs dogs. She also sent out information on animals not faring well. Her e-mails were very helpful. Recently TCWN and AOA recommended these types of categorized e-mails recommence and that efforts be made to rebuild relationships with rescue organizations. Their suggestions have reportedly been met with a tremendous amount of resistance from PACC’s Live Release Manager.

Ms. Silver expressed concern that PACC does not follow through with commitments to stakeholders. Meetings and discussions are held and agreements are made, but PACC does not keep their agreements. She cited an agreement back in April for pregnant dogs to be placed on a 72-hour rescue list before they would be spay aborted; this agreement has not been followed. She added that AOA possibly will no longer be pulling animals from PACC. She reported significant resistance in the rescue community to work with PACC and said that some rescuers are now pulling animals from other shelters outside of Pima County because they are easier to work with.

Ms. Silver relayed the following list of requests from rescues regarding what they are asking from PACC.
1. Weekly list of seniors at PACC
2. Weekly list of small dogs at PACC
3. Weekly notification of animals in distress
4. 72-hour notice before aborting pregnant dogs
5. Immediate notification of a pregnant, possibly pregnant or mom with babies entering PACC

Ms. Silver also expressed concern about rescue alter certificates and the risk of rescues adopting out unaltered animals.

4. Manager’s Report

Kim Janes, Pima Animal Care Center Chief of External Affairs, reported that the trend of fewer intakes continues and more animals are being released alive. The rescue numbers are down compared to last year, but adoptions and to a lesser degree returns to owners are higher than last year.

During discussion Mr. Janes said the County Administrator has adopted a no euthanasia policy by which no adoptable, rehabilitatable or treatable animal is put down; and referred to the Asilomar Accords as a source for this policy and its terminology. Ms. Schwerin asserted that there are more adoptable animals than suitable homes; cautioned against adopting animals out to cruel owners / poor homes; referred to a packet welfare case wherein animals adopted from PACC were emaciated; and said an animal is better off dead than being in a home where it is badly mistreated. Ms. Hubbard added that she has recently spoken to four of the five Board of Supervisors members regarding the PACC bond proposal and was encouraged to hear two of them interject that we can’t keep killing animals. Mr. Janes said the Center is using due diligence to make every effort to place animals in suitable homes. Yes there are challenges and returns, but PACC’s live release rate has gone from approximately 40 percent to over 80 percent and we cannot go back to a euthanizing policy.
• Community Cat Project

The City of Tucson very recently voted to amend their code to allow for the Community Cat Project to move forward in the City. There are ongoing financial discussions with the cities and towns; however, the County is committed to proceeding with this project. Also PACC is continually seeking grants, donations and funding avenues to fund this project and other PACC objectives.

• Bond Educational Opportunities

Mr. Janes provided two County Animal Care Center bond information sheets and reported that he has been a speaker at bond educational opportunities, including with a Green Valley / Sahuarita council and the Oro Valley Chamber of Commerce.

5. Old Business

• Update on July 19, 2014 Motion for Resolution for PACC to Remedy Issues Relating to the Care and Welfare of Pets at PACC - Operations

This item was deferred to next month’s agenda.

• Vet Holds and Confiscation Holds – Processes, Procedures and Ways to Shorten Length of Hold Time (Chair Neuman/PACC Management Team)

Mr. Janes pointed out there were no veterinary holds in the report only enforcement holds. In response to a question, Dr. Bonnie Lilley, Chief of Veterinarian Services, discussed factors influencing the length of a hold. Felonies take more time than misdemeanors; and some health conditions take longer than others. An animal with low weight might not take a long time to recover; in contrast, mange can take one to two months to treat. Dr. Lilley talked about how if an animal improves dramatically at PACC, which she described as a minimum standard of care, then a court can say that the owner was negligent. She described an example where before and after photos resulted in a guilty verdict against a pet owner.

• Post Adoption Medical Care (Chair Neuman/Ms. Emptage)

Ms. Emptage receives numerous calls from pet owners who say they cannot afford veterinary care. She suggested that some individuals hear about a free veterinary visit and think it means free veterinary care, which is not the case. She voiced that being able to obtain medications from PACC at cost or elsewhere at a discount would be helpful. She emphasized that adopters need to be educated on risks and responsibilities of pet ownership going forward. Ms. Hubbard said the Humane Society was told they could not have their veterinarian see pets they adopted out because they are not licensed as a full service public veterinary clinic. Pet insurance, possibly covered for two weeks by PACC, was touched on as an avenue to pursue, but Mr. Janes said pet insurance does not cover pre-existing conditions.
6. **New Business**

- The dates/name/location of organizations the Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) has visited both announced and unannounced to verify accordance with the ordinance passed on August 5, 2014 regarding animals received from PACC.

   Animal Care Advocate Justin Gallick said since the code change PACC Staff has visited six rescue foster locations. Five passed and one, Siberian Husky Rescue, failed; and PACC is no longer working with them. The five visited that passed are Big Heads Bigger Hearts, Hope Animal Shelter, Sol Dog Rescue, Pima Paws for Life and Cold Wet Noses. Poor cleanliness was the reason for the one fail. Discussion brought out that, due to lack of resources, PACC is currently only planning to visit one foster per rescue.

7. **Animal Welfare, Dangerous Animal Cases and Holds for the Month of August**

   Ms. Schwerin cited welfare case 2, in which an owner was cited for tie-out, no water, no license and no rabies vaccination, as an example of a poor owner getting her dog back. In response to a question, Dr. Lilley confirmed that law requires the rabies vaccine be given by a veterinarian, not an owner. Ms. Schwerin referred to welfare case 1, in which an owner was cited for tie-outs, no shelter, no water, no license and no vaccination, as an example of an owner she doubts would ever become a good owner. Mr. Jacobs requested information on authority to confiscate and not return an animal. Mr. Janes discussed judgment calls based on physical conditions, the condition of the animal(s) and interactions with owners and said the code says the officer “may” confiscate. Ms. Schwerin said Pima County Code 6.04.130: Authority to remove and impound, and 6.04.140: Procedures to remove or forfeit animals; notice; order to show cause hearing; appeal are the applicable County codes and the city has similar codes. Ms. Schwerin cited welfare case 5, in which two dogs were found to be emaciated, as an example of animals adopted out of PACC into a home that was not a suitable home.

8. **Donations:** A total of 1,269 individuals gave a total of $44,365.53 in donations during the month of September.

   There was no discussion on this item.

9. **Complaints and Commendations** There were four complaints and one commendation, plus a fifth complaint that eventually became a second commendation, received by staff during September.

   There was no discussion on this item.

10. **Call to the Audience**

    Tiffany Rosler addressed the Committee regarding PACC interactions with rescue partners. Recently Ms. Rosler was notified by a volunteer, at 9:11 pm, about a pregnant Chihuahua. Ms. Rosler was at PACC at 8:00 am the next morning and had the dog out by 10:29 am. She said this is an example of how quickly rescue personnel can act when given proper information and said the rescue was a result of a volunteer’s communication, not the Rescue Coordinator’s. She said the nightly rescue list has numerous errors and one recently almost cost a dog being adopted. The dog was pregnant and was spay aborted. An individual seeking to adopt this dog was turned away twice because Chameleon was
not update and still listed the dog as pregnant. Ms. Rosler also requested name tags for staff and said name tags will promote staff accountability.

11. Announcements, Schedules and Proposed Agenda Items

Mr. Jacobs requested the rescue / PACC relationship concerns brought up by both speakers from the audience be added to the next agenda. His request included copies of the narrative Ms. Silver read from.

Mr. Janes announced that PACC’s accomplishments in the ASPCA Challenge over the summer resulted in PACC receiving a check for $5,000.

Mr. Gallick said PACC received an $8,000 grant to facilitate tent events at four different PetSmarts and will be bringing adoptable dogs to these local PetSmarts over the next two days.

12. Next Meeting – November 20, 2014

There was no discussion on this item.

13. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 6:52 pm
NOTICE
PUBLIC MEETING OF THE
PIMA COUNTY ANIMAL CARE ADVISORY COMMITTEE
October 16, 2014 – 5:30 p.m.
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Road
Tucson, Arizona  85745
Admin Building
(520) 243-7729

Functions of the Committee
1. Serve in an advisory capacity to the Board, and to the Manager of the Pima Animal Care Center; and
2. Review and evaluate the operations of the Center to make recommendations in writing to the Board for the formulation of guidelines to assure that:
   A. The Center's operations are conducted in the best interest of the public health and safety; and
   B. The Center keeps pace with the most modern practices and procedures of animal care and welfare; and
3. Review complaints from the public concerning policies of the Center and make recommendations for resolution to the proper authority.

AGENDA

1. Call to Order
   - Roll Call
   - Establishment of Quorum and Pledge of Allegiance

2. Adoption of Minutes:
   - September 18, 2014 Meeting

3. Call to the Audience

4. Manager’s Report
   - Community Cat Project
   - Bond Educational Opportunities

5. Old Business
   - Update on July 19, 2014 Motion for Resolution for PACC to Remedy Issues Relating to the Care and Welfare of Pets at PACC - Operations (Chair Neuman/PACC Management Team)
   - Vet Holds and Confiscation Holds – Processes, Procedures and Ways to Shorten Length of Hold Time (Chair Neuman/PACC Management Team)
   - Post Adoption Medical Care (Chair Neuman/Ms. Emptage)

6. New Business
   - The dates/name/location of organizations the Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) has visited both announced and unannounced to verify accordance with the ordinance passed on August 5, 2014 regarding animals received from PACC. (Ms. Schwerin/ PACC Management Team)

7. Animal Welfare, Dangerous Animal Cases and Holds for the Month of September

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Welfare</th>
<th>Dangerous Dogs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A14-155312</td>
<td>A14-155297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A14-156312</td>
<td>A14-152805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A14-151622</td>
<td>A14-156069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A14-155379</td>
<td>A14-155099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A14-151884</td>
<td>A14-154891</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. Donations: A total of 1,269 individuals gave a total of $44,365.53 in donations during the month of September.

9. Complaints and Commendations: There were four complaints and one commendation, plus a fifth complaint that eventually became a second commendation, received by staff during September.

10. Call to the Audience

11. Announcements, Schedules and Proposed Agenda Items

12. Next Meeting – November 20, 2014

13. Adjournment

Copies of this agenda are available upon request at the Pima County Health Department, 3950 S. Country Club Road, by calling 243-7729 or at www.pima.gov/animalcare. The Committee may discuss and take action on any item on the agenda. At the conclusion of an open call to the public Committee members may only respond to criticism made; ask staff to review the matter raised; or ask to include the matter on a future agenda.

Should you require ADA accommodations, please contact the Pima County Health Department at 724-7729 five (5) days prior to the meeting.
1. Call to Order

Mr. Neuman called the meeting to order at 5:30 pm

- Attendance

Present:
Tamara Barrick, Foundation for Animals in Risk
Pat Hubbard, Humane Society of Southern Arizona
Pat Jacobs, Tucson Kennel Club
Sophia Kaluzniacki, DVM, ASPCA of AZ, Inc
Derek Marshall, Public Education
Helen Mendelsohn, Disabled Community
Jack Neuman, Chair, PACC Volunteers
Erin O'Donnell, DVM, Southern AZ Veterinary Medical Association
Jane Schwerin, People for Animals in the Prevention of Cruelty and Neglect
Gail Smith, MD, Board of Health
Kim Janes, Pima Animal Care Center, Ex-Offico

Absent:
Nancy Emptage, Vice-Chair, Animal Welfare Coalition
Angela Spencer, City of Tucson

- Pledge of Allegiance

2. Adoption of the Minutes

- Adoption of the August 21, 2014 Meeting Minutes

Mr. Neuman requested the minutes include the statement from Shelter Manager Jose Ocano saying with current shelter staffing PACC has more animals than they can take care of.

The motion was made and seconded (Hubbard/Kaluzniacki) that the August 21, 2014 meeting minutes be adopted as written with the addition of Mr. Ocano’s comment. The motion carried (10-0).

3. Call to the Audience

There were no speakers from the audience.

4. Manager’s Report

Kim Janes, Pima Animal Care Center Chief of External Affairs, reported that intakes continue on a downward trend while saves continue to go up, and some challenges remain in enforcement and licensing. Mr. Janes fielded some questions. On his report total animals handled refers to animals actually brought into the shelter; and the “other” category of animals refers to wildlife, turtles, chickens, goats, snakes, bats, etc. PACC works with owners to try to get pets back to their homes and
the owners pay about $60 to $65 to redeem their animal, while the average cost per animal, per stay in
the shelter is approximately $115. In some cases cited owners are allowed to redeem their animal.

- Arizona Open Meeting Laws Presentation

Mr. Janes introduced Civil Division, Deputy County Attorney Barbara Burstein. Ms. Burstein
provided a handout and spoke on open meeting law. Members of public bodies, such as the Advisory
Committee, should be familiar with open meeting law. Open meetings are established by ARS § 38-
431.09(A). Meetings are to be conducted openly with notices and agendas provided to inform the
public of the matters to be discussed or decided. Open meeting laws are designed to protect the
public; to avoid decision making in secret; to promote accountability and government responsiveness;
to protect the public officials; to maintain the integrity of government; and to build trust between
government and citizenry. A meeting is defined as any gathering, in person or through technological
devices, of a quorum, at which they discuss propose or take legal action, including deliberations. A
quorum has been interpreted as a majority of the total number of members set forth in law, not of the
total positions filled. (The Committee’s by-laws say a quorum is five; Ms. Burstein will research on
that.) Legal action is a collective decision, commitment or promise; and all legal action must take
place during a public meeting. If a quorum happens to be at any gathering, they should not discuss,
propose, take or deliberate a legal action on any matters which might foreseeably require final action
or decision by a quorum. It is possible to have a discussion between less than a quorum of members;
however, it would be a violation if done so to circumvent the purposes of the open meeting law. A
24-hour notice is required before meetings. The public has the right to attend, listen to, record and
video tape the meeting, but not to speak or disrupt. An agenda may provide for a call to the public;
however, if a matter that is not on the agenda is raised, the public body shall not discuss it at the
meeting; action cannot be taken because there was no public notice on the subject. At the conclusion
of the call to the public, individual members may only respond to criticism made; ask staff to review a
matter raised; or ask to include the matter on a future agenda. Complaints of open meeting law
violations are investigated by either the County Attorney’s office or the State Attorney General’s
office.

After her presentation Ms. Burstein fielded a few questions. She confirmed there is a civil penalty of
up to $500 for a violation of open meeting laws and that the penalty is to be paid by the individual
member. She gave an example of e-mails being circulating between an eventual quorum even though
that was not the original intent, and said it is better to have staff send out information. In response to a
question about required detail on agenda items, Ms. Burstein said it is better to err on the side of more
detail. She confirmed the statute says public bodies can discuss agenda items “and related matters.”
Ms. Burstein invited further questions be sent to her.

5. Old Business

- Update on July 19, 2014 Motion for Resolution for PACC to Remedy Issues Relating to the Care
  and Welfare of Pets at PACC

Mr. Neuman asked Mr. Janes if there was any update on this item. Mr. Janes deferred to Chief of
Operations Kristin Barney, who then deferred to Health Department Director Francisco Garcia. Dr.
Garcia said that to respond to the July meeting’s 22 item motion staff wanted to walk the Committee
through various aspects of PACC’s operations and staff divided the 22 items into three different
categories: adoptions, shelter operations and volunteer coordination/program. Dr. Garcia said staff is
prepared to discuss the first category of adoptions and would like to address the other two categories at future meetings. He added that the arenas of enforcement and clinical services, although independent of the 22 item motion, also merit interaction with the Committee at some point in the future. Adoption Program Policies and Procedures was already on the agenda under Old Business, so the discussion and these minutes continue under that item.

- Vet Holds and Confiscation Holds – Specific Details of Each Case and Ways to Shorten Length of Hold Time

Mr. Neuman referred back to the last meeting wherein he said the holds report was lacking relevant information and noted that this month’s report has more information. Mr. Janes said information has been added and said if more is needed please let him know. There were some minor clarifying questions and answers on the report. Regarding shortening the length of stay, Mr. Janes reported that only Sahuarita has changed their code as requested to reduce the required hold time for owned dogs from seven to five days. The city of Tucson is still considering the change, while Marana has decided to keep the seven day requirement at this time.

- Customer Service

Mr. Neuman said he brought up this topic because of the upcoming PACC bond proposal. PACC does receive positive comments, but complaints represent offended customers who become negatives toward the direction PACC is trying to go. He cited how some companies have policies of recording calls and by rule asking if all of a customer’s questions have been addressed in an effort to promote good customer service. He shared a list of suggestions he drafted: staff presence, staff clearly identified, customer surveys, undercover shoppers (adopters), having customer service goals, ongoing mandatory customer service training, having schedules posted and providing for absence coverage.

In response to a question about customer service training for volunteers, Ms. Barney and Mr. Janes expressed thanks to Dr. Smith for providing a contact and training materials utilized for customer service training. Also Adoption Coordinator Ellie Beaubien said there is a monthly Adoption Counselors’ workgroup which deals largely with customer service.

- Adoption Program Policies and Procedures

Ms. Ellie Beaubien talked about the adoption program. During her discussion she referred to the adoption manual, adoption procedures and dog and cat adopter surveys provided in the Committee’s packets. She recruits adoption counselors from current volunteers, usually dog walkers or cat socializers, who then go through adoption training. Being an adoption counselor is not for everyone and the desperate need for them can potentially pull in volunteers unsuited in this capacity. Ms. Beaubien said finding volunteers with the right balance is her greatest challenge. There was discussion on how a good animal / adopter match is found and a poor match avoided. The process begins with the survey and continues through conversation. Prospective adopters are shown animals suited to their parameters based on the survey and conversation. Education is a major aspect of the adoption process; if prospective adopters are moving toward poorly deemed animal scenarios, then adoption counselors are to educate them on why the scenario is a poor choice.

Ms. Schwerin emphasized that the code requires animals be adopted into suitable homes and therefore gives authority to turn people down if a home is not suitable. Animal Care Advocate Justin Gallick
said the current situation of a crowded shelter, which is stressful for animals, and the lack of counselors and staff requires balance in the interpretation of suitable home, but continued that there will be times when PACC will say no when necessary. Ms. Beaubien said to become an Adoption Counselor volunteers go through two hours of training then shadow an experienced Adoption Counselor for 16 hours. Ms. Beaubien said about once or twice a week prospective adopters are referred to her and those cases are usually a breakdown in communication where she ends up saying yes approximately nine out of ten times, but she does say no about once or twice a month. Dr. Kaluzniacki characterized that low denial rate as disturbing. Dr. O'Donnell pointed out that prospective adopters often have to wait for help. Mr. Gallick indicated that staff members are often pulled to help at intake and that during the week there are less volunteers available. He added that the first couple of hours in the morning are the busiest on the floor and in intake. Ms. Barney said staff is looking at a tiered volunteer system with training and incentives to help volunteers develop and advance. In response to a question Ms. Beaubien said if someone has a felony conviction it will show up the database and the individual will be denied an adoption. Also multiple minor infractions and animal returns are grounds for denial. There was concern voiced about misdemeanor violations not just felonies. There was also concern about offsite adoption events. Ms. Beaubien acknowledged there is no computer offsite, but said if they are uncomfortable with someone they call PACC for the computer check. Ms. Beaubien said that in five years she has only encountered one convicted individual trying to adopt. Ms. Schwerin said she caught the end of a television add offering discounted adoptions for university students; said that is a terrible idea; and said university students are well know poor animal owners who often abandon pets at the end of the school year or end of college and typically have little money for veterinary expenses. Mr. Janes acknowledged that there was such an offer for one day, but said it will not happen again.

- Committee’s Report to the Board of Supervisors

There was no discussion in this item.

- Draft Letter Requesting the Health Department Hire More Enforcement Staff

Dr. Smith provided a draft letter she and Dr. O'Donnell generated requesting four more Field Officers. In response to a question Mr. Janes indicated the original request for these officers was sent up the chain of command in approximately May. There was discussion that the shelter was also clearly under staffed, as indicated at the last Committee meeting, and on whether to add a request for more shelter staff to the letter or send it as is and address shelter staff separately going forward. Mr. Neuman took a vote between those two courses of action and the majority (6-4) voted to send the letter as is and address shelter staff separately. Mr. Neuman and Dr. Smith agreed to craft a letter regarding shelter staffing.

6. New Business

- Rescue Program - Procedures, Criteria, Follow-Up, Inspections, Reporting

Mr. Gallick said once an evaluation places a pet on a special needs / rescue list, if an adopter / rescuer comes in they start with the regular questionnaire, then proceed with going over the special needs of the animal, then they go over the guidelines, waiver, commitment to veterinary care and provide paperwork for the outside veterinarian to fill out and send back. Rescue organizations also have to provide proof of sterilizations. Also with rescue groups, under the new law staff goes out and meets
with the group and request to do a home inspection on one of their foster homes. Conversation brought out that rescue numbers have gone down since PACC has started treating and trying to save more animals, because the rescue groups haven’t felt the need as being as urgent as before.

- **Post Adoption Medical Care**

Ms. Schwerin said that some people who adopt from PACC are unable to pay for veterinary care and come to her organization for help. She expressed that the ability to afford veterinary care should be addressed as part of the adoption process or the County should provide for it. Mr. Janes acknowledged it is a problem to be worked on and added it is a community wide problem not just a problem for those adopting from PACC. He cited that people sometimes drop off animals with PACC because they cannot afford needed veterinary treatment as a problem that impacts intake. Dr. García added that part of the Health Department’s Strategic Plan is for pet owners to be able to identify a primary veterinary provider.

7. **Animal Welfare, Dangerous Animal Cases and Holds for the Month of August**

There was no discussion on this item.

8. **Donations:** 1,282 individuals gave a total of $58,026.80 during the month of August.

Mr. Neuman referred to the August donations total as amazing. Mr. Janes was careful to point out that our Fund Development Coordinator (Karen Hollish) is largely responsible for this total, which includes $20,000 for the trap neuter release cat trailer.

9. **Complaints and Commendations** There were three complaints received by staff during August. Two July complaints, not previously reported are also included. No commendations were received during August.

There was no discussion on this item.

10. **Call to the Audience**

There were two speakers from the audience: Mariana Parker and Cathy Neuman.

Ms. Parker is a volunteer at PACC and is associated with Ratheon’s Animal Club, from which she was forwarded a complaint. Two neighbors are caring for feral cats, which are all spayed and neutered, and loose dogs from another neighbor are killing the cats. PACC has been called three times about the problem and reportedly said nothing can be done. On September 8 there were seven animals put on a rescue list and were set to be euthanized on the 11th. One of the animals was on the list for food aggression and she posed the question, why can’t the dog be fed separately? About three dogs were on the list for being old. All of the animals were saved through either adoption or rescue. One six-year old dog had hip problems and donations were collected to pay for the veterinary bills. A foster stepped up, but when the dog was scanned a microchip was found and the owner subsequently was contacted and retrieved his pet. She requested any animal set for euthanasia be double scanned to prevent such an occurrence. Ms. Parker voiced objection to there being only a three-day window before the animals were to be euthanized and suggested one week. She added that there weren’t staff available on the 11th to process the paperwork to save these animals.
Ms. Neuman referred to *Old Business*, Vet Holds and Confiscation Holds – Specific Details of Each Case and Ways to Shorten Length of Hold Time and requested the Committee work on the ‘ways to shorten length of hold time’ part of the agenda item. Mr. Neuman said he would put the item on the next meeting’s agenda.

11. **Announcements, Schedules and Proposed Agenda Items**

Ms. Hubbard thanked the staff who attended/participated in the meeting.

Mr. Janes said PACC hopes to hear soon about how PACC performed in the ASPCA Challenge and what monetary award will be received.

Ms. Hubbard requested regular updates on the feral cat project.

12. **Next Meeting – October 16, 2014**

There was no discussion on this item.

13. **Adjournment**

The meeting adjourned at 7:28 pm
MEMORANDUM

TO: Kim Janes, Chief of External Operations
FROM: Jose Chavez, Enforcement Operations Manager
DATE: 9-29-14 2014
SUBJECT: Welfare report for September 2014

1. A14-155312 Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. The animal owner was found to be in compliance on the recheck.

2. A14-156312 One animal was removed from the property and later returned to the owner. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. This complaint is closed.

3. A14-151622 One animal was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the caretaker and cited at the scene. The owner did not redeem the animal and the animal's outcome is pending on an evaluation. This complaint is closed.

4. A14-155379 Animal owner surrendered their sick animal to PACC. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner at PACC and cited. The animal was euthanized due to the severity of its illness.

5. A14-151884 Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. Two animals were relinquished to Pima Animal Care Center. Both animals are on veterinarian hold pending a medical evaluation. This case was reviewed by the sheriff's Dept. as a criminal misdemeanor violation not as a felony violation. This complaint is closed.

6. A14-155297 No animals were impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited. This complaint is closed.

7. A14-152805 Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. Nineteen Animals were relinquished to Pima Animal Care Center. Three animals were adopted, two animals were euthanized due to the severity of their illness and fourteen animals were fostered. The animal owner was in compliance on the recheck.

8. A14-156069 No animals were impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner cited at the scene. This complaint is closed.

9. A14-155099 Two animals were impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at PACC. The owner redeemed the animals. This complaint is closed.

10. A14-154891 No animal was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the caretaker and cited at the scene. This complaint is closed.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BREEED/DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL</th>
<th>ANIMAL'S NAME</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>TAG COLOR</th>
<th>LICENSE #</th>
<th>VX CERTIFICATE #</th>
<th>CONO</th>
<th>ANIMAL ID#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PIT BULL</td>
<td>VICTIM OWNER</td>
<td>LADY</td>
<td>BLUWHT</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>7M</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>CITED</td>
<td>CITED</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A94197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERRIER</td>
<td>VICTIM OWNER</td>
<td>TWIX</td>
<td>APRICOT</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1Y</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>CITED</td>
<td>CITED</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A94198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIT/LAB</td>
<td>VICTIM OWNER</td>
<td>STALLONE</td>
<td>TANWHT</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>6W</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A94200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITNESS 1</th>
<th>M F DOB ADDRESS</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE #</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WITNESS 2</td>
<td>M F DOB ADDRESS</td>
<td>RESIDENCE PHONE #</td>
<td>BUSINESS PHONE #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WITNESS 3</td>
<td>M F DOB ADDRESS</td>
<td>RESIDENCE PHONE #</td>
<td>BUSINESS PHONE #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WITNESS 4</td>
<td>M F DOB ADDRESS</td>
<td>RESIDENCE PHONE #</td>
<td>BUSINESS PHONE #</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-155312

ACO name & Badge: K.WALTON #1925

On September 6th 2014 at 0940 hours, I, Officer K. Walton #1925, responded to and met with South Tucson Police case 1409060004 regarding welfare violations. I spoke with officer Martinez and his partner who stated that there were three dogs on the property. Two of the dogs were on tie outs, and the other was a puppy with a heavy chain around its neck. The dogs did not have shelter and had inadequate water. The front gate was not real secured, due to the hinges being broke, and could be easily pushed down. The puppy was small enough to squeeze through the bars if it chose to do so.

I observed a blue/white female Pit bull on a tangled tie out which was connected to a stake in the yard. The chain was very heavy, and was approximately 2ft long on the west side of the yard as I walked in. The other end was connected to the dog's blue nylon collar with a padlock. I observed a round fish pond which had excessive green algae in the water along with some goldfish inside of it, no other type of water was available. There was no access to shelter for this dog.

I observed a small wooden structure with a small porch about 2ft away from the Pit bull. It had double doors and a few things inside, possible child's play area. I observed a tan/white female Pit bull puppy about 6wks old lying on the ground next to the structure, with very little shade. It had a black nylon collar on, along with a heavy chain. I don't believe the puppy could get up on the porch and be able to push open the double doors for shelter. I did not see any other shelter it could use.

I observed an apricot female Terrier mix on a short tie out close to the front wall. It was tied to a wrought iron bar with a short black/yellow rope. This dog had a pink harness on. I observed a small metal pan with a few pieces of food mixed in with dirt and ants. The plastic water container had water inside of it, but there was a lot of dirt on the bottom. This dog did not have shelter or shade.

Photos were taken, the dogs were impounded and a notice was left. As the officers and I were leaving, the owner arrived and he was advised of the complaint and of the violations. He stated he lives at
and his daughter lives at this residence where dogs reside at. He stated the two dogs are tied up at night, and every morning he comes to the home and removes them from the tie outs and begins the daily care for the 3 dogs. He stated for the Pit bulls water, he fills up the tree well every day which makes a pond. The 2 dogs are not current on license or rabies vaccinations, and the pup is too young. I explained laws and requirements. I gave him an option of relinquishing custody of the dogs, since he has no employment, but he declined. He had no identification and the officers dealt with him on that. He was cited for 2 tie outs, 3 no shelters, 3 no waters, 2 no license and 2 no vaccinations. I filled out premise inspection and gave him until 9-13-14 for a recheck. The dogs may go to his brother’s house to live. 1925
### INVESTIGATION REPORT

**Pima County Health Department**

**Pima Animal Care Center**

4000 N. Silverbell Rd.

Tucson, Arizona 85750

Phone: (520) 243-5900

Fax: (520) 243-5990

www.pimaanimalcare.org

---

**Suspect**

- **ACO Name/Badge #** X. Delgadillo #2047
- **Complaint Number** A14-156312

**Location of Incident**

- Address: 4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
- City: Tucson
- State: AZ
- Zip: 85745

**Date and Time Reported**

- **Date:** 09/20/14
- **Time:** 11:22

**Date and Time Occurred**

- **Date:** 09/20/14
- **Time:** 11:22

---

**Victim/Complainant Name**

- **Name:** X. Delgadillo
- **D.O.B.**
- **Residence Phone No.**
- **Business Phone No.** 520-243-5900

---

**Name of Lawful Representative (if applicable)**

- **Address:**
- **City:**
- **State:**
- **Zip:**

---

**Relationship to Victim**

- **Address and Phone Number Same as Victim?**
- **Violation:**
- **Bite Severity:**

---

**Lawful Representative Address**

- **Clinic's Address:**
- **Quarantine:** 10 15 45 180
- **FRA Head:**

---

**Victim or Lawful Representative Signature**

- **Code/Ord Violated:** 6.4.110(B) (5), 6.4.110(B) (2), 6.4.70, 11-1010 (ARS)
- **Citations/Numbers:** 73312

---

**Breed/Description**

- **Victim/Owner D.O.B.:**
- **Address:**
- **Residence Phone #:**
- **Business Phone #:**

---

**Witness 1**

- **M F:**
- **DOB:**
- **Address:**
- **Residence Phone #:**
- **Business Phone #:**

---

**Witness 2**

- **M F:**
- **DOB:**
- **Address:**
- **Residence Phone #:**
- **Business Phone #:**

---

**Witness 3**

- **M F:**
- **DOB:**
- **Address:**
- **Residence Phone #:**
- **Business Phone #:**

---

**Witness 4**

- **M F:**
- **DOB:**
- **Address:**
- **Residence Phone #:**
- **Business Phone #:**

---

**M/F: Male/Female**

**SEX:**

**AGE:**

**TAG COLOR:**

**LICENSE #:**

**VX CERTIFICATE #:**

**COMO:**

**ANIMAL ID:**

---

**Dutchess**

- **Color:** Chocolate/white
- **Sex:** F
- **Tag Color:**
- **License #:**
- **VX Certificate #:**
- **Como:**
- **Animal ID:** 495752
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-156312

ACO name & Badge: X. Delgadillo

On September 20, 2014 at 11:22 I, Officer Delgadillo, observed a small chocolate and white dog on a tie-out at the residence of. As I approached to investigate further the dog was tied to a metal porch pole with a retractable leash. The dog was tied near a kennel and inside was a silver metal bowl but it contained no water.

I knocked at the door of the residence and received no answer. I impounded the chocolate and white Chihuahua/Crested mix and left the resident a notice.

At Approximately 13:04 hrs, the dog owner, telephoned our dispatch and requested if the dog could be returned to her. At approximately 14:53 hrs, I returned to the dog owner's residence. I met with Ms. and explained the purpose of impound was for the dog's well being. I inquired if the dog was registered and licensed to her and Ms. stated that the dog was not licensed or vaccinated and had only provide a 7 and 1 vaccine she purchased at Walgreens. Ms. was cited into Pima County Justice Court for neglect-tie-out; neglect-no water; no license and no rabies vaccine. The dog, Dutchess, was then returned to Ms. Hollenback.

Officer's Signature:       Date: 9/23/14
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

**Pima County Health Department**

**Pima Animal Care Center**

4000 N. Silverbell Rd
Tucson, Arizona 85745

Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5910

www.pimaanimalcare.org

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUSPECT</th>
<th>ACO NAME / BADGE #</th>
<th>COMPLAINT NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X. Delgado</td>
<td>#2047</td>
<td>A14-151622</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ZIP</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tucson</td>
<td>AZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ZIP</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85745</td>
<td>Tucson</td>
<td>AZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>WEIGHT</th>
<th>HEIGHT</th>
<th>EYES</th>
<th>HAIR COLOR</th>
<th>ORIGIN</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>SSN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FOOD</th>
<th>WATER</th>
<th>SHELTER</th>
<th>INJURED/ILL</th>
<th>VENTILATION</th>
<th>ABANDONED</th>
<th>TIEOUT</th>
<th>BEATEN</th>
<th>WASTE</th>
<th>OTHER (EXPLAIN)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOCATION OF INCIDENT</th>
<th>DATE AND TIME REPORTED</th>
<th>DATE AND TIME OCCURRED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>07/15/14 / 0644</td>
<td>08/29/14 / 1509</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME</th>
<th>D.O.B</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE NO.</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE NO.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Officer X. Delgado</td>
<td></td>
<td>520-243-5900*3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VICTIM'S ADDRESS</th>
<th>ZIP</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4000 N. Silverbell RD</td>
<td>85745</td>
<td>Tucson</td>
<td>AZ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS</th>
<th>ZIP</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VIOLATION</th>
<th>BITE SEVERITY</th>
<th>TREATED BY</th>
<th>PHONE NUMBER</th>
<th>DATE QUARANTINED</th>
<th>PACCO</th>
<th>VET</th>
<th>HOME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHONE NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CLINIC'S ADDRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QUARANTINE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FRA HEAD#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VICTOR OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CODE/3RD VIOLATED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4-81, 4-76, 4-3-(2) (B), 4-3-2 (C) , 4-28-(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CITATIONS/NUMBERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>73303</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BREED/DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boxer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANIMAL'S NAME</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>TAG COLOR</th>
<th>LICENSE #</th>
<th>VX CERTIFICATE #</th>
<th>COND</th>
<th>ANIMAL ID#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jake</td>
<td>Brown/White</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>493482</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITNESS 1</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE #</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WITNESS 2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>DOB</td>
<td>ADDRESS</td>
<td>RESIDENCE PHONE #</td>
<td>BUSINESS PHONE #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WITNESS 3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>DOB</td>
<td>ADDRESS</td>
<td>RESIDENCE PHONE #</td>
<td>BUSINESS PHONE #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WITNESS 4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>DOB</td>
<td>ADDRESS</td>
<td>RESIDENCE PHONE #</td>
<td>BUSINESS PHONE #</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-151622

ACO name & Badge: X. Delgadillo

On August 28, 2014 at 16:02 hrs, I, Officer Delgadillo, was parked in front of the residence of the resident approached and stated that she had made several reports regarding her neighbor's dog. I then went to residence of . I received no answer at the door; I then proceeded to the fence and observed a small brown and white boxer male. The fence was approximately 4.5 feet tall. As I viewed the dog over the fence, I also observed an excessive amount of animal waste. I then went to north side of residence and looked over the fence and observed excessive waste as well. From this point I could not verify water or shelter. The complainant then allowed me access to her backyard in order to view the backyard of

I observed a silver metal bowl on the back porch and a large orange planter. From this view point, I could not verify if water was present or adequate shelter. I left a notice on the front door.

On August 29, 2014 at 15:09 hrs, I then returned to and viewed both sides of the residence. The animal waste that was observed on 08/28/14 was still present and the notice left on the door was removed. I again could not verify if water was present for the dog. I then went to the adjacent neighbor and requested to view the property from their backyard. The resident allowed access and I observed the same containers, a silver metal bowl and orange planter, on the back porch area. I then proceeded to the side gate of and entered the property. I proceeded to the back porch and verified that the silver metal bowl had no water and the planter was filled with dirt. I then impounded the male brown and white boxer due to no water and inadequate shelter. I left a notice on the front regarding the impoundment of the dog.

On September 9, 2014, I met with the dog owner, I asked her if the brown and white boxer that was impounded belonged to her. Ms. stated that she was watching the dog for a friend by the name of
I asked for an address for the dog owner and she stated that she did not know the address and only provided a phone number. I attempted to make contact and received no response. I asked Ms. how long she had the dog and she stated approximately three weeks. Ms. Gallardo was cited for no license; no rabies vaccination; no water and no shelter. Ms. signed the citation and received a copy and was provided her court date and time.

Officer's Signature: 

Date: 9/11/14
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department  
Pima Animal Care Center  
4000 N Silverbell Rd  
Tucson, Arizona  
Phone:  
Fax: (520) 243-5900  
www.pimaanimalcare.org

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUSPECT</th>
<th>ACO NAME / BADGE #</th>
<th>COMPLAINT NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bowdon #2013</td>
<td>A14-155379</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOCATION OF INCIDENT</th>
<th>DATE AND TIME REPORTED</th>
<th>DATE AND TIME OCCURRED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4000 N Silverbell Rd</td>
<td>09/07/14 / 10:00</td>
<td>09/07/14 / 10:00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FOOD</th>
<th>WATER</th>
<th>SHELTER</th>
<th>INJURED/ILL VENTILATION</th>
<th>ABANDONED</th>
<th>TIEOUT</th>
<th>BEaten</th>
<th>WASTE</th>
<th>OTHER</th>
<th>EXPLAIN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME</th>
<th>D.O.B</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE NO.</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE NO.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PACC Officer Bowdon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(520)243-5900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VICTIM'S ADDRESS</th>
<th>ZIP</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4000 N Silverbell Rd</td>
<td>85745</td>
<td>Tucson</td>
<td>AZ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>PHONE NUMBER</th>
<th>PHONE NUMBER</th>
<th>ADDRESS IS SAME AS VICTIM</th>
<th>RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(IF APPLICABLE)</td>
<td>VET CLINIC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS</th>
<th>CLINIC'S ADDRESS</th>
<th>QUARANTINE</th>
<th>CITING ACO</th>
<th>3RD PARTY CITATIONS</th>
<th>CITING ACO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE</th>
<th>CODE/OJD VIOLATED</th>
<th>PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS</th>
<th>PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER</th>
<th>OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>604.110(B)(4)</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL</th>
<th>ANIMAL'S NAME</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>TAG</th>
<th>LICENSE #</th>
<th>VX CERTIFICATE #</th>
<th>COND</th>
<th>ANIMAL ID#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pit Bull mix</td>
<td>Pepper</td>
<td>White / Black</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>10y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ILL</td>
<td>A305214</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITNESS 1</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE #</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITNESS 2</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE #</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITNESS 3</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE #</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITNESS 4</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE #</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-155379

ACO name & Badge: Bowdon #2013

On 09/07/14 at approximately 10:00 hours brought her dog Pepper to the Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) for the purpose of euthanasia. PACC Shelter Supervisor Lopez 1948 noticed that Pepper appeared emaciated. Supervisor Lopez requested that I, Animal Care Officer Bowdon #2013, observe Pepper to determine if her condition warranted a citation for Neglect.

I observed that Pepper was in fact emaciated with her ribs and hip bones well defined. I asked Ms. if she had sought any vet care for Pepper. Ms. stated that she had not. Ms. stated that Pepper was in good weight about a year ago when she assumed ownership of Pepper upon the incarceration of the original owner. Ms. stated that Pepper began to lose weight and that she tried many different foods to resolve the problem and that Pepper consistently ate all the food she was given, but continued to lose weight steadily over the past several months. Ms. stated that she did not at any time seek veterinary care for Pepper despite her significant weight loss. Ms. stated that she was aware of Pepper's declining health and that she attributed it to Pepper having had Parvo in the past. It is her belief that the Parvo must not have fully left Pepper's system which resulted in the weight loss. Ms. stated that she did not bring Pepper to PACC sooner because her daughter and grandson, who sometimes stay with her, love Pepper.

I issued a citation to Ms. for the neglect of vet care for Pepper due to the apparent length of time Ms. allowed Pepper to suffer without veterinary care as evidenced by Pepper being severely emaciated.

Officer's Signature: [Signature]               Date: 9/17/14
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Welfare
4000 N. Silverbell Rd
Tucson, AZ
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5900
www.pimaanimalwelfare.org

ACO NAME / BADGE #
C. Meek 2015
COMPLAINT NUMBER
A14-151884

BITES: WELFARE: DANGEROUS: OTHER:

CODE IF OTHER:

LOCATION OF INCIDENT:
DATE AND TIME REPORTED:
07/19/14 / 09/14/14
DATE AND TIME OCCURRED:
07/19/14 / 1154
09/14/14 / 159

FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURED//ILL VENTILATION ABANDONED TIEOUT BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)

I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case:

I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case:

REQUEST/ WAIVER exception per A.R.S. 13-4410(B) and 13-201(B)(D)

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE
(IF APPLICABLE)

OTHER AGENCY CASE # 140914156

FOLLOW UP REQUEST:

SO TPD

OTHER:

NAME:
Officer C. Meek

RESIDENCE PHONE NO.
520-243-5900

ADDRESS:
400 N. Silverbell Rd

ZIP CITY STATE
85745 TUC AZ

DANGEROUS
ASSESSMENT
REQUESTED
YES NO

RESTITUTION
REQUESTED
YES NO

DATE QUARANTINED
release date:

TREATED BY

PHONE NUMBER

PACC

HOME

VET

FAC

UTQ

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

OWNER KNOWS OF BITE

YES NO

3RD PARTY CITATIONS

CITING ACO

YES NO

PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS

PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

REVIEWED BY 9-15-14

6.04.110(A), 6.04.110(B)(D)

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE

SIGNATURE

BREED DESCRIPTION

VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL

ANIMAL'S NAME

COLOR

SEX

AGE

TAG COLOR

LICENSE #

VX CERTIFICATE #

C010

ANIMAL ID#

Shepherd-X

Victim

Psycho

Bm

M 3Y

221847

ok

A473527

Pit Bull-X

Victim

M.J.

Blu/Wht

F 1.5

221848

ok

A429427
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-151884

ACO name & Badge: C. Meek 2015

On 09/14/14 at 1528 hours I Officer Meek badge number 2015 responded to in reference to a neglect complaint.

I arrived at the address and observed two vehicles in the front drive a late model Dodge Caravan as well as a mid-sized Dodge truck. I jingled my keys upon approaching the fence. I was met by two dogs a Shepherd mix as well as a Pit Bull mix. I immediately observed both dogs to be extremely emaciated with the Shepherd being the worst of the two. I was able to photograph the shepherd from over the fence but the Pit Bull mix was active enough that I was unable to photograph the dog. I spent some time with the dogs at the gate and determined them to be friendly at which point I made entry into the yard.

I approached the residence which is a double wide mobile home. The entrance to the residence consisted of an addition in the form of a front porch that was completely walled and roofed. I walked up the stairs to the front door. Inside the porch I observed there to be a fair amount of human debris which consisted of food and drink containers, a bicycle and parts as well as cigarette butts in an ash tray. It was also apparent to me the dogs used the porch for shelter as there was bedding on the floor. While on the front porch the dogs interacted with me and were very friendly. While on the porch I was able to evaluate both dogs and I found the Shepherd to have all skeletal bones visible which included the crown of the skull. The dog’s full hip and spine bone were visible and I observed no muscle or fat on the dog. I then turned my attention to the Pit Bull and observed her to be in the same condition. I observed her spine and hip bones. The Pit Bull did have a miniscule amount of fat still remaining on the top of the head and I was unable to see the crown of her skull.

I knocked at the front door and was able to meet with a Mr. introduced myself and explained the reason for my visit. At that point I advised Mr. that I was very concerned about the physical condition of his dogs. Mr. advised me that he was recently let go at his job and he was searching for a new one but money had become tight. Mr. introduced me to the dogs advising me the Pit Bull’s name was M.J. and the Shepherd’s name was Psycho. Mr. advised me that he and his wife fed the dogs when they had food available. I asked Mr. when the last time he fed the dogs. Mr. advised me that he felt like
he fed the dogs the previous Wednesday (09/10/14). While speaking with Mr.
Ms. came outside and inquired if I was at the residence in
reference to the dogs. I advised Ms. that I was and again re-iterated that I was very concerned due to their physical condition.
Ms. again stated Mr. lost his job and that she only brings in a small amount of money approximately $600-$700 monthly in addition to assistance with food stamps. I advised Ms. that she and her husband had a requirement to provide food for the dogs. Ms. began to get emotional and stated that I did not understand her situation and that because I made more money that she did and I could never understand what she was going through. Ms. went on to say that no one came to help her with the dogs and asked if she was supposed to walk up and down the street asking her neighbors for dog food. I advised Ms. that if financially she and her husband were unable to care for the dogs it was their responsibility to bring the dogs into Pima Animal Care Center or re-home them with a more financially fit home. Ms. stated she would not give her dogs away as she loved them. I advised Mr. and Ms. that the condition the dogs were in was unacceptable and that I would be issuing citations. Ms. advised me that she did not have money to pay citations and that my involvement with her family would only create more of a hardship. I advised Ms. that there would be an opportunity to speak with a judge and that there was no admission of guilt by signing the citations I was issuing. Ms. became more emotional and advised me that she didn’t have any money to deal with this problem and asked that I give her family dog food and allow them to feed the dogs. I advised Ms. that I would not be giving them food but that I would be impounding the dogs. I advised Ms. that in the dogs’ current physical condition allowing them to free feed could be detrimental to their health. Ms. then stated she did not want me to impound the dogs. I advised Ms. that there was no other option at that time. I advised Ms. that she could sign the dogs over to Pima Animal Care Center and they would become Pima Animal Care Center property or I could initiate the bond process. After some deliberation between Mr. and Ms. it was decided that the dogs would be signed over to Pima Animal Care Center.

At this point I excused myself from the and made my way back to the vehicle to issue the citations as well as owner relinquishment form. I made the decision to contact Pima County Sherriff’s Office in case felony charges were warranted.

I met with Pima County Sherriff’s Office Deputy Lesage badge number 7729 who also provided the case number of 140914156. I advised Deputy Lesage of my findings and why I thought it appropriate to have their response. Deputy Lesage and I then made our way to the residence. Deputy Lesage asked me if the were cooperating and I advised them that they were but that Ms. was fairly emotional about having the dogs impounded. We made entry into the yard and were joined by one other deputy. The deputy then went about interviewing the and took some photographs of the yard. After some time Deputy Lesage met me at my vehicle and advised me that he made a couple of telephone calls in an attempt to ascertain whether felony charges would be filed. Deputy Lesage advised me that he was waiting for Detective Creehan to contact him with instructions. Deputy Lesage and I spoke about the severity of the dog’s condition and I advised him that it was my experience that dogs in that state of emaciation had to be
rehabilitated under veterinary care as there was potential damage to the internal organs and over feeding them could do real harm to them. Shortly there after Detective Creehan made contact with Deputy Lesage and they had a conversation that I did not hear. Deputy Lesage then advised me that Deceptive Creehan wanted to speak with me and handed me the phone. Detective Creehan and I had a brief conversation in which I described the scene and the condition of the dogs. I also advised Detective Creehan that I would be issuing citations and impounding the dogs. Detective Creehan stated she understood and that she would review the case at the beginning of the week and make a determination about felony charges. I ended my telephone call with Detective Creehan.

I made my way back to the residence. I met with Mr. and issued him the appropriate citations which included cruelty, neglect no food for both dogs. Both dogs were currently licensed and vaccinated for rabies as the Campbell's adopted the dogs from Pima Animal Care Center in March of 2014. I advised the that I needed to photograph their yard. I first had Mr. sign the citations. I explained to Mr. Campbell that with the citations he would need to appear in court and I provided him with the date. Mr. stated he understood his need to appear and signed his copy of the citations.

I then walked the yard. I found the yard to be overgrown. I photographed the yard from various points. Additionally as I walked the property I did not find any fresh animal waste. The waste I did find was fairly old and dried out. While walking the yard I observed both dogs to be active and social but I did observe them to be grazing on the over grown grass in the yard which led me to believe that was how they were sustaining themselves. After walking and photographing the yard I impounded the dogs and transported them to Pima Animal Care Center.

I arrived at Pima Animal Care Center and made contact with the clinic staff who advised me to weigh both dogs. I weighed MJ and found her to weigh 36 pounds and I then weighed Psycho and found him to weigh 40 pounds. I was advised to place them in kennels and feed them no more than one cup of dry dog food. I fed the dogs the food and both dogs ate voraciously.

[Signatures]

Date: 09/14/14
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-155297

ACO name & Badge: T. Haynes #2032

On September 06, 2014 at approximately 2200 hours I, Officer T. Haynes #2032, arrived at and met with Pima County Sheriff's Deputy Mitchell #5935 (140906288). He advised that they had received a call about the dog at this address barking nonstop and that it was also tied up with no food or water. He said that the caller had said that they had called Pima Animal Care Center multiple times in the past and had not received any response. I explained to Deputy Mitchell that we had just received a call yesterday, September 05, 2014, on the barking dog line and that it was the first time there had been a complaint made about the dog barking or being on a tie-out. I advised him that we only had one previous complaint and it was a leash law complaint in 2013 (A13-124819) and the complainant was anonymous.

The deputy advised that the dog has been barking nonstop since his arrival and when he looked over the wall he observed the large, Yellow Lab named Dunkin tied up to a tree. He also said that he could not see any water but he was looking across the yard in the dark.

We walked around to the east side of the yard and looked over the wall. I observed the dog, Dunkin, across the yard on the west side of the property. Dunkin barked until we talked to him then he quieted down. He was tied to a tree and was able to move about 10-15 feet in all directions. Due to the dog being on a tie-out we needed to gain access to the yard. The deputy advised that he had observed two gates and they were both padlocked. It was determined that we would have to cut one of the locks and I went to get my bolt cutters. After retrieving my bolt cutters I walked around the west side of the property and found an unlocked pedestrian gate.

I entered the gate and was right near Dunkin. He was very friendly and very happy to see a person. He had access to food, water, and shelter. I photographed him on the tie-out then leashed him and removed him from the tie-out. I walked him out of the yard and placed him on my truck.

Deputy Mitchell was able to contact the dog owner, and advised that him we were at his house for an animal complaint and that we
needed him to return home. Mr. _____ said he would be home in approximately 30 minutes. The deputy and I agreed to wait for him. At approximately 2245 hours Mr. _____ and his wife returned home.

The deputy explained why he and I were called out to his house. The barking dog issue was addressed and the laws about tie-outs were explained to them. The dog owner was visibly frustrated when I advised him that I would be issuing him citations for the infractions. I requested his identification and he provided me with his Arizona Driver’s License. I inquired about license and rabies vaccination and he said that Dunkin had only had shots as a puppy and he was now 11 years old.

I spoke with the ____ about alternatives to tying up the dog and they advised that they had tried several different things to keep the dog in the yard but had been unsuccessful. They said that the tie-out was only a temporary solution until they could build him a kennel run.

Mr. _____ was issued Citation #71749 for no license, no rabies vaccination, and neglect-tie-out for Dunkin. Citation, court date, time, and location were explained to Mr. Pagnotta. He acknowledged, signed and received a copy of the citation. His Arizona Driver’s License was then returned to him.

I then got Dunkin out of my truck and walked him up to the _____ home and released him into the house at their request.

Officer’s Signature: __Haynes__  Date: 09/07/14
## INVESTIGATION REPORT

**Pima County Health Department**

**Pima Animal Care Center**

4000 N. Silverbell Rd.

**Tucson, Arizona 85745**

Phone: (520) 243-5900

Fax: (520) 243-5980

www.pimaanimalcare.org

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUSPECT</th>
<th>ACO NAME / BADGE #</th>
<th>COMPLAINT NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Windauer #1984</td>
<td>A14-152805</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**

- **DATE AND TIME REPORTED**: 7/31/14 / 10:09
- **DATE AND TIME OCCURRED**: 8/1/14 / 12:20

**FOOD, WATER, SHELTER, INJURED/ILL VENTILATION, ABANDONED, TIEOUT, BEATEN, WASTE, OTHER (EXPLAIN)**

- [ ] FOOD
- [ ] WATER
- [ ] SHELTER
- [X] INJURED/ILL VENTILATION
- [X] ABANDONED
- [ ] TIEOUT
- [ ] BEATEN
- [ ] WASTE
- [ ] OTHER

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE**

- **VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME**: D. Windauer #1984
- **D.O.B.**: [ ]
- **RESIDENCE PHONE NO.**: [ ]

**REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. B 13-4405 (B) and B 8-298 (E)**

- [ ] I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case.
- [ ] I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE**

- **VICTIM'S ADDRESS**: 4000 North Silverbell Road
- **ZIP**: 85745
- **CITY**: Tucson
- **STATE**: AZ

**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM**

- [ ] VIOLATION
- [ ] NON-VIOLATION
- [ ] PART OF BODY BITTER

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM**

- [ ] VET CLINIC
- [ ] PHONE NUMBER
- [ ] OWNER KNOWS OF BIT
- [ ] RELEASE DATE

**LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS**

- **CLINIC'S ADDRESS**: [ ]
- **QUARANTINE**: [ ]
- **3RD PARTY CITATIONS**: [ ]
- **CITING ACO**
- **PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS**: [ ]
- **PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER**
- **OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS**

**BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL**

- **20157 A-E, 20158 A-E, 20159 A-E, 20160 A-E**

**WITNESS 1**

- **Officer Henderson #1904**
- **DOB**: M [ ] F [ ]
- **ADDRESS**: 4000 N Silverbell Road
- **RESIDENCE PHONE #**: 243-5900

**WITNESS 2**

- **Dr. Bonnie Lilley**
- **DOB**: M [ ] F [ ]
- **ADDRESS**: 4000 N Silverbell Road
- **RESIDENCE PHONE #**: 243-5900

**WITNESS 3**

- **DOB**: M [ ] F [ ]
- **ADDRESS**: [ ]
- **RESIDENCE PHONE #**: [ ]

**WITNESS 4**

- **DOB**: M [ ] F [ ]
- **ADDRESS**: [ ]
- **RESIDENCE PHONE #**: [ ]
On July 31, 2014 at approximately 1845 hours I, Officer Windauer #1984 arrived at Street reference a priority Neglect call. (I recalled I had been here on a previous priority with Tucson Police.) I heard barking and called out from the front gate. I saw dogs come up to side fences and only one small black dog slipped through into front yard. I got no response at the front door so I walked to the west side fence where I saw about 15 dogs, several adults and maybe a dozen pups. I saw most of the pups/adults looked okay but I could see they had ticks. I also saw some of the pups had the large bellies due to possible worms. The adult dogs also had ticks and were below normal weight.

While taking a picture the owner, walked up from rear area, soaking wet. I was told he had called us to remove his pups and maybe some of the adult dogs. He said all of the dogs were ones he rescued from street but they started having pups and he wasn’t able to find homes for them. He said he has tried getting the ticks/fleas under control but isn’t making any progress. He said he thought we were supposed to come out the next day so he would have time to get the dogs into a smaller confinement area. He advised me the pups were from 2 litters from 2 females. Also a 3rd female had had a litter of 3 pups within the last week.

I advised Mr. the Pima Animal Care Center would like to collect a fee for removing his animals. Also that any animals he kept he could be cited for them not being licensed or vaccinated. I advised Mr. I would return tomorrow to remove the dogs he requested.

On August 1, 2014 at approximately 1618 hours, I returned to Mr. home to impound the dogs. When I made contact, Mr. said he had called office and rescheduled for Monday. I insisted we do this today because that was our arrangement. I asked if he would be able to help catch the dogs, then I could load the dog into my truck. This worked for the first 6 dogs till Mr. became too emotional. I then realized Mr. had been drinking. I then started impounding on my own and collected maybe another six dogs before I called for assistance. Officer Henderson #1904 arrived at property and we cornered and impounded 7-8 more dogs. We left Mr. with the large adult male lab mix-Brownie and the small black
Chihuahua mix-Blackie, as he requested and an additional large brown lab mix with blue eyes that jumped fence and ran off down street as did the dog-Blackie.

While trying to capture the dogs, I saw an excessive amount of dog waste all over the property, I saw the dogs had access to a large front porch/carport area and a rear shack for shelter and that several various sized containers had been provided for water. I saw a lot of garbage and stored stuff stacked unprotected all over yard. I saw the pups had a lot of ticks in their ears and between their toes and their coats were uneven and some had prominent backbones and the bloated bellies. I issued citations to Mr. for the 3 remaining dogs having No License and for the two dogs that violated the Leash Law. I set a recheck for 30 days for the waste to be removed. Mr. signed the 19 dogs impounded over to the Pima Animal Care Center.

When the dogs arrived at the Center, Dr. Lilley and her Clinic staff started examining and evaluating the dogs. I received information from my Supervisor that on recheck I should issue citations to Mr. for Neglect-Vet Care on the 19 dogs impounded.

On September 3, 2014 at approximately 1725 hours I arrived at the residence. I spoke with elderly father and was shown the yard spray they were using to get the ticks under control. I was told his son was due home soon. I walked yard area and saw the yard had been cleaned of animal waste and was now in compliance with City Code. When arrived I was shown the tick medicine that was being applied to the dogs. I was also able to physically examine two of the dogs. I saw areas in ears where ticks had been and that a few still remained. I advised to continue doing what they have been doing, not to over do it, as that can also be harmful, but to read instructions on the packaging of any product they use.

Mr. accepted the citations for Neglect-Vet Care for the 19 dogs previously impounded. He also said they are fixing the fence so the dogs can't escape.

Officer's Signature:  

Date: 9/5/14
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

**Pima County Health Department**
**Pima Animal Medical Center**

**4000 N. Market Street**
**Tucson, Arizona 85719**
**Phone: (520) 243-6800**
**Fax: (520) 243-6850**
**www.pimaanimalcare.org**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUSPECT</th>
<th>ACO NAME / BADGE #</th>
<th>COMPLAINT NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carver #1901</td>
<td>A14-156069</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ZIP</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>WEIGHT</th>
<th>HEIGHT</th>
<th>EYES</th>
<th>HAIR COLOR</th>
<th>ORIGIN</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>SSN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS?</th>
<th>YES ☐ NO ☑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FOOD</th>
<th>WATER</th>
<th>SHELTER</th>
<th>INJURED/ILL</th>
<th>VENTILATION</th>
<th>ABANDONED</th>
<th>TIEOUT</th>
<th>BEATEN</th>
<th>WAISTE</th>
<th>OTHER (EXPLAIN)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

| I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case | ☐ |
| I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case | ☑ |

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME</th>
<th>Constable B. Bernal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RESIDENCE PHONE NO.</td>
<td>808-8773</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VICTIM'S ADDRESS</th>
<th>ZIP</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS</th>
<th>ZIP</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32 N Stone Ave #111</td>
<td>85701</td>
<td>Tucson</td>
<td>AZ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE</th>
<th>DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED</th>
<th>RESTITUTION REQUESTED</th>
<th>DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER</th>
<th>OTHER AGENCY CASE #</th>
<th>FOLLOW UP REQUEST</th>
<th>PHONE NUMBER</th>
<th>DATE QUARANTINED</th>
<th>PACC</th>
<th>VET</th>
<th>HOME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>YES ☐ NO ☑</td>
<td>YES ☐ NO ☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM</th>
<th>VIOLATION</th>
<th>BITE SEVERITY</th>
<th>TREATED BY</th>
<th>PHONE NUMBER</th>
<th>DATE QUARANTINED</th>
<th>RADIO CLINIC</th>
<th>PHONE NUMBER</th>
<th>OWNER KNOWS OF BITE</th>
<th>YES ☐ NO ☑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM</th>
<th>VET CLINIC</th>
<th>PHONE NUMBER</th>
<th>RELEASE DATE</th>
<th>FTQ</th>
<th>UTO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS</th>
<th>CLINIC'S ADDRESS</th>
<th>QUARANTINE</th>
<th>3RD PARTY CITATIONS</th>
<th>CITING ACO</th>
<th>PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS</th>
<th>PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER</th>
<th>OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS</th>
<th>REVIEWED BY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10 ☑ 15 ☑ 45 ☐ 180 ☐</td>
<td>YES ☐ NO ☑</td>
<td>Carver #1901</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DTK 1911</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BOND</th>
<th>YES ☐ NO ☑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BREED/DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL</th>
<th>ANIMAL'S NAME</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>TAG COLOR</th>
<th>LICENSE #</th>
<th>VX CERTIFICATE #</th>
<th>CONDO</th>
<th>ANIMAL ID#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chihuahua</td>
<td>Blue</td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>4Y</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cited</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A495451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chihuahua</td>
<td>Lucy</td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>4Y</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cited</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A495452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pit Bull</td>
<td>Kali</td>
<td>Blk / wth</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3Y</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cited</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A324128</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITNESS 1</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE #</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M ☐ F ☐</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITNESS 2</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE #</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M ☐ F ☐</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITNESS 3</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE #</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M ☐ F ☐</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITNESS 4</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE #</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M ☐ F ☐</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14156069
ACO name & Badge: J. Carver

On 9-17-14 at approximately 1040 hours I, Officer Carver #1901, met with Constable B. Bernal at regarding an eviction he was serving at that address.

Constable B. Bernal stated that when he arrived and entered the unit he located 3 dogs, 2 of which were adult Chihuahuas that were locked in a small wire crate in the kitchen. These dogs had no access to water or food. The other dog was a black and white female Pit Bull. This dog had water provided for her and access in and out of the home via a “doggie door”. There was a small courtyard as you enter the unit which had several days’ worth of animal waste. Constable B. Bernal had removed the Chihuahuas from the crate and provided them with food and water prior to my arrival.

I proceeded to impound the above mentioned animals, as I was loading the second Chihuahua into my Pima Animal Care vehicle I was approached by a man stating he was the dog owner. He provided his Arizona Drivers License identifying him as . When I asked Mr. when he was last here he stated that he had been coming every couple of days then he corrected himself stating he was here last night. When I asked him about the dogs not having any water he stated he had just left for a “couple of hours to donate plasma”. I explained to him that the law states the dogs have to have access to water at all times. I also advised him that within the City of Tucson animal waste must be picked up every day. Other than the violations listed above there were no other issues with the dogs, all three appeared healthy and active. I issued citations for the violations observed by Constable B. Bernal. I also issued citations for excessive animal waste and no licenses regarding all 3 dogs. All 3 dogs were then released to Mr. Hanon.

Officer’s Signature: [Signature]
Date: 9/21/14
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INVESTIGATION REPORT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>09/03/14 / 12:25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date and Time Occurred</td>
<td>09/03/14 / 12:25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victim/Complaining Name</td>
<td>PACC Officer Bowdon #2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victim's Address</td>
<td>4000 N Silverbell Rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victim's Business Address</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victim's Phone Number</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow Up Request</td>
<td>PACC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address and Phone Number Same As Victim</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship to Victim</td>
<td>VET CLINIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual Assault Intention</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dangerous Assessment Requested</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restoration Requested</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dangerous Case Number</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Agency Case #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bite Severity</td>
<td>TREATED BY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of Body Bitten</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS</td>
<td>CLINIC'S ADDRESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Party Citations</td>
<td>CITING ACO Bowdon #2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal's Name</td>
<td>COLOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BREED/DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>SEX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL</td>
<td>AGE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken</td>
<td>Tag Color</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victim</td>
<td>LICENSE #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner</td>
<td>VI Certificate #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner</td>
<td>CLINO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner</td>
<td>ANIMAL ID#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Witness 1</td>
<td>DOB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence Phone #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Phone #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Witness 2</td>
<td>DOB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence Phone #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Phone #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Witness 3</td>
<td>DOB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence Phone #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Phone #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Witness 4</td>
<td>DOB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence Phone #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Phone #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-155099
ACO name & Badge: Bowdon #2013

On 09/03/14 at approximately 1130 hours I, Pima Animal Care Center Officer Bowdon #2013, arrived at in reference to an unrelated activity when I observed a horse and two chickens with no access to water. There was no response to my knocking on the residence door and no answer at the telephone of the resident. I contacted T. Chacon from AZ Livestock and advised him of the horse's conditions and he agreed to investigate the welfare of the horse at his earliest convenience. I was able to locate a water hose on the property and provide water to the horse who immediately began drinking. The horse drank for an extended period of time and returned to the water bucket repeatedly over the next 30 minutes. I also provided water to the chickens who drank immediately. I impounded the birds and posted notice on the residence front steps.

Mr. I redeemed his chickens from PACC later in the day and citations were issued for his animals not having water. Due to some errors on the citation, I met with Mr. on 09/17/14 and reissued the citation for the two neglect / no water charges for the chickens and one charge for neglect / no water for the horse.

Officer's Signature: [Signature] Date: 9/21/14
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

- **Pima County Health Department**
- **Pima Animal Care Center**
- **400 N Silverbell Rd.**
- **Tucson, Arizona**
- **Phone:** (520) 243-2800
- **Fax:** (520) 243-2890
- **www.pimaanimalcare.org**

**ACO NAME / BADGE #**
- Downing #1923

**COMPLAINT NUMBER**
- A14-154991

**SUSPECT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACO NAME / BADGE #</th>
<th>COMPLAINT NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Downing #1923</td>
<td>A14-154991</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**

- **DATE AND TIME REPORTED:** 8-31-2014 / 8:51
- **DATE AND TIME OCCURRED:** 8-31-2014 / 8:51

**FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURED/ILL VENTILATION ABANDONED TIEOUT BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)**

- **FOOD:**
- **WATER:**
- **SHELTER:**
- **INJURED/ILL:**
- **VENTILATION:**
- **ABANDONED:**
- **TIEOUT:**
- **BEATEN:**
- **WASTE:**
- **OTHER:**

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)**

- **NAME:**
- **DOB:**
- **RESIDENCE PHONE NO.:**
- **BUSINESS PHONE NO.:**

**VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME**
- **DOB:**
- **RESIDENCE PHONE NO.:**
- **BUSINESS PHONE NO.:**

**REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. 8-233, 8-236 (B) and 8-236 (B)**

- **ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM:**
- **RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM:**
- **PHONE NUMBER:**
- **LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS:**
- **CLINIC’S ADDRESS:**
- **QUARANTINE:**
- **3RD PARTY CITATIONS:**
- **VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE:**
- **BOND:**
- **REVIEWS BY:**

**BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL**

- **ANIMAL’S NAME:**
- **COLOR:**
- **SEX:**
- **AGE:**
- **LICENSE #:**
- **VX CERTIFICATE #:**
- **CND:**
- **ANIMAL ID#:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BREED/DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>ANIMAL’S NAME</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>LICENSE #</th>
<th>VX CERTIFICATE #</th>
<th>CND</th>
<th>ANIMAL ID#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Labrador</td>
<td>Hollie</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>A493601</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WITNESS 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE #</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**WITNESS 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE #</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**WITNESS 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE #</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**WITNESS 4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE #</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-154891
ACO name & Badge: Downing #1923

On August 31, 2014 at 0851, I responded to the location of 1, in reference to a dog on a tie-out. Upon arrival I saw a yellow Labrador in that back yard that was tied to a porch pole. I got no response at the door. Tucson Police Officer Brazill # 54165 also arrived in response to the barking coming from that address. Officer Brazill was able to make contact with one of the residents via phone. A lady by the name of  arrived on scene a short time later. She said the actual owner of the dog was out of town and she had been caring for the dog until he returned. I explained that tie-outs were prohibited. She removed the dog from the tie-out. I cited her for Tucson City Code 4-3 (2)(E)(2). I also attached a photo of the dog while it was on the tie-out.
MEMORANDUM

TO: Kim Janes, Chief of External Operations

FROM: Neil Konst, Animal Care Field Supervisor

DATE: September 28, 2014

RE: Dangerous Dog Cases for September 2014

City of Tucson:

1. A14-140022---Brad Nelson, dog named Ruger was declared vicious by Judge Chayet. Investigator Klein served the declaration and is monitoring compliance.

2. A14-153774---Laura Brandlen, dog named Bill was declared dangerous by Investigator Klein. Investigator Klein is monitoring compliance.
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

**Pima County Health Department**

**Pima Animal Services**

**4000 Mission Hill Rd**

**Tucson, AZ**

**Phone: (520) 243-5900**

**Fax: (520) 243-5960**

**Rescue: [www.pimaanimalcare.org](http://www.pimaanimalcare.org)**

---

**SUSPECT**

**Name:** Brad Roemer Nelson

**ACO Name / Badge #:**
- Young/1906
- Henderson/1904

**Activity/Bite Number:** A14-140022

**Other:** Dog on Dog attack

---

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**

**Date and Time of Incident:** 1/23/14 21:15

**Date and Time Reported:** 1/24/14 02

---

**VICTIM'S ADDRESS**

**Name:** Marcia Winick

**Date of Birth:**

**Residence Phone:**

**Business Phone:**

---

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE**

(IF APPLICABLE)

**Address and Phone Number Same As:**

**Relationship to Victim:**

**Phone Number:**

---

**LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS**

**Clinic's Address:**

**Quarantine (Days):**

- 10
- 15
- 45
- 180

**Review:** [Signature] 1/14

---

**BREED/DESCRIPTION**

**Animal:** Shepherd

**Color:** Black/Tan

**Sex:** M

**Age:** 11

**License #:** 016243

**Condition:** Good

**Animal ID #:** 043619

---

**CITATIONS/NUMBERS**

**Code/Or Violated:** 4-7(2)(B) / 4-97

**CITING ACO:** 1904

**Previous Violations:**

- Yes

---

**WITNESS 1**

**DOB:**

**Address:**

**Residence Phone #:**

**Business Phone #:**

---

**WITNESS 2**

**DOB:**

**Address:**

**Residence Phone #:**

**Business Phone #:**

---

**WITNESS 3**

**DOB:**

**Address:**

**Residence Phone #:**

**Business Phone #:**

---

**WITNESS 4**

**DOB:**

**Address:**

**Residence Phone #:**

**Business Phone #:**
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-140022

ACD Name & Badge: J Henderson 1904 / C Young 1908

On January 26, 2014 at approximately 08:23 hours officer Young #1908 met with the complainant, Marcia Winick, at her residence in reference to a neighbors dog that attacked and injuring her dog. Mrs. Winick explained that on January 23, 2014 at approximately 21:15 hours she was walking her 8 year old American Eskimo named, "Ripley", on a leash in the neighborhood. She noticed two people ahead of her and before she knew it their dog, described as a brown and black colored German Shepherd, attacked Ripley. She said the male owner came over and pulled the German Shepherd off of her dog by the collar and then walked away without saying a word. Mrs. Winick recognized the woman and the man as her neighbors from the previous incident. She said the woman’s name is Ursula and she only knows the man as Brad. She believes the German Shepherd’s name to be "Brueger". Mrs. Winick says the German Shepherd is walked regularly off leash by its owner and she has talked with the owner before about it but he continues to let the dog off leash while being walked.

Mrs. Winick took Ripley to the vet, and is requesting prosecution and restitution against the dog owner for the incident. She has photographs taken by the vet, and her vet bill is currently at $155.00 with a follow up visit following. Officer Young also took photos also and attached them to this activity.

Officer Young then drove to the dog owner address and found no one to be home. A notice was posted asking for a call back with the best time to meet.

On 02/01/14 at 12:03 hours, I officer Henderson #1904 contacted admitted dog owner Brad Nelson at the residence of 123 Main St. This is his Finance’s home but he often stays here. His dog Ruger, the shepherd that attacked the Winick dog Ripley, stays here too. Mr. Nelson did not deny what happened on the day in question. He freely admitted his dog Ruger attacked the smaller white dog Ripley. Mr. Nelson said he wished to make things right and pay the bills requested, but was waiting to be contacted by PACC to see if that would be alright. He told me if the Winicks are willing he will meet with them and do what ever he can to take care of the matter.

I then went to the Winick home, and asked if they were willing to meet with Mr. Nelson. I advised them he was willing to pay their vet expenses, and do what he could to make things right. Mr. and Mrs. Winick refused to meet with Mr. Nelson to work things out. Mrs. Winick said she is concerned with the safety of her community and Mr. Nelson needs to be held responsible for his actions. Mr. and Mrs. Winick stated they did not wish to work things out.

I returned to the scene and met with Mr. Nelson, and issued to him the citations requested. Mr. Nelson was very cooperative, citations were issued without incident. Mr. Nelson sign the citation and received his copy with the court date and time.
DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

_____ An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

_____ An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

X An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

On August 29, 2014, City of Tucson Judge Chenet ordered that "Bugger" is deemed vicious.

[Signature]

E. Klein

#19260

OWNER: BRIAN NELSON
ADDRESS: 
PHONE: 

ANIMAL NAME: BUGGER
ANIMAL ID#: AC43619
SEX: M
COLOR: B/W
BREED: E. SHEP

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE.

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson, to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.
INVESTIGATION REPORT
Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Services Center
400 N. Wilmot Road
Tucson, AZ 85712
Phone: (520) 243-6121
Fax: (520) 243-6139
www.pimaanimalsafe.org

SUSPECT
LAURA M BRANDEL
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS
7th CITY TUCSON STATE AZ RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER

ACO NAME / BADGE #
J RADEMAKER 2019
COMPLAINT NUMBER
A14-153774

CODE IF OTHER :

DATE AND TIME OCCURRED
08/12/14 / 1554

I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. B 13-4403 (B) and B 13-2896 (B)

DATE AND TIME REPORTED
08/12/14 / 1554

FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURED/ILL VENTILATION ABANDONED TIEOUT BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)

DATE QUARANTINED
RELEASE DATE

ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS
VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS

QUARANTINE
10 15 45 180

3rd PARTY CITATIONS
YES NO

CITING ACO
RADEMAKER 2019

PREVIOUS VIOLENT
YES NO

PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

COLOR
SHOR

BREED/DESCRIPTION
VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL

ANIMAL'S NAME

SEX

AGE TAG COLOR

LICENSE #

VX CERTIFICATE #

C1N0

ANIMAL ID#
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-153774

ACO name & Badge: J RADEMAKER 2019

On August 12, 2014 at 3:17pm I, Officer Rademaker 2019, responded to an assist police call (A14-153545) to and met TPD Officer Romero 47222 who had confined a tan male pit mix dog A491595. I impounded the dog. It had no chip or collar.

The dog had bitten Pamela Gamache when she had grabbed it in an attempt to free a cat that was in the dogs mouth. The bite were minor punctures on both hands. Pamela had seen this dog, stray with a black lab, with a white E Collar. The tan dog had a cat in mouth and Ms Gamache intervened to try to save the cat, unsuccessfully. Jose Rosales is a witness.

I next went to and met with Chandra Wilson, her sister, as well as her mother Maureen and her father David. She said she heard a commotion in her front yard and saw a black lab with an E Collar and a white or yellow lab attacking her black 14 year old DLH cat Luna. I showed her the tan pit X dog on my vehicle and she said that it was not one of the dogs that attacked her cat. Ms Wilson would like leash law and biting animal citations issued to the attacking dogs owner if the dogs are found.

On August 16, 2014 at 1540 hrs I went to and met with Chandra Wilson who had witnessed the attack on her family’s cat. She said her parents had seen and photographed a black dog wearing an E-collar at and she had identified it as the attacking dog. I had her look at a photo of a black dog PACC records indicate is licensed at, Bill A112768. She positively identified Bill as the attacking dog. She will also email me the photos her parents took.

is the address where the other dog involved in this incident resides. That dog, seen with the subject cat in its mouth, is was cited for leash law and biting animal/human under activity
I went to and met with Laura Brandlen, Mr Brandlen wasn't home. I explained the incident and although she was aware was gone since Tuesday 08/12/14 she wasn't aware of the details. I explained the requested citations and she said she understood. I cited her for Bill's leash law and biting animal violations on 08/12/14. Ms Brandlen's court appearance is 09/02/14, the same time as for violations. I explained court and she said she understood. I explained that they would be contacted about a dangerous dog evaluation as a domestic animal had been killed in the incident.

Officer's Signature: [Signature]

Date: 8/16/14

2019
DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

- An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.
- An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.
- An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

On August 18, 2014, “Bill” was witnessed attacking a cat known as “Luna” while at large. “Luna” died due to injuries from this attack. Due to this incident, Bill is declared dangerous.

EKLEIN #1924

OWNER: LINDA & CHRIS BRADISH
ADDRESS:
PHONE:

ANIMAL NAME: BILL
ANIMAL ID#: A113708
SEX: F COLOR: BLK, BRED: SHEP MIX

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION’S ORDINANCE / CODE.

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.
HOLD TYPE ENFORCemenT

A14-155431

10/9/14 STRAY FIELD NORMAL Activity:A14-155431
Kennel Comment:

A14-156896

10/6/14 CONFISCATE FIELD NORMAL Activity:A14-156896
Kennel Comment: nhb.

* If and when dog owner come to redeem his dogs, cite for leash law on blue pitbull. cite for tie-out for white pitbull in county codes. 1990

10/09/2014 ENFORCE
10-13-14

JCHAVEZ 10/9/14 15:17

The dogs will be released from enforcement on their release date 10-13-14. 1914

A14-157013

10/1/14 CONFISCATE NIGHT OWN INJ MINOR Activity:A14-157013
Kennel Comment: 10/1/2014--SEE ACTIVITY MEMO.
3C 3C 3C 3C 3C
IN ROLLING KENNEL. 1929

10/09/2014 ENFORCE
10-01-14 BL is on vacation, Vet MC did vaginal and rectal exams: apparently normal. Vet MC examined and I took pictures. no abnormalities were found. Please see medical record for more details.00(treatment tech Amanda).

I placed an enforcement hold back on the dog until I contact TPD Detective in charge of the case, pending a return call from the Detective. 1914

10/9/2014 STRAY FIELD NORMAL Activity:A14-155431
Kennel Comment:

A14-156896

10/6/14 CONFISCATE FIELD NORMAL Activity:A14-156896
Kennel Comment: nhb.

* If and when dog owner come to redeem his dogs, cite for leash law on blue pitbull. cite for tie-out for white pitbull in county codes. 1990

10/09/2014 ENFORCE
10-13-14

JCHAVEZ 10/9/14 15:20

The dogs will be released from enforcement on their release date 10-13-14. 1914

10/1/14 CONFISCATE NIGHT OWN INJ MINOR Activity:A14-157013
Kennel Comment: 10/1/2014--SEE ACTIVITY MEMO.
3C 3C 3C 3C 3C
IN ROLLING KENNEL. 1929

10/09/2014 ENFORCE
10-01-14 BL is on vacation, Vet MC did vaginal and rectal exams: apparently normal. Vet MC examined and I took pictures. no abnormalities were found. Please see medical record for more details.00(treatment tech Amanda).

I placed an enforcement hold back on the dog until I contact TPD Detective in charge of the case, pending a return call from the Detective. 1914
A14-157139

10/4/14 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-157139
Kennel Comment: no chip found
3c3c3c3c3c

10/09/2014 ENFORCE JCHAVEZ 10/9/14 16:21
10-4-14 If owner redeems cite for abandonment. S.Adkins 1961

A14-157159

10/2/14 STRAY FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-157159
Kennel Comment: didn't bite unable to scan in field
3c3c3c3c3c

10/09/2014 ENFORCE JCHAVEZ 10/9/14 16:28
10/02/14 21:00 I arrived and met with a TPD Officer and the property owner who stated that the previous owners of this dog gave her away to a young man who was put into contact with them via a Husky Rescue group. Per the landlord the previous owners did a thorough screening of the man and he took possession of the dog and the previous owners moved to Phx. Per the landlord the dog reappeared at his rental with all of her food, toys, and bedding. She was quite frightened and was timid and growled if approached. The dog was not on a tie out per the landlord and the TPD Officer. I was able to impound her with out issues. Per the landlord the previous owners offered to drive down from Phx to pick her up but were not interested in reclaiming her. 2042
10-9-14 no one has made any attempts to claim this dog, released from enforcement. 1914

10/03/2014 ENFORCE JCHAVEZ 10/3/14 12:52
Enf hold due to being abandoned by new adopter. 2042

A14-157422

10/7/14 CONFISCATE FIELD NORMAL Activity:A14-157422
Kennel Comment: nhb. no chip...3c3c3c3c3c3c

10/09/2014 ENFORCE JCHAVEZ 10/9/14 16:33
If and when dog owner comes to redeem their dog, cite for tie-out and no water. for 10/7/14 @ 1222hrs. in city codes....#1990.

10-9-14 the dog will be released from enforcement on the release date 10-14-14 if the owner does not redeem before then. 1914

A14-157446

10/8/14 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN INJ SEVERE Activity:A14-157446
Kennel Comment:

10/08/2014 EKLEIN 10/8/14 16:08
10-08-14, Bond has been served to owner. Det Crehan will be taking over the investigation. Do not release until approved by J.Chavez and det Crehan.1926

Peanut was given Clavamox and Tramadol from Dr Davies. I have given all information to pacc clinic manager H.beach.1926

10/09/2014 ENFORCE JCHAVEZ 10/9/14 16:40
10-9-14 The dog will be held on enforcement hold, until the owner posts bond. If the owner fails to post the bond by the deadline date 10-17-14, the dog will automatically be forfeited to PACC. 1914

10/10/14 9:54
## Donation Activity

**Period:** 9/1/14  
**To:** 9/30/14

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donation Code</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DONATION</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION ADOP</td>
<td>$83.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION GEN</td>
<td>$18,055.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION OUTR</td>
<td>$484.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION S/N</td>
<td>$11,334.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION SAMS</td>
<td>$1,632.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION SHEL 0974</td>
<td>$12,761.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$44,365.53</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donation Code</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION ADOP</td>
<td>$1,615.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION GEN</td>
<td>$62,444.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION OUTR</td>
<td>$3,310.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION S/N</td>
<td>$41,841.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION SAMS</td>
<td>$6,929.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION SHEL 0974</td>
<td>$12,761.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>$128,917.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Complaints and Commendations for the Month of September 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9-3-14</td>
<td>Complaint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Irresponsible dog walker leaving feces near complainant’s home. Has been reported to PACC, but no call back.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Course/Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enforcement Supervisor called complainant. Animal waste complaint letter to be sent to dog walker.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-11-14</td>
<td>Complaint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Loose dog; neighbors have called PACC; nothing has been done.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Course/Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>On 9/11 an officer made contact with neighbors. Dog owner was contacted; dogs contained; owner issued citations for one dog not having a current license and vaccinations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-15-14</td>
<td>Complaint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Five dogs and ticks at a poorly kept residence (No mention of actually calling PACC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Course/Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Officer responded on 9/15; no one was home; dogs appeared healthy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-21-14</td>
<td>Message left on admin phone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adopter of PACC puppy complained the animal is sick and has cost them a lot of money. Complained that PACC allowed them to adopt a sick dog and is only willing to euthanize.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Course/Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dr. Wilcox spoke to owner; dog taken by rescue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-23-14</td>
<td>Call to Mr. Janes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Complaint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>An owned dog from a complicated family went missing; ended up with PACC; and was adopted by a different family.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Course/Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ms. Barney arranged for return of dog to original family and the second family accepted another pet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Owner called back to commend Ms. Barney for all she did to remedy the situation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letter dated 9-15-14</td>
<td>Commendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dog was missing for three days. Owner came to PACC every day and was treated professionally. Dog found by Officer Tovar who reunited the animal with owners the same day.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I contacted and advised her we will send an animal waste (pooper scooper) complaint letter to the animal owner. I provided her with my direct line should the problem continue after the letter is sent and received. She understood and thanked me.

Jose Chavez
Enforcement Operations Manager
Pima Animal Care Center
Phone (520) 243-5913

Thank you so much for all your help!! Have a wonderful day!

Heileen


Good afternoon Ms. Evans, a supervisor will contact as soon as possible to assist her with her complaint.

Additionally, we will review our records and provide you an update.

Respectfully,

Kim

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE

From: Heileen Evans [mailto:Heileen.Evans@tucsonaz.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, September 03, 2014 4:16 PM
To: Kim Janes  
Cc: Mark Kerr; Melinda Jacobs; Richard G. Fimbres  
Subject:  

Good Afternoon Mr. Janes,  

...called the Ward 5 Council Office regarding dog feces near her mobile home park. According to ...a women walks her large dog around her property and does not pick up after him. The feces are located on the north corner of the fence by the vacant lot. ....stated she is tired of having to pick up after the dog and has tried contacting Animal Control several times and has never received a call back. Mr. Janes is there any update on this issue? I apologize in advance for any inconvenience I may have caused.  

Thank you! 
Heileen  

Heileen Evans  
Office Assistant  
Councilmember Richard G. Fimbres  
Ward 5 Council Office  
4300 S. Park Avenue  
Tucson, AZ 85714  
(520) 791-4231
On 9-11-14 an officer made contact with several neighbors who complained about the dogs running loose from The dog owner was contacted and informed of the complaints made from the surrounding neighbors. The officer inspected the yard and found the two pitbull dogs contained inside their confinement. The owner was issued citations for one dog not having a current license and vaccinations.

---
Jose

-----Original Message-----
From: Jose Chavez
Sent: Thursday, September 11, 2014 4:44 PM
To: Evangelina Quihuis
Cc: Kim Janes; Kristin Barney
Subject: RE: lose pit bull

Good afternoon Angelina,

I found a call listed as which is most likely . and the complaint might have given the incorrect address. I will have an officer respond. I will provide you with the outcome.

Jose

-----Original Message-----
From: Evangelina Quihuis
Sent: Thursday, September 11, 2014 3:40 PM
To: Jose Chavez
Cc: Kim Janes
Subject: lose pit bull

Good afternoon Jose could you please check on this loose pit bull it is running amuck the neighborhood and they are scared. Apparently the neighbors have called PCAC several times regarding this dog and nothing has been done the neighbors are scared the address is . Let me know the outcome thanks Jose.

Evangelina Quihuis (Angie)
Special Staff Assistant
Pima County Board of Supervisors
Supervisor Richard Elias
130 W. Congress St., 11th floor
520-724-8126
Jose Chavez
Thursday, October 02, 2014 3:51 PM
Michael Schlueter
RE: Situations on two houses on

An officer responded on 9-15-14 found no one home the property appeared to be cleaned up and there were several dogs on the property that appeared healthy. The complaint is still in our traffic to make contact with an owner.

Jose
-----Original Message-----
From: Michael Schlueter
Sent: Thursday, October 02, 2014 3:22 PM
To: Jose Chavez
Subject: FW: Situations on two houses on

How did this end up?

-----Original Message-----
From: Kim Janes
Sent: Monday, September 15, 2014 1:39 PM
To: Jose Chavez
Cc: Kristin Barney; Robert Hendrix; Michael Schlueter
Subject: RE: Situations on two houses on

Thanks Jose.

Kim

-----Original Message-----
From: Jose Chavez
Sent: Monday, September 15, 2014 1:23 PM
To: Kim Janes
Cc: Kristin Barney; Robert Hendrix; Michael Schlueter
Subject: RE: Situations on two houses on

I will have an officer conduct a welfare investigation on 1832 S. Norris.

Jose

-----Original Message-----
From: Kim Janes
Sent: Monday, September 15, 2014 1:08 PM
To: Jose Chavez
Cc: Kristin Barney; Robert Hendrix; Michael Schlueter
Subject: FW: Situations on two houses on

FYI
Kim

-----Original Message-----
From:
Sent: Monday, September 15, 2014 11:54 AM
To: Michael Wyneken; Mark Kerr
Cc: Richard G. Fimbres; Kim Janes
Subject: Re: Situations on two houses on

Junk and doggies in front yard. Was afraid to go into the back, but I do have photos.

---- Michael Wyneken <Michael.Wyneken@tucsonaz.gov> wrote:
Thanks Mark.

Michael W. Wyneken, AICP
City of Tucson
Planning & Development Services
Interim Code Enforcement Administrator
520.837.5005

>>> Mark Kerr 9/15/2014 11:35 AM >>>
Kim and Michael:

.. called the Ward 5 office about the following situations at two homes on

. overgrown weeds and various native foliage, trees, rattle snake sighted and junk
. five dogs and ticks in back yard, there is trash, garbage in front yard.

Thank you.
Called owner tonight, spoke W/at length. Owner said she called many extensions repeatedly and most staff said to just bring back for euthanasia (but not seek free vet visit?!). D. Miranda gave misinformation of disbanded rescue groups with disconnected phone #. Uncertain if dog has parvo, distemper, neither/both?. Pup was ultimately taken by rescue in PACC parking lot: with "Little dog rescue"(??). I instructed owner to contact rescue with my concern and have rescue contact me. She said she would call after hanging up with me; got no return call.

Owner was happy to hear a thorough description of possible diagnoses, treatment options, prognoses. Will be getting resident animals vaccinated, she said.

Dr.J

What do you think we should do?

Good Morning,

I had a message left on my voice mail yesterday afternoon by . This is the third or fourth message she left for a call back yesterday. Her number is 520 488-2516. They adopted a puppy about 3 weeks ago and were told the puppy would be a little down because of the neuter surgery. The puppy has parvo and pneumonia and they have spent hundreds of dollars try to keep the puppy alive. She said it is unacceptable they were given a sick dog and has a complaint that all PACC is willing to do is to euthanize the puppy. She would like a call back and would like to speak to someone who is willing to help! Thank you.

Michelle Moore
Pima Animal Care Center, 4000 N. Silverbell Rd., Tucson, AZ 85745
PH: 520 243-5934, FAX: 520 243-5954
And now for the rest of the story.

On or about Oct. 1, referred to Kristin as an angel and absolutely the right person for her job.

Kristin had worked with the adopters to return Gizmo so he could be returned to the family. Kristin and staff also worked to find an acceptable alternative pet for the adopter. They too went away happy.

Thanks.

Kim

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE

---

From: Kim Janes
Sent: Tuesday, September 23, 2014 10:35 AM
To: Kristin Barney
Cc: Marcy Flanagan
Cc: Marcy Flanagan
Subject: RE: A494375

Good morning Kristin, has just contacted me and I advised her that you are in charge at PACC and she prefers talking directly with you. She did correct her number, it is

Please let me know if I can do anything further for *

Respectfully,

Kim

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE
Good morning Kristin, just to make sure you have all that reported to me.

reports that on Sept 4 her special needs step son and his dog, now called Gizmo, were at his biological mother’s home when Gizmo went missing.

According to , shortly after he went missing, a woman named found Gizmo and called us with a found report. The report included information about the dogs collar to include references to monster. On Monday, Sept. 11 the dog went missing from place.

According to our records, Gizmo was turned in to us as a stray from the N Swan and E Broadway area.

In addition to the found report, continued to search for the dog to include contacting us several times.

also began calling and came to PACC to look for Gizmo on or after Wed. Sept 11.

came again on Saturday Sept 13, found the card that mentioned monster information on the dogs collar and began looking for the dog. She was informed that dog was at an offsite for adoption. She went to the offsite and explained her story to Ellie. According to , was told that maybe the boy should not have a dog or words to that effect, that the dog had been sent to another offsite location and that another potential owner had already been sent that way and the dog was probably already adopted. According to , Ellie did not do anything to find or intercede in the situation.

then called the Center to talk with Jose O or Justin. Justin called her back and offered to call the new owners.

On Saturday, called the new owners who stated they would have a family counsel and call back. Apparently they have not called back.

was not called back. Tried again and Jose C. called her back on Monday night.

She reports between her and probably 30 calls were made and she only heard the one time from Justin and then from Jose C.

She did mention feeling she could not now believe anything we say and wonders if we even contacted the new owners and is considering calling 9 on your side.

She related this to me yesterday, wants her dog back as well as a call back at from you or I as well as one of us to talk with.

As any corrective action or additional steps are your call, I think is best that I get out of the middle.

If you would like, I can call back today to let her know you will be contacting her and leave her with you contact information.

Respectfully,
Dear Pima Animal Control Facility Personnel:

On behalf of myself, Suzi, and my caretakers, I want to thank you for the very caring and professional services you provided during the time I went missing at noon on 9.10 and was found near Grant and Dodge about 7:30 a.m. on 9.13. I contacted you right away when it was apparent I had left the neighborhood on 9.10 and visited your facility each day during that period to make sure I wasn't there. He was always treated in a very professional and caring manner during this very stressful time.

We are all very grateful to Officer Robert Tovar who noticed, as he was driving his truck around Saturday morning, that it appeared I was lost and disorientated. Since I was so tired and my paw pads were very sore, he was able to get me into the truck and then scanned for my microchip. By 8 a.m. your animal control office notified that I had been found and he could meet Officer Tovar and his truck at the care facility so I could be transferred to Ed's care. As Officer Tovar opened the door to my compartment and I could see , as she was right there, I gave her a big kiss.

I am slowly recovering from my 3 days on the run. I wish I could tell you what happened during my journey so many miles from my home, but we are all very grateful that prayers were answered and that somehow I was not killed or injured during this time. Of course I am a Shiba Inu and can be very resourceful so that may have helped.

Again, thank you so much and enclosed is a donation to help with the services you provide to all the distressed animal population who come your way.

With Love from.... Suzi (and of course 9.15.14)