1. Call to Order

Mr. Neuman called the meeting to order at 5:31 pm

- Attendance

Present:
Tamara Barrick, Foundation for Animals in Risk
Nancy Emptage, Vice-Chair, Animal Welfare Coalition
Pat Hubbard, Humane Society of Southern Arizona
Pat Jacobs, Tucson Kennel Club
Sophia Kaluzniacki, DVM, ASPCA of AZ, Inc
Derek Marshall, Public Education
Jack Neuman, Chair, PACC Volunteers
Erin O'Donnell, DVM, Southern AZ Veterinary Medical Association
Jane Schwerin, People for Animals in the Prevention of Cruelty and Neglect
Gail Smith, MD, Board of Health
Kim Janes, Pima Animal Care Center, Ex-Officio

Absent:
Angela Spencer, City of Tucson

- Pledge of Allegiance

2. Adoption of the Minutes of the June 19, 2014 Meeting

Mr. Neuman produced a page of edits from Ms. Neuman regarding her call to the audience comments. Mr. Janes said we should double check the edits against the recording.

The motion was made and seconded (Hubbard/Kaluzniacki) that the June 19, 2014 Minutes be adopted as written with the incorporation of Ms. Neuman’s edits after review against the meeting recordings. Mr. Jacobs objected to the motion saying neither the public nor the Committee have had access to the corrections. Mr. Neuman then read the edits. The motion carried 9-0. Mr. Jacobs abstained from the vote.

3. Call to the Audience

There were no speakers from the audience.

4. Manager’s Report

Kim Janes, Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) Chief of External Affairs, offered no comments on the manager’s report.

5. Old Business

- Representative of the Disabled Community on the Committee
Mr. Janes said Ms. Helen Mendelsohn was one of four individuals who had expressed interest in filling the position on the Committee. The other three dropped out; Ms. Mendelsohn was present; and her letter of interest was in the meeting packet. Mr. Janes offered that the Committee could vote on whether to approve of Ms. Mendelsohn being part of the Committee, pending approval by the Board of Supervisors, or re-solicit interest. His recommendation was to approve adding Ms. Mendelsohn to the Committee.

The motion was made and seconded (Kaluzniacki/Hubbard) that the Committee approve of Ms. Mendelsohn as a member of the Committee, pending approval by the Board of Supervisors. The motion carried 10-0.

- Ideas to Increase the Number of Pima Animal Care Center Officers

There was discussion that there had been a recommendation to increase the number of animal care officers, by four positions. Mr. Janes indicated the recommendation was taken to the chain of command and it is under consideration, but no action has been taken yet. He said he would communicate with the Director about the Committee’s desire to get the staff added as soon as possible.

- Proposed Ordinance Change to Amend Pima County Code 6.04.180 Adding Further Conditions For Releasing Pets

Mr. Neuman pointed out this ordinance was not voted on by the Board of Supervisors. Mr. Janes expressed appreciation for work by Ms. Emptage, Ms. Schwerin and the County Attorney’s Office on the ordinance. He added that he originally was under the impression that the ordinance itself had to be advertised at least 15 days prior to it being heard by the Board of Supervisors, but now understands it is the hearing to discuss the ordinance that must be advertised; therefore there is still some time to fine tune the ordinance before it goes to the Board. The version provided in the meeting packet is trimmed down from the version voted on at the last Committee meeting and was approved by the County Attorney’s Office. Mr. Janes pointed out that the Best Friends community cat project (also voted on at the last meeting), with its $900,000 worth of resources over three years, is tied to the ordinance change in that the new code would allow for participation while the current disposition of animals code does not.

Mr. Neuman provided one page of alternative ordinance language he said he received from Mr. Bagwell in Supervisor Elias’ office. The page was titled Jane Schwerin’s Proposed Subsection B. Ms. Schwerin verbally expressed two minor edits to have the paragraph read as follows.

B. As a condition of any transfer of animals under Subsection A.3., the Pima Animal Care Center shall verify that any organization that receives animals is organized for the pursuit of animal welfare activities, is actively engaged in those activities, does not breed or release unsterilized animals, releases animals only through community cat programs or through adoption into suitable homes after first being sterilized, and complies with the sterilization, placement, and all other applicable provisions of this section, this chapter, this title and other applicable laws. Such verification shall include announced and unannounced inspections of the organization’s facilities and records. The Pima Animal Care Center may repossess any animals and their offspring from any organization that is not in compliance with these conditions, and shall repossess these animals if the organization is not in compliance with the mandates set forth in Subsection A.3., or if the organization or its personnel violate a cruelty law. Any organization wishing to receive animals must agree in writing to the terms of this Section.
Ms. Schwerin went over her version. She was against the use of the word “primarily” in the version provided by staff, wherein it stated, “primarily organized for the pursuit of animal welfare activities.” She said cautioned that we don’t know what other activities would be. She pointed out the language prohibiting organizations that breed animals from receiving PACC animals, saying this is to prevent groups from keeping and breeding animals from PACC for a number of years before eventually sterilizing them and placing them in a suitable home. The language only allows rescue organizations to release sterilized animals and only allows for release of animals to a suitable home or through a community cat program. This is designed to prevent, for one example, the release for the purpose of veterinary training. She also stressed the “shall repossess” portion of the language.

Discussion brought out that a number of groups do both rescue and breeding activities. The aforementioned ordinance language would not allow transfer of PACC animals to organizations doing both. Ms. Emptage said this provision is to prevent breeders from retrieving an animal unaltered under the guise of being a rescue foster. There were questions and speculations about whether or not individuals who are employees of, or volunteers with rescue organizations are allowed to breed animals independent of the organization without disqualifying the organization under the “not breed” requirement. In response to a question Mr. Janes said currently PACC alters all the animals possible prior to placing them with a rescue group. However, if the animal is not altered, it is stipulated in writing that the rescue organization will rehabilitate the animal and alter it as soon as possible, and not allow it to become pregnant. In response to another question Mr. Janes indicated there are several breed specific rescue organizations that PACC has been working with.

The motion was made and seconded (Hubbard/Smith) that the Committee approve of the ordinance as edited by Ms. Schwerin. The motion carried (10-0).

6. New Business

   • Free Adoptions

Mr. Neuman said there had been a lot of discussion by the volunteers about free adoptions and he had printed out about 15 to 20 pages of comments regarding free adoptions; most comments were not in favor of free adoptions. Comments include that such a practice would diminish the value of the animals. Mr. Neuman said he intended to circulate the comments to the other Committee members.

Mr. Neuman added that there recently was a meeting which included the Adoption Coordinator (Ellie Beaubien) and the volunteer Adoption Counselors. He said he received feedback from approximately 17 of the volunteer Adoption Counselors who attended. He referred to the adoption survey which asks things like do you have another dog and do you have a fenced yard. He then pointed out that when an Adoption Counselor tries to say a certain animal is not a good fit based on survey information, they are told to let the animal go to the adopter, because the individual is cleared for an animal. Mr. Neuman suggested there could be negative consequences resulting from this practice, such as returned dogs or a dog jumping a fence and then biting someone. He questioned what the purpose of the survey is if it’s not being used to
match individuals to appropriate dogs. He said that the Adoption Counselors are not in concert with Adoption Coordinator. Ms. Schwerin pointed out that the code calls for placement in a “suitable home;” therefore the code allows for determination of suitable or unsuitable matches.

During the Adoption Coordinator / Adoption Counselors discussion Mr. Jacobs made a point to object to the discussion, questioning what it had to do with free adoptions or any other item on the agenda.

- Customer Service

Mr. Newman said he had placed this item on the agenda, but now wishes to table the item.

- Progress Report-Shelter Managers-Chief of External Affairs, Chief of Operations, Shelter Manager

Mr. Neuman requested Mr. Janes talk about his progress report. Mr. Janes referred to the document dated July 14, 2014 regarding the July 17, 2014 Advisory Committee Agenda Items. Mr. Janes said the document was in response to requests and agenda items. The ordinance was already discussed under old business. Mr. Janes discussed the leadership modification by referring to an updated organizational chart for PACC, as well as Functional Job Descriptions for the Chief of External Affairs, the Chief of Operations and the Shelter Operations Manager, all provided to the Committee (all attached). He pointed out the significant accomplishments section (page 2) of the July 14 document and read the section about key events facing the Center (page 2).

Mr. Neuman said he had asked for an update as to what it was that had occurred as a result of splitting up the shelter manager’s position three different ways into the positions currently held by Mr. Janes, Ms. Barney and Mr. Ocano. Mr. Janes stated that Mr. Ocano’s job didn’t change and that prior to the leadership modification Mr. Janes was not doing any of Mr. Ocano’s current job duties. Mr. Janes said Mr. Ocano manages the shelter section, not the Center. The animal care center manager position was split in two with Mr. Janes being assigned by the Health Department Director as retaining the ex-officio member position on the Advisory Committee. Mr. Janes said that an accomplishment relating to the leadership split, from his perspective, is that he was able to devote appropriate attention to the ordinance change, which he would not have been able to do while dealing with the day to day operations of the Center. He added that interactions with cities and towns are now getting necessary attention as well.

Mr. Neuman asserted that many of the accomplishments cited in the report largely have been due to volunteers. He continued that items in the list don’t address issues the Committee has been talking about for almost four years. He passed out a list entitled Lack of PACC Support and Action, (attached) which included 22 items. He said he walked through PACC today and it looked good, but that was because staff knew Committee members were doing a walk through. The 22 items were things he said haven’t been corrected in four years.

Mr. Neuman passed out a written motion (attached) to permanently remedy the restated 22 items within 60 days. The motion also addressed an extension, if required.

Mr. Jacobs asked what agenda item the motion was related to, to which Mr. Neuman replied to the Advisory Committee Executive Secretary’s memorandum meeting feedback and update which included the accomplishments of the three different positions, Mr. Ocano’s, Ms. Barney’s and Mr. Janes positions. Mr. Jacobs expressed concern in that he felt the public should have had 24 hours’ notice of the proposed action.
There was discussion back and forth as to whether or not to proceed or delay the motion to the next month’s meeting. Discussion also touched on Ms. Barney not attending meetings and possibly requesting she attend the next meeting. Mr. Janes said he would pass on any such a request, if made, to the Director for consideration.

The written motion made by Mr. Neuman was seconded by Dr. Kaluzniacki. The motion carried (9-0). Mr. Jacobs abstained from the vote.

A motion was made and seconded (Marshall/Kaluzniacki) that Ms. Barney and Mr. Ocano be present at the next Advisory Committee meeting to discuss an update on the previous motion of 22 items. The motion carried (9-0). Mr. Jacobs abstained from the vote, saying he believed the action violated open meeting law.

After the vote Mr. Neuman said the meeting will be “here” (at the regular PACC venue). Discussion then turned to the possibility of taking the Committee’s objection to Ms. Barney not attending the Committee meetings as requested, to the Board of Supervisors; the Committee’s reporting to the Board in general; and who sees the Committee’s draft Board of Supervisors report prior to it being signed. Mr. Janes cautioned that electronic exchanges of information back and forth involving the entire Committee could be considered holding meetings without announcing them.

- **Update on Volunteer Program**

  Mr. Janes had provided a document (attached) for this agenda item. There was no discussion on this item.

- **Advisory Committee Executive Secretary’s July 1, 2014 memorandum RE: June 2014 Meeting Feedback and Update**

  Mr. Janes had provided a document (attached) dated July 1, 2014 on this item. There was no discussion.

- **Mandatory Spay / Neuter**

  Ms. Emptage said she had placed this item on the agenda, but now wishes to shelf the item. Ms. Hubbard pointed out that members of the audience came to speak regarding this agenda item. Ms. Schwerin requested the item be put back on the agenda. Mr. Neuman said members of the audience may speak on the topic at the second call to the audience.

- **Animal Care Center Related Road Signage**

  Mr. Marshall said that per the Department of Transportation (DOT) between 140,000 and 180,000 cars travel on Interstate 10 (I10) by exit 252 (El Camino del Cerro) daily and he was interested in getting PACC signage on I10 on either side of that exit. He added that there already is a sign on El Camino del Cerro directing drivers to PACC. Mr. Janes’ office had already done some research on this and DOT said PACC did not meet their criteria for signage; however, there is a process to appeal for an exception which Mr. Janes’ office will look into.

7. **Animal Welfare, Dangerous Animal Cases and Holds for the Month of June**

   There was no discussion on this item.
8. **Donations:** 1,301 individuals gave a total of $30,389 during the month of June.

   Mr. Neuman referred to the June donations breakdown and asked where the general donations dollars, $12,833.35 in June, go. Mr. Janes said he would have to look it up specifically, but much of that amount, over $7,500, went for a washer and dryer. He added that $2,000 was a small grant that went for advertising. He discussed that general donations dollars are used for whatever the need is and could be used a few months later, with expanded medical expenses often being the target of these funds.

9. **Complaints and Commendations:** Three complaints and one commendation were received by staff during June.

   Ms. Emptage made it a point to commend Mr. Janes for his work, even while on vacation, on the ordinance. There was no other discussion on this item.

10. **Call to the Audience**

    There were five speakers from the audience: Sara Dent, Michael Santos, Jessica Shuman, Helen Mendelsohn and Marcie Velen.

    Ms. Dent identified herself as a concerned citizen, attorney, animal person and rescue board member. She spoke against mandatory spay and neuter (SN) programs, saying they haven’t succeeded in reducing shelter intakes and are not supported by any major animal welfare agencies, including Best Friends. She does, however, support low cost SN programs. She contended that mandatory SN programs punish the poor and that pet owners primarily do not alter their animals due to lack of resources, not due to obstinacy or by choice. She added that mandatory SN is hard to enforce and a waste of PACC’s limited resources. Ms. Dent said the ordinance seems unnecessary and seems to be cutting off resources when agreements are already in place. She also discussed that breeders would not use an un-papered shelter animal for breeding.

    Mr. Santos identified himself as the founder and President of All American Bully Buddies Rescue, and former board member and current volunteer with No Kill Pima County. He said Ms. Dent addressed most of his issues, but added that mandatory SN often targets specific breeds, more often than not, pit bulls. He said breed discriminatory legislation will be met with considerable opposition.

    Ms. Shuman introduced herself as the Director of Tucson Cares, an animal welfare and advocacy organization, a board member with No Kill Pima County, a PACC volunteer, and Chair of the Trap, Neuter Return Committee of the Pima Alliance for Animal Welfare. She encourage the Advisory Committee to do research before considering things such as mandatory SN programs, which she said increase impounds and owner relinquishments, which then increases shelter killing. She said that free adoptions moves animals out of the shelter. She used the word “shameful” to express her opinion of the few dissenting Trap Neuter Release votes (June meeting), citing the program as lifesaving and pointing out PACC’s lack of resources for meeting community needs. Ms. Shuman said she appreciates the suggestions to help dogs at PACC, but said that cat conditions are often overlooked and need improvement also. She requested cats be on the agenda. She suggested the Committee offer solutions, not just demands; cautioned that low resources make issues hard to address; and cautioned that pressure to achieve certain improvements could result in what she called heavy handed marking. She went on to give examples of approximately eight animals that were marked for euthanasia for different reasons that included hair loss, pregnancy, jumping a fence, being overweight and ringworm.
Ms. Mendelsohn referred to her service dog, Hope, and said Hope was a rescue animal from a rescue that also breeds. She said the breeder would not use a rescue animal for breeding. She encouraged the Committee to let more rescue groups take animals (from PACC) or there will be more euthanasia.

Ms. Velen said there are a number of issues that different animal welfare agencies don’t agree on, but they all agree that mandatory SN is not a solution. She said mandatory SN makes people afraid to bring in their animals for vaccinations and veterinary care; drives down licensing; increases euthanasia; and is difficult to enforce. She added that the ordinance got out of hand. She said it would be nice for the list of 22 items to be on the agenda, but added that the cat conditions are worse.

11. **Announcements and Schedules**

   Mr. Janes said PACC’s Christmas in July adoption event will be this Saturday, and added that the Center is attempting to have 90 percent of the adoptable animals altered for the event, so that the animals are ready to go to their new homes.

12. **Next Meeting – August 21, 2014**

   There was no discussion on this item.

13. **Adjournment**

   The meeting adjourned at 7:47 pm
NOTICE
PUBLIC MEETING OF THE
PIMA COUNTY ANIMAL CARE ADVISORY COMMITTEE
July 17, 2014 – 5:30 p.m.
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Road
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Admin Building
(520) 243-7729

Functions of the Committee
1. Serve in an advisory capacity to the Board, and to the Manager of the Pima Animal Care Center; and
2. Review and evaluate the operations of the Center to make recommendations in writing to the Board for the formulation of guidelines to assure that:
   A. The Center's operations are conducted in the best interest of the public health and safety; and
   B. The Center keeps pace with the most modern practices and procedures of animal care and welfare; and
3. Review complaints from the public concerning policies of the Center and make recommendations for resolution to the proper authority.

AGENDA

1. Call to Order
   • Roll Call
   • Establishment of Quorum and Pledge of Allegiance
2. Adoption of the Minutes of the June 19, 2014 Meeting
3. Call to the Audience
4. Manager’s Report
5. Old Business
   • Representative of the Disabled Community on the Committee
   • Ideas to Increase the Number of Pima Animal Care Center Officers
   • Proposed Ordinance Change to Amend Pima County Code 6.04.180 Adding Further Conditions For Releasing Pets
   • Schedule, Number and Training of DOC Inmates
6. New Business
   • Free Adoptions
   • Customer Service
   • Progress Report-Shelter Managers-Chief of External Affairs, Chief of Operations, Shelter Manager
   • Update on Volunteer Program
   • Advisory Committee Executive Secretary’s July 1, 2014 memorandum RE: June 2014 Meeting Feedback and Update
   • Mandatory Spay / Neuter
   • Animal Care Center Related Road Signage
7. Animal Welfare, Dangerous Animal Cases and Holds for the Month of June

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Welfare</th>
<th>Dangerous Dogs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A14-147871</td>
<td>A14-148010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A14-149258</td>
<td>A14-149591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A14-147164</td>
<td>A14-149947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A14-148758</td>
<td>A14-149815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A14-148624</td>
<td>A14-150230</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. Donations: A total of 1,301 individuals gave a total of $30,389 in donations during the month of June.
9. Complaints and Commendations: Five complaints and one commendation were received by staff during June.
10. Call to the Audience
11. Announcements, Schedules and Proposed Agenda Items
12. Next Meeting – August 21, 2014
13. Adjournment

Copies of this agenda are available upon request at the Pima County Health Department, 3950 S. Country Club Road, by calling 243-7729 or at www.pima.gov/animalcare.

Should you require ADA accommodations, please contact the Pima County Health Department at 243-7729 five (5) days prior to the meeting.
1. **Call to Order**
   
   Mr. Neuman called the meeting to order at 5:35 pm

   - Attendance

   Present:
   Tamara Barrick, Foundation for Animals in Risk
   Nancy Emptage, Vice-Chair, Animal Welfare Coalition
   Pat Hubbard, Humane Society of Southern Arizona
   Derek Marshall, Public Education
   Jack Neuman, Chair, PACC Volunteers
   Erin O'Donnell, DVM, Southern AZ Veterinary Medical Association
   Jane Schwerin, People for Animals in the Prevention of Cruelty and Neglect
   Kim Janes, Pima Animal Care Center, Ex-Offico

   Absent:
   Sophia Kaluzniacki, DVM, ASPCA of AZ, Inc
   Gail Smith, MD, Board of Health
   Angela Spencer, City of Tucson

   - Pledge of Allegiance

2. **Adoption of the Minutes of the May 15, 2014 Meeting**

   After a brief discussion, the motion was made and seconded (Marshall/Hubbard) that the May 15, 2014 Minutes be adopted as written. The motion carried 6-0. Ms. Schwerin abstained from the vote.

3. **Call to the Audience**

   There were no speakers from the audience.

4. **Manager’s Report**

   Kim Janes, Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) Chief of External Affairs, addressed the Committee. Mr. Janes’ new assistant Michael Schlueter was reintroduced and venue specific information was shared. Mr. Janes talked about the three-month ASPCA Rachel Ray Challenge. Last year PACC had 3,046 live releases during the same time frame and needs a daily average of 33.11 to achieve that total again. PACC is averaging 42.9 per day during the first 18 days of the Challenge, but would need 54.35 per day to achieve the goal of 5,000 by the end of August. During May 2014 PACC had a live release rate of 80 percent, with a year-to-date rate of 76 percent. In March 2014 the average live release rate was 35.97; in April it was 33; and in May it was 41.2. Discussion turned toward grading performance in the ASPCA Rachel Ray Challenge. There are five divisions in the Challenge. In each division the best at improving live releases, according to their formula, is awarded $25,000; best overall is worth $100,000; and there are other monetary incentives. Discussion brought out that PACC’s average cost per outcome is approximately $115, per Mr. Janes.
5. **Old Business**

- **Ideas to Increase the Number of Pima Animal Care Center Officers**

Mr. Neuman discussed that enforcement numbers are down. Mr. Janes responded that there have been less welfare responses; however, they have been more complicated and time consuming. Item to be continued to next meeting.

- **Schedule, Number and Training of Department of Corrections Inmates**

Mr. Janes reported that the current contract with the Arizona Department of Corrections (DOC) calls for 14 inmates in the morning and eight in the afternoon, but DOC has struggled to provide these numbers and the typical crews are 10 or 11 in the morning and six or seven in the afternoon. Staff is exploring options, including County inmates, to provide a sufficient cleaning workforce. Mr. Neuman asserted that every kennel is not being cleaned every day, stating that recently a number of times in the B Room animals were missed, not getting their kennel cleaned and not getting fed. Mr. Janes stressed that the standard is that animals are fed every day and have their kennel cleaned at least once per day; and he encouraged volunteers to continue to bring these issues up as they see them. Mr. Neuman commented that Ms. Kristen Barney, Chief of Operations, told him that staff cannot get their jobs done because of numerous interruptions from volunteers. He expressed concern that he doesn’t want an “us versus them” dynamic between volunteers and staff. Ms. Emptage interjected that she has recently received a large volume of e-mails from volunteers, and requested that the volunteers go through Mr. Neuman as their representative in lieu of multiple members of the Committee.

- **Tucson Kennel Club Advisory Committee Representative Pat Jacobs**

Mr. Janes indicated that the Tucson Kennel Club has officially designated Mr. Jacobs as their representative on the Committee, and his appointment information has been sent to the Clerk of the Board for the Board of Supervisors’ ratification of appointment at their July 1 meeting. Once appointed by the Board and Mr. Jacobs completes the Loyalty Oath of Office, he will be an official member of the Committee. Mr. Jacobs was present for the meeting.

6. **New Business**

- **Committee Access to the Pima Animal Care Center Manager**

This item was brought up by Mr. Neuman (after the Pledge of Allegiance) in relationship to attendance. He asserted that the actual manager of PACC is not present for the Advisory Committee meeting. Recently PACC managerial duties were split between Mr. Janes and Ms. Barney. Mr. Neuman, referring to the by-laws, cited that the Committee serves in an advisory capacity to the Manager of the Pima Animal Care Center, who is designated to serve as an ex-officio member of the Committee, and therefore contended that Ms. Barney, who is the active manager at PACC, should be present for Committee meetings. Committee members expressed concern that answers to questions could take a long time in the current managerial dynamic. Mr. Janes said there should be no difference in answer flow, in that if an answer is available it is given, and if an answer is not known immediately, then it will be researched and brought to the Committee. He also asserted that he is the PACC Manager for the purposes of the Committee, as assigned when the duties were split.

The motion was made and seconded (Schwerin/Marshall) that the Committee requests Ms. Barney attend the Committee meetings. The motion carried 7-0.
• **Advisory Committee Meeting Venue**

Ms. Emptage bought up that she felt the Committee meetings should be held at PACC. Mr. Janes pointed out that changes are being made, with forums and discussions being held about animal welfare, not just inside the PACC facility, but in all areas. He continued that he is open to discussion on the Committee’s role and input.

The motion was made and seconded (Neuman/Hubbard) that the primary Committee meeting location be at PACC, except when circumstances warrant differently. The motion carried 7-0.

• **Staff Requesting Committee Support of Community Cat Project Contract**

Mr. Janes indicated staff is in the final stages of forming an agreement with Best Friends Animal Society to provide for an infrastructure for a community cat trap, neuter and return (TNR) project, which is a shift from a trap and kill route. Best Friends will trap and receive community cats brought to the shelter, take them to be neutered and return them to where they came from. Ms. Emptage expressed concern for cats being returned to areas where homeowners do not want the cats present. Mr. Janes said the program includes an infrastructure to help address community concerns.

The motion was made and seconded (Hubbard/Neuman) that the Committee support this project contract. The motion carried 5-2 (Emptage, Schwerin – opposed).

Ms. Emptage requested mandatory spay and neuter be an agenda item at the next meeting.

• **Staff Requesting Committee Support of Proposed Ordinance Change to Amend Pima County Code 6.04.090 and 6.04.180 to Allow Release of Unclaimed, Unaltered Animals to a Duly Incorporated Humane Society or Other Nonprofit Corporate Organization Devoted to the Welfare, Protection and Humane Treatment of Animals**

Mr. Janes indicated the Pima County Attorney’s office requested that the code be change to allow for unaltered animals to be transferred to a humane society or other nonprofit animal welfare organization, subject to the same animal altering requirements. Currently the only outcomes specified in code are adoption and euthanasia; and currently the code does not allow for unaltered animals to leave the facility. The change streamlines and cleans up the release of animals to rescue partners. Ms. Emptage voiced concern that in the past a rescue organization provided animals for veterinary training, which is not placing an animal in a suitable home. Mr. Janes pointed out that neither the current code nor the proposed ordinance can prevent that potential problem, and if such a practice is discovered, then PACC would cease its relationship with the organization. Ms. Schwerin expressed concern that ownership is transferred without alteration; there is no proof of sterilization; no inspection of records; no monitoring or inspection. In response to a question Mr. Janes said there is a process to confirm the organization is valid, but it doesn’t involve inspection. It was also pointed out that Ms. Schwerin is reworking these codes and will have a proposal in the near future. Mr. Janes requested the committee move to recommend the proposed ordinance to the Board of Supervisors.

The motion was made and seconded (Hubbard/O'Donnell) that the Committee move to recommend the proposed ordinance as requested by Mr. Janes. The motion carried 4-3: (Barrick, Hubbard, Marshall, O'Donnell – for) (Emptage, Neuman, Schwerin – opposed).

• **At Large Animal Attacks and Vet Care**

Ms. Emptage shared knowledge of a number of instances where individuals are walking their dogs on a leash when an at-large dog, typically pit bull or sheppard mix, attacks the owned dog. The attacking dog runs away. She voiced concern over the veterinary costs for the dog owners and the process to hold dog owners responsible for expenses caused by their loose dog. She posed the questions what can be done; what do we tell people? She indicated PACC typically does not respond to at-large dog complaints. Mr. Janes indicated PACC
responded to 57 animal attacks in May, but an in-progress attack is more of a priority than one that is over. Ms. Emptage asked about the national standard for enforcement officers and Mr. Janes said he would seek out that information.

- Tent Utilization

Mr. Neuman inquired about tent utilization. Mr. Janes indicated there are 40 kennels not in use. The usage was cut back in response to noise complaints. Additionally in the summer heat, the temperature has also been a concern. No new tent noise complaints have been lodged in the last week, and staff is pursuing solutions to facilitate permanent full occupancy.

- Committee Recognizing Pima Animal Care Center Staff

Ms. Emptage initiated discussion, saying staff needs a pat on the pack, and suggesting some type of employee recognition. Discussion brought out that the Committee had a high level of appreciation for staff; however, although awards and recognitions lift some up, they also can draw ire from others. Mr. Janes briefly touched on the Department’s “Happy Gram” peer recognition and said he would be sure to pass on the Committee’s appreciation of staff.

- Representative of the Disabled Community on the Committee

There were no prospective representatives of the disabled community present seeking a position on the Committee. Staff will check on the individual expected to address the Committee.

- Use of Kennels (specifically change to front adoption kennels to include reasoning behind which dogs get individual kennels and plans for individual kennels for all)

Mr. Neuman spoke about the adoption row kennels that are being utilized as 18 larger two sided kennels instead of 36 kennels. He reported hearing two different reasons for this practice, one was safety and the other was dogs are more likely to be adopted out of the bigger kennels. However, he argued this practice has a negative impact in the other kennels, including safety issues and lesser likely adoptions for animals grouped together, as well as the feeding issues (next item). Mr. Janes pointed out that increased adoption numbers, from 33 per day in April, to 41 in May, to 43 so far in June, have been in conjunction with going to the practice in discussion. Mr. Neuman requested to know where the dogs were adopted from. Mr. Janes acknowledged that there is stress for the animals, but pointed out that fewer animals have to die than did six months or a year ago. He concluded by saying we do need to continue to work on this.

- Feeding of Dogs

Mr. Neuman stated that it is an ongoing practice to feed multiple dogs together when they are kept in the same kennel. He said fights break out and some animals don’t eat. Staff has informed him that the statistics don’t show dogs are fighting; however, if staff is not present to witness the fight, then it will not be recorded in the statistics. Mr. Neuman said he recently went to PACC ten times during feeding time and there were fights every time, but staff was not present to witness the fights he observed. Mr. Neuman requested this issue be addressed. Suggestions included reconsidering the kennel usage practices on adoption row (item above); rotating animals into the adoption rows kennels; and feeding dogs while on their walks.

As part of new business, Mr. Marshall requested PACC signage on Interstate 10 and the reevaluation policy for animals returned by adopters, for behavioral issues, be agenda items at the next meeting.

7. Animal Welfare, Dangerous Animal Cases and Holds for the Month of May

There was no discussion on this item.
8. **Donations:** 1,330 individuals gave a total of $24,936 during the month of May.

   Mr. Janes indicated this is only monetary donations.

9. **Complaints and Commendations:** Three complaints and one commendation were received by staff during May.

   There was no discussion on this item.

10. **Call to the Audience**

    There were three speakers from the audience: Cathy Neuman, Marcie Velen and Mariana Parker.

    Ms. Neuman said she volunteers at PACC four to five days a week and sees issues like the group feeding of dogs. She said there is a dog fight every day. Sometimes the volunteers identify a thin dog that hasn’t been eating, but sadly it takes days to notice and the dog is half starved. The group feeding practice needs to be addressed. She echoed Mr. Neuman’s comments (New Business – Use of Kennels) saying the adoption row kennel practice causes a loss of 18 kennels. She told about finding a small dog, Osito, cowering in a kennel with larger dogs. The small dog had a wrap on his mid-section. The wrap was around his penis, which was severely swollen. The dog had stitches that were ripped open and was bleeding. Ms. Neuman took Osito to Dr. Wilcox who indicated there was no record of how or why Osito was in the condition Ms. Neuman found him, and added that her intervention saved the dog’s life.

    Marcie Velen, from No Kill Pima County, said that cats, due to the layout of PACC, do not get nearly as much traffic and attention. Her point was that issues with dogs are also issues with cats; they just go unseen more. She also said she works the Help Desk and in approximately four weeks the Help Desk has successfully encouraged 45 diversions and received a matching pledge of up to $2,500 for the Help Desk.

    Mariana Parker, also a volunteer at PACC, spoke about difficulties in getting animals out to rescue groups in a timely manner. She said animals requiring medical care are not being cleared in time. Ms. Parker read a statement from a rescue partner regarding Wiggles the boxer. The rescue partner was at PACC one morning to pick up the dog and requested Wiggles’ legs get rewrapped since the dog removed the bandages and was biting at the open wounds. The person was told that it would be done in the afternoon. The person was also told she couldn’t take the animal because he wasn’t cleared by Dr. Wilcox who wasn’t present. After much effort the dog was finally released. Another example given was an attempted rescue of a cat with an injured eye. It took two weeks for the rescue group to get the cat. Mr. Parker added that there is an issue concerning watering animals in the back where water buckets are used. She said a volunteer observed an employee fill a bucket with a high powered hose through the chain link, soaking the kennel and dogs in the process. Ms. Parker requested the watering procedure be addressed to prevent this.

11. **Announcements and Schedules**

    Mr. Janes: The art auction scheduled for June 19 has been postponed. Ms. Hubbard: The Humane Society is providing a distemper/parvo vaccination clinic on June 28 on Roger Road starting at 7:00. Mr. Neuman: There is a roller derby event Saturday benefitting an animal welfare organization.

12. **Next Meeting – July 17, 2014**

    There was no discussion on this item.

13. **Adjournment**

    The meeting adjourned at 8:05 pm.
# PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
## ADVISORY COMMITTEE
### JUNE 2014 OPERATIONAL REPORT

## SHELTER OPERATIONS

### ALL ANIMALS HANDLED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>THIS MONTH</th>
<th>THIS YEAR TO DATE</th>
<th>LAST YEAR TO DATE</th>
<th>YEAR TO YEAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TUCSON</td>
<td>COUNTY</td>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>TUCSON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DOGS</strong></td>
<td>736</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>1,325</td>
<td>8,220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CATS</strong></td>
<td>457</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>734</td>
<td>4,923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OTHERS</strong></td>
<td>43</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL ANIMALS HANDLED</strong></td>
<td>1,236</td>
<td>898</td>
<td>2,134</td>
<td>13,451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Live Animals Handled</strong></td>
<td>1,126</td>
<td>837</td>
<td>1,963</td>
<td>11,998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IMPOUNDED ANIMALS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ADOPTED</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RETURNED TO OWNER</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RESCUED</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**TOTAL L...</td>
<td>717</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>1,231</td>
<td>7,439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL LIVE RELEASE RATE</strong></td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EUTHANIZED</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(-)Owner Requested Euthanasia</strong></td>
<td>142</td>
<td>2,047</td>
<td>3,084</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adjusted Total Euthanasia</strong></td>
<td>304</td>
<td>4,248</td>
<td>7,023</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>***<strong>EUTHANASIA RATE</strong></td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OTHER</strong></td>
<td>384</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>1,720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ENFORCEMENT OPERATIONS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ALTERED</strong></td>
<td>3,856</td>
<td>4,296</td>
<td>8,152</td>
<td>43,226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNALTERED</strong></td>
<td>284</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>2,947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OTHER</strong></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL SOLD</strong></td>
<td>4,209</td>
<td>4,694</td>
<td>8,903</td>
<td>47,023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Total Live Releases (TLR) = Total Adopted + Total Returned + Total Rescued
**Live Release Rate = TLR/(TLR + Adjusted Total Euthanasia)
***Euthanasia Rate = (Adjusted Total Euthanasia)/(TLR + Adjusted Total Euthanasia)
May 23, 2014

Pima Animal Care Center
Attention Michael Schlueter

Dear Mr Schlueter,

My name is Helen Mendelsohn and I am the President of TOP DOG, INC. Hope and I are a certified team (#105). We have been a team for over four years. My jobs at TOP DOG have included training director, teaching assistant, certification chairperson, demo team member, and I have also worked with fundraising.

I have been involved with dogs for many years and have earned AKC obedience titles.

Pima Animal Care Center is a large part of our community. I would like to be a part of your organization to represent all of those with service dogs in our community.

Sincerely,

Helen Mendelsohn

Helen Mendelsohn
AN ORDINANCE OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF PIMA COUNTY, ARIZONA, RELATING TO ANIMALS; AMENDING THE PIMA COUNTY CODE BY AMENDING CHAPTER 6.04, ANIMAL CONTROL REGULATIONS

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF PIMA COUNTY, ARIZONA, FINDS THAT:

1) The Board of Supervisors of Pima County, Arizona, has authority under A.R.S. § 11-1018 to adopt animal control regulations.

2) It is in the best interests of the County to reduce its homeless animal populations by amending certain provisions of the Pima County Code to permit the Pima Animal Care Center:
   a) to transfer unaltered, unclaimed animals to duly incorporated nonprofit animal welfare organizations for sterilization and adoption into suitable homes; and
   b) to participate in programs providing for the trapping, sterilization, and return of healthy community cats.

THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF PIMA COUNTY, ARIZONA:

SECTION 1. Title 6, Section 6.04.180 of the Pima County Code is amended to read as follows:

Chapter 6.04
ANIMAL CONTROL REGULATIONS

Section 6.04.180 Disposition of animals.

A. Any animal forfeited, abandoned, ownerless or unclaimed, and any other animals to be disposed of by the county enforcement agent, shall be:

1. placed by adoption in a suitable home or

2. humanely destroyed; or

3. transferred to a duly incorporated humane society or other nonprofit corporate animal-welfare organization devoted to the welfare, protection and humane treatment of animals. Transferred animals shall be placed by adoption in a suitable home after first being sterilized; or shall be humanely destroyed; or may be released as part of a community cat program.
B. The Pima Animal Care Center shall verify that any organization that receives animals under subsection (A)(3) is primarily organized for the pursuit of animal welfare activities, is actively engaged in those activities, and complies with the sterilization, placement, and all other applicable provisions of this section, this chapter, this title, and other applicable laws. Such verification shall include announced and unannounced inspections of the organization’s facilities and records. The Pima Animal Care Center may repossess any animals and their offspring from any organization that is not in compliance with these conditions, and shall repossess these animals if the organization or its personnel violate cruelty laws. Any organization wishing to receive animals must agree in writing to the terms of this subsection.

C. For the purposes of this section, a “community cat program” means a program in which healthy, free-roaming cats are sterilized, vaccinated against rabies, ear-tipped, and returned to the location where they were found.

***

SECTION 2. This Ordinance is effective 30 days after the date of adoption.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors, Pima County, Arizona, this _____ day of _______________, 2014.

Chair, Pima County Board of Supervisors      Date

ATTEST:

______________________________
Clerk of the Board
APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Deputy County Attorney
July 14, 2014

Chair and Members, Pima Animal Care Center Advisory Committee

RE: July 17, 2014 Advisory Committee Agenda Items:

• Pima County Ordinance 6.04.180
• Progress Report-Chief of External Affairs, Chief of Operations, Shelter Manager
• Volunteers

Dear Chair and Members,

An amended version of Ordinance Proposal 6.04.180 is provided for your consideration and support.

The following report and associated attachments regarding PACC status, leadership responsibilities and organization are provided for your information.

As you may recall, on May 9, 2014, Dr. Francisco Garcia contacted you to advise you of additional resources to be added to Pima Animal Care Center. In particular, he reported:

“Effective May 12, 2014, I have separated the leadership functions at PACC into two broad areas. The first is to create a position of Chief of External Affairs which will be responsible for inter-jurisdictional relationships, financial operations, external stakeholder engagement and policy development. I am grateful that Kim Janes has taken on this challenge which will call upon his vast experience building relationships with the city and towns as well as the myriad of community stakeholders. The second new role is that of Chief of Operations. This individual is tasked with day to day operational over site for PACC including shelter operations, enforcement, licensing, live release, clinical services, volunteer coordination and outreach. Kristin Barney has accepted this assignment on a temporary basis for the next six months. She is an ideal candidate for this interim position because of her previous professional work and her experience developing staff. Although their official County title will not change, their functional title and responsibilities have been redefined.”

In implementing this change, staff updated the attached organizational structure and developed the attached functional job descriptions for the Chief of Operations and the Chief of External Affairs. As you will notice, the realignment of duties bifurcated the numerous responsibilities placed on a single position through meeting the more than full time Center management needs on campus with the Chief of Operations while assigning to the Chief of External affairs the responsibility to serve with and support the Advisory Committee and other community partnerships as well as effectively manage inter-jurisdictional, external department and internal County support services necessary to keep up with the
very welcome but swiftly expanding service demands being placed on enforcement, licensing, shelter, clinic and outreach operations.

Though the transition into the new leadership structure is still a work in progress, some of the significant accomplishments include:

1. May and June monthly live release rate sustained at 80%.
2. Caring for, treating and rehabilitating record numbers of animals each day.
3. Initiated the sterilization of unaltered forfeited pets as soon as possible, also known as pre-alter, so they can go home the day they are adopted.
4. Negotiating with County Corrections to contract with it to replace current inmate and vendor cleaning crews with County inmate cleaning crew. Projected start date for County crew is no later than December 1, 2014.
5. Improved staff recognition program.
7. Successful implementation of the Challenge.
8. Added air conditioning to the tent.
9. Conducted extensive negotiations and completed the Community Cat Program contract with Best Friends Animal Society; scheduled to be presented to the Board of Supervisors at their August 5 meeting with an implementation date of September 5, 2014.
10. Developed a proposed Pima County Ordinance change to allow PACC to participate in progressive animal welfare non lethal animal management solutions. Staff is soliciting input on the change from citizens and stakeholders and is advertising in the Daily Territorial on July 18 and 21 prior to being considered by the Board of Supervisors at their August 5, 2014 regularly scheduled Board meeting.
11. Developing a comprehensive animal care ordinance change.
12. Initiated discussions with cities and towns to initiate a jurisdiction coordinating council. First meeting with city and town managers or their representatives scheduled August 27, 2014.
13. Completed a comprehensive review and update of four (4) city and town inter-governmental agreements.
14. Added recurring staff section presentations to the Advisory Committee.
15. Developing and providing Bond related background information and educational feedback to cities and towns elected officials and staffs, citizens, stakeholders and partners.

Key events facing the Center over the next few months include:

2. Initiate Community Cat Program by September 5, 2014.
3. Achieve 90% live release rate by October 2014.
4. Complete and present to the Board of Supervisors and to the cities and towns where applicable a comprehensive animal care ordinance change by January 31, 2014.
5. Collaborate with other jurisdictions to develop modern animal care statutes and implement those practices by July 2015.
6. When the Bond passes, complete design, develop and construct the new Pima Animal Care Center by November 2018.

The Health Director will reassess the effectiveness of this structure with in six months.
The attached Volunteer program data report is provided for your information.

Should you have any questions, please contact me at 243-7776 or by email at kim.janes@pima.gov

Thank you again for your service on the Committee.

Respectfully,

Foid K. Janes
Executive Secretary
Functional Job Description
Animal Care Center Chief of External Affairs
Pima Animal Care Center

SUMMARY: Chief of External Affairs is responsible for inter-jurisdictional relationships, financial operations, external stakeholder engagement and policy development.

Functions:

Plans, organizes and manages all external animal care activities including fund development, foundation support, external community and jurisdictional relations and policy development;
Serves as the Center’s Public Information Officer;
Coordinates appropriate, timely replies to elected official, contracted cities and town, special interest groups and the general public request for information or complaints;
Develops and assists stakeholders, cities, towns and partners develop new or amended animal care related legislation;
Serves as the ex officio member of the Pima Animal Care Center Advisory
Manages and monitors the Center’s budget;
Develops and completes intergovernmental agreements with cities and towns requesting PACC services;
Represents the County on animal care related initiatives and issues with cities and towns
Represents the Center at community coalition meetings and activities;
Coordinates with the Chief of Operations to investigate and resolve all complaints and claims against Pima Animal Care Center policy and/or staff members;
Manages fund development and foundation support for the Center;
Evaluates program effectiveness and recommends new or revised policies and services.

Provided to:
Supervisor: Dr. Francisco Garcia, Director

Date
Functional Job Description
Animal Care Center Chief of Operations
Pima Animal Care Center

SUMMARY: Plans, organizes and manages the day to day administrative and operational functions of animal care operations, management, services and activities within Pima County.

Functions:

Plans, organizes and manages all animal care activities including field, kennel and clinical operations, licensing activities, public education and administrative services;
Administers the County rabies control program and enforces all animal and rabies control ordinances;
Insures the safe and proper handling and care of impounded animals;
Directs and evaluates the activities of veterinarians and contracted veterinarians providing veterinary services to the County’s animal care activity/facilities;
Documents the identification of rabies in impounded or found animals, and assures proper notification to local/state health agencies, individuals who have been in contact with rabies-infected animals and their physicians and health-care agencies;
Provides information to the news media concerning animal care issues and services;
Provides input to the Chief of External Affairs as necessary to address elected officials, special interest groups and the general public in responding to questions and the development of new legislation directed at animal care issues;
May serves as ex officio member of the Pima Animal Care Center Advisory Board in the absence of the Chief of External Affairs;
May provide input to the Chief of External Affairs on City and County budgets for the Animal Care Center;
Trains supervisory personnel and evaluates the performance of professional staff;
Coordinates with the Chief of External affairs to investigate and resolve all complaints and claims against Pima Animal Care Center policy and/or staff members;
Evaluates program effectiveness and recommends new or revised policies and services.

Provided to:
Supervisor: Dr. Francisco Garcia, Director

Date

Chief of Operations
Revised: 9/2/2014
SUMMARY: Manages the operation and supervises the staff of the Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) Shelter.

Functions:

Directs, manages, supervises, coordinates and monitors all procedures involved in PACC Shelter operations including the receiving, maintenance, quarantine, fostering, euthanasia, other disposition and rabies testing of impounded animals, including all husbandry procedures to ensure humane and safe handling of all animals;
Manages PACC Shelter operations including facility maintenance, kennel and cage cleaning and maintenance, and sanitation to protect staff and public safety and the humane treatment of animals;
Insures medical directives including euthanasia, shots and micro-chipping and legal requirements are followed for animals’ intake, housing and disposition;
Manages the requisition, procurement, inventory, employment and/or use, maintenance and operator training for vehicles, materials, tools and equipment necessary for the animal shelter operations;
Recommends and monitors outside contractors providing goods and services related to animal care animal rescue and facility maintenance;
Oversees Shelter staff hiring, discipline and related personnel actions, supervises staff and evaluates performance;
Prepares and submits routine, recurring and special reports regarding work unit production and administrative needs;
Directs, plans, organizes and implements initial and recurring training for PACC Shelter staff and volunteers;
Manages, establishes, revises and implements work unit procedures and develops recommendations for policy changes for consideration by senior management;
Maintains effective and productive working relationships with volunteers, animal rescue/welfare groups, other departments and agencies to promote and increase animal adoptions;
Makes recommendations for resolution of safety problems and requisite staff safety training;
Provides information regarding animal control procedures, rules, laws, regulations and PACC Shelter operations, to staff, other agencies, the news media and the public;
Creates and maintains manual and computer-based records and databases on PACC Shelter operations and compiles, analyzes and submits routine, recurring and special reports; Investigates and recommends actions, in response to public complaints, about PACC Shelter staff actions, policies and/or procedures; Supervises, coordinates and monitors the storage, security, use and disposal of chemicals and pharmaceuticals by PACC Shelter staff and assures compliance with applicable federal, state and local laws, rules, regulations and standards; Assists in the preparation, monitoring and management of the PACC budget; May act as unit or departmental representative to various committees and working groups; May testify in court on cases pertaining to animal control activities and PACC Shelter operations; May manage, plan, organize, implement and maintain effective animal fostering and adoption programs; May act as the Center Manager or Live Release Manager in their absence; May serve as a safety officer for the Shelter, ensuring the safety of the facility and equipment, compliance with OSHA, EPA, Risk Management and other applicable rules, regulations and standards, by performing inspections, checking equipment for proper functioning and reviews accident reports.

Provided to:
Supervisor: Kim Janes, Manager

Date
Lack of PACC Support and Action

1. We’ve asked for dogs not to be fed together because it causes fights and results in many dogs not eating. Has this been fixed? NO
2. We’ve asked for large dogs not to be placed with small dogs, young dogs not to be placed with elderly dogs, etc. unless they are bonded. Has this been fixed? NO
3. We’ve asked for meet and greet areas to be cleaned and sanitized on a regular basis. Has this been fixed? NO
4. We’ve asked for the water bowls in the front kennels to be continually cleaned. Has this been fixed? NO
5. We’ve asked for more help with adoptions. Has this been fixed? NO
6. We’ve asked that dogs with cones and small dogs always be provided with low water dishes so they can reach them. Has this been fixed? NO
7. We’ve asked that dogs that come in together and are bonded not be separated. Has this been fixed? NO
8. We’ve asked that kennels be used to maximize individual space for dogs. Has this been fixed? NO
9. We’ve asked that U-Bay be discontinued and a humane alternative be made. Has this been fixed? NO
10. We’ve asked that the small “B cages” be cleaned on a more regular basis and animals in these cages be taken out more often due to the cramped conditions. Has this been fixed? NO
11. We’ve asked for more inmates and a contingency plan for the inmates. Has this been fixed? NO
12. We’ve asked for a floor manager to be present and affirmatively manage the shelter conditions. Has this been fixed? NO
13. We’ve asked for toys to be cleaned and sanitized and placed in a central area for use. Has this been fixed? NO
14. We’ve asked for a supervisor/manager to be present and available during operating hours. Has this been fixed? NO
15. We’ve asked that dogs with bad teeth be consistently given soft food. Has this been fixed? NO
16. We’ve asked that mother dogs consistently have food, preferably soft food. Has this been fixed? NO
17. We’ve asked that mother dogs and their puppies be placed in kennels located in one area that may be less noisy and away from the flow of traffic to assist with stress. Has this been fixed? NO
18. We’ve asked that all dogs consistently be provided with bedding. Has this been fixed? NO
19. We’ve asked for a better system so all kennels always have kennel cards and have cards that actually match the animals in the kennel at all times. Has this been fixed? NO
20. We’ve asked for a computer or kiosk so the volunteers can readily look up animal information to assist with adoptions. Has this been fixed? NO
21. We’ve asked that all sanitizer stations, disinfectant bottles, and ear protection and first-aid stations be filled on a regular basis. Has this been fixed? NO
22. We’ve asked for a consistent and timely evaluation process so animals can be placed for adoption as soon as possible. Has this been fixed? NO
Motion for Resolution for PACC to permanently remedy the following PACC issues within 60 days. If an extension is required, action plans and a progress report are to be submitted to the PACC Advisory Committee members no later than September 8, 2014.

1. Dogs not to be fed together because it causes fights and results in many dogs not eating.
2. Large dogs not to be placed with small dogs, young dogs not to be placed with elderly dogs, etc. unless they are bonded.
3. Meet and greet areas to be cleaned and sanitized on a regular basis.
4. Water bowls in the front kennels to be continually cleaned.
5. More help with adoptions.
6. Dogs with cones and small dogs always be provided with low water dishes so they can reach them.
7. Dogs that come in together and are bonded not be separated.
8. Kennels be used to maximize individual space for dogs.
9. U-Bay be discontinued and a humane alternative be made.
10. Small “B cages” be cleaned on a more regular basis and animals in these cages be taken out more often due to the cramped conditions.
11. More inmates and a contingency plan for the inmates.
12. A floor manager to be present and affirmatively manage the shelter conditions.
13. Toys to be cleaned and sanitized and placed in a central area for use.
14. A supervisor/manager to be present and available during operating hours.
15. Dogs with bad teeth be consistently given soft food.
16. Mother dogs consistently have food, preferably soft food.
17. Mother dogs and their puppies be placed in kennels located in one area that may be less noisy and away from the flow of traffic to assist with stress.
18. All dogs consistently be provided with bedding.
19. A better system so all kennels always have kennel cards and have cards that actually match the animals in the kennel at all times.
20. A computer or kiosk so the volunteers can readily look up animal information to assist with adoptions.
21. All sanitizer stations, disinfectant bottles, ear protection and first-aid stations be filled on a regular basis.
22. A consistent and timely evaluation process so animals can be placed for adoption as soon as possible.
Volunteer Data—PACC Advisory Committee Meeting- 6/19/2014

How many volunteer applications, by month, has PACC received from the community January 1, 2014 to present?
January - 84
February - 60
March - 67
April - 39
May - 30
June – 91

How many volunteer open houses, by month, have been held since January 1, 2014?
January - 7
February – 6
March - 4
April - 4
May - 3
June – 3
July- 3

How many volunteer training classes, by month, (stage 1 & 2) have been given since January 1, 2014?
January - 7, 8
February – 4, 4
March – 3, 3
April – 3, 3
May – 3, 3
June – 3, 3
July- 4, 4

Who facilitated the volunteer open houses and training classes?
Jan. 2014 to May 2014: Interns Matt West, Alex Norman
June: Volunteer Coordinator Andrew Stocker
7/2014- : V.C. and new intern

How many volunteers have come on board, by month, since January 1, 2014?
January - 59
February – 26
March – 44
April – 28
May – 17
June – 50
What are the throughput percentages, percentage from one stage to the next; i.e., open house participant>application>volunteer?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Open House to Application</th>
<th>Application to Volunteer</th>
<th>Open House to Volunteer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>Data Missing</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>Missing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What are the planned open house/training dates for the next 6 months?
4 of each in July, 3 to 4 of each for rest of year
O.H. Dates: 7/4 10:15am, 7/6 12pm, 7/19 9am, 7/21 5pm
Training 1: 7/5 7:30am, 7/12 9:30am, 7/16 5pm, 7/26 7:30am
Training 2: 7/6 7:30am, 7/13 7:30am, 7/23 5pm, 7/26 10am
Training 2- Cat Care: 7/12 10am-12:30pm; 7/13 7:30-10am; 7/23 5-7:30pm; 7/26 10-12:30pm

What types of training for present volunteers is being planned and what will the time/dates be?
Adoption Concepts Workshop: 2-3 a month
Help Desk: Once a month
Cat Training: Begins in July; 2-4 a month
Dr. Wilcox- Animal Health Workshop: Late Summer/ Early Fall
How many active volunteers we have?
366 volunteers with at least 6 hours of service in last 4 months

What are the criteria for number of hours they must serve?
Sign-up and complete 2 shifts per month, or an equivalent of 6 hours/month
*Enforced 8/14

How many classes are being held?
Training 1- Shelter Concepts- 3-4/month
Training 2- Dog Walking- 3-4/month
Training 2- Cat Care- 2-4/month
Adoption Concepts- 3/month
Animal Health Workshop- August
Help Desk Training: Once a month
Massage Training: Once a month starting in October

How many volunteers are in a training/mentoring status?
Adoptions: 5

Dog Walking program to start 7/21; then expanded with gradually more depth and structure i.e. each day mentors have their pictures and names on ‘Walker Board’ and are encouraged to reach out to new volunteers. New volunteers instructed to ask questions to mentors.

What is the retention rate?
Jan. ‘14- June ‘14= 224 new volunteers
Of those 224, completed at least one shift in last 2 months= 145= 65%

What are the plans to recruit and retain more?
Posting flyers at surrounding local businesses and organizations (currently 11 local businesses carry flyers); recruiting groups that can commit to once a month/week; handing out flyers at off-site adoptions and outreach events (3-5 outreach events and 4 off-site events per month); strengthening relationships with large organizations/corporations around Tucson- TEP, Raytheon, etc.

Expanding mentorship; more comprehensive trainings; further follow-up and engaging new volunteers

What opportunities are available?
Dog Walking, Walker Board Set-Up, Adoption Counselor, Dog Walk/Adoption Counselor Mentor, Off-site Adoptions, Dog Recovery, Cat Care, Help Desk, Vet Tech
Assistance, Pet Photographers, Licensing Office, Intake Phones, Picture Upload, Volunteer Trainings Assistance, Outreach, Groomers, Massagers, Reiki, Internships
July 1, 2014

Chair and Members, Pima Animal Care Center Advisory Committee

RE: June 17, 2014 Meeting Feedback and Update

Dear Chair and Members,

The following information is provided for your consideration and information.

During the June 19, 2014 Advisory Committee Meeting, the Committee:

- Moved and passed a request to the Health Director that the meeting be held at the Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) unless for special circumstances.

  The Health Department Director has considered and approved the request to move the meeting back to PACC. In the future, the regularly scheduled meeting will be held at PACC.

- Moved and passed a request to the Health Director to have PACC’s Chief of Operations (COO) regularly attend the Advisory Committee Meeting.

  The Director does appreciate the committee’s interest in having the COO present on a regular basis but respectfully must decline this request of the Committee. Mr. Janes is the designated Executive Secretary and is responsible to be prepared for each meeting. However, on occasion, the Executive Secretary will coordinate committee member requests for staff attendance due to specific agenda items as well as staff presentations and attendance similar to the adoption program presentation recently provided to the Committee.

- Requested how PACC officer’s per capita compared to a national standard.

  According to the National Animal Control Association:

  “Although there is no universally accepted scientific methodology for determining the number of Animal Control Officers needed in a given jurisdiction, there are three models that are variously employed in Animal Control in determining an appropriate number of personnel.

  - Some jurisdictions have attempted to evaluate the estimated growth in residential and business activity to predict the need for additional personnel.

  - The use of comparative data from the NACA Data Survey factoring in population, square miles served, and whenever possible, enforcement responsibilities.
The "calls for service" model is used primarily as a workload indicator because the data represents a recognizable and readily measurable demand for Animal Control service. Comparing the number of calls for service from one jurisdiction to another, however, can be very tenuous, because of the variety of calls and the response to Animal Control efforts to encourage citizens to call on any perceived problem.

Determining the number of officers requires an assessment of citizen calls, officer-initiated calls, citations, written warnings, assisting outside agencies, the need for safety and security, a flexible beat structure, time spent on investigations, preventive patrol time and the specific types of service that the public wants and expects.....

In most situations, NACA utilizes the "calls for service" model in determining an appropriate number of field personnel."

In FY 2013-2014, PACC has received 32,447 calls for enforcement service. During that same period, the 29 field enforcement staff officers were able to respond to only 28,834 of those calls. Therefore, the type, priority and complexity of the calls being handled allow an officer to respond to 994 calls per year. For enforcement staff to respond to the demand for service, enforcement staff would need to be increased to at least 33 officers.

- Tabled the Disabled Community representative selection.

Staff contacted the remaining candidate, Ms. Helen Mendelsohn. She stated she had an emergency and was unable to attend. She is still willing to serve on the committee. Staff recommends the item be placed on the July 17, 2014 agenda.

Additionally, with the addition of several new members to the Committee over the last couple of years and the Committee, to the best of my knowledge, never having received an orientation on how to conduct a public meeting, I recommend the Committee invite an expert on Roberts Rules of Order and other parliamentary procedures. There is a professional organization of such parliamentarians with a local chapter here in Southern Arizona which offers such training. Please let me know if you would like to invite an experienced practitioner and a Professional Registered Parliamentarian to a future meeting to provide such a presentation.

Respectfully,

Foid K. Janes
Executive Secretary
MEMORANDUM

TO:    Kristin Barney and Kim Janes
FROM: Kelli Saline 1918
DATE: 06.17.14
SUBJECT: Welfare report for June 2014

1. A14-147871 The animal owner was educated and cited at PACC. One animal was relinquished to PACC and remains at PACC undergoing veterinary care and treatment.

2. A14-149258 The animal owner was educated and cited at the scene. No animals were impounded and this case is closed.

3. A14-147164 This is a multi agency investigation. The animal is being housed at HSSA and is currently under veterinary care by the HSSA veterinarian. A PACC Officer issued the necessary citations to the animal owner.

4. A14-148758 One animal was impounded. The animal owner was educated and cited at the scene. The animal was humanely euthanized due to the progression of the illness. This case is closed.

5. A14-148624 Eight animals impounded. The animal owner was educated and cited at PACC. One animal went to rescue, six animals were adopted, one animal remains at PACC and is up for adoption.

6. A14-148010 One animal impounded. The animal owner was educated and cited. The animal was relinquished to PACC and remains in the shelter receiving medical treatment.

7. A14-149591 No animals were impounded. Two animal owners on the property. Both were educated and cited. This complaint remains open pending a recheck on the animals and the confinement.

8. A14-149947 One animal impounded. The animal owner was educated and cited at PACC. The animal was redeemed. This case is closed.

9. A14-149815 No animals were impounded. The animal owner was cited at the scene with a pending recheck of the animal. The animal owner had the animal euthanized at their own veterinarian. This case is closed.

10. A14-150230 One animal was impounded. The animal owner was cited at the scene. The animal is at PACC under veterinary care. The animal owner relinquished ownership of the animal.
## INVESTIGATION REPORT

**Pima County Health Department**
**Pima Animal Service Center**
4000 N Silverbell Rd
Tucson, AZ 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimanimalshelter.org

---

### SUSPECT
**Heralindo**

**ACO NAME / BADGE #**
T. Foster 2042

**COMPLAINT NUMBER**
A14-147871

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**
4000 N Silverbell Rd

**DATE AND TIME OCCURRED**
05/20/14 / 06/12/14

**DATE AND TIME REPORTED**
05/20/14 / 17:29

**FOOD**
○

**WATER**
○

**SHELTER**
○

**INJURED/ILL**
○

**VENTILATION**
○

**ABANDONED**
○

**TIEOUT**
○

**BEATEN**
○

**WASTE**
○

**OTHER (EXPAIN)**

---

### FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURED/ILL VENTILATION ABANDONED TIEOUT BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)

---

### DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒

---

### I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

---

### I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

---

### REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. 12-4405 (D) and 8-236 (D)

---

### NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

---

### DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED

---

### RESTITUTION REQUESTED

---

### DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER

---

### OTHER AGENCY CASE #

---

### FOLLOW UP REQUEST

---

### ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

---

### VIOLATION

---

### BITE SEVERITY:

---

### TREATED BY

---

### PHONE NUMBER

---

### DATE QUARANTINED

---

### QUARANTINE

---

### PACC

---

### VET

---

### HOME

---

### LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

---

### CLINIC'S ADDRESS

---

### QUARANTINE

---

### 3RD PARTY CITATIONS

---

### CITING ACO

---

### 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐

---

### FRA HEAD

---

### CODE/ACO VIOLATED

---

### 4-3 (D); 4-3 (2) (D)

---

### PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS

---

### PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER

---

### OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

---

### REVIEWED BY

---

### BOND

---

### YES ☐ NO ☒

---

### BREED/DESCRIPTION

**American Bulldog**

---

### VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

---

### ANIMAL'S NAME
Cooper

---

### COLOR
Wh/Bm

---

### SEX
M

---

### AGE
2y

---

### LICENSE #

---

### WEB/Certificate #

---

### BOND

---

### YES ☐ NO ☒

---

### WITNESS 1
M. Fish #1995

---

### DOB

---

### ADDRESS
4000 N Silverbell Rd

---

### RESIDENCE PHONE #

---

### BUSINESS PHONE #

---

---

### WITNESS 2
M ☐ F ☒

---

### DOB

---

### ADDRESS

---

### RESIDENCE PHONE #

---

### BUSINESS PHONE #

---

---

### WITNESS 3
M ☐ F ☒

---

### DOB

---

### ADDRESS

---

### RESIDENCE PHONE #

---

### BUSINESS PHONE #

---

---

### WITNESS 4
M ☐ F ☒

---

### DOB

---

### ADDRESS

---

### RESIDENCE PHONE #

---

### BUSINESS PHONE #

---

---
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-147871
ACO name & Badge: T. Foster 2042

On 05/20/14 at 17:19 hours Pima Animal Care Center dispatch operators received a call from an anonymous female that there was an emaciated white dog in the yard at The caller stated that the dog used to be of normal weight and ran around the yard but has been declining for the last two months. The caller stated that the dog is now very thin and walks very slowly in the yard.

5/20/14 1810 hours Officer Fish 1995 arrived at and found a white male Boxer mix in the yard that was very thin. The dog had plenty of energy and was barking at him, however, the dog's ribs and hip bones were visible and it appeared that he was either not being fed properly or is suffering from a serious illness or a condition that is being left untreated. The dog had access to shelter in the form of the carport and there was a five gallon bucket with water available as well. It appeared that there was no one home and Officer Fish was unable to make it to the front door or make any further observations because the access gates were locked. Officer Fish remained parked in front of the property for 20 minutes or longer and no one came out so he posted a notice on the carport gate asking the owners to call Pima Animal Care Center and arrange a time to meet with an officer. Additionally, he photographed the dog and attached the photos to the activity.

06/12/14 14:00 hours I, Officer Foster 2042 met with Mr. Hemalindo at Pima Animal Care Center located at 4000 N Silverbell Rd in reference to a dog he brought to the animal intake department to request euthanasia. During the intake procedure the dog owner revealed to the kennel technician, L. Flynn #2049 that he had an open case and handed her the door knocker left by Officer Fish #1995 on 5/20/14. A technician in training contacted Supervisor D. Tenkate immediately after seeing the very poor condition of the dog he was requesting euthanasia services for. While waiting for Supervisor Tenkate the dog owner stated to L. Flynn that approximately two months ago the dog got into a bunch of peaches and began to vomit and has lost weight since then. Mr. also stated to Ms. Flynn that he has been giving the dog Tylenol intermittently for two months also. Mr. had documentation with him proving that the dog saw a vet on 4/10/14. However, his documentation shows
that he declined the treatment options provided by the attending veterinarian and took the dog home. Ms. Flynn then called Valley Animal Hospital and requested copies of Cooper’s medical records be faxed to Pima Animal Care Center. The medical records provided by Valley Animal Hospital revealed that on 04/10/14 Cooper weighted 64.9 pounds. Cooper was weighed by Dr. Bonnie Lilly’s treatment technicians on 06/12/14 and was found to weigh 43.1 pounds at the time of intake. Cooper has lost 21.8 pounds since 04/10/14. According to the medical records provided by Valley Animal Hospital, the owner stated that the dog had been losing weight and vomiting for approximately two weeks prior to his vet appointment on April 10th. On 04/11/14 a Valley Animal Hospital staff member contacted Mr. by phone and asked how Cooper was doing. Per medical records the owner stated that the dog’s condition was greatly improved.

I observed Cooper to be of extremely poor weight. I was able to plainly see every vertebra, rib, and long bone. I was able to observe that Cooper was so emaciated that his muscles appeared to be atrophied and he did not have any visible fat on his body.

I asked Mr. for his driver’s license and he presented me with his New Mexico driver’s license. Based on the information I had and the fact that Mr. was unable to prove that he had obtained any veterinary treatment (beyond an office visit) for this dog I issued a citation for cruelty and a citation for neglect-no vet care. Mr. Lagunas acknowledged, signed, and accepted his citations. I provided him with his court date, time, location, and a copy of his citations. I then returned Mr. Lagunas’ driver’s license to him and thanked him for his cooperation.

Officer’s Signature:  J. Foster  2042  Date:  6/13/14
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Services Center
4000 N. Camino Del Sol
Tucson, AZ 85712
Phone: (520) 243-5500
Fax: (520) 243-5550
www.pimaanimals.org

**ACO NAME / BADGE #:** J RADEMAKER 2019
**COMPLAINT NUMBER:** A14-149258

**DATE AND TIME REPORTED:** 06/10/14 / 1533
**DATE AND TIME OCCURRED:** 06/10/14 / 1547

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT:** 5870 E BROADWAY BLVD PARK PLACE MALL

**FOOD  WATER  SHELTER  INJURED/ILL  VENTILATION  ABANDONED  TIEOUT  BEATEN  WASTE  OTHER (EXPLAIN)**

**VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME:** TPD OFFICERS C MATHIS 52572 AND R GREEN 51068
**D.O.B:**
**RESIDENCE PHONE NO.:** BUSINESS PHONE NO.: 791-5059

**VICTIM’S ADDRESS:** 270 S STONE AVE
**ZIP:** 85701
**CITY:** TUCSON
**STATE:** AZ

**DATE:** 06/10/14
**TIME:** 1533

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM:**
**PHONE NUMBER:**

**LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS:**
**CLINIC’S ADDRESS:**
**QUARANTINE:**
- 10
- 15
- 45
- 180
- FRA CODE:

**3RD PARTY CITATIONS:**
**CITING ACO:** J RADEMAKER 2019
**PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS:**
**PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER:**
**OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS:**

**VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE:**

**BREED/DESCRIPTION:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL</th>
<th>ANIMAL’S NAME</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>TAG</th>
<th>LICENSE #</th>
<th>VX CERTIFICATE #</th>
<th>EX</th>
<th>ANIMAL ID#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BOXER X</td>
<td>BRBRINU/W</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A483932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOXER X</td>
<td>B/W</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A483934</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WITNESS 1**

- M [ ] F [ ]
- DOB:
- ADDRESS:
- RESIDENCE PHONE #
- BUSINESS PHONE #

**WITNESS 2**

- M [ ] F [ ]
- DOB:
- ADDRESS:
- RESIDENCE PHONE #
- BUSINESS PHONE #

**WITNESS 3**

- M [ ] F [ ]
- DOB:
- ADDRESS:
- RESIDENCE PHONE #
- BUSINESS PHONE #
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-149258

ACO name & Badge: J RADEMAKER 2019

On June 10, 2014 at 3:47PM I, Officer Rademaker 2019, responded to Park Place Mall on an assist police call for a dog in a hot car in the parking area in front of the Old Navy store. I met with TPD Officers C Mathis 52572 and R Green 51068 re: TPD case 1406100324. A red Chevrolet 4 door pickup truck had been left with windows open approximately 2 inches in the full sun. UA website temperature was 3:33 PM when the call was received by Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) dispatch was 105F. The Officers advised me that they had removed 2 puppies from the truck prior to my arrival as they were panting heavily and appeared to be in distress. The Officers stated that there was no water available for the puppies inside the truck. The dogs were put in an air-conditioned TPD vehicle to recover.

I photographed the red pickup and took temperature readings of the inside surfaces, which ranged from 116F to 133F.

Owner Jesus came to the scene with two children and 3 puppies, obvious siblings of the puppies that had been left in the truck. He was informed by the TPD Officers that he had been gone an hour, which he initially denied until told his arrival was on videotape. I asked what he was doing with all the puppies and he did not answer directly but one of the children said they were trying to sell the dogs. Park Place security confirmed to TPD that Mr was asked to leave the Mall because he was trying to sell the puppies. I explained the jeopardy he had put the puppies in by leaving them unattended in the hot car.

I issued Mr citations for cruelty, neglect water and neglect ventilation. I explained the citations and court and he said he understood. The two now recovered puppies were returned to him. He was given a law brochure.

Officer's Signature:  
Date: 6/10/14
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Services
4000 N Silverbell Rd
Tucson, Arizona

**ACO NAME / BADGE #**
T. Foster 2042

**COMPLAINT NUMBER**
A14-147164

---

**SUSPECT**
Travis

**SUSPECT'S ADDRESS**

**ZIP**

**CITY**

**STATE**

**RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER**

**SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS**
UNK

**ZIP**

**CITY**

**STATE**

**BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER**

**SEX**

**WEIGHT**

**HEIGHT**

**EYES**

**HAIR COLOR**

**DOB**

---

**DATE AND TIME REPORTED**
05/08/14 / 18:00

**WAIV ANY TIME OCCURRED**
06/06/14 / 11:08

---

**FOOD**

**WATER**

**SHELTER**

**INJURED/DIFF VENTILATION**

**ABANDONED**

**TIEOUT**

**BEATEN**

**OTHER (EXPLAIN)**

---

**VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME**
Tiffany Foster

**DOB**

**RESIDENCE PHONE NO.**

**BUSINESS PHONE NO.**
520-243-5900 Ext3

---

**REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. 8 13-4405(G) and 8 9-298(B)**

---

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE**

**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM**

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM**

**PHONE NUMBER**

**LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS**

**CLINIC'S ADDRESS**

**3RD PARTY CITATIONS**

**CITING ACO**

**PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS**

**PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER**

**OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS**

---

**BREED/DESCRIPTION**

**ANIMAL'S NAME**

**COLOR**

**SEX**

**AGE**

**LICENSE #**

**VX CERTIFICATE #**

---

**WITNESS 1**

**DOB**

**ADDRESS**

**RESIDENCE PHONE #**

**BUSINESS PHONE #**

---

**WITNESS 2**

**DOB**

**ADDRESS**

**RESIDENCE PHONE #**

**BUSINESS PHONE #**

---

**WITNESS 3**

**DOB**

**ADDRESS**

**RESIDENCE PHONE #**

**BUSINESS PHONE #**

---

**WITNESS 4**

**DOB**

**ADDRESS**

**RESIDENCE PHONE #**

**BUSINESS PHONE #**
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number:  A14-147164
ACO name & Badge:   T. Foster 2042

05/01/14 12:48 Officer T. Bowdon 2013 impounded Adam/Frankie A472422 at 7100 E Broadway as a stray. On 05/05/14 Adam was again brought to Pima Animal Care Center by a member of the public as a stray. Due to the dog being impounded twice in one week Supervisor Carver 1901 felt it necessary to perform a premise inspection of the owner's yard to ensure there was suitable confinement at the property. An activity was created and vet care was included on the premise inspection because the dog arrived at Pima Animal Care Center with injuries.

05/08/14 18:00 I, Officer Foster 2042 met with Travis and Shey at the Pima Animal Care Center when they arrived to redeem Adam from the shelter following his second impound that week. Travis and Shey stated that Adam had escaped from a car once and the second time due to a careless houseguest. The couple appeared to be genuinely concerned that Adam had injuries. Both claimed to be unaware of them and stated that they must have been sustained while he was missing. Mr. and Mrs. were very adamant that they would obtain medical care for Adam as soon as an appointment could be scheduled. Mrs. was very emotional and stated that she thought we, Pima Animal Care Center, would not give her dog back. I explained that PACC's concern was that the dog lives in a safe environment and that his medical problems would be addressed. The couple was informed of the need for me to conduct a premise inspection that evening and that there would be a follow-up visit scheduled for seven days later. Mr. and Mrs. Darling agreed readily and stated that they would be going straight home and would be waiting for me to arrive.

05/08/14 18:50 I, Officer Foster 2042 arrived and was met in the driveway by Travis . Mr. allowed me to enter the back yard where Frankie aka Adam (A472422) is confined when not inside the house. I asked Mr. if he objected to me photographing the yard for my field notes. Mr. agreed and apologized that the landscaping was not completed. I stated that their yard projects had no bearing on the confinement and therefore would not be an issue. I then photographed the fencing and gates. I
found that the fencing is comprised of a 4 1/2 to five foot block wall that completely surrounds the backyard. The gates are wrought iron with steel sheet metal covering the bars. I found that the owner's story is potentially true that Frankie/Adam escaped from them but did not escape from their yard. I issued a premise inspection regarding vet care for Frankie's front leg and facial injuries. I informed Mr. that if he faxes the vet paperwork regarding Frankie's injuries following his appointment to PACC that we will not need to follow-up on the call on 5/15/14.

06/01/14 Approximately 07:30 I, Officer Foster 2042 was contacted via Pima County phone line #5902 by Michelle Kleckner of the Humane Society of Southern Arizona's Animal Cruelty Taskforce program in reference to Adam A472422. Ms. Kleckner stated that Adam is in residence at their facility and presented with a severe eye problem that, according to Ms. Kleckner requires removal per the Humane Society of Southern AZ staff vet. Ms. Kleckner stated the dog came in as a stray on 5/27/14 and the female owner called them and filed a lost report stating the dog went missing on 5/28/14. Ms. Kleckner stated that HSSAZ is investigating the situation and wishes to speak with a supervisor regarding this call.

06/06/14 10:29 I, Officer Foster 2042 arrived at 3450 N Kelvin Blvd to meet with the Humane Society of Southern AZ's Animal Cruelty Taskforce liaison Michelle Kleckner in reference to Adam a red and white Pit bull mix. Ms. Kleckner stated that Adam was brought to HSSAZ as a stray. She stated that he arrived with a severely injured eye and possibly untreated limb fractures. Ms. Kleckner stated that on 05/29/14 Shey Darling called HSSAZ and reported that Adam was missing and filed a lost report. Mrs. stated that Adam went missing on 05/28/14 however; Ms. Kleckner stated that Adam was brought to HSSAZ on 05/27/14. Ms. Kleckner stated that the HSSAZ staff vet determined that Adam's eye required removal and subsequently performed surgery to remove it. I photographed the case information compiled by HSSAZ employees and thanked Ms. Kleckner for her time. I then relocated to the dog owner's residence.

11:08 I arrived at and knocked on the front door. I did not immediately receive an answer. I then walked to the side door of the residence and was met by Travis. I stated the reason for my visit and asked to see proof that Adam was seen and treated as directed by a vet. Mr. stated that his wife took Adam to the vet and he did not know where to find that documentation. I then asked if I could see a copy of Mr. driver's license. Mr. Darling stated that his license was in Shey possession and instead offered me a copy of his AHCCCS card. He stated that he knows his driver's license number. I wrote the license number down and asked him for his Social Security number, date of birth, height, and weight. Mr. complied with all of those requests.
Mr. began to tell me that he has a woman staying with him on federal probation that took off and he is dealing with that drama and that is why Adam got loose again. I stated that I would be right back and returned to the Pima Animal Care truck. I issued a citation for Cruelty and a citation for Neglect-No Vet care. When I returned to the front of the house to present Mr. with his citations he was on the phone with Shey. When Mr. hung-up the phone he stated that he had trusted his wife to take care of Adam's vet care but he stated that she wasn't honest with him about it. He then stated that at first there was a holiday weekend and he and Mrs. could not have Adam seen due to the holiday. He then stated that the dog escaped before the appointment they made. It is worth noting that Adam was to have been seen and treated by a vet prior to 05/15/14. I then stated that I was issuing citations and explained them to him. Mr. acknowledged, signed, and accepted his citations. I then provided him with his court date, time, and location. As I was handing Mr. his copy of the citations he stated that his wife is already thinking about obtaining another dog. I then stated that I felt that would be a bad idea recommended that the couple carefully consider the cost and responsibility of adding another pet to their home. Mr. stated that there was no way he would be okay with her obtaining another dog. 2042

Officer's Signature: J. Foster 2042 Date: 6/7/14
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care
4005 N. Silverbell Rd
Tucson, Arizona 85719
Phone: (520) 243-5800
Fax: (520) 243-5890
www.pimaanimalcare.org

**ACO NAME / BADGE #**
C. Meek 2015
A. Kirby 2057

**COMPLAINT NUMBER**
A14-148758

**DATE AND TIME OCCURRED**
06/03/14
1030

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**

**DATE AND TIME REPORTED**

**FOOD**  WATER  SHELTER  INJURED/ILL  VENTILATION  ABANDONED  TIEOUT  BEATEN  WASTE  OTHER (EXPLAIN)

| 4-3(2)(B) |

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)**

**SEX**  WEIGHT  HEIGHT  EYES  HAIR COLOR  ORIGIN  DOB  LICENSE  SSN

**NAME OF VICTIM**

**VICTIM'S ADDRESS**

**VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS**

**NAME OF WITNESS 1**
Officer A. Kirby #2057

**DATE OF BIRTH**

**SEX**  WEIGHT  HEIGHT  EYES  HAIR COLOR  ORIGIN  DOB  LICENSE  SSN

**NAME OF WITNESS 2**

**DATE OF BIRTH**

**SEX**  WEIGHT  HEIGHT  EYES  HAIR COLOR  ORIGIN  DOB  LICENSE  SSN

**NAME OF WITNESS 3**

**DATE OF BIRTH**

**SEX**  WEIGHT  HEIGHT  EYES  HAIR COLOR  ORIGIN  DOB  LICENSE  SSN

**NAME OF WITNESS 4**

**DATE OF BIRTH**

**SEX**  WEIGHT  HEIGHT  EYES  HAIR COLOR  ORIGIN  DOB  LICENSE  SSN

---

**BREED/DESCRIPTION**

**ANIMAL'S NAME**

**COLOR**

**SEX**

**AGE**

**TAG COLOR**

**LICENSE #**

**VX CERTIFICATE #**

**COND**

**ANIMAL ID #**

**VIOLATION**

**BITE SEVERITY:**

**PART OF BODY BITEN:**

**TREATED BY**

**PHONE NUMBER**

**DATE QUARANTINED**

**DATE OF TREATMENT**

**PACC**

**VET**

**HOME**

**FOLLOW UP REQUEST**

**SO**

**TPD**

**TPD**

**OTHER:**

---

**3RD PARTY CITATIONS**

**CITINGS ACO**

**PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS**

**PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER**

**OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS**

---

**PIT BULL**

**VICTIM**

**OWNER**

**ANIMAL'S NAME**

**COLOR**

**SEX**

**AGE**

**TAG COLOR**

**LICENSE #**

**VX CERTIFICATE #**

**COND**

**ANIMAL ID #**

**DOB**

**ADDRESS**

**RESIDENCE PHONE #**

**BUSINESS PHONE #**

---

**CITATIONS/NUMBERS**

**72701**

---

**CODE/BRO VIOLATED**

**4-3(2)(D)**

---

**REVIEWS BY**
6-4-14
6-4-14
6-4-14
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-148758

ACO name & Badge: C. Meek 2015/A. Kirby 2057

On 06/03/14 at 0946 hours Pima Animal Care Center received a call regarding
a sick dog at the residence of The complainant advised
the dispatcher that the dog potentially had parvo.

On 06/03/14 at 1015 hours I Officer Meek badge number 2015 and Officer
Kirby badge number 2057 responded to in reference to a
stray sick dog complaint.

We arrived at the address and were able to make contact with a Ms. Mary

Ms.

stated they received the dog from a friend some time back. We asked Ms.

to see the dog. We were advised her daughter was the
complainant. Ms.

took us through the residence to the backyard where

on a covered patio we observed a juvenile Pit Bull mix on said patio. We

observed the Pit Bull to be lethargic.

I asked Ms.

how long the dog had been at the residence. Ms. Perez

advised us the dog had been on the property for a while and she was unsure

when her daughter brought the dog home. Ms.

then used her cellular

phone and called her daughter and after a brief conversation Ms.

stated

the dog had been on the property for two days. At that point I spoke with Ms.

daughter who then advised me that the dog wandered into her

property the previous evening (06/02) through an open gate.

During our time spent in the yard we both observed the back gate of the

property to be a solid steel gate that was barricaded on both sides keeping it

shut. The back gate was photographed. In addition to the back gate there

was a small walk-in gate on the north end of the residence that was also

barricaded on both side with garbage cans and a brick. The walk in gate was

also photographed. Inside the yard was a medium sized pile of animal waste

that was freshly raked, additionally the whole yard appeared to be raked

earlier that day as rake impressions still appeared to be fresh. The waste

appeared to be from a medium sized dog and appeared to be old as it was

dried. Upon our arrival Ms.

stated she had two small breed dogs a

Chiweenie and another unknown mix breed. The waste was photographed.

We asked Ms.

again how long she had the dog and she again advised us it came in last night (06/01) and when asked about the waste she had no

comment. We then asked for Ms.

Identification which she provided.
We then issued Ms. a citation for neglect vet care. I advised Ms. that with the citation she would need to appear in court and provided with the date. Ms. stated she understood her need to appear and signed her copy of the citation.

We then transported the dog back to PACC and placed the dog on the to do list to be evaluated by the treatment technician indicating a criminal citation was issued to the dog owner.

Officer's Signature:  

Date: 06/03/14
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N Silverbell Rd
Tucson, Arizona
Phone: (520) 243-6000
Fax: (520) 243-6990
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT

PETER

SUSPECT'S ADDRESS:

ZIP | CITY | STATE | RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER
--- | --- | --- | ---

SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS:

ZIP | CITY | STATE | BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER
--- | --- | --- | ---

ACO NAME / BADGE #

T. Foster 2042

COMPLAINT NUMBER

A14-148624

BITE [ ] WELFARE [ ] DANGEROUS [ ] OTHER [ ]

CODE IF OTHER:

CI [ ] CO [ ] OTHER [ ]

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR W AIVER OF RIGHTS? [ ] NO [ ]

DATE REPORTED: 06/02/14 / 11:19

LOCATION OF INCIDENT:

418 W 41st St

DATE AND TIME OCCURRED:

06/01/14 / 11:34

FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURED MILK VENTILATION ABANDONED TIEOUT BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)

Victim/Complainant Name:

Chris Mask

DOB:

Residence Phone No.:

Business Phone No.:

I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case.

I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. B 43-4405 (B) and B 9-296 (B)

Victim's Address:

Zip:

City:

State:

Victim's Business Address:

Zip:

City:

State:

Name of Lawful Representative (if applicable):

Address and Phone Number Same as Victim:

Relationship to Victim:

Phone Number:

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS:

Clinic's Address:

Quarantine:

3rd Party Citations:

Citing ACO:

Previous Violations:

Previous Case Number:

Other Additional Reports:

Victim or Lawful Representative Signature:

Code/Or Violated:

4-3 (2) (B)

Citations/Numbers:

#72821 (A, B, C, D, E) #72822 (A, B, C)

BREED/DESCRIPTION

VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL

ANIMAL'S NAME | COLOR | SEX | AGE | LICENSE # | VX CERTIFICATE # | CEHD | ANIMAL ID#
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
Silky Terrier | Diamond | BLK/Tan | F | 2 | A482638
Basset Hound | Pepe | Tan/Wh | M | 2m | A482633
Q-Heeler | Journey | Tan/Wh | F | 5 | A482632
Chihuahua | Lala | Tan | F | 2m | A482635
Terrier | Bear | Choc/Tan | M | 2m | A482634
Terrier | Brownie | Blk/Tan | M | 3m | A482642
Terrier | Bart | Sable/Tan | M | 3m | A482640

WITNESS 1

Rodríguez #53760

DOB:

Address:

Residence Phone #:

Business Phone #:

WITNESS 2

DOB:

Address:

Residence Phone #:

Business Phone #:

WITNESS 3

DOB:

Address:

Residence Phone #:

Business Phone #:

WITNESS 4

DOB:

Address:

Residence Phone #:

Business Phone #:
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-148624

ACO name & Badge: T. Foster 2042

On 06/01/14 at 1134 Officer Meek 2015 responded to assist Tucson Police Department with the impound of several dogs living in poor conditions as a result of the owner being institutionalized.

Officer Meek arrived and met with TPD Officer Rodriguez badge 53760 who also provided the case number of 1406010220. Officer Rodriguez advised him that the dog owner was committed due to a mental breakdown before his arrival. Officer Rodriguez advised him there were 8 dogs on the property that did have access to the physical residence. Officer Rodriguez advised him that she had no idea how long the dog owner would be held but advised him it would be at least 72 hours and that she had no one to care for the dogs during that time.

Officers Meek and Rodriguez began to walk around the yard. Officer Meek was able to find one water receptacle that was full of garbage, rendering it non-potable. Additionally, the available water would not have lasted 72 hours with eight dogs utilizing it. He then photographed the water container. Officer Meek was able to find the location where the dogs were being fed. He observed there to be a large amount of dog kibble thrown on the ground in addition there was a malfunctioning drip system that had soaked some of the food rendering it moldy and inedible. He then photographed the food. The Officers finished walking the yard and found no other receptacles for food or water.

Officers Meek and Rodriguez made their way into the interior of the residence. They found it to have a large amount of human debris inside and found that the dogs were coming and going as they pleased. Officer Meek walked through the residence and photographed each room and the disarray they were in. The floor of the residence was saturated with a combination of urine and mud which made it difficult to walk on.

Officer Meek then impounded all of the dogs and posted a notice on the front door advising the dog owner(s) of the impoundment. All of the dogs did appear to be in good condition but Officer Meek did verbally check in with the treatment technician and advised them of his findings and the condition of the
house in case any tests were ordered by the attending veterinarian.

06/05/14 18:50 I, Officer Foster 2042 met with the owners of the animals impounded by Officer Meek on 06/01/14 at . Ms. did not have a photo identification with her when I met with them, but her partner Mr. Walter came with his Arizona State Identification card and I issued eight citations for Neglect-No Water to him for each animal on the property the day Officer Meek impounded the dogs. Mr. McGee acknowledged, signed, and accepted his citations. I provided him with his court date, time, and location. I then returned Mr. identification card to him and thanked him for his cooperation.
INVESTIGATION REPORT
Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N Silverbell Rd
Tucson, Az 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalscare.org

SUSPECT
Michelle

ACO NAME / BADGE #
Henderson/1904

ACTIVITY/BITE NUMBER
A14-148010

BITTE □ WELFARE □ DANGEROUS □

OTHER □

SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS

CITY
Tucson

STATE
Az

ZIP
85711

SEX
WEIGHT
HEIGHT
EYES
HAIR
DOB
SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER

Not Given

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAFER OF RIGHTS?
YES □ NO □

DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT
6/12/14 17:29
DATE AND TIME REPORTED
5/22/14 17:33

FOOD WATER SHELTER VENTILATION ABANDONED TIED UP BEATEN WASTE INJ / ILL OTHER (EXPLAIN)

☑ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME
J. Henderson

DATE OF BIRTH

RESIDENCE PHONE

BUSINESS PHONE
243-5900

VICTIM'S ADDRESS
Pima Animal Care Center

CITY
Tucson

STATE
Az

ZIP
85745

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED
YES ☐ NO ☒

RESTITUTION REQUESTED
YES ☐ NO ☒

DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER AGENCY CASE #

SHERIFF DEPT ☐ TUCSON POLICE ☐

FIRE ☐ OTHER:

FOLLOW UP REQUEST

SU ☐ TPD ☐

☐ OTHER:

☑ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

☑ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

VET CLINIC

PHONE NUMBER

OWNER KNOWS OF BITE

YES ☐ NO ☒

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS

QUARANTINE (DAYS)

10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 160 ☐

☐ FRA HEAD:

3RD PARTY CITATIONS

YES ☐ NO ☒

CITING ACO

J. Henderson

PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS

YES ☐ NO ☒

PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER

☑ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/D/OB VIOLATED

4-3(2)(D) / 4-81 / 4-76

CITATIONS/NUMBERS

71549 A,B,C

☑ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

REVIEWED BY

CERTIFIED 6-12-14

BOND

YES ☐ NO ☒

===

BREED/DESCRIPTION
Rott/Sharpei

VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒

VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒

VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒

VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒

VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒

VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒

VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒

VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒

VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒

VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒

ANIMAL'S NAME
Destiny

COLOR
black/brown

SEX
F

AGE
3 or 4 mo

LICENSE #
cited

CONDITION
poor

ANIMAL ID#
A484183

WITNESS 1

☑ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒

DOB ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BUSINESS PHONE #

WITNESS 2

☑ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒

DOB ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BUSINESS PHONE #

WITNESS 3

☑ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒

DOB ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BUSINESS PHONE #

WITNESS 4

☑ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒

DOB ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BUSINESS PHONE #
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-148010
ACO Name & Badge: J. Henderson / 1904

On 06/12/14 I Officer J. Henderson arrived at and contacted Michelle. I informed Ms. about the report of a puppy with mange, and asked her if she owned a dog. She said she has a puppy that has mange, and that she has been treating it with remedies she got off the internet. Ms. said she has a friend Heather who allegedly found this puppy wondering the playground at a local school. Ms. picked up the puppy and apparently cared for it for two months. Ms. then asked Ms. if she could take the puppy because the puppy was not getting along her dog and she could no longer keep it. Ms. agreed to take the puppy in and started treating it shortly after she got it. Ms. said she has cared for the puppy for about three weeks or less. I asked Ms. if she had taken the puppy to a vet since she has had it and she replied she had not. She said she was trying the remedies she found on the internet. Ms. said the dog had hair when she first got it a few weeks ago.

I informed Ms. the puppy should go to the vet for treatment; she said she could not afford to do that. I also informed her of citations for licensing. Ms. then asked if I could take the dog. I told her I would do that, if that's what she wanted me to do. Ms. said she is in the process of moving to another home and because of those expenses she would not be able to afford the expenses of the puppy.

On 06/13/14 I officer J Henderson was directed to issue to Ms. citations for neglect vet care. I contacted Ms. and issued the citations without further incident. Ms. signed and received her copy with court date and time.

Officer's Signature: [Signature]

Date: 6/17/14
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

**Suspect:** Raeann

**ACO Name/Badge #:** Bowdon #2013

**Complaint Number:** A14-149591

**Date and Time Reported:** 06/16/14 / 11:42

**Date and Time Occurred:** 06/16/14 / 12:31

**Leash Law:**

**Victim/Complaintant Name:** PACC Officer Bowdon

**D.O.B:**

**Residence Phone No.:**

**Business Phone No.:** (520)243-5900

**Victim's Address:**

**Zip Code:** 85745

**City:** Tucson

**State:** AZ

**Victim's Business Address:**

4000 N Silverbell Rd

**Zip Code:** 85745

**City:** Tucson

**State:** AZ

**Name of Lawful Representative (if applicable):**

**Address and Phone Number Same As Victim:**

**Relationship to Victim:**

**Phone Number:**

**Veterinary Clinic:**

**Lawful Representative Address:**

**Clinic's Address:**

**Quarantine:**

**Previous Violations:**

**Previous Case Number:**

**Other Additional Reports:**

** Victim or Lawful Representative Signature:**

**Code/Info Violated:** 6.04.070, 11-1010(A)ARS, 6.04.030, 6.04.110 (B)(5), and 6.04.110(B)(2)

**Citations/Numbers:** 72885 & 72886

**Breed/Description Victim or Owner Animal:**

- **Terrier Mix**
  - **Animal's Name:** Princess
  - **Color:** Tan
  - **Sex:** F
  - **Tag Color:** A
  - **License #:**
  - **VX Certificate #:**
  - **Cond:** cited
  - **Animal ID #:** N A484608

- **Pitbull Mix**
  - **Animal's Name:** Deuce
  - **Color:** Fawn
  - **Sex:** M
  - **Tag Color:** A
  - **License #:**
  - **VX Certificate #:**
  - **Cond:** cited
  - **Animal ID #:** N A484532

**Witness 1:**

**DOB:**

**Address:**

**Residence Phone #:**

**Business Phone #:**

**Witness 2:**

**DOB:**

**Address:**

**Residence Phone #:**

**Business Phone #:**

**Witness 3:**

**DOB:**

**Address:**

**Residence Phone #:**

**Business Phone #:**
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-149591

ACO name & Badge: Bowdon #2013

On 06/16/14 at approximately 11:42 hours Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) received a report of a dog in distress due to a tangled tie-out located at In Pima County.

On 06/16/14 at approximately 12:31 hours I, PACC Officer Bowdon #2013, arrived at and observed two dogs at large roaming the property. I met with Catherine who stated that the dogs and property belong to her son and daughter-in-law, John and Raeann. I advised that a report had been made of a dog on a tangled tie-out. Ms. stated she had already untangled the dog. I then observed that there was a juvenile Pitbull mix and two pups approximately 6 weeks of age in a confined area behind the house.

Ms. contacted Raeann by telephone and I spoke to her briefly about the animals. I asked her some questions about the dogs regarding length of ownership and rabies vaccination. Raeann became angry, called me a fucking bitch, and told me to get off her property and the call was then disconnected.

I resumed my conversation with Ms. Catherine. I noticed that there was a Pitbull on a chain tie-out and, upon closer inspection, I observed that there was a bucket containing very dirty water. Ms. agreed to remove the dog, Deuce, from the tie-out and take the dog into the house along with all of the other dogs.

Ms. then told me that Raeann was on her way home and would be there in 20 minutes. Given Raeann's disposition on the telephone, I requested assistance from PCSO to keep the peace when Raeann arrived. PCSO Deputy Gallo #449 arrived shortly before Raeann arrived with her sister, Christie.

Ms. took responsibility for the juvenile Pitbull mix, Brenda, and stated that she has been keeping her dog at her sister's residence due to being unable to keep her dog at her apartment. Ms. accepted citations for No License and No Rabies Vaccination.
Raeann took responsibility for her dogs, Deuce and Princess and the two pups. Raeann stated that one of the dogs that was at large is a stray dog that her family has been caring for. I educated her regarding harboring strays and assuming legal ownership after 7 days. Raeann agreed to bring the stray, a Shepherd mix her children refer to as Leroy, to PACC or another animal shelter.

Raeann accepted citations for No License and No Rabies Vaccination for Princess and Deuce along with Neglect / Water and Neglect / Tie-Out for Deuce and Leash Law for Princess.

Raeann agreed to keep the dogs confined the to the house and utilize a leash when outside until a kennel is constructed.

Officer's Signature: [Signature]  Date: 01/17/14
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Services
4000 N Silverbell Rd
Tucson, AZ 85745
Phone: (520) 243-8900
Fax: (520) 243-8900
www.pimaanimalservices.org

---

**SUSPECT**

**Jacqueline**

**ACO NAME / BADGE #**

Carver #1901
Robledo #1990

**COMPLAINT NUMBER**

A14-149947

**DATE AND TIME REPORTED**

06/21/14

**DATE AND TIME OCCURRED**

06/21/14

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**

319 E 19th St, Tucson AZ

**FOOD**

WATER

**SHelter**

INJURED

**MILL VENTILATION**

ABANDONED

**TIEOUT**

BEATEN

**OTHER**

No

---

**VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME**

City of Tucson JD. Robledo #1990

**VICTIM'S ADDRESS**

243-5900

---

**VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS**

4000 N Silverbell Rd

**ZIP**

85745

**CITY**

Tucson

**STATE**

AZ

---

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)**

---

**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM**

---

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM**

---

**PHONE NUMBER**

---

**LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS**

---

**CLINIC'S ADDRESS**

---

**QUARANTINE**

---

**3RD PARTY CITATIONS**

---

**CITING ACO**

Carver #1901

---

**PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS**

---

**PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER**

---

**OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS**

---

**CODE/DEPARTMENT VIOLATED**

4-3 (2)(E) - 4-3(2)(C)

---

**REVIEWED BY**

6/23/14

---

**BREED/DESCRIPTION**

Shepherd X

**VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL**

Maureen

White

F

A

---

**SEX**

---

**AGE**

---

**TAG COLOR**

---

**LICENSE #**

---

**VX CERTIFICATE #**

---

**COND**

---

**ANIMAL ID #**

A292994

---

**WITNESS 1**

M

F

DOB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BUSINESS PHONE #

---

**WITNESS 2**

M

F

DOB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BUSINESS PHONE #

---

**WITNESS 3**

M

F

DOB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BUSINESS PHONE #

---

**WITNESS 4**

M

F

DOB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BUSINESS PHONE #
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-149947

ACO name & Badge: J. Carver #1901

On 06/21/14 at 11:26 hours Officer Robledo, #1990, responded to an anonymous complaint regarding an animal in distress. When he arrived he was able to see into the yard where he observed a large white dog in a wire dog crate. He could see the dog was panting heavily and the crate was in full sun. Officer Robledo also observed that there was no water or shelter present for this dog. He then went to the front of the home and knocked on the door. There was no answer to his repeated knocking; he then went into the backyard where he photographed the dog and the conditions. He then cut the pad lock that was securing the crate and impounded the dog. He left the cut lock on ground and posted a notice of impound on the front door.

On 6/21/14 at approximately 1600 hours I, Officer Carver #1901, met with Jacqueline at the Pima Animal Care Center. She was responding to the notice of impound Officer Robledo had left at her home. I showed her the pictures that Officer Robledo had taken and she positively identified the photo of the dog as her dog Maureen. She stated that she put her in the crate because Maureen is too destructive to leave inside her home. I explained the conditions and the laws to Ms. and instructed her to take the crate and place it inside the home, that way her possessions and Maureen would be safe. She agreed to do that. I issued citations for Neglect-No Water and Neglect-No Shelter to Ms.

I explained the court date time and location to her.

Officer's Signature: [Signature]
Date: 6/21/14
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BREED/DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>ANIMAL'S NAME</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>TAG COLOR</th>
<th>LICENSE #</th>
<th>VX CERTIFICATE #</th>
<th>BOND</th>
<th>ANIMAL ID#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pit Bull mix</td>
<td>Sugar</td>
<td>White / Tan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>10y</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>ILL</td>
<td>A485280</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pit Bull mix</td>
<td>Rudolph</td>
<td>Tan</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7y</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A485282</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WITNESS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITNESS 1</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE #</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WITNESS 2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>DOB</td>
<td>ADDRESS</td>
<td>RESIDENCE PHONE #</td>
<td>BUSINESS PHONE #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WITNESS 3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>DOB</td>
<td>ADDRESS</td>
<td>RESIDENCE PHONE #</td>
<td>BUSINESS PHONE #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WITNESS 4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>DOB</td>
<td>ADDRESS</td>
<td>RESIDENCE PHONE #</td>
<td>BUSINESS PHONE #</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-149815

ACO name & Badge: Bowdon #2013

On 06/19/14 at approximately 12:02 hours the Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) received a report of a female Pit Bull mix appearing to have severe injuries located at Drive in the City of Tucson.

On 06/23/14 at approximately 08:43 hours PACC Officer Delgadillo #2047 and I, PACC Officer Bowdon #2013, arrived at and observed a tan male Pit Bull mix of normal appearance and a white and tan female Pit Bull mix with open sores and visible scars covering large portions of her midsection, limbs, and snout.

A woman opened the front door of the residence and identified herself as Angie the owner of the dogs, Rudolph (7y) and Sugar (10y). I explained to Ms. that a welfare report had been received regarding a dog matching the description of Sugar.

Ms. stated that Sugar's skin had this condition her whole life and it was nothing to be concerned about. She reported that that Sugars skin has this sort of reaction every summer and that, although this has looked worse than ever lately, she continues to provide care for Sugar at home. Ms. stated that she took Sugar to a vet for the condition when Sugar was still a puppy, but declined the diagnostic tests suggested by the vet. She did not recall the name of the vet.

Ms. showed me a vial of an antibiotic ointment and an oral antibiotic she treats Sugar's condition with. Ms. explained that the oral antibiotic had been prescribed to her husband who no longer needed them. I observed that there were 11 of 30 pills remaining in a container labeled as Cephalexin 500mg prescribed to Ezequiel dated 06/16/14.

Ms. did not a current dog license or proof of current rabies vaccination for either dog.
I took a photograph of Sugar and electronically sent it to PACC veterinarian Dr. Bonnie Lily. I then spoke to Dr. Lily via telephone regarding the appearance of Sugar. Dr. Lily stated that it was her opinion that the ulcerations on Sugar’s body are most likely painful and that the failure to obtain vet care for the condition constitutes neglect. Dr. Lily also stated that if the condition is worsened by the sun, then Sugar should be protected from the sun.

Ms. accepted citations for No License and No Rabies Vaccination for Rudolph and Sugar in addition to a citation for Neglect of Vet Care for Sugar. Ms. signed a premise inspection agreement to seek vet care within 3 days or be subject to additional citations.

Later on 06/23/14 at approximately 14:15 hours, Ms. husband came into PACC to submit paperwork from Santa Cruz Vet citing severe solar dermatitis, suspect cancerous tumor actively bleeding: left thorax 10-12 cm diam x 6 cm. Mr. said that a decision had been made to euthanize Sugar and he presented a copy of a receipt for euthanasia from Santa Cruz Vet dated 06/23/14.

Officer’s Signature: [Signature] Date: 01/24/14
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

**Pima County Health Department**

**Pima Animal Services**

**4000 N SILVERBELL RD**

**Tucson, AZ**

**Phone:** (520) 243-5900  
**Fax:** (520) 243-5960  
**www.pimaanimalcare.org**

**SUSPECT**

**ARLENE**

**ACO NAME / BADGE #:** C. YOUNG 1908  
**ACTIVITY / BITE NUMBER:** A14-150230

**CITY:** TUCSON  
**STATE:** AZ  
**ZIP:**  
**RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER:** NONE

**SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS:** NONE

**CITY:**  
**STATE:**  
**ZIP:**  
**BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER:**  
**DRIVERS LICENSE:**  
**SEX:**  
**WEIGHT:**  
**HEIGHT:**  
**EYES:**  
**HAIR:**  
**ORIGIN:**  
**DOB:**  
**SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER:** UNKNOWN

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT:** 2524 N PALO DULCE DR  
**DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT:** 06/26/14 @ 11:25  
**DATE AND TIME REPORTED:** 06/26/14 @ 10:43

**FOOD:**  
**WATER:**  
**SHELTER:**  
**VENTILATION:**  
**ABANDONED:**  
**TIEOUT:**  
**BEATEN:**  
**WASTE:**  
**INJ / ILL:**  
**OTHER (EXPLAIN):**

**VICTIM / COMPLAINANT NAME:** C. YOUNG  
**DATE OF BIRTH:**  
**RESIDENCE PHONE:**  
**BUSINESS PHONE:** 520-243-5900

**VICTIM'S ADDRESS:** 4000 N SILVERBELL RD  
**CITY:** TUCSON  
**STATE:** AZ  
**ZIP:** 85745

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE):**

**DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED:** YES  
**RESTITUTION REQUESTED:** YES  
**DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER:**  
**OTHER AGENCY CASE #:** 1406260239

**SHERIFF DEPT:**  
**TUCSON POLICE:**  
**FIRE:**  
**OTHER:**

**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM:**  
**VIOLATION:**  
**BITE SEVERITY:**  
**TREATED BY:**  
**PHONE NUMBER:**  
**DATE QUARANTINED:**  
**REVIEW DATE:**  
**PACKED:**  
**RELEASE DATE:**  
**VET:**  
**HOME:**

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM:** VET CLINIC  
**PHONE NUMBER:**  
**OWNER KNOWS OF BITE:**  
**YES:**  
**NO:**

**LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS:**

**CLINIC'S ADDRESS:**

**QUARANTINE DAYS:** 10  
**15  
**45  
**90:**  
**FRA HEAD#:**

**3RD PARTY CITATIONS:**  
**YES:**  
**NO:**

**CITING ACO:** C. YOUNG 1908  
**PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS:**  
**YES:**  
**NO:**

**PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER:**

**OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS:**

**VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE:** CODE / CODE VIOLATED

**CITATIONS / NUMBERS:** 71991A, B

**BREED / DESCRIPTION:** PIT BULL

**VICTIM:** OWNER  
**ANIMAL'S NAME:** KILLIAN  
**COLOR:** TAN / WHITE  
**SEX:** F  
**AGE:** 9YR  
**LICENSE #:** L13-015082  
**CONDITION:** ILL  
**ANIMAL #:** A065914

**WITNESS**

**TPD Officer Smith # 100046**

**WITNESS 2**

**WITNESS 3**

**WITNESS 4**

**DOB ADDRESS:**  
**RESIDENCE PHONE #:**  
**BUSINESS PHONE #:**
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-15230

ACO Name & Badge: C. YOUNG 1908

On June 26, 2014 at approximately 11:10 hours I met with Tucson Police Officer Smith #100046 in reference to a dog in distress. The dog, a tan and white colored Pit Bull named Killian, had already been removed from the property and was inside the patrol car. Killian had a very large growth on her underside. When I questioned the dog's owner, Andrea about vet care she told me that she had brought the dog to a vet about ten years ago when she noticed a small growth that she thought was a result of the surgery when Killian was spayed. She said the Veterinarian told her it was a fatty growth and was not painful for the dog so she was not concerned about it. I asked how long ago that was and she said "about ten years." I then asked if she had taken the dog to the vet again since and she told me that she had, each time the dog needed a rabies vaccination for her dog license. She told me the Veterinarian told her the growth needed to be removed but she said she could not afford the cost of the surgery and since the dog was not in any pain, she wasn't worried about it.

Outside of the home on the east side of the property was what I would guess at being two to three years of animal waste. The dogs water container and shelter were also located in this area so the dog would have to walk through the waste to get to her water and shelter. I asked Ms. if she would clean the waste before I completed my report and if so, I would not issue a citation for the excessive waste. She refused and said she will clean it but not while I wait. I took photos of the excessive waste and of the dog.

I issued citations for failure to provide vet care and excessive animal waste. Ms signed the citations and accepted her copies. She also signed a release of ownership document for Killian. I then advised her of her court date and time.
MEMORANDUM

TO: Kim Janes, Chief of External Operations
FROM: Debra Tenkate, Animal Care Field Supervisor
DATE: July 2, 2014
RE: Dangerous Dog Cases for June 2014

City of Tucson:

1. A14-142572---Jose Galvez, dog named Sadie was declared vicious by Judge Chayet. Investigator Carver served the declaration and is monitoring compliance.
2. A14-142428---Fausto Guerrero, dog named Prieto was declared vicious by Judge Hale. Investigator Eckelbarger served the declaration and is monitoring compliance.
3. A14-149422---Harry Wilhelmson, dog named Freckles was declared not dangerous by Investigator Klein.
4. A14-146202---Jesus Velasquez, dog named Pinto was declared vicious by Judge Chayet. Investigator Eckelbarger served the declaration and is monitoring compliance.

County:

5. A14-139271---Robert Ballou, dog named Tippy was declared not dangerous by Investigator Carver.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>CASE NUMBER</th>
<th>OWNER'S LAST NAME</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS ASSESSED</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS DECLARED DANGEROUS</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS DECLARED NOT DANGEROUS</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS IMPounded</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS RELINQUISHED</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS PTS</th>
<th>HEARING Y/N</th>
<th>UPHELD</th>
<th>NOT UPHELD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A14-142572</td>
<td>Jose Galvez</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A14-149911</td>
<td>Fausto Guerrero</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A14-149914</td>
<td>Harry Wilhelmsen</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A14-150252</td>
<td>Jesus Velasquez</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNTY</th>
<th>CASE NUMBER</th>
<th>OWNER'S LAST NAME</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS ASSESSED</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS DECLARED DANGEROUS</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS DECLARED NOT DANGEROUS</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS IMPounded</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS RELINQUISHED</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS PTS</th>
<th>HEARING Y/N</th>
<th>UPHELD</th>
<th>NOT UPHELD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A14-139271</td>
<td>Robert Ballou</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

**Suspect:** JOSE A GALVEZ  
**Address:**  
**State:** AZ  
**Phone Number:** NONE  
**Zip:** TUCSON  
**City:** TUCSON  
**State:** AZ  
**Phone Number:** NONE  

**ACO Name / Badge #:** J RADEMAKER  
**Complaint Number:** A14-142572  
**Bite Welfare Dangerous Other:**  
**Code if Other:** CI CO OTHER  

**Does this incident require victim request for waiver of rights?** YES NO  
**Date and Time Reported:** 03/02/14  
**Date and Time Occurred:** 03/02/14  
**Food Water Shelter Injured Ill Ventilation Abandoned Tied Out Beaten Waste Other (Explain):**  

**Victim/Complainant Name:** JOSUE ZUNIGA  
**D.O.B.:**  
**Phone Number:**  
**Residence Phone No.:**  
**Business Phone No.:**  
**Address:**  
**City:** TUCSON  
**State:** AZ  
**Zip:**  

**Victim's Business Address:**  
**City:** TUCSON  
**State:** AZ  
**Zip:**  

**Name of Lawful Representative (If Applicable):**  
**Address and Phone Number Same as Victim:** YES NO  
**Relationship to Victim:**  
**Phone Number:**  
**Lawful Representative Address:**  
**Clinic’s Address:**  
**3rd Party Citations:**  
**Citing ACO:**  
**Quarantine:**  
**Other Additional Reports:**  
**Code/UGO Violated:** 4-97, 4-7(2)(B)  
**Citations/Numbers:** 70806 A-E  
**Reviewed by:**  

**Breed/Description:**  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Victim or Owner Animal</th>
<th>Animal's Name</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Tag Color</th>
<th>License #</th>
<th>VK Certificate #</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Animal ID#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pitbull</td>
<td>SADIE</td>
<td>RED</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>INJ</td>
<td>A472354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chow</td>
<td>KODA</td>
<td>BR</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>INJ</td>
<td>A472851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd X</td>
<td>RUSSIA</td>
<td>BR</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>INJ</td>
<td>A472852</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Witness 1:**  
**DOB:** M F  
**Address:**  
**Residence Phone #:**  
**Business Phone #:**  

**Witness 2:**  
**DOB:** M F  
**Address:**  
**Residence Phone #:**  
**Business Phone #:**  

**Witness 3:**  
**DOB:** M F  
**Address:**  
**Residence Phone #:**  
**Business Phone #:**  

**Witness 4:**  
**DOB:** M F  
**Address:**  
**Residence Phone #:**  
**Business Phone #:**
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-142572
ACO name & Badge: J RADEMAKER 2019

On March 2, 2014 at 7:15 PM Officer Meek 2015 responded to assist Tucson Police Department (TPD) with a dog on dog attack complaint.

He arrived at the address and was able to meet with Officer Martinez badge number 49568 who also provided the case number of 1403020402. Officer Martinez advised Officer Meek that the dogs residing at were able to break through the fence and attack the dogs at Officer Martinez stated that a neighborhood resident was able to dump water on the dogs to separate them and to stop the fight. When he arrived one of the attacking dogs was cornered on the side of the residence. He was able to impound the dog.

After impounding the dog Officer Meek was able to meet with the victim dog's owner, Mr. Josue Zuniga. He asked to see Mr. Zuniga's dogs and he showed Officer Meek to the back of the residence where he had the dogs confined to a restroom.

Officer Meek was able to meet with first dog, a Chow type mix named Koda. He observed Koda to have a few puncture wounds on her legs as well as several on her head and ear. She appeared to be in a certain amount of discomfort as she shook her head. He was able to photograph Koda. The next dog Officer Meek met was a Shepherd mix type named Russia; Russia did not have near the amount of punctures that Koda did but did have some on her legs. He photographed Russia.

Officer Meek asked Mr. Zuniga if he would like citations issued to the dog owner. He advised Officer Meek that he would like citations issued as well as a dangerous dog evaluation performed. Officer Meek advised Mr. Zuniga that he would indicated that information to the complaint and Officer Meek asked if the owner planned on taking the dogs to an emergency vet that evening. Mr. Zuniga advised him that the bleeding did stop and he was going to clean the dogs up to get a better view of what injuries they sustained and that he thought he would take them in tomorrow (03/03).
Officer Meek then posted a notice on advising the dog owner of the impound of one dog. The complainant lists two dogs at that residence but neither TPD Officers nor Officer Meek were able to locate the other dog.

On March 4, 2014 at approximately 4:00PM I, Officer Rademaker 2019, met with dog owner Jose Galvez of at Pima Animal Care Center (PACC). Mr Galvez is a self described paranoid schizophrenic who says he is under long term treatment at the VA and also has post traumatic stress disorder, bi-polar disorder and several other illnesses.

I issued him citations on behalf of Officer Meek 2015 for the incident of 03/02/14. I issued him one leash law violation citation and two biting animal citations. I explained the citations and Mr. Galvez said he understood.

I told him we would not release his dog Sadie until an officer conducts a premises inspection for confinement. I said I would do so March 5, 2014.

On March 5, 2014 at 4:19PM I went to meet with Mr. Galvez at and found out he had gone to PACC looking for me as I arrived later than I said I would. Supervisor Montano was meeting with him and had him return to meet with me.

While waiting for Mr. Galvez I met the complainant Mr. Zuniga. He showed me where the incident took place. He said several neighbors saw the incident and would testify that both of Mr Galvez dogs were in his yard and he would like leash law citations for that dog as well. I spoke with the neighbor from who told me he had only seen the dog Sadie in Mr. Zuniga's yard. Mr. Zuniga did not have names or addresses for the other witnesses but said the young lady who lives directly across the street to the north, her mother who lives across the street to the east in the north most apartment and the lady who lives across the street to the east in the house with lion statues. I wasn't able to contact any of them.

Mr Galvez returned home and I inspected his yard. It seems adequate repairs have been made to allow the hold to be removed for Sadie, which I noted on her kennel card.

Officer's Signature: 

Date: 3/5/14

2019
DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VIOLENT ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

- An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.
- An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.
- An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

ON 5/27/14 THE DOG SADIE BELONGING TO JOSE CALVET WAS DECLARED VIOLENT BY JUDGE CHAYET IN TUCSON CITY COURT.

DOCKET # CR 14027690

OWNER: JOSE A. CALVET
ADDRESS: 
PHONE: 

ANIMAL NAME: SADIE
ANIMAL ID#: A-472354
SEX: F COLOR: L BREED: Pit Bull

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION’S ORDINANCE / CODE.

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

**Suspect:** Fausto Guerrero  
**ACO Name / Badge #:** Windauer #1984  
**Complaint Number:** A14-142428

**Suspect's Address:**
- **City:** Tucson  
- **State:** AZ  
- **Residence Phone Number:**

**Suspect's Business Address:**
- **Zip:**  
- **City:**  
- **State:**  
- **Business Phone Number:**

**Sex:**  
- **Weight:**  
- **Height:**  
- **Eye Color:**  
- **Hair Color:**

**Does this incident require victim request for waiver of rights?** Yes □ No □

**Location of incident:**
- **Date and Time Reported:** 2-28-14 / 0821  
- **Date and Time Occurred:** 2-28-14 / 0730

**Food:** □  
**Water:** □  
**Shelter:** □  
**Injured/ Ill:** □  
**Ventilation:** □  
**Abandoned:** □  
**Tied Out:** □  
**Beaten:** □  
**Waste:** □  
**Other (Explain):**

**Victim/Complaintant Name:** Elizabeth Escuvero
- **D.O.B:**
- **Residence Phone No.:**
- **Business Phone No.:**

**Victim's Address:**
- **Zip:**
- **City:** Tucson  
- **State:** AZ

**Victim's Business Address:**
- **Zip:**
- **City:**
- **State:**

**Name of Lawful Representative (if applicable):**

**Address and Phone Number Same As Victim:**

**Relationship to Victim:**

**Phone Number:**

**Lawful Representative Address:**

**Clinic's Address:**

**Quarantine:** 10 □ 15 □ 45 □ 180 □

**3rd Party Citations:**

**Citing ACO:**

**Code/Ord Violated:** 4-97, 4-7(2)(b), 4-81

**Citations/Numbers:** 7262 A-C

**Breed/Description:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal's Name</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Tag Color</th>
<th>License #</th>
<th>VI Certificate #</th>
<th>CHHID</th>
<th>Animal ID #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>German Shepherd Mix</td>
<td>Prieto</td>
<td>blk/tan</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3y</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A475083</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Witness 1:**  
- **Sex:** M □ F □  
- **DOB:**
- **Address:**
- **Residence Phone #:**
- **Business Phone #:**

**Witness 2:**  
- **Sex:** M □ F □  
- **DOB:**
- **Address:**
- **Residence Phone #:**
- **Business Phone #:**

**Witness 3:**  
- **Sex:** M □ F □  
- **DOB:**
- **Address:**
- **Residence Phone #:**
- **Business Phone #:**

**Witness 4:**  
- **Sex:** M □ F □  
- **DOB:**
- **Address:**
- **Residence Phone #:**
- **Business Phone #:**
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-142428
ACO name & Badge: Windauer #1984

On February 28, 2014 at approximately 0821 hours the Pima County Animal Care Centers Dispatch Office received a bite report from the victim.

On March 11, 2014 1147 hours, Officer Bowden #2013 attempted to make contact with victim but found no one home and when she patrolled area she could not locate the described owners house or dog.

On March 27, 2014 at 1634 hours I, Officer Windauer #1984 met with victim, Elizabeth Escuvero and her mother and siblings. I was told, on February 28, 2014 at approximately 0745 hours, she had been walking eastbound on the southside of after dropping off her little sister at school. She said she saw a german shepherd dog in front yard of a pink house with the gate open. She saw a gray truck coming out of the gate. She said the driver of the truck saw the shepherd run up to her barking and then bite her leg but they did nothing. She said they put the dog back in the yard, closed the gate and then drove away.

Elizabeth said she had been wearing pants that day and hadn't realized the skin had been broken until she got home. She then called her mother who advised her to call Pima Animal Care.

I asked to see the bite wound. I saw a slight scar on the back of her upper right thigh, that appeared it might be an upper and a lower abrasion. Elizabeth advised she did not go for medical care but was upset that the driver didn't do anything or say anything to her. She requested citations be written for the incident. I was also told she had not seen the dog loose before or since, just the dog running the fence line.

I was given directions to owners house-go west on , from next cross street to west. The pink house should be 3-4 properties down on southside of road-a violet house.

I located owners a few properties west of what was told me but the house was a pinkish/purpleish stucco, maybe mauve and the dog inside the yard matched the description given. I made contact with dog owner Fausto Guerrero and advised of incident. He didn't know what I was talking about, he said he left for work at 0420 hours in the morning and drives a green truck. He did advise his wife leaves at a later time and drives a gray truck. The wife was not home now.

After taking pictures of dog and property, I returned to victims. Elizabeth positively identified the dog and the property as the correct place. I then returned to the dog owners and issued citations for the incident and for having No License on the dog-Prieto.

Officer’s Signature: [Signature]  Date: 3/28/14
DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

____ An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

____ An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

X An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:
The dog "Prieto" was declared vicious by Tucson City Court Judge Hall on 6-20-14.

OWNER: Fausto Guerrero  ANIMAL NAME: Prieto
ADDRESS:  ANIMAL ID#: A475083
PHONE: SEX: M, COLOR: Black  BREED: G-Shp

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE.

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson, to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department  
Pima Animal Care Center  
4001 N. Silverbell Rd.  
Tucson, Arizona 85718  
Phone: (520) 243-5650  
Fax: (520) 243-5650  
www.pimaanimalsare.org

- **Suspect**: Harry Wilhelmsen  
- **ACO Name / Badge #**: J Rademaker 2019  
- **Complaint Number**: A41-49422  

**Suspect’s Address**  
- ZIP:  
- CITY: TUCSON  
- STATE: AZ  
- Residence Phone Number:  

**Suspect’s Business Address**  
- ZIP:  
- CITY:  
- STATE:  
- Business Phone Number:  
- Drivers License:  

**Sex**  
- Weight:  
- Height:  
- Eyes:  
- Hair Color:  
- Origin:  
- DOB:  
- SSN:  

**Does This Incident Require Victim Request for Waiver of Rights? Yes [ ] No [X]**  

- I CHOOSE “upon request” rights in this case: [ ]  
- I WAIVE “upon request” rights in this case: [ ]  

**Location of Incident**  
- Date and Time Reported: 06/13/14 / 0545  
- Date and Time Occurred: 06/03/14 / 0615  

**Food Water Shelter Injured/All Ventilation Abandoned Tieout Beaten Waste Other (explain)**  
- [ ]  
- [ ]  
- [ ]  
- [ ]  
- [ ]  
- [ ]  
- [ ]  
- [ ]  

**Victim/Complainant Name**: Howard Daberry  
- D.O.B:  
- Residence Phone No.:  
- Business Phone No.:  

**Victim’s Address**  
- ZIP:  
- CITY: TUCSON  
- STATE: AZ  

**Victim’s Business Address**  
- ZIP:  
- CITY:  
- STATE:  

**Name of Lawful Representative (If Applicable)**  

**Address and Phone Number Same as Victim**: [ ]  

**Relationship to Victim**:  

**Phone Number**:  

**Lawful Representative Address**  
- CLINIC’S ADDRESS:  
- MONUMENT EAST VETERINARY HOSPITAL  
- PHONE NUMBER: 208-8350  
- Owner knows of bite: YES [ ] NO [X]  
- Release Date:  

**Lawful Representative Signature**:  

**Citations/Numbers**: 72460A,B  

**Breed/Description Victim or Owner Animal**  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BREED/DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>ANIMAL’S NAME</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>TAG COLOR</th>
<th>LICENSE #</th>
<th>VX CERTIFICATE #</th>
<th>CDNO</th>
<th>ANIMAL ID#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MINIATURE SCHNAUZER</td>
<td>SYDNEY</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>8Y</td>
<td>L13-210801</td>
<td>CURRENT</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A452625</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEELER</td>
<td>SIENNA</td>
<td>R MERLE</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>5Y</td>
<td>L13-210802</td>
<td>CURRENT</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A452622</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEELER</td>
<td>FRECKLES</td>
<td>R MERLE</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>7Y</td>
<td>L14-135697</td>
<td>CURRENT</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A303529</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Witness 1**:  
- M [ ] F [X]  
- DOB:  
- Address:  
- Residence Phone #:  
- Business Phone #:  

**Witness 2**:  
- M [ ] F [X]  
- DOB:  
- Address:  
- Residence Phone #:  
- Business Phone #:  

**Witness 3**:  
- M [ ] F [X]  
- DOB:  
- Address:  
- Residence Phone #:  
- Business Phone #:  

**Witness 4**:  
- M [ ] F [X]  
- DOB:  
- Address:  
- Residence Phone #:  
- Business Phone #:  

**Reviewed By**:  

6-20-14
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-149422

ACO name & Badge: J RADEMAKER 2019

On June 13, 2014 at 5:43AM Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) dispatch received a call from Howard Daberry saying that on Monday the 25th of May he was walking with dog at Udall Park when a dog got away from its owner and attacked his dog. He stated he took his dog to Monument East Veterinary Hospital for treatment (spleen was enlarged). He said he was at the park and will call back with the attacking dog owners information.

On June 13, 2014 at 7:02AM PACC dispatch received a call back from Mr. Daberry with the attacking dog owners address ( ) . He stated that the attack happened on the 3rd of June, not May 26th. He also stated that the dog that attacked his was involved in another case, A13-119967.

On June 17, 2014 at 6:35PM I, Officer Rademaker 2019, met with complainant Howard Daberry. He said that on the morning of June 3, 2014 at approximately 6:15AM he and his wife Dorthy were walking their 2 dogs, Heeler Sienna and miniature Schnauzer Sydney, on leash at the parking off between the two restrooms when a Heeler dog broke away from its owner and attack the dog Sydney, which Mr Daberry was walking. The owners of the attacking dog had been speaking with a park employee, probably named , who witnessed the attack and helped get the attacking dog off Sydney. The owner of the attacking dog did not give Mr Daberry any information at the time.

Mr Daberry spoke with another person, Nancy Whittaker, who frequently walks her dog at . He said she told him the attacking dog’s owners reside at and where involved in a similar attack on her dog A13-119967. No citations were issued for this activity.

Mr Daberry said Sydney had no punctures but became ill the next day with what the vet described as consistent with trauma. Mr Daberry requests that citations be issued the attacking dog owners on his behalf for leash law and biting animal violations, that a dangerous dog evaluation be conducted and the court order restitution for his Veterinary expenses.
On June 19, 2019 at 3:15PM I went to __________ and met with owners Harry and Dorthy Wilhelmsen and interacted with their Heeler dog Freckles. The dog was friendly with me and doesn't appear to be human aggressive. I informed the owners of the complaint and they acknowledged the incident. Mr Wilhelmsen said that he never lost hold of the leash as he had it wrapped around his hand. He did admit that he did not hear the victim approaching from his back side and that Freckles did run around him, pulling the leash and making contact with the victim dog. He did agree that the park maintenance worker he was speaking with at the time, __________ did take the leash away from him and did retrieve Freckles. The Wilhelmsens seemed to have a hard time understanding how they could be cited for "biting animal" when there was no bite involved. I told them at least 5 times that the biting animal ordinance includes attack and injury being caused, even if no puncture is present.

I explained that the victims requested a dangerous dog evaluation and that the court order restitution for their veterinary expenses. I told them an Investigator would be contacting them.

I issued Mr. Wilhelmsen citations for leash law and biting animal (dog on dog attack) violations, explained court and they both said they understood.

On June 20, 2014 I went to __________ and met with __________ who said __________ works Sunday through Thursday 0600-1430. I will interview him next week and send the court an addendum to my report.

Officer's Signature: [Signature]  Date: 6/20/14
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EVALUATION CRITERIA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REPORTED BITES:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NON-VIOLATION BITE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIOLATION-BITE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO BREAK IN SKIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Animal Complaints or Violations:                      |
| LEASH LAW CITATIONS                                  | + 2 |
| LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS                                 | + 1 |
| ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS                             | + 2 |
| ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS                              | + 3 |
| OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS                      | + 1 |

| SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:                        |
| ATTACK WITH NO INJURY                                 | + 1 |
| INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER                             | + 2 |
| VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits)                              | + 3 |
| EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS)                        | + 4 |
| INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH                            | + 5 |

| Confinement / Fencing:                                |
| THE PROPERTY IS CONFINED BY A 5 FOOT TALL WALL WITH A SECURE/Gate. |

| General Comments:                                     |
| AFTER CONDUCTING NEIGHBOR INTERVIEWS AND COMPLETING THIS ASSESSMENT I FIND FRECULES NOT DANGEROUS AT THIS TIME. |

| TOTAL SCORE:                                           |
| -3                                                     |

| CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)          |
| (Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident) |
| SECURE FENCE WALL AND GATES                            | - 5 |
| INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES                            | + 5 |

| OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:                  |
| REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT                         | - 3 |
| ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED                            | - 1 |
| OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION                          | + 1 |
| OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT                     | + 5 |
| CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # 13514917                       | - 1 |
| NO CURRENT LICENSE                                     | + 1 |
| NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION                          | + 1 |

| NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):        |
| (Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)                    |
| ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE                          | - 3 |
| ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE                          | - 3 |
| ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >=XYXR                          | + 1 |
| ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >=XYXR                          | + 2 |
| ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE                        | + 2 |

| DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)                |
| ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY                             | + 2 |
| ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE                                  | - 2 |
| ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR                           | + 1 |

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL.

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-146202

ACO name & Badge: M. Fish #1995

On April 24th, 2014 at approximately 15:45 hours, Officer M. Fish #1995, responded to an emergency complaint regarding a violation dog bite with the biter reported to be still running loose in the area. When I arrived on scene I met with the 5 year old victim, J.J., and her mother, Carmen Ruis, at their home as well as some other small children that were walking with the victim when the bite occurred. According to the victim and the other children with her they were walking in the mobile home park past approximately 14:45 hours when they saw the black and gray dog that lives at their home running loose in the street. The dog began barking and growling at the children and most them ran off, however, the victim was scared and froze in place. The dog approached the victim and according to the victim bit her on the right knee causing a small puncture wound that cause a break in the skin which began bleeding. The victim and the other kids then ran home and informed the victim's parents about the bite. When I asked if the dog owner had seen the incident or if they had told the dog owner what had happened they stated that they were to scared to try and see if anyone was home so the owner was not aware of the bite.

I was worried that the dog might still be loose and a danger to anyone else walking in the area so I followed the victim's father in my vehicle and he showed me where the dog lived. Upon seeing the dog I realized that just a month or so ago I had been patrolling the area for a Pit Bull that had been charging residents and acting aggressively and I found the dog from running loose by his home. At that time the dog had been frightened of me and was very timid but it did match the description of what the victim and the other children had described as the biter. I did not see the dog running loose at the time so I followed the victim's father back to their residence.

I photographed the victim's wounds and explained that the bite was a violation bite. I explained the quarantine process to the family and asked the victim's parents if they wished to have citations issued on their behalf for the dog bite and restitution for any medical bills that they may incur if there was a positive identification of the biter. The victim's mother did wish to have
citations issued to the dog owners. I then went to the alleged dog owner’s residence and made contact with Jesus R. Velazquez and informed him of the incident that had occurred about an hour and a half earlier. Mr. Velazquez did admit that his dog, Pinto, a black and gray Heeler mix did escape from their yard at times. I related the story of my run in with Pinto a month earlier and that I had seen him loose before. I photographed Pinto and confirmed that he did in fact have a current Rabies vaccination (IMRAB 3 / Exp: 10-02-14) and was currently licensed in Pima County (L13-210586 / Exp: 10-02-14). I then returned to the victim’s residence and showed the photograph of Pinto to the victim and some of the kids who were with the victim and the victim and the kids all confirmed that Pinto was the biter. I informed the victim’s mother that the dog did have a current Rabies vaccination and was currently licensed in Pima County.

After receiving the positive identification of Pinto as the biter I returned to the dog owners’ residence and informed them that the victim did positively identify Pinto as the dog that bit her. I explained the quarantine requirements in Pima County for violation dog bites and explained that they would need to either have Pinto quarantined at Pima Animal Care Center or at a veterinarian of their choice for the mandatory 10 day quarantine. The dog owners wanted to see what the cost differences were for quarantining their dog at our facility versus a veterinarian’s office so I granted them a 24 hour quarantine transportation agreement that gave them until 17:00 hours on April 25th, 2014 to have their dog either at Pima Animal Care Center or at a veterinarian’s office that can board Pinto and provide a veterinarian to monitor his health for the entire quarantine period. After explaining the quarantine process I issued Mr. Velazquez the citations for Biting Animal and Leash Law on behalf of the victim and her parents.

Officer’s Signature: [Signature] Date: 4/26/14
DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

____ An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

____ An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

X   An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:
The dog "Pinto" was declared vicious by Tucson City Court Judge Chayet 06-23-14.

OWNER:    Jesus Galazquez
ADDRESS:  
PHONE:    

ANIMAL NAME:  Pinto
ANIMAL ID#:  A456496
SEX:     M    COLOR:   Black/Brown
BREED:  Heeler

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION’S ORDINANCE / CODE.

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department  
Pima Animal Services  
400 E. 16th Street  
Tucson, AZ 85701  
Phone: (520) 243-6590  
Fax: (520) 243-6591  
www.pimaanimalsare.org

**SUSPECT**  
Robert Harry Ballou  
ACO NAME / BADGE #: Carver #1901  
COMPLAINT NUMBER: 14-139271

**SUSPECT'S ADDRESS**  
TUC AZ  
RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER

**SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS**

**SEX**  
WEIGHT  
HEIGHT  
EYES  
HAIR COLOR  
ORIGIN  
DOB  
SSN

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**

**DATE AND TIME REPORTED**  
1-13-14 / 1433  
1-13-14 / 1428

**FOOD**  
**WATER**  
**SHELTER**  
**INJURED**  
**ILL**  
**VENTILATION**  
**ABANDONED**  
**TIEOUT**  
**BEATEN**  
**WASTE**  
**OTHER** (EXPLAIN)

**FOOD**  
**WATER**  
**SHELTER**  
**INJURED**  
**ILL**  
**VENTILATION**  
**ABANDONED**  
**TIEOUT**  
**BEATEN**  
**WASTE**  
**OTHER** (EXPLAIN)

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE**

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE**

**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS**

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM**

**VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE**

**VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE**

**BREED/DESCRIPTION**

**VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL**

---

**ANIMAL'S NAME**  
**COLOR**  
**SEX**  
**AGE**  
**TAG COLOR**  
**LICENSE #**  
**VX CERTIFICATE #**  
**CSID**  
**ANIMAL ID #**

**Sharpei X**  
**VICTIM**

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

**WITNESS 1**  
PCCS Boney #5835  
DOB  
ADDRESS  
RESIDENCE PHONE #  
BUSINESS PHONE #

**WITNESS 2**  
DOB  
ADDRESS  
RESIDENCE PHONE #  
BUSINESS PHONE #

**WITNESS 3**  
DOB  
ADDRESS  
RESIDENCE PHONE #  
BUSINESS PHONE #

**WITNESS 4**  
DOB  
ADDRESS  
RESIDENCE PHONE #  
BUSINESS PHONE #
INVESTIGATION REPORT

On 01-13-14 at approximately 1428 hours Dillon Kyle was walking south bound on north As he was walking past a vehicle approached and Dillon moved to the east side of the road along the fence line for that property, at that time a tan and white mix breed dog jumped up on the fence and bit Dillon on the left forearm.

At 1521 hours I, Officer Carver #1901 responded to the victim's address at where I met with Pima County Deputy Borquez # 5835. Deputy Borquez related the above incident to me and introduced me to the victim's step-father John Fugate who confirmed the incident also. Dillon was being treated by paramedics at that time and transported to North West Hospital. Mr. Fugate stated that they want citations issued to the dog owner for the incident they also request restitution for all expenses related to the incident.

I then responded to where I met with Mr. and Mrs. Robert Ballou. I informed them of the incident. They were both surprised and concerned for Dillon and asked if he was going to be ok. They provided me with current rabies vaccination information for their dog Tippy. Tippy is a tan and white Sharpei mix. The vaccination was given in September of 2013. I issued citations to Mr. Ballou for biting dog, leash law and no current license. I placed the dog under home quarantine with the agreement that the dog would be kept in their enclosed kennel run when the dog is outside. At Mr. Ballou's request I returned to the victim's address and expressed his concern and gave Mr. Fugate all of Mr. Ballou's contact information.

Officer's Signature: 1901 Date:01/14/14
CASE NO: 14-149001
OWNER: ROBERT BALLOU
ANIMAL NAME: TIPSY

REPORTED BITES:
NON-VIOLATION BITE + 3
VIOLATION-BITE + 6

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:
(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)
NO BREAK IN SKIN + 1
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING + 2
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED) + 3
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT + 4
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM + 4
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION) + 5

Animal Complaints or Violations:
LEASH LAW CITATIONS + 2
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS + 1
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS + 2
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS + 3
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS + 1

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:
ATTACK WITH NO INJURY + 1
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER + 2
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits) + 3
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS) + 4
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH + 5

Confinement / Fencing:
FIVE FOOT INNER YARD FENCE WITH SECURE GATE

General Comments:
NO COMPLAINT PRIOR TO OR SINCE THE INCIDENT WHICH WAS 4 MONTH AGO. THE DOG WAS CALM OR FRIENDLY DURING THE 2 TIMES I'VE MET HER. NEIGHBORS HAVE NEVER SEEN HER LOOSE OR AGGRESSIVE. TIPSY IS NOT DANGEROUS

OFFICER

TOTAL SCORE: + 2
DANGEROUS

PACC-DD1

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.
## HOLD TYPE ENFORCEMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A14-143538</th>
<th>K14-156507</th>
<th>A473734</th>
<th>DOG</th>
<th>HYPER</th>
<th>CANE CORSO/</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/14/14 QUARANTINEEXPOSURE</td>
<td>AGGRESSIVE</td>
<td>Activity:A14-143538</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment: no bite/ NO CHIP HOLD FOR DD ASSESSMENT</td>
<td>1911 Hold for wildlife exposure. 1942</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A14-150029</th>
<th>K14-164530</th>
<th>A485281</th>
<th>DOG</th>
<th>CHIHUAHUA SH/</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6/23/14 STRAY</td>
<td>FIELD</td>
<td>NORMAL</td>
<td>Activity:A14-150029</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment: no chip found...2oo2 3c3c3c3c3c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

06/23/14 @ 0815 hrs Met TPD at address, three Chihuahua have been causing problems in traffic. Resident who called TPD request a trap in front yard if possible. I chased the dogs 3 white to cream colored Chihuahua's all different ways. I believe they live at two or three different addresses. I impounded one female in heat.

I explained to complainant that the dogs are not homeless, in pretty good shape and come to his house because of the shade, food and water. I asked him to stop feeding them. I would put call in traffic as a low priority since dogs are not aggressive....2oo2
cite for leash law

### A14-150260

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K14-164832</th>
<th>A353823</th>
<th>DOG</th>
<th>SPOT</th>
<th>PIT BULL/MIX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6/26/14 QUARANTINEBITE</td>
<td>NORMAL</td>
<td>Activity:A14-150260</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment: P2584607 TO DO LIST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### A14-150919

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K14-165543</th>
<th>A486996</th>
<th>DOG</th>
<th>POLO</th>
<th>PIT BULL/MIX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7/6/14 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN</td>
<td>NORMAL</td>
<td>Activity:A14-150919</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment: no bite / no chip detected 3c3c3c3c P344900 ADVISED HE WILL REDEEM HIS DOG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### A14-151021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K14-165745</th>
<th>A219708</th>
<th>DOG</th>
<th>ROSE</th>
<th>CHOW CHOW/MIX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7/7/14 QUARANTINEBITE</td>
<td>AGGRESSIVE</td>
<td>Activity:A14-151021</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment: dog is current on vacs and license 3c3c3c3c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### NO ACTIVITY NUMBER RECORDED

<p>| 7/10/14 | 11:03 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K14-164675</th>
<th>A482301</th>
<th>DOG</th>
<th>COOCHIE</th>
<th>LABRADOR RETR/MIX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6/24/14</td>
<td>STRAY</td>
<td>OTC OWNED NORMAL</td>
<td>Activity:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment: no bite/chip #0A141B163A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

premise inspection see memo
### HOLD TYPE VET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number on Hold</th>
<th>Kennel_no</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### K14-158532 A476387 DOG BOXER/
- **4/10/14** CONFISCATE FIELD OWN INJ MINOR Activity:A14-145235
- Kennel Comment: no bite / no chip
- D018
- R

**VET HOLD WHEN ENFORCEMENT HOLD IS OVER.**
- 04/29/2014 VET DREEDER 4/29/14 14:40
- Vet hold for infection and weight gain 1977
- 04/10/2014 VET ASANCHE 4/10/14 17:34

**VET HOLD FOR TREATMENT, MONITOR OF WEIGHT, AND WEEKLY PICTURES.00**

#### K14-158533 A476386 DOG PIT BULL/
- **4/10/14** CONFISCATE FIELD OWN INJ MINOR Activity:A14-145235
- Kennel Comment: no bite / no chip
- VET HOLD WHEN ENFORCEMENT HOLD IS OVER.00
- D018
- R

**VET HOLD WHEN ENFORCEMENT HOLD IS OVER.**
- 04/29/2014 VET DREEDER 4/29/14 16:26
- Vet hold for mange tx, weight gain 1977
- 04/10/2014 VET ASANCHE 4/10/14 17:50

**VET HOLD FOR TREATMENT, MONITOR OF WEIGHT, AND WEEKLY PICTURES.00**

#### A14-146672

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K14-160162 A327558 DOG SANDY RIBBON PIT BULL/</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
- **5/2/14** CONFISCATE NIGHT OWN INJ MINOR Activity:A14-146672
- Kennel Comment: chip 0a124c22a1
- D072
- R

**treatment and possible toe amputation after enforcement hold is released 1977**

#### A14-148010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K14-163727 A484183 DOG DESTINY ROTTWEILER/CHINESE SHARPEI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
- **6/12/14** OWNER SUR FIELD OWN ILL SEVERE Activity:A14-148010
- Kennel Comment: see activity and refer to enforcement if owner attempts to redeem.2047
- DR005
- R

#### A14-148343

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K14-163590 A438884 DOG CHARMING PIT BULL/</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
- **6/11/14** CONFISCATE FIELD OWN ILL MINOR Activity:A14-148343
- Kennel Comment: no chip found/tpd felony investigation
- D077
- R

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K14-163592 A483987 DOG GERM SHEPHERD/MIX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
- **6/11/14** CONFISCATE FIELD OWN ILL MINOR Activity:A14-148343
- Kennel Comment: no chip found/tpd felony investigation
- D077
- R

#### A14-149958

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K14-164440 A485188 DOG SAPHIRA PIT BULL/</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
- **6/21/14** CONFISCATE FIELD OWN INJ SEVERE Activity:A14-149958
- Kennel Comment: owner relinquished.1926
  6/21 microchipped dog 0a14116b69
- CLINIC
- R

7/10/14 11:03 Page 3 of 5
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO ACTIVITY NUMBER RECORDED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K14-162100  A433064  DOG  MIRANDA  PIT BULL/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/24/14  OWNER SUR OTC OWNED NORMAL  Activity:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment: NO BITE - NO CHIP chip 0a135a0705</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D095  R
## Donation Activity

**Period:**  7/1/13  
**To:**  6/30/14

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donation Code</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DONATION</td>
<td>$1,995.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION ADOP</td>
<td>$6,704.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION GEN</td>
<td>$60,265.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION OTIS</td>
<td>($26.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION OUTR</td>
<td>$3,649.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION S/N</td>
<td>$162,316.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION SAMS</td>
<td>$55,411.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Grand Total**  
$290,316.43

*Wednesday, July 09, 2014*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donation Code</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DONATION</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION ADOP</td>
<td>$275.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION GEN</td>
<td>$12,833.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION OUTR</td>
<td>$410.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION S/N</td>
<td>$13,220.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION SAMS</td>
<td>$3,651.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$30,389.35</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Complaints for the Month of June 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6-10-14</td>
<td>Complaint called into PACC Admin</td>
<td>Woman complained that while walking her leashed dog another dog owner, who she claims has been a source of loose dogs issues in the past, let his two leashed dogs go. The loose dogs ran up to the complainant and she fell. She complained about lack of a response from PACC and wants something done with the owner.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Course/Action</td>
<td>An Officer met with complainant on 6-13-14 and explained her rights in this case, regarding having the owner cited for the incident. She declined having the owner cited. She was advised she had up to a year to have the owner cited. She understood and requested the officer to speak with the dog owner and explain the liabilities, regarding such incidents. The officer then met with the dog owner explained the leash law ordinance and the liabilities of the incident. The owner was cited for not having one dog licensed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>The complaint was closed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10-14</td>
<td>Complaint e-mailed to Mr. Janes</td>
<td>An individual who is required by a court to have no pet ownership until completion of pet ownership classes, now has a dog.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Course/Action</td>
<td>Staff confirmed with the court that the required classes were attended.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>The complaint was closed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-19-14</td>
<td>Complaint came via Pima County D5 Office</td>
<td>Loose dog and dead dog</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Course/Action</td>
<td>Rottweiler mix impounded in general complaint area on 6-19-14; Carcass near complaint address picked up on 6-20-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>The complaint was closed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-25-14</td>
<td>Complaint came via City Ward 2 office</td>
<td>A large tortoise was under a car and wouldn’t move.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Course/Action</td>
<td>Officer responded – a neighbor took in the tortoise, thinking he knows where the owner lives. Based on description, the tortoise is not believed to be indigenous – rescue groups discussed in case no owner is located. Owner was located and retrieved tortoise.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>The complaint was closed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-26-14</td>
<td>Complaint came from Pima County Department of Environmental Quality</td>
<td>Dead hog (Magee Rd)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Course/Action</td>
<td>Carcass picked up the next morning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>The complaint was closed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
June 3, 2014

Re: 2014 Tucson Fire Fighter Ball Donation

To whom it may concern,

The Tucson Fire Fighters Association would like to thank you for your generous support and donations to the 2014 Tucson Fire Fighters Ball. Thanks to your support we were able to raise $60,000.00 to benefit the Tucson Fire Fighter Charities. Benefactors of the Fire Fighter Charities include our Adopt A Family Program, Juvenile Diabetes, Tucson Boys and Girls Club, Youth Sports, American Cancer Society, Diamond Children's Services and other local charities.

Those supporting this wonderful cause were recognized for their contribution in the program and power point presentation at the event. Thank you again and we hope to have your continued support in the future.

Sincerely,

Roger Wright
Tucson Fire Fighter Ball Chairman