1. Call to Order

Mr. Neuman called the meeting to order at 5:35 pm

- Attendance

Present:
Tamara Barrick, Foundation for Animals in Risk
Nancy Emptage, Vice-Chair, Animal Welfare Coalition
Pat Hubbard, Humane Society of Southern Arizona
Derek Marshall, Public Education
Jack Neuman, Chair, PACC Volunteers
Erin O'Donnell, DVM, Southern AZ Veterinary Medical Association
Jane Schwerin, People for Animals in the Prevention of Cruelty and Neglect
Kim Janes, Pima Animal Care Center, Ex-Offico

Absent:
Sophia Kaluzniacki, DVM, ASPCA of AZ, Inc
Gail Smith, MD, Board of Health
Angela Spencer, City of Tucson

- Pledge of Allegiance

2. Adoption of the Minutes of the May 15, 2014 Meeting

After a brief discussion, the motion was made and seconded (Marshall/Hubbard) that the May 15, 2014 Minutes be adopted as written. The motion carried 6-0. Ms. Schwerin abstained from the vote.

3. Call to the Audience

There were no speakers from the audience.

4. Manager’s Report

Kim Janes, Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) Chief of External Affairs, addressed the Committee. Mr. Janes’ new assistant Michael Schlueter was reintroduced and venue specific information was shared. Mr. Janes talked about the three-month ASPCA Rachel Ray Challenge. Last year PACC had 3,046 live releases during the same time frame and needs a daily average of 33.11 to achieve that total again. PACC is averaging 42.9 per day during the first 18 days of the Challenge, but would need 54.35 per day to achieve the goal of 5,000 by the end of August. During May 2014 PACC had a live release rate of 80 percent, with a year-to-date rate of 76 percent. In March 2014 the average live release rate was 35.97; in April it was 33; and in May it was 41.2. Discussion turned toward grading performance in the ASPCA Rachel Ray Challenge. There are five divisions in the Challenge. In each
division the best at improving live releases, according to their formula, is awarded $25,000; best overall is worth $100,000; and there are other monetary incentives. Discussion brought out that PACC’s average cost per outcome is approximately $115, per Mr. Janes.

5. **Old Business**

- **Ideas to Increase the Number of Pima Animal Care Center Officers**

  Mr. Neuman discussed that enforcement numbers are down. Mr. Janes responded that there have been less welfare responses; however, they have been more complicated and time consuming. Item to be continued to next meeting.

- **Schedule, Number and Training of Department of Corrections Inmates**

  Mr. Janes reported that the current contract with the Arizona Department of Corrections (DOC) calls for 14 inmates in the morning and eight in the afternoon, but DOC has struggled to provide these numbers and the typical crews are 10 or 11 in the morning and six or seven in the afternoon. Staff is exploring options, including County inmates, to provide a sufficient cleaning workforce. Mr. Neuman asserted that every kennel is not being cleaned every day, stating that recently a number of times in the B Room animals were missed, not getting their kennel cleaned and not getting fed. Mr. Janes stressed that the standard is that animals are fed every day and have their kennel cleaned at least once per day; and he encouraged volunteers to continue to bring these issues up as they see them. Mr. Neuman commented that Ms. Kristen Barney, Chief of Operations, told him that staff cannot get their jobs done because of numerous interruptions from volunteers. He expressed concern that he doesn’t want an “us versus them” dynamic between volunteers and staff. Ms. Emptage interjected that she has recently received a large volume of e-mails from volunteers, and requested that the volunteers go through Mr. Neuman as their representative in lieu of multiple members of the Committee.

- **Tucson Kennel Club Advisory Committee Representative Pat Jacobs**

  Mr. Janes indicated that the Tucson Kennel Club has officially designated Mr. Jacobs as their representative on the Committee, and his appointment information has been sent to the Clerk of the Board for the Board of Supervisors’ ratification of appointment at their July 1 meeting. Once appointed by the Board and Mr. Jacobs completes the Loyalty Oath of Office, he will be an official member of the Committee. Mr. Jacobs was present for the meeting.

6. **New Business**

- **Committee Access to the Pima Animal Care Center Manager**

  This item was brought up by Mr. Neuman (after the Pledge of Allegiance) in relationship to attendance. He asserted that the actual manager of PACC is not present for the Advisory Committee meeting. Recently PACC managerial duties were split between Mr. Janes and Ms. Barney. Mr. Neuman, referring to the by-laws, cited that the Committee serves in an advisory capacity to the Manager of the Pima Animal Care Center, who is designated to serve as an ex-officio member of the Committee, and therefore contended that Ms. Barney, who is the active manager at PACC, should be
Committee members expressed concern that answers to questions could take a long time in the current managerial dynamic. Mr. Janes said there should be no difference in answer flow, in that if an answer is available it is given, and if an answer is not known immediately, then it will be researched and brought to the Committee. He also asserted that he is the PACC Manager for the purposes of the Committee, as assigned when the duties were split.

The motion was made and seconded (Schwerin/Marshall) that the Committee requests Ms. Barney attend the Committee meetings. The motion carried 7-0.

- **Advisory Committee Meeting Venue**

Ms. Emptage bought up that she felt the Committee meetings should be held at PACC. Mr. Janes pointed out that changes are being made, with forums and discussions being held about animal welfare, not just inside the PACC facility, but in all areas. He continued that he is open to discussion on the Committee’s role and input.

The motion was made and seconded (Neuman/Hubbard) that the primary Committee meeting location be at PACC, except when circumstances warrant differently. The motion carried 7-0.

- **Staff Requesting Committee Support of Community Cat Project Contract**

Mr. Janes indicated staff is in the final stages of forming an agreement with Best Friends Animal Society to provide for an infrastructure for a community cat trap, neuter and return (TNR) project, which is a shift from a trap and kill route. Best Friends will trap and receive community cats brought to the shelter, take them to be neutered and return them to where they came from. Ms. Emptage expressed concern for cats being returned to areas where homeowners do not want the cats present. Mr. Janes said the program includes an infrastructure to help address community concerns.

The motion was made and seconded (Hubbard/Neuman) that the Committee support this project contract. The motion carried 5-2 (Emptage, Schwerin – opposed).

Ms. Emptage requested mandatory spay and neuter be an agenda item at the next meeting.

- **Staff Requesting Committee Support of Proposed Ordinance Change to Amend Pima County Code 6.04.090 and 6.04.180 to Allow Release of Unclaimed, Unaltered Animals to a Duly Incorporated Humane Society or Other Nonprofit Corporate Organization Devoted to the Welfare, Protection and Humane Treatment of Animals**

Mr. Janes indicated the Pima County Attorney’s office requested that the code be change to allow for unaltered animals to be transferred to a humane society or other nonprofit animal welfare organization, subject to the same animal altering requirements. Currently the only outcomes specified in code are adoption and euthanasia; and currently the code does not allow for unaltered animals to leave the facility. The change streamlines and cleans up the release of animals to rescue partners. Ms. Emptage voiced concern that in the past a rescue organization provided animals for veterinary training, which is not placing an animal in a suitable home. Mr. Janes pointed out that neither the current code nor the proposed ordinance can prevent that potential problem, and if such a practice is
discovered, then PACC would cease its relationship with the organization. Ms. Schwerin expressed concern that ownership is transferred without alteration; there is no proof of sterilization; no inspection of records; no monitoring or inspection. In response to a question Mr. Janes said there is a process to confirm the organization is valid, but it doesn’t involve inspection. It was also pointed out that Ms. Schwerin is reworking these codes and will have a proposal in the near future. Mr. Janes requested the committee move to recommend the proposed ordinance to the Board of Supervisors.

The motion was made and seconded (Hubbard/O'Donnell) that the Committee move to recommend the proposed ordinance as requested by Mr. Janes. The motion carried 4-3: (Barrick, Hubbard, Marshall, O'Donnell – for) (Emptage, Neuman, Schwerin – opposed).

- **At Large Animal Attacks and Vet Care**

Ms. Emptage shared knowledge of a number of instances where individuals are walking their dogs on a leash when an at-large dog, typically pit bull or sheppard mix, attacks the owned dog. The attacking dog runs away. She voiced concern over the veterinary costs for the dog owners and the process to hold dog owners responsible for expenses caused by their loose dog. She posed the questions what can be done; what do we tell people? She indicated PACC typically does not respond to at-large dog complaints. Mr. Janes indicated PACC responded to 57 animal attacks in May, but an in-progress attack is more of a priority than one that is over. Ms. Emptage asked about the national standard for enforcement officers and Mr. Janes said he would seek out that information.

- **Tent Utilization**

Mr. Neuman inquired about tent utilization. Mr. Janes indicated there are 40 kennels not in use. The usage was cut back in response to noise complaints. Additionally in the summer heat, the temperature has also been a concern. No new tent noise complaints have been lodged in the last week, and staff is pursuing solutions to facilitate permanent full occupancy.

- **Committee Recognizing Pima Animal Care Center Staff**

Ms. Emptage initiated discussion, saying staff needs a pat on the pack, and suggesting some type of employee recognition. Discussion brought out that the Committee had a high level of appreciation for staff; however, although awards and recognitions lift some up, they also can draw ire from others. Mr. Janes briefly touched on the Department’s “Happy Gram” peer recognition and said he would be sure to pass on the Committee’s appreciation of staff.

- **Representative of the Disabled Community on the Committee**

There were no prospective representatives of the disabled community present seeking a position on the Committee. Staff will check on the individual expected to address the Committee.

- **Use of Kennels (specifically change to front adoption kennels to include reasoning behind which dogs get individual kennels and plans for individual kennels for all)**
Mr. Neuman spoke about the adoption row kennels that are being utilized as 18 larger two sided kennels instead of 36 kennels. He reported hearing two different reasons for this practice, one was safety and the other was dogs are more likely to be adopted out of the bigger kennels. However, he argued this practice has a negative impact in the other kennels, including safety issues and lesser likely adoptions for animals grouped together, as well as the feeding issues (next item). Mr. Janes pointed out that increased adoption numbers, from 33 per day in April, to 41 in May, to 43 so far in June, have been in conjunction with going to the practice in discussion. Mr. Neuman requested to know where the dogs were adopted from. Mr. Janes acknowledged that there is stress for the animals, but pointed out that fewer animals have to die than did six months or a year ago. He concluded by saying we do need to continue to work on this.

- Feeding of Dogs

Mr. Neuman stated that it is an ongoing practice to feed multiple dogs together when they are kept in the same kennel. He said fights break out and some animals don’t eat. Staff has informed him that the statistics don’t show dogs are fighting; however, if staff is not present to witness the fight, then it will not be recorded in the statistics. Mr. Neuman said he recently went to PACC ten times during feeding time and there were fights every time, but staff was not present to witness the fights he observed. Mr. Neuman requested this issue be addressed. Suggestions included reconsidering the kennel usage practices on adoption row (item above); rotating animals into the adoption row kennels; and feeding dogs while on their walks.

As part of new business, Mr. Marshall requested PACC signage on Interstate 10 and the reevaluation policy for animals returned by adopters, for behavioral issues, be agenda items at the next meeting.

7. **Animal Welfare, Dangerous Animal Cases and Holds for the Month of May**

There was no discussion on this item.

8. **Donations:** 1,330 individuals gave a total of $24,936 during the month of May.

Mr. Janes indicated this is only monetary donations.

9. **Complaints and Commendations:** Three complaints and one commendation were received by staff during May.

There was no discussion on this item.

10. **Call to the Audience**

There were three speakers from the audience: Cathy Neuman, Marcie Velen and Mariana Parker.

Ms. Neuman said she volunteers at PACC four to five days a week and sees issues like the group feeding of dogs. She said there is a dog fight every day. Sometimes the volunteers identify a thin dog that hasn’t been eating, but sadly it takes days to notice and the dog is half starved. The group feeding practice needs to be addressed. She echoed Mr. Neuman’s comments (New Business – Use of Kennels) saying the adoption row kennel practice causes a loss of 18 “prime real estate” kennels. Ms.
Neuman pointed out that volunteers do this work, not for pay, not to get attention not to get thanked but because we love the dogs and cats. We want to be with them; we want their lives to be good. She said she was disheartened when she heard that Ms. Barney said the volunteers are getting in the way and part of the problem and the reason they can’t get their jobs done. She then relayed a story about Osito as an example. She told about finding a small dog, Osito, cowering in a kennel with larger dogs. The small dog had a wrap on his mid-section. The wrap was around his penis, which was severely swollen. The dog had stitches that were ripped open and was bleeding. Ms. Neuman took Osito to Dr. Wilcox who indicated there was no record of how or why Osito was in the condition Ms. Neuman found him, and added that her intervention saved the dog’s life.

Marcie Velen, from No Kill Pima County, said that cats, due to the layout of PACC, do not get nearly as much traffic and attention. Her point was that issues with dogs are also issues with cats; they just go unseen more. She also said she works the Help Desk and in approximately four weeks the Help Desk has successfully encouraged 45 diversions and received a matching pledge of up to $2,500 for the Help Desk.

Mariana Parker, also a volunteer at PACC, spoke about difficulties in getting animals out to rescue groups in a timely manner. She said animals requiring medical care are not being cleared in time. Ms. Parker read a statement from a rescue partner regarding Wiggles the boxer. The rescue partner was at PACC one morning to pick up the dog and requested Wiggles’ legs get rewrapped since the dog removed the bandages and was biting at the open wounds. The person was told that it would be done in the afternoon. The person was also told she couldn’t take the animal because he wasn’t cleared by Dr. Wilcox who wasn’t present. After much effort the dog was finally released. Another example given was an attempted rescue of a cat with an injured eye. It took two weeks for the rescue group to get the cat. Mr. Parker added that there is an issue concerning watering animals in the back where water buckets are used. She said a volunteer observed an employee fill a bucket with a high powered hose through the chain link, soaking the kennel and dogs in the process. Ms. Parker requested the watering procedure be addressed to prevent this.

11. Announcements and Schedules

Mr. Janes: The art auction scheduled for June 19 has been postponed. Ms. Hubbard: The Humane Society is providing a distemper/parvo vaccination clinic on June 28 on Roger Road starting at 7:00. Mr. Neuman: There is a roller derby event Saturday benefitting an animal welfare organization.

12. Next Meeting – July 17, 2014

There was no discussion on this item.

13. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 8:05 pm
Functions of the Committee
1. Serve in an advisory capacity to the Board, and to the Manager of the Pima Animal Care Center; and
2. Review and evaluate the operations of the Center to make recommendations in writing to the Board for the formulation of guidelines to assure that:
   A. The Center's operations are conducted in the best interest of the public health and safety; and
   B. The Center keeps pace with the most modern practices and procedures of animal care and welfare; and
3. Review complaints from the public concerning policies of the Center and make recommendations for resolution to the proper authority.
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Copies of this agenda are available upon request at the Pima County Health Department, 3950 S. Country Club Road, by calling 243-7729 or at www.pima.gov/animalcare.

Should you require ADA accommodations, please contact the Pima County Health Department at 243-7729 five (5) days prior to the meeting.
**PIMA COUNTY ANIMAL CARE CENTER ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES**

May 15, 2014

**PRESENT:**
- Chair: Jack Neuman, PACC Volunteers
- Vice-Chair: Nancy Emptage, Animal Welfare Coalition
- Pat Hubbard, Humane Society of Southern Arizona
- Derek Marshall, Public Education
- Jane Schwerin, People for Animals in the Prevention of Cruelty and Neglect
- Kim Janes, Pima Animal Care Center

**ABSENT:**
- Tamara Barrick, F.A.I.R.
- Sophia Kaluzniacki DVM, ASPCA of AZ, Inc
- Erin O’Donnell DVM, Southern AZ Veterinary Medical Association
- Jane Schwerin, People for Animals in the Prevention of Cruelty and Neglect
- Gail Smith MD, Board of Health
- Angela Spencer, City of Tucson

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENDA ITEM</th>
<th>DISCUSSION</th>
<th>ACTION / FOLLOW-UP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. CALL TO ORDER</td>
<td>The meeting was called to order at: 5:32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. ADOPTION OF PAST MEETING MINUTES</td>
<td>Approval of Minutes for April 17, 2014.</td>
<td>After a motion duly made and seconded, the minutes of April 17, 2014 were approved.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV. CALL TO THE AUDIENCE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. REPORTS</td>
<td>Operations-Manager’s Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Manager’s Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mr. Janes introduced Kristin Barney to the committee members as the Chief of Operations for PACC. Per the Director of the Health Department to provide additional support to PACC Ms. Barney has been appointed Chief of Operations at PACC and will oversee day to day operations at the center. Mr. Janes is now the Chief of External Affairs. He will continue to oversee the PACCAC Committee, interagency and governmental coordination, special projects, like questions related to the bond, and other duties as assigned. Ms. Barney addressed the committee and thanked them. She is thrilled to be here and looking forward to working with everyone. The second change is with Mr. Mike Schlueter. He will be taking over from Michelle with her work with the committee. Both Mr. Janes and Mr. Schlueter will be working at the Abrams Public Health Department Building. In line with this change the PACCAC meeting will be moved to the Abrams building conference rooms1106-1108 which will provide for more</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
room for the meetings. The change in the location will be noticed for the meetings so that people will know where to go. Michelle will continue her administrative duties at PACC.

For the items on the agenda, PACC has received a noise complaint regarding the dogs housed in the tent. Once the number of animals in the tent increased over 50 the noise began to bother the neighbors. PACC tried various things over the week to try to mitigate it and found that limiting the number of dogs and moving the dogs to face the north end of the tent seemed to alleviate the noise moving towards the south and the neighborhoods involved with the complaints. Mr. Janes stated there were at least three separate complaints where TPD was called. He was sure they were separate complaints with one complainant calling several times a night. One of the complainants has contact PACC as well. PACC wants to be a good neighbor and resolve this. Fortunately PACC has had good successes over the last few weeks and kennel capacity is down significantly from what it was a few weeks ago. PACC will continue to look into sound blankets for the kennels and other ways to mitigate the noise.

For the month of May there will be a tent sale and adoptions of animals housed in the tent will be free with the payment of the license fee.

The ASPCA Challenge will kick off on June 1st. There will be an event starting at 6:00 a.m. with a Zumba-thon, dog walk, Folklorico dancing and other things to do. It is the three month effort to adopt out over 5000 pets during the summer in an effort to win up to $100,000.

The Tucson Kennel Club representative Margaret Watson has resigned. For the replacement for the Disabled member there are four candidates who have expressed an interest in the position and they have been contacted via letter. They have been asked to supply a letter of interest, any special qualifications, and/or any points they wish to share with the committee for serving in the position no later than May 30. They will be invited to attend the June meeting to meet the committee.

Mr. Neuman commented about the noise complaint and asked if
the complainant(s) were told by Tucson Police we could not have the noise. PACC has been around for fifty years and it is nothing new there are dogs here and certain amount of noise. Are the individuals complaining new to the community? Mr. Janes commented the dynamics of the tent have changed the noise dynamics significantly. As long as the dogs are house in the lower level and within the bricked in areas the noise does not disturb the neighbors. Once more than 35 dogs were moved up to the tent the noise disturbed the people at night. PACC will work to mitigate the noise and still work to do everything that needs to be done to house the animals.

VI. OLD BUSINESS

- PACC Adoption Program

Mr. Janes introduced Ellie Beaubien the Adoption Coordinator to present the revamped adoption program that started on May 1. One of the first things she looked at was the PACC open adoption policy. There was a high rate of returns, owner responsibility was not addressed, and there was an inability to tell people no because PACC is a government agency. They worked with employees and volunteers involved with PACC to establish a new list of guidelines/criteria to match people with the right pet. For example since a lot of the dogs at PACC fall into the Bully Breed category they will screen for an owner who already has one at home of the same gender and will require an introduction of the dogs before completing an adoption. She passed out the new guidelines to the members. They have also completed a training manual for new volunteer adoption counselors. They would attend a training workshop and be paired with a mentor until the volunteer is ready to work on their own. Ms. Beaubien has done about eight trainings since April. About 20 new adoption counselors have been trained. The old Adoption Guide has been replaced with a survey that is completed. Adoption counselors will go over the survey with the adopter and provide an education based discussion. They do have the ability now to tell people this dog is not good with children and would not be a good match for you because you babysit your grandkids three days a week. In addition the adopter is provided with a cute new certificate and adoption package that includes behavior literature to help with the most common problems that result in the return of an animal.

For offsite adoptions it is the same process. There are several different locations each week for offsite adoptions. Two different places on Saturdays. There are satellite groups of volunteers the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENDA ITEM</th>
<th>DISCUSSION</th>
<th>ACTION / FOLLOW-UP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the complainant(s) were told by Tucson Police we could not have the noise. PACC has been around for fifty years and it is nothing new there are dogs here and certain amount of noise. Are the individuals complaining new to the community? Mr. Janes commented the dynamics of the tent have changed the noise dynamics significantly. As long as the dogs are house in the lower level and within the bricked in areas the noise does not disturb the neighbors. Once more than 35 dogs were moved up to the tent the noise disturbed the people at night. PACC will work to mitigate the noise and still work to do everything that needs to be done to house the animals.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mr. Janes introduced Ellie Beaubien the Adoption Coordinator to present the revamped adoption program that started on May 1. One of the first things she looked at was the PACC open adoption policy. There was a high rate of returns, owner responsibility was not addressed, and there was an inability to tell people no because PACC is a government agency. They worked with employees and volunteers involved with PACC to establish a new list of guidelines/criteria to match people with the right pet. For example since a lot of the dogs at PACC fall into the Bully Breed category they will screen for an owner who already has one at home of the same gender and will require an introduction of the dogs before completing an adoption. She passed out the new guidelines to the members. They have also completed a training manual for new volunteer adoption counselors. They would attend a training workshop and be paired with a mentor until the volunteer is ready to work on their own. Ms. Beaubien has done about eight trainings since April. About 20 new adoption counselors have been trained. The old Adoption Guide has been replaced with a survey that is completed. Adoption counselors will go over the survey with the adopter and provide an education based discussion. They do have the ability now to tell people this dog is not good with children and would not be a good match for you because you babysit your grandkids three days a week. In addition the adopter is provided with a cute new certificate and adoption package that includes behavior literature to help with the most common problems that result in the return of an animal.</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGENDA ITEM</td>
<td>DISCUSSION</td>
<td>ACTION / FOLLOW-UP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideas to Increase the Number of PACC Officers</td>
<td>Oldest being in Saddlebrook and newer groups in Oro Valley and Rita Ranch. They have partnered with several Ace Hardware locations around town to do adoptions once a month. They also go to the Steam Pump Ranch Farmers Market which is great for the winter because of the large attendance. They go to Meet me at Maynard’s, Second Saturday downtown, a few Petco and PetSmart locations, and La Encantada. This is the monthly calendar. There are a few annual events, the Pima County Fair, events at the University of Arizona, the SAHBA Home Show, and Royal Mini. They also use these opportunities for outreach and volunteer recruitment. She also discussed a program to market individual dogs and will be starting volunteer favorites with small cards they can hand out to people when they are out and about. They have a larger template with pull off tabs that can also be posted in places that provide free advertisement like markets and Platinum Fitness. Pat Hubbard inquired if the adoption criteria/rules had been approved by the County Attorney. The new adoption material will be emailed to each of the members. Mr. Janes stated the program has been vetted.</td>
<td>Mr. Neuman and Dr. Smith who is absent are working on this.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule, Number and Training of DOC Inmates</td>
<td>Mr. Neuman asked if the number of inmates who show up for each shift is still an issue. Mr. Janes commented PACC is still working to resolve this issue. Mr. Neuman inquired about the redemption motion the committee passed last meeting. An individual could get their dog back on a first time impound for no charge if they agreed to alter it. He asked if it is in effect. Per Mr. Janes the PACC website indicates the process for people trying to get their pets back.</td>
<td>Item tabled until Dr. Smith returns.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII. NEW BUSINESS</td>
<td>No new business discussed. Ms. Emptage requested an item be added to the agenda for the next meeting for the committee to formulate a certificate to recognize PACC employees and their service.</td>
<td>Item to be placed on the next agenda.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGENDA ITEM</td>
<td>DISCUSSION</td>
<td>ACTION / FOLLOW-UP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII. ANIMAL WELFARE AND DANGEROUS ANIMAL CASES AND HOLDS FOR THE MONTH OF APRIL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Welfare and Dangerous Animal Cases</td>
<td>Ms. Hubbard commented she just can’t get over how many tie outs there are. Ms. Emptage asked if there was a way to post for the public that tie outs are illegal. She went on to say that stores can sell them, people can buy them, and it should be posted for people to know it is illegal to use them. People assume it is ok to use it. She wanted something added to the ordinance that businesses need to post a warning tie outs are illegal. Mr. Janes commented he would present this to the County Attorney. He suspects this would fall under previously discussed issues in terms of telling businesses what to do. Especially telling businesses they can sell something but we are going to tell everyone it is illegal to have when technically it is not illegal in every community to have one. Marana allows for a dog to be tied out. Ms. Emptage inquired about notices in utility bill regarding licenses being required for dogs. Mr. Janes commented this requires money and working with the utility company. PACC has done this in the past with Tucson Water and did not notice any significant changes in licensing numbers. He went on to say this is a marketing issue and PACC is looking at ways to get the word out for all its marketing challenges.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX. DONATIONS</td>
<td></td>
<td>4803 individuals donated $32,347 along with food, treats and pet supplies to Pima Animal Care Center.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• April 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X. COMPLAINTS / COMMENDATIONS RECEIVED BY STAFF DURING THE MONTH OF APRIL</td>
<td></td>
<td>Four (4) complaints and one (1) commendation were received by staff. Mr. Neuman asked if this has/will go up when people are told that can’t have a particular dog. Ms. Beaubien commented it has not happened yet but most like will. Mr. Janes commented one of the complaints is the tent noise complaint previously discussed if the members would like to look that over.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• April 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XI. CALL TO THE AUDIENCE</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mr. Jacobs introduced himself. He will be the new Tucson Kennel Club Member and he is looking forward to working with</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Pat Jacobs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGENDA ITEM</td>
<td>DISCUSSION</td>
<td>ACTION / FOLLOW-UP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the committee. He also introduced his wife Kim Jacobs who will be helping him.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**XII. ANNOUNCEMENTS**

- Next meeting June 19, 2014 will be posted for the Abrams Public Health Building Conference room 1106-1108.
- Mr. Neuman announced they will be participating in the 4th of July dog walk.
- There will be no Holiday Dog Walk on Memorial Day May 26 and PACC will be closed. PACC will be open on the 25 this month, the last Sunday and be closed on the holiday. Instead there will be a Dog Walk on June 1st to kick off the ASPCA-Rachel Ray Challenge to adopt out 5000 animals this summer. The June 1st event is this year’s Muttness Event.

**XIII. ADJOURNMENT**

The meeting was adjourned at: 18:04 P.M.
## PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
### ADVISORY COMMITTEE
### MAY 2014 OPERATIONAL REPORT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SHELTER OPERATIONS</th>
<th>THIS MONTH</th>
<th>THIS YEAR TO DATE</th>
<th>LAST YEAR TO DATE</th>
<th>YEAR TO YEAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ALL ANIMALS HANDLED</strong></td>
<td>Tucson</td>
<td>County</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Tucson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DOGS</strong></td>
<td>700</td>
<td>734</td>
<td>1,434</td>
<td>7,501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CATS</strong></td>
<td>481</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>799</td>
<td>4,473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OTHERS</strong></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL ANIMALS HANDLED</strong></td>
<td>1,208</td>
<td>1,076</td>
<td>2,284</td>
<td>12,239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DELTA</strong></td>
<td>-1816</td>
<td>-8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **LIVE ANIMALS HANDLED** | 1,093 | 979 | 2,072 | 10,891 | 8,962 | 19,853 | 11,943 | 9,372 | 21,315 | |
| **IMPOUNDED ANIMALS** | 270 | 273 | 543 | 2,597 | 2,289 | 4,886 | 2,190 | 2,048 | 4,238 | |
| **ADOPTED** | 130 | 87 | 217 | 1,044 | 807 | 1,851 | 787 | 534 | 1,321 | |
| **RETURNED TO OWNER** | 104 | 61 | 165 | 805 | 615 | 1,420 | 619 | 492 | 1,111 | |
| **RESCUED** | 56 | 23 | 79 | 1,002 | 630 | 1,632 | 909 | 479 | 1,388 | |
| **EUTHANIZED** | 137 | 153 | 280 | 1,781 | 1,602 | 3,383 | 2,156 | 1,083 | 3,239 | |
| **ENFORCEMENT OPERATIONS** | 233 | 116 | 351 | 2,285 | 1056 | 3,341 | 2886 | 1,379 | 4,265 | |
| **ENFORCEMENT CALLS FOR SERVICE** | 1,696 | 922 | 2,618 | 16,447 | 10,229 | 26,676 | 18,021 | 11,314 | 29,335 | |
| **LICENSING OPERATIONS** | 3,678 | 4,490 | 8,168 | 39,370 | 50,491 | 89,861 | 40,412 | 52,538 | 92,950 | |
| **TOTAL SOLD** | 3,998 | 4,895 | 8,893 | 42,824 | 55,144 | 97,968 | 44,518 | 57,921 | 102,439 | |

*Total Live Releases (TLR) = Total Adopted + Total Returned + Total Rescued
**Live Release Rate = TLR/(TLR+Adjusted Total Euthanasia)
***Euthanasia Rate = (Adjusted Total Euthanasia)/(TLR+Adjusted Total Euthanasia)
June 10, 2014

Chair and Members, Pima Animal Care Center Advisory Committee

RE: Community Cat Project Contract

As you may recall, Pima County submitted a grant application to the Best Friends Animal Society to partner with it to provide a non-lethal option for healthy free roaming cats impounded at the Center. The program includes over $400,000 in free roaming cat trapping, alteration and return services provided to the local community with a focus on high cat intake zip codes.

Best Friends has selected Pima County as one of three agencies nationwide it will partner with this year to enter into the three (3) year program. The County has completed the negotiation of the Contract with Best Friends and the partnership plans to launch the program on or after July 1, 2014.

Staff sees a great benefit to this program in catalyzing its efforts to eliminate euthanasia of treatable and rehabilitatable pets. Staff requests the Advisory Committee’s support of this initiative through passing a motion similar to: “The Pima Animal Care Center Advisory Committee supports Pima County participating in the Community Cat Project to provide non-lethal solutions for free roaming cats.”

Thank you for all that you do for the pets and people of Pima County.

Respectfully,

Foid K. Janes
Executive Secretary
MEMORANDUM

Date: January 22, 2014

To: The Honorable Chair and Members  
Pima County Board of Supervisors

From: C.H. Huckelberry  
County Administrator

Re: Pima Animal Care Center Community Cats Project Grant Application

As an extension of the Board’s direction to increase lifesaving efforts at the Pima Animal Care Center (PACC), shelter staff has applied for a three-year Community Cats Project grant from the national Best Friends Animal Society. PACC has been pre-identified from a very limited pool of potential applicants because of the shelter’s persistent progress in improving its live-release rate.

The Community Cats Program essentially replaces euthanasia of feral cats, which have never been socialized to people, with trap/neuter/return services, commonly referred to as “TNR” in the animal welfare community. Under this technique, feral cats are trapped, surgically sterilized and then returned to their territory with a tipped ear, signaling the cat has already been sterilized and will not need to be trapped again.

The program includes sterilizing healthy, free-roaming cats brought into the shelter and then returning them to their habitat, while also proactively identifying “hot spot” areas and providing targeted colony management.

There are several reasons this is a preferred method of feral cat management. These cats have never been domesticated. Fearful of people, they are not likely to be adopted and are not likely to adapt to a family environment. As a result, PACC euthanizes approximately 1,600 feral cats annually. This management method will have the immediate effect of reducing euthanasia rates, which has been a heightened focus at PACC.

In Fiscal Year 2012/13, PACC’s live release rate was 64 percent; up from 55 percent the previous year. Even so, PACC still euthanized approximately 7,000 animals, so there is capacity for improvement.

In fact, in the first six months of Fiscal Year 2013/14, PACC’s live release rate is 74 percent. Reducing euthanasia of feral cats would further assist those efforts.
This management method also has a longer-term advantage of immediately eliminating new litters of feral cats, thereby permanently reducing the feral cat population and eventually reducing the numbers of kittens and cats coming to the shelter in the first place.

Sterilization itself reduces nuisance behaviors; and since cats are territorial and protect their areas, they will prevent un-sterilized cats from moving in and growing a colony. In the rare cases of neighborhood conflict, the County can explore the feasibility of relocating cats, given open space holdings that include ranch properties that could benefit from natural rodent control.

A similar program has been successful in many other areas, including Albuquerque, New Mexico; where cat euthanasia rates have dropped dramatically at its Animal Welfare Department. The Best Friends Community Cats Program staff reports a save rate for cats approaching 90 percent in Albuquerque, with a sister program in San Antonio, Texas likewise reporting strong results.

Best Friends has indicated the awarded organization will be notified March 25 of its successful application, with the specific amount of funding to be determined based on a number of factors, including the amount of community cats in the area, the ability to decrease euthanasia rates and the likelihood of program success.

CHH/mjk

c: Jan Lesher, Deputy County Administrator for Medical and Health Services
    Kim Janes, Manager, Pima Animal Care Center
June 10, 2014

Chair and Members, Pima Animal Care Center Advisory Committee

RE: Ordinance To Authorize Pima Animal Care Center To Release Pets To Authorized Rescue Partners

A recent review of PACC procedures by the Pima County Attorney’s Office (PACO) resulted in the Office advising the Center to submit, as soon as possible, a change to the Pima County Code in relation to releasing unaltered pets to certain approved rescue partners. As you well know, PACC’s partnership with these trusted groups has resulted in several thousand saved lives each year and is critical to rehabilitating, altering and rehoming pets.

In particular, PCAO requested staff submit a draft ordinance to the Pima County Board of Supervisors to authorize PACC to release unclaimed, unaltered animals to a duly incorporated humane society or other nonprofit corporate organizations that are devoted to the welfare, protection and humane treatment of animals and that are subject to the same Arizona State statute that requires all such pets to be altered before adoption. PCAO approved the attached version and it will be presented to the Board of Supervisors at their July 1, 2014 meeting.

The proposed ordinance is provided to the Advisory Committee for its consideration and support. Staff recommends the Committee demonstrate its support by passing a motion similar to: “The Pima Animal Care Center Advisory Committee supports Pima County amending Pima County Code Sections 6.04.090 and 6.04.180 to authorize PACC to release unclaimed, unaltered animals to a duly incorporated humane society or other nonprofit corporate organizations that are devoted to the welfare, protection and humane treatment of animals and that are subject to the same Arizona State statute that requires all such pets to be altered before adoption.”

Thank you for all that you do for the pets and people of Pima County.

Respectfully,

Foid K. Janes
Executive Secretary
ORDINANCE 2014- ________

AN ORDINANCE OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF PIMA COUNTY, ARIZONA, RELATING TO ANIMALS; AMENDING THE PIMA COUNTY CODE BY AMENDING CHAPTER 6.04 (ANIMAL CONTROL REGULATIONS) SECTIONS 6.04.090 AND 6.04.180 TO AUTHORIZE THE PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER TO RELEASE UNCLAIMED, UNALTERED ANIMALS TO A DULY INCORPORATED HUMANE SOCIETY OR OTHER NONPROFIT CORPORATE ORGANIZATION DEVOTED TO THE WELFARE, PROTECTION AND HUMANE TREATMENT OF ANIMALS

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF PIMA COUNTY, ARIZONA, FINDS THAT:

1. The Pima Animal Care Center partners with humane societies and other nonprofit animal welfare organizations.
2. Such organizations are subject to the same statutory requirements as the County to spay or neuter dogs and cats before they are released for adoption, and strictly practice spay/neuter before release.
3. The Pima Animal Care Center can increase its life-saving capacity and effectiveness by transferring unaltered dogs and cats to such organizations.
4. It is in the best interests of the County to authorize the Pima Animal Care Center to release unaltered, unclaimed animals to duly incorporated humane societies and other nonprofit corporate organizations devoted to the welfare, protection and humane treatment of animals.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF PIMA COUNTY, ARIZONA:

SECTION 1: Pima County Code Section 6.04.090 is amended to add a new Subsection C, as follows:

6.04.090 Mandatory spay/neuter program for dogs and cats.

The following standards are approved for the adoption of any unclaimed dog or cat:

A. Any dog or cat held by the Pima Animal Care Center for the period required by law and not reclaimed by its owner may be released for adoption subject to the following conditions:
1. The adopting owner must pay a fee pursuant to Section 6.04.060. A transportation fee of no more than six dollars per dog or cat may also be charged to adoption owners.
2. Pima Animal Care Center will insure that all such animals are spayed or neutered and microchipped prior to release to the adopting owner unless the veterinarian determines that the animal has medical contraindications that would prevent or require postponement of surgery.
3. If the veterinarian determines that medical contraindications for surgery exist that would require postponement of surgery, a spay/neuter certificate will authorize postponement of the surgery until such time that the veterinarian determines that surgery can be performed in a safe, humane manner.

4. If the veterinarian determines the animal is permanently disqualified for surgery and would be capable of reproduction the animal will be returned to Pima Animal Care Center, the adoption will be cancelled, and the adopting owner will be refunded all applicable fees.

5. The adopting party shall reclaim the animal following surgery as directed by the veterinarian. Failure to comply will result in a charge for extra board. Unclaimed animals may be declared abandoned.

B. The agreement to adopt an animal from Pima Animal Care Center shall require the adopting party to agree to hold harmless and defend the county, its officers and employees for loss, injury or damages arising out of or in connection with services of this program.

C. This section does not apply to animals released to a duly incorporated humane society or other nonprofit animal welfare organization pursuant to Section 6.04.180.

***

SECTION 2: Pima County Code Section 6.04.180 is amended to read as follows:

6.04.180 Disposition of animals.

Any animal forfeited, abandoned, ownerless or unclaimed, and any other animals to be disposed of by the county enforcement agent, shall be placed by adoption in a suitable home, released to a duly incorporated humane society or other nonprofit corporate organization devoted to the welfare, protection and humane treatment of animals, or humanely destroyed.

***

SECTION 3. This Ordinance is effective 30 days after the date of adoption.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors, Pima County, Arizona, this _____ day of _______________, 2014.

______________________________
Chair, Pima County Board of Supervisors
ATTEST:

____________________________________
Clerk of the Board

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

____________________________________
Deputy County Attorney
### Animal Attacks for May 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th>LEASH LA</th>
<th>STRAY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85614</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85629</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85641</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85653</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85705</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85706</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85710</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85711</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85712</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85713</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85716</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85719</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85730</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85735</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85736</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85741</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85742</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85743</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85746</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85749</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85756</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
May 23, 2014

Pima Animal Care Center
Attention Michael Schlueter

Dear Mr Schlueter,

My name is Helen Mendelsohn and I am the President of TOP DOG, INC. Hope and I are a certified team (#105). We have been a team for over four years. My jobs at TOP DOG have included training director, teaching assistant, certification chairperson, demo team member, and I have also worked with fundraising.

I have been involved with dogs for many years and have earned AKC obedience titles.

Pima Animal Care Center is a large part of our community. I would like to be a part of your organization to represent all of those with service dogs in our community.

Sincerely,

Helen Mendelsohn

Helen Mendelsohn
This letter is to confirm my continued interest in participating in the Pima Animal Care Center Advisory Committee as a representative of the disability community who works in partnership with a service dog and is a Pima county resident.

Currently I work with a service dog, Miramar who as a partner allows me to move freely and safely on our daily travels. Miramar and I graduated from "Guide Dogs for the Blind" three years ago. I have partnered with three other Guide Dogs and have found the training on dog behavior and care to be invaluable. This school also follows up on the progress and new struggles of each of its working teams.

I find myself often providing information about service dogs to the general public and to Pima Community College (PCC) students and staff. I have worked at the college as a Disability Program Specialist for more than 10 years. In my role at PCC I participate in committees and often act as an advocate for students with a variety of disabilities. My knowledge of disability law and access principles will support my role on the advisory committee as issues are reviewed. I am familiar with working as a member of an advisory group as I am a Member At Large on the Governor’s Council on Blindness and Visual Impairment.

I am interested in public service for agencies/ departments that provide support and guidance on animal health and training issues. I have wanted to volunteer for some time but have been unable to find the best option to meet my schedule and home responsibilities until your advisory committee's vacancy. Please consider me as a candidate for appointment to the Pima Animal Care Center Advisory Committee.

Sincerely,
Carol Barnes

Carol Barnes
MEMORANDUM

TO: Kim Janes and Kristin Barney
FROM: Kelli Saline 1918
DATE: June 2nd 2014
SUBJECT: Welfare report for May 2014

1. A-14147503 One animal impounded. The animal owner was cited at PACC. The animal was not redeemed and still at PACC.

2. A14-147744 One animal relinquished to PACC. The animal owner was cited at the scene. The animal is in the PACC clinic undergoing treatment.

3. A14-148022 Three animals impounded. The animal owner was educated and cited at PACC. Two of the animals were redeemed and the third was relinquished to PACC and has been adopted.

4. A14-148060 One animal impounded. The animal owner was educated and cited at PACC. The animal was redeemed.

5. A14-147926 One animal impounded. The animal owner was educated and cited at PACC. The animal was Relinquished to PACC and has been adopted.

6. A14-147509 No animals were impounded. The animal owner was educated and cited at the scene. This complaint is closed.

7. A14-147063 The animal owner was educated and cited at PACC. Seven animals were relinquished to PACC. Three were adopted and four were humanely euthanized.

8. A13-133870 One animal impounded. The animal owner was cited and educated at PACC. The animal was redeemed. This complaint is closed.

9. A14-144720 Four animals impounded. The animal owner was educated and cited at PACC. All four animals were redeemed and this complaint is closed.

10. A14-146647 No animals impounded. The animal owner was educated and cited at the scene. This complaint is closed.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INVESTIGATION REPORT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pima County Health Department</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pima Animal Welfare Center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000 N 5th Ave</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tucson, AZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone: 520-586-8700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax: (520) 243-3500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://www.pimaanimalcare.org">www.pimaanimalcare.org</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUSPECT</td>
<td>Kwame</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACO NAME / BADGE #</td>
<td>Windauer #1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMPLAINT NUMBER</td>
<td>A14-147503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIP</td>
<td>85719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITY</td>
<td>Tucson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATE</td>
<td>AZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE AND TIME REPORTED</td>
<td>5/14/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOOD WATER SHELTER INJUREDILL VENTILATION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABANDONED TIEOUT BEATEN WASTE OTHER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION OF INCIDENT</td>
<td>850 East Wetmore Rd #933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE AND TIME OCCURRED</td>
<td>5/14/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS?</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VICIN/COMPLAINTANT NAME</td>
<td>Deborah Windauer #1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.O.B.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESIDENCE PHONE NO.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUSINESS PHONE NO.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4425 (BD) and § 8-289 (B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VICIN'S ADDRESS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIP</td>
<td>85745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITY</td>
<td>Tucson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATE</td>
<td>AZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESTITUTION REQUESTED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER AGENCY CASE #:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOLLOW UP REQUEST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VICIN'S BUSINESS ADDRESS</td>
<td>4000 North Silverbell Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIP</td>
<td>85719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITY</td>
<td>Tucson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATE</td>
<td>AZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESTITUTION REQUESTED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER AGENCY CASE #:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOLLOW UP REQUEST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIOLATION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIT SEVERITY:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TREATED BY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHONE NUMBER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE QUARANTINED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VET CLINIC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHONE NUMBER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PART OF BODY BITTER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHONE NUMBER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VET CLINIC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHONE NUMBER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PART OF BODY BITTER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHONE NUMBER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOLLOW UP REQUEST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUARANTINE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3RD PARTY CITATIONS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITING ACO</td>
<td>Toncate #1911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VICTIN OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CODE/ORDO VIOLATED</td>
<td>4-3(2)(E)(2), 4-3(2)(B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BREED/DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>Pit bull mix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL'S NAME</td>
<td>Chaka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLOR</td>
<td>brn/wht</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEX</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGE</td>
<td>14m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAG COLOR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LICENSE #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VX CERTIFICATE #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONV. ANIMAL ID#</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VICIN OR OWNER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOB ADDRESS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESIDENCE PHONE #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUSINESS PHONE #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WITNESS 1</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOB ADDRESS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESIDENCE PHONE #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUSINESS PHONE #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WITNESS 2</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOB ADDRESS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESIDENCE PHONE #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUSINESS PHONE #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WITNESS 3</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOB ADDRESS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESIDENCE PHONE #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUSINESS PHONE #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WITNESS 4</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOB ADDRESS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESIDENCE PHONE #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUSINESS PHONE #</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-147503

ACO name & Badge: Windauer #1984

On May 14, 2014 at approximately 1458 hours I, Officer Windauer #1984 met with the maintenance personnel of the apartment complex at East Road reference a dog tied out to an upper floor railing. The two employees took me to the apartment where I saw the brindle dog laying on a cushion next to the railing.

I saw the dog had approximately 4-5 feet of range from the retractable leash that had been used to confine dog to railing. I saw the dog had no water available but was able to get in shade from building support. I took pictures and then continued with inspection inside the apartment. It had also been reported there had been another dog crated inside the apartment without any water. At this time I found no dog crated without water.

I impounded the brindle dog and was advised by employees that the occupant was approaching. The employees continued on to their next job. The young man they had indicated as the occupant walked past me and the dog without saying anything so I loaded dog into truck.

I returned to the apartment and posted a doorknocker advising of impound. While typing my memo, the occupant returned and left, again, without a word. I requested citations be written to the dog owner if dog should be claimed.

On May 22, 2014 at approximately 1805 hours Supervisor Tenkate #1911 met with the brindle dogs owner Kwame who lives at Mr. had come in to redeem his dog, Chaka. Mr. said he had left Chaka tied to the railing at E road because Chaka fights with the dog Lily owned by Jeremy who lives at that address. Mr. said his apartment manager had told him Chaka could not stay there without a pet deposit. Mr. had been trying to find somewhere to keep his dog until he was able to pay the deposit.

Supervisor Tenkate advised Mr. of the welfare laws regarding tie outs, water, shade, shelter, confinement and veterinary care. Mr. said he had not known tie outs were illegal. Mr. accepted citations for Neglect-Tie Out and Neglect-No Water. At that time he still planned to redeem the dog and keep it at his girl friends house.

Officer's Signature:  

Date: 5/28/14
## INVESTIGATION REPORT

### Suspect
- **Name:** Martin
- **Address:** N/A
- **Phone Number:** N/A

### ACO Name / Badge #
- **D.Robledo #1990**

### Complaint Number
- **A14-147744**

### Location of Incident
- **Date:** 5/18/14
- **Time:** 1942

### Date and Time Reported
- **Date:** 5/24/14
- **Time:** 1140

### Food Water Shelter Injured Ill Ventilation Abandoned Tie Out Beaten Waste Other (Explain)
- **No**
- **Yes**
- **No**
- **Yes**
- **No**
- **Yes**
- **No**
- **Yes**
- **No**

### Victim/Complainant Name
- **D.Robledo #1990**

### DOB
- **243-5900**

### Residence Phone No.
- **Business Phone No.**

### Victim's Address
- **4000 N. Silverbell Rd.**

### Zip City State
- **Zip**
- **City**
- **State**

### Name of Lawful Representative
- **(If Applicable)**

### Address and Phone Number Same as Victim
- **Yes**
- **No**

### Relationship to Victim
- **Vet Clinic**

### Lawful Representative Address
- **Clinic's Address**

### Quarantine
- **Release Date:**

### 3rd Party Citations
- **Citining ACO:**
- **D.Robledo #1990**
- **Previous Violations:**
- **Previous Case Number:**

### Victim or Lawful Representative Signature
- **Code / Ord Violated:**
- **4-32(D)**

### Citations / Numbers
- **72207**

### BRED/DESCRIPTION
- **Victim or Owner Animal**

### Animal's Name
- **Sasha**

### Color
- **Brn/wht**

### Sex
- **F**

### Age
- **Tag**

### License #
- **VX Certificate #**
- **Cond**

### Animal ID#
- **A481764**

### Witness 1
- **DOB:**
- **Address:**
- **Residence Phone #:**
- **Business Phone #:**

### Witness 2
- **DOB:**
- **Address:**
- **Residence Phone #:**
- **Business Phone #:**

### Witness 3
- **DOB:**
- **Address:**
- **Residence Phone #:**
- **Business Phone #:**

### Witness 4
- **DOB:**
- **Address:**
- **Residence Phone #:**
- **Business Phone #:**
Activity Number: A14-147744

ACO name & Badge: Daniel Robledo

May 18, 2014 at 1958 hours, I Officer Robledo #1990, responded to an emergency Tucson Police Department assist call. I arrived and met with Officer Granados, (case# 14-05180434). He stated that they responded to this domestic violence call at address Avenue. I was told that there was an altercation between mother and daughter that occurred. Before the Police Department arrived, the daughter had left and than came back to the home and possibly kicked the family dog named “Sasha”, injuring the front left leg.

We both walked to the home and spoke with dog owner Martin whom is the father. He said his daughter fought with mother and both were bloody and his daughter left. Then she came back after a while. He believes she came back entering through a window to get her things and kicked the dog, injuring the front leg. I asked Mr. for proof of license and rabies vaccinations and Mr. said he does not have either. Mr. showed me Sasha the dog and it could not use its front left leg. I took a photograph of the dog in his arms.

I explained to Mr. that his dog needs to be seen by a veterinarian as soon as possible. Mr. stated that he does not have money, but he will try tomorrow. I filled out a Premise inspection form and marked the vet care section. I also advised Mr. that he has until the following day (May 19, 2014), to seek medical care for his dog named Sasha. He said that he understood. He signed, and received his copy of the form.

I had returned several times within several days with no contact from Mr. I posted notices on his front door urging his response.

On May 24, 2014 at 1226 hours, I arrived and spoke with dog owner Martin. I asked him if he had taken his dog to the veterinarian clinic like we agreed. Mr. stated he tried everywhere for help. He said all the veterinarians he went to wanted at least 45-50 dollars to look at his dog Sasha. He said that he does not have the money. He said he even tried the reservation where he is from. He admitted the dog is in pain and he hates seeing the dog suffer, but he said there is nothing he can do. The dog Sasha still could not use its front left leg and would cry if it’s touched.

I issued a citation to Mr. for neglect vet care and I presented an option for Mr. to sign over his dog to Pima Animal Care Center so his dog can receive medical attention. Mr. presented his identification for
the citation and signed the form relinquishing ownership to Pima Animal Care Center. He received his copy of the citation and placed the dog in my truck.

Officer's Signature: [Signature]
Date: 5-27-19
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Welfare Center
4000 N Silverbell Rd
Tucson, AZ 85716
Phone: (520) 243-8990
Fax: (520) 243-5990
www.pimaanimalwelfare.org

**SUSPECT**
- **Name:** Samantha
- **Address:** N/A
- **City:** Tucson
- **State:** AZ
- **ZIP:** 85716
- **Phone:** N/A

**ACO NAME / BADGE #**
- **ACO:** Carver #1901
- **BADGE #:** 14-148022

**DATE AND TIME REPORTED**
- **Date:** 5-22-14
- **Time:** 2255

**DATE AND TIME OCCURRED**
- **Date:** 5-22-14
- **Time:** 2319

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**
- **Address:** 725 S Tucson Blvd

**FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURED/ILL VENTILATION ABANDONED TIEOUT BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)**
- Food
- Water
- Shelter
- Injured/Ill
- Ventilation
- Abandoned
- Tieout
- Beaten
- Waste
- Other

**VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME**
- **Name:** T. Haynes #20322
- **Role:** PACC

**RESIDENCE PHONE NO.**
- **Number:** 243-5800

**BUSINESS PHONE NO.**
- **Number:** 243-5800

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE**
- **Name:** N/A

**LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS**
- **Address:** 4000 N Silverbell Rd
- **City:** Tucson
- **State:** AZ
- **ZIP:** 85716

**DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER**
- **Number:** 1405220553

**OTHER AGENCY CASE #**
- **Number:** 105465

**FOLLOW UP REQUEST**
- **Number:** N/A

**DATE QUARANTINED**
- **Date:** N/A

**RELEASE DATE**
- **Date:** N/A

**VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE**
- **Signature:** N/A

**BREED/DESCRIPTION**
- **Type:** German ShepX
- **Name:** Coco
- **Color:** Tan/Blk
- **Sex:** F
- **Age:** A

**ANIMAL’S NAME**
- **Name:** Coco
- **Color:** Tan/Blk
- **Sex:** F
- **Age:** A

**COLOR**
- **Color:** N/A

**SEX**
- **Sex:** N/A

**AGE**
- **Age:** N/A

**CITATIONS/NUMBERS**
- **Number:** 68867 ABD 55098 A

**WITNESS 1**
- **Name:** TPD Officer Payette #22378
- **DOB:** M 4 F
- **Address:** 270 S Stone Ave
- **Residence Phone #:** 791-5059

**WITNESS 2**
- **Name:** M 4 F
- **DOB:** M 4 F
- **Address:** M 4 F
- **Residence Phone #:** M 4 F

**WITNESS 3**
- **Name:** M 4 F
- **DOB:** M 4 F
- **Address:** M 4 F
- **Residence Phone #:** M 4 F

**WITNESS 4**
- **Name:** M 4 F
- **DOB:** M 4 F
- **Address:** M 4 F
- **Residence Phone #:** M 4 F
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: 14-148022

ACO name & Badge: Carver #1901

On 05/22/14 at 2319 hours Officer Haynes arrived at 725 S Tucson Blvd and met with Tucson Police Officer Payette #22376 (1405220553) he advised her that there were two dogs inside of an unsecured truck just east of the apartment complex. Officer Payette stated that the dogs were very aggressive and that they almost leaped out of the truck when a pedestrian walked by. He also stated that he had been to several of the apartments and many of the residents said that the truck belongs to a visitor of someone in the complex but no one knew their name or apartment number. Officer Haynes approached the truck at which point a white/brindle Spaniel mix and a tan/black German Shepherd mix came to the open window of the truck and started lunging out of the window at her, growling and barking making attempts to bite her. She also heard what sounded like at least one if not two more dogs in the truck. She attempted to use her catch pole to remove the bigger dog but was unable to place the rope around the Shepherd so she caught the Spaniel. As she removed the dog thru the open window. The Shepherd came out thru the window and began lunging at her and attempting to bite. Officer Payette and her were able to keep the dog away and she was able impound the Spaniel mix. The Shepherd continued to growl and bark but was keeping her distance. Officer Haynes returned to the truck and observed a small puppy at the window. After some trying she was able to impound two puppies that were also in the truck. Several attempts were made by Officer Haynes and Officer Payette to catch the Shepherd but they were unsuccessful. They were able to inspect the truck and saw that there was no water available to the four dogs. Photos of the inside of the truck were taken and she posted a notice of impound on the front windshield and the door to the truck was left slightly ajar to allow the Shepherd back inside of the truck. At approximately 0211 hours Officer Haynes returned to the site and the truck was no longer there. She drove through the parking lot and did not observe the vehicle.

On 5/23/14 at 1630 hours I, Officer Carver #1901, met with Samantha at the Pima Animal Care Center. She claimed ownership of the four above mentioned dogs. She stated that she had an emergency with her friend and had to leave the dogs in her vehicle. I explained the violations that were observed by Officer Haynes and TPD Officer Payette. She provided a current Arizona Drivers License for identification and identified each of the dogs by name. I issued citations for Leash Law violations on the Shepherd and the Spaniel mixes along with citations for No Water on all four of the dogs. Ms. Johnston was advised of her court date and location.

Officer’s Signature: [Signature]

Date: 5/23/14
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department  
Pima Animal Welfare Center  
4000 N Silverbell Rd  
Tucson, AZ  
Phone: (520) 243-5900  
Fax: (520) 243-5930  
www.pimaanimalwelfare.org

**Suspect**  
Celeste

**Suspect's Address**

- **ZIP**: 85719  
- **City**: Tucson  
- **State**: AZ  
- **Residence Phone Number**:

**Suspect's Business Address**

- **ZIP**: 85745  
- **City**: Tucson  
- **State**: AZ  
- **Business Phone Number**:

**Sex**:  
**Weight**:  
**Height**:  
**Eyes**:  
**Hair Color**:  
**Hair Length**:  
**Hair Type**:  
**DOB**:  
**SSN**:

**Does this incident require victim request for waiver of rights?**  
**Yes** ☑ | **No** ☑

**Location of Incident**  
2119 E 18th St

**Date and Time Reported**  
5-23-14 / 1215

**Date and Time Occurred**  
5-23-14 / 1307

**Food**  
- Water  
- Shelter  
- Injured/Ill  
- Ventilation  
- Abandoned  
- Tieout  
- Beaten  
- Waste  
- Other (explain):

**Victim/Complaintant Name**  
City of Tucson / Officer R. Tovar #2021

**Residence Phone No.**  
243-5900

**Business Phone No.**

**Victim's Address**  

**Zip**: 85745  
**City**: Tucson  
**State**: AZ

**Victim's Business Address**  

**Zip**: 85745  
**City**: Tucson  
**State**: AZ

**Lawful Representative Address**

**Clinic's Address**

**Quarantine**  
- 10 ☑  
- 15 ☑  
- 45 ☑  
- 180 ☑  
- **Fra. Head#**:

**3rd Party Citations**  
- Non-Violation

**Citing ACO**  
Carver #1901

**Previous Violations**  
- Yes ☑

**Previous Case Number**

**Other Additional Reports**

**Victim or Lawful Representative Signature**

- **Code/Ord Violated**: 4-3 (2)(E) — 4-3 (2)(E)(2)

**Breed/Description**  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Victim or Owner Animal</th>
<th>Animal's Name</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Tag Color</th>
<th>License #</th>
<th>Vx Certificate #</th>
<th>Cond</th>
<th>Animal ID#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Labrador X</td>
<td>Momo</td>
<td>Blk/wht</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>A481669</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Witness 1**  
J. Rademaker #2019

- **DOB**:  
- **Address**: 4000 N Silverbell Rd
- **Residence Phone No.**:  
- **Business Phone No.**

**Witness 2**

- **DOB**:  
- **Address**:  
- **Residence Phone No.**:  
- **Business Phone No.**

**Witness 3**

- **DOB**:  
- **Address**:  
- **Residence Phone No.**:  
- **Business Phone No.**

**Witness 4**

- **DOB**:  
- **Address**:  
- **Residence Phone No.**:  
- **Business Phone No.**
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: 14-148060

ACO name & Badge: J. Carver #1901

On 05/23/14 at 13:07 hours Officer Tovar #2021, arrived at St. He knocked on the wrought iron door and saw that the wooden door was partially open. He knocked again and announced his presence but still did not receive an answer. He then walked to the west side of the front yard where he could only see a small part of the backyard he then called out again. He then walked to the east side of the yard and called out. He heard two dogs start barking. He then returned to his Pima Animal Care vehicle and drove to the alley behind the house. He was then able to see a large black and white dog on a tie-out. There was also a small Chihuahua mix in the yard that was running around loose. Officer Tovar then called for another officer to assist him. Officer Rademaker #2019 responded to the request. Officer Rademaker and Tovar entered the backyard through the unlocked back gate. Officer Tovar took several photographs of the large black and white dog that was on a cable tie-out. The cable was tied to a tree. The dog had tangled himself up on a old wooden electrical spool and could not reach the water bowls that the Chihuahua mix had access to. The only bowl near the dog was empty. Officer Rademaker and Tovar removed the dog from the tie-out and impounded it. The Chihuahua was not impounded as it had water and shelter. Officer Tovar left a Notice on the front door advising the dog owner that he had impounded their dog. The notice also stated tie-outs are illegal and the fact that the dog did not have water.

On 5-24-14 at approximately 1430 hours Celeste came into the Pima Animal Care Center with her partner. They identified the dog impounded from as their dog Momo. They stated that they tie the dog up when they leave the house because Momo is a "Houdini". I explained to them that tie outs are illegal. I showed them the photographs taken by Officer Tovar showing how the dog was so tangled up that he couldn't reach his water. They stated that they will leave the dog inside the house until they can fortify their fence. I issued citations for Neglect Tie out and No Water. I advised them of the court appearance and the need to attend.

Officer's Signature: [Signature]

Date: 5/24/14
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department  
Pima Animal Care Center  
4000 N Silverbell Rd  
Tucson, Arizona, 8573  
Phone: (520) 218-3690  
Fax: (520) 243-5900  
www.pimaanimalcare.org

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUSPECT</th>
<th>JESSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACO NAME/ BADGE #</td>
<td>N. KONST #2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMPLAINT NUMBER</td>
<td>A14-147926</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUSPECT'S ADDRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vette's BUSINESS ADDRESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85745</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURED/ILL VENTILATION ABANDONED TIEOUT BEaten WASTE OTHER |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| ☒ | ☒ | ☒ | ☒ | ☒ | ☒ |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOCATION OF INCIDENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DATE AND TIME REPORTED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/21/14 / 0956 hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE AND TIME OCCURRED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/21/14 / 1015 hrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I CHOOSE &quot;upon request&quot; rights in this case</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I WAIVE &quot;upon request&quot; rights in this case</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N. KONST #2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.O.B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESIDENCE PHONE NO.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>243-5900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VICTIM's BUSINESS ADDRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4000 N SILVERBELL RD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85745</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VET CLINIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHONE NUMBER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OWNER KNOWS OF BITE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RELEASE DATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTQ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CLINIC'S ADDRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| QUARANTINE |
| 10 | 15 | 45 | 180 | ☒ |
| FRA HEAD |
| ☒ |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3RD PARTY CITATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CITING ACO TENDATE #1911</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CODE/OID VIOLATED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4-3(2)(B), 4-3(2)(E)(2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CITATIONS/NUMBERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>71015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BREED/DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QUEENSBAND HEELER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANIMAL'S NAME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QUEEN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COLOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BLUE MERLE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| SEX |
| F |

| AGE |
| 2Y |

| TAG COLOR |
| COLOR |
| LICENSE # |
| VX CERTIFICATE # |
| COND |
| ANIMAL ID# |

| QUEENSBAND HEELER |
| QUEEN |
| BLUE MERLE |
| F |
| 2Y |
| N |
| A481357 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITNESS 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITNESS 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITNESS 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITNESS 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Activity Number: A14-147926

ACO name & Badge: D. TENKATE #1911

On 5/21/14 @ 1000 hrs Officer Konst # 2002 was dispatched to investigate a dog reported to be tied out and in distress. The report was placed by Tucson Police Department at 0956 hrs. Tucson Police received a report the dog had been tied in same spot for 48 hrs. Tucson Police were not responding.

Officer Konst arrived at the address at 1015 hrs. He received no answer at front door. Officer Konst went to side yard gate and observed a Blue Merle colored Queensland Heeler tied to a block wall. He could not see any shelter or water from the gate. He photographed the dog and entered the yard. Officer Konst knocked on the back patio door but received no answer. Officer Konst impounded the dog and took photographs of the area. A notice was posted on front door letting owner know where the dog was. Upon completion of the investigation Officer Konst requested that the dog owner be issued citations on his behalf for neglect tie-out and neglect no water.

On 5/21/14 @ 1544 hours I, Supervisor Tenkate #1911 met with the dog owner Jesse when he came to the Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) to redeem his Queensland Heeler A481357 named Queen. I explained that his dog had been impounded because it was tied out and did not have any water. Mr. said he just moved into the home at and Queen had chewed the air conditioning unit lines so he tied her up temporarily until he could fence off the unit. He is from Texas and was unaware of the Tie out law. He was advised to get a larger secure shaded water container and that the tie out is illegal and cannot be used.

Mr. provided me with his Texas driver's license for identification. He signed and received a copy of citation #71015 A-B for Neglect Tie Out and Neglect No Water. He was advised of his court date, time and location.

Mr. was given reduced redemption fees from licensing if he was willing to have his dog altered. He decided not to redeem his dog and relinquished ownership of his dog Queen to PACC.

Officer's Signature: [Signature] Date: 5-22-14
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N Silverbell Rd
Tucson, AZ 85745
Phone: 920-212-2800
Fax: (520) 243-4960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

---

**Suspect Information**

**Suspect's Name:** Fritzi Z Archer
**ACO Name/Badge #:** J Rademaker 2019
**Complaint Number:** A14-147509

**Suspect's Address:**
- **ZIP:** 85716
- **City:** Tucson
- **State:** AZ
- **Telephone Number:**
  - **Sex:** Male
  - **Weight:** Unknown
  - **Height:** Unknown
  - **Hair Color:** Unknown
  - **Hair Type:** Unknown

**Suspect's Business Address:**
- **PO Box:**
- **City:** Tucson
- **State:** AZ
- **Business Phone Number:**

---

**Case Information**

**Location of Incident:** 3636 E Bellevue #4
**Date and Time Reported:** 05/14/14
**Date and Time Occurred:** 05/15/14

**Food, Water, Shelter, Injured/DiLL Ventilation, Abandoned, Tie-Out, Beaten, Waste, Other (Explain):**
- **Food:** Yes
- **Water:** Yes
- **Shelter:** Yes
- **Injured/DiLL Ventilation:** No
- **Abandoned:** No
- **Tie-Out:** No
- **Beaten:** No
- **Waste:** No

**Victim/Complainant Name:** Officer J Rademaker 2019
**DOB:**
**Residence Phone Number:** Business Phone Number:

**Victim's Address:**
- **ZIP:** 85745
- **City:** Tucson
- **State:** AZ

**Victim's Business Address:**
- **ZIP:** 85745
- **City:** Tucson
- **State:** AZ

---

**Follow Up Request:**
- **So:** No
- **TPD:** Yes
- **Other:**

---

**Lawful Representative Address:**

**Clinic's Address:**
**Quarantine:**
- **10:** Yes
- **15:** Yes
- **45:** No
- **180:** No
- **FRA Head#:**

**3rd Party Citations:**
**Citing ACO:**
**Previous Violations:**
**Previous Case Number:**
**Other Additional Reports:**

**Code/Gro Violated:** 4-81; 4-76; 4-32(2)(B)
**Citations/Numbers:**

---

**Breed/Description:** Siberian Husky

**Animal's Name:** Latisse
**Color:** BiW
**Sex:** M
**Age:** 3Y
**Tag:** Cited
**Cited:**
**License #:**
**VX Certificate #:**
**Condo:**
**Animal ID #:** A70778

---

**Witness Information**

**Witness 1:**
- **DOB:**
- **Address:**
- **Residence Phone #:**
- **Business Phone #:**

**Witness 2:**
- **DOB:**
- **Address:**
- **Residence Phone #:**
- **Business Phone #:**

**Witness 3:**
- **DOB:**
- **Address:**
- **Residence Phone #:**
- **Business Phone #:**

**Witness 4:**
- **DOB:**
- **Address:**
- **Residence Phone #:**
- **Business Phone #:**
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-147509

ACO name & Badge: J RADEMAKER 2019

On May 14, 2014 at 4:05PM Pima Animal Care Center Supervisor D. Tenkate 1911 received a complaint from Arlene of stating that the dogs that reside at that address in apartment #4 are without water and in distress.

On May 14, 2014 at 5:16PM I, Officer Rademaker 2019, responded to and met complainant Arlene who showed me over and through the shared fence with #4 that excessive waste was present and it appeared that all water bowls were empty. I received no response at #4 and posted a notice advising of the complaint, and waste, and a law brochure with appropriate items highlighted.

On May 15, 2014 at 5:20PM I went to and received no response at the door. I went to #5 and met complainant Arlene Hargreaves who allowed me to go into her back yard to observe the back yard of #4. I saw that the animal waste present on my visit yesterday had been picked up. I saw the black and white female husky and several of her puppies. I saw several silver bowls but could not determine if water was present.

The residents of #4 returned home at that time. I met with Matthew and Fritzi and asked to see the yard and saw that there was no water present for the mother Husky Latisse nor her puppies. Mr and Ms responded that they had been gone only momentarily, although by that time I have been at the location almost 15 minutes. They then said the dog knocks over its water containers. I responded that dogs must have water available at all times and they should acquire containers that cannot be knocked over.

I inquired about licensing and rabies vaccinations and Ms said that the adult dog might be licensed under her Mother's name, Rosario. I did find a person ID for Rosario but the husky dog Latisse was not listed.
I issued Ms citations for no license and no rabies vaccination as well as one for neglect no water. I explained court and compliance and she said she understood. She said she did not have a driver's license and I used a TEP utility bill for ID. She was upset she was being cited and believed she should be warned. I explained I posted a law brochure yesterday with the water requirement highlighted.

I then went to the complainants and inquired about license on their Boston terrier. Ms replied that they moved to Tucson from Florida less than 30 days ago. I gave her a law brochure and explained Tucson's license and vaccination laws.

Officer's Signature: [Signature]
Date: 5/15/14
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

**Suspect:**

Raul Vasquez Garcia  
**ACO Name/Badge #:** Bowdon #2013  
**Complaint Number:** A14-147063

**Suspect's Address:**

Street:  
**ZIP:** 85713  
**City:** Tucson  
**State:** AZ  
**Residence Phone Number:**

**Suspect's Business Address:**

**Zip:**

**City:**

**State:**

**Business Phone Number:**

**Sex:**

**Weight:**

**Height:**

**Eyes:**

**Hair Color:**

**Origin:**

**DOB:**

**SSN:**

**Does this incident require victim request for waiver of rights?**  
**Yes**  
**No**

**Address and Phone Number Same as Victim:**

**Address and Phone Number Same as Victim:**

**Relationship to Victim:**

**Phone Number:**

**Lawful Representative Address:**

**Clinic's Address:**

**3rd Party Citations:**

**Citing ACO:** Bowdon #2013

**Code/Or Violated:** 6.04.030, 6.04.110 (B)(1), and 6.04.110(B)(2)

**Breed Description:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Victim or Owner Animal</th>
<th>Animal's Name</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Tag Color</th>
<th>License #</th>
<th>Weld Certificate #</th>
<th>CCRD</th>
<th>Animal ID#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd Mix</td>
<td>Mona</td>
<td>Black/Brown</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A488401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd Mix</td>
<td>Lassie</td>
<td>Black/Brown</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A488402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd Mix</td>
<td>Chata</td>
<td>Black/Brown</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A488403</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Witness 1:**

**DOB:**

**Address:**

**Residence Phone #:**

**Business Phone #:**

**Witness 2:**

**DOB:**

**Address:**

**Residence Phone #:**

**Business Phone #:**

**Witness 3:**

**DOB:**

**Address:**

**Residence Phone #:**

**Business Phone #:**
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-147063

ACO name & Badge: Bowdon #2013

On 05/07/14 at approximately 12:44 hours Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) received a report of several very thin dogs located at in Pima County.

On 05/11/14 at approximately 11:00 hours I, PACC Officer Bowdon #2013, arrived at and observed 4 adult dogs and 4 pups emerge from under one of the three mobile homes located on the property. I observed that the property was fenced, but there was no gate at the entry and the fencing was not intact in some locations.

I did not get a response from any of the mobile homes. The dogs did not have access to food or water and several of the dogs appeared thin. I poured some dog food on the ground and all of the adult dogs and one of the older pups began to voraciously eat. The small pups did not eat the food as eagerly, instead they nursed from the mother dog while she ate. I offered the dogs some water and they drank it immediately.

I was able to make contact with the property owner, Eva , who stated that she does not reside on the property. Ms. stated that a relative, Raul , had been living on the property and that the dogs belonged to him. Ms. stated that Mr. had moved about a week ago and had told her he would be coming back to get the dogs. Ms. did not have a working telephone number for Mr. and she did not know the exact address of where he was presently living. Ms. agreed to take responsibility for the dogs for the night and stated she would locate Mr. and bring him to PACC so that he could accept legal responsibility for the violations instead of her.
On 05/12/14 I met with Ms. and Mr. at PACC regarding the violations observed on 05/11/14. Mr. stated that he was the owner of three of the adult dogs and 3 of the pups. Mr. stated that two of the dogs, a male Rottweiler mix and the older pup, were stray dogs that had been hanging around the property. Mr. stated that he had left his dogs on the property after he moved and that he did not make arrangements for their care in his absence. Mr. stated that he would bring all the dogs to PACC later in the day and surrender ownership. Mr. then accepted citations for neglecting to provide food and water for his 3 adult dogs, and for Leash Law due to his leaving them unconfined at I did not issue citations for the 3 small pups since they were still nursing.
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pine County Animal Health Department
Pine Animal Services
4000 N Silverbell Rd
Tucson, AZ 85718

Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5980
www.pimanimalcare.org

Suspect: Andy

Date: 05/07/14 @ 10:40

Location of Incident: 1990 W Pineriver Pl

Suspect's Information:
- Name: M. ECKELBARGER #1942
- Date of Birth: Unknown
- Residence Phone: 520-243-5900

Victim's Information:
- Name: M. ECKELBARGER #1942
- Date of Birth: Unknown
- Residence Phone: 520-243-5900

Dogs Involved:
- Chow Mix

Evidence:
- Bite
- Wound

Other Information:
- Clamp on mouth
- Blood

Relevant Citations:
- 4-3 (2)(E)(2)

Witnesses:
- Witness 1
- Witness 2
- Witness 3
- Witness 4

Animal Information:
- Name: Curly
- Color: Red
- Sex: M
- Age: Adult
- License #: 226542
- Condition: OK
- Animal ID #: A479931
On May 07, 2014 at approximately 10:40 hours Investigator M. Eckelarger #1942 responded to where he received no answer at the door. He observed a red colored Chow mix in the carport area, on a tie-out, approximately 10 feet in length. The dog did have access to food, water, shade, and shelter. Investigator Eckelarger then took photographs of the dog and the tie-out. Investigator Eckelarger determined that he would need assistance to impound the dog and contacted the Pima Animal Care Center Dispatch to request assistance. Officer T. Bowden #2013 arrived on scene to assist with the impound of the dog. A notice advising that the dog had been impounded was posted on the front door.

On May 14, 2014 at approximately 12:30 hours I met with the dog owner, Andy at the Pima Animal Care Center when he came in to redeem his pet. Mr. was very cooperative and offered his Arizona Drivers License for identification. I issued a citation to Mr. for his dog being kept on a tie out. Mr. signed the citation and accepted his copy. I then advised him of his court date and time.
### INVESTIGATION REPORT

**Pima County Health Department**

**Pima Animal Welfare Center**

4000 N Silverbell Rd

Tucson, AZ 85718

Phone: (520) 243-5550

Fax: (520) 243-5950

www.pimaanimalcare.org

---

**Suspect:** Myrna June Gilbert

**ACO Name/Badge #:** Konst 2002

**Complaint Number:** 14-144720

---

**Does this incident require victim request for waiver of rights?**

- [ ] Yes
- [x] No

---

**Location of Incident:**

- [ ] Food
- [ ] Water
- [ ] Shelter
- [ ] Injured/Dull
- [ ] Ventilation
- [ ] Abandoned
- [ ] Tieout
- [ ] Beaten
- [ ] Waste
- [ ] Other (Explain):

---

**Food and Water:**

- [ ] Yes
- [ ] No

**Shelter:**

- [ ] Yes
- [ ] No

---

**Number of Lawful Representative (if applicable):**

- [ ] 0

---

**Address and Phone Number Same as Victim:**

- [x] Yes

---

**Relationship to Victim:**

- [ ] Vet Clinic

---

**Lawful Representative Address:**

- [ ] Clinic's Address

---

**3rd Party Citations:**

- [ ] Yes

---

**Victim or Lawful Representative Signature:**

- [ ] Bond

---

**Breed/Description Victim or Owner Animal:**

- [ ] Rotweiler
- [ ] Terrier X
- [ ] Terrier X
- [ ] B. Collie

---

**Animal's Name:**

- [ ] Sasha
- [ ] Jasyn
- [ ] Reil

---

**Sex:**

- [ ] Male
- [ ] Female

---

**Color:**

- [ ] Blk/Tan
- [ ] Tan/Whit
- [ ] Tri

---

**Age:**

- [ ] 5

---

**License #:**

- [ ] OK 479916
- [ ] OK 479912
- [ ] OK 479913
- [ ] OK 479914

---

**Witness 1:**

- [ ] M
- [x] F

---

**Witness 2:**

- [ ] M
- [x] F

---

**Witness 3:**

- [ ] M
- [x] F

---

**Witness 4:**

- [ ] M
- [x] F
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-144720

ACO name & Badge: Carver #1901

On 05/07/14 at approximately 0900 hours Officer Konst badge #2002 was dispatched to investigate a leash law complaint. He received no answer at the front door. Officer Konst could hear dogs in the back yards but they were not coming to the fence line. He then walked to the side of the house in the driveway area where he observed four dogs on tie-outs in a fenced back yard. Two of the dogs were tangled and unable to reach any water and two of them had no shelter. There were four buckets of water, but nothing to stop the buckets from being tipped over if caught on cable or hit. Officer Konst photographed the area and asked for Pima Animal Care (PACC) Dispatch to attempt to call the complainant to possibly get a name or telephone number of the dogs owner. There was no record of a current license or recent owner listed in the PACC records. Dispatch tried a phone number for a dog owner listed at the address but it was disconnected. Officer Konst photographed all of the dogs and impounded all four dogs and posted a notice of impound on the front door.

He observed the following violations, A female Rottweiler, had no accessible water due to her tie-out being tangled around a pole in the northwest corner of the yard and she also had no shelter. A female Terrier mix in the northeast corner of the yard had no shelter. Another female Terrier mix was tangled on a porch post on the northeast side of the porch and had no accessible water, the water that was intended for this dog did not appear to be potable as it was bright yellow color. A Border Collie mix was on the northwest corner of the porch on a tie-out with the other porch post to get tangled on. Officer Konst requested citations be issued to the dog owner.

On 5/7/2014 I, Officer J. Carver #1901, met with Myrna when she came into the Pima Animal Care Center, she identified the 4 dogs impounded by Officer Konst as being her dogs. She provided her Arizona Drivers License for identification. She provided me with all of the dog's names and I issued the citations for the violations as observed by Officer Konst at the time of impound. Ms stated that they would be taking 3 of the 4 dogs to live at her mother's home at . The 3rd one would be kept in the home in a large wire crate while she is at work and then out on leash with her.

Officer's Signature: [Signature] Date: 5/8/14
### INVESTIGATION REPORT

**Pima County Health Department**  
**Pima Animal Care Center**  
**4000 N. Silverbell Rd**  
**Tucson, AZ 85750**  
**Phone: (520) 243-5900**  
**Fax: (520) 243-5900**  
**www.pimaanimalcare.org**

---

**SUSPECT**  
**Thomas Newell Motsinger**  
**ACO NAME / BADGE #**  
**Klein 1926**  
**COMPLAINT NUMBER**  
**A14-146647**  
**BITE □ WELFARE □ DANGEROUS □ OTHER □**

**ZIP □ CITY □ STAFF □ RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER □**

**SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS**

**ZIP □ CITY □ STATE □ BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER □**

**DRIVERS LICENSE**

**SV □ WEIGHT □ HEIGHT □ EYES □ HAIR COLOR □ ORIGIN □ DOB □ SSN not given □**

---

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**  
**103 E Alameda St**  
**DATE AND TIME REPORTED**  
**5-1-14 / 1318**

**FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURED/KILL VENTILATION ABANDONED TIEOUT BEaten WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)**  

---

**I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case**

**I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.**

**REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. B 15-4405 (B) and B 8-298 (B)**

---

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE**

**E.Klein #1926**  
**D.O.B**  
**RESIDENCE PHONE NO.**  
**243-5900**  
**BUSINESS PHONE NO.**

**ZIP □ CITY □ STATE □**

**VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS**  
**4000 N Silverbell Rd**  
**ZIP □ CITY □ STATE □**

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)**

---

**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM**

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM**

**PHONE NUMBER**

**VET CLINIC**

**CLINIC'S ADDRESS**

**QUARANTINE**  
**10 □ 15 □ 45 □ 180 □ □ FRA HEAD □**

---

**VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE**

---

**BREED/DESCRIPTION**

**VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL**

**ANIMAL'S NAME □ COLOR □ SEX □ AGE □ TAG □ LICENSE # □ VX CERTIFICATE # □ CONO □ ANIMAL ID # □**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BREED/DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>VICTIM</th>
<th>OWNER</th>
<th>OWNER</th>
<th>OWNER</th>
<th>OWNER</th>
<th>OWNER</th>
<th>OWNER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G.Shep</td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>Bi/Tan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>5Y</td>
<td>Phx</td>
<td>ok</td>
<td>A475992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G.Shep</td>
<td>Molly</td>
<td>Bi/Tan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>2Y</td>
<td>Phx</td>
<td>ok</td>
<td>A475993</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**WITNESS 1**  
**TPD Officer Thomson Badge 48573**

**WITNESS 2**  
**TPD Officer Johnston Badge 41927**

**WITNESS 3**  
**PACC Officer Bowdon Badge #3913**

**WITNESS 4**  
**DOB ADDRESS**

**RESIDENCE PHONE #**  
**BUSINESS PHONE #**

---

**REVIEWED BY**

---

**STEF**

---

**BOND**

**YES □ NO □**

---

**PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS**

**PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER**

**OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS**

---

**CITATIONS/NUMBERS**

**72418 A.B.C.D**

---

**CODE/ORD VIOLATED**

**4-32(3)(B), 4-32(2)(F)**

---

**M □ F □ DOB**

**ADDRESS**

**RESIDENCE PHONE #**  
**BUSINESS PHONE #**

---

**ADDRESSES 270 S. Stone Ave**

---

**M □ F □ DOB**

**ADDRESS**

**RESIDENCE PHONE #**  
**BUSINESS PHONE #**

---

**M □ F □ DOB**

**ADDRESS**

**RESIDENCE PHONE #**  
**BUSINESS PHONE #**

---

**M □ F □ DOB**

**ADDRESS**

**RESIDENCE PHONE #**  
**BUSINESS PHONE #**
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-146647

ACO name & Badge: Klein #1926

On May 1, 2014 I, Investigator Klein arrived at Tucson City Court located at 103 E Alameda street. When I stepped out of my vehicle I was approached by Tucson Police Department (TPD) Officer Thomson Badge #40873 who stated there were two adult German Shepherd's inside of a vehicle in the court parking lot. She said that the dogs did not have water, the vehicle is in direct sunlight, it is getting very hot and she was very concerned for the dogs. Officer Thomson provided two telephone numbers and stated the vehicle is registered to a business named She stated that the dogs have been in the vehicle since before noon. I contacted the Pima County Animal Care Center (PACC) dispatch department and asked that they call both of the phone numbers and ask the owner to come out to their vehicle.

PACC dispatch informed me that they did not receive an answer and left a voice message.

I went to the vehicle with Officer Thomson and looked at my phone to see that it was 1307 hours. I observed two adult German Shepherds inside of a grey Land Rover with Arizona license plate. Both dogs were agitated and panting heavily. I saw no water inside of the vehicle for the dogs to drink. I contacted PACC dispatch and requested assistance to remove the dogs from the vehicle and asked the current ground temperature. They stated it was 81.1 degrees. TPD Officer Thomson provided the dogs with water. PACC Officer Bowdon badge #2013 arrived to assist. TPD Officer Johnston badge #41827 also arrived.

I took several photographs of the vehicle and the dogs using Officer Bowdon’s field camera. Officer Bowdon and I then transferred the dogs into air cooled cages in her vehicle. As we were preparing to leave the parking lot we were approached by Mr. Thomas who stated this was his vehicle and he asked where his dogs were.

I explained to Mr. that I was glad he had returned and asked for identification. He provided his Arizona drivers license.
I then told him that both of the dogs were currently in Officer Bowdon’s vehicle and were about to be taken to the Animal Care Center after being found in his hot vehicle with no water. I then looked at my phone again and saw that it was now 1344 hours.

Mr. [Redacted] became very argumentative. It was explained to him several times by me and TPD Officer Johnston that the dogs would be returned to him but he can not leave dogs in a vehicle without adequate ventilation and temperature control. He argued that the windows were cracked, he does it all the time and his dogs are well cared for. I asked if the dogs are currently licensed and he said that they are licensed in Phoenix. He stated Kenya is a 5 year old female German Shepherd and Molly is a 2 year old female German Shepherd.

I then issued citation 72419 A,B,C and D to Mr. [Redacted] for the violations of neglect no water and neglect ventilation for both of his dogs. I again explained that it is too hot to leave dogs in a parked vehicle with out proper temperature control and without water. Mr. [Redacted] stated that his dogs are very well cared for and asked why I was citing him. I again explained that at 1307 hours I observed the dogs to be without water in a hot vehicle. He did not return to his vehicle until 1344 hours and according to the TPD officer the dogs had already been in the vehicle since before noon. Mr. [Redacted] told me that the police officer was lying.

I provided Mr. [Redacted] with his signed copy of the citation and explained the court appearance. Both Kenya and Molly were then returned to Mr. [Redacted].

Officer’s Signature: [Signature]  
Date: 5.4.14
MEMORANDUM

TO: Kim Janes, Chief of External Operations
FROM: Debra Tenkate, Animal Care Field Supervisor
DATE: June 6, 2014
RE: Dangerous Dog Cases for May 2014

City of Tucson:

1. A14-147014---Gina Williams, dog named Chaos was declared not dangerous by Investigator Carver.
2. A14-141430---Clarisa Baldras, dog named Pecosa was declared vicious by Judge Hale. Investigator Carver served the declaration and is monitoring compliance.
3. A14-146085---Maureen Middleton, 2 dogs named Bella and Rosa were declared dangerous by Investigator Klein. The owner requested a hearing which was held on 6/5/14. Investigator Klein is waiting for the results of the hearing.
4. A14-146473---Kerrie Minick, dog named Minnie was declared dangerous by Investigator Klein. The owner has requested a hearing that will be held on 6/9/14.

County:

5. A14-146850---Julia Belin, dog named Sam was declared dangerous by Investigator Klein. Investigator Klein will monitor compliance.
6. A14-143515---Carisa Chavarria, dog named Chelsea declared dangerous by Investigator Carver. The dog was euthanized.
7. A14-146565---Stanley Jewell Jr, 2 dogs named Sarge and Bailey were declared dangerous by Investigator Carver. Both of the dogs were euthanized.
8. A14-145904---Edward Green, 2 dogs named Mischka and Luna were declared dangerous by Investigator Klein. The owner requested a hearing and the declaration of dangerous was upheld. Investigator Klein will monitor compliance.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>CASE NUMBER</th>
<th>OWNER'S LAST NAME</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS ASSESSED</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS DECLARED DANGEROUS</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS DECLARED NOT DANGEROUS</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS IMPOUNDED</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS RELINQUISHED</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS PTS</th>
<th>HEARING Y/N</th>
<th>UPHeld</th>
<th>NOT UPHeld</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A14-147014</td>
<td>Gina Williams</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A14-141430</td>
<td>Clarise Bakoras</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A14-146085</td>
<td>Maureen Middleton</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A14-146473</td>
<td>Kerrie Minick</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNTY</th>
<th>CASE NUMBER</th>
<th>OWNER'S LAST NAME</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS ASSESSED</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS DECLARED DANGEROUS</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS DECLARED NOT DANGEROUS</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS IMPOUNDED</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS RELINQUISHED</th>
<th>NUMBER OF ANIMALS PTS</th>
<th>HEARING Y/N</th>
<th>UPHeld</th>
<th>NOT UPHeld</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A14-146850</td>
<td>Julia Belin</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A14-143515</td>
<td>Carisa Chavarria</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A14-145665</td>
<td>Stanley Jewell Jr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A14-145904</td>
<td>Edward Green</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department  
Pima Animal Care Center  
4000 N Silverbell Rd.  
Tucson, Arizona 85745  
Phone: (520) 243-5600  
Fax: (520) 243-5699  
www.pimaanimalcare.org

---

**Suspect**  
Ada Louis Williams

**ACO NAME / BADGE #**  
Atteberry, 1929

**COMPLAINT NUMBER**  
A14-147014

---

**ZIP**  
85712  
**CITY**  
Tucson  
**STATE**  
AZ  
**RESIDENT'S PHONE NUMBER**  

---

**SEX**  
F  
**WEIGHT**  
5  
**HEIGHT**  
5  
**EYES**  
5  
**HAIR COLOR**  
5  
**ORIGIN**  
5

---

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**

---

**DATE AND TIME REPORTED**

---

**DATE AND TIME UCCURRED**

---

**FOOD**  
WATER  
SHELTER  
INJURED/ILL  
VENTILATION  
ABANDONED  
TIEOUT  
BEATEN  
WASTE  
OTHER

---

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)**  
Angela Sharkey

---

**REQUST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. 5-3-4405 (B) and 5-8-296 (8)**

---

**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM**

---

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM**

---

**PHONE NUMBER**

---

**LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS**

---

**CLINIC'S ADDRESS**

---

**3RD PARTY CITATIONS**

---

**VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE**

---

**BREED/DESCRIPTION**

---

**ANIMAL'S NAME**  
Chaos  
**COLOR**  
Brown Brindle/white  
**SEX**  
M  
**AGE**  
A

---

**CITATION/NUMBERS**

---

**WITNESS 1**  
M  
**DOB**

---

**WITNESS**  
M  
**DOB**

---

**WITNESS 4**  
M  
**DOB**
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-147014
ACO name & Badge: Attebery, 1929

On 5/6/2014 at around 0955 pm, I, Animal Care Officer Attebery, 1929, arrived at
reference Tucson Police with a dog that bit someone.

I met with Tucson Police Officer Avilez #51570 who stated that while she was
driving in the
reference a dog on dog attack.

I then met with Angela Sharkey who stated that at around 0925 pm on
5/6/2014, she was walking her leashed boxer mix dog named “Bootsie”,
westbound in the
. Angela saw about 50’ west of her, a
woman holding a pit bull mix dog on a leash that was attached to the dog’s
harness. Woman holding the dog’s leash dropped the leash.

The pit bull mix dog ran to where Ms Sharkey and “Bootsie” were standing
and attacked “Bootsie”. Pit bull dog bit down several places on “Bootsie” but
then bit “Boosie” in the neck area and would not let go.

Several residents that live at the
apartment complex saw the
pit bull latched onto “Bootsie’s” neck and came over to separate the dogs.
Dogs were eventually separated. “Bootsie” sustained a puncture wound to
her left shoulder and on top of her head with swelling around the right jowl
area. Pit bull dog had minor scrape on its nose. Ms Sharkey wants cites
issued for pit bull being loose/ for pit bull attacking her dog, medical
restitution for when she takes her dog to the vet and a dangerous dog
assessment. Photos taken of “Bootsie’s” injuries.

Woman holding/walking pit bull mix identified herself as Ada Louise Williams
and the pit bull is named “Chaos”. Per Ms Williams, “Chaos” belongs to her
daughter, Gail Williams. Ms Williams decided to take “Chaos” for a walk. While
they were in the
, she saw Ms Sharkey and her dog
approaching. “Chaos” became agitated and while Ms Williams tried to contain
the dog, she lost control of the leash and “Chaos” was able to run and attack
“Bootsie”.

Ms Williams was cited for “Chaos” being loose and biting another animal. As
Ms Williams had no photo identification, her picture was taken and she gave
her personal information.

Ms Williams had “Chaos” on his leash and as Ms Sharkley and her dog walked
eastbound on Prince Rd away from the scene, "Chaos" began to fight the leash. Ms Williams couldn't control the dog so I helped her hold the dog.

It was decided that "Chaos" would remain in my truck until Ms Williams could bring the dog owner to the station to discuss disposition of "Chaos".

Dog owner, Gail Williams, arrived on scene. After an explanation of the events that had transpired earlier and the possible declaring the dog vicious or dangerous, Gail Williams, in the presence of Tucson Police Officer Avilez, verbally gave Pima Animal Care Center possession of the dog to dispose of by law.

Officer's Signature: [Signature]  
Date: 5/7/2014
Case No: 14147454
Owner: Gina Williams
Animal Name: Chaos

Evaluation Criteria:

Reported Bites:
- Non-Violation Bite: +3
- Violation-Bite: +6

Severity of Injury to Humans:
(Choose one factor only per incident)
- No Break in Skin: +1
- Break in Skin or Bruising: +2
- Medical Care (Released): +3
- Multiple Bites-Single Incident: +4
- Bite Down and Shock Victim: +4
- Medical Care (Hospitalization): +5

Animal Complaints or Violations:
- Leash Law Citations: +2
- Leash Law Complaints: +1
- Attempted Bite Citations: +2
- Animal Attack Citations: +3
- Other Citations / Complaints: +1

Confinement / Fencing:
- Second Floor Closed Balcony

Severity of Injury to Animals:
- Attack with No Injury: +1
- Injuries Treated by Owner: +2
- Vet Care (1 to 2 Visits): +3
- Extensive Vet Care (>2 Visits): +4
- Injuries Resulted in Death: +5

Confinement Measures: (Check one factor only)
- Secure Fence/Wall and Gates: -5
- Inadequate Fencing or Gates: +5

Owner Accountability / Responsibility:
- Repaired Deficient Confinement: -3
- Animal is Neutered / Spayed: -1
- Owner Aware of Any Aggression: +1
- Owner Failed to Repair Confinement: +5
- Currently Licensed Lic. #: 41223847
- No Current License: +1
- No Current Rabies Vaccination: +1

Neighbor Comments (Scored by Majority Opinion):
(2 or more neighbors interviewed)
- Animal Never Observed at Large: -3
- Animal Not Observed Aggressive: -3
- Animal Observed at Large ≤5xyr: +1
- Animal Observed at Large >5xyr: +2
- Animal Observed Being Aggressive: +2

Dogs Behavior: (If observed by officer)
- Animal Behaves Aggressively: +2
- Animal Not Aggressive: -2
- Animal Shows Unsafe Behavior: +1

General Comments:
This dog was adopted 3 months ago from HSSA. There are no other incidents reported. The neighbors have had no issues with this dog. The dog is declared not dangerous.

OFFICER # CARVER

Total Score: 5

Dangerous

A score of ten points or higher shall be deemed a dangerous animal.

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.

PACC-DD1
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4900 N. 16th Ave.
Tucson, AZ 85712
Fax: (520) 243-3520
www.pimaanimalcare.org

Suspect
Clarisa Balderas

Suspect's Address
7 n

Suspect's Business Address
N/A

ACO Name / Badge #
M. Fish
1995

Complaint Number
A14-141430

Date and Time Occurred
2-12-14 / 19:00

DOB
N/A

Sex
N/A

Weight
N/A

Height
N/A

Hair Color
N/A

Hair Type
N/A

Hair Origin
N/A

Limb
N/A

Eye Color
N/A

Muscle
N/A

Eye
N/A

Teeth
N/A

Fur
N/A

Date and Time Reported
2-12-14 / 19:56

Pup Water Shelter Injured/ILL Ventilation Abandoned Tieout Beaten Waste Others (Explain)

Victim/Complainant Name
Corlena Espuma

Victim's Address

DOB
N/A

Residence Phone No.
N/A

Business Phone No.
N/A

Victim's Business Address

Zip
N/A

City
Tucson

State
AZ

Name of Lawful Representative
(If Applicable)

Dangerous Assessment
Requested
YES NO

Restitution Requested
YES NO

DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER

Other Agency Case #

Follow-up Request

Address and Phone Number Same As Victim

Relationship to Victim

Phone Number

Lawful Representative Address

Clinic's Address

Quarantine

3rd Party Citations

Citing ACO

Previous Violations

Previous Case Number

Other Additional Reports

Victim or Lawful Representative Signature

Breed/Description

 Victim or Owner Animal

Animal's Name
Pecosa

Color
Tan/White

Sex
F

Age
2yr

Tag Color
N/A

License #
Cited

RAIDOMUN

North

A477748

Witness 1
Investigator Michael Eckelberger #1942

M F DOB

Address
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.

Residence Phone #
N/A

Business Phone #
520-243-5600

Witness 2

M F DOB

Address

Residence Phone #
N/A

Business Phone #

Witness 3

M F DOB

Address

Residence Phone #
N/A

Business Phone #

Witness 4

M F DOB

Address

Residence Phone #
N/A

Business Phone #

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-141430

ACO name & Badge: M. Fish #1995

On February 13th, 2014 at approximately 17:30 hours Investigator Eckelbarger #1942 responded to . . . where he met with bite victim, Corlena Espuma (DOB 10-30-80). Mrs. Espuma stated that on February 12th, 2014 at approximately 19:00 hours she was walking down the street in front of when a small tan and white Chihuahua ran out of the unfenced front yard and began chasing her. She stated the dog then charged up to her and bit her right leg several times causing two puncture wounds. Investigator Eckelbarger took photographs of the bite wounds and attached to the activity in Chameleon. Mrs. Espuma requested that citations for Biting Animal and Leash Law be issued on her behalf as well as restitution for any medical bills she may incur. She also stated she contacted Zanes Law Office regarding the incident.

Investigator Eckelbarger then responded to . . . where he observed the property to have fencing but no gates in the front yard. He met with dog owner’s brother; Andres Balderas who lives next door in unit on the property. The dog owner’s brother stated the biter dog "Pecosa" belongs to his sister, Clarisa Balderas ( ) who lives next door in unit (east side of home). The dog owner’s brother told Investigator Eckelbarger that Clarisa was at work now until midnight. He also told Investigator Eckelbarger that he believed she was aware of the incident and that he believed she gave the dog to a friend. Officer Eckelbarger left a notice to give to Ms. Balderas advising she needs to bring biter dog to PACC for quarantine.

On February 18th at approximately 18:30 hours I, Officer M. Fish #1995, met with the dog owner, Clarisa Balderas, at . . . and issued the citations for Biting Animal and Leash Law on behalf of the victim. I also impounded the biter for the remainder of the quarantine period at Pima Animal Care Center. I issued the owner a citation for No License as well since the dog was not currently licensed in Pima County. The biter did have a current Rabies
vaccination (RABDOMUN / Lot #S300570F / Exp. 12-03-14). After I was done meeting with the owner I then met with the victim and showed her photos of the owner's Chihuahua mix, Pecosa (A470748), which she positively identified as the dog that bit her.

Officer's Signature: [Signature]

Date: 2-20-14
DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

_____ An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

_____ An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

X An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

On May 9th, 2014, the dog, Pecosa, belonging to Clarisa Balderas was declared to be vicious by Judge Hale in Tucson City Court.

______________________________
OWNER: Clarisa Balderas

______________________________
ANIMAL NAME: Pecosa

______________________________
ANIMAL ID#: A-470748

SEX: F  COLOR: Brn  BREED: Chihuahua

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE.

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filling a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

**Pima County Health Department**
**Pima Animal Care Center**
**3400 N 6TH**
**Tucson, AZ 85716**
**Phone:** (520) 243-2680
**Fax:** (520) 243-2683

**ACO NAME / BADGE #**
**J RADEMAKER**
**COMPLAINT NUMBER**
**A14-146085**

**DATE REPORTED**
**04/22/14**
**TIME REPORTED**
**1734**
**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**
**04/22/14**
**DATE AND TIME REPORTED**
**/ 1652**

**FINDINGS**

- **BITE □ WELFARE □ DANGEROUS □ OTHER □**
- **CODE IF OTHER:**
- **CL □ CO □ OTHER □**
- **DRIVER'S LICENSE ( az ) □**
- **SSN □**

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**
**Tucson, AZ**

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)**
**SUSAN BACAL**

**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS**

- **VIOLATION □ NO □**
- **NON-VIOLATION □ NO □**

**DATE GUARANTEED**
**PACC □**
**VET □**
**HOME □**

**CLINIC'S ADDRESS**

**QUARANTINE**
**10 □ 15 □ 45 □ 180 □**

**RELEASE DATE**

**3RD PARTY CITATIONS**

**CITING ACO 2019**

**PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS**

**PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER**

**REVIEWED BY**
**J 1901**

**CASE#**
**A14-1460504**

**ANIMAL CONTROL**
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-146085

ACO name & Badge: J RADEMAKER 2019

On April 22, 2014 at 5:34PM Susan Bacal called Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) dispatch to report that as she was walking her dog on leash a Labradoodle dog and a Chocolate Labrador Retriever dog left their yard and attacked her dog.

Ms Bacal called back at 7:22 PM with information that the dogs that attacked her dog reside at  and that the owner is Maureen Brooks.

On April 24, 2014 at 5:49PM I, Officer Rademaker 2019, went to 5618 E 8th St and met with Susan Bacal and saw her Border Collie dog Moses. Ms Bacal said that on April 22, 2014 at approximately 3:50PM she was walking Moses on leash southbound on  , just south of  when 2 dogs that reside at that address came through an open gate in the back yard of that property. She described the dogs as a black Labradoodle named Bella and a brown Labrador named Rosa. Ms Bacal stated that the 2 dogs attacked Moses and caused significant injury. I saw that Moses was shaved in several large areas and had wounds that were stitched and not stitched and photographed him for this record. Ms Bacal said this has happened several times previously to other dogs in the neighborhood and has gone unreported. Ms Bacal stated that she has incurred veterinary expenses in excess of $400.00 at Pima Pet Emergency and Pantano Animal Hospital to date.

Ms Bacal requests that PACC issue leash law and biting animal citations on her behalf for the attack. She requests that a dangerous dog evaluation be conducted and that the owner be ordered by the court to pay restitution for her expenses.

While I was interviewing Ms Bacal neighbor Ken Plax of  came by. His phone is  and cell:  He said that a similar attack event had occurred involving him and his rat terrier Katy and he would be willing to speak about it if a dangerous dog investigation is conducted. He stated that he walks Katy on an expandable leash. I informed him that expandable leashes are not legal in the City of Tucson.

PACC records show the owner at  to be Maureen Brooks. Bella A341414 and Rosa A401774 are current on rabies vaccinations but have expired licenses.
I went to the home and found no one at home. I photographed the gate facing Leonora and the dogs in their backyard.

On April 25, 2014 at 4:52PM I went to and met with Maureen Ellen Middleton and interacted with her Labrador Retriever Rosa and Labradoodle Bella. The dogs were friendly with me. I apprised her of the complaint and inquired about the status of the licenses for the dogs. She admitted that she had allowed the licenses to lapse. Rabies vaccinations are current for both dogs.

I issued her citations for no license for both dogs. I also issued her citations for the leash law violations on April 22, 2014 as well as biting animal citations for the dog on dog attack then. I explained court and compliance and she said she understood. I gave her a law brochure.

Officer’s Signature: [Signature]

Date: 4/26/14

2019
CASE NO: A14-141504
OWNER: JAMES BROOKS MIDDLET
ANIMAL NAME: ROSA A491774

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:
NON-VIOLATION BITE +3
VIOLATION-BITE +6

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:
(Per Victim)
NO BREAK IN SKIN +1
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING +2
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASD) +3
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT +4
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM +4
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION) +5

Animal Complaints or Violations:
LEASH LAW CITATIONS +2
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS +1
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS +2
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS +3
OTHER CITATIONS OR COMPLAINTS +1

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:
ATTACK WITH NO INJURY +1
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER +2
VET CARE (1 TO 2 VISITS) +3
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS) +4
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH +5

Confinement / Fencing:

THE PROPERTY IS CONFINED BY A 5 FOOT TALL WALL WITH LOCKED GATES

General Comments:

WHILE CONDUCTING NEIGHBOR INTERVIEWS I FOUND THAT ROSA WAS INVOLVED IN 7 DOG-ON-DOG ATTACKS, 5 OF THOSE OCCURRED WHILE ROSA WAS BEING WALKED ON LEASH. 2 OCCURRED WHILE ROSA WAS AT LARGE DUE TO THESE INCIDENTS, ROSA IS DEEMED DANGEROUS.

TOTAL SCORE: 117

X DANGEROUS
O NOT DANGEROUS

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.

OFFICER # 19216

PACC-DD01
CASE NO: A14-14-504
OWNER: MANOVAID BUCKS MIDDLETON
ANIMAL NAME: BELLA A34414

EVALUATION CRITERIA
REPORTED BITES:
NON-VIOLATION BITE + 3
VIOLATION-BITE + 6

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:
(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)
NO BREAK IN SKIN + 1
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING + 2
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED) + 3
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT + 4
BIT DOWN AND SHOCK VICTIM + 4
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION) + 5

Animal Complaints or Violations:
LEASH LAW CITATIONS + 2
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS + 1
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS + 2
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS + 3
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS + 1

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:
ATTACK WITH NO INJURY + 1
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER + 2
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits) + 3
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS) + 4
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH + 5

Confinement / Fencing:
The property is confined by a 5 foot tall wall with wooded gates.

General Comments:
While Conducting Neighbor Interviews I found that Bella was involved in 7 dog on dog attacks. 5 of those occurred while Bella was being walked on leash. 3 occurred while Bella was at large. Due to these incidents Bella is deemed dangerous.

TOTAL SCORE: +17

A Score of ten points or higher shall be deemed a dangerous animal

OFFICER # 19216

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 748-7530 FAX (520) 748-9964
www.pimaanimalcare.org 945-5920

SEX: F BREED: LABRADOODLE
COLOR: BLACK DATE: 5-14-14

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)
(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)
SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES - 5
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES + 5

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:
REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT - 3
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAVED - 1
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION + 1
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT + 5
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # 52148 - 1
NO CURRENT LICENSE + 1
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION + 1

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):
(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)
ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE - 3
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE - 3
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >6X/YR + 2
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE + 2

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)
ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY + 2
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE - 2
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR + 1

DANGEROUS

NOT DANGEROUS

PACC-DD1
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

**Pima County Health Department**

**Pima Animal Care Center**

4000 N. Wilmot Rd., Tucson, AZ 85712

**Phone:**

**Fax:** (520) 243-9980

**www.pimaanimalcare.org**

---

**Suspect:**

Kerrie Lynn Minick

**ACO Name / Badge #**

T. Foster 2042

**Complaint Number**

A14-146473

---

**Suspect's Address**

**Zip**

**City**

**State**

**Residence Phone Number**

**Code if Other:**

**Suspect's Business Address**

**Zip**

**City**

**State**

**Business Phone Number**

**Drivers License**

**Sex**

**Weight**

**Height**

**Eyes**

**Hair Color**

**Origin**

**DOB**

**SSN**

Not Given

---

**Does this incident require victim request for waiver of rights?**

Yes □ No ☒

---

**Location of Incident**

**Date and Time Reported**

04/28/14 / 07:42

**Date and Time Occurred**

04/28/14 / 07:00

---

**Food**

**Water**

**Shelter**

**Injured/ill**

**Ventilation**

**Abandoned**

**Tied-out**

**Beaten**

**Waste**

**Other (Explain)**

---

**I choose "upon request" rights in this case.**

---

**Victim/Complainant Name**

Leslie Rappole

**DOB**

**Residence Phone No.**

**Business Phone No.**

---

**Victim's Address**

**Zip**

**City**

**State**

---

**Victim's Business Address**

**Zip**

**City**

**State**

---

**Name of Lawful Representative (If Applicable)**

---

**Address and Phone Number Same As Victim**

---

**Relationship to Victim**

---

**Phone Number**

---

**Lawful Representative Address**

---

**Clinic's Address**

---

**Quarantine**

---

**3rd Party Citations**

---

**PREVIOUS VIOLATION**

---

**Previous Case Number**

---

**Other Additional Reports**

---

**Victim or Lawful Representative Signature**

---

---

**Breed/Description**

**Vet Clinic**

---

**Animal's Name**

**Color**

**Sex**

**Age**

**License #**

**VX Certificate #**

**Condo**

**Animal ID#**

---

**WITNESS 1**

Rebecca Manning

**DOB**

**Address**

Residence Phone #

Business Phone #

---

**WITNESS 2**

**DOB**

**Address**

Residence Phone #

Business Phone #

---

**WITNESS 3**

**DOB**

**Address**

Residence Phone #

Business Phone #

---

**WITNESS 4**

**DOB**

**Address**

Residence Phone #

Business Phone #

---
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-146473
ACO name & Badge: T. Foster 2042

04/29/14 07:41 Pima Animal Care Center dispatch operators received a call from Tucson Police Department dispatch stating that a woman was in the middle of the street trying to break up a dog fight and was bitten in the process. Tucson Police Department was on their way to the scene. No additional information was provided by Tucson Police Department at that time.

04/29/14 09:10 Field Officer Bowdon arrived and met with Tucson Police Department Officer Ashton #49045 in reference to TPD case # 1404290138. It was reported that at approximately 0700 hours on 04/29/14, Leslie Rappole was walking her dog Bama on leash in the student area of the city of Tucson. As she walked past, Rebecca Manning exited the house to retrieve something from her vehicle and did not securely close the front door of the residence. Minnie, the dog residing at the house, escaped from the house and ran toward Ms. Rappole and Bama. Minnie then attacked Bama. During the attack Bama was injured and both Ms. Rappole and Ms. Manning were bitten. Ms. Rappole reportedly fell during the attack and injured her ankle. Bama suffered injuries to her ear and possibly to her neck and/or head area. Ms. Rappole was transported to UMC prior to Officer Bowdon’s arrival and she was unable to take her report at that time.

Ms. Manning stated that she was bitten on her right hand and arm area while trying to break up the fight, but she could not be certain which dog bit her. She initially stated it was Minnie, but then recanted and stated that she was not positive. Ms. Manning resides at 12345 S. Street but was visiting her friend, Kerrie Minick who is the owner of the Pit Bull mix named Minnie. Ms. Minick was in the shower and unaware of the incident until after it happened. Ms. Minick stated to Officer Bowdon that Minnie did not have a current Rabies Vaccination or Pima County dog License. Ms. Minick allowed Officer Bowdon to impound Minnie for quarantine and accepted citations for No License and No Rabies Vaccination. Officer Bowdon explained the quarantine process and provided her with the bite-case numbers and Pima Animal Care Center contact information. Ms. Minick intended to redeem
Minnie following quarantine period.

Officer Bowdon then met briefly with Ms. Rappole’s daughter to photograph the dog’s injuries prior to Bama being taken for vet care. Officer Bowdon also left a notice with Ms. Rappole’s daughter for Ms. Rappole to contact Pima Animal Care Center. Officer Bowdon advised her that since Ms. Manning was also bitten and uncertain which dog bit her. She stated that Bama would also need to be quarantined but will be allowed a home quarantine since she is current on her rabies vaccination and was not in violation of the Leash Law at the time of the attack. Officer Bowdon briefly explained the parameters, but told her we could finalize the details at a later time to help facilitate the dog receiving veterinary treatment in a timely manner.

05/01/14 11:11 hours Officer Henderson 1904 contacted Leslie Rappole at her home. Ms. Rappole was not able to come to the door due to her injuries. Officer Henderson was escorted to Ms Rappole’s room where she was in bed. Ms Rappole stated the attack occurred about 7:00 am on Tuesday, April 29th. Ms Rappole stated that she was walking in the east, when the dog ran out of the house due to an improperly latched door, and attacked her dog. Ms. Rappole stated that the dog ran past Rebecca Manning (the person Ms. Rappole believed was the dog’s owner) who was standing at the curb. She stated that Minnie first ran into her which resulted in her being knocked to the ground. Ms. Rappole stated that Minnie then attacked her dog Bama. Ms. Rappole stated that Rebecca Manning and a couple of neighbors who witnessed the incident came to their aid. Ms. Rappole’s dog was injured and as a result of the attack and underwent surgery for those injuries. Ms Rappole was also injured and is suffering from bruises, bite wounds and a broken leg. Ms. Rappole underwent surgery for her injuries as well. Ms Rappole is requesting citations be issued for Minnie attacking Bama as well as Minnie knocking Ms. Rappole down and biting her. Ms Rappole stated that she will have her own photos taken of her and her dog’s injuries for the case.

05/05/14 18:33 I, Officer Foster 2042 arrived at the dog owner’s residence to issue third party citations on behalf of Leslie Rappole. I knocked on the front door and received no answer. There was no vehicle in the driveway either. I posted a notice requesting Ms. Minick contact Pima Animal Care Center to make arrangements to meet with an Animal Care Field Officer.
05/05/14 19:09 l, Officer Foster 2042 was contacted via Animal Control radio system and informed that Kerrie Minick was now at home and available to speak with an officer. I returned to and knocked on the front door and was met by Kerrie Minick. I explained that Ms. Rappole was requesting that PACC issue third party citations for Biting Animal and a Leash Law violation for the incident that occurred on 4/29/14 at 700 hours. I then requested a copy of Ms. Minick’s driver’s license. Ms. Minick was very cooperative but angry with her friend for her carelessness when exiting Ms. Minick’s home the morning of the twenty ninth. I then issued two Biting Animal citations and one Leash Law citation. In a previous note, the responding officer requested that the citing officer issue two Leash Law citations. However, I was unable to find two instances of a Leash Law violation in PACC field notes so I issued one. Ms. Minick acknowledged, signed, and accepted her citations. Ms. Minick was then provided with her court date, time, and location. I then returned Ms. Minick’s driver’s license to her and thanked her for her time and cooperation.

Officer’s Signature: T. Foster

Date: 5/8/14
DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VIOLENT ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

[ ] An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

[ ] An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

[ ] An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

On April 29, 2014, the pitbull known as Moppie caused injury to a person and severely injured another dog while in violation of the leash law. The person and the dog both required significant medical intervention/treatment.

Due to this incident, Moppie is deemed dangerous.

Officer #1926

KEILEN

OWNER: VEGAE MINICK
ADDRESS: 
PHONE: 

ANIMAL NAME: Moppie
ANIMAL ID#: A478952
SEX: F COLOR: Bl BREE: Pitbull

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE.

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.
## INVESTIGATION REPORT

### Suspect

**Name:** JULIA MELANIE BELIN  
**Address:**  
**City:** VAIL  
**State:** AZ  
**Residence Phone Number:**  
**Birth Date:**  
**Social Security Number:**  
**Aco Name/Badge #:** J RADEMAKER  
**Complaint Number:** A14-146850  
**Year:** 2019  
**Code If Other:**  
**Driver's License:**  

### Does This Incident Require Victim Request for Waiver of Rights?  
- **Yes**  
- **No**  

### Victim/Complainant Name

**Name:** KACEY VARVEL  
**Address:**  
**City:** VAIL  
**State:** AZ  
**Residence Phone Number:**  

### Name of Lawful Representative (If Applicable)

**Address and Phone Number Same As:**  
**Relationship to Victim:**  
**Phone Number:**  
**Lawful Representative Address:**  
**Clinic's Address:**  

### Violation

**Bite Severity:** 3  
**Part of Body Bitten:** FACE  
**Treated By:** UMC SOUTH  
**Phone Number:**  
**Owner Knows of Bite:**  
**Release Date:**  
**Quarantine:**  
**3rd Party Citations:**  
**Citing Aco:** J RADEMAKER 2019  
**Previous Violations:**  
**Previous Case Number:**  

### Codex/Ord Violated

**6.04.030; 6.04.120(B)(2)**  
**Citations/Numbers:** 72429A-B; 72430A-B  
**Reviewed By:** J. RADEMAKER 2019  

### Breed/Description

**Animal's Name:** SAM  
**Color:** BR/W  
**Sex:** M  
**Age:** 6Y  
**Tag Color:**  
**License #:**  
**VX Certificate #:**  
**Cited:**  
**Cited:** N  
**Bond:**  

### Witness

**Name:**  
**DOB:**  
**Address:**  
**Residence Phone #:**  
**Business Phone #:**  

### Other Additional Reports

- Yes  
- No  

---

**Breed/Description**  
**Victim or Owner Animal**  
**AM STAFFORDSHIRE**  
**Victim Owner:**  
**Witness:**  
**Witness:**  
**Witness:**  
**Witness:**  

---

**Witness 1:**  
**DOB:**  
**Address:**  
**Residence Phone #:**  
**Business Phone #:**  

**Witness 2:**  
**DOB:**  
**Address:**  
**Residence Phone #:**  
**Business Phone #:**  

**Witness 3:**  
**DOB:**  
**Address:**  
**Residence Phone #:**  
**Business Phone #:**  

**Witness 4:**  
**DOB:**  
**Address:**  
**Residence Phone #:**  
**Business Phone #:**
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A13-134617; A14-146850
ACO name & Badge: J RADEMAKER 2019

On November 2, 2013 at 6:38PM Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) Officer K. Saline 1918 responded to A13-134617 and met complainant Kacey Varvel who stated his son was in his garden which is fenced off, but adjoining the neighbors fence. The neighbors have two bully breed dogs, a male and a female. The male was barking and charging at the fence and found a soft area in the dirt and came into the garden area. The son had a water hose and was spraying the dog to keep it away from him. The father came over with a stick and tried to shoo the dog back into it's own yard. The dog continued to charge. Finally, the dad got a shovel and hit the dog and it retreated back into it's own yard. A wood pallet was used to block the hole. The dog continued to look for weak spots in the fence to come under. That's when Gabrielle Varvel called 911. Between the time the complainant called and Officer Saline arrived, the neighbors arrived home and the dogs were put in the house. The neighbors spoke and the fence was to be secured. They exchanged phone numbers and nobody wants citations at this time. Officer Saline then went to the dog owners residence, and spoke to a teenage boy who stated his parents went out. Officer Saline left a copy of the laws as well a notice and advised of the leash laws to pass along to parents.

On May 4, 2014 at 7:27PM PACC dispatch received call from UMC South / John @ Triage who transferred phone to bite victim's wife (Gabrielle Varvel). This is assigned activity number A14-146850. Ms. Varvel stated the neighbor's (Julia) dog (Sam - a brown and white Pit Bull) got out of it's yard, onto the property of the Varvels, attacked and bit Mr. Kacey Varvel about the face causing punctures both inside and outside of his mouth and lips. Ms. Varvel stated she has some photos and PCSO has more time and date stamped photos of the incident as well.

On May 4, 2014 at 7:43PM Officer Foster 2042 arrived and was met in the street by PCSO Deputy O'Dell #1480 who was there in reference to PCSO Case #140504190. He stated that the victim had left to the hospital for treatment and there was only a 16 year old at home. Officer Foster stated that she would deal with the dog owner that night and set the call up for an ACO to meet with the victim tomorrow. Deputy O'Dell informed her that the dog owner's name is Julia Belin and lives next door at and the biting dog is named Sam and is 6 years old.
As they spoke the dog owner approached them. Ms. Belin was emotional but very cooperative. Officer Foster requested proof of rabies vaccinations and license for both of her dogs. Ms. Belin asked Officer Foster why she needed vaccination information for Bella since she was not involved in biting the neighbor. Officer Foster stated that anytime she encounters unlicensed and vaccinated dogs in the field that she is required to issue citations. Ms. Belin then stated that Bella was vaccinated at ABC but she did not have proof with her and that Sam was not current on his Rabies vaccination and is not licensed.

Officer Foster replied that she should try to obtain the proof of Bella's vaccination and take it with her to court. Officer Foster then stated that because Sam is unvaccinated and he was involved in a Violation Bite that she had no choice but to impound him for the required 10 day quarantine at PACC. Ms. Belin was relieved to hear that she would be able to redeem her dog and was that he would only be at PACC for 10 days. Officer Foster then asked her for her Driver's license. Ms. Belin complied and was issued citations for no-license and no-rabies vaccination on both dogs. Officer Foster also issued a Quarantine @ PACC form for Sam. Ms. Belin acknowledged, signed, and accepted her citations and Quarantine agreement. Ms. Belin was provided with her court date, time, location, and her license was returned to her.

On May 5, 2014 at 12:00PM Officer Konst 2002 met with victim and his wife. They requested third party citations for two dates and a dangerous dog evaluation if the dog is redeemed. They told Officer Konst that Sam has become aggressive since the second dog, a female, came. The victim request that PACC Issue citations on behalf of Kacey Varvel for bite on this activity and they also wish to have dog owner cited for a attempt to bite under activity A13-134617 on 11/02/13 at 1730 hrs to same victim and leash law on both incidents. Officer Konst posted notice for owner to contact us. Complainant will drop all charges if dog is not redeemed.

On May 9, 2014 at 1:00PM I, Officer Rademaker 2019, met with owner Julia Belin at Pima Animal Care Center. She understands that the victim Kacey Varvel is willing to decline citations if she relinquishes ownership of the biting dog Sam. Ms Belin said she wants to redeem Sam. I explained that she would receive citations for the leash law violation and biting animal (attempt to bite) for November 2, 2013 and leash law and biting animal (human bite) on May 4, 2014 and that Sam would be evaluated for being a dangerous dog and she said she understood. I then issued the citations, explained court and she said she understood.

Officer's Signature: [Signature]
Date: 5/11/14
CASE NO: A14-147424
OWNER: JULIA BEHN
ANIMAL NAME: SADDAM

EVALUATION CRITERIA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REPORTED BITES:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-Violation Bite</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violation-Bite</td>
<td>+6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Break in Skin</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Break in Skin or Bruising</td>
<td>+2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Care (Released)</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple Bites-Single Incident</td>
<td>+4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bite Down and Shock Victim</td>
<td>+4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Care (Hospitalization)</td>
<td>+5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Animal Complaints or Violations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Violation</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leash Law Citations</td>
<td>+2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leash Law Complaints</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attempted Bite Citations</td>
<td>+2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Attack Citations</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Citations / Complaints</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Injury</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attack with No Injury</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injuries Treated by Owner</td>
<td>+2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vet Care (1 To 2 Visits)</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extensive Vet Care (&gt;2 Visits)</td>
<td>+4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injuries Resulted in Death</td>
<td>+5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Confinement / Fencing:

The property is enclosed by a 6 foot tall chaining fence. Items have been placed at the bottom of the fence in two areas to prevent dogs from escaping. The gate latch is not secure. A chain has been added to keep gate closed.

General Comments:

After completing the assessment, I have deemed Saddam dangerous.

---

TOTAL SCORE: +21

**DANGEROUS**

---

ADDRESS: 
SEX: M 
BREED: AM.
STAFF
era.
COLOR: Tan/White 
DATE: 3.25.14

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Secure Fence/Wall and Gates</td>
<td>+5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate Fencing or Gates</td>
<td>+5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Repaired Deficient Confinement</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Is Neutered / Spayed</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner Aware of Any Aggression</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner Failed to Repair Confinement</td>
<td>+5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently Licensed License</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Current License</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Current Rabies Vaccination</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):

(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observation</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Animal Never Observed at Large</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Not Observed Aggressive</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Observed at Large 5XYR</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Observed at Large &gt;5XYR</td>
<td>+2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Observed Being Aggressive</td>
<td>+2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Behavior</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Animal Behaves Aggressively</td>
<td>+2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Not Aggressive</td>
<td>+2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Shows Unsafe Behavior</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OFFICER #: 1926

TOTAL SCORE: +21

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL.

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.
INVESTIGATION REPORT
Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Welfare
4000 N. Dale St.
Tucson, AZ 85712
Phone: (520) 243-6960
Fax: (520) 243-6960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

DD#6

Suspect
Carisa Chavarría

Suspect Address

Zip | City | State | Residence Phone Number
---|---|---|---

Suspect’s Business Address

Zip | City | State | Business Phone Number
---|---|---|---

Sex | Weight | Height | Eyes | Hair Color | Origin | DOB | SSN
---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---

Location

32-44-67

Last and Time Reported
03/15/14 / 1856

Date and Time Occurred
03/15/14 / 1823

Food | Water | Shelter | Injured/Wounded | Ventilation | Abandoned | Tieout | Beaten | Waste | Other | Explain
---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---

Victim/Complainant Name
Patty & Chris Breed

Residence Phone No.

Business Phone No.

Victim's Business Address

Zip | City | State
---|---|---

Name of Lawful Representative (if applicable)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED
YES | NO

Restitution Requested
YES | NO

DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER

Other Agency Case #: SO | TPD | TFD | OTHER:

Follow Up Request
SO | TPD | OTHER:

Address and Phone Number Same as Victim

Violation

Bite Severity:

Treated By

Phone Number

Date Quarantined

PACC | VET | HOME

Release Date

FAC | VET | HOME

Relationship to Victim

Vet Clinic

Phone Number

Owner Knows of Bite
YES | NO

Lawful Representative Address

Clinic's Address

Quarantine

3rd Party Citations

Citing ACO
C. Meek 2015

Previous Violations

Previous Case Number

Other Additional Reports

Victim or Lawful Representative Signature

Code/Rule Violated
8.04.030 8.04.121(B)(2)

Citations/Numbers
7777

Breed/Description

Victim or Owner Animal

Animal's Name
Chelsea

Color
BRN/BLK

Sex
F

Age
2Y

Tag Color

License #

VX Certificate #

Con

Animal ID#

ok A460429

Witness 1

M | F | DOB | Address
---|---|---|---

Residence Phone # | Business Phone #
---|---

Witness 2

M | F | DOB | Address
---|---|---|---

Residence Phone # | Business Phone #
---|---

Witness 3

M | F | DOB | Address
---|---|---|---

Residence Phone # | Business Phone #
---|---

Witness 4

M | F | DOB | Address
---|---|---|---

Residence Phone # | Business Phone #
---|---
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-143515

ACO name & Badge: C. Meek 2015

On 03/15/14 at 1856 hours Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) dispatch received a complaint from a man identified as Chris. Chris advised the dispatcher that at 1823 hours a dog running at large came into his front yard and attacked his cat which ultimately passed away. Chris advised the dispatcher that he was able to make contact with the father of the dog owner who advised him that his daughter, the dog owner was not at home. Chris also advised the dispatcher that the dog was confined to the residence at the time of his call.

04/21/14 at 1155 hours Officer Konst badge number 2002 was given the call by Supervisor Tenkate badge number 1911. Officer Konst indicated that he received no answer at door when responding to the complainant’s residence. Officer Konst stated, went to side of house and called out to see if anyone was in barn. Officer Konst indicated that he could hear several dogs on the property. Officer Konst stated he had dispatch call and leave message and posted notice on door. Officer Konst indicated that he could not find a record of license for any dogs on the property. Officer Konst stated he did find an expired license for Haley to a Ms. Patty Breed at another address.

Officer Konst stated he then checked record for a potential dog owner. Officer Konst indicated that he found a Ms. Carisa Chavarria that adopted a dog from PACC in December of 2013. The dog, named Chelsea was found in the PACC parking lot 11/13. Officer Konst also indicated Chelsea was also out and found running 02/02/14 La Canada and Hardy Chelsea was returned to the owner.

Ms. Carisa Chavarria advised Officer Konst Chelsea escaped out front door the day of the attack on the cat. Ms. Chavarria stated that Chelsea ran northwest so that was where she went looking. Ms. Chavarria advised Officer Konst that the cat owner followed dog back to her residence after the incident and met with her father. Ms. Chavarria stated to Officer Konst that Chelsea has not been running loose to her knowledge since. Ms. Chavarria advised Officer Konst that she has been working with Chelsea and had no idea it would attack a cat. Officer Konst found that Chelsea is current until December.

04/28/14 T 1715 hours I Officer Meek 2015 responded to
   to meet with the complainant in a leash law attack complaint. I arrived at the address and was able to meet with the complainant
Ms. Patty Breed.

I asked Ms. Breed to recount what occurred on 03/15/14. Ms. Breed advised me that her cat was attacked by a dog running at large. Ms. Breed went on to advise me that her cat, named Pizzer was in the open garage on her property roaming as she did frequently.

Ms. Breed went on to say that while Pizzer was out while she was attending to chores Ms. Breed went on to say she saw what was described to me as a medium sized Shepherd mix tan or black in color approach the residence. Ms. Breed advised me that the dog was off leash during the whole incident.

Ms. Breed advised me that the dog saw Pizzer and immediately attacked the cat. Ms. Breed stated that the attack happened so fast that she was taken aback by it. Ms. Breed stated there was quite the commotion on her property which lead to her children and husband to come out and investigate what was going on. Ms. Breed advised me that during this the dog became startled or confused and dropped Pizzer.

Ms. Breed at that point stated the dog began to retreat and that her husband Mr. Breed chased the dog on foot. Ms. Breed stated she then went to check on Pizzer and found that she passed away. Once sure that Pizzer was deceased Ms. Breed stated she then went out on foot to assist with locating the dog. Ms. Breed stated that she and her husband were able to find the dog’s residence which was . Ms. Breed advised me that when she arrived at the residence the father of the dog owner was also arriving and that her husband was speaking with him. Ms. Breed advised me that both dogs were indoors during their meeting.

I asked Ms. Breed if she would like citations for the leash law and biting animal. Ms. Breed stated that she would like citations issued as well as a dangerous dog evaluation done. Ms. Breed then took me to the garage where Pizzer was kept and showed me blood spatter on the concrete floor. Ms. Breed went on to say that she is concerned for any other pet cat she may get as well as the possibility of the children in the neighborhood. I advised Ms. Breed that I would attempt to meet with the dog owners at the conclusion of our meeting.

I then made my way to meet with the offending dog owners. I arrived at the address and was able to meet with Ms. Carisa Chavarria the dog owner. I asked Ms. Chavarria about the event that occurred on 03/15.

Ms. Chavarria explained that she was aware that her dog, Chelsea did get out of the yard and did attack a cat. Ms. Chavarria advised me that she was very sorry for what occurred and went on to advise me that she never attempted to make contact with the cat owners because of the heated nature of the interaction between her father and Ms. Breed’s husband. I advised Ms. Chavarria that I understood but the Breed’s were upset at the loss of a family pet. Ms. Chavarria stated she understood and expressed her sympathy. I then asked how Chelsea was able to escape.

Ms. Chavarria advised me that she adopted Chelsea at the beginning of the year from PACC and advised me that Chelsea was found roaming the parking lot. Ms. Chavarria went on to advised me that both she and her father have been working with training Chelsea from rushing the door as she typically does when they attempt to leave. Ms. Chavarria then asked if I would like to meet Chelsea. Ms. Chavarria then brought out Chelsea on leash and I was able to photograph the dog.

I asked Ms. Chavarria where Chelsea goes to use the restroom because on
my arrival I found that the yard is unfenced. Ms. Chavarria went on to advise me that they have a “dog park” on the north side of the residence that the dogs use the restroom and get sun in.

I asked Ms. Chavarria to see the park. Ms. Chavarria then led me to the “dog park” The park consist of an approximate 12 foot by 8 foot fully enclosed chain link kennel. Inside the kennel there is water available and several beds available to the dogs. I was able to photograph the “dog park”

I then advised Ms. Chavarria that the cat owner’s requested that I issue citations for the event that occurred on 03/15. Ms. Chaverria stated she understood and provided me with her Arizona Driver’s License. I issued Ms. Chaverria a total of two citations which included a leash law violation and a biting animal citation. I did not issue Ms. Chavarria citations for license and rabies vaccination as Chelsea is current on both. I explained to Ms. Chavarria that with the citations she would need to appear in court and I provided her with the date. Ms. Chaverria stated she understood her need to appear and signed her copy of the citations.

Officer’s Signature: 

Date: 05/01/14
DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

☐ An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

☒ An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

☐ An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

ON 3-15-14 THE DOG CHELSEA, A FEMALE SHEP X, BELONGING TO CARISA CHAVARRIA WAS AT LARGE WHEN IT ATTACKED & KILLED A CAT BELONGING TO PATTY BREE. THE DOG WAS FOLLOWED BACK TO THE CHAVARRIA RESIDENCE. THE DOG CHELSEA IS DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS. 5/14/01

OWNER: CARISA CHAVARRIA
ADDRESS: 
PHONE: 
ANIMAL NAME: CHELSEA
ANIMAL ID#: A460429
SEX: F
COLOR: BR/BK
BREED: SHEP X

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION’S ORDINANCE / CODE.

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Wilmot Rd.
Tucson, AZ 85712
Phone: (520) 243-8380
Fax: (520) 243-8389
www.pimaanimalcare.org

**SUSPECT**

Stanley G Jewell Jr

**ACO NAME / BADGE #**

Konst #2002

A14-145565

**COMPLAINT NUMBER**

**BITE □ WELFARE □ DANGEROUS □ OTHER □**

**CODE IF OTHER:**

**DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES □ NO □**

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**

**DATE AND TIME REPORTED**

04/30/14 / 1133 hrs

**DATE AND TIME OCCURRED**

04/30/14 / 1115 hrs

**FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURED/VILL VENTILATION ABANDONED TIEOUT BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)**

**I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case.**

**I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.**

**VICTIM/COMPLAINT NAME**

Elizabeth Centres

**ZIP CITY STATE**

**RESIDENCE PHONE NO. BUSINESS PHONE NO.**

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE**

**IF APPLICABLE**

**DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED**

**RESTITUTION REQUESTED**

**DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER**

**OTHER AGENCY CASE #**

**FOLLOW UP REQUEST**

**SO □ TPD □ TFD □ OTHER □**

**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM**

**X VIOLATION**

**BITE SEVERITY:**

**TREATED BY**

**PHONE NUMBER**

**DATE QUARANTINED**

**PACC □ VET □ HOME □**

**RELEASE DATE:**

**VET CLINIC**

**PHONE NUMBER**

**OWNER KNOWS OF BITE**

**YES □ NO □**

**FTQ □ UTQ □**

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM**

**PHONE NUMBER**

**LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS**

**CLINIC'S ADDRESS**

**QUARANTINE**

10 □ 15 □ 45 □ 180 □ □ FRA HEAD

**3RD PARTY CITATIONS**

**YES □ NO □**

**CITING ACO**

Konst #2002

**PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS**

**YES □ NO □**

**PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER**

**OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS**

**REVIEWED BY**

5-8-14

**BOND**

**YES □ NO □**

**VIOLATION**

6.04.070, 6.10-100 (A) ARS, 6.04.030, 6.04.120 (B)(2)

**CITATIONS/NUMBERS**

71722-23

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BREED/DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL</th>
<th>ANIMAL'S NAME</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>LICENSE #</th>
<th>VET CERTIFICATE #</th>
<th>COND</th>
<th>ANIMAL ID#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Boxer</strong></td>
<td>Victim</td>
<td>Sarge</td>
<td>Br/Wh</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>6y</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td></td>
<td>ok</td>
<td>A320711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lab x</strong></td>
<td>Victim</td>
<td>Bailey</td>
<td>Tn/Wh</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>7y</td>
<td>cited</td>
<td></td>
<td>ok</td>
<td>A113316</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WITNESS 1**

Kris Bakotic

M □ F □ DOB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BUSINESS PHONE #

**WITNESS 2**

M □ F □ DOB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BUSINESS PHONE #

**WITNESS 3**

M □ F □ DOB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BUSINESS PHONE #

**WITNESS 4**

M □ F □ DOB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BUSINESS PHONE #
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-146565
ACO name & Badge: Konst #2002

On 04/30/14 I Officer Konst badge #2002 was dispatched to investigate two dogs attacking a horse. The address was the stable Crazy Horse Ranch where the horse was boarded. It was reported the two dogs were still at large. It was also reported the dogs lived at

When I arrived at Crazy Horse Ranch I met with victim Elisabeth Contreras, she stated she was riding her 14 year old horse named Pennye down Cougar Canyon Trail. She was on her way back to the stable; at approximately two dogs came from behind her and started attacking Pennye. She was unsure of the address, but stated the dogs came running from the end of the road. That is the location of where the dogs live. Ms. Contreras tried to keep going and not run Pennye to avoid the dogs being more aggressive and was also afraid the horse might throw her off. The two dogs later identified as Bailey and Sarge kept circling and attacking Pennye. Ms. Contreras stated a construction worker in a white pick-up truck going east on Cougar Canyon put his vehicle between Pennye and the dogs. The move gave Ms. Contreras enough time to put distance between her and the dogs.

Once back at the stable she had someone call Pima Animal Care Center to report the attack. I was shown several wounds on Pennye, all appeared to be scratches except one wound on the front of the horse that appeared to be extensive. I photographed Pennye and the wound. A mobile Veterinarian was called to treat Pennye. She stated she believes the brown and white boxer is the one who bit Pennye and cause the deep wound, but she was not sure as both dogs participated in the attack. Ms. Contreras is requesting third party citations be issued, restitution and a dangerous dog assessment be done on the dogs.

After Ms. Contreras arrived back at stable, another horse owner Kris Bakotic drove down to see if the dogs were still out. At the address of Ms. Bakotic stated she observed the two dogs coming from front porch and following her down the road.
I then arrived at . At the front door I observed Sarge and Bailey in the house through the front door window. I received no answer at the door. I walked to east side of rear patio wall to see if I could find where the dogs were getting out. I observed both dogs outside along with an open inner patio gate. I went to west side but the side gate was closed. Upon entering back yard from the west side I was met by Bailey who was friendly. When I came around the house Sarge ran back into the house through an open door. I thought perhaps the dogs came through the rear patio gate and climbed a short four foot block wall on west side of house. The patio wall on the west side was shorter than the wall on east side. I photographed the area and closed the rear patio gate. A notice was posted on the door requesting a meeting with owner dog Stanley Jewell.

I have dealt with both the dogs previously. In May of 2011 I picked up the dogs as strays and in October of 2011 I investigated an attack by the two of them on another dog. (activity A11-082008) There had been no reports of problems with the dogs from October 2011 to April 2014. A check of the records showed neither Sarge nor Bailey was current on there license.

On 05/01/14 I met with Stanley Jewell at his residence. I issued citations of leash law, no license, no current rabies vaccination and biting animal on both dogs. Mr. Jewell was not aware of the attack except of my notice left the previous day. He stated that he does not leave back door of house open, does not leave rear patio gate open. He does not think the dogs can even climb the shorter of the rear patio wall even if they got into the east yard. He asked me if I put the dogs back in the yard or opened the back door. I told him I did not. He believes a neighbor may have put the dogs back into the house once they were out. He stated he was aware of his dog’s agitation with other dogs and with horses. He stated the dogs are fine with people and do well. I explained the citations Mr. Jewell, he stated he understood and signed.

Officer’s Signature: [Signature]
Date: 05/01/14
CASE NO: 147147
OWNER: STANLEY JEWELL
ANIMAL NAME: SARGE A-320711
ADDRESS: 
SEX: M BREED: BOXER
COLOR: BR/WH
DATE: 5/14/14

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:
NON-VIOLATION BITE + 3
VIOLATION BITE + 6

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:
(Choose One Factor Only Per Victim)
NO BREAK IN SKIN + 1
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING + 2
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED) + 3
MULTIPLE BITES SINGLE INCIDENT + 4
BIT DOWN AND SHOCK VICTIM + 4
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION) + 5

Animal Complaints or Violations:
LEASH LAW CITATIONS + 2
LEASH LAW VIOLATIONS + 1
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS + 2
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS + 3
OTHER CITATIONS / COMPLAINTS + 1

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:
ATTACK WITH NO INJURY + 1
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER + 2
VET CARE (1 TO 2 VISITS) + 3
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS) + 4
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH + 5

Confinement / Fencing:
5 FOOT WALL WITH SECURE GATES

General Comments:
The dog Sarge was involved in killing a dog in 2011 but the complainant did not pursue charges. The dog now attacked a horse & rider causing significant injury to the horse. The dog Sarge is declared to be dangerous.

TOTAL SCORE: +21

DANGEROUS
NOT DANGEROUS

OFFICER # CALVIL 1901

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City; five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.
CASE NO: 14147147
OWNER: STANLEY JENKEL
ANIMAL NAME: MAXX (11413316)

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:
- NON-VIOLATION BITE +3
- VIOLATION BITE +6

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:
(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)
- NO BREAK IN SKIN +1
- BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING +2
- MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED) +3
- MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT +4
- BIT DOWN AND SHOCK VICTIM +4
- MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION) +5

Animal Complaints or Violations:
- LEASH LAW CITATIONS +2
- LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS +1
- ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS +2
- ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS +3
- OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS +1

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:
- ATTACK WITH NO INJURY +1
- INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER +2
- VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits) +3
- EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS) +4
- INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH +5

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)
- SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES -5
- INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES +5

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:
- REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT -3
- ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED -1
- OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION +1
- OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT +5
- CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # +1
- NO CURRENT LICENSE +1
- NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION +1

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):
(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)
- ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE -3
- ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE -3
- ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <5X/YR +1
- ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >5X/YR +2
- ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE +2

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)
- ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY +2
- ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE -2
- ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR +1

Confinement / Fencing:
5 foot WALL WITH SECURE GATES

General Comments:
The dog Bailey was involved in killing a dog in 2011 but the complainant did not pursue charges. The dog now attacked a horse and rider causing significant injury to the horse. The dog Bailey is declared to be dangerous.

OFFICER: [Signature] [Date: 14-D]

TOTAL SCORE: 21

DANGEROUS

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or chase a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.

PACC-DD1
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Services
400 N. Main Ave.
Tucson, Arizona 85701
Phone: (520) 243-6500
Fax: (520) 243-6590
www.pimaanimals.com

**SUSPECT**
EDWARD JAMES GREEN

**ACO NAME / BADGE #**
J RADEMAKER

**COMPLAINT NUMBER**
A14-145904

**DATE AND TIME OCCURRED**
04/19/14 / 1700

**FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURED/ILL VENTILATION ABANDONED TIEOUT BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)**

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)**

**DATE OF BIRTH**

**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM**

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM**

**PHONE NUMBER**

**LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS**
7474 E BROADWAY BLVD TUCSON AZ 85710

**3RD PARTY CITATIONS**

**QUARANTINE**

**CITING ACO**

**PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES NO**

**PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER**

**REVIEWED BY**
4/29/14

**BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL**

**ANIMAL'S NAME**

**COLOR**

**SEX**

**AGE**

**TAG COLOR**

**LICENSE #**

**VX CERTIFICATE #**

**CIND**

**ANIMAL ID#**

**WITNESS 1**
DIANE BOUDEDE

**WITNESS 2**
ADAM BOUDEDE

**WITNESS 3**
SAMANTHA GREGORY

**WITNESS 4**

**DOB**

**ADDRESS**

**RESIDENCE PHONE #**

**BUSINESS PHONE #**

**MINIATURE POODLE**

**GERMAN SHEPHERD**

**GERMAN SHEPHERD**

**BERNIE**

**MISCHKA**

**LUNA**

W1

W2

W3

W4

VICTIM

VICTIM

VICTIM

VICTIM

OWNER

OWNER

OWNER

OWNER

W

B/T

B

3Y

7Y

4Y

L14-197376 CURRENT D

CITED

CITED

CITED

A428368

A478374

A478375

M

M

M

M

F

F

F

F

DD-# 8

HHA

D.O.B.

7ID

7ID

SSN

10 15 45 180

11-1010(A)

W1

W2

W3

W4
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-145904

ACO name & Badge: J RADEMAKER 2019

On April 19, 2014 at 6:43PM Mary Ann Marino called Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) dispatch to report that when she was walking her miniature Poodle mix dog Bernie on two German Shepherd dogs came out of an open garage and attacked her dog. She reported a girl from the residence the dogs came from retrieved the dogs. Ms Marino said she was currently at Southern Arizona Veterinary Specialties getting treatment for Bernie.

On April 21, 2014 at 8:25AM Ms Marino called PACC and reported that her dog had to be euthanized because of its injuries.

On April 23, 2014 at 4:48PM I, Officer Rademaker 2019, met with complainant Mary Ann Marino and husband Anthony at Diane and Adam Boubede, neighbors, were also present. Ms Marino said that she was walking her 3 year old miniature Poodle dog Bernie on leash on across the street from on approximately 5:00PM when 2 German Shepherd dogs came out of the residence at and came into the street to attack Bernie. She described the dogs as being German Shepherds, the larger one all black and the other mostly black. She said the black dog grabbed Bernie first, followed by the other one. Neighbor Diane Boubede of came to help as did her husband Adam. Ms Boubede had seen the incident beginning when she heard a dog growl and saw both dogs come off the property to attack Bernie. Mr Boubede first saw it when the dogs were biting Bernie. Another neighbor, Samantha Gregory of was said to have witnessed the attack. A teenage girl that all speculate lives at and a teenage boy helped get the attacking dogs returned to their house.

Ms Boubede drove Ms Marino and Bernie to Southern AZ Vet Specialties where expenses of over $1000.00 were incurred before it was decided that Bernie was too severely injured with a broken back and he was euthanized.
Ms Marino said the owners of the attacking dogs have not contacted her. She requests that they receive citations for leash law violations by both dogs and for biting animal violations for both dogs for the attack on and subsequent death of Bernie. She also requested that Pima Animal Care Center conduct a dangerous dog evaluation of the 2 German Shepherds and that the court order restitution for her Veterinary expenses.

I went to [redacted] and met with Edward James Green and his wife Jennifer Green. I explained the complaint and they disputed that both dogs had been involved. They said their teenage daughter was there and said only the black dog, Luna, actually attacked Bernie. They said the daughter’s friend left a door open, allowing the dogs to exit. The dogs are all black 4 year old female German Shepherd Luna and black and tan 7 year old female German Shepherd Mischka.

I explained that Bernie had died and that citations for leash law and biting animal were requested and explained what that meant. I inquired as to rabies vaccinations and licenses and they said neither dog was licensed. I reviewed the vaccination paperwork for Luna which indicated that her vaccination had expired 08/2012. They said they have only had Mischka for approximately 3 months, having inherited it from a relative, and had no vaccination documentation. I explained the laws about licenses and rabies vaccinations and told them they would receive citations for those violations as well.

I issued Mr Green citations for no license and no rabies vaccinations for both dogs. I also issued him citations of behalf of Ms Marino for leash law and biting animal for both dogs. I explained court and compliance and he said he understood. I explained the dangerous dog evaluation and the request for restitution and he said he understood. I gave him law, rabies and dangerous dog brochures and a clinic list.

Officer’s Signature: [ Signature ]

Date: 4/24/14
DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

_____ An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

X  An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

_____ An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

ON APRIL 19, 2014 THE GERMAN SHEPHERD KNOWN AS MISCHA WAS WITNESSED ATTACKING ANOTHER DOG WHILE IN VIOLATION OF THE LEASH LAW. THE DOG THAT WAS ATTACKED WAS PUT TO SLEEP DUE TO THE SEVERITY OF INJURIES FROM THE ATTACK. DUE TO THIS INCIDENT MISCHA IS DEEMED DANGEROUS.

E. KLEIN

OWNER: EDWARD GREEN
ANIMAL NAME: MISCHA
ADDRESS:
PHONE:

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE.

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.
DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

___ An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

X An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

___ An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

ON APRIL 19, 2014 THE GERMAN SHEPHERD KNOWN AS LUNA WAS WITNESSED ATTACKING ANOTHER DOG WHILE IN VIOLATION OF THE LEASH LAW. THE DOG THAT WAS ATTACKED WAS PUT TO SLEEP DUE TO THE SEVERITY OF INJURES FROM THE ATTACK. DUE TO THIS INCIDENT LUNA IS DEEMED DANGEROUS.

E# 1424

OWNER: EDWARD GREEN ANIMAL NAME: LUNA
ADDRESS: ANIMAL ID#: 4078375
PHONE: SEX: F COLOR: B BREED: G SHEP

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICATION'S ORDINANCE / CODE.

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.
Animals listed are currently listed as being on hold without an outcome date. They are grouped by the type of hold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HOLD TYPE</th>
<th>ENFORCEMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A14-143538</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>kennel_no</th>
<th>ENFORCEMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K14-156507</td>
<td>A473734 DOG HYPER CANE CORSO/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/14/14</td>
<td>QUARANTINE EXPOSURE AGGRESSIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment:</td>
<td>no bite/ NO CHIP HOLD FOR DD ASSESSMENT 1911 Hold for wildlife exposure. 1942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/12/2014</td>
<td>ENFORCEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JCHAVEZ</td>
<td>5/12/14 16:56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-1-14 Dog Hyper under wildlife exposure quarantine (ORD 9-9-14). Cannot be released to owner as no proof of rabies vaccination per 1911 Tenkate and 1999 Dr. Lilley. 1942 Eckelbarger</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>kennel_no</th>
<th>ENFORCEMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K14-162023</td>
<td>A481711 DOG TERRIER/POODLE MIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/13/14</td>
<td>CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment:</td>
<td>3c3c3c3c scan no chip</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>kennel_no</th>
<th>ENFORCEMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K14-161455</td>
<td>A480924 DOG FOXY LOXY PIT BULL/MIX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/17/14</td>
<td>STRAY NIGHT AGGRESSIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment:</td>
<td>Chip #055353578 - CAUTION!!! 3C 3C 3C 3C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>kennel_no</th>
<th>ENFORCEMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K14-162080</td>
<td>A481764 DOG SASHA CHIHUAHUA SH/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/24/14</td>
<td>OWNER SUR FIELD INJ SEVERE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment:</td>
<td>see memo.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>kennel_no</th>
<th>ENFORCEMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K14-162691</td>
<td>A260586 DOG MAGGIE PIT BULL/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/31/14</td>
<td>CONFISCATE FIELD OWN UNDRAGE/WT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment:</td>
<td>P177794 TO DO LIST NO BITE &quot;vet hold after enforcement hold&quot; extended release date, ok per Corina R.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>kennel_no</th>
<th>ENFORCEMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K14-162790</td>
<td>A482704 DOG ROTTWEILER/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/2/14</td>
<td>CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment:</td>
<td>no chip found/no bite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>kennel_no</th>
<th>ENFORCEMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K14-162892</td>
<td>A482803 DOG MASTIFF/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6/10/14 15:43 Page 1 of 6
6/2/14 CONFISCATE NIGHT OWN INJ MINOR Activity:A14-148732
Kennel Comment: 6/2/2014--SEE ACTIVITY MEMO. 1929
3C 3C 3C 3C 3C 3C 3C 3C 3C 3C 3C 3C 3C 3C 3C 3C 3C 3C
*vet hold when enforcemnt holod is over.00
06/04/2014 VET ASANCHEZ 6/4/14 18:25
*vet hold after enforcement hold. for treatment, monitor of weight and pictures.00

A14-148925

K14-163107 A479642 DOG SAM AMERICAN STAFF/
6/5/14 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN AGGRESSIVE Activity:A14-148925 D061
Kennel Comment: OWNER DOG...2oo2
(((( DANGEROUS DOG ))))
3pc3pc3pc3pc3pc
Bond Hold.1926
05/14/2014 ENFORCEMEN DTENKATE 5/14/14 19:04
5/14/14 HOLD FOR DANGEROUS DOG EVALUATION THAT IS ASSIGNED TO INVESTIGATOR KLEIN.
1911
06/05/2014 ENFORCEMEN nkonst 6/5/14 13:15
06/05/14 Picked up Sam who was deemed a Dangerous Dog at large....2oo2

A14-149178

K14-163488 A483805 DOG DOLO PIT BULL/MIX
6/9/14 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-149178 D014
Kennel Comment: SCAN NO CHIP
3C3C3C3C3C

A14-149183

K14-163527 A483885 DOG PIT BULL/
6/10/14 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-149183 D012
Kennel Comment: No chip found...2oo2
Notice Posted
06/10/2014 ENFORCEMEN nkonst 6/10/14 13:12
06/10/14 Dogs impounded for neglect no water...2oo2
a483884

A14-149205

K14-163497 A483820 DOG ALEX QUEENSLAND HEEL/
6/10/14 STRAY NIGHT INJ MINOR Activity:A14-149205 U005
Kennel Comment: 6/10/14--SEE ACTIVITY MEMO.
3C 3C 3C 3C 3C 3C 3C 3C 1929
OWNER WILL REDEEM.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity Number</th>
<th>Animal Number</th>
<th>Breed</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Kennel No</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K14-162082</td>
<td>A481771</td>
<td>DOG SNICKERS</td>
<td>PIT BULL/</td>
<td></td>
<td>D041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/24/14</td>
<td>OWNER SUR OTC OWNED NORMAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NO BITE - CHIP 0A13523B23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment:</td>
<td>NO BITE - NO CHIP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>vet hold if enforcement hold removed</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K14-162086</td>
<td>A481777</td>
<td>DOG UNKNOWN</td>
<td>PIT BULL/</td>
<td></td>
<td>D039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/24/14</td>
<td>OWNER SUR OTC OWNED NORMAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NO BITE - NO CHIP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment:</td>
<td>NO BITE - NO CHIP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>vet hold if enforcement hold removed</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K14-162091</td>
<td>A481782</td>
<td>DOG UNKNOWN</td>
<td>PIT BULL/</td>
<td></td>
<td>D043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/24/14</td>
<td>OWNER SUR OTC OWNED NORMAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NO BITE - NO CHIP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment:</td>
<td>NO BITE - NO CHIP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>vet hold if enforcement hold removed</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K14-162095</td>
<td>A481785</td>
<td>DOG UNKNOWN</td>
<td>PIT BULL/</td>
<td></td>
<td>D043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/24/14</td>
<td>OWNER SUR OTC OWNED NORMAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NO BITE - NO CHIP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment:</td>
<td>NO BITE - NO CHIP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>vet hold if enforcement hold removed</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K14-162096</td>
<td>A481786</td>
<td>DOG UNKNOWN</td>
<td>PIT BULL/</td>
<td></td>
<td>D039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/24/14</td>
<td>OWNER SUR OTC OWNED NORMAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NO BITE - NO CHIP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment:</td>
<td>NO BITE - NO CHIP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>vet hold if enforcement hold removed</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K14-162098</td>
<td>A481789</td>
<td>DOG BANDIT</td>
<td>PIT BULL/MIX</td>
<td></td>
<td>D041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/24/14</td>
<td>OWNER SUR OTC OWNED NORMAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NO BITE - NO CHIP chip 0a14061704</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment:</td>
<td>NO BITE - NO CHIP chip 0a14061704</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>vet hold if enforcement hold removed</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K14-162100</td>
<td>A433064</td>
<td>DOG MIRANDA</td>
<td>PIT BULL/</td>
<td></td>
<td>D095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/24/14</td>
<td>OWNER SUR OTC OWNED NORMAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NO BITE - NO CHIP chip 0a135a0705</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment:</td>
<td>NO BITE - NO CHIP chip 0a135a0705</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K14-163087</td>
<td>A483146</td>
<td>DOG</td>
<td>PIT BULL/MIX</td>
<td></td>
<td>D105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/5/14</td>
<td>STRAY OTC NORMAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NO BITE/ NO CHIP FOUND JUMPED OUT OF A MOVING TRUCK/see notes, advise owner of danger transporting animal in bed of truck.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel No</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Holding Type</td>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K14-158245</td>
<td>4/6/14</td>
<td>Owner Sur</td>
<td>A13-134341</td>
<td>ILL SEVERE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>05/08/2014</td>
<td>VET Hold</td>
<td></td>
<td>VET HOLD WHEN ENFORCEMENT HOLD IS DONE.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>04/07/2014</td>
<td>ENFORCEMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td>JCHAVEZ 9:27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>08/07/2014</td>
<td>VET TEST</td>
<td></td>
<td>ASANCHEZ 15:08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kennel No</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Holding Type</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K14-138561</td>
<td>1/30/14</td>
<td>Confiscate</td>
<td>A14-138561</td>
<td>ILL SEVERE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>05/09/2014</td>
<td>VET Hold</td>
<td></td>
<td>ASANCHEZ 15:01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>02/13/2014</td>
<td>S.O. Investigation</td>
<td></td>
<td>SMONTANC 17:17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kennel No</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Holding Type</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K14-145235</td>
<td>4/10/14</td>
<td>Confiscate</td>
<td>A14-145235</td>
<td>INJ MINOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>04/29/2014</td>
<td>VET Hold</td>
<td></td>
<td>DREEDER 14:40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>04/10/2014</td>
<td>VET Hold</td>
<td></td>
<td>ASANCHEZ 17:34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kennel No</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Holding Type</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K14-145235</td>
<td>4/10/14</td>
<td>Confiscate</td>
<td>A14-145235</td>
<td>INJ MINOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>04/29/2014</td>
<td>VET Hold</td>
<td></td>
<td>DREEDER 16:26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>04/10/2014</td>
<td>VET Hold</td>
<td></td>
<td>ASANCHEZ 17:50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kennel No</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Holding Type</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K14-153331</td>
<td>1/30/14</td>
<td>Confiscate</td>
<td>A14-138561</td>
<td>ILL SEVERE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>05/09/2014</td>
<td>VET Hold</td>
<td></td>
<td>ASANCHEZ 15:01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>02/13/2014</td>
<td>S.O. Investigation</td>
<td></td>
<td>SMONTANC 17:17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kennel No</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Holding Type</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K14-153679</td>
<td>2/4/14</td>
<td>Confiscate</td>
<td>A14-140793</td>
<td>CRUELTY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>02/19/2014</td>
<td>VET Hold</td>
<td></td>
<td>SMONTANC 16:56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>05/15/2014</td>
<td>VET Hold</td>
<td></td>
<td>ASANCHEZ 19:16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kennel No</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Holding Type</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K14-158532</td>
<td>4/10/14</td>
<td>Confiscate</td>
<td>A14-145235</td>
<td>INJ MINOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>04/29/2014</td>
<td>VET Hold</td>
<td></td>
<td>DREEDER 14:40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>04/10/2014</td>
<td>VET Hold</td>
<td></td>
<td>ASANCHEZ 17:34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kennel No</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Holding Type</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K14-158533</td>
<td>4/10/14</td>
<td>Confiscate</td>
<td>A14-145235</td>
<td>INJ MINOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>04/29/2014</td>
<td>VET Hold</td>
<td></td>
<td>DREEDER 16:26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>04/10/2014</td>
<td>VET Hold</td>
<td></td>
<td>ASANCHEZ 17:50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kennel No</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Holding Type</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A14-140793</td>
<td>QUEENSLAND HEEL/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CLINIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel No</td>
<td>A14-146672</td>
<td>DOG</td>
<td>SANDY RIBBON</td>
<td>PIT BULL/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A14-146672</td>
<td>K14-160162</td>
<td>A327558</td>
<td>CONFISCATE NIGHT OWN INJ MINOR</td>
<td>CLINIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/02/2014</td>
<td>DREEDER 5/2/14 17:30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment:</td>
<td>chip 0a124c2a1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>treatment and possible toe amputation after enforcement hold is released</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kennel No</th>
<th>A14-147389</th>
<th>DOG</th>
<th>MOCHA</th>
<th>PIT BULL/MIX</th>
<th>Activity: A14-147389</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A14-147389</td>
<td>K14-162254</td>
<td>A481957</td>
<td>OWNER SUR FIELD OWN NORMAL</td>
<td>D111</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO ACTIVITY NUMBER RECORDED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kennel No</th>
<th>A14-160162</th>
<th>A327558</th>
<th>DOG</th>
<th>TEDDY</th>
<th>POODLE MIN/MIX</th>
<th>Activity:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A14-160162</td>
<td>K14-163058</td>
<td>A483082</td>
<td>CONFISCATE NIGHT OWN OTC OWNED NORMAL</td>
<td>D114</td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/4/14</td>
<td>Kennel Comment:</td>
<td>no bite, no chip</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Donation Activity

## Period: 5/1/14 To: 5/31/14

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donation Code</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DONATION</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION ADOP</td>
<td>$510.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION GEN</td>
<td>$3,846.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION OTIS</td>
<td>($30.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION OUTR</td>
<td>$84.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION S/N</td>
<td>$13,851.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION SAMS</td>
<td>$6,663.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Grand Total**: $24,935.88
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donation Code</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DONATION</td>
<td>$1,995.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION ADOP</td>
<td>$6,429.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION GEN</td>
<td>$47,432.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION OTIS</td>
<td>($26.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION OUTR</td>
<td>$3,239.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION S/N</td>
<td>$149,096.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION SAMS</td>
<td>$51,760.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$259,927.08</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Complaints for the Month of May 2014

### 5-5-14 complaint came through Pima County Department of Environmental Quality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complaint</th>
<th>Course/Action</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dead dog dumped</td>
<td>Body was picked up on 5-6-14</td>
<td>The complaint was closed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5-14-14 complaint came through City Councilman Steve Kozachik’s office

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complaint</th>
<th>Course/Action</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dogs not having any water and excessive animal waste</td>
<td>The officer met with the complainant on the same day it was reported. The officer observed the dog owner’s property from the complainant’s yard and found all the water bowls appearing to be empty and also excessive waste. The dog owner was not home; the officer posted a notice with a law brochure on the door and reset the call.</td>
<td>On 5/15/14 an officer met with the dog owner, conducted an inspection and found no water available for the dogs, only empty water bowls; the owner told the officer the dogs knock over the water containers. The officer advised the owner the dogs need to have access to water throughout the day and to provide water containers that cannot be knocked over. The yard was clean; previously observed animal waste had been removed. The owner was issued citations for neglect no water, no license and no rabies vaccination. The complaint was closed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5-16-14 complaint came through City Ward 2 office

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complaint</th>
<th>Course/Action</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dog owners not picking up after their dogs</td>
<td>We patrolled the general area for four days and did not observe any violations. Some of the waste is horse manure.</td>
<td>The complaint was closed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LETTER OF APPRECIATION

To: Tiffany Foster, Field Officers

From: Jose Chavez, Enforcement Operation Manager

Date: May 12, 2014

I received a letter from Gail B. Smith, MD, the Neighborhood Watch Area Leader Tucson Mountain Reserve. Thanking you for your attendance and the great job you did in your presentation and the display of our work truck at the Neighborhood Watch annual event.

This reflects greatly on our center. Good job and thank you.

CC: Francisco Garcia, Director, Pima County Health Department
    Kristin Barney, Manager, Pima Animal Care Center
    Pima Animal Care Center Advisory Committee
April 29, 2014
Officer T. Foster
Pima Animal Control Center
4000N. Silverbell Road
Tucson, Arizona 85745

Dear Officer Foster,

We want to thank you for your attendance on Sunday at our Neighborhood Watch Annual Event. You were a hit, with adults and children and even the dogs. Your presentation board was great for the children in our community, as it is important for them to learn about spay and neuter, as well as what happens to unwanted dogs and cats. The kids loved seeing your vehicle and were very happy that it is air conditioned for the animals.

I am hoping that our residents were generous in their monetary and other donations. I saw a great deal of food, blankets and towels being carried to the truck and know that our residents have a soft heart toward PACC. You had the opportunity to meet a few PACC alumni or some parents of alumni. Our residents are very passionate about their pets.

Again, thank you for your visit on Sunday. Everyone, young and old, enjoyed talking with you and hearing about PACC as well as your roll as a Field Officer. Keep up the good work and your buddy, Foster, sends you a high five.

Gail B. Smith, MD

cc: Jenny Kading

Kim Janes