1. Call to Order

Ms. Emptage called the meeting to order at 5:32 pm

- Attendance

Present:
Tamara Barrick, Pima Paws for Life
Nancy Emptage, Chair, Animal Welfare Coalition
Pat Hubbard, Humane Society of Southern Arizona
Pat Jacobs, Tucson Kennel Club
Sophia Kaluzniacki, DVM, SPCA of AZ, Inc
Helen Mendelsohn, Disabled Community
Jack Neuman, Vice-Chair, PACC Volunteers
Erin O'Donnell, DVM, Southern AZ Veterinary Medical Association
Jane Schwerin, People for Animals in the Prevention of Cruelty and Neglect
Gail Smith, MD, Board of Health
Marcy Flanagan, Health Department Deputy Director, New Ex-Officio

Absent:
Derek Marshall, Public Education

- Pledge of Allegiance

2. Adoption of the Minutes

- Adoption of the September 17, 2015 Meeting Minutes

Mr. Jacobs said that when he asked the architects about the greatest challenge regarding the new animal care facility, there were two answers, the site and the budget, but the minutes do not mention the site. Ms. Schwerin pointed out she asked a number of questions of the architects because she is convinced overcrowding will be a problem in the new facility; and continued that one of her questions: things being equal, will the new facility be able to handle twice as many animals, was omitted. She also asked if during the architect discussion PACC’s current cat housing unit number was given. The general consensus was that it was not said during the meeting.

The motion was made and seconded (Hubbard/Schwerin) that the September 17, 2015 meeting minutes be adopted with the two aforementioned additions. The motion carried (10-0).

3. Animal Welfare and Dangerous Animal Cases for the Month of September and Recent Holds Snapshot

Ms. Schwerin referred to the September Welfare Report and said seven of the ten cases listed on the report resulted in the owners keeping or redeeming back their pets. She said the owners all received criminal citations; and continued she was renewing her opposition to criminal owners being allowed to keep animals.
Ms. Emptage mentioned welfare case two refers to a veterinary report from Dr. Wilcox, but the report was not included. She continued that when a report is referred to she would like the opportunity to have the report available for review. Ms. Emptage expressed concern regarding welfare case four which involved a dog owner living in a tent in someone’s back yard, who had his Dalmatian on a tie-out because the dog was a jumper. The PACC officer recommended a covered kennel run and Ms. Emptage felt it was unrealistic to think an individual living as this one did would do as suggested. However, Supervisor Tenkate said the owner did get the covered kennel run and the charges were dismissed.

Ms. Schwerin brought up that last month’s meeting did not include welfare complaints and that she would like an opportunity to review those August complaints not shared or discussed last month. Discussion also brought out that the next meeting will be largely devoted to the County Attorney’s Office, and that there was little opportunity to review the September report due to a holiday related mail delay. Ms. Emptage requested a special packet be sent out with the Welfare Case Reports for August, September and October. She also suggested a comment sheet go out with the packet.

4. **Call to the Audience**

There were no speakers at this call to the audience.

5. **Management Report**

Ms. Flanagan reported on three items. The four-day PetSmart adoption event placed 409 pets with adopters, which was by far PACC’s most successful event. An adoption fee was not charged. If a standard $30 fee was charged, then $12,270 in revenue theoretically could have been generated. However; housing costs for those animals for an estimate of three more days to adopt them out from the shelter would total $24,500, so it was still operationally cost effective. PACC has hired an off-site adoption coordinator, Mark Little, who has already started. Finally the Committee is all invited to the October 20 unveiling of the conceptual design for the new animal care facility, which will be from 6:00 to 7:30 pm at the Abrams building.

Mr. Jacobs said he has a Kennel Club meeting at the same time as the unveiling and requested materials to be able to inform the kennel club about the new animal care center. Ms. Flanagan said some things will be posted on the web site and items can be included in the Committee’s packet.

In response to a question Ms. Flanagan said she thought the PetSmart adoption event involved six locations but she would need to check to be sure.

6. **Old Business**

- **Procedures Related to Agenda Items**

Ms. Schwerin said when anyone puts an item on the agenda it is a regular item and the person who put it on the agenda has no special rights to control what happens after it is on the agenda. One cannot tell another member they cannot speak on the item. She continued that State law says any member may speak on other matters related to an agenda item.
Mr. Jacobs requested County Attorney clarification on the related matters statement, saying the statement is in the law, but direction from the Attorney General, the ombudsman and the County Attorney seems to be contrary.

Ms. Emptage said historically any member may put an item on the agenda without going through the Chair; however, if it was already discussed and a vote was taken, then a member who voted in the minority may not bring the item back up, but one who voted in the majority may. She asked that this also be addressed by the County Attorney’s Office.

Ms. Emptage mentioned trying to end the meeting by 7:00 pm as is stated in the by-laws, to which Mr. Neuman said there are important animal welfare items discussed in the meetings and he didn’t want the Committee held hostage by a 7:00 pm deadline. He added that if a strict 7:00 pm cut off is employed and cuts off important discussion, then he will be requesting additional meetings.

- Process used by PACC to track every animal's care every day that does not include volunteers

Mr. Neuman said volunteers are a substantial workforce at PACC, but the same person is not present every day like a full time staff member is. Therefore relying on volunteers doesn’t provide a continuation of care. Dogs can fall through the cracks. He said the Humane Society has a system through which every animal is checked every day and asked if PACC is moving toward such a system. Ms. Flanagan said she spoke with Chief of Operations Kristin Barney about the topic and PACC is having a supervisor scan every animal every day to ensure they are where they are supposed to be and to do a quick look over. However, PACC still relies on volunteers, interns and students to bring issues to the attention of staff. Ms. Emptage wanted the checks logged into a computer system and said that an animal’s medical record should go with it when it is adopted out. Dr. Kaluzniacki said with the Animal League of Green Valley the new owner gets a copy of the record. Ms. Hubbard said with the Humane Society the chart is on the kennel not computerized.

- Ajo Animal Care Center Veterinary Services

Mr. Neuman asked when an animal at the Ajo animal center needs emergency medical care how is it handled. Ms. Flanagan said currently if an animal comes in in severe pain and needs to be euthanized staff calls the vet and staff have the medication and training to euthanize. If an animal is in pain, but is not to the point where it needs to be euthanized then it is given pain medication and taken to Tucson. Ms. Emptage also asked about how long animals stay at the Ajo facility, to which Ms. Flanagan replied that they are taken to Tucson twice a month.

7. New Business

- Public Concerns and Perceptions

Ms. Emptage said she has heard individuals say not to take animals to PACC because they’ll put them to sleep. She also said there is negative perception based on someone saying an animal is healthy then it turns out to be ill. People need to realize that living creatures do get sick. She continued people need to know PACC is a safe place and PACC needs to spread good will to help public perception. Ms. Mendelsohn said sometimes the response received when calling PACC is part of the problem, citing that she lost an animal, called PACC and was told if PACC finds her dog she’ll get a ticket. She just wanted her dog back and didn’t care about the ticket, but the negative was all she was greeted
with. Ms. Emptage called for positive interactions with the community especially by the field officers who are constantly out in the public. She referred to the Humane Society stepping up when a woman was in a battered women’s shelter and could not take her pet there. The Humane Society cared for the woman’s pet until she got out of the shelter. Such an occurrence fosters a good public perception. She went on to say the recent MASH (medical animal spay/neuter hospital) event helped hundreds of animals and promoted considerable good will. She also suggested more staff training. Mr. Neuman said the Post Office had rules about when carriers see a member of the public with a need, like if someone took a fall; they are to make a call or check on the person. Ms. Flanagan said the Department has just completed its Workforce Development Plan, which is a first in her 15 years with the Department. She said components of the plan include training in customer service, communication and community engagement, with the aim of creating a culture of community service. Ms. Emptage requested the Workforce Development Plan be on the agenda. She also suggested bumper stickers about licensing and adopting pets. Mr. Jacobs requested staff check with the Director on how to get these bumper stickers made.

- Issues with Pet Food and Linen Donations

Dr. Smith said Mr. and Mrs. Dean are volunteers who spend four days a week driving many miles to collect dog food donations from various Walmarts. Dr. Wilcox does not want the shelter animals to be fed this food; all the PACC dogs are on the same food. So this food is given to rescues and non-profit organizations. Recently a large amount of dog food stored at PACC was given away without Mr. Dean’s knowledge. Then he was told all the collected dog food had to go to PACC before it was distributed. She said there seems to be a lack of communication. Dr. Smith also said Mr. Mayotte (volunteer) goes to local resorts and collects out of service linens. Mr. Mayotte recently brought in 11 large trash bags full of linens to PACC, but the laundry room was closed so the linens were left outside the laundry room. These linens were reportedly thrown away. Again Dr. Smith said she is concerned about communication issues and said she was hoping bringing this up will help prevent these problems. Ms. Hubbard said the Humane Society also gets similar dog food donations and does not feed it to their animals for the same reason as PACC, so they give the food away once a month. Mr. Dean said originally he was just picking up the dog food and bringing it to PACC, but then he noticed it was just building up and not going out. So then he got permission from Karen Hollish, Fund Development Coordinator, to distribute the food to non-profit rescues. He continued that it was about 500 to 600 pounds of food he was going to distribute to dog patch, but it was all gone without anyone telling him anything and he found out later it went to Three-Points. He said he picks up about 1,000 to 1,200 pounds of food every week and now he doesn’t even bring it to PACC; he just delivers about 80 percent of it and uses his carport to store the food as needed. He repackages some of the food for distribution and all the food goes to PACC partners and/or 501c3 organizations. Mr. Dean listed many of the organizations he distributes food to. He added that all the treats go to PACC. Mr. Dean said he talked to Ms. Barney about the issue and she and Jose (Ocano, Shelter Manager) are working on a place to store the food.

Ms. Flanagan said the linens were taken in and some were moldy because of the rain. Only the moldy linens were thrown away, not all 11 bags. She added that Dr. Wilcox cannot use all the linens. Pillow cases and king size sheets are not useful, but towels can always be used. So they are working out a system to only take into PACC the linens PACC can use and direct the other linens to other organizations. Regarding the dog food disappearance, there was a 70 to 80 cat impound and the room
needed to be used for all the impounded cats; it was the only place available for the cats, so the food had to be moved out right away.

Mr. Dean said he spoke with the person who threw the linens away and they were thrown away. He added that Mr. Mayotte expressed that someone needs to walk around the premises and check for possible issues such as these linens. Ms. Flanagan said some of what was thrown away was trash. Dr. Smith called for some established line of communication. Mr. Jacobs asked if Mr. Dean had a contact he deals with every day. Mr. Dean said he worked with Ms. Hollish, but not every day.

- Committee Resignation: Ms. Hurley, City of Tucson Representative

Ms. Emptage said Ms. Hurley has resigned and the City is working on finding a replacement.

8. Donations: A total of 1,430 individuals gave $ 54,967.27 in donations during the month of September.

Dr. Smith asked if the aforementioned dollars went to just PACC or included donations to Friends of PACC; Ms. Flanagan replied just PACC. Mr. Neuman asked how this money is appropriated. Ms. Flanagan said a report can be provided and that a large portion of the donations offsets costs to municipalities as defined in the most recent agreements. Mr. Neuman said he was told the opposite. He said if he wanted to give $50,000, but it would just go to save on taxes, then he wouldn’t give it, adding that taxes should be paid by the people of the community not by donations. Ms. Flanagan said if someone gives for something specific like spay/neuter, then that is what the money goes toward. She added that she will find the exact language expressing how donations are handled. Ms. Emptage said the only specific donation option on the licensing form has been spay/neuter; however, in times past other categories were requested on the paper form. Ms. Hubbard said that community spay/neuter is not part of PACC’s shelter operations. She continued that if an animal needs medical care or a gate needs fixed, then that should be on the County, but anything that comes through Friends of PACC should be extra. Ms. Hubbard added that with the Humane Society if money is given for a specific purpose, then it has to be used for that purpose, but if money is given generally, then it goes to offset the costs of normal operations. Mr. Neuman and Ms. Hubbard discussed the hypothetical scenario of there being a $400,000 medical budget and then someone gives $100,000 for medical. Mr. Neuman felt the $100,000 should be beyond, in addition to, the $400,000, whereas Ms. Hubbard said that is not how the budget works. Ms. Flanagan discussed how the County budget includes a specific spending authority and that donations have to be estimated prior to the budget year to be able to have the spending authority to spend the donated funds. She also offered to have someone from County Finance come to a Committee meeting. Ms. Schwerin said she agreed with Mr. Neuman; if money is given then that should be extra, beyond the original spending level, not as an offset. Mr. Neuman said we are hurting ourselves if we do not use donations for extra spending. Ms. Barrick asked how Friends of PACC works. Ms. Flanagan said Friends of PACC is not governed by the budget rules because they are a separate non-profit organization.

9. Complaints and Commendations: There was one complaint and two commendations received by staff during September.

Ms. Schwerin said at 4:30 pm on September 6, a very hot day, a friend of hers saw a large dog lying on concrete in full sun at Kolb and Interstate 10 and reported it to PACC, but PACC did nothing to help the dog. Ms. Flanagan said she could look into the incident.
10. **Call to the Audience**

There were three speakers from the audience: James Dean, Cathy Neuman and Marcie Velen.

Mr. Dean spoke during the discussion under New Business, Issues with Pet Food and Linen Donations then spoke again at this call to the audience. He said the PetSmart events have unintended consequences in that these events provide competition with rescue groups. Rescue groups charge adoption fees and cannot compete with free adoptions at these events. Regarding donations, he said he felt they just go to reduce County expenses. Regarding the every animal every day discussion he was for the daily checks and said recently there was a dog that had notes about tick fever and a mass. The record said the dog was spayed and he asked why when the dog was spayed wasn’t the mass removed. In checking he found out that there actually was no mass. His point was the dog was passed over by would be adopters who could see the notation and did not want a dog with a mass. He said there was another dog, Bucky, listed as having valley fever when it actually did not. These were examples of dogs that fell through the cracks. Regarding good will and public perception Mr. Dean said he sees a lot of good at the shelter, but said once he observed an Animal Care Tech drag a dog out of a kennel and a citizen also saw the incident. He said he spoke with Mr. Ocano about the incident and the Tech was fired, so Mr. Ocano is concerned about how things are done and about public perception. Mr. Dean added that he sees a lot of compassion in intake.

Ms. Neuman said she is at PACC at least three nights a week and she sees the closing process in the evening. She said she did not want to bad mouth staff that is overworked and doing the best they can. However, the scanning of every animal is just going through the motions, just scan, scan, scan going down the line. They are not looking at the dogs, they don’t have time. She said they really need a floor supervisor available all the time to address issues and to actually look at every animal ensuring they are in the right place and being taken care of properly. She continued that there will never be enough staff and PACC will always need volunteers to have their eyes and ears open to the animals’ needs. Ms. Neuman also talked about Bucky saying that he had been at the shelter since July and his card said he had valley fever and tick fever, so he was passed over for months until someone checked. She said another volunteer found a dog in the tent, which is where the strays are housed, and the dog had been there for over two weeks. If the dog had no identification (un-owned) it was supposed to be evaluated after three days and owned dogs are supposed to be evaluated after seven days, but the dog sat there for over two weeks.

Ms. Velen made the distinction between ill will and diversion. The help desk has helped divert over 800 animals. She said the help desk encourages people to not leave an animal at PACC if there are other options, because the shelter is crowded and there are risks for the animal. The help desk does not say don’t leave the animal at PACC because it will die. She asserted reduced intake helps improve outcomes. She said there is a higher return to owner rate for diverted found animals than for those left at PACC. She added only nine percent of PACC strays are returned to owners. She closed by saying even the best shelter in the country should be the last resort.

11. **Announcements, Schedules and Proposed Agenda Items**

Ms. Hubbard said 800 dogs and cats were spayed/neutered by amazing veterinarians last weekend at the 48-hour MASH (medical animal spay/neuter hospital) event.
Mr. Jacobs suggested that if there will be four months of welfare and dangerous dog cases to discuss, it will require considerable time and an additional meeting might need to be considered.

12. **Next Meeting – November 19, 2015**

Ms. Emptage said the next meeting will be at the Abrams building due to the ongoing road construction around PACC.

13. **Adjournment**

The meeting adjourned at 7:28 pm
Functions of the Committee

1. Serve in an advisory capacity to the Board, and to the Manager of the Pima Animal Care Center; and
2. Review and evaluate the operations of the Center to make recommendations in writing to the Board for the formulation of guidelines to assure that:
   A. The Center's operations are conducted in the best interest of the public health and safety; and
   B. The Center keeps pace with the most modern practices and procedures of animal care and welfare; and
3. Review complaints from the public concerning policies of the Center and make recommendations for resolution to the proper authority.

AGENDA

1. Call to Order
   - Roll Call
   - Establishment of Quorum and Pledge of Allegiance
2. Review and Adoption of Minutes:
   - Adoption of September 17, 2015 meeting minutes
3. Animal Welfare and Dangerous Animal Cases for the Month of September and Recent Holds Snapshot

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Welfare</th>
<th>Dangerous Dogs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A15-178666</td>
<td>A15-177490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15-178038</td>
<td>A15-179515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15-178869</td>
<td>A14-175928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15-173454</td>
<td>A15-177726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15-177950</td>
<td>A15-176556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15-178040</td>
<td>A15-179180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15-166785</td>
<td>A15-178040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A14-144950</td>
<td>A15-179240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15-177657</td>
<td>A14-150324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15-178009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Call to the Audience
5. Management Report
6. Old Business
   - Procedures Related to Agenda Items (Schwerin/Emptage)
   - Process used by PACC to track every animal's care every day that does not include volunteers (Neuman)
   - Ajo Animal Care Center Veterinary Services (Neuman)
7. New Business
   - Public Concerns and Perceptions (Emptage)
   - Issues with Pet Food and Linen Donations
   - Committee Resignation: Ms. Hurley, City of Tucson Representative
8. Donations: A total of 1,430 individuals gave $54,967.27 in donations during the month of September.
9. Complaints and Commendations: There was one complaint and two commendations received by staff during September.
10. Call to the Audience
11. Announcements, Schedules and Proposed Agenda Items
12. Next Meeting – November 19, 2015
13. Adjournment

Copies of this agenda are available upon request at the Pima County Health Department, 3950 S. Country Club Road, by calling 724-7729 or at www.pima.gov/animalcare. The Committee may discuss and take action on any item on the agenda. At the conclusion of an open call to the public Committee members may only respond to criticism made; ask staff to review the matter raised; or ask to include the matter on a future agenda.

Should you require ADA accommodations, please contact the Pima County Health Department at 724-7729 five (5) days prior to the meeting.
1. Call to Order

Ms. Emptage called the meeting to order at 5:34 pm

- Attendance

Present:
Tamara Barrick, Pima Paws for Life
Nancy Emptage, Chair, Animal Welfare Coalition
Pat Hubbard, Humane Society of Southern Arizona
Yvette Hurley, City of Tucson
Pat Jacobs, Tucson Kennel Club
Sophia Kaluzniacki, DVM, SPCA of AZ, Inc Derek Marshall, Public Education
Jack Neuman, Vice-Chair, PACC Volunteers
Erin O'Donnell, DVM, Southern AZ Veterinary Medical Association
Jane Schwerin, People for Animals in the Prevention of Cruelty and Neglect
Marcy Flanagan, Health Department Deputy Director, New Ex-Officio

Absent:
Helen Mendelsohn, Disabled Community
Gail Smith, MD, Board of Health

- Pledge of Allegiance

2. Adoption of the Minutes

- Adoption of the August 20, 2015 Meeting Minutes

The motion was made and seconded (Hubbard/Barrick) that the August 20, 2015 meeting minutes be adopted as written. The motion carried (10-0).

3. Line and Space Architect Firm Presentation on the Future Animal Care Facility

Facilities Management Architect Martyn Klell gave some background on the project. The County has already selected Line and Space as the architecture firm for the project. Line and Space has a strong local presence. Line and Space is teaming with Animal Arts, an animal shelter design firm out of Boulder, Colorado, and the UC Davis Koret Shelter Medicine Program. The County has also hired Sundt Construction as the construction manager. The County had eight companies submit proposals and they interviewed four before selecting Sundt. Sundt recently completed Pima County’s Emergency Operations Center. The County didn’t go with the bidding process; instead they chose the construction manager at risk (CMAR) route, which allows the choice to be made on qualifications and allows for construction input during the design process. Sundt will constantly be getting prices (sub-contractor bids) as the construction plans develop to ensure the project adheres to the budget. Mr. Klell said the County has acquired property south of PACC, from Tucson Electric Power, and is trying to purchase property east of PACC from the City of Tucson. The more property the more options there are and the less phasing will be required. Mr. Klell added that one percent of the
building’s budget must be spent on art, so there is an art committee and they are searching for art proposals. The County is also looking into solar opportunities for the project. Mr. Klell added that the Silverbell Road widening is a four-year project and the County is interfacing with those in charge of the Silverbell construction. Mr. Klell said construction on the new animal care facility is to start in December of 2016 and end in November of 2017.

Three representatives from Line and Space presented to the Committee: Henry Tom, Bob Clements and Mike Anglin. Mr. Tom reiterated that Line and Space’s team includes Animal Arts and the UC Davis Koret Shelter Medicine Program and said Line and Space has been in Tucson since 1978. Line and Space just completed the program process for the new facility. The program includes the wants, needs, goals and objectives for the new facility. The conceptual design is the next step and it should be done by early October. Ms. Emptage asked if the new facility will include indoor/outdoor runs. The reply was that’s been programmed and they will try to do them as much as they can. Ms. Emptage also asked about noise control. Moving dogs east is a thought to get them farther from neighbors to the west. Line and Space also has as a resource a local acoustic engineer who has worked with the Humane Society.

Mr. Clements said they are very program intensive and spent a lot of time listening to stakeholders before they compiled the program. He talked about the site dictating a number of things and pointed out a sewer main, which cannot be built over, runs through the property. The program includes a report from Koret on numbers and types of animals and recommendations for PACC to help achieve goals and objectives. Based on the information received they go on to make conceptual diagrams of spaces to ensure they include everything required, including sufficient space for furniture and equipment. They add up all the areas to get what they call the net area and they add additional square footage for things such as wall thickness and mechanical spaces to get the total area for the building. The program represents the wish list and will need to be balanced against the budget.

Ms. Hubbard pointed out that intake numbers have been on a downward trend and asked if the design allows for space modification for other use. In response Mr. Clements spoke about multipurpose space, the need for sufficient storage space, and opportunities for flexibility. Ms. Hubbard asked about scheduling penalties. Mr. Klell said typically scheduling penalties are in place, but seldom needed. Discussion underscored that having Sundt already on board and involved in the process with sub-contractors lessens confusion and scheduling challenges. Ms. Hubbard also asked about the HVAC systems. Mr. Clements discussed that the HVAC systems have not been designed yet; however Line and Space understands the importance as it relates to disease control and will be working closely with Animal Arts, which has much more knowledge and experience with shelter design.

Ms. Hurley asked about animal housing numbers and signage. Mr. Anglin said there are two tiers of recommendations from Koret which were based on PACC’s operations and priorities including five years of PACC Chameleon data. Koret’s numbers include 425 housing units for dogs capable of housing around 493 dogs and puppies and 170 cat units for up to 268 animals during kitten season. The lower tier numbers based on implementation of Koret operational change recommendations are: 375 dog units and 140 cat units. Mr. Anglin said currently PACC has approximately 280 dog housing units. Regarding signage, Mr. Clements said they like to design to include landscaping, furniture and signage. Part of design includes way-finding, helping people find the front door. Good design reduces the need for signage; however, they will also either design signage themselves or will be working closely with those who do the signage. Ms. Schwerin asked about population growth and
the ability to house more animals. Mr. Clements said both Animal Arts and Koret are predicting a spike once the new center opens. Operational changes such as managed intake will be important in keeping the spike from overwhelming the housing capacity. Managed intake involves scheduled appointments for intake, which will also make staff utilization more efficient. Ms. Schwerin was concerned about managed intake appointments saying that some people would rather dump an animal in the desert or street rather than wait for an appointment. Health Department Deputy Director Marcy Flanagan said managed intake has been a topic of discussion for a while now and there are exceptions. If someone finds a stray and brings it in on the way to work, they don’t need an appointment. The managed intake is for owner surrenders. Most shelters around the nation do some type of strategic intake. Ms. Schwerin asked if the new building will be able to expand, to which Mr. Anglin responded that the building site is tight, but future expansion is something they are thinking about. Mr. Clements touched on the hope for operational changes being implemented before the new facility is completed, to mitigate animal housing numbers.

The questions continued. Ms. Schwerin asked if the new facility is being designed with animals other than dogs and cats in mind. Mr. Clements said the program includes some space for short-term housing of other small mammals. Mr. Anglin added the program includes some flexible, adaptable space for other types of animals. Mr. Neuman asked about space for volunteers. Mr. Anglin said volunteer space includes lockers, a microwave oven and a refrigerator and said management stressed the volunteer space cannot be cut. Mr. Neuman asked about quiet spaces for volunteers and staff to talk with prospective adopters and Mr. Anglin said they are included in the program, plus meet and greet rooms and evaluation rooms. Ms. Schwerin asked about being able to house more animals, and Mr. Clements talked about the housing units designed ideally for one animal per housing unit with guillotine partitions and the ability to accommodate a spike beyond ideal conditions. Mr. Anglin said staffing is not expected to increase for the new facility and could not handle a housed animal census double PACC’s current housing. Mr. Jacobs asked what the biggest challenge is related to the new building project and the answer was size versus budget. He asked what facility Line and Space would recommend people visit and Mr. Anglin said the Denver facility although they are dealing with a different animal population and climate. Dr. Kaluzniacki added that if people cannot travel to Denver, the Animal League of Green Valley is a good local facility to visit. Mr. Jacobs also asked if the program will be made public, available on line. Kim Smith from Pima County Communications said there is a web page dedicated to this project under bonds, and said she anticipated at least the program summary would be posted, but wasn’t sure if the whole program would be posted. Ms. Emptage asked if the facility will be keeping the newer indoor/outdoor kennels and the answer was they will try to as other priorities permit. Dr. O'Donnell asked if Koret and Animal Arts have contributed ideas to help animal behavioral health, to which Mr. Anglin replied, “Definitely.” Mr. Neuman asked about kennel sizes. The typical cat kennel is two and a half feet by five feet split down the middle with a portal. There are variations in the dog kennels which range from four by seven to four by nine, then double for indoor/outdoor kennels. Ms. Schwerin asked if the new sick bay divided dogs, and cats and the answer was yes. Mr. Neuman asked about sick bay kennel numbers. Mr. Anglin said of the 425 total kennels (canines) general population is 260; custody quarantine is 80; special care neonatal is 20; and isolation sick bay is 65. Ms. Barrick asked about rescue egress, which currently parades sick animals through the building. Mr. Anglin said all cross contamination issues will be addressed through Koret and could be as simple as adding a back door.
4. **Old Business**

- **Tie-Out Prevention Campaign**

Mr. Marshall said 13 videos were submitted for the tie-out prevention campaign, but one went over the time limit and two were submitted after the cut-off. He played the ten qualifying videos for the Committee. Mr. Marshall said the grand prize is a $400 camcorder plus a $75 gift basket from Acacia Animal Hospital; second place is a $200 camera stabilizer; and third place is a $150 set of headphones. Part of the video solicitation included that PACC gets possession of the videos and can use them as they wish.

- **Procedures Related to Agenda Items**

Ms. Emptage said historically any Committee member can submit an agenda item. However, she said if an item has been voted on and brought up again by a member of the minority it is not readdressed. She added that she wants to continue this discussion at the next meeting.

5. **Announcements, Schedules and Proposed Agenda Items**

Ms. Emptage said there has been a request to again bring up the topic of delaying spay-abort procedures which was discussed in July. In the July meeting a motion related to a recommendation for delaying the spay-abort practice did not pass. Ms. Emptage asked what was the pleasure of the Committee regarding the spay-abort agenda item request. Ms. Hurley said she wants a 72-hour hold on the spay-abort policy so rescues can come in and pick up the animal, and wants this on October’s agenda.

Mr. Jacobs made the motion to place the 72-hour hold on the spay-abort policy item on the next agenda; seconded by Dr. Kaluzniacki. The motion did not pass (4-6): Jacobs, Marshall, Hurley and Kaluzniacki for; and Hubbard, Barrick, Schwerin, Emptage, Neuman and O'Donnell opposed.

Ms. Hurley protested that what was voted on in July was a temporary month long hold until she could gather more information and refine a proposal regarding the spay-abort policy, not a permanent 72-hour hold. Ms. Barrick suggested the actual recording of the July meeting be referenced for clarification.

Mr. Jacobs voiced opposition to there not being a call to the audience. Ms. Flanagan said legally a call to the audience is not required. Ms. Schwerin said the Committee in the past had voted to have two calls to the audience. Ms. Emptage asked if anyone from the audience wished to speak and no one responded.

6. **Next Meeting – October 15, 2015**

Ms. Emptage said the next meeting will be October 15 at the Abrams building.

7. **Adjournment**

The meeting adjourned at 7:14 pm
TO: Marcy Flanagan, Deputy Director

FROM: Jose Chavez, Enforcement Operations Manager

DATE: 9-30-15

SUBJECT: Welfare report for September 2015

1. A15-178666 One animal (sick) was surrendered to PACC. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at PACC. The animal was euthanized due to the severity of the illness. The case is closed.

2. A15-178038 Two animals were impounded and surrendered to PACC. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws and cited the owner. The dogs are now in foster care. The case is closed.

3. A15-178869 One animal was impounded. Staff reviewed the animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at PACC. The animal was redeemed. The case is closed.

4. A15-173454 One animal was impounded. Staff reviewed welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at PACC. The animal was redeemed. The case is closed.

5. A15-177950 No animal was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited. The complaint is closed.

6. A15-177490 One animal (sick) was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner cited. The animal was euthanized due to the severity of the illness. The complaint is closed.

7. A15-179515 Seven dogs were impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at PACC. The animals were redeemed. The complaint is closed.

8. A15-175928 One animal was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and required follow up vet care. A recheck was done owner cited for neglect vet care. The animal was observed and appeared healthy. The complaint is closed.

9. A15-177726 No animals impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited. Owner was found in compliance. This complaint is closed.

10. A15-176556 One animals were impounded. Staff and reviewed the animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at PACC. The animal was redeemed. The complaint is closed.
**WESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
300 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745

**Suspect:**
- Name: Hector Ramirez
- Address: La Cholla Blvd.

**Acq. Name/Badge #:**
- Atteberry, 1929
- ACO #15-178866

**Bite Welfare:**
- Dangerous [ ]
- Other [ ]

**Complaint Number:**
- 10-15-01

**Incident Location:**
- 4000 N Silverbell Rd

**Date and Time Reported:**
- 9/6/2015 07:25 pm

**Date and Time Occurred:**
- 9/6/2015 07:25 pm

**Victim/Complaintant Name:**
- Pima Animal Care Officer Atteberry, 1929

**Address:**
- 4000 N Silverbell Rd

**Animal's Name:**
- Lacey

**Breed/Description:**
- American Bulldog

**Color:**
- White/Black

**Sex:**
- F

**Tag #:**
- Sick A301917

**Revised by:**
- DTA 1911

**Violations:**
- 10 [ ]
- 15 [ ]
- 45 [ ]
- 180 [ ]
- FRA HEAD [ ]

**Previous Violations:**
- Yes [ ]
- No [ ]

**Previous Case Number:**
- 715B1

**Verification:**
- Owner [ ]
- Victim [ ]

**Witness:**
- Enforcement Field Supervisor Tenkate
- 1911

**Address:**
- 4000 N Silverbell Rd

**Residence Phone #:**
- 724-5900

**Business Phone #:**

---

**Publisher:**
- Pima Animal Care

---

**Remaining sections of the document are filled with placeholder text and are not relevant for this transcription.**
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-178666
ACO name & Badge: Attebery, 1929

On 9/9/2015 at around 0725 pm, I, Animal Care Field Officer Attebery, 1929, arrived at Pima Animal Care Center to unload animals and write reports.

I met Field Enforcement Supervisor Tenkate #1911, in parking lot. Per Tenkate, a man had arrived at Pima Animal Care after hours with his sick dog that he wished to give to Pima Animal Care Center. Tenkate wanted me to look at animal and determine if cites are to be issued.

I went to the Pima Animal Care Receiving Area and examined a pit bull/American bulldog female dog known as Lacey. Visual examination revealed that all 4 of the dog’s limbs were swollen from paws up into the shoulder/rump area. Jowls of dog were swollen to such an extent that it made her head look small. Dog appeared alert but slightly depressed with spinal column and ribs with no flesh and bones prominently sticking up.

I then met dog owner, Hector Ramirez, in the Pima Animal Care Receiving Area. Per Hector, he had adopted the dog from Pima Animal Care Center and animal was healthy until about 2 weeks ago. 2 weeks ago, dog stopped eating. After a while, Hector would be able to get her to eat for a day or so and then dog would stop eating again. The swelling of her limbs occurred several days ago and he never noticed the swollen jowls.

When asked why he did not take dog to the vet, he explained that he believed that the dog would get better. He also explained that he was a first time pet owner. He had no explanation as to why he did not call a veterinarian or why he waited so long to bring the dog to Pima Animal Care Center.

Pima Animal Care records indicate that Hector Rameriz adopted dog in January 2016. At time of adoption, dog was healthy.

Hector Ramirez was then cited for neglect vet care on the dog and animal impounded at no charge to dog owner.

Officer's Signature: [Signature]                      Date: 9/10/2015
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT
Cynthia Loreto

ACO NAME / BADGE #
1942 Eckelbarger

COMPLAINT NUMBER
A15-178038

BITE □ WELFARE □ DANGEROUS □ OTHER □

CODE IF OTHER:

CT □ CO □ OTHER □

DRIVER'S LICENSE

IDENTIFICATION OF INCIDENT
45th St

DATE AND TIME REPORTED
8-31-15 / 1416

DATE AND TIME OCCURRED
9-1-15 / 1000

FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURED MILL VENTILATION ABANDONED TIEOUT BEaten WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)

□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ ^
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-178038

ACO name & Badge: 1942 Eckelbarger

On 9-1-15 at 0930 hours I Investigator Eckelbarger (1942) and Officer Sanchez (2072) responded to 45th St where we met with dog owner, Cynthia Loreto. Ms. Loreto stated she owned two pit-bulls in the backyard. She stated she was partially disabled and had a hard time getting out into the backyard to feed and water the dogs. She then showed us the dogs in the backyard. I observed a female pit-bull "Justice" who appeared thin and had a tumor hanging down from her under her chest. Ms. Loreto stated the tumor showed up approximately 6 months ago and she did not take the dog for veterinary treatment. I also observed a male pit-bull "Nomas" who appeared thin. Both dogs had a little bit of water and there was shade available. Ms. Loreto stated she would like to sign the dogs over as she cannot really care for them anymore. She stated she has had them for a couple years.

I then cited Ms. Loreto for neglect-vet care on "Justice" under City jurisdiction. Ms. Loreto signed and received her copy of the citation. She then relinquished ownership of both dogs by signing a release of ownership form. We then impounded both dogs. I setup Justice to be examined by a Pima Animal Care Center veterinarian.

I included Dr. Jennifer Wilcox’ veterinary report.

Officer's Signature: CC Date: 9-2-15
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department  
Pima Animal Care Center  
4000 N Silverbell Rd  
Tucson, Arizona 85745  
Phone: (520) 724-5900  
Fax: (520) 724-5990  
www.pimaanimalcare.org

---

**Suspect**  
Nicole Leon

**Suspect's Address**  
34th St

**Zip**  
Tucson  
AZ

**Date and Time Reported**  
9/12/2015 08:32 pm

**Date and Time Disposed**  
9/12/2015 09:00 pm

**Food Water Shelter Injured/lull Ventilation Abandoned Tieout Beaten Waste Other (Explain)**  

---

**I choose “upon request” rights in this case.**

- [ ] I WAIVE “upon request” rights in this case.

**Victim/Complainant Name**  
Pima Animal Care Officer Atteberry, 1929

**Victim's Address**  
4000 N Silverbell Rd

**Zip**  
85745

**City**  
Tucson  
AZ

---

**Relationship to Victim**

---

**Victim or Lawful Representative Signature**

---

**Breed/Description**

- Victim Owner
  - Pit bull
    - Owner
      - Blue
        - White/Brown
        - M 14
        - Impounded
        - N A464263

---

**Witness 1**

- M F

**Witness 2**

- M F

**Witness 3**

- M F

**Witness 4**

- M F
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-178869
ACO name & Badge: Attebery, 1929

On 9/12/2015 at around 0855 pm, I, Pima Animal Care Officer Attebery, 1929, arrived at 34th St reference neighbor's dog on a tie out that was enmeshed in common chain link fence and was aggressive.

While at 34th St, I saw a hole in the common chain link fence and a chain tangled around some wood and the chain link fence. One end of the chain was fastened around the neck of a white/brown pit bull mix dog. The other end of the chain led into the yard located at 34th St. Chain appeared to be fastened to a plastic dog house about fifteen feet from fence. Next to dog house was a five gallon plastic bucket and small metal container next to the house. The chain tie out was so entangled that dog could not gain access to its water bucket or dog house.

The 34th St address had chain link enclosing property with the gates padlocked. Three other pit bull dogs were seen in an enclosed area on west side of residence. Dogs appeared healthy and had dog houses. A plastic five gallon bucket was seen inside pen area by the house's west door. No one at that address came out to meet with me.

I had to cut the chain to free the dog from its situation. Dog was then impounded from the yard at 34th St. I left a notice of impoundment on gate at 34th St.

After dog was kenneled at Pima Animal Care Center located at 4000 N Silverbell Rd, I made a notation on the kennel card. Per notation, if dog was redeemed, dog owner was to be cited for neglect tie out, neglect no water, and neglect no shelter.

On 9/14/2015, woman arrived at Pima Animal Care Center and claimed the dog. Enforcement Field Officer Konst #2002, wrote citation 74274, to Nicole Leon.

Officer's Signature: [Signature]
Date: 9/16/2015

1929
## INVESTIGATION REPORT

**Pima County Health Department**  
Pima Animal Care Center  
4000 N Silverbell Rd  
Tucson, Arizona 85745  
Phone: (520) 724-5600  
Fax: (520) 724-5560  
www.pimaanimalcare.org

### SUSPECT

**Name:** Robert Benett Humphrey  
**Address:** San Rafael Ave  
**City:** Tucson  
**State:** AZ  
**Phone Number:**

### SUSPECTS BUSINESS ADDRESS

**Address:** NA  
**City:** NA  
**State:** NA  
**Phone Number:**

### LOCATION OF INCIDENT

**Address:** San Rafael Ave  
**Date and Time Reported:** 9/8/15 / 0842hrs  
**Date and Time Occurred:** 9/10/15 / 1214hrs

### VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME

**Name:** Marilyn Hendrickson  
**D.O.B.:**

### VICTIM'S ADDRESS

**Address:** 4000 N Silverbell Rd  
**City:** Tucson  
**State:** AZ  
**Phone Number:**

### DANGEROUS, RESTIUTION, DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER

**Assessment Requested:** YES  
**Restitution Requested:** YES  
**Dangerous Case Number:**

### VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS

**Address:** 4000 N Silverbell Rd  
**City:** Tucson  
**State:** AZ  
**Phone Number:**

### AWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

**Address:**

### VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

**Code/Ord Violated:** 4-3(2)(E)(2)  
**Citations/Numbers:** 73815  
**Reviewing:** 2002  
**Bond:** YES

### BREED/DESCRIPTION

**Victim or Owner Animal:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal's Name</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Tag Color</th>
<th>License #</th>
<th>V/T Certificate #</th>
<th>CaBV</th>
<th>Animal ID#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tenley</td>
<td>White/Black</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>10y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A533775</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### WITNESS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Witness 1</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Residence Phone #</th>
<th>Business Phone #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Witness 2</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Residence Phone #</th>
<th>Business Phone #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Witness 3</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Residence Phone #</th>
<th>Business Phone #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Witness 4</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Residence Phone #</th>
<th>Business Phone #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-173454

ACO name & Badge: D Tenkate #1911

On 09/10/15 at 12:14 Hours Officer Hendrickson #2066 arrived at 1 San Rafael in response to a neglect call stating there is a dog on a tie-out. She knocked on the door and a man answered and stated he does not own a dog but allows a man with a dog to live in his back yard in a tent. The man also said he has no way to contact the dog owner.

Officer Hendrickson then walked around to the back yard and observed a white and black Dalmatian on a tie-out. She was unable to get a response from within the tent. The dog had access inside the tent for shelter and shade along with two containers with green water inside. The dog appeared healthy and was in good weight and had clean water available in a kiddie pool. She also observed a large healthy looking macaw with food and water in a cage near the Dalmatian. Officer Hendrickson took photographs, impounded the dog and left a notice of impound. The kennel card was marked 3C to issue citations to the dog owner for neglect tie out if the dog is redeemed.

On 9/10/15 at 1810 Hours I, Supervisor Tenkate #1911 met with the dog owner Robert Barnett Humphrey when he came to PACC to redeem his Dalmatian name Tenley. He stated that Tenley is deaf and is able to jump the 4' chain link yard fence. I explained that tie outs were illegal and that he would need a covered kennel run to keep Tenley confined. Mr. Humphrey said he is going to buy a kennel run and will keep Tenley inside until the kennel run is constructed. He then signed and received a copy of citation #73815 for Neglect Tie Out. He is aware of his court date, time and location.

Officer’s Signature: [Signature]

Date: 9-10-15
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-177950

ACO name & Badge: A. Kirby #2057

On 08/30/15 at approximately 0932 hours I Officer A. Kirby #2057 arrived at 2400 Drexel Rd. and located a tan/black large German Shepherd tied to a fence with an approximately 1 foot long slip lead type leash that would tighten on the dogs neck if it would pull away or attempt to lay down, the dog had no movement or ability to even lay down. I observed no water near the dog, and no shelter with the dog located in direct sunlight. Current ambient temperature per University of Arizona weather was 92 degrees Fahrenheit. I then used a remote thermal temperature device to determine the ground temperature to be approximately 120 degrees Fahrenheit. I was able to make contact with Maria Cecilia Blanco-Careaga who was only able to provide me with a Mexico Passport for identification purposes. I asked Ms. Blanco-Careaga about the dog being tied out. She stated the dog had been there since yesterday (08/29/15), when her juvenile son tied the dog to the fence. The dog appeared to be severely dehydrated and was panting very rapidly. I immediately had the residents remove the dog from the tie-out and provide it with water inside the air conditioned home. I issued citations to Ms. Blanco-Careaga for Neglect - Tie out, Neglect - No water, and Neglect - No Shelter, No License, and No Rabies Vaccinations, Ms. Blanco-Careaga was explained her citation, court date, time, and located, stated she understood and signed the citation. Ms. Blanco-Careaga stated the dog is not hers it belongs to her brother, but it has lived with her for approximately 4 months now. I advised her that by law that makes her an owner of the dog due to her being in care and custody of the animal. I provided Ms. Blanco-Careaga with a laws brochure advising of the animal laws in Pima County as well as contact information to obtain licensing for the dog. Photos of the dog are attached to the activity and of the tie-out used; I impounded the tie out do to the admission of this tie-out being commonly used whenever they enter or exit the property.

Officer's Signature:  

Date: 08/30/15
INVESTIGATION REPORT
Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N Silverbell Rd
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5900
www.pimaanimalsane.org

Suspect
Gabriela Maria Bustamante
ACO Name / Badge # X. Delgadillo #2047

Suspect's Address
.Holladay St
Tucson AZ
Suspect's business address

Zip City State
CIB Other

Does this incident require victim request for waiver of rights? Yes ☐ No ☒

Date and time reported
09/11/15 / 13:05

FOOD WATER SHELTER INJUREDkILL VENTILATION ABANDONED TIEOUT BEATEN WASTE OTHER

EXPLANATION

Neglect - Vet Care

Victim/Complainant Name
X. Delgadillo #2047
D.O.B.
Residence phone no.

Business phone no.
520-724-5900*3

Victim's Address
Zip City State

Victim's business address
4000 N. Silverbell Rd
Zip City State

Name of Lawful Representative

Identification card requested

Yes ☐ No ☒

Address and phone number same as victim

Address

Relationship to victim

Phone number

Lawful Representative Address

Clinic's Address

Quarantine

10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 160 ☐ FRA Head#

3rd Party Citations

Citings ACO

Previos Violations

Previous Case Number

Other Additional Reports

Victim or Lawful Representative Signature

Date/Time Violated
6.04.70, 6.04.110 (B) (2)

Citations/Numbers
74762

Breed/Description
Victim or Owner Animal

ANIMAL'S NAME COLOR SEX AGE TAG COLOR LICENSE # VN CERTIFICATE # COMO ANIMAL ID#

Victim

Owner

Victim

Owner

Victim

Owner

Victim

Owner

Victim

Owner

Victim

Owner

Victim

Owner

Victim

Owner

Victim

Owner

Victim

Owner

Victim

Owner

Victim

Owner

Witness 1

M ☐ F ☒ DOB Address

Residence phone #

Business phone #

Witness 2

M ☐ F ☒ DOB Address

Residence phone #

Business phone #
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-178790

ACO name & Badge: X. Delgadillo #2047

On September 11, 2015 at approximately 18:12 I, Officer Delgadillo #2047, arrived to Holladay St regarding a complaint of neglect; dog in distress, seizing.

When I arrived I observed a red/white dog in the carport area lateral; shaking and whimpering attempting to get up but could not. There was another adult White and Red heeler mix in the yard. I honked repeatedly and banged on the gate and received no answer. I attempted to enter the yard to confiscate the dog in distress but the other dog would not allow me to get near. I went back to my vehicle to get a snare pole when a young male came from around the rear of the house. I asked him if his parents were home and he called his grandmother out.

I met with Maria Alvarez and asked her to secure the other dog and her grandchildren came out. I asked her if she knew the dog was ill and she stated yes that she had been moving it to shaded area of the yard all day.

The first complaint was received at 13:05 and the complainant stated that the dog was walking uneven and thin; the second was received at 16:57 and the complainant stated that the dog was seizing and dying.

I asked her how long the dog had been sick and she stated that she did not know. I said the dog looks like it needs vet care and she stated that it was probably dying. I asked why she did not take the dog to the vet and she stated that they had already given it store bought Parvo shots. I asked to her move the dog outside of the gates as the other dog would not allow me to pick up the dog and they could not handle the adult dog. Once the dog was outside of the gates, I placed the dog in the kennel and advised I was confiscating the dog.

As I was writing the citations her daughter Gabriella Mara Bustamante arrived and stated that the dog was hers and to issue citations to her. I asked her why hadn’t she taken the dog to the vet and provided a vaccination shot from Country Feed Store. Ms. Bustamante stated that the dog just sick this afternoon and she has security cameras in her yard to prove the dog was healthy this morning. I advised the dog is lateral; shaking; labored breathing and drooling.
Ms. Bustamante was cited into Pima County Justice Court for Neglect Vet-Care for Rocky, a male Red/White Heeler mix approximately 5 months old; No License for Iggy, a female white and red heeler mix. Ms. Bustamante signed her citations; received a copy and was advised of her court date and time.

When I arrived to Pima Animal Care Center, Rocky was seen by medical staff who determined due to the severity of the dog’s illness the dog was euthanized.

Officer's Signature:  

Date: 9/11/15
# INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department  
Pima Animal Care Center  
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.  
Tucson, Arizona 85745  
Phone: (520) 243-5600  
Fax: (520) 243-5690  
www.pimaanimalcare.org

## Suspect

**Name:** Rosemarie Carol Garrison  
**Address:** Delgado Rd.  
**City:** Tucson  
**State:** AZ  
**Phone Number:**

## Suspect's Business Address

**Address:**  
**City:**  
**State:**  
**Phone Number:**

## Complaint Number

**Number:** A15-179515

## Location of Incident

**Address:** Delgado Rd.

## Date and Time Reported

**Date:** 09/23/15  
**Time:** 1541

## Victim/Complainant Name

**Name:** Officer D. Hinte 2068  
**D.O.B.:**

## Residence Phone Number

**Number:** 520-724-5900

## Request/Waiver Exception

- [ ] I CHOOSE “upon request” rights in this case
- [ ] I WAIVE “upon request” rights in this case

## Victim's Address

**Address:** Pima Animal Care Center  
**City:** Tucson  
**State:** AZ

## Victim's Business Address

**Address:** 4000 N. Silverbell Rd.  
**City:** Tucson  
**State:** AZ

## Name of Lawful Representative (If Applicable)

**Address:**

## Dangerous Assessment Requested

**Yes:**  
**No:**

## Restitution Requested

**Yes:**  
**No:**

## Dangerous Case Number

**Number:**

## Other Agency Case #

**SO:**  
**TPD:**  
**Other:** 150923110

## Follow Up Request

**SO:**  
**TPD:**  
**Other:**

## Address and Phone Number Same As Victim

**Yes:**  
**No:**

## Relationship To Victim

**Violation:**

## Phone Number

**Number:**

## Lawful Representative Address

**Address:**

## Clinic's Address

**Address:**

## Quarantine

**10:**  
**15:**  
**45:**  
**190:**  
**FRA Head#**

## 3rd Party Citations

**Yes:**  
**No:**

## Citing ACO

**Name:** D. Hinte 2068

## Previous Violations

**Yes:**  
**No:**

## Previous Case Number

**Number:**

## Other Additional Reports

## Victim or Lawful Representative Signature

## Violations

- [ ] VIOLATION
- [ ] NON-VIOLATION

## Part of Body Bitten

**Yes:**  
**No:**

## Treated By

**Yes:**  
**No:**

## Phone Number

## Owner Knows of Bite

**Yes:**  
**No:**

## Release Date

## Lawful Representative Address

## Bond

**Yes:**  
**No:**

## Bred/Descriptive Victim or Owner Animal

| Breed | Victim | Color | Sex | Age | License # | VQ Certificate # | Cond | Animal ID#
|-------|--------|-------|-----|-----|-----------|-----------------|------|-------------
| Heeler mix | Owner | Booger | Tan/white | M | Adult | OK | A535502
| Heeler mix | Owner | RD | Brown/white | M | Adult | OK | A535505
| Heeler mix | Owner | Freckles | Brown/white | M | Adult | OK | A535504
| Heeler mix | Owner | Patti | Brown/white | F | Adult | OK | A535506
| Heeler mix | Owner | Baby Girl | Tan/white | F | Adult | OK | A535507
| Collie mix | Owner | Nippy | Tan/white | M | Adult | OK | A535508
| LH Dachshund | Owner | Harry | Black/white | M | Adult | OK | A535509

## Witness 1

**Name:** Deputy James #7332  
**DOB:**

## Witness 2

**DOB:**

## Witness 3

**DOB:**

## Witness 4

**DOB:**

## Witness 5

**DOB:**

## Witness 6

**DOB:**

## Witness 7

**DOB:**

## Witness 8

**DOB:**

## Witness 9

**DOB:**

## Witness 10

**DOB:**

## Witness 11

**DOB:**

## Witness 12

**DOB:**

## Witness 13

**DOB:**

## Witness 14

**DOB:**

## Witness 15

**DOB:**

## Witness 16

**DOB:**

## Witness 17

**DOB:**

## Witness 18

**DOB:**

## Witness 19

**DOB:**

## Witness 20

**DOB:**
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-179515

ACO name & Badge: D. Hinte 2068

On September 23, 2015 at 3:41 PM, Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) dispatch received a call for assistance from the Pima County Sheriff's Department.

On September 23, 2015 at 4:59 PM, I, Officer Hinte 2068, arrived at Delgado Rd. I met with PCSO Deputy Jansen #7332 who provided his case number of 150923110. He informed me that they were conducting a search warrant on three mobile homes on the property. Two of the owners had dogs, some of which were on tie-outs. I observed one large tan and white male Heeler mix on a tie out that was attached to the rear of a travel trailer. There was a bucket of non-potable water nearby that was thick and brown in color. This trailer and dog reportedly belonged to [redacted] who had been arrested earlier in the day. I observed six dogs in the fenced yard of a second mobile home. One of these dogs was on a tie-out that was attached to a tree limb. I observed one large plastic children's sandbox that was filled will non-potable water. The water was brown in color and completely opaque. This trailer and the six dogs in the yard were reportedly owned by Rosemarie Garrison [redacted] who had been arrested earlier in the day. The third trailer did not house any animals. Due to the owner arrests leaving no one to care for the animals, it was determined they would need to be impounded for their continued well-being. I called for assistance due to the number of dogs in the fenced yard, some of which were acting aggressively. I took photographs of the dogs and the living conditions while waiting. Officer Delgadillo 2047 arrived to assist. We impounded all seven animals off of the property without incident. I posted notices of impoundment at both trailers. PCSO and I then cleared the scene.

On September 26, 2015 at 5:00 PM, I, Officer Hinte 2068, met with dog owner Rosemarie Garrison at PACC. She claimed all seven dogs that were confiscated on 9/23/15. She stated that the dog was tied to the other trailer because she was slowly integrating him into the pack. She also stated that the second dog was tied to a tree because he is food aggressive and will not allow the other dogs to eat. I informed her that tie outs are prohibited under all circumstances except the temporary tethering of livestock. She stated that she was aware but felt it was her only option. She acknowledged that she would need to find a new solution.
I also informed her that the water I observed was dirty and non-potable. She stated that the dogs play in the water. I stated that she would need to provide a second water container, perhaps attached to the chain link fence several feet off the ground, so that there is always clean drinking water available. She stated that she understood, but felt the dogs would chew anything she put up. I issued citations to Ms. Garrison in the County for 2x neglect-tie out, and 7x neglect- water (non-potable). I explained her court date, time, and location. She stated that she understood, signed, and received her copy. I then issued a premise inspection for water and tie out. I noted a compliance date of 9/29/15 and explained that an officer would return for an inspection. She stated that she understood, signed, and received her copy.

Officer's Signature:  

Date: 9/26/15
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

**SUSPECT**

Gabriel Machiba
Cotton Bale Ln.

**ACO NAME / BADGE #**
D. Hinte 2068

**COMPLAINT NUMBER**
A15-175928

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**

Cotton Bale Ln.

**DATE AND TIME REPORTED**
07/26/15 1748

**DATE AND TIME OF INJURY**
09/08/15 1849

**FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURED /ILL VENTILATION ABANDONED TEACUP BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)**

- [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]

**I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case**

- [ ]

**VICTIM/COMPLAINT NAME**
Officer D. Hinte 2068

**D.O.B.**

**RESIDENCE PHONE NO.**

520-724-5900

**REQUEST/MANDATE**

Pima Animal Care Center

**VICTIM'S ADDRESS**

4000 N Silverbell Rd.

**ZIP CITY STATE**

65745 Tucson AZ

**FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURED /ILL VENTILATION ABANDONED TEACUP BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)**

- [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]

**I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case**

- [ ]

**REQUEST/WAIVER**

per A.R.S. 13-401.04 (B) and 13-401.06 (B)

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (APPLICABLE)**

**DANGEROUS ASSOCIATION REQUESTED**

- [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]

**RESTITUTION REQUESTED**

- [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]

**DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER**

- [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]

**OTHER AGENCY CASE #**

- [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]

**FOLLOW UP REQUEST**

- [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]

**TREATED BY**

**PHONE NUMBER**

**DATE INJURED**

**DATE RELEASED**

**PACO**

- [ ]

**VET**

- [ ]

**HOME**

- [ ]

**FTO**

- [ ]

**UTQ**

- [ ]

**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS**

- [ ]

**SIT**

- [ ]

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM**

- [ ]

**PHONE NUMBER**

- [ ]

**OWNER KNOWS OF BITER**

- [ ]

**RELEASING OFFICIAL**

- [ ]

**CLINIC'S ADDRESS**

- [ ]

**QUARANTINE**

- [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]

**FRA HEAD**

- [ ]

**3RD PARTY CITATIONS**

- [ ]

**CITING ACO**

D. Hinte 2068

- [ ]

**PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS**

- [ ]

**PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER**

- [ ]

**OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS**

- [ ]

**CTLM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE**

- [ ]

**IGNATURE**

- [ ]

**ANIMAL'S NAME**

Abby

**COLOR**
Black

**SEX**
F

**AGE**
Adult

**LICENSE #**

**VX CERTIFICATE #**

**CONV**

- [ ]

**ANIMAL #**

- [ ]

**BREED/DESCRIPTION**

Domestic Shorthair

**VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL**

- [ ]

**VICTIM**

- [ ]

**OWNER**

- [ ]

**VICTIM**

- [ ]

**OWNER**

- [ ]

**VICTIM**

- [ ]

**OWNER**

- [ ]

**VICTIM**

- [ ]

**OWNER**

- [ ]

**VICTIM**

- [ ]

**OWNER**

- [ ]

**MINORITY #**

- [ ]

**DOB ADDRESS**

- [ ]

**RESIDENCE PHONE #**

- [ ]

**BUSINESS PHONE #**

- [ ]

**MINORITY #**

- [ ]

**DOB ADDRESS**

- [ ]

**RESIDENCE PHONE #**

- [ ]

**BUSINESS PHONE #**

- [ ]

**MINORITY #**

- [ ]

**DOB ADDRESS**

- [ ]

**RESIDENCE PHONE #**

- [ ]

**BUSINESS PHONE #**

- [ ]

**MINORITY #**

- [ ]

**DOB ADDRESS**

- [ ]

**RESIDENCE PHONE #**

- [ ]

**BUSINESS PHONE #**

- [ ]
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-175928

ACO name & Badge: D. Hintz 2068

On July 28, 2015 at 5:48 PM, Pima Animal Care Center dispatch received a call concerning an injured or ill cat.

On July 28, 2015 at 6:24 PM, Officer Foster 2042 arrived at 6170 E. Cotton Bale Ln. She knocked on the front door and was met by the callers. They showed her the west side of their home where she observed a black domestic shorthair cat lateral under a gas meter. She lifted the towel the callers draped over the pipes to keep the cat in the shade and the cat got up and tried to run away. The cat ran around the to the front porch area and collapsed by the front door. She was then able to safely scrub the cat and place her in a transport carrier. The cat was open mouth breathing and began to hyper salivate after capture. She placed her immediately into an air conditioned kennel. She left the area and pulled over to scan the cat for a chip and reassess its condition. She was able to locate chip #982000363881245 and attempted to call the owner of record but did not receive an answer. She then requested that dispatch call from a recorded line and was told that they did not receive an answer either. The cat still appeared in distress. She appeared to be dehydrated, poor coat, thin/emaciated, and mouth breathing. She then contacted dispatch staff and explained the cat's condition and was told to transport her back to PACC.

On July 31, 2015 at 1:53 PM, Officer Adkins 1961 met with the owner of the cat, Gabriel Machibya, at PACC for redemption. She issued Mr. Machibya a premise inspection ordering that the cat must receive vet care by 8/3/15. Mr. Machibya signed and received his copy of the form.

On September 8, 2015 at 6:49 PM, I, Officer Hintz 2068, arrived at Cotton Bale Ln. I knocked on the front door and met with a man who identified himself as Gabriel Machibya. I informed him of the reason for my visit. I asked for proof of vet care for Abby. Mr. Machibya stated that he had to "check if he had it." He went back inside the home and returned to the front door several minutes later. He stated that he was unable to locate the paperwork.
I asked what vet Abby was taken to. He hesitated, then replied, "Northwest" with inflection as if it was a question. I asked what name Abby would have been seen under and he stated it would be under his name. I contacted NorthWest Pet Clinic at 252 W Ina Rd using my personal cell phone. I provided Mr. Machibya’s first and last name as well as the phone number he provided. No records could be located under his name or number. I asked if the database included both NW Pet Clinic locations and I was informed that it did. I ended the phone call and informed Mr. Machibya that no records were found under his name. I informed him that I would be issuing a citation for neglect-vet care as he was unable to provide proof that Abby was seen by a vet. I asked for his ID and he stated that he would have to locate it. After 5-10 minutes, Gabriel returned, with Abby in his arms, to inform me that he could not locate his ID. I observed Abby briefly before she jumped out of his arms and ran into the back of the house. I returned to the truck and found Mr. Machibya’s driver’s license number and birthdate in our system. I returned and asked Mr. Machibya for his approximate height and weight to complete the citation. I issued Mr. Machibya a citation in Marana for 1x neglect-vet care. I explained his court date, time, and location. He stated that he understood, signed, and received his copy. I provided him with the current PACC phone number and fax number in the event that he locates the necessary records.

Officer’s Signature: [Signature] Date: 9/9/15
## INVESTIGATION REPORT

**Pima County Health Department**  
**Pima Animal Care Center**  
4600 N Silverbell Rd  
Tucson, Arizona 85750  
Phone: (520) 243-9300  
Fax: (520) 243-9590  
www.pimaanimalcare.org

**Suspect:** Cathrine D Hufford  
**Address:** Olive Tree  
**City:** Tucson  
**State:** AZ  
**Zip Code:** 85741  
**Phone Number:** 520-297-7115

**ACO Name / Badge #:** D. Hintz  
**Complaint Number:** A15-177726

**Date and Time Reported:** 08/26/15  
**Date and Time Occurred:** 08/26/15

**Incident Address:** Ina Rd  
**State:** Marana

## Food Water Shelter Injured/Ill Ventilation Abandoned Tieout Beaten Waste Other (Explain)

- [ ] YES  
- [x] NO

**Violation:** BITE  
**Bite Severity:** BRO

**Part of Body Bitten:**

**Treated By:**

**Phone Number:**

**Date Quarantined:**

**Release Date:**

**Quarantine:** 10  15  45  180

**FRA Head#:**

**Previous Violations:**

**Previous Case Number:**

**Other Additional Reports:**

## Pet Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breed/Description</th>
<th>Victim or Owner Animal</th>
<th>Animal's Name</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>License #</th>
<th>Verte Certificate #</th>
<th>ADO</th>
<th>ANIMAL ID#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terrier mix</td>
<td>Victim Owner</td>
<td>Baby Dog</td>
<td>Black/white</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>CITED</td>
<td>EXPIRED</td>
<td></td>
<td>A448094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labrador mix</td>
<td>Victim Owner</td>
<td>Koko</td>
<td>Black/white</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>CURRENT</td>
<td>CURRENT</td>
<td></td>
<td>A397638</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Witness 1**

- [ ] M  
- [ ] F

**DOB Address:**

**Residence Phone #:**

**Business Phone #:**

**Witness 2**

- [ ] M  
- [ ] F

**DOB Address:**

**Residence Phone #:**

**Business Phone #:**

**Witness 3**

- [ ] M  
- [ ] F

**DOB Address:**

**Residence Phone #:**

**Business Phone #:**

**Witness 4**

- [ ] M  
- [ ] F

**DOB Address:**

**Residence Phone #:**

**Business Phone #:**
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-177726

ACO name & Badge: D. Hinte 2068

On August 26, 2015 at 2:58 PM, Pima Animal Care Center received a call concerning a dog bite that occurred at a Fry's Food Store.

On September 3, 2015 at 5:19 PM, I, Officer Hinte 2068, arrived at Pepperbox Ln. I met with bite victim Mario Sanchez and his wife Dawnleah Sanchez. Mr. Sanchez stated that the incident occurred at Fry's Food Store at Ina Rd on 8/26/15 at approximately 9:50 AM. He stated that he walked from the parking lot towards the front of the store. He walked around the corner of a large column and was suddenly upon two dogs; one small black and white long-haired Terrier mix and one small to medium black short-haired Labrador mix. The dogs were tied to a metal hook embedded in the concrete. He stated that he was not able to move quick enough to avoid the dogs. He was bitten on his left calf. Mr. Sanchez advised that he has many health issues that were exacerbated by the bite. He stated that he tried to remain calm, but his blood pressure climbed extremely high and he began experiencing chest pains. His condition was such that a Fry's employee had to use his cell phone to contact his wife, as he was unable to do so. Since the incident, he has had to make several doctor appointments to check his conditions.

Mr. Sanchez stated that he heard Fry's staff members calling for the dog owner over the PA system for approximately 30 minutes. While waiting, he took photographs and video of the dogs. In the video that he showed me, I observed a Fry's employee trying to give the dogs water. After several seconds, the smaller, long-haired dog charged her and tried to bite her several times. The woman appeared to move quick enough to avoid direct contact. I provided my county email address and asked that he send the photos and video to me.

A woman finally came outside with a full cart of groceries and claimed the dogs. He stated that the woman immediately dismissed the accusation, saying that her dogs don't bite. She provided paperwork for an expired license for Koko A397638, which Mr. Sanchez photographed. Per this paperwork, the owner of the dogs is Cathy Hufford P253367. I located a current license for the dog named Koko, however, I did not find any record of a second dog licensed under her name.
Mrs. Sanchez met with the manager of the store, Robert Smith, at a later time. She stated that he seemed unconcerned and told her if she wished, she could call the police. She stated that she took his advice and called PCSO. She was told by the individual that she spoke to that law enforcement should have been contacted by a Fry's employee immediately. She stated that she returned to the store and met with Assistant Manager Erica. Erica provided her with an incident report form. Mrs. Sanchez filled out the form and kept a copy for her records. I photographed the form.

I informed Mr. and Mrs. Sanchez of the quarantine process and their right to seek prosecution. Mr. Sanchez requests that citations be issued for the incident and restitution be ordered by the court. I informed him that he needed to keep record of any out-of-pocket expenses. He stated that he understood.

On September 4, 2015 at 12:15 PM, Officer Meek 2015 responded to Olive Tree to follow up on a bite complaint, meet with the biting dog owner, and perform a health check on the dog. He arrived at the address and was able to meet with the dog owner, Ms. Cathy Hufford. He explained the reason for his visit which Ms. Hufford stated she remembered. He advised Ms. Hufford that he needed to perform a health check on the biter dog described as long haired white with black as well as issue citations requested by the bite victim. Ms. Hufford advised him that the victim stated he was not pressing charges and that the matter was closed. He advised Ms. Hufford that he understood but he was still going to issue citations and perform a health check. He again described the dog that bit and Ms. Hufford advised him that the dog named Daisy had nothing to do with the bite. Ms. Hufford advised him that Daisy does not have anything to do with the complaint.

Ms. Hufford then provided him with her AZDL. He issued Ms. Hufford the appropriate citations. He explained to Ms. Hufford that with the citations she would need to appear in court and provided her with the date. Ms. Hufford stated she understood her need to appear and signed the citations.

He then asked to see the dog described as the biter. Ms. Hufford produced a small Shih Tzu mix named Daisy. Daisy appeared bright, alert and responsive with no signs of rabies. He then concluded his meeting with Ms. Hufford.

Officer Meek returned to PACC to complete his notes at the end of his shift. I asked to speak with him about the call. I advised him that I had received an email from the victim on the day in question. I showed him the photographs of the dogs. One of the dogs that were photographed was not the dog Ms. Hufford showed him. He then voided the citations he had issued and we agreed that I would return to the property and issue for the correct dogs.

On September 8, 2015 at 3:21 PM, I, Officer Hinte 2068, arrived at Olive Tree. I met with dog owner Cathy Hufford. I informed her that I needed to conduct a health check on the second dog that was involved in the bite incident.
I described the dog as a black terrier with white marking. She brought out adult male Baby Dog, which matched the dog in the photograph sent to me by the victim. Baby Dog appeared free of any signs or symptoms of rabies. I photographed him for the record. I inquired about rabies vaccination and license for Baby Dog. Ms. Hufford showed me his license tag: #208161. I found an expired license under Ms. Hufford’s neighbor at ______ Olive Tree. She stated that the neighbor had given her the dog six months ago. I explained that I would need to re-issue the citations for the correct dog. Ms. Hufford stated that she understood. I issued Ms. Hufford citations in Marana for 2x leash law, 1x biting animal, 1x biting animal (attempt), 2x neglect-tie out, and 2x neglect- no water. I explained her court date, time and location. She stated that she understood, signed, and received her copy. I then issued Ms. Hufford a citation in the County for 1x no license. I explained her court date, time, and location. She stated that she understood, signed, and received her copy. I informed her that her previous citations from Officer Meek can be discarded as they are now void.

After leaving the property, dispatch informed me via radio that Ms. Hufford had called and requested that I speak with her. I called Ms. Hufford via my personal cell phone. She asked if anything further would happen to Baby Dog, concerned that he would be impounded or euthanized. I informed her that at this time, the case would be closed and she would be dealing with the court system as the responsible party. She stated that she understood.

Officer's Signature: [Signature]            Date: 9/4/15
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number:  A15-176556

ACO Name & Badge:  Windauer #1984

On August 5, 2015 at approximately 1545 hours I, Officer Windauer #1984 met with Pima County Sheriff's Deputy Bolasky #7312 (case #150805180) at Guy Street reference a dog on tie out complaint. I was told by Deputy Bolasky that he had already gone to the front door and gotten no response. We entered the property which had field fencing on property sides and strands of wire across the front with no front gate. I saw on the westside of the driveway as we walked in a large tree with a large brindle boxer on a 8-10 foot long nylon leash and chain tie out around the large tree.

I saw the dog was in good condition and not in any distress. The dog had access to dirty water in a 5 gallon bucket at one end of the chain’s circumference and a small bowl of kibble at the opposite end. I saw no shelter was available but there was adequate shade at this time of the day for the dog from the tree.

I took several pictures after knocking at manufactured home’s side door and not getting any response. I then impounded the dog and took a few more pictures. I posted a doorknocker advising of impoundment on the side door.

On August 6, 2015 at approximately 1315 hours Officer Walton #1925 met with the dog’s owner Alejandro Luna at the Pima Animal Care Center attempting to redeem their dog. They were advised of what had occurred and accepted a citation for the dog being on a tie out. This occurred at our shift change and I met with the owners at the end of the meeting. The dog was released to owner.
MEMORANDUM

TO: Marcy Flanagan, Deputy Director Health Department
FROM: Neil Konst, Animal Care Field Supervisor
DATE: 10/01/15
RE: Dangerous dog cases for September 2015

Pima:

1. A15-166785 Gonzales; A dog named Sonny was declared dangerous by Investigator Klein who is monitoring compliance.

2. A14-162579 Ramsey; A dog named Smidge was declared not dangerous by Investigator Eckelbarger.

Tucson:

3. A14-144950 Gonder; On 09/14/15 Judge Chayet in Tucson City Court deemed two dogs named Ginger and Blue Vicious. Investigator Klein is monitoring compliance.

4. A15-177657 Collins; A dog named Rufus attacked and killed another animal. Rufus was declared Dangerous by Investigator Eckelbarger, who is monitoring compliance.

5. A15-178009 Armendariz; A dog named Cheech was declared Dangerous by Investigator Eckelbarger, who is monitoring compliance.

6. A15-179180 Marsden; Two dogs named Alex and Little Buddy were declared Dangerous by Investigator Eckelbarger, who is monitoring compliance.


8. A15-179240 Caldwell; A dog named Molly is declared Dangerous by Investigator Klein. Molly was signed over to PACC by owner and euthanized.

9. A14-150324 Marble; On 6/22/15 the owner of two dogs named Sadi and JJ reached a plea agreement in Pima County Justice Court. The dogs Sadi and JJ were found Not Dangerous by investigator Klein.
# Pima County Animal Care Center
## Monthly Investigations Report
### Dangerous Dog Cases for September 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Number</th>
<th>Owner's Last Name</th>
<th>Number of Animals Assessed</th>
<th>Number of Animals Declared Dangerous</th>
<th>Number of Animals Declared Not Dangerous</th>
<th>Number of Animals Impounded</th>
<th>Number of Animals Relinquished</th>
<th>Number of Animals PTS</th>
<th>Hearing Y/N</th>
<th>Upheld</th>
<th>Not Upheld</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A14-162579</td>
<td>Misu Tanie Gonzales</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15-196765</td>
<td>Irene Ramsey</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

# Tucson

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Number</th>
<th>Owner's Last Name</th>
<th>Number of Animals Assessed</th>
<th>Number of Animals Declared Dangerous</th>
<th>Number of Animals Declared Not Dangerous</th>
<th>Number of Animals Impounded</th>
<th>Number of Animals Relinquished</th>
<th>Number of Animals PTS</th>
<th>Hearing Y/N</th>
<th>Upheld</th>
<th>Not Upheld</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A14-144950</td>
<td>Jatai Gonder</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15-177667</td>
<td>Tanya Collins</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-178000</td>
<td>Eric Armendariz</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15-179190</td>
<td>Antonio Maraden</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15-178040</td>
<td>Christopher Phillips</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15-179240</td>
<td>Kirk Caldwell</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A14-150324</td>
<td>Annette Marble</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-3600
Fax: (520) 243-3605
www.pimaxanimalcare.org

Suspect: Misa Tania McBride Gonzales

ACO NAME / BADGE #: X. Delgadillo #2047

COMPLAINT NUMBER: A15-166785

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☑ NO □

DATE AND TIME REPORTED: 02/27/15 / 12:05
DATE AND TIME OCCURRED: 02/27/15 / 12:00

FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURED/DIABETIC VENTILATION ABANDONED TIEOUT BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)

I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case.

I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (6B) and § 9-238 (B)

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☑ NO □

RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☑ NO □

DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER AGENCY CASE #

FOLLOW UP REQUEST

SO ☑ TPD □

TPD ☑ OTHER □

ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS

3RD PARTY CITATIONS

QUARANTINE

10 ☑ 15 ☑ 45 ☑ 180 ☑ □ PRA HEAD# D.E.

CITING ACO X. Delgadillo #2047

PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS

YES ☑ NO □

PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

CODE/BADG Violated 6.04.30, 6.04.120(B) (2)

REVIEWED BY 3/24/15

BOND

YES ☑ NO □

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL

ANIMAL’S NAME COLOR SEX AGE TAG COLOR LICENSE # XX CERTIFICATE # CONO ANIMAL ID#

German Shepherd VICTIM ☑ OWNER □

Zero Brown/Black F A L15-244803 1215380A 512976

German Shepherd VICTIM ☑ OWNER □

Sonny Brown/Black M A L15-244804 1215383A 512977

WITNESS 3 M ☑ F □ DOB ADDRESS RESIDENCE PHONE # BUSINESS PHONE #

WITNESS 4 M ☑ F □ DOB ADDRESS RESIDENCE PHONE # BUSINESS PHONE #
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-166785

ACO name & Badge: X. Delgadillo #2047

On March 1, 2015 at approximately 19:00hrs Officer Robeldo #1990 met with the bite victim, Mrs. . She stated that on February 27, 2015 at approximately 12:00pm, she was walking her dog on leash to the community park on Rock Peak and Amber Rock. She said as she approached the park, she noticed two teenage boys on the swings and two shepherds off leash at park. Ms. stated this was not the first time she has seen these boys and dogs off leash. As she approached the park, the dogs got up and went after her dog. She explained that the larger of the two dogs, attacked her dog and bit the dog on the back of the neck. Ms. then tried to get the shepherd off her dog and was bitten on her arm. One of the boys ran away and a gentleman pulled up with the boy and got the shepherds and didn’t want to speak to Mrs. and left the area. Ms. witnessed the gentleman drive away in a gold colored car. Ms. was explained third party citations; she is requesting that the dog owner be cited and is also requesting restitution if we find dog owner.

On March 7, 2015 at approximately 18:43 hrs Officer Windauer #1984 met with Ms. and her dog and took pictures of the wounds. Her dog Ragsdale is deaf who adopted from PACC, L14-224351. Officer Windauer viewed a vet bill from Animal Hospital which totaled $617.61. While the outer injuries of the dog appeared minor they did x-rays of the lungs, etc. Ms. had located the attacking dogs at and had seen both of the dogs in the back yard. Ms. requests citations for restitution of vet bills.

Officer Windauer then went to dog owners residence and she realized she had been here previously but had been unable to capture dog or make contact with owner. Officer Windauer met with a young woman who said she was the caretaker while owner was out of town. She also indicated it would be several plus days before owner returned. I asked to see dogs and she met with me and the dogs at north west corner of the residence but the dogs were extremely nervous and didn’t wait and didn’t really like the flash from the camera. She was told the larger of the two dogs wasn’t the female dog. While trying to get pics of dogs, the caretaker called the owner on her cellphone and he told her not to allow pictures so she went inside.
Officer Windauer posted a doorknocker on the front door with the DD box checked and advised her dogs were not to be moved, given away or disposed of without our permission.

On March 11, 2015 at approximately 18:09 I, Officer Delgadillo #2047, arrived in reference to leash law and biting animal complainant.

I met with Misa Gonzales and I advised her of the purpose of my visit. She asked what I needed today. I asked her for proof of license and rabies vaccination for both dogs. She provided current rabies vaccinations and current license for both dogs. I advised her that the bite victim was requesting third party citations for leash law and biting animal. She stated that her children told her that the dogs did not bite the dog or the woman and that the dogs did lunge in defense of the children because the children told her the woman was using profanity and screaming at them. I explained third party citations to her and also advised her that the victim is requesting restitution for vet costs and her medical bill. Ms. Gonzales became upset and stated that she has witnesses to the event and that they had offered the victim medical at the time and she declined. I advised her again of the victims’ rights regarding requesting third party citations. I then photographed the both dogs.

Ms. Gonzales was cited into Pima County Justice Court for the following violations:

Leash Law and Biting Animal for Sonny, A Male brown and black German Shepherd and Leash Law for Zero, a female Brown and Black German Shepherd.

Ms. Gonzales signed her citations; received a copy; was advised of the court date and time; she was provided the new court address as well.

I then met with the Bite Victim, provided her the photos of the dogs and she stated that the biting dog was the male, Sonny and she identified him via the picture I provided. Ms. also provided me with a copy of medical bills which totaled $617.81. I advised her of the court date and time as well.

Officer's Signature:  

Date: 3/24/15
**PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT**
**PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER**
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.gov/animalcare

---

**CASE NO:** A15-178694A  
**OWNER:** MISA GONZALES  
**ANIMAL NAME:** Sonny A512977  
**SEX:**  
**BREED:** G. SHEP.  
**COLOR:** BLACK  
**DATE:** 9-18-15  

---

**EVALUATION CRITERIA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REPORTED BITES:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| NON-VIOLATION BITE | + 3  
| VIOLATION-BITE | + 6 | +10  

**SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:**

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO BREAK IN SKIN</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING</td>
<td>+2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED)</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT</td>
<td>+4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIT DOWN AND SHOCK VICTIM</td>
<td>+4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION)</td>
<td>+5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Animal Complaints or Violations:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LEASH LAW CITATIONS</td>
<td>+2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS</td>
<td>+2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER CITATIONS / COMPLAINTS</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ATTACK WITH NO INJURY</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER</td>
<td>+2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits)</td>
<td>+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXTENSIVE VET CARE (&gt;2 VISITS)</td>
<td>+4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH</td>
<td>+5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CONFINEMENT MEASURES:**

(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES</td>
<td>-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES</td>
<td>+5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accountability</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT</td>
<td>+5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC. #D4482N</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO CURRENT LICENSE</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NEIGHBOR COMMENTS:**

(Scored by Majority Opinion)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opinion</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE &lt;5X/YR</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE &gt;5X/YR</td>
<td>+2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE</td>
<td>+2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DOGS BEHAVIOR:**

(If Observed by Officer)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Behavior</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY</td>
<td>+2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Confinement / Fencing:**

**THE BACKYARD IS CONFINED BY A WALL THAT RANGES FROM 5'2 FEET TO 6 FEET TALL. THE GATE IS METAL. IT IS 8 FEET TALL AND HAS SECURE METAL LATCHES.**

**General Comments:**

**I FOUND NO REPORTED INCIDENT. SONNY WAS IDENTIFIED AS THE DOG THAT BIT A HUMAN AND THEIR DOG WHILE SONNY WAS IN VIOLATION OF THE LEASH LAW. SONNY IS DEEMED DANGEROUS.**

---

**TOTAL SCORE:** +16

---

**X** DANGEROUS  
**___** NOT DANGEROUS

---

**A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL**

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 5.04.180. The owner has ten days to appeal by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.
INVESTIGATION REPORT
Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Wellness Center
4000 N Park Dr, Tucson, AZ 85712
Phone: 520-884-6900
Fax: 520-884-6901
www.pimaanimalcare.org

ACO NAME / BADGE # Windauer #1984
COMPLAINT NUMBER A14-162579

Suspect
Irene Marie Ramsey

Suspect's Name

Suspect's Address

Suspect's Business Address

Sex

Weight

Height

Hair Color

Date of Birth

Residence Phone Number

Business Phone Number

Does this incident require victim request for waiver of rights? Yes No

Location of Incident

Date and Time Reported

Date and Time Occurred

Food Water Shelter Injury Gill Ventilation Abandoned Tied Beaten Waste Other (Explain)

I choose "upon request" rights in this case

I waive "upon request" rights in this case

Victim/Complainant Name

D.O.B

Residence Phone No.

Business Phone No.

Victim Address

Victim's Business Address

Address and Phone Number Same As Victim

Relationship to Victim

Phone Number

Lawful Representative Address

Clinic's Address

Quarantine

3rd Party Citations

Citing ACO Windauer 1984

Previous Violations

Previous Case Number

Other Additional Reports

Victim or Lawful Representative Signature

Breed/Description

Victim or Owner Animal

Animal's Name

Color

Sex

Age

Tag Color

License #

Veterinary Certificate #

Conditions

Animal ID#

Witness 1
Amanda Joseph

M F

DOB 3-19-75

Address 4756 W Bluebell Way

Residence Phone # 520-579-4441

Business Phone #

Witness 2

M F

DOB

Address

Residence Phone #

Business Phone #

Witness 3

M F

DOB

Address

Residence Phone #

Business Phone #

Witness 4

M F

DOB

Address

Residence Phone #

Business Phone #
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-162579

ACO Name & Badge: Windauer #1984

On December 25, 2014 at approximately 1602 hours, I, Officer Windauer met with Pima County Sheriff Deputy Knodle #7837 and a Sergeant who was overseeing area at I was advised the attacking dogs' owners were now present and had the dogs in the back of their vehicle. I was also told the attacking dog had had blood on its feet. I requested before meeting the dog owner, to meet with the victim dogs owners. I then followed the Deputy to.

At that address I spoke with and met their two 8 month black dogs that had been involved in incident. I was told at approximately 1500 hours today, the family had been walking the 2 dogs on leash past when two loose pitbulls, one-silver , one-brown, ran out from between the houses. The silver dog attacked one of their dogs. Mrs. said she had thought the silver dog was going after her daughter and then their own dog got in the way. I was told the brown dog did nothing but bark at them. They said that other neighbors then came up and helped get the dogs separated. I then asked if their dog was injured. The examined their 2 dogs in front of us but found no injuries on either dog- no source of blood mentioned earlier. The two black dogs did not appear traumatized by the incident. I asked if they wished to pursue prosecution. At first they couldn't agree on that but they finally decided to pursue prosecution. I then returned to the dogs' owners location.

I met with dogs' owner Irene Ramsey and her dogs, Smidge-the blue with white and Lucky-the brown with tan. I took pictures of the dogs. I advised of the complaint filed against her. Ms. Ramsey accepted citations for the incident-the 2 dogs being at large and Smidge biting the other dog and also No License on both dogs and No Rabies Vaccination on the dog Smidge. I was advised the dogs had escaped their walled yard because their daughter had not latched gate properly. I saw the source of blood was from the outer toenails on all 4 feet of the dog-Smidge.

Officer’s Signature: Windauer

Date: 12/26/19
PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELLE RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.gov/animalcare

CASE NO: A15-197716
OWNER: Irene Ramsey
ANIMAL NAME: Smidge

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:
NON-VIOLATION BITE + 3
VIOLATION-BITE + 6

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:
(Chcek One Factor Only Per Victim)
NO BREAK IN SKIN + 1
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING + 2
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED) + 3
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT + 4
BIT DOWN AND SHOCK VICTIM + 4
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION) + 5

Animal Complaints or Violations:
LEASH LAW CITATIONS + 2
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS + 1
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS + 2
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS + 3
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS + 1

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:
ATTACK WITH NO INJURY + 1
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER + 2
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits) + 3
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS) + 4
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH + 5

Confinement / Fencing:
6-12 En Block wall / caded gate

ADDRESS: 
SEX: M BREED: Pitbull 
COLOR: Blue/white 
DATE: 8-30-15

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)
(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)
SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES - 5
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES + 5

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:
REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT - 3
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED + 1
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION - 3
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT + 5
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # 14-21-0063 + 1
NO CURRENT LICENSE + 1
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION + 1

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):
(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)
ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE - 3
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE - 3
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE =5X5YD + 1
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE =5X5YD + 2
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE + 2

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)
ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY + 2
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE - 2
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR + 1

General Comments:
The dog Smidge scored a 41 and is therefore not declared dangerous.

OFFICER #: 1942 Edelhagen

TOTAL SCORE: 41

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL.
We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.

PACC-DD1
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BREED/DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>ANIMAL'S NAME</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>TAG NUMBER</th>
<th>LICENSE #</th>
<th>VA CERTIFICATE #</th>
<th>CONDO</th>
<th>ANIMAL ID#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>German Shep X</td>
<td>Ginger</td>
<td>Bk/Tan</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td>L14-223142</td>
<td>873213A</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A347010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pit Bull X</td>
<td>Blue</td>
<td>Blue</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A477026</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Activity Number: A14-144950

ACO name & Badge: Robert Tovar #2021

On April 5, 2014 at 1830 hours the bite victim, Mr. , called the Pima Animal Care Center to report that he was bitten by two dogs while making a delivery at a residence. Mr. said that the two dogs were medium to large in size.

On April 13, 2014 at 1833 hours Officer Adkins #1961 reported that she arrived at and met with who stated he is a driver and was bit while delivering a package at on April 2, 2014 around 17:00 hours. Mr. told Officer Adkins that he knocked on the security door that was closed, however, the main door was open and a mixed breed dog was able to open the security door. He stated that when the door opened a pit bull and mixed breed dog ran out and attacked him. Mr. Lehring said that the mixed breed dog bit him on his right thigh and the pit bull bit him on his left forearm and right buttocks. Mr. stated the dog owner, , helped him clean the bites and gave him all her information. He added that he would like to settle this matter out of court if possible. Mr. said that he spent a few days in the hospital due to infection setting in from the bites. At this time Mr. said that he is not requesting citations but has hired a lawyer. Officer Adkins said that advised Mr. he has up to a year from the date of the bite to have citations issued if he changes his mind.

On April 14, 2014 at 1753 hours Officer Henderson #1904 met with Ms. Dr. He reported that there were two dogs in the home at this time. One dog, "Ginger", belongs to Ms. , and the other dog, "Blue", who was owned by Ms. 's uncle. Officer Henderson reported that "Blue" was to be picked up tomorrow by it's owner, Mr. Paul Gonder. He also stated that both dogs were healthy and active. Officer Henderson said that "Ginger" has a current rabies vaccination and that he cited Ms. Feldman for No License for "Ginger". He provided Ms. man with a license brochure to give to Mr. Paul Gonder when he picks up "Blue."
On November 14, 2014 the Pima Animal Care Center received a fax from Mr. where he requested to reopen the call and issue citations to the dog owner.

On November 14, 2014 at 0916 hours I, Officer Tovar #2021, arrived at . I did not receive an answer at the door and left a Notice asking for the dog owner to call the Pima Animal Care Center with a good time to meet.

On November 16, 2014 at Dr I, Officer Tovar #2021, met with Mr. Jatai Gonder who said that he is Ms. fiance and also lives at this residence. I advised Mr. Gonder of the reason for my visit. He told me that Ms. was not home and that he would take the citations as he was present at the time of the alleged incident. Mr. Gonder told me that the delivery man opened the security door himself while delivering packages to the house and that the dogs were inside of the house at the time the door was opened. I issued third party citations on behalf of the victim to Mr. Gonder for Leash Law and Biting Animal on both dogs, "Ginger" and "Blue." Mr. Gonder signed and received his copy of said citation. He told me that "Blue" was returned to his owner.

Officer's Signature: Robert Tovar #2021  Date: 11-20-14
State of Arizona  

VS  

JATAI RASHAAD GONDER  

Defendant  

Docket #  

CR 14138385  

Agency #  

SENTENCING MINUTE ENTRY  

PLEA  TRIAL  

INTERPRETER  

VICTIM  

NOTIFIED  

PRESENT  

PA 1 OF 1  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PLEA</th>
<th>CITATION</th>
<th>CV</th>
<th>CR</th>
<th>OFFENSE/VIOLATION</th>
<th>OFF DATE</th>
<th>JUDGMENT</th>
<th>DISPOSITION</th>
<th>DI</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>W/O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NG</td>
<td>XA0073627</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>LEASH LAW</td>
<td>04/02/14</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>PROB + 190</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NG</td>
<td>XB0073627</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>BITING ANIMAL</td>
<td>04/02/14</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>PROB + 380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NG</td>
<td>XC0073627</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>LEASH LAW</td>
<td>04/02/14</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>PROB + 190</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NG</td>
<td>XD0073627</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>BITING ANIMAL</td>
<td>04/02/14</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>PROB + 380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>FTA</td>
<td>06/29/15</td>
<td>SMTD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SENTENCING

AFTER APPEAL

CREDIT AMOUNTS  PAID  WHILE APPEAL WAS PENDING

PROBATION 18 TOTAL MONTHS 18 MONTHS OF UNSUPERVISED AND 18 MONTHS OF MONITORED PROBATION

CONDITIONS: 

- REPORT TO PROBATION OFFICE  
- TODAY  
- WITHIN  
- HOURS OF JAIL RELEASE

VISIT NO LAWS  
STAY AWAY FROM  

OTHER: "BLUE" AND "GINGER" ARE DECLARED VICIOUS - SEE ADDENDUM FOR COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

PROOFS: 

- DRIVERS LICENSE  
- VEHICLE REGISTRATION  
- 6 MONTHS PAID INSURANCE  
- REPAIR  
- DOG LICENSE

FINE $1,140.00 TODAY, OR THROUGH SENTENCE ENFORCEMENT OFFICE  

TIME PAYMENT FEE OF $20.00

INSTALLMENTS  
ADD'L $2000 SUSPENDED  
COMMUNITY SERVICE HOURS, PROOF

ADMINISTRATIVE FEES WAIVED  
FOR COST OF APPOINTED COUNSEL

DUI PROCESSING FEES =  
JAIL X =  
TOTAL $1,160.00

RESTITUTION IN AMOUNT OF  
TO

INSTALLMENTS

JAIL TIME SERVED  
DAYS WITH CREDIT FOR  
DAY ALREADY SERVED

(REFERENCED COMMITMENT ORDER ATTACHED) 
SUSPEND  
DAYS

BOND CONVET TO FINE  
REFUND  
EXONERATE TO SURETY

I AGREE TO THE CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

I have received a copy of this Minute Entry and Notice of Appeal

Defendant

DOB

Address

ZIP

Nikki A. Chayet

JUDGE

DATE

09/14/2015

Quash WARRANT

SET ASIDE CIVIL DEFAULT

You can now make payments online at: www.tucsonaz.gov/courts/ DDS 1-888-334-5565 or www.azcourts.gov/driveschoolinfo/

WS-179409  

8154417  

A34F910  

A14-144950
INVESTIGATION REPORT
Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

![COPY #4]

ACO NAME / BADGE #
1942 Eckelberger

COMPLAINT NUMBER
A15-1777657

Suspect
Tanya Collins/ Ronnie Collins

Suspect's Address

LOCATION OF INCIDENT

DATE AND TIME REPORTED
8-5-15 / 1301

DATE AND TIME OCCURRED
8-2-15 / 2045

FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURED/ILL VENTILATION ABANDONED TIEOUT BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☑

I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case ☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case ☑

VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME
1942 Eckelberger

D.O.B

RESIDENCE PHONE NO

BUSINESS PHONE NO

Victim's Address

Victim's Business Address
4000 N. Silverbell Rd

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE
(IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED
YES ☑ NO ☐

RESTITUTION REQUESTED
YES ☑ NO ☐

DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER AGENCY CASE #

SO ☑ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:

FOLLOW UP REQUEST

SO ☑ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:

ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

VIOLATION ☑ NON-VIOLATION ☐

BITE SEVERITY:

PART OF BODY BITEN:

TREATED BY

PHONE NUMBER

DATE QUARANTINED

PACC ☑ VET ☑ HOME ☑

RELEASE DATE:

REVIEWED BY

Kemal 9/12

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

PHONE NUMBER

OWNER KNOWS OF BIT

YES ☑ NO ☐

CLINIC'S ADDRESS

QRANTINE

10 ☑ 15 ☑ 45 ☑ 180 ☑

FRA HEAD#

YES ☑ NO ☐

PRVIOUS VIOLATIONS

PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORDO VIOLATED

CITING ACO

YES ☑ NO ☐

CITATIONS/NUMBERS

YES ☑ NO ☐

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL

ANIMAL'S NAME

COLOR

SEX

AGE

TAG COLOR

LICENSE #

VI CERTIFICATE #

COND

ANIMAL ID#

G. Sheep

Rufus

Black

M

4yr

Ok

Bishop/Poodle Mix

Brandi

Cream

F

A

Dead

Witness 1

M ☑ F ☐

DOB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BUSINESS PHONE #

Witness 2

M ☑ F ☐

DOB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BUSINESS PHONE #

Witness 3

M ☑ F ☐

DOB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BUSINESS PHONE #

Witness 4

M ☑ F ☐

DOB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BUSINESS PHONE #
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-177657

ACO name & Badge: 1942 Eckelarger

On 8-5-15 at 1307 hours the complainant reported an animal attack in which his neighbor's G. Shepherd got loose and attacked his Bichon/poodle mix "Brandi" while in violation of the leash law. The dog was taken to the Southern Arizona Veterinary Hospital for treatment. Brandi then died as a result of her injuries.

On 8-17-15 at 1725 hours Officer Tovar (2021) responded to the complainant's address at __________ where he met with Mr. and Mrs. __________. Ms. __________ stated that she was working in her front yard with "Brandi" off leash in her unconfined front yard. She stated that when the neighbors arrived in their vehicle, their large G. Shepherd broke free from its owner and directly towards her dog Brandi. Ms. __________ stated that the G. Shepherd "Rufus" knocked over her sister causing her to hit her head against a brick pillar knocking her out. Her sister was transported via ambulance to Tucson Medical Center. Officer Tovar was shown photographs of Ms. __________'s injuries.

Ms. __________ said that the G. Shepherd then grabbed her and her dog with its teeth and wounded her in several places. Brandi was treated, but died the following day. Officer Tovar added a photograph of Brandi. The vet bill totaling 7,795.00. No citations requested by the victim.

Officer Tovar then responded to the dog owner's residence at __________ where he spoke to Mrs. __________ who stated Rufus slipped out of his collar on the day of the incident. Rufus was current on license and rabies vaccination. Officer Tovar took photographs of Rufus then went back to the complainant's address where they positively identified Rufus as the attacking dog.

On 9-1-15 at 1445 hours Investigator Eckelarger (1942) responded to __________ where I met with dog owner, Ronnie Collins. I issued Mr. Collins the declaration of dangerous on Rufus. I advised that because Rufus attacked and killed another domestic animal, he is now automatically declared dangerous. I then went over the orders of compliance, 30 day compliance period ending 10-1-15, which he signed and received his copy of. I then went over the notice of disclosure, which he also signed and received his copy of.
I then inspected his confinement in the backyard, which consisted of a block wall approximately 7 feet high (sufficient without inclines) with 3 gates leading into the yard. The gap on the west side of the yard needs to be further secured. The confinement did appear secure for temporary confinement and we have no reports of the dog getting loose from his confinement.

On 9-16-15 the owner submitted proof of insurance.

I am currently monitoring the owner's compliance with the remaining orders.

Officer's Signature:  

Date: 9-20-15
DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

[ ] An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

[ ] An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

[ ] An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

The dog "Rufus" is declared dangerous as a result of attacking and killing another dog while in violation of the leash law.

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE.

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.
### INVESTIGATION REPORT

**Pima County Health Department**  
**Pima Animal Care Center**  
**4040 N. Silverbell Rd.**  
**Tucson, Arizona 85737**  
**Phone: (520) 243-6800**  
**Fax: (520) 243-5960**  
**www.pimaanimalcare.org**

**ACO NAME / BADGE #:**  
**1942 Eckelberger**  
**COMPLAINT NUMBER:**  
**A15-178009**

**DATE AND TIME REPORTED:**  
**8-31-15 / 1005**  
**DATE AND TIME OCCURRED:**  
**8-31-15 / 0630**

**FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURED/Ill VENTILATION ABANDONED TIEOUT BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN):**
- [ ] Food
- [ ] Water
- [ ] Shelter
- [ ] Injured/Ill
- [ ] Ventilation
- [ ] Abandoned
- [ ] Tieout
- [ ] Beaten
- [ ] Waste
- [ ] Other (Explain)

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT:**
- [ ] DOG
- [ ] WREN
- [ ] Squirrel
- [ ] Cat
- [ ] Other

**DATE AND TIME OCCURRED:**
- [ ] 8-31-15
- [ ] 0630

**DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS?**
- [ ] Yes
- [ ] No

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE):**
- [ ] Yes
- [ ] No

**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM:**
- [ ] Yes
- [ ] No

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM:**
- [ ] Vet Clinic
- [ ] Other

**PHONE NUMBER:**
- [ ] 229-2937
- [ ] 229-2997

**LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS:**
- [ ] CLINIC'S ADDRESS
- [ ] VET CLINIC
- [ ] OTHER

**DATE GUARANTEED:**
- [ ] 229-2937
- [ ] 229-2997

**RELATIVE TO VICTIM:**
- [ ] Yes
- [ ] No

**BREED/DESCRIPTION:**
- [ ] Pit-bull
- [ ] DMH Cat
- [ ] Other

**ANIMAL'S NAME:**
- [ ] Cheech
- [ ] Benzo
- [ ] White/brown

**COLOR:**
- [ ] Brown/white
- [ ] Blue/White
- [ ] White/brown

**SEX:**
- [ ] M
- [ ] F

**AGE:**
- [ ] 8m
- [ ] 1.5y
- [ ] A

**DATE QUARANTINED:**
- [ ] 10
- [ ] 15
- [ ] 45
- [ ] 180

**VICTIM LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE:**
- [ ] 4-7 (Z) (B), 4-87
- [ ] 74D24 (A-C)

**ANIMAL'S TAG NUMBER:**
- [ ] Imprint

**LICENSE #:**
- [ ] Ok

**VETERINARY CERTIFICATE #:**
- [ ] Ok

**COURT #:**
- [ ] A533056
- [ ] A533096

**BOND #:**
- [ ] Yes
- [ ] No

**REVIEWED BY:**
- [ ] 2002
- [ ] Kost 9/3

**OWNER #:**
- [ ] Pit-bull
- [ ] DMH Cat

**WITNESS 1:**
- [ ] M
- [ ] F

**DOB:**
- [ ] ADDRESS

**WITNESS 2:**
- [ ] M
- [ ] F

**DOB:**
- [ ] ADDRESS

**WITNESS 3:**
- [ ] M
- [ ] F

**DOB:**
- [ ] ADDRESS

**WITNESS 4:**
- [ ] M
- [ ] F

**DOB:**
- [ ] ADDRESS

**WITNESS ADDRESS:**
- [ ] RESIDENCE PHONE #
- [ ] BUSINESS PHONE #

**CITATIONS/NUMBERS:**
- [ ] CLINIC'S ADDRESS
- [ ] VET CLINIC
- [ ] OTHER

**PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS:**
- [ ] 10
- [ ] 45
- [ ] 180

**PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER:**
- [ ] A15-177991

**OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS:**
- [ ] A15-178240
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-178009

ACO name & Badge: 1942 Eckelarger

On 9-3-15 at 12:15 hours I Investigator Eckelarger (1942) responded to \( ? \) where I met with complainant, \( \) who stated on 8-31-15 at approximately 0630 hours she let her cat on her front porch to go to the bathroom. She then went out for a walk and when she came back home she observed a brown pitbull and a gray and white pitbull on her porch. She stated the brown pitbull attacked and injured her cat. She stated the gray and white pitbull was trying to attack the cat, but didn’t get of hold of it. She stated she took her cat for treatment at Bernarda Vet Clinic and has 786 dollar in bills. She requested citations, restitution, and a dangerous dog evaluation be conducted on the attacking dog. I then took photographs of her cat’s injuries.

I then patrolled the area and initially did not observe any dogs matching the description, but I did find several complaints with matching descriptions of the dogs. (See A15-177991 and A15-178240) in our computer system. These complaints were also made around the same time as incident.

While I was parked on the side of the road writing my report in the neighborhood, the attacking dog’s owner, Eric Armendariz (DOB \( ? \) (later identified as attacking dog owner), came up to my truck and asked me if I had found their lost Shih-ju mix since it went missing approximately 2 weeks prior. I advised he would want to go to the Pima Animal Care Center to check. I asked if he had any other dogs and he stated he owned a couple pitbulls, one white and one brown and white. I asked him if his other dogs have been getting out loose and he admitted that he has been having a problem with keeping them in the yard, stating they had chewed the fence/gate. He asked for suggestions on how to fix the problem. I then asked if he could show me his yard. I then followed him back to his home at \( ? \) where I observed his dogs in the backyard. I gave him recommendations on how to prevent escape of his animals.

Mr. Armendariz had three dogs in his backyard; a brown and white male pitbull "Cheech", a blue/gray and white pitbull "Benzimo" male, and a white pitbull "Bubba" male. I took photographs of the three dogs and advised him
that we received a report of a cat attack and his dogs matched the description given. I then went back and met with I who positively identified "Cheech" as the attack dog from a photograph. She also identified Benzimo as the 2nd dog trying to attack her cat.

I then went back to Mr. Armendariz' home and issued Mr. Armendariz citations for biting animal and leash law on Cheech and for leash law on Benzimo under City jurisdiction. Mr. Armendariz then signed and received his copies of the citations. I then impounded Cheech to be held at the Pima Animal Care Center pending a dangerous dog evaluation.

Officer's Signature: [Signature]

Date: 9-3-15
CASE NO: A15-178279
OWNER: Erica Amenduriz
ANIMAL NAME: Check

ADDRESS: 
SEX: M. BREED: Pitbull 
COLOR: Brown/White DATE: 9-6-15

EVALUATION CRITERIA
REPORTED BITES:
NON-VIOLATION BITE + 3
VIOLATION BITE + 6

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:
(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)
NO BREAK IN SKIN + 1
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING + 2
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED) + 3
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT + 4
BIT DOWN AND SHOCK VICTIM + 4
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION) + 5

Animal Complaints or Violations:
LEASH LAW CITATIONS + 2
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS + 1
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS + 2
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS + 3
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS + 1

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:
ATTACK WITH NO INJURY + 1
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER + 2
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits) + 3
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS) + 4
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH + 5

Confinement / Fencing:
5 foot high wall damaged gate

General Comments:
The dog "Chuck" was at 30 and is therefore declared dangerous at this time.

TOTAL SCORE: +30

OFFICER # 1942 Eckerleger

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL.

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner. OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

**Pima County Health Department**
**Pima Animal Care Center**
400 N. Miranda Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85714
Phone: (520) 792-5600
Fax: (520) 724-5990
www.pimaanimalcare.org

**SUSPECT**
Antonio Marsden

**ACO NAME / BADGE #**
Attebery, 1929
A15-179180

**COMPLAINT NUMBER**

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**

**DATE AND TIME OCCURRED**
9/17/2015 10:30 pm

**DATE AND TIME REPORTED**
9/17/2015 09:00 pm

**FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURED/ILL VENTILATION ABANDONED TIEDOUT BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)**

**I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case**

**REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4425 (cc) and § 19-206 (b)**

**I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case**

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)**

**DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER**

**RESTITUTION REQUESTED**

**DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER**

**FOLLOW UP REQUEST**

**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM**

**NON-VIOLATION**

**PART OF BODY BITTEN: Leg**

**TREATED BY**

**PHONE NUMBER**

**DATE QUARANTINED**

**PACC#**

**RELEASE DATE** 9/17/2015

**HOME #**

**LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS**

**CLINIC’S ADDRESS**

**PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS**

**PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER**

**OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS**

**VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE**

**CITATIONS/MEMBERS**
71698 70638

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BREED DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>VICTIM</th>
<th>OWNER</th>
<th>VICTIM</th>
<th>OWNER</th>
<th>VICTIM</th>
<th>OWNER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Queensland heeler</td>
<td>Alex</td>
<td>Red/white</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Impounded</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queensland heeler mix</td>
<td>Little Buddy</td>
<td>White/red</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Impounded</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| WITNESS 1 | M | F | DOB | ADDRESS | RESIDENCE PHONE # | BUSINESS PHONE # |
| WITNESS 2 | M | F | DOB | ADDRESS | RESIDENCE PHONE # | BUSINESS PHONE # |
| WITNESS 3 | M | F | DOB | ADDRESS | RESIDENCE PHONE # | BUSINESS PHONE # |
| WITNESS 4 | M | F | DOB | ADDRESS | RESIDENCE PHONE # | BUSINESS PHONE # |
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-179180
ACO name & Badge: Attebery, 1929

On 9/17/2015 at around 1018 pm, I, Pima Animal Care Officer Attebery, 1929, received phone call from Tucson Police Dispatch information of a bite at . A tenant, Antonio Mardsen, at that address has 2 pit bull dogs that bit the property manager. Dogs were currently contained at this time but Tucson Police was on scene. Tucson Police Dispatcher gave me bite victim’s information and agreed to have officer on scene call me.

At around 1030 pm I had yet to hear from Tucson Police so I called victim, who was in an ambulance enroute to hospital. Per :, she was at dog owner’s residence to inform him of the problem of leaving his clothes outside. Dog owner opened apartment door and his 2 dogs managed to exit the apartment and went towards . She tried to leave the scene but the 2 dogs ran up and bit her. : was unsure which dog bit her.

stated that on 9/18/2015, she and the lawyer for the property were going to city court to have Antonio Mardsen evicted. She has no idea where Antonio Mardsen will be going. At this point in our conversation, ambulance had arrived at hospital and could no longer talk with me.

At around 1058 pm, I arrived to meet with Tucson Police and impound the dogs that bit for quarantine purposes. I met with Tucson Police Officers Strowe #10508 and Mechtel #54171, who conveyed the same information regarding this biting incident that I had received from except that she told officers that both dogs bit her. In addition, officers stated that wanted cites issued to dog owner for dogs being loose and biting her, medical restitution and dangerous dog evaluations on the 2 dogs.

I then met with Antonio Mardsen and explained purpose of my visit. Antonio Mardsen stated that dogs were current on their rabies shots and had current dog licenses but was unable to provide documentation to prove it. Antonio has lived at this address for 30 days and has had the dogs for at least 4 years.

I cited Antonio Mardsen for no dog license, no current rabies shot, leash law violation and biting animal on both dogs. Dogs were then impounded for rabies
quarantine.

On 9/18/2015 at around 0807 am, Pima Animal Care Officer Meeks #2015, met with her at her residence regarding this biting incident. Officer Meeks took photos of her injuries. Cecilia Paskiewicz stated that she believed the incident to have occurred around 0900 pm on 9/17/2015. She also told officer that the dogs slid outside apartment past Antonio Marden and was bitten multiple times on the legs.

Officer's Signature: [Signature] Date: 9/19/2015
PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.gov/animalcare

CASE NO: 15-179389
OWNER: Antonio Marster
ANIMAL NAME: Alex

EVALUATION CRITERIA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REPORTED BITES:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NON-VIOLATION BITE</td>
<td>+ 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIOLATION-BITE</td>
<td>+ 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO BREAK IN SKIN</td>
<td>+ 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING</td>
<td>+ 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED)</td>
<td>+ 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT</td>
<td>+ 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIT DOWN AND SHOCK VICTIM</td>
<td>+ 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION)</td>
<td>+ 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Animal Complaints or Violations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LEASH LAW CITATIONS</td>
<td>+ 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS</td>
<td>+ 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS</td>
<td>+ 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS</td>
<td>+ 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS</td>
<td>+ 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ATTACK WITH NO INJURY</td>
<td>+ 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER</td>
<td>+ 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VET CARE (1 To ≤ 2 Visits)</td>
<td>+ 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXTENSIVE VET CARE (≥2 VISITS)</td>
<td>+ 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH</td>
<td>+ 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Confinement / Fencing:

Owner lives in apartment; w/ small patio area. The patio wall is approximately 3-4 feet tall.

General Comments:

The dog "Alex" scored a +23 and is therefore declared dangerous at this time.

OFFICER #: 1942 Eckelbarger

TOTAL SCORE: +23

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL.

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.
**PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT**
**PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER**
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.gov/animalcare

**CASE NO:** 15-179.384
**OWNER:** Antonio Marsden
**ANIMAL NAME:** Little Buddy

**EVALUATION CRITERIA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REPORTED BITES:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NON-VIOLATION BITE</td>
<td>+ 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIOLATION-BITE</td>
<td>+ 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO BREAK IN SKIN</td>
<td>+ 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING</td>
<td>+ 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED)</td>
<td>+ 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT</td>
<td>+ 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIT DOWN AND SHOCK VICTIM</td>
<td>+ 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION)</td>
<td>+ 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Animal Complaints or Violations:**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LEASH LAW CITATIONS</td>
<td>+ 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS</td>
<td>+ 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS</td>
<td>+ 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS</td>
<td>+ 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS</td>
<td>+ 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ATTACK WITH NO INJURY</td>
<td>+ 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER</td>
<td>+ 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits)</td>
<td>+ 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXTENSIVE VET CARE (&gt;2 VISITS)</td>
<td>+ 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH</td>
<td>+ 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CONFINEMENT MEASURES:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES</td>
<td>- 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES</td>
<td>+ 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT</td>
<td>- 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED</td>
<td>- 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION</td>
<td>+ 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT</td>
<td>+ 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC #</td>
<td>+ 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO CURRENT LICENSE</td>
<td>+ 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION</td>
<td>+ 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE</td>
<td>- 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE</td>
<td>- 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE &lt;5YR</td>
<td>+ 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE &gt;5YR</td>
<td>+ 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE</td>
<td>+ 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer):**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY</td>
<td>+ 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE</td>
<td>- 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR</td>
<td>+ 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Confinement / Fencing:**

Owner lives in apartment, wall small patio area. The wall is approximately 3-4 feet tall.

**General Comments:**

The dog is Little Buddy is scared a little and is therefore declared dangerous at this time.

**TOTAL SCORE:** 14

0. **DANGEROUS** 1. **NOT DANGEROUS**

**OFFICER #** 1942.

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL.

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge, or attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner or bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner (City Code 4-13 / County Code 5.04.150). The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

**Pima County Health Department**
**Pima Animal Care Center**
4000 N Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-6900
Fax: (520) 243-6980
www.pimaanimalcare.org

**SUSPECT:**
Christopher Phillips/Margaret Phillips
**ACO NAME / BADGE #**
Klein 1926
**COMPLAINT NUMBER**
A15-178040

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>Residence Phone No.</th>
<th>Business Phone No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D.O.B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PERSONAL INFORMATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>WEIGHT</th>
<th>HEIGHT</th>
<th>EYES</th>
<th>HAIR COLOR</th>
<th>ORIGIN</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>SSN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>Shelter</th>
<th>Injured/DiLL</th>
<th>Ventilation</th>
<th>Abandoned</th>
<th>Tied</th>
<th>Cut</th>
<th>Beaten</th>
<th>Waste</th>
<th>Other (Explain)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DATE AND TIME REPORTED**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date and Time Reported</th>
<th>Date and Time Occurred</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PAYMENT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Request/Waiver of Rights</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BEHAVIOR**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bite</th>
<th>Welfare</th>
<th>Dangerous</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**I CHOOSE "Upon request" rights in this case.**

**I WAIVE "Upon request" rights in this case.**

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Phone Number</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Phone Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**VICTIM'S ADDRESS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ZIP</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ZIP</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Phone Number</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ANIMALS' NAME**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Breed</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Tag Color</th>
<th>License</th>
<th>Veterinary Certificate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neillie</td>
<td>Tan/Wht</td>
<td>Pit Bull</td>
<td>4y</td>
<td>191218</td>
<td>current</td>
<td>ok</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruger</td>
<td>Blk/Wht</td>
<td>Pit Bull</td>
<td>2y</td>
<td>233536</td>
<td>current</td>
<td>ok</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ANIMALS' ID#**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WITNESS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Residence Phone No.</th>
<th>Business Phone No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CITATIONS/NUMBERS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**REVIEWED BY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BOND**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-178040

ACO name & Badge: Klein 1926

On October 10, 2014 it was reported that Ruger (A494366) and Nellie (A416281) owned by Christopher Phillips where in violation of the City of Tucson Leash Law when they bit another animal and its owner.

In January 2015 it was noted that the City Of Tucson Prosecutors Office issued charges to Mr Phillips for Leash Law and Biting Animal on Ruger and Nellie.

On February 9, 2015 City Of Tucson Judge Pollard ordered Mr Phillips to comply with Code 4-13 for Ruger and Nellie thus declaring Ruger and Nellie vicious.

On September 1, 2015 I, PACC Investigator Klein badge 1926 was assigned to the dangerous dog case.

On September 8, 2015 I met with Christopher Phillips and his mother Margaret Phillips at Ms Phillips residence. Ruger and Nellie were also present. Mr Phillips relinquished ownership of both Nellie and Ruger to Margaret Phillips. Ms Phillips agreed to ownership of both dogs and understood that she is solely responsible for completing and maintaining the order of compliance. I also explained in detail that Ruger and Nellie are to remain with Ms Phillips and if for any reason there are any changes they are to submit a request in writing to pacc prior to Nellie or Ruger being moved or rehomes. Ms Phillips stating she has no intentions of moving and that both dogs will remain in her care.

I served Ms Phillips with the declaration of dangerous and order of compliance for Nellie and Ruger. Ms Phillips signed each form and received her signed copies.

I have notified the City Of Tucson Prosecutors of the change of ownership. Ms Phillips will complete the order of compliance and immediately licensed Nellie and Ruger in her name. I will continue to monitor the order of compliance and assist Ms Phillips in completing all requirements. 1926
DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICTIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

- An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

- An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

- An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

ON FEB 9, 2015 JUDGE ROLLAND ORDERED THE PIT BULL MIX VUGER AS VUGER (A4943160) DECLARED VICTIOUS AND THE OWNER TO COMPLY WITH ALL DANGEROUS DOG REQUIREMENTS.

OWNER: MARJORIE PHILLIPS
ADDRESS
PHONE

ANIMAL NAME: VUGER
ANIMAL ID#: A4943160
SEX: MALE
COLOR: BLACK BREED: PIT MIX

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE.

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.
DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

[ ] An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

[ ] An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

[X] An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

ON FEB. 9, 2015 JUDGE POLLARD ORDERED THE PIT BULL MISH KNOON AS DELLIE (A414,081) DECLARED VICIOUS AND THE OWNER TO COMPLY WITH ALL DANGEROUS DOG REQUIREMENTS.

OWNER: [ ] PHILLIPS
ADDRESS
PHONE: [ ]

ANIMAL NAME: DELLIE
ANIMAL ID#: A414,081
SEX: [ ] COLOR: [ ] Breed: PIT

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION’S ORDINANCE / CODE.

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.
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**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department  
Pima Animal Care Center  
400 N Silverbell Rd  
Tucson, Arizona 85712  
Phone: (520) 243-9900  
Fax: (520) 243-5900  
www.pimaanimalsare.org

**SUSPECT**: Kirk Caldwell  
**ACO NAME / BADGE #**: Atteberry, 1929  
**COMPLAINT NUMBER**: 415-179240  
**CODE IF OTHER**: 3PC/Leash Law—Biting Animal (Dog)

**DATE AND TIME OCCURRED**: 09/18/2015 07:00 pm  
**DATE AND TIME REPORTED**: 09/18/2015 09:54 pm

**WHY IS THIS REPORT NEEDED?**  
FOOD  
WATER  
SHELTER  
INJURED/DULL  
VENTILATION  
ABANDONED  
TIEOUT  
BEaten  
WASTE  
OTHER (EXPLAIN)

**FOOD**:  
**WATER**:  
**SHELTER**:  
**INJURED/DULL**:  
**VENTILATION**:  
**ABANDONED**:  
**TIEOUT**:  
**BEaten**:  
**WASTE**:  
**OTHER**:

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)**

**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM**

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM**

**PHONE NUMBER**

**LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS**

**CLINIC'S ADDRESS**

**DATE QUARANTINED**: 09/18/2015  
**RELEASE DATE**: 09/28/2015  
**REVIEWED BY**:

**BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL**

**ANIMAL'S NAME**

**COLOR**

**SEX**

**AGE**

**TAG COLOR**

**LICENSE #**

**VX CERTIFICATE #**

**COND**

**ANIMAL #**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Australian Shepherd mix</th>
<th>Molly</th>
<th>Blue merle/white</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>2yr</th>
<th>Impounded</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>A343369</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bischon mix</td>
<td>Toby</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>14yr</td>
<td>Cited</td>
<td>Cited</td>
<td>N A534841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WITNESS 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE #</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**WITNESS 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE #</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**WITNESS 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE #</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**WITNESS 4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE #</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-179240
ACO name & Badge: Attebery, 1929

On 9/18/2015 at around 0854 pm, I, Pima Animal Care Officer Attebery, 1929, received a phone call from Tucson Police Officer Victo #53355 about an incident where a tenant's dog located at 5255 E 29th St bit another tenant. The biting incident led to a physical altercation and dog owner was being incarcerated.

At around 0930 pm, I arrived at St and met with victim Per , at around 0700 pm, he was going upstairs that leads to a set of residents that include the dog owner. Dog owner lives in unit that is closest to the stairs. Dog owner was sitting outside his unit with his 2 dogs; 1 an Australian Shepherd dog "Molly" and the other a Bischon "Toby". The dogs were not on leashes. As set his right foot onto the landing of the 2nd story, dog known as Molly lunged forward and bit ; on the outside of his right leg below and above his knee leaving lacerations. kicked the dog away and a physical altercation occurred that resulted in Tucson Police being called.

... ras wants cites issued for both dogs being loose, for Australian Shep dog biting him, medical restitution and a dangerous dog assessment on the biter dog.

I met with dog owner, Kirk Caldwell, who has lived in the Tucson city limits for longer than 30 days and has had dogs for longer than 30 days. Per Caldwell, dogs are current with their rabies shots and licenses but have no paper documentation to support his claim. I explained why I was there and issued cites to Kirk Caldwell for both of his dogs being loose, both dogs with no current rabies shots and dog licenses and for the dog known as "Molly", biting animal citation.

Since Kirk Caldwell was in custody, a search warrant was obtained for his apartment and "Molly" was impounded for rabies quarantine. The dog known as "Toby" with Kirk Caldwell's consent was given to his friend for safe keeping.

Officer 1929

Date: 9/19/2015
PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.gov/animalcare

CASE NO: A15-1794116
OWNER: Karyn Coldwell
ANIMAL NAME: Molly
EVALUATION NAME: ASY343369

ADDRESS: _______________________________________________________________________
SEX: F BREED: RUSSIE COLOR: _______________________________________________________________________
DATE: 9-29-15

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)
(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)
SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES - 5
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES + 5
NO LEASH/NO FENCE + 5

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:
REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT - 3
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED - 1
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION + 1
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT + 1
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # ____________ - 1
NO CURRENT LICENSE + 1
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION + 1

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):
(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)
ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE - 3
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE - 3
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <3X/YR + 1
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >3X/YR + 2
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE + 2

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)
ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY + 2
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE - 2
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR + 1

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:
(NO BREAK IN SKIN + 1
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING + 2
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED) + 3
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT + 4
BIT DOWN AND SHOCK VICTIM + 4
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION) + 5

Animal Complaints or Violations:
LEASH LAW CITATIONS + 2
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS + 1
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS + 2
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS + 3
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS + 1

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:
ATTACK WITH NO INJURY + 1
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER + 2
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits) + 3
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS) + 4
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH + 5

Confinement / Fencing:

General Comments:

TOTAL SCORE: + 4

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL
We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase
or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR
bare his teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150,
The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration
dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious
by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.

OFFICER #

TOTAL SCORE: + 4

* DANGEROUS
____ NOT DANGEROUS


PACC-DD1
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

**Pima County Health Department**
**Pima Animal Care Center**
**4000 N Senior Bell Rd**
**Tucson, AZ 85750**
**Phone: (520) 243-3990**
**Fax: (520) 243-3990**
www.pimaanimalcare.org

**ACO NAME / BADGE #**
Robert Tovar 2021

**COMPLAINT NUMBER**
A14-150324

---

**Suspect**
Annette Lizardi Marble

**Address**

**Zip**

**City**

**State**

**Residence Phone Number**

---

**Suspect's Business Address**
NA

**Zip**

**City**

**State**

**Business Phone Number**

---

**Sex**

**Weight**

**Height**

**Hair Color**

**Origin**

---

**Does this incident require victim request for waiver of rights?**

- [ ] Yes
- [x] No

---

**Location of Incident**

**Date and Time Reported**
06/27/14 / 1709

---

**Date and Time Occurred**
06/27/14 / 1630

---

**Food**

**Water**

**Shelter**

**Injured Ill Ventilation**

**Abandoned**

**Tied Out**

**Beaten**

**Waste**

**Other (Explain)**

---

**Victim/Complaining Name**
Russell Loomis

---

**Victim's Address**

---

**Victim's Business Address**

---

**Name of Lawful Representative (If Applicable)**

---

**Name and Phone Number Same as Victim**

---

**Relationship to Victim**

---

**Phone Number**

---

**Phone Number**

---

**Veterinarian Clinic**

---

**Veterinarian Phone Number**

---

**Owner Knowns of Bite**

- [ ] Yes
- [x] No

---

**Follow Up Request**

- [ ] SO
- [ ] TPD
- [ ] TFD
- [ ] Other:

---

**Lawful Representative Address**

---

**Clinic's Address**

---

**Quarantine**

- [ ] 10
- [ ] 15
- [ ] 45
- [ ] 180
- [ ] FRA Head#

---

**3rd Party Citations**

- [ ] Yes
- [ ] No

---

**Citing ACO**
Robert Tovar #2021

---

**Previous Violations**

- [ ] Yes
- [ ] No

---

**Previous Case Number**

---

**Other Additional Reports**

---

**Breed/Description**
Lhaso Apso X

---

**Victim or Owner Animal**

---

**Animal's Name**
Sadi

---

**Color**
Gray

---

**Sex**
F

---

**Tag Color**
BY

---

**License #**
N

---

**Residence Phone #**

---

**Business Phone #**

---

---

**WITNESS 1**

---

**DOB**

---

**Address**

---

**Residence Phone #**

---

**Business Phone #**

---

---

**WITNESS 2**

---

**DOB**

---

**Address**

---

**Residence Phone #**

---

**Business Phone #**

---

---

**WITNESS 3**

---

**DOB**

---

**Address**

---

**Residence Phone #**

---

**Business Phone #**

---

---

**WITNESS 4**

---

**DOB**

---

**Address**

---

**Residence Phone #**

---

**Business Phone #**

---
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-150324

ACO name & Badge: Robert Tovar #2021

On June 27, 2014 at 1720 hours Mr. called the Pima Animal Care Center and reported that while he was delivering an ordered item, the door was answered and the dog owner failed to control the dog which bolted from the front door and bit him on the right arm and leg. Mr. also said that the owners only laughed at him. He added that he had left the residence and would be seeking medical care.

On this same date at 1749 hours Mr. called the Pima Animal Care Center again and reported that he was delivering pizza to the address when the small yorkie size gray Terrier ran out the front door and bit him on his right leg and right hand. Mr. reported that there was no fence around the front yard and again said that the owners just laughed at him while the incident was going on. Mr. said that he will be leaving town. On Monday June 30, 2014 and will be gone until July 10, 2014.

On June 29, 2014 at 15:39 hours I, Officer Tovar #2021, arrived at the residence. I met with the bite victim Mr. who said that he works for Pizza Hut and on Friday June 27, 2014 at 1630 hours he arrived at the residence to deliver a pizza. He explained that two young girls between the ages of 12-14 opened the door and this is when the brown dog ran out and began barking at him. Mr. said that the gray dog then ran up and bit him on the leg and on the hand. I photographed the bite wounds. Mr. said that the two girls started laughing and this really upset him. He added that there is no fence around the front yard. I asked Mr. if he went for treatment and he said at Concentra. He added that his insurance through work paid his medical bill. Mr. said that he wanted the dog owner cited for Leash Law and for Biting Animal. Mr. said that he was sure that the gray dog bit him. I told him that I would go the dog owner’s residence to see and photograph the dogs and would show him pictures so he could positively identify the biter dog.
I went to where I met with Ms. Annette Marble. Ms. Marble said that she was aware of the incident as her daughter had told her about it. She asked me if the man had been bitten as he alleged. I told her that I saw two puncture wounds. I photographed the two small dogs. One is a brown and black colored Yorkshire Terrier named JJ and the other is a gray Lhasa Apso mix named Sadie. I told Ms. Marble that the alleged bite victim said that it was the gray dog that bit him. Her 13 year old daughter said that it was the Yorkshire Terrier named JJ that bit the man as she was present when it happened.

I returned to ________ to meet with Mr. ______ and showed him the pictures of the two dogs. Mr. ______ pointed to the picture of the gray Lhasa Apso mix named Sadie and said that was the biter dog. I explained the quarantine process to him.

I returned to meet with Ms. Marble and told her that the alleged victim had said that it was the gray dog that bit him. I also told her that due to her daughter stating that it was the Yorkshire Terrier that bit the man I was going to have to impound both dogs for quarantine until July 6, 2014 if she could not provide proof of a current rabies vaccination on both dogs. Ms. ______ said that she did not have proof of current rabies vaccination on either dog and I impounded them for quarantine. I completed an Animal Quarantine at Pima Animal Care Center for each dog. Ms. Marble signed each for indicating that she would redeem both dogs. I issued a third party citation for Leash Law and Biting Animal for Sadie. She signed and received her copy of said citation.

Officer’s Signature: Robert Tovar #2021 Date: 7-6-14
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED: (CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS PAGE)

PLEA: Incorporate Plea by Reference. Defendant pleads guilty as noted; Defendant understands and waives constitutional rights; Immigration advisory, Rule 17.2 read; jurisdiction and factual basis established; Def. advised of post-conviction relief, and that the right to appeals was waived and pleads guilty knowingly, willingly and voluntarily. Def. advised that because the appeal process was waived, he can have the Plea proceeding reviewed only if he files a written and timely Motion under Criminal Rules of Procedure, Rule 32.
PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.gov/animalcare

CASE NO: A15-176953
OWNER: ANNETTE MARBLE
ANIMAL NAME: JJ A496632

EVALUATION CRITERIA
REPORTED BITES:
- NON-VIOLATION BITE: +3
- VIOLATION-BITE: +6

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:
- NO BREAK IN SKIN: +1
- BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING: +2
- MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED): +3
- MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT: +4
- BIT DOWN AND SHOCK VICTIM: +4
- MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION): +5

Animal Complaints or Violations:
- LEASH LAW CITATIONS: +2
- LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS: +1
- ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS: +2
- ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS: +3
- OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS: +1

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:
- ATTACK WITH NO INJURY: +1
- INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER: +2
- VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits): +3
- EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS): +4
- INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH: +5

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)
- SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES: -5
- INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES: +5

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:
- REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT: -3
- ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED: -1
- OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION: +1
- OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT: +5
- CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC #: 030229 -1
- NO CURRENT LICENSE: +1
- NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION: +1

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):
- ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE: -3
- ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE: -3
- ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <5X/YRD: +1
- ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >5X/YR: +2
- ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE: +2

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)
- ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY: +2
- ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE: -2
- ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR: +1

Confinement / Fencing:
THE BACKYARD HAS A LARGE CONFINEMENT AREA WITH A SECURE WALL THAT RISES FROM 5-10 FEET IN HEIGHT. THERE IS A SECURE BRUSHED IRON AND METAL GATE.

General Comments:
IN JUNE 2014 IT WAS REPORTED THAT JJ RAN OUT OF THE DOOR FROM DOOR. WHILE PIZZA WAS BEING DELIVERED, THE VICTIM REPORTED JJ DID NOT BITE HIM. I FOUND NO COMPLAINTS OR COMPLAINTS REGARDING JJ.

JJ IS NOT DECLARED DANGEROUS AT THIS TIME.

OFFICER # 1924

TOTAL SCORE: 11

DANGEROUS
NOT DANGEROUS

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or proclivity to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge, or attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threating manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.
PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.gov/animalcare

CASE NO: A15-171.953
OWNER: JOANNE CARLTON
ANIMAL NAME: SADIE A481.301
EVALUATION NAME: SADIE A481.301

ADDRESS: 
SEX: F BRED: 
COLOR: 
DATE: 9.1.15

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)
(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)
SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES -5
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES +5

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:
REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT -3
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED -1
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION +1
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT +5
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # 2302430 -1 EXPRESSED 7/01/15
NO CURRENT LICENSE +1
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION +1

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):
(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)
ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE -3
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE -3
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE -2X/YR +1
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >2X/YR +2
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE +2

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)
ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY +2
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE -2
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR +1

TOTAL SCORE: +1

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL
We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.

THE BACKYARD HAS A LARGE CONFINEMENT AREA
WITH A SECURE WALL THAT RANGES FROM
5-6 FEET IN HEIGHT. THERE IS A SECURE
WROUGHT IRON AND METAL GATE

General Comments:
IN JUNE 2014 IT WAS REPORTED THAT SADIE RAN
OUT OF THE OPEN FRONT DOOR, WHILE PIZZO WAS
BEING DELIVERED. THE VICTIM STATED SADIE BIT
HIM ON THE LEG, BUT HARD I CANT SO OTHER
COMPLAINTS OR COMEADS REGARDING SADIE
SADIE IS NOT DEEMED DANGEROUS AT THIS TIME.

OFFICER # 19210

DANGEROUS
NOT DANGEROUS
# Animals on Hold Report

Animals listed are currently listed as being on hold without an outcome date. They are grouped by the type of hold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HOLD TYPE</th>
<th>ENFORCEMENT</th>
<th>Number on Hold</th>
<th>Kennel No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A12-102940</td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kennel No</th>
<th>ENFORCEMENT</th>
<th>BREED</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>HOLD TYPE</th>
<th>ACTIVITY</th>
<th>KENNEL COMMENT</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>NOTES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K14-175847</td>
<td>A247678</td>
<td>DOG</td>
<td>SATIVA</td>
<td>CONSPIRATOR</td>
<td>A12-102940</td>
<td><em><strong>DO NOT DISPOSE OF BODY</strong></em></td>
<td>11/6/14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FIELD OWN</td>
<td></td>
<td>if/when euthanized. The owner Bobby Westfall wants to bury his dog. Call Mr. Westfall at 520.549.9452 to recover the body</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AGGRESSIVE</td>
<td></td>
<td>1918</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Nothing definitive, I'm afraid. We just got notice yesterday from the Superior Court that they have received Mr. Westfall's and our briefs and that Mr. Westfall as the appellant now has 30 days to pay the applicable fee, after which the Court will consider the appeal (or if he doesn't pay, the appeal will be dismissed).

Per the county attorney:

We finally obtained a copy of the justice court order that although it was signed by the judge on January 12th, it wasn't scanned into the system until January 23rd and was never sent to Mr. Westfall. Because Mr. Westfall never received a copy of the order, there was no way for him to know about or calculate the appeal deadline, so in an abundance of caution, our office is mailing a copy of the scanned order to Mr. Westfall today and are calendaring an additional 14 days for him to appeal the order. So, please don't take any further action regarding Sativa until we get back to you.

Email received from PCAO on 9-15-15:

We just received the court's ruling - we won! (not that we didn't expect to...)

We need to hold tight for another 30 days in case Mr. Westfall attempts to have the court reconsider its ruling or to file a further appeal. His chances of even having a court take jurisdiction over an appeal are slim, but just in case... Hopefully the end is in sight!
The OSC hearing was held the Judge took it under advisement and a decision is pending. 1914
03/05/2015 ENFORCEA JCHAVEZ 3/5/15 11:25
3-5-15

Accordin to PCAO the owner has put in an appeal to superior court the dog will be on hold until further notice. 1914
02/06/2015 ENFORCEA JCHAVEZ 2/6/15 10:03
2-6-15
The Court has ordered the animal forfeited to PACC on January 12. Now the owner has the right file an appeal to the Superior Courts. The owner has until 2-9-15 to file, until then the animal will be on hold. 1914
08/17/2015 ENFORCEA JCHAVEZ 8/17/15 14:06
8-17-15

This is the most current information we have from PCAO:
8-11-15 Nothing new, I'm afraid. We're just waiting for the Superior Court to make a ruling. Could be tomorrow...could be in two months. The court doesn't have any schedule it has to abide by.
07/08/2015 ENFORCEA JCHAVEZ 7/8/15 10:20
7-8-15

No information received from PCAO, regarding the appeal. 1914
12-4-14 The bond was paid on 11-26-14. The dog will be held further until the Order to Show Cause hearing is set up and conducted. 1914

A bond hearing is scheduled for 8-18-15 at 3:30 pm. 1914

A bond hearing is scheduled for 8-18-15 at 3:30 pm. 1914

A bond hearing is scheduled for 8-18-15 at 3:30 pm. 1914

A bond hearing is scheduled for 8-18-15 at 3:30 pm. 1914
A bond hearing is scheduled for 8-18-15 at 3:30 pm. 1914

**K15-194538**  
A521744  
**DOG**  
DIOSA  
**BELG SHEEPDOG/**  
7/9/15 CONFISCATE CRUELTY NORMAL Activity:A15-165819  
D124  
Kennel Comment: Didn’t bite. 985112005382797  
BOND HOLD

A bond hearing is scheduled for 8-18-15 at 3:30 pm. 1914

**K15-194539**  
A521745  
**DOG**  
BRESA  
**BELG SHEEPDOG/**  
7/9/15 CONFISCATE CRUELTY NORMAL Activity:A15-165819  
D124  
Kennel Comment: Didn’t bite. NO CHIP  
BOND HOLD

A bond hearing is scheduled for 8-18-15 at 3:30 pm. 1914

---

**A15-179180**  
K15-200457  
A089806  
**DOG**  
ALEX  
**QUEENSLAND HEEL/**  
9/17/15 QUARANTINE NIGHT OWN NORMAL Activity:A15-179180  
D106  
Kennel Comment: 9/17/2015—SEE ACTIVITY MEMO. 1929 DOG W/ BLACK NYLON LEASH. HOLD 4 ENFORCEMENT AFTER QUARANTINE 1929

**A15-179771**  
K15-201395  
A536226  
**DOG**  
**QUEENSLAND HEEL/LABRADOR RETR**  
9/29/15 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN INJ MINOR Activity:A15-179771  
D107  
Kennel Comment: unable to scan 3c3c3c3c

**A15-179828**  
K15-201508  
A536540  
**DOG**  
COWBOY  
PIT BULL/MIX  
9/30/15 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN INJ SEVERE Activity:A15-179828  
D100  
Kennel Comment: no chip 3c3c

**A15-179851**  
K15-201576  
A536634  
**DOG**  
**CHOW CHOW/GERM SHEPHERD**  
10/1/15 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A15-179851  
D235  
Kennel Comment: unable to scan 3c3c3c

---

10/7/15 10:22
**A15-180157**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kennel No</th>
<th>Dog No</th>
<th>Breed</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>U014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K15-201847</td>
<td>A536955</td>
<td>TERRIER/MIX</td>
<td>A15-180157</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/5/15</td>
<td>CONFISCATE</td>
<td>FIELD OWN ILL SEVERE</td>
<td>Activity: A15-180157</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment:</td>
<td>didn't bite, unable to scan in field</td>
<td>3c3c3c</td>
<td>ADR at intake</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10/05/2015 ENFORCEM tfoster 10/5/15 14:53

Puppy on hold due to being poss abandoned by previous owner. Plz contact Enf if owner comes to redeem.

10/05/2015 ENFORCEM tfoster 10/5/15 14:54

Puppy on hold due to being poss abandoned by previous owner. Plz contact Enf if owner comes to redeem. 2042

**NO ACTIVITY NUMBER RECORDED**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kennel No</th>
<th>Dog No</th>
<th>Breed</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>D121</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K15-200345</td>
<td>A404591</td>
<td>CHOW CHOW/GERM SHEPHERD</td>
<td>Activity:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/16/15</td>
<td>FOSTER</td>
<td>OTC OWNED NORMAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment:</td>
<td>no bite chip #982000363766558</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
#### ADVISORY COMMITTEE
#### SEPTEMBER 2015 OPERATIONAL REPORT

---

**TOTAL LIVE RELEASES (TLR)** = Total Adopted + Total Returned + Total Rescued

**Live Release Rate** = TLR / (TLR + Adjusted Total Euthanasia)

**Euthanasia Rate** = (Adjusted Total Euthanasia) / (TLR + Adjusted Total Euthanasia)

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>This Month</th>
<th>This Year to Date</th>
<th>Last Year to Date</th>
<th>Year to Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tucson</td>
<td>County</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Tucson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SHELTER OPERATIONS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Animals Handled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dogs</td>
<td>732</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>1,331</td>
<td>2,114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cats</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>1,154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Animals Handled</td>
<td>1,107</td>
<td>972</td>
<td>1,979</td>
<td>3,329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IMPOUNDED ANIMALS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adopted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dogs</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cats</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Adopted</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>876</td>
<td>1,391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Returned To Owner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dogs</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cats</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Returned</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rescued</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dogs</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cats</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Rescued</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL LIVE RELEASES</strong></td>
<td>712</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>1,328</td>
<td>2,386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL LIVE RELEASE RATE</strong></td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euthanized</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dogs</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cats</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Euthanized</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-)Owner Requested Euthanization</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted Total Euthanization</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Euthanasia Rate</strong></td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enforcement Calls for Service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requested</td>
<td>1,586</td>
<td>982</td>
<td>2,568</td>
<td>4,741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Responses</td>
<td>1,463</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>2,563</td>
<td>4,013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welfare Responses</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licensing Operations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altered</td>
<td>3,501</td>
<td>4,289</td>
<td>7,790</td>
<td>10,399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unaltered</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Sold</td>
<td>3,782</td>
<td>4,584</td>
<td>8,366</td>
<td>11,244</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Total Live Releases (TLR) = Total Adopted + Total Returned + Total Rescued

**Live Release Rate = TLR / (TLR + Adjusted Total Euthanasia)

***Euthanasia Rate = (Adjusted Total Euthanasia) / (TLR + Adjusted Total Euthanasia)
October 5, 2015

Mr. Foid K. Janes
Chief of External Affairs
Pima Animal Care Center
3950 South Country Club Road
Tucson, Arizona 85714

Reference: City of Tucson Representative to the Pima County Animal Care Advisory Committee

Dear Mr. Janes:

Please be informed that Ms. Yvette Hurley, the City’s representative to the Pima County Animal Care Advisory Committee has resigned from her appointment. Ms. Hurley’s resignation is effective October 1, 2015. We do not yet have a replacement for the Advisory Committee yet but intend to name one soon.

Sincerely,

Michael J. Ortega, P.E.
City Manager

MJO:jg

Cc: Joyce Garland, Program Director, Budget and Internal Audit
Yvette Hurley, Finance Department, Accounting Division

255 W Alameda. Box • Tucson, AZ 85726
(520) 791-4204
Citymanager@tucsonaz.gov
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donation Code</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DONATION</td>
<td>$98.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION ADOP</td>
<td>$280.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION GEN</td>
<td>$15,884.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION LIC 0973</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION OUTR</td>
<td>$39.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION S/N</td>
<td>$10,287.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION SAMS</td>
<td>$28,358.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$54,967.27</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donation Code</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION</td>
<td>$113.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION ADOP</td>
<td>$787.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION GEN</td>
<td>$58,840.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION LIC 0973</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION OUTR</td>
<td>$131.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION S/N</td>
<td>$33,268.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION SAMS</td>
<td>$44,293.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$137,453.10</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 9-8-15 Complaint came through District 3 Supervisor’s Office

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complaint</th>
<th>Citizen dissatisfied with PACC regarding not picking up a stray dog.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Course/Action</td>
<td>Staff had tried to locate dog at least four times. The dog was eventually picked up from a trap and the owner surrendered the dog to PACC.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 9-3-15 Letter of Appreciation

| Commendation | Supervisor expressing appreciation for hard work and dedication for officers’ work on a multiple impound (over 60 animals). |

### 9-3-15 Letter of Appreciation

| Commendation | Supervisor expressing appreciation for officers’ professionalism and excellent communication skills during a multiple animal impound. |
Kim

From: Marcy Flanagan  
Sent: Wednesday, September 09, 2015 4:56 PM  
To: Francisco Garcia  
Cc: Kim Janes  
Subject: Fwd: Constituent Call - Anonymous

FYI....

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jose Chavez <Jose.Chavez@pima.gov>  
Date: September 9, 2015, 4:38:42 PM MST  
To: Marcy Flanagan <Marcy.Flanagan@pima.gov>  
Cc: Jose Ocano <Jose.Ocano@pima.gov>, Justin Gallick <Justin.Gallick@pima.gov>, Neil Konst <Neil.Konst@pima.gov>, Debra Tenkate <Debra.Tenkate@pima.gov>  
Subject: RE: Constituent Call - Anonymous

I did a history search and found that we have responded to this complaint 4 times with in the last couple of months and were unable to locate the dog or make contact with the pet owner. I contacted the complainant and was provided with more information on the dog and pet owner. I set up another call to have an officer make contact with the pet owner this evening and address the complaint.

Jose

From: Marcy Flanagan  
Sent: Tuesday, September 08, 2015 6:50 PM  
To: Jose Ocano; Justin Gallick; Jose Chavez  
Subject: Fwd: Constituent Call - Anonymous

Can you guys look into this & get back to me on the outcome?

Begin forwarded message:

From: Francisco Garcia <Francisco.Garcia@pima.gov>  
Date: September 8, 2015, 4:35:31 PM MST  
To: Marcy Flanagan <Marcy.Flanagan@pima.gov>  
Subject: Fwd: Constituent Call - Anonymous
can you get this to whomever is in charge while kristin is away

F Garcia, MD, MPH
Director & Chief Medical Officer
Pima County Health Department

A healthy Pima County: Every one, Every where, Every day

Sent from iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From: Kim Janes <Kim.Janes@pima.gov>
Date: September 8, 2015 at 16:19:59 MST
To: Anissa Ramirez <Anissa.Ramirez@pima.gov>
Cc: Kiki Navarro <Kiki.navarro@pima.gov>, Kiki Navarro <Kiki.navarro@pima.gov>, Jose Chavez <Jose.Chavez@pima.gov>, Kristin Barney <Kristin.Barney@pima.gov>, Francisco Garcia <Francisco.Garcia@pima.gov>
Subject: RE: Constituent Call - Anonymous

Good afternoon Ms. Ramirez, staff will certainly look into this and provide you a follow up report.

Respectfully,

Kim

From: Anissa Ramirez
Sent: Tuesday, September 08, 2015 4:17 PM
To: Kim Janes
Cc: Kiki Navarro; Kiki Navarro
Subject: Constituent Call - Anonymous

Good Afternoon,

Constituent, who asked to remain anonymous, called expressing their dissatisfaction with PACC, they let me know they have contacted PACC many times to have a feral dog picked up in their neighborhood of Avra Rd which has been left unanswered. They have run into PACC officers at different places and asked to pick the dog up after calling seemed to be unsuccessful and they let them know there was nothing they can do. The dog is a shepherd mix with a black and white chest and has been approaching the neighbors aggressively.

Can you please send an officer out to the area and keep our office updated?

Thank you,

Anissa Ramirez
Supervisor Sharon Bronson’s Office
District 3
520-724-8051
LETTER OF APPRECIATION

To: Carmen Martinez, Tiffany Foster, Danielle Hinte, Marilyn Hendrickson and Adan Sanchez, Field Officers

From: Jose Chavez, Enforcement Operation Manager

Date: September 3, 2015

I want to express my appreciation for your hard work, dedication and the outstanding job that was done on the multiple impound at 14294 W. Kestrel RD in removing over 60 animals from their deplorable living conditions. To include working as a team and collaborating with the shelter and clinic staff to help provide better care for the animals.

Great job!

CC: Francisco Garcia, Director, Pima County Health Department
Kristin Barney, Chief of Operations, Pima Animal Care Center
Pima Animal Care Center Advisory Committee
LETTER OF APPRECIATION

To: Adam Kirby and Chris Meek, Field Officers
From: Jose Chavez, Enforcement Operation Manager
Date: September 3, 2015

I want to thank you for professionalism and the great work you did impounding multiple cats from at 373 N. Wilmot Rd and your excellent communication skills keeping the Director of Shelter Operations informed in the process. Providing assistance to the Constable and creating good working relations.

This reflects greatly on our center. Good job!

CC: Francisco Garcia, Director, Pima County Health Department
Kristin Barney, Director of Operations, Pima Animal Care Center
Pima Animal Care Center Advisory Committee