1. Call to Order

Mr. Neuman called the meeting to order at 5:32 pm

- Attendance

Present:
Nancy Emptage, Vice-Chair, Animal Welfare Coalition (Late: 5:56)
Pat Hubbard, Humane Society of Southern Arizona (Late: 5:39)
Pat Jacobs, Tucson Kennel Club
Sophia Kaluzniacki, DVM, SPCA of AZ, Inc (Left early: 6:38)
Derek Marshall, Public Education
Jack Neuman, Chair, PACC Volunteers
Erin O'Donnell, DVM, Southern AZ Veterinary Medical Association
Jane Schwerin, People for Animals in the Prevention of Cruelty and Neglect
Gail Smith, MD, Board of Health
Kim Janes, Pima Animal Care Center (PACC), Ex-Offico

Absent:
Tamara Barrick, Foundation for Animals in Risk
Helen Mendelsohn, Disabled Community
Angela Spencer, City of Tucson

- Pledge of Allegiance

2. Adoption of the Minutes

- Adoption of the January 15, 2015 Meeting Minutes

The motion was made and seconded (Jacobs/Kaluzniacki) that the January 15, 2015 meeting minutes be adopted as written.

Regarding 5. Old Business, Criteria required for PACC to Respond and Investigate a Service/Welfare Issue Wherein an Animal is in Distress, Ms. Schwerin contended the minutes should say Ms. Gray authorized only euthanasia regarding the second animal welfare case Ms. Gray spoke about. (Instead the dog was taken home for Christmas.) Mr. Neuman requested the printed paper which Ms. Gray read from be added to the record. Mr. Janes said the paper and recording will be reviewed for possible modification of the minutes; and any updates can be brought back for consideration at the next meeting.

The motion was amended to table approval of the minutes and have Ms. Gray’s aforementioned paper provided for review at the next meeting. The motion carried (6-1) with Ms. Hubbard abstaining.

- Adoption of the February 4, 2015 Meeting Minutes
The motion was made and seconded (Smith/Hubbard) that the February 4, 2015 meeting minutes be adopted as written. The motion carried (7-0) with Mr. Jacobs abstaining.

Dr. Kaluzniacki pointed out that she is with “SPCA” not “ASPCA” as the minutes have been reflecting. That will be corrected.

3. Animal Welfare and Dangerous Animal Cases for the Month of January and Recent Holds Snapshot

Ms. Schwerin made the general statement that animals in these welfare cases would be better off if they were taken away from their owners and not returned.

Regarding the holds snapshot Ms. Schwerin asked about the dog Sativa. Mr. Janes said the judge ruled that the owner is to forfeit the dog, but the owner has until March 3rd to appeal the decision.

4. Call to the Audience

There were no speakers from the audience.

5. Management Report

Mr. Janes reported the City of Tucson contacted him about placing a new representative on the Committee and Mr. Janes requested they submit the request in writing, which hasn’t occurred yet. He said there have been monthly meetings on the approved PACC bond project, including a meeting that day. Public meetings are being set up; architect bids are due in by February 24; and it is anticipated the architectural firm will be selected by April 1, with the contract manager selection to follow. There was discussion on the importance of involving entities with animal care experience and allowing for proper input in the process. In response to a question Mr. Janes reported that a County inmate walked away from PACC and was subsequently taken back into custody within a few short days. The incident caused no interruption in receiving the crews. Mr. Neuman added that he has heard very positive feedback from the volunteers regarding the County inmates; and said the inmates have addressed the bulk of the items in the July 19, 2014 motion for resolution (first bullet under old business).

6. Old Business

- Update on July 19, 2014 motion for resolution for PACC to remedy issues relating to the care and welfare of pets at PACC – Operations

Mr. Neuman withdrew this item since his concerns have been addressed to his satisfaction.

- Possible Ordinance Related to the Sale of Tie Outs

Ms. Emptage said she wants something passed that required local retailers selling tie-outs to post that tie-outs are not legal in the specific jurisdictions wherein they are not legal to use. She also wants the code to specify a significant fine for those who do not comply. She cited that people purchase tie-outs not knowing that they are illegal to use in most local jurisdictions.
The motion was made and seconded (Emptage/Schwerin) that the Committee support to the Board of Supervisors an ordinance to codify the requirement to post signage stating that tie-outs are illegal, where tie-outs are sold.

Ms. Hubbard said tie-outs are an abomination, but questioned how the code would be enforced and how many hours will be spent to enforce the code versus doing other important animal related duties. Ms. Emptage suggested a number of volunteers would be willing to check stores for the required signage. In response to a question, Mr. Janes said the requirement to post would only apply to jurisdictions which codify such an ordinance, so if one or more of the local cities doesn’t pass an ordinance, then such requirements wouldn’t apply to them. He also cautioned that the code would need to specify what constitutes a tie-out; does it include a clothes line and twine? Discussion turned to using public service announcements or an educational campaign to educate the public on the problems associated with tie-outs and that they are illegal. Reference was made to a past school spay/neuter campaign wherein an I-Pad was offered as a prize. Mr. Marshall volunteered to take the lead on a school audio/visual, graphic design campaign related to tie-outs. In light of the school campaign direction, the aforementioned motion was withdrawn. Mr. Janes suggested the County’s Communication Office be collaborated with for the campaign.

- County Administration response to the committee's request to add additional field officers and shelter staff; and Jurisdiction IGA Discussion and County Obligation for Animal Care Services

Mr. Neuman summarized that the Committee had sent a letter to County Administrator Huckelberry requesting additional field officers, to which Mr. Huckelberry replied that the request couldn’t be granted without funding and buy-in from the municipalities. Mr. Janes and others have been meeting with the municipalities and the Committee discussed also meeting with the municipalities; however, the County Attorney’s Office and County Administration is currently contemplating the appropriateness of such meetings. Ms. Schwerin referred to the Enforcement Calls by Jurisdiction – January 2015 handout in the packet and pointed out that the overwhelming majority of calls for service where from the City of Tucson or the County, with comparably few from other jurisdictions. She questioned why we need their contributions. Others contended that although the numbers are smaller, services are provided, costs are incurred and their contributions are needed. Ms. Hubbard added that the County’s direction of saving more lives costs money and we need all the municipalities on board.

- General Criteria required for PACC to respond and investigate a service/welfare issue wherein an animal is in distress, with Enforcement concerns from Jessica Gray as representative of People for Animals

Jessica Gray, a volunteer with People for Animals in the Prevention of Cruelty and Neglect (PFA), read the attached statement regarding animal conditions at Castaway Treasures (Animal Shelter), located on Mars Road, and provided a picture of the horse referred to in her statement. She reported that Livestock Control checked out the horse in the picture and said it is acceptable to allow the horse to suffer in its current condition because it is old. She stated that in 2011 there was a court order prohibiting Castaway Treasures from taking in more animals, but they have taken in more. Ms. Gray added that she has sent numerous certified letters to various officials and entities about this situation; and that the owners of Castaway Treasures have taken legal action against her keeping her at a distance from the property.
There was discussion on PACC’s involvement related to the dogs, including PACC requiring certain dogs receive medical care and requiring one dog to be euthanized. Mr. Janes said PACC can provide the case file. He added he has been in communication with the Sheriff’s Department Detective and she is investigating the case the same as she would any other case. Ms. Schwerin contended that PACC legally can and should take action on behalf of the aforementioned horse citing that the code refers to “animals” not just pets. Mr. Janes replied that PACC is not staffed or resourced for livestock, while the State Agriculture Department is; and that it is the County’s policy to not cross over to deal with livestock or wildlife. He added that he will forward the concerns presented up his chain-of command. A number of Committee members commented that the Castaway Treasures situation is disturbing.

Mr. Jacobs made a motion that Ms. Gray’s written statement be forwarded to the applicable State Agriculture enforcement officer and that PACC’s management provide the Committee with the case file(s) related to the Castaway Treasures animals PACC has been involved with, as soon as possible (seconded by Dr. Kaluzniacki). The motion carried (9-0).

- **PACC Protocols for Treatment of Tick Fever and Valley Fever**

Mr. Janes indicated that PACC treats for 30 days; if the animal is then adoptable, then they will proceed, but if not then it is placed on the rescue list. Mr. Jacobs expressed concern that the speaker from the audience who brought up the matter be contacted and be made aware of PACC’s official treatment position.

- **Animal Defense League of AZ Membership Request**

Mr. Janes reminded the Committee that they had passed a motion to request the Board of Supervisors eliminate the Animal Defense League of Arizona’s (ADLA) long vacant seat from the Committee in code. An ordinance to accomplish this has not yet been sent to the Board of Supervisors and Mr. Janes was recently contacted by an ADLA contact who said they are interested in filling the position, although no name was provided. He left it to the will of the Committee on how to proceed.

The motion was made and seconded (Emptage/Marshall) that the original motion to eliminate the seat remain as the Committee’s intent concerning the ADLA seat. The motion carried (6-1, Hubbard voted against) with Mr. Jacobs and Ms. Schwerin abstaining.

7. **New Business**

There was no new business on the agenda

8. **Donations:** A total of 1,444 individuals gave $43,567.76 in donations during the month of January.

Mr. Janes pointed out these monthly donations are only monetary donations directly to PACC and only a portion of the roughly $160,000 in total donations through partnerships in January. He added that PACC is receiving approximately $30,000 worth of donated dog food, some of which will be shared with partners so that it will be consumed before expiration.

9. **Complaints and Commendations:** There were four complaints and two commendations received by staff during January.
10. **Call to the Audience**

There were no speakers from the audience.

11. **Announcements, Schedules and Proposed Agenda Items**

Ms. Emptage said she recently watched a Pima Alliance for Animal Welfare (PAAW) sponsored presentation on service and therapy animals. She found the presentation very interesting and informative and recommended the presentation be afforded to staff and volunteers. Mr. Janes said he would forward her suggestion to the Chief of Operations.

12. **Next Meeting – March 19, 2015**

Mr. Neuman established that the next meeting will be held at PACC.

13. **Adjournment**

The meeting adjourned at 7:11 pm
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Functions of the Committee
1. Serve in an advisory capacity to the Board, and to the Manager of the Pima Animal Care Center; and
2. Review and evaluate the operations of the Center to make recommendations in writing to the Board for the formulation of guidelines to assure that:
   A. The Center's operations are conducted in the best interest of the public health and safety; and
   B. The Center keeps pace with the most modern practices and procedures of animal care and welfare; and
3. Review complaints from the public concerning policies of the Center and make recommendations for resolution to the proper authority.

AGENDA

1. Call to Order
   • Roll Call
   • Establishment of Quorum and Pledge of Allegiance

2. Review and Adoption of Minutes:
   • Adoption of January 15, 2015 meeting minutes
   • Adoption of February 4, 2015 meeting minutes

3. Animal Welfare and Dangerous Animal Cases for the Month of January and Recent Holds Snapshot

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Welfare</th>
<th>Dangerous Dogs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A15-163223</td>
<td>A15-163327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A14-160918</td>
<td>A15-163470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15-163620</td>
<td>A15-163408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15-163292</td>
<td>A15-163030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15-163468</td>
<td>A15-160629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15-163617</td>
<td>A15-163903</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Call to the Audience

5. Management Report

6. Old Business
   • Update on July 19, 2014 Motion for resolution for PACC to remedy issues relating to the care and welfare of pets at PACC - Operations (Chair Neuman/PACC Management Team)
   • Possible Ordinance Related to the Sale of Tie Outs (Ms. Emptage)
   • County Administration response to the committee's request to add additional field officers and shelter staff; and Jurisdiction IGA Discussion and County Obligation for Animal Care Services (Chair Neuman/Mr. Janes)
   • General Criteria required for PACC to respond and investigate a service/welfare issue wherein an animal is in distress, with Enforcement concerns from Jessica Gray as representative of People for Animals (Ms. Schwerin/ Ms. Emptage/PACC Enforcement Management)
   • PACC Protocols for Treatment of Tick Fever and Valley Fever (Mr. Jacobs/PACC Management Team).
   • Animal Defense League of AZ Membership Request (Chair Neuman/Mr. Janes)

7. New Business

8. Donations: A total of 1,444 individuals gave $43,567.76 in donations during the month of January.

9. Complaints and Commendations: There were four complaints and two commendations received by staff during January.

10. Call to the Audience

11. Announcements, Schedules and Proposed Agenda Items


13. Adjournment

Copies of this agenda are available upon request at the Pima County Health Department, 3950 S. Country Club Road, by calling 243-7729 or at www.pima.gov/animalcare. The Committee may discuss and take action on any item on the agenda. At the conclusion of an open call to the public Committee members may only respond to criticism made; ask staff to review the matter raised; or ask to include the matter on a future agenda. Should you require ADA accommodations, please contact the Pima County Health Department at 724-7729 five (5) days prior to the meeting.
1. **Call to Order**

Mr. Neuman called the meeting to order at 5:35 pm

- **Attendance**

  Present:
  Nancy Emptage, Vice-Chair, Animal Welfare Coalition
  Pat Hubbard, Humane Society of Southern Arizona
  Derek Marshall, Public Education
  Helen Mendelsohn, Disabled Community
  Jack Neuman, Chair, PACC Volunteers
  Jane Schwerin, People for Animals in the Prevention of Cruelty and Neglect
  Gail Smith, MD, Board of Health
  Kim Janes, Pima Animal Care Center (PACC), Ex-Officio

  Absent:
  Tamara Barrick, Foundation for Animals in Risk
  Pat Jacobs, Tucson Kennel Club
  Sophia Kaluzniacki, DVM, ASPCA of AZ, Inc
  Erin O'Donnell, DVM, Southern AZ Veterinary Medical Association
  Angela Spencer, City of Tucson

- **Pledge of Allegiance**

2. **Adoption of the Minutes**

- **Adoption of the December 18, 2014 Meeting Minutes**

  The motion was made and seconded (Mendelsohn/Hubbard) that the December 18, 2014 meeting minutes be adopted as written. The motion carried (7-0)

3. **Call to the Audience**

There were four speakers from the audience, Justin Pope, Marcie Velen, Lea Ann Kelly and Kim Brandom.

Mr. Pope referred to the second bullet of the Partnership Agreement provided in the packet and on the agenda, about making no inflammatory public statements about PACC, staff and programs, volunteers and PACC rescue partners. He questioned who all this was to apply to, individuals who sign the agreement, organizations, individuals associated with organizations; does it only apply to one’s professional life or does it also include personal life? Secondly he questioned what is inflammatory, and reported Webster’s defines it as causing anger, which he characterized as pretty broad. He suggested that saying animals are at risk of euthanasia or objecting to the Partnership Agreement could be perceived by some as inflammatory. Thirdly he asserted that the agreement is rather one-sided.
Ms. Velen said she felt most of the Partnership Agreement bullet points apply to what is expected of partners and very few apply to what is expected from PACC. She called for working on a mutual agreement that included what rescue partners wanted and concurred with the comment about the agreement being one-sided.

Ms. Kelly said she agreed with Ms. Velen’s comments then went on to say 501(c)(3)s are targeted and discriminated against in the agreement. Both 501(c)(3)s and non 501(c)(3)s pull from PACC and the agreement has special rules just for the 501(c)(3)s, but those rules should be enforced by the IRS not PACC. She continued that PACC wants access to adoption and sterilization records; and while she has no problem with sterilization records, she does have a problem with adoption records; feels that is an invasion of privacy and that PACC should trust the rescues to do their job to find good homes for rescued animals. She also said she had other issues that can’t be addressed at the meeting and provided a handout (included in the record).

Ms. Brandom said she agreed the term inflammatory was too broad; the wording in the Partnership Agreement needs to be tightened up; and there needs to be clarification on who to bring complaints and disputes to. She went on to say there are fairly detailed reports on donations, but not on how those donations are spent, which she would like to see.

4. Management Report

There was no discussion on this item.

5. Old Business

- Volunteer Policy and Partnership Agreement

Dr. Smith said she didn’t understand why rescues wouldn’t want to share information; if someone gets an animal directly from PACC, then PACC knows where it went, so why is it a problem if it went out via a rescue? Ms. Mendelsohn pointed out that an individual could obtain several animals by going to different non-profits and each non-profit wouldn’t see the person is getting a high number of animals. Also the agencies wouldn’t know if PACC has record of the person being an animal abuser. Ms. Emptage pointed out that PACC is accountable for placement of the animals; the law requires PACC know where the animals go; and the law requires dogs be licensed, which would also give PACC the owner’s information, so it should not be an issue. Ms. Schwerin agreed that it was the law and added that the law requires PACC to verify a number of things about the organization, which the rescue organization must agree to in writing. Mr. Neuman also agreed with the legal obligations stated.

Mr. Neuman expressed that some stipulations in the agreement seem to contradict first amendment rights, but said PACC has the right to sever relations with entities that sidetrack from PACC’s mission. He said he typically stays off Facebook, but has sometimes seen a thread of negative communication. Dr. Smith suggested rather than banning negative communication, provide a person or structure to address problems, adding that Facebook rants make people less likely to want to deal with PACC which makes overcrowding worse. Ms. Emptage said it comes down to the third bullet, being respectful, and added that negative communications get magnified and taint the public. She relayed there are negative perceptions of PACC that currently just aren’t true, adding that the Committee will listen and if people don’t want to come in person, then they can send them a letter.
Mr. Neuman said volunteer interaction with the Volunteer Coordinator has gone down and the volunteers see him less, but suggested more Volunteer Coordinator availability could be part of the solution. Ms. Mendelsohn suggested more than one person to go to. Mr. Neuman pointed out that at some point PACC management makes a decision on an issue and that decision stands. It was discussed that concerns voiced are part of the record and the Committee can act or advise as they see fit. Mr. Marshall said most PACC related Facebook posts are emotional responses to some recent event. He suggested such postings are cathartic for the volunteer and can lead to commiseration and eventually positive outcomes.

Dr. Francisco García, Health Department Director, said the agreement is a starting point and part of the process is to get feedback as expressed. He said staff will work on the language. As a result of recent input, the Rescue Coordinator will be meeting with rescue organizations to improve communication. The partnership agreement is not intended to infringe on free speech rights, but rather to promote respectful communication as opposed to comments that border on an attack on an individual or organization. Staff stressed that PACC could not do what it does without volunteers and other partners and that the agreement is an attempt to try to work together not a way to get rid of volunteers. Dr. García cited the Humane Society as an example of an organization using volunteers in a focused manner to provide exemplary service.

- Criteria required for PACC to Respond and Investigate a Service/Welfare Issue Wherein an Animal is in Distress

Jessica Gray, a volunteer with People for Animals in the Prevention of Cruelty and Neglect (PFA), spoke about two extreme cases of neglect. After she spoke she provided the document she read off of. The first case involved an unvaccinated, unlicensed pitbull mix named Chewy. The dog was originally injured on or around November 10th when it suffered a severed Achilles tendon and lacerated his leg to the bone. The owner took Chewy to Southern Arizona Vet Services and was referred to Ms. Emptage in her capacity as a counselor for PFA. Euthanasia was recommended. Instead the owner took Chewy home and provided no further medical care until he contacted Ms. Gray nearly a month later. The owner failed to get Chewy to two separate appointments, so Ms. Gray gave Chewy and his owner a ride to VCA Animal Medical Center. When chewy got it the truck there was an overwhelming odor of decomposition. At VCA the veterinarian had to soak the bandage off of Chewy’s leg. The owner made it clear he was homeless and jobless. Only euthanasia was offered. The owner began yelling and announced his intentions to further deprive Chewy of veterinary care. The veterinarian wrapped Chewy’s leg and changed her story from what was earlier discussed with Ms. Gray. Ms. Gray then called PACC. Animal Care Enforcement Operations Manager Jose Chavez spoke with the veterinarian and the owner was allowed to keep Chewy. Later in the week PACC staff responded to where the owner was living, PFA was contacted and Ms. Gray provided transportation to Valley Animal Hospital where the veterinarian offered amputation or euthanasia as the only reasonable options. PFA would not authorize amputation due to their policy against it and the owner’s track record of providing no aftercare. The owner intended to again leave with Chewy, so Ms. Gray again called PACC. Mr. Chavez said a private donor would pay for the amputation and there would be follow up to ensure Chewy would not suffer any further. Currently there is no record of further PACC or veterinarian contact; Chewy’s condition is unknown and the owner has not responded to attempts to contact him.

The other case involved a mixed breed female dog which was reported to Ms. Gray by her owner, on December 23rd, to have been severely injured (broken shoulder and leg and likely internal injuries) in
March and that the owner has not provided any medical care. The owner continued that last week the dog was attacked by cattle and was screaming in pain, which Ms. Gray could hear in the background. Ms. Gray sent them to VCA and authorized only pain medication to relieve the animal’s suffering while the situation was investigated. She also made VCA aware she was contacting PACC. Ms. Gray notified Field Supervisor Konst of the animal’s medical situation and the owners various animal related violations. Mr. Konst spoke to the veterinarian who was consenting to allow the dog to go home until after Christmas, so that children wouldn’t lose their dog at Christmas.

In light of the two aforementioned cases, Ms. Gray asked if efforts to be a no kill county were interfering with enforcement’s ability to seize and relieve the suffering of severely injured and neglected animals, or if it is simply not PACC’s goal to enforce the laws and ordinances as written? She said there have been numerous cases wherein PACC and law enforcement have demonstrated unwillingness to enforce animal welfare codes. She continued that numerous other agencies have adopted no kill models where no kill did not translate into being overcrowded and ineffective, nor force suffering into the field. She called for dialogue on the lack of enforcement and the ability of PACC field officers, especially supervisors, to override veterinarians in cases of previous neglect. She cited that the laws are clear and strong, just not enforced, and as a result animals are allowed to suffer tremendously.

Ms. Hubbard said she believes there is a state law requiring veterinarians to report animal cruelty and there appears to be a problem with veterinarians. Ms. Gray strongly agreed and said there is a board of veterinary ethics and she is in the process of writing them on this topic. Ms. Emptage said the pitbull owner wanted PFA to pay for the amputation and when he was told PFA was only offering euthanasia he told Ms. Emptage she was wasting his time. Ms. Emptage said some veterinarians don’t want to make a stand and it’s hard for PACC to go against what a veterinarian says. Ms. Hubbard said there are some veterinarians who automatically call PACC when an owner takes an animal home against medical advice (AMA). Ms. Emptage added there have been instances when veterinarians give an animal pain medication and then don’t say or document an AMA because there is no suffering at that time, which sends the problem away and they avoid any controversy, but they know the owner doesn’t have money and the relief will only be temporary.

PACC Field Supervisor Tenkate, in response to a question, said there are times when owners are allowed to relinquish an animal to PACC in lieu of citations, but depending on the severity of the violations citations can still be issued when an animal is relinquished. Sometimes the decision to issue citations comes after examinations by our veterinarian. Regarding Chewy, staff was shown a form regarding another vet clinic visit, but when the owner brought Chewy in the clinic refused to do anything due to lack of payment. Ms. Emptage contended that PACC should ask about owners’ ability to pay and in the case of Chewy should have known the owner could not pay since PFA was involved. Ms. Tenkate said procedurally field officers don’t question people about their financial situation. Ms. Gray also indicated she informed Mr. Chavez of Chewy’s owner’s lack of means to treat. Ms. Schwerin commented that veterinarians are often wrong and why not take action and get another veterinarian to testify? Mr. Janes commented that it is a balancing act and there are no absolutes. Mr. Neuman asked if PFA had contracts with the veterinary clinics involved in the aforementioned cases. Ms. Schwerin said her organization has “broken up” with VCA except for euthanasia. Mr. Neuman suggested a meeting be set up with enforcement and animal assistance agencies like PFA to work through how to best handle situations like those discussed. Dr. García was supportive of suggestion. In response to a question, Mr. Janes indicated that paying the bill isn’t the same as being the client / animal owner.
• County Administrations Response to Committee's Request to Add Additional Field Officers and Shelter Staff

Mr. Neuman said he was combining the discussion under this bullet with the New Business Jurisdiction IGA Discussion since they are closely related. He asked Deputy County Administrator for Medical and Health Services Jan Lesher to explain the current County and municipality dynamic which ties these issues together.

Ms. Lesher provided the following information. The County is only legally obligated to provide animal care services in unincorporated Pima County; services within the municipalities are provided through intergovernmental agreements (IGAs) with the County. Additionally animal care spending has increased, including roughly $1.2 million a couple of years ago. PACC’s spending increases hit the cities and towns in the middle of a budget cycle, which is not something they like, and County Administration agrees that isn’t the way things should be done. Through the IGA the city of Tucson pays roughly $3 million for their portion of the services PACC provides. There has been ongoing dialogue between County and municipality management concerning animal care services and costs. Two guiding principles were established going into these discussions. First, the decision making authority regarding animal care services legally rests with the Board of Supervisors and cannot be taken away; and secondly, the County will not step back from the quality of care. In general the jurisdictions feel the County is spending too much on animal care. They have questioned why PACC deals with cats, since it’s not legally mandated, and have suggested a maximum animal retention of three days. The Board of Supervisors is the only legal body currently directly involved in animal care. Therefore they hear from constituents, but the local municipalities typically do not get input on animal related issues and don’t perceive animal care needs. The jurisdictions know the budget is tight and put people before pets. It has come to the point where the IGAs might not be renewed. However, the lack of an IGA, probably won’t keep PACC from getting animals from any given municipality. How do we handle that; turn away animals from non-IGA jurisdictions; charge a fee? Local animal advocacy entities are telling the County to spend more, while the cities and towns are saying cut PACC’s spending. The Committee’s request for more field officers was shared with the jurisdictions because the costs impact their budgets. How do we get the community engaged in letting the municipalities know what the animal care issues are and how important these issues are to them? For example, representatives from large jurisdictions have told Ms. Lesher that we don’t have feral cats. They don’t hear about the needs and issues, so the issues don’t shape their budgets.

Mr. Neuman spoke about meeting with jurisdiction officials and pointed out that many of the PACC volunteers live in the various municipalities. He added that city managers and finance managers aren’t elected and would probably be less influenced by constituents. Dr. Smith suggested participating in city council meetings. The possibility of the Committee sending letters to city and town officials was also touched on. Ms. Lesher pointed out the recent drastic increase in charitable contributions to PACC and how much of this increase is tied to PACC’s improved service model. Organizations give in connection to policies and programs they agree with; and these funds offset costs, to include costs to the jurisdictions. A regression in service philosophy will result in these funds not being available. Mr. Neuman asserted that having to charge individuals or having to turn animals away will unravel all the progress made in recent years. He said he was composing a letter to the volunteers. The Committee discussed obtaining information, through staff, on the jurisdictions and their meetings, and then possibly holding another meeting to discuss actions once the information has been gathered.
Eventually, a motion was made and seconded (Emptage/Smith) that the Committee hold a meeting prior to the next regular meeting to address how the Committee wants to approach animal care communications with the local municipalities. The motion carried (7-0).

6. New Business

- Jurisdiction IGA Discussion and County Obligation for Animal Care Services Inside Cities and Towns

See discussion at previous bullet.

7. Animal Welfare and Dangerous Animal Cases for the Month of December and Recent Holds Snapshot

Ms. Schwerin referred to welfare case two, in which there were four dogs left outside in the rain all day. Documentation stated that proof of shelter was provided; however, she questioned the validity of the proof of shelter. She said people like this owner do not reform and the owner should not be allowed to redeem the animals.

Ms. Schwerin referred to welfare case three as a terrible case involving multiple violations. A motion was made and seconded (Emptage/Marshall) that the Committee recommend to the court that it ban the owner in this case from animal ownership. The motion carried (7-0).

Ms. Emptage referred to welfare case five in which three dogs were on tie outs and the report states the owner gave reason to believe he would place the dogs back on tie outs. She said it is likely the dogs went back on tie outs. However, as reported by Mr. Janes, a subsequent recheck found the dogs were not on tie outs.

Ms. Schwerin referred to welfare case four in which a dog was on a tie out tangled around a tree and the owner received several citations. She asked why the owner was allowed to keep the dog. Mr. Janes said staff could revisit the case to see why the officer made that decision.

Ms. Emptage referred to welfare case six, another dog on a tie out, which was also on a tie out when rechecked. She asked where the dog is now. Mr. Janes said that was a good question and indicated Supervisor Tenkate was taking notes.

Ms. Emptage referred to welfare case ten as a horrible case. The case included three dogs on tie outs and one emaciated boxer which had to be euthanized. The owner signed a release of ownership for all the dogs. The Committee discussed wanted severe action taken against the owner. Supervisor Tenkate added that the owner is now on PACC’s no adoption list; there was no history of violations at his address and the maximum legal ban on animal ownership is three years.

The motion was made and seconded (Emptage/Smith) that the County Attorney and Judge in this case be made aware of a recommendation from the Committee for the owner to receive the maximum fines and animal ownership ban. The motion carried (7-0). During discussion Ms. Schwerin referred to a proposed ordinance she has been working on. She said the current cruelty and neglect law calls for fines from $100 to $2,500, up to six months in jail, and up to three years of probation. Her proposed addition included a violator not being allowed to own or harbor animals for up to 5 years, or longer, or ever.
Ms. Schwerin requested staff provide her with the court dates associated with welfare cases two, three, four, five and ten.

To make better use of staff time at the meetings, a motion was made and seconded (Hubbard/Smith) to move the Welfare Cases and Dangerous Dogs agenda items sooner in the agenda going forward. The motion carried (6-0).

8. Donations: A total of 1,821 individuals gave $114,509.68 in donations during the month of December.

Mr. Neuman characterized December’s donations total as unprecedented. Ms. Hubbard asked if these donations are from individuals or organizations, to which Mr. Janes replied they are all monetary donations from all sources, to include $29,000 from PetSmart Charities. He said there have been a number of special appeals generated by PACC’s Fund Development Manager, who is doing a fantastic job. Mr. Janes added that most donation funds go for spay/neuter and medical expenses, although some funds are specifically designated where they are to be used and that is how those dollars are allocated.

9. Complaints and Commendations: There were three complaints and one commendation received by staff during December.

There was no discussion on the documentation provided. Ms. Emptage wanted to commend staff for the on-line licensing feature which she said was very easy to use. Mr. Neuman complemented the Adoption Coordinator for being out on the floor assisting and for turning down a would-be adopter who was of concern.

10. Call to the Audience

There were no speakers at this call to the audience.

11. Announcements, Schedules and Proposed Agenda Items

Ms. Hubbard said the Humane Society has a grant to provide free spay/neuter and vaccinations for puppies in zip code 85705.

Mr. Janes said the Pima Alliance for Animal Welfare (PAAW) will have a meeting tomorrow morning at 8:00 in the exact same room the Committee meeting was in.

Ms. Emptage said she has been in discussions regarding service and emotional therapy dogs and if anyone has any input they can send it to her.

12. Next Meeting – February 19, 2015

Mr. Neuman established that the next meeting will be held at the Abrams building.

13. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 7:53 pm
1. Call to Order

Mr. Neuman called the meeting to order at 5:35 pm

- Attendance

Present:
Tamara Barrick, Foundation for Animals in Risk
Nancy Emptage, Vice-Chair, Animal Welfare Coalition
Helen Mendelsohn, Disabled Community
Jack Neuman, Chair, PACC Volunteers
Gail Smith, MD, Board of Health

Absent:
Pat Hubbard, Humane Society of Southern Arizona
Pat Jacobs, Tucson Kennel Club
Derek Marshall, Public Education
Jane Schwerin, People for Animals in the Prevention of Cruelty and Neglect
Sophia Kaluzniacki, DVM, ASPCA of AZ, Inc
Erin O'Donnell, DVM, Southern AZ Veterinary Medical Association
Angela Spencer, City of Tucson
Kim Janes, Pima Animal Care Center (PACC), Ex-Offico

- Pledge of Allegiance

2. Call to the Audience

There were no speakers from the audience.

3. Advisory Committee Animal Care Communications with Local Municipalities

Mr. Neuman passed out a draft letter he prepared to send to the PACC volunteers, as well as a list of information on local jurisdictional governing bodies and their meetings. He requested feedback on the letter. Ms. Emptage relayed a request from Ms. Schwerin for numbers on service calls by jurisdictions. Ms. Emptage expressed concerns about the future of cats in Pima County, citing that Maricopa County typically doesn’t go on cat service calls unless they are egregious and referring to local municipality rumblings about cats. She referred to the current Best Friends trap-neuter-release (TNR) agreement as a band-aid and said she’s afraid of municipalities stepping back from cat services once the three-year agreement expires. She called for education and Mr. Neuman pointed out that some education is part of the current TNR program. Dr. Francisco García, Health Department Director, said staff is looking at sustainability for after the three-year agreement ends.

Mr. Neuman asked what the Committee wants to do and say regarding the municipalities balking at PACC’s direction and priorities. There was mention of education in schools and the city of Tucson filling their Advisory Committee seat. Dr. Smith suggested attending council meetings in numbers; speaking at the call to the audience; and possibly getting on the agenda. There was also talk of trying
to get media coverage. There was general agreement on going to council meetings, with the city of Tucson as the top priority. It was mentioned that all the municipalities have sticker shock, but not all are opposed to PACC’s direction. Ms. Emptage said we didn’t have the current problems when PACC was called rabies control. Mr. Neuman talked about requesting a meeting with the city of Tucson and said he wanted the Committee to have data the Committee will study ahead of time. There was talk about how impressive it was when volunteers all showed up at a Board of Supervisors meeting in a sea of turquoise shirts and how that would also send a message at a city council meeting. It was pointed out that the issue with the cities is budgetary and there is a frequently employed budget strategy of telling the public that first responders might need to be cut. However, it was pointed out that PACC helps first responders and takes calls that would otherwise fall to first responders. It was also shared that there are significant public donations flowing as well as increased money coming in from animal charities like Best Friends, both linked to PACC’s progressive approach to animal welfare issues; and that a step backwards in animal care policy will cut off much of this funding.

There was discussion on various scenarios and concerns if Tucson were to opt out of the current agreement with PACC. This discussion included that for Tucson to start providing their own animal care services it would take significant capital outlay and training to get things started; and it would require such after voters, including city constituents, have already approved of and will be paying for Proposition 415. Dr. Garcia stated that he can’t help but believe the city has already done their math concerning providing their own animal care services. There was mention of studies and authorities on animal care standards and how PACC currently falls way short in staffing. There was discussion on inviting city officials to PACC and PACC events, and on providing opportunity for them to experience the emotional burden. There was also mention of getting PACC meetings on a community calendar. Mr. Neuman expressed that he wants communications with municipalities to be informational not confrontational. There was also mention of the possibility of a petition. Mr. Neuman requested, via the Health Department, that the Committee be set up to meet with Tucson city officials. Dr. García indicated we do not know how they will respond to such a request and do not know if elected officials would be inclined to participate or if they would designate staff. Mr. Neuman pointed out that once the volunteers are informed, (receive Mr. Neuman’s letter) they might do, and in the past have done, their own thing.

4. **Next Meeting – February 19, 2015**

There was no discussion on this item.

5. **Adjournment**

The meeting adjourned at 6:38 pm
MEMORANDUM

TO: Kim Janes, Chief of External Operations

FROM: Jose Chavez, Enforcement Operations Manager

DATE: 2-3-15

SUBJECT: Welfare report for January 2015

1. A15-163223 No animals were impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. This complaint is closed.

2. A14-160918 One animal was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at PACC. The owner redeemed the animal and provided vet care. This complaint is closed.

3. A15-163620 Twenty-five animals were observed at the scene 23 were impounded. Staff reviewed the animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. Traps were set at the property and the remaining animals were impounded at a later date. The animals were relinquished to PACC and were placed for adoption. This complaint is closed.

4. A15-163292 No animal was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. This complaint is closed.

5. A15-163468 No animal was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. A recheck was completed and found in compliance.

6. A15-163617 No animals were impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. This complaint is closed.

7. A15-163327 No animals were impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. This complaint is closed.

8. A15-163470 One animal was impounded and bonded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. The bond was not posted the animal was automatically forfeited to PACC and was adopted under special needs.

9. A15-163408 No animals were impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. This complaint is closed.

10. A15-163030 One animal was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at PACC. The dog was redeemed a recheck was conducted and found the dog was relocated out of the country. This complaint is closed.

11. A15-160629 Two animals were impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. The animals were relinquished to PACC one was euthanized due to behavioral issues and one is under medical evaluation. This complaint is closed.

12. A15-163903 Forty-four animals were impounded and bonded. The Sheriff's Dept. is pursuing felony charges. The bond was posted for one animal and the rest were automatically forfeited to PACC. All the animals are on medical evaluation with the exception the 3 fowl and rabbit.
INVESTIGATION REPORT
Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
1001 N. Beloat St.
Tucson, AZ 85712
Phone: (520) 243-5680
www.pimaanimalcare.org

ACO NAME / BADGE #
A. Kirby #2057
COMPLAINT NUMBER
A15-163223

DATE AND TIME OCCURRED
01/13/15 / 1946 HRS

DATE AND TIME REPORTED
01/05/15 / 0948 HRS

LOCATION OF INCIDENT

FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURED/ILL VENTILATION ABANDONED TIEOUT BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)

I CHOOSE “upon request” rights in this case:

I WAIVE “upon request” rights in this case:

REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. 43-456 (B) and 43-456 (D)

MEANINGFUL REPRESENTATIVE
APPLICABLE

ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS
CTM

PARTNERSHIP TO VICTIM

HOME NUMBER

MAIL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC’S ADDRESS

CTM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE
GNATURE

CODE/D/O violated
6.04.030, 6.04.070, 11-1010(A), 6.04.110(B)(5), 6.04.110(B)(2)

CITATIONS/NUMBERS
73468, 73469

BREED DESCRIPTION

VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL

ANIMAL’S NAME

COLOR

SEX

AGE

LICENSE #

VET CERTIFICATE #

CIND

ANIMAL #

AB/MIX

VICTIM

OWNER

RALF

WHT/BRN

M

A

CITATION

CITATION

N

A507497

OINTER

VICTIM

OWNER

PRINCESS

BRN/WHT

F

A

CITATION

CITATION

N

A507496

FITNESS 1

M

F

DOB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BUSINESS PHONE #

FITNESS 2

M

F

DOB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BUSINESS PHONE #

FITNESS 3

M

F

DOB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BUSINESS PHONE #

FITNESS 4

M

F

DOB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BUSINESS PHONE #
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-163223
ACO name & Badge: A. Kirby #2057

On January 13, 2015 at approximately 1946 hrs, I Officer Kirby #2057 while en-route to Trl. observed a white/brown lab mix running down the roadway. Upon attempting to capture the dog it ran approximately 1/4 mile down Trl., and into the driveway (with open double gates) of Trl. The property is completely fenced with chain link on all 4 sides.

Upon arrival I made contact with Mrs. I, the owner of Ralf, the tan/brown lab mix and Princess a brown/white pointer. I observed Princess in the back yard on a tie-out with access to shelter, with a roof, a floor with a blanket, and 3 sides that was also covered with a tarp. I did not observe any water in the area where Princess was tied out, I only observed 3 empty buckets on the ground. Mrs. was explained the leash law and animal welfare requirements and provided with a laws brochure that detailed each of the requirements for the dogs. Mrs. I had her son remove Princess from the tie-out and put all of the dogs inside the residence, all dogs appeared healthy and of good weight.

I then asked Mrs. if the dogs were currently licensed and vaccinated for rabies, She stated they were not. I issued Mrs. I citations for leash law for Ralf, Neglect - Tie-out and Neglect - No water for Princess, and No license, no rabies vaccinations for both Princess and Ralf. Mrs. I was explained her citations, court date, time, and I explained the location of the new PCJC court to Mrs. , she stated she understood and signed the citation.
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Suspect

ACO Name/ID # K.WALTON
1925

COMPLAINT NUMBER
A14-160918

Suspect's Address

ZIP: 85719
CITY: TUCSON
STATE: AZ

Residence Phone Number

Suspect's Business Address

N/A

Suspect's Business Phone Number

Sex: Male
Weight: 235
Height: 6-2
Hair Color: BRN

Date and Time Reported
11-29-14 / 0940

DATE AND TIME OCCURRED
01-27-15 / 1040

Food: 
Water: 
Shelter: 
Injured/Ill: 
Ventilation: 
Abandoned: 
Tied Out: 
Beaten: 
Waste: 
Other (Specify)

Does this incident require victim request for waiver of rights? Yes No ☑

Requested/Waiver exception per A.R.S. 8-134405 (B) and 8-2885 (B)

Request/Waiver Exception

Name of Lawful Representative (If Applicable)

Address and Phone Number Same as Victim

Relationship to Victim

Lawful Representative Address

Victim's Business Address

Victim's Complainant Name
OFICER K. WALTON #1925

D.O.B.
Residence Phone No.
Business Phone No.
724-5900

Victim's Address

ZIP: 85745
CITY: TUCSON
STATE: AZ

Victim's Phone Number

Victim's Business Phone Number

Victim's Business Phone Number

Follow Up Request

Other Agency Case #

Other Agency Contact

Other Address

Other Telephone

Other Telephone

Victim or Lawful Representative Signature

Code/Ord Violated

4-3(1), 4-(3)(2)(D)

Citation/Numbers

73039

Breed/Description

Victim or Owner Animal

Animal's Name

BRN/BRINDLE

Color

SEX

AGE

Tag Color

License #

VX Certificate #

Cond

Animal ID#

PIT BULL

Victim

Victim

Victim

Victim

Victim

Victim

Victim

Victim

Victim

Witness 1

M ☑ F ☐

DOB

Address

Residence Phone #

Business Phone #

Witness 2

M ☑ F ☐

DOB

Address

Residence Phone #

Business Phone #

Witness 3

M ☑ F ☐

DOB

Address

Residence Phone #

Business Phone #

Witness 4

M ☑ F ☐

DOB

Address

Residence Phone #

Business Phone #
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-160918

ACO name & Badge: K.WALTON #1925

On November 29th 2014 at 0940 hours, anonymous complainant called into Pima county animal care center in reference to an injured dog at St. The dog was described as a dark colored dog with some sort of growth coming from its rear end, and the dog sometimes falls over.

On December 22nd 2014, the 1st notice was left at the residence which was a landscaping company. On December 23rd 2014, the dog owner called our facility regarding the notice. He spoke with Dispatch staff and was told that an Officer needed to meet with him regarding vet care for the dog. He stated the dog was not under any vet care. Dispatch had asked about his availability, and he replied he didn’t know it just depends.

On January 8th 2015 Officer Kirby #2057 arrived at the address and spoke with a resident, who told him the Landlord’s dog that belongs to the landscaping property is the dog with a large mass on its back area. On January 9th 2015, Mr. called and stated he would be available on January 12th 2015 from 0800-noon and would like a call before we leave.

On January 27th 2015, I, Officer K.Walton #1925, had Dispatch call the owner and advise him I was on scene, there was no answer so a voice mail was left. I observed the dog lying on the ground inside the fenced area of the business. The dog was a male, brn/brindle Pit bull mix that appeared to be a little old. The dog slowly got up and walked to the fence, where I observed a large mass on the dog’s rear end side. The dog looked in distress when walking, sitting or getting up and down. I was able to take photos of the dog and of the injury. There was another dog on the other side of the business also a Pit bull, but that one looked healthy. I noticed the injured dog could go in somewhere for shelter, so I could only assume it had food and water. I decided to impound the dog due to the distress it was in. The gate was chained and locked, but I was able to get the dog through a gap in the fencing. After I placed the dog in the truck, a car drove up with a couple in it. They stated they had nothing to do with this property, but then 10 minutes later the male unlocked the gate and then stated he was the mechanic. I advised him of the impoundment and left notice with him to give to the owner.
On January 28th 2015, Supervisor Tenkate #1911, met with dog owner when he came into Pima animal care enter to redeem his dog Buster. Supervisor Tenkate asked Mr. if he had gotten the dog vet care, and again he said no. He stated he has had Buster for 11 yrs. and thought the dog had cancer and could not afford the treatment. Supervisor Tenkate explained that his dog has lost weight, muscle tone, he can barely walk and is suffering in pain. Mr. stated the dog is not in pain and he eats and drinks normal. He was shown the photos that were taken.

Mr. provided Supervisor Tenkate his Arizona’s driver’s license, and was issued citations for neglect vet care and cruelty. He is aware of his court date, time, and location. He was advised that if he redeems Buster he will be required to get veterinary treatment as required by the vet. Mr. stated he would talk with his wife about relinquishing ownership. Supervisor Tenkate took him to see Buster, and he was able to see that Buster could hardly walk or stand. He did not redeem the dog at that time.

Officer’s Signature: [Signature] Date: 1-29-14
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd
Tucson, AZ 85745
Phone: (520) 243-2900
Fax: (520) 243-2900
www.pimaanimalcare.org

ACO NAME / BADGE #
1942 Eckelbarnger
COMPLAINT NUMBER
A15-163620

BITES □ WELFARE □ DANGEROUS □ OTHER □
CODE IF OTHER:

SEX □ WEIGHT □ HEIGHT □ EYES □ HAIR COLOR □ ORIGIN
□ COB □ SSN

LOCATION OF INCIDENT
FOOD □ WATER □ SHELTER □ INJURED/ILL □ VENTILATION
ABANDONED □ TEAR OUT □ BEaten □ WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)

DATE AND TIME REPORTED
1-10-15 / 2023
DATE AND TIME OCCURRED
1-15-15 / 0820

I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case.
I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.
REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. B 13-44155(B) and B 8-286 (6)

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE
DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT
RESTITUTION
DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER
OTHER AGENCY CASE #
SO □ TPD □ OTHER: Code Enforcement
Inspector Angel Vindola

FOLLOW UP REQUEST
SO □ TPD
OTHER:

VIOLATION □ BITE SEVERITY:
PART OF BODY BITTED:

TREATED BY
PHOTO NUMBER
DATE QUARANTINED
PACC □ VET □ HOME

RELEASE DATE:
PHONE NUMBER
OWNER KNOWS OF BITE
YES □ NO □

VET CLINIC
QUARANTINE
10 □ 15 □ 45 □ 180 □ FRA HEAD:

3RD PARTY CITATIONS
CITING ACO
1942 Eckelbarnger

PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS
PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER
OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

CODE/DRIVE VIOLATED
4-3 (2)(C), 4-3 (2)(F)

CITATIONS/NUMBERS
7378, 7380, 7382, 7379, 7379, 7379, 7386, 73863, 7386,
738565, 73789

BREED/DESCRIPTION
Victim or Owner Animal

ANIMAL'S NAME
COLOR
SEX
AGE
TAG COLOR
LICENSE #
VK CERTIFICATE #
CONDO
ANIMAL ID#

See attached Kennel Cards

Victim □ Owner □
Victim □ Owner □
Victim □ Owner □
Victim □ Owner □
Victim □ Owner □
Victim □ Owner □
Victim □ Owner □
Victim □ Owner □
Victim □ Owner □
Victim □ Owner □
Victim □ Owner □

WITNESS 1
Officer Webb (2015)
M □ F □
DOB □ ADDRESS
4000 N. Silverbell Rd
RESIDENCE PHONE #
BUSINESS PHONE #
724-5900

WITNESS 2
Officer Martinez (2016)
M □ F □
DOB □ ADDRESS
4000 N. Silverbell Rd
RESIDENCE PHONE #
BUSINESS PHONE #
724-5900

WITNESS 3
Officer Hendrickson (2016)
M □ F □
DOB □ ADDRESS
4000 N. Silverbell Rd
RESIDENCE PHONE #
BUSINESS PHONE #
724-5900

WITNESS 4
Dr. Bonnie Lilley
M □ F □
DOB □ ADDRESS
4000 N. Silverbell Rd
RESIDENCE PHONE #
BUSINESS PHONE #
724-5900
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-163620

ACO name & Badge: 1942 Eckelbarger

On 1-11-15 at 1051 hours, Officer Meek (2015) responded to unit 28 in reference to a neglect complaint of several cats living in unsanitary conditions. Officer Meek met with and advised him of the complaint. Mr. immediately admitted that he had too many cats inside the residence and that he was overwhelmed. Mr. stated that he owned at least 20 cats. Mr. then gave permission to Officer Meek to enter the residence and inspect the cats, but warned Officer Meek that the home was in bad condition.

Officer Meek notated that once inside the property he was immediately taken aback by the overwhelming smell of waste. He stated that he was having difficulty taking deep breaths. Officer Meek observed at least 20 cats running freely inside the residence. Officer Meek then took photographs of the home. Officer Meek could see an abundance of human garbage in the form of alcohol packaging and cigarette butts. He notated there was approximately 1 to 2 feet of solid waste accumulating behind the front door in addition to the waste scattered on the floor. Officer Meek stated the appliances in the kitchen were covered in animal waste and dirt. The shelving also had accumulations of waste. He also observed the roof in the hallway to be collapsing. Officer Meek continued to photograph the home, but did not feel he could safely go into the bedroom and after becoming lightheaded he left the trailer to get some air. Officer Meek advised Mr. that the Pima Animal Care Center would be coming back out to impound the cats.

On 1-15-15 at 0820 hours, Investigator Eckelbarger (1942), Officer Meek (2015), Officer Hendrickson (2066), Officer Martinez (2067), and Dr. Bonnie Lilley (1999) responded back to the address. Mr. it was not at home so we had dispatch contact him by phone. Mr. then came home and relinquished ownership of all the cats on the property by signing a release of ownership form. Officer Meek and Dr. Lilley then entered the property with the permission of Mr. to photograph the conditions inside.

I could smell the cat waste while sitting in my truck from across the street. As I walked toward the front door the smell became increasingly worse. We had
to put full body protective suits, gloves, boots, and mask on to safely enter
the home due to the excessive waste and unsanitary condition of the home.
Upon entering the home my eyes immediately burned from the high levels of
ammonia. It also burned and hurt my lungs to breath. It was unbearable to
breath without the mask on. The floors were completely covered in waste.
Every item in the home had animal waste on it. Some of the cats had eye and
nasal discharge and some of the cats appeared thin as well. We observed a
water pan for the cats inside the bathroom.

We then impounded 23 cats from the property and could still see at least 4
more cats inside the home but we were unable to capture them. City of
Tucson Code Enforcement Inspector Vindiola (837-5048) then responded on
scene and was given permission by Mr. ______ to enter and inspect the
premises. Inspector Vindiola then condemned the property due to the
conditions inside. He provided the property manager and me with a key to
enter the property. We then set one trap inside the front door to catch the
remaining cats.

I then issued Mr. ______: 25 citations for neglect-unsanitary shelter and 25
citations for neglect-inadequate ventilation under City jurisdiction for the 25
cats that we observed in the trailer. Mr. ______ signed and received his copies
of the citations. We then setup for the cats we impounded to be examined by
Dr. Lilley.

Officer’s Signature: ___________ Date: 1-18-15
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, AZ 85745
Phone: (520) 243-6500
www.pimaanimalcare.org

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO X

LOCATION OF INCIDENT:
DATE AND TIME REPORTED:
DATE AND TIME OCCURRED:

FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURED/ILL VENTILATION ABANDONED TIEOUT BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN):

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE):
ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM:
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM:

VICTIM'S ADDRESS:
ZIP CITY STATE

VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS:
ZIP CITY STATE

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED:
RESTITUTION REQUESTED:
DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER:
OTHER AGENCY CASE #:
FOLLOW UP REQUEST:

TREATED BY:
PHONE NUMBER:
DATE QUARANTINED:
RELEASE DATE:

CLINIC'S ADDRESS:
QUARANTINE:
10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐

CITING ACO:
PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS:
YES ☐ NO X

PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER:
OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS:

CODE/OBO VIOLATED:
4-3(2)(C), 4-3(2)(E)(2)

CITATIONS/NUMBERS:
73467

BREED/DESCRIPTION:
VICTIM OWNER

ANIMAL'S NAME:
COLOR:
SEX:
AGE:
LICENSE #:
VK CERTIFICATE #:
CONDO:
ANIMAL ID:

Terrier
Blackie
Black
MN
A
L14-219354
PACC
N
A469311

Pit Bull
Jessie
Brown/white
F/S
A
L14-238053
PACC
N
A501616

WITNESS 1
M ☐ F ☒
DOB
ADDRESS
RESIDENCE PHONE #
BUSINESS PHONE #

WITNESS 2
M ☐ F ☒
DOB
ADDRESS
RESIDENCE PHONE #
BUSINESS PHONE #

WITNESS 3
M ☐ F ☒
DOB
ADDRESS
RESIDENCE PHONE #
BUSINESS PHONE #

WITNESS 4
M ☐ F ☒
DOB
ADDRESS
RESIDENCE PHONE #
BUSINESS PHONE #
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-163292

ACO name & Badge: A. Kirby #2057

On January 09, 2015 at approximately 1827 hrs I Officer Kirby #2057 arrived at the back of the house. Upon arrival I observed two dogs on tie outs, a brown brindle Pit Bull and a black Terrier.

The Pit Bull was on a tie-out that was so twisted it was approximately 10-12 inches in length and was not allowing the dog access to the shelter that had been provided. The tie-out the terrier was attached to was approximately 2 feet in length. I photographed the dogs on the tie-outs and met with the owner that tie-outs are illegal and that with the Pit Bulls tie-out being tangled the dog does not have access to the shelter to protect it. Mr. argued that the dog had access to the shelter when he placed it on the tie out. Both dogs appeared healthy and of good weight. Mr. Osuna removed the dogs from the tie-outs upon my request to do so.

I was able to locate current license and vaccination records for Blackie, the terrier and Jessie, the Pit Bull in PACC’s database under Mr. 's wife. I issued Mr. citations for Neglect - No Shelter for Jessie and Neglect - Tie-Out for both Jessie and Blackie. Mr. was explained his court date, time, and location, he stated he understood and signed the citation.

Officer’s Signature:  

Date: 01/09/15
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd
Tucson, AZ 85745
Phone: (520) 243-4360
Fax: (520) 243-4350
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT

ACO
X. Delgadillo #2047

COMPLAINT NUMBER
A15-163468

SUSPECT'S ADDRESS

ZIP CITY STATE RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER
BITE WELFARE DANGEROUS OTHER CODE IF OTHER:

SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS

ZIP CITY STATE BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER

SEX WEIGHT HEIGHT EYES HAIR COLOR ORIGIN DOB SSN

SEX WEIGHT HEIGHT EYES HAIR COLOR ORIGIN DOB SSN

LOCATION OF INCIDENT

DATE AND TIME REPORTED: 01/08/2015 14:04
DATE AND TIME OCCURRED: 01/08/2015 / 15:07

FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURED/ILL VENTILATION ABANDONED TIED OUT BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME
Officer X. Delgadillo

D.O.B RESIDENCE PHONE NO. BUSINESS PHONE NO.

I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case
I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. 8-4405 (B) and 8-286 (B)

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS RESTITUTION CASE NUMBER OTHER AGENCY CASE #
ASSESSMENT REQUESTED REQUESTED
YES NO NO

DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER

FOLLOW UP REQUEST

SAME AS VICTIM

ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER

VIOLATION BITE SEVERITY:
YES NO

DATE TREATED BY PHONE NUMBER DATE QUARANTINED

PART OF BODY BITTEN:

RELEASE DATE:

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

VET CLINIC PHONE NUMBER OWNER KNOWS OF BITE

PHONE NUMBER

OWNER KNOWS OF BITE

YES NO

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS

3RD PARTY CITATIONS

QUARANTINE

PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS

YES NO

PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

CODE/ORD VIOLATED

6-04-070, 6.04.110(B) (3)

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CITING ACO X. Delgadillo #2047

3&rd PARTY CITATIONS

PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS

YES NO

PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

REVIEWED BY 1-15-15

PETK 1911

VICTIM OR OWNED ANIMAL

BREED/DESCRIPTION

ANIMAL'S NAME COLOR SEX ABE TAG COLOR LICENSE # VX CERTIFICATE # COMM ANIMAL ID#

Shepherd Mix

Victim

Victim

Victim

Victim

Victim

Victim

Victim

Victim

Victim

Victim

Victim

Victim

Rowdy Brown/White M Cited cited 060698

Bailey Brown M Cited Cited 505991

Witness 1 M F DOB ADDRESS RESIDENCE PHONE # BUSINESS PHONE #

Witness 2 M F DOB ADDRESS RESIDENCE PHONE # BUSINESS PHONE #

Witness 3 M F DOB ADDRESS RESIDENCE PHONE # BUSINESS PHONE #

Witness 4 M F DOB ADDRESS RESIDENCE PHONE # BUSINESS PHONE #
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-163468

ACO name & Badge: X. Delgadillo

On January 8, 2014 at approximately 15:07, I Officer Delgadillo #2047 arrived to St in reference to a dog with no shelter. At this time, it was raining heavily and cold outside. As I approached the residence, I observed a brown and white Shepherd dog standing in front of the walk through gate for the backyard. I looked into the backyard and observed no shelter. I photographed the dog and the yard.

I knocked on the door and a woman, holding a brown dachshund, answered the door. She identified herself as Ms. I asked her for her identification card and provided me an AZ state driver's license. I advised her I was here in reference to the dog outside without shelter. Ms. responded with "I did not know we had to have a shelter for Rowdy". I explained the shelter requirements and I asked her if the both dogs were licensed and have a current rabies vaccine. Ms. stated that she believed Rowdy was not current but Bailey, the brown dachshund, was. I reviewed chameleon and found that Rowdy was not current and we had no record for Bailey.

Ms. was cited into Pima County Justice court for Neglect; no shelter for Rowdy and no license and no rabies vaccination for Rowdy and Bailey. Ms. signed her citations; received a copy; was provided court date and time. Ms. was also advised of the new court address. Ms. was given a premise inspection form; I explained she had approximately one week to provide appropriate shelter for the dog. Ms. was given a pamphlet with the laws regarding shelter requirements. Ms. signed her premise inspection and was provided a copy. Ms. took Rowdy inside; I explained the follow up date will be 01/15/15.

Officer's Signature:  

Date: 1/13/15
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

**Pima County Health Department**
**Pima Animal Care**
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, AZ 85745
Phone: (520) 298-2311
Fax: (520) 243-5200
www.pimaanimalcare.org

**STAFF**
D. Hinte
2066

**ACO NAME / BADGE #**
D. Hinte
2066

**COMPLAINT NUMBER**
A15-163617

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**
Food Water Shelter Injured/Ill Ventilation Abandoned Tieout Beaten Waste Other (Explain)

**DATE AND TIME OCCURRED**
01/10/15  1805

**DATE AND TIME REPORTED**
01/10/15  1901

**FOOD**

**WATER**

**SHelter**

**INJURED/ILL VENTILATION**

**ABANDONED**

**TIEOUT**

**BEATEN**

**WASTE**

**OTHER (EXPLAIN)**

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)**

**VICTIM'S ADDRESS**
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.

**VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS**

**ZIP**
85745

**ZIP**

**D.O.B.**

**RESIDENCE PHONE NO.**

**BUSINESS PHONE NO.**

**I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case.**

**I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.**

**REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. 4-3-4405 (BD) and 4-3-286 (BD)**

**DANGEROUS ANIMAL ASSESSMENT**

**RESTITUTION REQUESTED**

**DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER**

**OTHER AGENCY CASE #**

**FOLLOW UP REQUEST**

**SO**

**TPD**

**OTHER**

**REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. 4-3-4405 (BD) and 4-3-286 (BD)**

**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM**

**NON-VIOLATION**

**PART OF BODY BITTEN**

**TREATED BY**

**PHONE NUMBER**

**DATE QUARANTINED**

**RELEASE DATE**

**PACC**

**VET**

**HOME**

**FTQ**

**UTQ**

**CLINIC'S ADDRESS**

**QUARANTINE 10 15 45 180**

**3RD PARTY CITATIONS**

**CITING ACO**
D. Hinte 2066

**PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS**

**PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER**
A13-136795

**OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS**

**VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE**

**BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL**

**ANIMAL'S NAME**
Toby

**COLOR**
Brown/white

**SEX**
M

**AGE**
A

**LICENSE #**
CITED

**VI Certificate #**
CITED

**VICTIM OR OWNER**

**WITNESS 1**

**DOB**

**ADDRESS**

**RESIDENCE PHONE #**

**BUSINESS PHONE #**

**WITNESS 2**

**DOB**

**ADDRESS**

**RESIDENCE PHONE #**

**BUSINESS PHONE #**

**WITNESS 3**

**DOB**

**ADDRESS**

**RESIDENCE PHONE #**

**BUSINESS PHONE #**

**WITNESS 4**

**DOB**

**ADDRESS**

**RESIDENCE PHONE #**

**BUSINESS PHONE #**
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-163617

ACO name & Badge: D. Hinte 2068

On January 10, 2015 at 7:01 PM. Officer Rademaker 2019 and I, Officer Hinte 2068, arrived at [location redacted] in response to A15-163498. Upon entering the yard, we observed a large shepherd mix dog on a tie out near the front porch. We knocked on the front door and met with [name redacted]. We informed her that we were there to speak with the bite victim, [name redacted], from A15-163498. She stated that is her son and provided us his contact information. We then explained that tie outs are illegal and inquired about the dog’s license and vaccination. She stated that his name was Toby and that he was licensed under her name. We returned to the truck and discovered that Toby’s license and vaccination expired on 2/04/14. We returned to the house, where Toby was still on the tie out. We explained to Ms. [name redacted] that we would be issuing citations for no license, no vaccination, and neglect- tie out. We asked her to take Toby off the tie out. She complied and took him inside. Ms. [name redacted] received citations in the City for 1x no license, 1x no vaccination, and 1x neglect- tie out. We explained her court date, time, and location. She stated she understood, signed, and received her copy. Ms. [name redacted] had also been cited for no license, no vaccination, and leash law on 12/5/13 under case number A13-136795.

Officer’s Signature: [signature]

Date: 1/10/15
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd
Tucson, AZ 85745
Phone: (520) 243-8980
Fax: (520) 243-5910
www.pimaanimalscare.org

**SUSPECT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>WEIGHT</th>
<th>HEIGHT</th>
<th>EYES</th>
<th>HAIR COLOR</th>
<th>ORIGIN</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>SSN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5'02</td>
<td>BRO</td>
<td>BR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FOOD</th>
<th>WATER</th>
<th>SHELTER</th>
<th>INJURED/Ill</th>
<th>VENTILATION</th>
<th>ABANDONED</th>
<th>TIEOUT</th>
<th>BEATEN</th>
<th>WASTE</th>
<th>OTHER (EXPLAIN)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DATE AND TIME REPORTED**

01/06/15 / 1223

**DATE AND TIME OCCURRED**

01/06/15 / 1331

**VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME**

Carmen Martinez - #2067

**VICTIM'S ADDRESS**

4000 N. Silverbell Rd

**FOLLOW UP REQUEST**

SO □ TPD □

**OTHER:**

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE**

(IF APPLICABLE)

**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM**

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM**

VET CLINIC

**PHONE NUMBER**

**CLINIC'S ADDRESS**

3rd PARTY CITATIONS

CITING ACO

**PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS**

YES □ NO □

**PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER**

YES □ NO □

**REVIEWED BY**

Zo0 2

**KAST / 1/8/15**

**VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE**

**CODE/HOUR VIOLATED**

4-3 (2) (B)

**BREED/DESCRIPTION**

**ANIMAL'S NAME**

Johnny

**COLOR**

Tan

**SEX**

M

**AGE**

1yr

**LICENSE #**

L14-233573

**VX CERTIFICATE #**

ok

A487416

**BOND**

YES □ NO □

**ANIMAL ID#**

A484073

**ANIMAL OWNER**

**Verfication**

**ADDITIONAL DETAILS**

**WITNESS 1**

C. Young # 1908

DOB 4000 N. Silverbell Rd

**WITNESS 2**

DOB

**WITNESS 3**

DOB

**WITNESS 4**

DOB

**COMPLAINT NUMBER**

A15-163327

**ACO NAME / BADGE #**

C. Martinez

2067

**BUSINESS PHONE #**

724-5900

**BUSINESS PHONE #**

724-5900

**BUSINESS PHONE #**

724-5900

**BUSINESS PHONE #**

724-5900

**BUSINESS PHONE #**

724-5900

**BUSINESS PHONE #**

724-5900

**BUSINESS PHONE #**

724-5900
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-163327

ACO name & Badge: C. Martinez #2067

On January 6, 2015 at approximately 1306 hours Officer Young #1908 and I, Officer Martinez #2067 arrived at ........ Rd in reference to a complaint of dogs left in a vehicle. Upon arrival we found the vehicle parked under a tree in the parking area of the shopping center. Two dogs were observed in the back seat with no water, they were chained to the infant car seat anchor under the window with a chain leash, approximately 3 feet in length. The windows were rolled down approximately 2 inches. The tinting on the vehicle windows was very dark and I was unable to take any photos.

We went into the Walgreens and while trying to locate the owner of the vehicle I was approached by ......... she stated that she was the owner of the vehicle. I explained to her why we were there and asked if the dogs belonged to her, she stated that yes, they belong to her and that she was unaware the dogs were in the vehicle. She explained that she had an arrangement made with a friend to drop off the dogs at 1445 hours since she gets out of work at 1500 hours. I then told her that according to the complainant the 2 dogs have been in the vehicle for a few hours. Officer Young asked Mrs........ if the dogs had access to water and why the dogs were on a tie out inside the vehicle. She stated that she had water but in was in the trunk of her car. She gave the dogs water and they both went to the bowl right away and began drinking. She then stated that she was not the one that tied up the dogs and believes it was her friend that did so.

Both dogs were current on license and rabies vaccine. I issued citations for neglect- no water for both dogs and advised that is it illegal to leave dogs in a vehicle and that tie outs are also illegal. She signed and accepted her copy of the citation and I advised her of her court date.

Officer’s Signature: [Signature]

Date: 1/7/15
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

**Pima County Health Department**
**Pima Animal Services**
4000 N Silverbell
Tucson, AZ 85718
Phone: (520) 244-5860
Fax: (520) 244-5860
www.pimaanimalcare.org

---

**SUSPECT**

**ACD NAME / BADGE #**
Hendrickson #2066 A15-163470

**ACTIVITY / RATE NUMBER**

---

**SUSPECT'S ADDRESS**

**CITY**
Tucson
**STATE** AZ
**ZIP**
**RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER**

---

**SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS**

**CITY**
**STATE**
**ZIP**
**BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER**

---

**SEX**
180
**WEIGHT**
5'10"
**HEIGHT**
HZL
**EYES**
**HAIR**
BR
**DOB**
**SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER**

---

**DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS?**
YES NO □

---

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**

**DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT**
01/09/15
**DATE AND TIME REPORTED**
01/09/15 09:11

---

**FLOOD WATER SHELTER VENTILATION ABANDONED TIEUP BEATER WASTE IIJ / ILL OTHER (EXPLAIN)**

---

**VICTIM / COMPLAINANT NAME**

**DATE OF BIRTH**

**RESIDENCE PHONE**

**BUSINESS PHONE**

---

**I CHOOSE “open request” rights in this case.**

**I WAIVE “open request” rights in this case.**

---

**REQUEST / WAIVER exception per A.R.S. 13-4405 (B) and 9-2-286 (D)**

---

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (OF APPLICABLE)**

**DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED**
YES NO □

**RESTITUTION REQUESTED**
YES NO □

**DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER**

**OTHER AGENCY CASE #** 1501090119

**FOLLOW UP REQUEST**
SD □ TPO □

---

**REQUEST / WAIVER exception per A.R.S. 13-4405 (B) and 9-2-286 (D)**

---

**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM**

---

**VIOLATION**

**BITE SEVERITY:***

**TREATED BY**

**DATE QUARANTINED**

**.Release Date:**

**PACC**

**VET**

**PHONE NUMBER**

**OWNER NAMES OF SITE**

**FDO**

**UTQ**

---

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM**

**PHONE NUMBER**

---

**VET CLINIC**

**CLINIC'S ADDRESS**

**QUARANTINE (DAYS):**
10 □ 15 □ 45 □ 180 □

**FOR: HEAD**

---

**LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS**

---

**3RD PARTY CITATIONS**

**CITING ACD**
Hendrickson #2066

**PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS**

**PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER**

**OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS**

---

**VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE**

**CITATIONS / NUMBERS**
73113 A-E

---

**BREED / DESCRIPTION**
Germn Shepherd

**ANIMAL'S NAME**
Isis

**COLOR**
Red / sable

**SEX**
F

**AGE**
10

**LICENSE #**
poor

**CONDITION**
A237948

---

**Witness 1**
T Foster badge #2066

**DOB**
M □ F XX

**ADDRESS**
4000 N Silverbell

**Residence Phone #**
(520) 724-5900

**Business Phone #**
(520) 724-5900

---

**Witness 2**
Lyons badge # 52529

**DOB**
M □ F XX

**ADDRESS**
270 S. Stone Avenue Tucson, AZ 857

**Residence Phone #**
(520) 791-4444

**Business Phone #**
(520) 791-4444

---

**Witness 3**

**DOB**
M □ F XX

**ADDRESS**

**Residence Phone #**

**Business Phone #**

---

**Witness 4**

**DOB**
M □ F XX

**ADDRESS**

**Residence Phone #**

**Business Phone #**

---

**Reviewed by 2002**
KONST 11/1/95

---

**BOND**

**YES □ NO □**
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-163470

ACO Name & Badge: M Hendrickson #2066

01/09/15 0926 I Officer Hendrickson badge #2066 and Officer Foster badge #2042 arrived at a stray sick dog that was confined in the complainant’s home. The resident named stated that her brother had seen the dog, a German Shepherd three days prior roaming around the neighborhood. On Thursday the 8th sometime in the evening Ms. stated it was raining and the dog was at large, wobbling and seemed in pain so she brought the dog into her home. We impounded the female German Shepherd, who appeared to have many health issues. The Shepherd could not walk in a straight line and would stop to scratch herself multiple times while taking her to the truck, she had multiple areas of hair loss and appeared to be under weight.

We were about to leave the location when two Tucson Police Officers and the neighbor next door stormed and asked if we had a German Shepherd in the Pima Animal Care truck. The neighbor who resides at identified the dog as his dog Isis. Mr. stated that someone had broken into his home and either stole his dog or allowed the dog to escape on 1/8/15 that’s why he had contacted the Tucson Police Department to file a report. Based on the appearance of the dog, Officer Foster asked Mr. when was the last time that the dog Isis had been to the veterinarian. Mr. stated his dog has not seen a vet for many years. Mr. Turner stated that his dog Isis had a stroke about two years ago during a monsoon. Mr. said that the dog’s eyes were moving erratically from side to side, Mr. admitted he didn’t take his dog to see the veterinarian for that incident. He stated that if the dog made it through the night, she made it. if she didn’t she didn’t. He said he had to teach her how to walk again over a long period of time. Officer Foster offered Mr. three options, Option one being that he sign the dog over to Pima Animal Care Center and he wouldn’t receive any citations. Option two would be that Mr. would follow us to an emergency vet of his choice and receive a citation for no license and no rabies vaccination for his dog provided he obtain recommended treatment. The third option would be to confiscate Isis issue a bond and all applicable welfare citations.

Mr. was given multiple opportunities over the course of nearly two hours to contact an emergency vet of his choice to take his dog to. Mr. spent approximately an hour and a half on the phone contacting multiple friends and nonemergency vets, and did not produce any results. Mr. seemed easy distracted and could not focus on the issue at hand regardless of its urgency. While Mr. was attempting to make arrangements Officer Foster and myself were escorted to the back yard by Tucson Police Officer Lyons badge #52529. We observed the back yard and photographed the area that Isis was kept. The yard had excessive animal waste and there were a numerous piles of miscellaneous items that made it difficult to walk around. There was a covered back porch that would have been suitable shelter but it was not accessible by the dog because it was blocked off by a baby gate. The dog’s water receptacle was a stainless steel bucket with a small amount of water that was dirty and covered with algae.

Mr. was given plenty of time to make a decision about his dog but failed to comply, so the decision was made to confiscate the dog, issue a bond, and issue Mr. the citations listed above. After being informed of that decision Mr. stated that he called Buena Animal Hospital and made an 11:15 am appointment to see Isis but he didn’t have any transportation to get him to the animal hospital and this was not disclosed to us until he was being cited. However, Mr. was presented the bond document and it was explained to him that he has ten days to pay the fees in order to have the opportunity for an order to show cause hearing. Mr. was issued citations for no license, no rabies vaccination, neglect of potable water, neglect of vet care and neglect of shelter (citation#73113 A-E) Mr. acknowledged signed and accepted copies of his citations and bond form, He was provided with his court date time and location. Mr. was then given his alternate form of Identification back.

Officer’s Signature: M Hendrickson Date: 1/11/15
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Services
4000 N. Silverbell Rd
Tucson, AZ 85745
Phone: (520) 243-8900
Fax: (520) 243-8990
www.pimaanimalsare.org

ACO
X. Delgado #2047

COMPLAINT NUMBER
A15-163408

BITES □ WELFARE □ DANGEROUS □ OTHER □
CODE IF OTHER:

SEX □ MALE □ FEMALE □ OTHER □

DOB:

DATE AND TIME REPORTED:
01/07/15 15:33

DATE AND TIME OCCURRED:
01/07/15 15:33

I-DOO WATER SHELTER INJURED/DISEASED VENTILATION ABANDONED TIEOUT BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES □ NO □

I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case. □

I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case. □

REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405(B) and § 8-239(A)

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE

IF APPLICABLE)

ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM □

NON-VIOLATION □

VIOLATION □

VICTIM'S ADDRESS

NAME OF VICTIM

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE

ADDRESS

PHONE NUMBER

TREATED BY

PHONE NUMBER

DATE QUARANTINED

FOLLOW UP REQUEST

PACC □

VET □

HOME □

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

OWNER KNOWS OF BITE

RELEASE DATE:

OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

REVIEWED BY:

DATE:

BOND

YES □ NO □

NAME

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BREED/DESCRIPTION

COLOR

SEX

AGE

TAG COLOR

LICENSE #

VX CERTIFICATE #

CONC

ANIMAL ID#

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED

3RD PARTY CITATIONS

CITING ACO

PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS

PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

CODE/ORD VIOLATED

CITATIONS NUMBERS

73683, 73684, 73685

REVIEWED BY:

DATE:

SIGNATURE

VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL

ANIMAL'S NAME

Lab Mix

Walker

Yellow

M

A

506525

Chihuahua

Hershey

Brown/White

F

A

506526

Pit Bull

Kilo

Brown/White

M

A

506524

WITNESS 1

F □ M □ DOB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BREED/DESCRIPTION

COLOR

SEX

AGE

TAG COLOR

LICENSE #

VX CERTIFICATE #

CONC

ANIMAL ID#

VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL

ANIMAL'S NAME

Lab Mix

Walker

Yellow

M

A

506525

Chihuahua

Hershey

Brown/White

F

A

506526

Pit Bull

Kilo

Brown/White

M

A

506524

WITNESS 2

F □ M □ DOB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BREED/DESCRIPTION

COLOR

SEX

AGE

TAG COLOR

LICENSE #

VX CERTIFICATE #

CONC

ANIMAL ID#

VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL

ANIMAL'S NAME

Lab Mix

Walker

Yellow

M

A

506525

Chihuahua

Hershey

Brown/White

F

A

506526

Pit Bull

Kilo

Brown/White

M

A

506524

WITNESS 3

F □ M □ DOB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BREED/DESCRIPTION

COLOR

SEX

AGE

TAG COLOR

LICENSE #

VX CERTIFICATE #

CONC

ANIMAL ID#

VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL

ANIMAL'S NAME

Lab Mix

Walker

Yellow

M

A

506525

Chihuahua

Hershey

Brown/White

F

A

506526

Pit Bull

Kilo

Brown/White

M

A

506524

WITNESS 4

F □ M □ DOB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BREED/DESCRIPTION

COLOR

SEX

AGE

TAG COLOR

LICENSE #

VX CERTIFICATE #

CONC

ANIMAL ID#

VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL

ANIMAL'S NAME

Lab Mix

Walker

Yellow

M

A

506525

Chihuahua

Hershey

Brown/White

F

A

506526

Pit Bull

Kilo

Brown/White

M

A

506524
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-163408

ACO name & Badge: X. Delgadillo

01/07/15 1533 While en-route to another call, I Officer Delgadillo, passed by the address of where I observed several Chihuahuas in separate pens. I could not see shelter or water from the road so I stopped to verify.

As I approached the pens, I observed a large amount of feces in the pen and dark colored water which did not appear potable. The dogs did have shelter. As I continued to walk the property I saw a brown and white pit-bull on a tie-out (shelter; food and water were present) and next to him was another pen with a lab mix and a Chihuahua.

While photographing the yard, a resident came out of one of the trailers on the property. I met with . He stated that he has been care taking the pit-bull for a friend for a few weeks, and I advised him tie-outs were illegal. He stated that he had the dog tied for 5 days because he dug under his pen. He stated that the dog owner was a Hamdi Hardy, per chameleon no person found. Mr. stated that the Chihuahuas in the front pens were not his the one with lab inside the pen is his. He stated that he has called the owner, Paul Martinez, and he should be arriving shortly. I asked Mr. if his dogs were licensed and vaccinated and he stated that they were not.

Mr. was cited into Pima County Court for neglect tie-out for Kilo, a brown and white pit bull, and for no license; no rabies vaccine for Kilo, Walker, a yellow lab and Hersey, a brown and white Chihuahua. Mr. was advised of the court address of 240 N. Stone and he signed his citations and received a copy. He was provided with the court date and time.

Officer's Signature: [Signature]

Date: 1/8/15
# INVESTIGATION REPORT

**Pima County Health Department**
**Pima Animal Services**
4000 N. Martin Luther King Blvd.
Tucson, AZ 85712

**ACO NAME / BADGE #**
X. Delgadillo #2047
S. Adkins #1961

**COMPLAINT NUMBER**
A15-163030

---

### SUSPECT

**SEX**

- **WEIGHT**
- **HEIGHT**
- **EYES**
- **HAIR COLOR**

**ORIGIN**

**DOB**

**SSN**

---

### LOCATION OF INCIDENT

**DATE AND TIME REPORTED**
01/02/2015 / 0845

**DATE AND TIME OCCURRED**
01/02/2015 / 0909

---

### DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER RIGHTS? YES ☑ NO ☐

---

### I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

---

### I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case

---

---

### NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

---

### ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

---

### RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

---

### PHONE NUMBER

---

### LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

---

### BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANIMAL'S NAME</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>TAG COLOR</th>
<th>LICENSE #</th>
<th>VX CERTIFICATE #</th>
<th>COND</th>
<th>ANIMAL ID#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>German Shepard</td>
<td>Oso</td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>adult</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>596014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### WITNESS 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M ☑ F ☐</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE #</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### WITNESS 2

| M ☑ F ☐ | DOB | ADDRESS | RESIDENCE PHONE # | BUSINESS PHONE # |

### WITNESS 3

| M ☑ F ☐ | DOB | ADDRESS | RESIDENCE PHONE # | BUSINESS PHONE # |

### WITNESS 4

| M ☑ F ☐ | DOB | ADDRESS | RESIDENCE PHONE # | BUSINESS PHONE # |
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-163030

ACO name & Badge:X. Delgadillo #2047

On January 2, 2015, Officer Adkins#1961 responded to reference an abandoned dog on a tie-out. Officer Adkins met with Tucson Police Officer Fernandez badge#39773, Case# 1501020113. Officer Fernandez explained to Officer Adkins that when he arrived and spoke with the complainant, the complainant stated the dog has been tied out for the days; the dog owner has not been seen since the dog was tied out. The dog had no food; water; or shelter. Officer Fernandez stated when he entered the yard, the dog’s tie-out was wrapped around his legs and the stroller that was in the yard and had no food or water. Officer Fernandez stated he gave the dog water and did not have any owner information. The dog was on a 15 foot wire tie-out which was wrapped around one of the porch posts. Officer Adkins took photos and impounded the dog.

On January 3, 2015 at approximately 15:30, dog owner came to Pima Animal Care Center to redeem her dog. Ms. was cited into Tucson City Court for Neglect abandonment; Neglect no food; Neglect no water and Neglect no shelter. Ms. signed her citations and received a copy. Ms. was advised of her court date and time.

Officer’s Signature: ____________________________

Date: 01/03/15
### INVESTIGATION REPORT

**Pima County Health Department**  
**Pima Animal Care Center**  
4000 N. Silverbell Rd., Tucson, AZ 85745  
Phone: (520) 243-5900  
Fax: (520) 243-5900  
www.pimaanimals.org

#### SUSPECT

**Address**  
**City**  
**State**  
**Residence Phone Number**

**ACO Name / Badge #**  
A.Kirby #2057

**Complaint Number**  
A14-160629

#### Does This Incident Require Victim Request for Waiver of Rights?  
- [ ] Yes  
- [x] No

#### Victim/Complainant Name  
**PACC Officer A. Kirby #2057**

**D.O.B.**  
**Residence Phone No.**  
520-724-5900

#### Victim's Address

**Zip**  
**City**  
**State**

#### Victim's Business Address

**Zip**  
**City**  
**State**

#### Name of Lawful Representative (if applicable)

**Address and Phone Number Same as Victim**  
[ ] Yes  
[ ] No

**Relationship to Victim**

**Phone Number**

#### Lawful Representative Address

**Clinic's Address**  
**Quarantine**  
- 10  
- 15  
- 45  
- 160  
- [ ] FRA Head#

#### Victim or Lawful Representative Signature

**Code/Ord Violated**  
6.04.110(B)(4)

**Citations/Numbers**  
73475

#### Breed/Description

**Victim or Owner Animal**

**Animal's Name**  
**Color**  
**Sex**  
**Age**  
**License #**  
**Vet Certificate #**  
**Collar**  
**Animal ID#**

**Shep. / Mix**  
**Victim**  
**Owner**

**Victim**  
**Owner**

**Victim**  
**Owner**

**Victim**  
**Owner**

**Victim**  
**Owner**

**Victim**  
**Owner**

**Victim**  
**Owner**

### WITNESS

**1**  
- **M**  
- **F**  
- **DOB**  
- **Address**  
- **Residence Phone #**  
- **Business Phone #**

**2**  
- **M**  
- **F**  
- **DOB**  
- **Address**  
- **Residence Phone #**  
- **Business Phone #**

**3**  
- **M**  
- **F**  
- **DOB**  
- **Address**  
- **Residence Phone #**  
- **Business Phone #**

**4**  
- **M**  
- **F**  
- **DOB**  
- **Address**  
- **Residence Phone #**  
- **Business Phone #**
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-160629

ACO name & Badge: A. Kirby #2057

On January 15, 2015 at approximately 1728 hours I Officer Kirby #2057 arrived at ____________ and met with ____________, the owner of Max, a tan Chihuahua that had passed away on 11/26/14 from its injuries sustained in a dog on dog attack on 11/23/14. Mrs. ____________ stated she simply felt it was her duty to report the incident and just wanted us to advise the attacking dog owner of the laws and the incident. Mrs. ____________ pointed out 15025 W. Well Fargo Pl. as the address where the attacking dog lives.

I then went to that address and located a black and tan Pit Bull Mix tied to a tree in the front yard, and 5 other dogs running around loose in the front yard. I was then met at the gate by ____________ (DOB: 08/01/59). Mrs. ____________ was explained the reasons for my visit as well as the fact that tie outs are illegal even though the dog has water with it. Mrs. ____________ stated the dog tied out to the tree was named Star and was the father to most of the other dogs on the property. Mrs. ____________ allowed me access to the property for a premise inspection. I then observed a black and tan Shepherd Mix that was bleeding from its anus, according to Mrs. ____________, the dog’s name is Rusty and the bleeding was caused due to a dog fight earlier in the day.

Mrs. ____________ then took me into the back yard where four shepherd mixes were kept in kennel runs. I observed all of the dogs had shelter; however the water buckets in the kennel run were green and full of dirt and algae. The 4 dogs in the kennels were named, DumDum, Thor, Rebel, and Lady.

The additional 4 dogs running loose on the property were named, Buster, Spirit, JR, and Jack, with the exception of Rusty all of the dogs on the property appeared healthy and of good weight, Star was immediately removed from the tie-out once advised to do so. All of the dogs had access to adequate shelter.
I issued citations accordingly for the lack of clean water for the 4 dogs in the kennel runs, the tie-out for star, and no license, no rabies vaccinations for all of the dogs on the property. Mrs. was explained her citations, court date, time, and the new location of PCJC where court would be held, she stated she understood and signed the citations.

Mrs. also signed a premise inspection for vet care for Rusty, allowing 24 hours to receive vet care with a required end date and time of January 16, 2015 by 1800 hours. I also provided Mrs. with a laws brochure and explained all of the animal welfare laws to her.

On January 20, 2015 at approximately 1535 hours I arrived at to follow up on a premise inspection for vet care regarding Rusty a tan/black shepherd mix that was bleeding from the anus area on my previous visit on January 15, 2015. I was met by Mrs. who stated she did not have a vet treat Rusty, but did have a friend help her put honey on the wounds. I advised Mrs. that the premise inspection she signed stated that Rusty must receive Vet care in which she failed to do so. I then explained to her that for the welfare of Rusty I would be impounding him to make sure he receives vet care. Mrs. decided she would sign over Rusty and DumDum to PACC as she could not afford to care for them anymore. I then impounded Rusty and DumDum and had Mrs. sign an owner Surrender Form. I then cited Mrs. for Neglect - Vet Care for Rusty. Mrs. was explained the citation, court time, date, and location, stated she understood and signed the citation.
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department  
Pima Animal Welfare Center  
4000 N. Silverbell Rd  
Tucson, Arizona  
Phone: (520) 243-8900  
Fax: (520) 243-8900  
www.pimaanimalwelfare.org

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUSPECT</th>
<th>ACO NAME / BADGE #</th>
<th>COMPLAINT NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X. Delgado #2047</td>
<td></td>
<td>A15-163903</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**

D.O.B.  
RESIDENCE PHONE NO.  
BUSINESS PHONE NO.  
520-724-5900*3

**DATE AND TIME REPORTED**

01/14/2015 / 17:02

**DATE AND TIME OCCURRED**

01/16/15 / 14:53

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FLUDD WATER</th>
<th>SHELTER</th>
<th>INJURED/DILL VENTILATION</th>
<th>ABANDONED</th>
<th>TIEOUT</th>
<th>BEATEN</th>
<th>WASTE</th>
<th>OTHER (EXPLAIN)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME</th>
<th>D.O.B.</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE NO.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X. Delgado #2047</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VICTIM'S ADDRESS</th>
<th>ZIP</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4000 N. Silverbell Rd</td>
<td>85745</td>
<td>Tucson</td>
<td>AZ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**REQUEST/ WAIVER exception per A.R.S. §§ 3-4405 (B) and 8-229B (D)**

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)**

**DANGEROUS SITUATION REQUESTED**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**RESTITUTION REQUESTED**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OTHER AGENCY CASE #</th>
<th>150116189</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ellt</th>
<th>SO</th>
<th>TPD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FOLLOW UP REQUEST</th>
<th>SO</th>
<th>TPD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS**

4000 N. Silverbell Rd  
Tucson, AZ  
520-724-5900*3

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE**

**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM**

**PHONE NUMBER**

**VET CLINIC**

**PHONE NUMBER**

**OWNER KNOWS OF BITE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**CLINIC'S ADDRESS**

**QUARANTINE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>45</th>
<th>180</th>
<th>FRA HEAD#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**3RD PARTY CITATIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER**

**OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS**

**VISITED**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**BREED/DESCRIPTION**

**VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE**

**CODE/ORD VIOLATED**

**CITATIONS/NUMBERS**

**RIN**

**ANIMAL'S NAME**

**COLOR**

**SEX**

**AGE**

**TAG COLOR**

**LICENSE #**

**VX CERTIFICATE #**

**COND**

**ANIMAL ID#**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shepherd Mix</th>
<th>VICTIM</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Black Tan</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>507523</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lab Mix</td>
<td>VICTIM</td>
<td>Owner</td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>507526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab Mix</td>
<td>VICTIM</td>
<td>Owner</td>
<td>Black Brindle</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>507527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd Mix</td>
<td>VICTIM</td>
<td>Owner</td>
<td>Tan</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>507531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd</td>
<td>VICTIM</td>
<td>Owner</td>
<td>White/Tan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>507535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrier Mix</td>
<td>VICTIM</td>
<td>Owner</td>
<td>Brown/Cream</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>507536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrier Mix</td>
<td>VICTIM</td>
<td>Owner</td>
<td>Tan/White</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>507538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chihuahua</td>
<td>VICTIM</td>
<td>Owner</td>
<td>Tan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>507530</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WITNESS 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE #</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**WITNESS 2**

<p>| M | F | DOB | ADDRESS | RESIDENCE PHONE # | BUSINESS PHONE # |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BREEED/DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>ANIMAL'S NAME</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>LICENSE #</th>
<th>VX CERTIFICATE #</th>
<th>COND</th>
<th>ANIMAL ID#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dobie/Shepherd</td>
<td>VICTIM OWNER</td>
<td>Black/tan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>507540</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd Mix</td>
<td>VICTIM OWNER</td>
<td>Brown/black white</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td>507541</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd Mix</td>
<td>VICTIM OWNER</td>
<td>Brown/black white</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td>507542</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd Mix</td>
<td>VICTIM OWNER</td>
<td>Brown/black white</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td>507543</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd Mix</td>
<td>VICTIM OWNER</td>
<td>Brown/black white</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td>507545</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd Mix</td>
<td>VICTIM OWNER</td>
<td>Tan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>507556</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd Mix</td>
<td>VICTIM OWNER</td>
<td>Brown/black white</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td>507557</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab Mix</td>
<td>VICTIM OWNER</td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>507558</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd Mix</td>
<td>VICTIM OWNER</td>
<td>Tan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>507559</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd Mix</td>
<td>VICTIM OWNER</td>
<td>Tan/Black</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>507560</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd Mix</td>
<td>VICTIM OWNER</td>
<td>tan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>507561</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>VICTIM OWNER</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>507562</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rooster</td>
<td>VICTIM OWNER</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>507563</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd Lab</td>
<td>VICTIM OWNER</td>
<td>White/Tan</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>507564</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hen</td>
<td>VICTIM OWNER</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>507565</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rooster</td>
<td>VICTIM OWNER</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>507566</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd Mix</td>
<td>VICTIM OWNER</td>
<td>Black/Tan</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>507567</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic Short Hair</td>
<td>VICTIM OWNER</td>
<td>Black/white</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>507568</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic Short Hair</td>
<td>VICTIM OWNER</td>
<td>Orange Tabby</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>507574</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd Mix</td>
<td>VICTIM OWNER</td>
<td>Tan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>507573</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd Mix</td>
<td>VICTIM OWNER</td>
<td>Black/white</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>507575</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BREED/DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>ANIMAL'S NAME</td>
<td>COLOR</td>
<td>SEX</td>
<td>AGE</td>
<td>LICENSE #</td>
<td>VX CERTIFICATE #</td>
<td>COMD</td>
<td>ANIMAL ID#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd Mix</td>
<td>VICTIM</td>
<td>Red/tan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>507576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd Mix</td>
<td>VICTIM</td>
<td>Tan</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>507577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd Mix</td>
<td>VICTIM</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>507578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd Mix</td>
<td>VICTIM</td>
<td>Tan/white</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>507579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd Mix</td>
<td>VICTIM</td>
<td>White/cream</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>507580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd Mix</td>
<td>VICTIM</td>
<td>White/cream</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>507581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd Mix</td>
<td>VICTIM</td>
<td>Tan</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>507582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd Mix</td>
<td>VICTIM</td>
<td>Tan/white</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>507583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd Mix</td>
<td>VICTIM</td>
<td>Brown/White</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>507584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd Mix</td>
<td>VICTIM</td>
<td>Tan/Black</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>507585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd Mix</td>
<td>VICTIM</td>
<td>Tan/white</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>507586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd Mix</td>
<td>VICTIM</td>
<td>Tan/White</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>507587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd Mix</td>
<td>VICTIM</td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>507588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd Mix</td>
<td>VICTIM</td>
<td>Tan/white</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>507589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd Mix</td>
<td>VICTIM</td>
<td>Tan/white</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>507590</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-163903

ACO name & Badge:X. Delgadillo #2047

01/16/15 14:53, while working case A14-161251, I was asked by the property owner to go in reference to the tenant having more than 30 dogs. When I arrived, I observed approximately 4 to 5 dogs roaming in the yard and several make shift kennels on the property. When I exited the vehicle to photograph the area (plain view was visible) the dogs roaming in the yard approached the fence and several were very thin, emaciated. A gentleman drove up and entered the walk gate and I called him back to my vehicle. I asked him (identified himself as ) if he was the property owner and he stated that he and his wife reside there. I asked him for permission to enter and check the welfare of the dogs in the kennels. He stated that I could not because his wife would not allow it and he was afraid of her. I then contacted Supervisor Tenkate and advised her of the situation and she advised to contact Pima County Sheriff Department to respond. When Deputy Pederson, badge#7730, arrived he attempted to talk to Mrs. and she approached the fence with a green bottle in her hand and was talking on her cell phone. She immediately handed the cell phone to Deputy Pederson stating her attorney was on the line; he took the cell phone and turned around, when he turned, Mrs. sprayed my eyes with the bottle she was holding. I asked her what was in the bottle and she stated" Holy Water". Mrs. stated that we did not have permission to enter and that a search warrant would need to be obtained to enter. I contacted Supervisor Tenkate and Deputy Pederson began making notifications to his supervisors. It was then decided we had exigent circumstances to enter due to the health and welfare of the animals.

Deputy Pederson and I entered the yard through the unlocked walk through gate and began making our way to the front door. Mrs. came out of the residence and stated that we needed to have a search warrant. Deputy Pederson asked Mrs. to step aside and talk with him so that he may explain exigent circumstances. While the Deputy was speaking with Mrs. I began observing the kennels and the conditions of the dogs. I observed approximately 13 to 15 homemade kennels made of up old wooden pallets; some plywood for roofs; chain link and chicken wire for fencing. These makeshift pens were of poor condition; the doors had been nailed shut or wired shut.

Some of the dogs had a small amount of water; others had no water, the dogs weight were in poor condition for their breed and size. All the makeshift kennels were littered with excessive waste; some piled in several areas of the pens.
At the beginning of the path to front door there were two rooster and rabbit in makeshift crates on the left and one chicken on the right in a makeshift crate.

I then began impounding the dogs that were roaming loose on the property with the assistance of Mr. , a total of 5. Mrs. at that time, had become belligerent with the Deputy and for safety concerns, Mrs. was placed in the Deputy’s patrol car.

Two terriers were housed in a homemade dog crate; which had no water and was not sufficient in size to house two small dogs. The crate next to it had a Chihuahua that was emaciated with hip bones protruding; no water or food was present. I had impounded 13 dogs and did not have anymore kennel space in my vehicle. While waiting for Investigator Klein and Officer Hinte to arrive to assist with the impound, Detective Crehan arrived and the impoundment was ceased in order to obtain a search warrant as it was deemed a felony case after the Detective reviewed the confinement and conditions of the dogs.

While waiting to obtain the search warrant, Deputy County Attorney Rona Kreamer arrived to observe the scene. Officer Downing #1923 arrived and I received permission to escort Investigator Klein, Officer Downing and Officer Hinte to kennels so that we could plan the extraction of the dogs. After viewing the kennels, we exited the property and waited for the warrant to be served in order to begin the impoundment.

At approximately 18:50 we were allowed to enter and resumed impounding the dogs. The dogs from pen #3 and 4 were removed without incident. These dogs were emaciated and the ribs and hip bones very prominent. While removing the dogs from pen #5 (two dogs in this kennel), the dog in pen #6 rushed the fence of pen #5 and the chain link gave and the dog pulled Investigator Klein’s jacket and pulled her to the fence and was bitten on her right forearm. After this incident it was deemed unsafe to continue as we had no lighting and or path from the kennels to the open yard was very narrow and a large amount of debris in the area. We concluded the impoundment at this time.

On January 17, 2015 at approximately 07:50, Officer Delgadillo #2047, Officer Hendrickson #2066, Officer Robledo #1990 and Investigator Carver #1901 arrived to continue with impoundment of the dogs. Deputy Gallo from Pima County Sheriff was on scene.

We started the impoundment with the pen #6, which was the biter from the previous day. The dog was very aggressive and was obvious that it was never socialized. To remove this dog we had to partially dismantle the kennel. There was a dog in med-sized dog crate in the open area between kennels. I asked Mr. the dog in the crate where did it come from; when we left the previous night, there were no loose dogs. Mr. stated that the dog got out of its kennel and I asked him to show me which one. He pointed to the front of the kennels, which later marked as kennel #16.
I went to the kennel and the top had an opening where the dog escaped from. This kennel was completed enclosed with areas for minimal light to seep through.

When we reached kennel #9, the dog was also very aggressive and as Investigator Carver was attempting to remove the wiring and nails to obtain access, the dog bit him on his right index and pinky finger through an opening in the pallet. I attempted to assist in opening the kennel as well and the dog continued to try to bite, we then had to use wire cutters to obtain access and remove the dog. The remaining kennels required wire cutters and tools to remove the nails to obtain access. The dogs in kennel #13 were four shepherd/retriever mixes.

The kennel was not sufficient in size to house four dogs. The dogs had no room and would jump on the side of the walls to try to go around each other.

The dog in kennel #15 was completely enclosed and had no water; the dog had a dog crate that he could barely fit into. In order to extract this dog I had to crawl into the kennel, and when I attempted to extract him, he cowered and went into the dog crate.

We completed the impoundment and left without incident.

1/21/15 17:32 Arrived to residence, gate locked honked horn. Mr. came to the gate and he asked if he could redeem Cutie 507556. I advised him I was here to serve bond paperwork for the animals removed from the property. He stated that he just wanted Cutie. I asked him if Olga was available and he stated that she was showering. I asked him if he wanted to sign the forms he stated that he did not want to but he would take copies for . I signed all 8 pages served at 1736 and served to Florencio Arvizu. I attached a photo of Mr. with the copies in his hand.

Officer's Signature:  

Date: 1/23/15
MEMORANDUM

TO: Kim Janes, Chief of External Operations
FROM: Neil Konst, Animal Care Field Supervisor
DATE: 2/1/15
RE: Dangerous Dog Cases for January 2015

Oro Valley:
1. A14-162323 David Shelton; dog named Sumi was declared Dangerous by Investigator Carver who is monitoring compliance.

Pima County:
2. A14-161990 Desiree Herndon; dog named Coco was declared Not Dangerous by Investigator Eckelbarger.
3. A14-1159477 Lauren Di Angilo; dog named Harvey was declared Dangerous by Investigator Klein who is monitoring compliance. The owner has requested a hearing which is scheduled on 2/6/15.
4. A14-162261 Robert Wilson; dog named Odie was declared Not Dangerous by Investigator Carver.

City of Tucson:
5. A14-162381 Kenneth Bamford; dog named Ozzy was declared Dangerous by Investigator Klein. The dog is currently impounded. The owner has requested a hearing which is scheduled on 2/5/15.
6. A14-154735 Alex Salomon; dog named Red was declared Vicious by the court. Investigator Eckelbarger monitored and reported the owner was in full compliance.
7. A14-155804 Alisa Mendoza; dogs named Mister and Duke were declared Vicious by the court. Investigator Eckelbarger is monitoring compliance.
8. A14-162551 Daniel Escobar; dogs named JoJo and Charlie were declared Not Dangerous by Investigator Eckelbarger.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pet Name</th>
<th>Case #</th>
<th>Owner's Last Name</th>
<th>Owners Number</th>
<th>Case Number</th>
<th>UPHLD/NOT UPHLD</th>
<th>Number of HEARING</th>
<th>Number of RELNOUNISHED</th>
<th>Number of IMPOUNDED</th>
<th>Number of DANGEROUS ANIMALS DECARED</th>
<th>Number of NOT DANGEROUS ANIMALS DECLARED</th>
<th>Number of ASSESSED ANIMALS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Investigation Report

Suspect: David Ray Shelton

Suspect's Address: 4004 N. Young Rd.

Suspect's Business Address: N/A

DIOS THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAWER OF RIGHTS? YES NO

I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case

REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. 8 4405 (B) and (C) 296 (B)

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS

3RD PARTY CITATIONS

QUIRANTE 10 15 45 180

CITING ACO A. Kirby #2057

VICIOH OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CITES/REFERENCES 8-9-1 (A), 8-3-1

VIETRALE

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL

Papillon/ Chihuahua

Victim

Owner

Siberian Husky

Victim

Owner

Victim

Owner

Victim

Owner

Victim

Owner

Victim

Owner

Victim

Owner

Victim

Owner

CITATIONS/NUMBERS 73484

ANIMAL'S NAME Color SEX AGE LICENSE # VX CERTIFICATE # COND ANIMAL ID#

BeBe Black/White F/S A Dead

Sumi Black/White F A L14-233647 Normal

Witness 1

DOB ADDRESS RESIDENCE PHONE # BUSINESS PHONE #

Witness 2

DOB ADDRESS RESIDENCE PHONE # BUSINESS PHONE #

Witness 3

DOB ADDRESS RESIDENCE PHONE # BUSINESS PHONE #

Witness 4

DOB ADDRESS RESIDENCE PHONE # BUSINESS PHONE #
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-162323

ACO name & Badge: A. Kirby #2057

On December 20, 2014 at approximately 1206 hrs I Officer Kirby #2057 responded to Case #V14120864, regarding a dog on dog attack resulting in one dog's death. Upon arrival I met with OVPD Officer Merker V257 and the owner of the victim dog,

stated that at approximately 1100 hrs she was walking all 3 of her dogs in the desert common area behind the homes on her street. She stated she was walking behind a black/white husky mix named Sumi jumped from the yard or and attacked her dog Bebe dragging it up the hill. She stated that Sumi jumped back into its yard for a moment before jumping out and attacking her second dog, then jumping back into its yard for a brief moment, then attacking her third dog. Only the 1st dog died in the incident. stated she rushed the dog to the vets office where the vet simply told her it was dead and there was no evaluation done. OVPD Officer Merker contacted the vets office and was informed the dog was brought in already dead and that they observed some bruising on the chest, the vet also advised OVPD Officer Merker that an offer for the vet to determine cause of death was denied by advised she wanted citations issued on her behalf for the attack on her dog.

I then went to meet with the dog owner at the address I met with the owner of Sumi, a black/white female husky, and explained the reason for my visit. stated the claims were impossible since the dogs were inside all morning while his landscapers were working. claimed the dogs were capable of jumping the back wall if they were outside unattended, and he is aware of this and that is why they are always with him when he lets them out. As requested I issued citations for biting animal and leash law on behalf of was explained his citations, court date, time, and location, stated he understood and signed the citation.

Officer's Signature:  

Date: 12/20/14
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department  
Pima Animal Care Center  
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.  
Tucson, AZ 85718  
Phone: (520) 243-6560  
Fax: (520) 243-6599  
www.pimaanimalcare.org

**Suspect**  
DESIREE HERNDON

**ACO NAME / BADGE #**  
J RADEMAKER  
A14-161990

**COMPLAINT NUMBER**  
A14-161990

**DATE AND TIME OCCURRED**  
12/15/14 / 0830

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**  
SECRET CANYON DR AT CLOUD CANYON

**DATE AND TIME REPORTED**  
12/15/14 / 0938

**FOOD**  
□ □ □ □ □ □

**WATER**  
□ □ □ □ □ □

**SHELTER**  
□ □ □ □ □ □

**INJURED/ILL**  
□ □ □ □ □ □

**VENTILATION**  
□ □ □ □ □ □

**ABANDONED**  
□ □ □ □ □ □

**TIE OUT**  
□ □ □ □ □ □

**BEATEN**  
□ □ □ □ □ □

**WASTE**  
□ □ □ □ □ □

**OTHER (EXPLAIN)**  
□ □ □ □ □ □

**LEASH**  
□ □ □ □ □ □

**Victim/Complainant Name**  

**D.O.B.**  

**Residence Phone No.**  

**Business Phone No.**  

**Address and Phone Number Same As Victim**  
□ □ □ □ □ □

**Relationship To Victim**  

**Phone Number**  

**Veterinarian**  

**Clinic's Address**  

**Location Of Incident**  
SECRET CANYON DR AT CLOUD CANYON

**Date And Time Occurred**  
12/15/14 / 0830

**Date And Time Reported**  
12/15/14 / 0938

**Breed/Description**  

**Victim or Owner Animal**  

**Animal's Name**  

**Color**  

**Sex**  

**Age**  

**Tag Color**  

**License #**  

**VX Certificate #**  

**Condo**  

**Animal ID#**  

**Golden Retriever**  

**Victim**  

**Owner**  

**Sam**  

**Gold**  

**M**  

**11Y**  

**L14-096602**  

**Current**  

**Inj**  

**Boxer/Pitbull**  

**Victim**  

**Owner**  

**Coco**  

**Tanwhite**  

**F**  

**3Y**  

**L15-165519**  

**Current**  

**N**  

**Heeler/Sharpei**  

**Victim**  

**Owner**  

**Ronan**  

**Tanwhite**  

**M**  

**3Y**  

**Expire 10/22/13**  

**Previous Violations**  

**Expire 10/22/13**  

**N**  

**Witness 1**  

**M**  

**F**  

**Dog**  

**Address**  

**Residence Phone #**  

**Business Phone #**  

**Witness 2**  

**M**  

**F**  

**Dog**  

**Address**  

**Residence Phone #**  

**Business Phone #**  

**Witness 3**  

**M**  

**F**  

**Dog**  

**Address**  

**Residence Phone #**  

**Business Phone #**  

**Reviewed By**  
KOOSTI  
12/23/14
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-161990
ACO name & Badge: J RADEMAKER 2019 ; D DOWNING 1923

On December 15, 2014 at 09:38AM Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) dispatch received a call from complainant who stated that the dog named "Coco" and another brown boxer mix type dog came running across the wash into the complainant's neighborhood and the dog "Coco" attacked her dog while she was walking her dog on a leash. She was able to break them apart and some how got "Coco's" collar and license number. The complainant wants citations and restitution. She stated that the dog is always out.

On December 17, 2019 at 1053AM I, Officer Rademaker #2019, went to in response to a leash law animal attack complaint by I met with and her husband . I saw and photographed their currently licensed Golden Retriever dog Sam, the victim of the attack.

said that she was walking Sam on leash in their gated neighborhood with neighbor . They were on near the traffic mirror at the intersection of and when 2 dogs came from the desert to the South and attacked Sam. Sam suffered multiple injuries to his left ear in the attack. The ladies were able to intervene and chase the attacking dogs away. In the melee ended up holding the collar of one of the attacking dogs. It is an orange nylon collar and has a pink personalized "bone" tag saying "COCO" and "" and a PACC license #165519. PACC records show that license issued to for COCO, a brown 3 year old boxer. described the dogs as being mostly brown boxer mix dogs and said they belong to a house, visible to the South from the place of the attack, that is in another neighborhood. She showed me the house and I photographed it for this report. She said the dogs have come into her neighborhood frequently and caused problems. She will put together a list of neighbors who have knowledge of these dogs. has had Sam treated at Sunrise Pet Clinic for his injuries and has incurred veterinary bills of $466.00 to date, with more anticipated.

requests that PACC issue citations on her behalf to the owners of the attacking dogs for leash law and biting animal violations. She also requests a dangerous dog evaluation on both dogs and restitution for her veterinary expenses.
After meeting with and went to to meet with the owners of Coco A357168. PACC records identify the owners as and . I was met at the front door by who identified himself as the son of the owners. He said his birthday was and that he was a freshman at UA and that he resided on campus and was visiting his parents for the holidays. Also present was juvenile who said she was years of age. I tried to explain the complaint to but he kept interrupting with various legal theories and explanations of the incident, although he said he was not present at the time of the incident. He did state that the 2 dogs are Coco and Ronan and brought them to me to photograph. He said Ronan belongs to his sister who resides in California and is also visiting for the holidays. He said she was not present at that time. The dog, Ronan is a 3 year old tan male heeler/sharpei mix adopted from PACC by . Its license and rabies vaccination both expired 10/22/13. Owner was arrested by PCSO on at the parents residence. Ronan was impounded then on activity A13-120139 at Officer Downing 1923 in response to a request from PCSO that stated the owner was arrested.

came to me with a cell phone and told me that her mother wanted to speak with me. I answered, identifying myself, and immediately began to berate me for being on her property and talking to her children. I explained that was over 18 years of age and that I was there on official business investigating a complaint. She said that the residence is hers, the dogs are hers and I should be talking to her and not the children. I asked when we could meet and if she would be available later in the day. She replied not until Friday. I said that I was off then and she then said Monday before 0900AM. She refused to consider meeting on Sunday. I asked for a gate code to get into the gated neighborhood and she would not give me one.

I found that is President of and lists herself as a consultant to on her Linkedin profile.

On December 22, 2014 I went to at 0800AM and was not there. Her daughter, , said she was out walking and for me to return at 0900. I returned and met, briefly, with who was very upset and confrontational. I attempted to explain the complaint and she refused to hear me out, saying she was upset with the complainant’s behavior.

We were speaking at the main entrance to the residence, a double door, and . I opened the door and the dog Ronan came out onto the patio and attacked me. It bit at my legs, ripping my pants at the inner right thigh but not puncturing my skin. and I believe at least one of her children retrieved and removed the dog. went inside saying she would not speak with me any more. I said I wanted to impound Ronan and she said he was going away today, which I took to mean possibly going to California with daughter.
I asked PACC dispatch to have the Pima County Sheriff's Office (PCSO) respond. She came out with her son and drove away in a Chevrolet SUV prior to PCSO arriving. I posted a notice of violation and a law brochure on the front door and left a copy of Pima County's animal laws.

I next went to _______ and met with _______ confirmed that she had been walking her puppy Mike along with _______ and her dog Sam on 12/15/14 at 0830AM when Sam was attacked by two dogs. _______ said she was familiar with the attacking dogs as she had contact with them and their owner previously when they were at large in her neighborhood. _______ positively identified the photos I had of Coco and Ronan as being the attacking dogs and further identified Coco by name. I explained that she might be called to testify at court and she said she understood.

On December 23, 2014 at 0932 AM Officer D Downing 1923 met with _______ at her residence. He explained the nature of the complaint and issued the citations to her and assigned her a court date. She received copies of the citations. Officer Downing 1923 gave her information on how to get copies of the report.

Officer's Signature: [Signature]

Date: 12/23/14
### INVESTIGATION REPORT

**Pima County Health Department**<br>**Pima Animal Welfare Center**<br>**4003 North 6th Avenue**<br>Tucson, Arizona 85719<br>Phone: (520) 298-7615<br>Fax: (520) 298-7616<br>www.pimaanimalwelfare.org

---

**Suspect:** Lauren Di Angelo<br>**Suspect's Address:**<br>**Suspect's Business Address:** Unknown<br>

- **Sex:**<br>- **Weight:**<br>- **Height:**<br>- **Eyes:**<br>- **Hair Color:**<br>- **Origin:**<br>- **DOB:**<br>- **SSN:**

---

**Food Water Shelter Injury Ill Ventilation Abandoned Tie Out Beaten Waste Other (Explain):**

- **Victim/Complainant Name:**<br>**D.O.B.:**<br>**Residence Phone No.:**

---

**Victim's Business Address:** Unknown

- **Address and Phone Number Same As Victim:** Yes No<br>**Relationship to Victim:**

---

**Address Phone Number:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VICTIM</th>
<th>OWNER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buster</td>
<td>Black</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvey</td>
<td>Bl Mer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Witness 1**<br>- **Sex:**<br>- **DOB:**<br>- **Address:**

**Witness 2**<br>- **Sex:**<br>- **DOB:**<br>- **Address:**

**Witness 3**<br>- **Sex:**<br>- **DOB:**<br>- **Address:**

**Witness 4**<br>- **Sex:**<br>- **DOB:**<br>- **Address:**

---

**Breed/Description:**

- **Doodle**
- **2BH**

---

**Animal's Name:**<br>**Color:**<br>**Sex:**<br>**Tag Color:**<br>**License #:**

---

**Residence Phone #:**

---

**Animal Control:**

- **Case #:** A14-148500

---

**ID:** A
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-162505

ACO name & Badge: Klein 1926

On December 6, 2014 at 1839 hours I arrived at and met with .

I stated she was with her 8 year old and 17 year old sons walking their three dogs on leashes in their neighborhood on November 5, 2014. They were walking on the sidewalk when they came upon two dogs being walked by two females on the opposite side of the street. As they passed each other the other two leashed dogs began to bark, growl, snarl and lunge toward dogs. She stopped and the other two continued to struggle with their two dogs while they continued to walk.

When they circled the block they encountered the two dogs being walked again. They were on the opposite side of the street walking southbound as walked northbound. The 4 year old black Poodle was on a leash held by the 8 year old son. The 6 month old female Bloodhound was on a leash held by the 17 year old son. the male 6 year old Pom/Poodle mix was on a leash being held by

The 2 dogs across the street began acting in the same aggressive manner. She stated they were barking and lunging at the end of their leashes.

said she saw the black, white and grey cattledog break free. The dog came running across the street and went after , the black 4 year old Poodle. said the cattledog grabbed and shook him violently. The 8 year old dropped the leash as he was being attacked. The owner came and got the cattledog off of . stumbled a few feet then collapsed. got everyone home and rushed to Southern Arizona Vet Specialty Clinic . She stated he was screaming out and then stopped. He had labored breathing and became non responsive. When they arrived the clinic staff started CPR but were unable to save . He died at the clinic.

said the other dog owner came to her house the following day and offered to pay for half of the medical bills. After discussion she agreed to pay the full amount.
stated she does not want criminal citations issued to the
owner of the cattle dog but she does want a dangerous dog assessment. She
has been very concerned because there are several other dogs and small
children in the neighborhood. She added that she doesn’t know dog breeds
and does not know what type of cattle dog it is. She said it might be a Heeler,
Aussie or Border Collie. She said that the owner told her that they adopted
both dogs from PACC and do not know the dogs’ history.

She was told that another dog was attacked by the same cattle dog on
Wednesday (12-5-14). She then spoke to who resides at
and confirmed that his dog was attacked by the same cattle dog.
He told her that the second dog, a pitbull mix was with the cattle dog but that
dog did not attack.

I informed that I would try to make contact with and I
will refer this to my supervisors so a dangerous dog assessment can be done.
I understood the procedure and is willing to testify if needed. I
took photographs of and and asked if she would email photos of

I went to and received no answer. I left a notice asking to let
me know when they would be available. I heard/saw no dogs on the property.

On December 23, 2014 at 1719 hours I arrived at and
met with . I explained that I am responding due to 2 incidents
reported to me regarding her dogs being at large and attacking other dogs.

stated the most recent incident happened when a friend of her
child’s did not shut her front door completely and her black and white pitbull
mix named ran out to meet a dog that was being walked by its owner.
She said there were no injuries and her dog was just being overly
friendly.

stated the incident that occurred in November happened when
the other dog owner was on the other side of the street walking in the
opposite direction with three dogs and several children. She stated those
dogs instigated her 2 dogs by barking at them. She said one of the children
across the street let go of the leash of a poodle and when the poodle ran off
her Heeler, named /, chased after the poodle and they began to fight.

said she immediately went to get Harvey. She said that one of
the children, a boy was kicking at the dogs and when she bent down to get
Harvey the boy punched her. She said the owner told her that the poodle died
from a heart attack. She thought the Poodle may have died from being kicked.

I explained that I needed to take photographs of and to have the
other dog owner identify the dogs. She brought me inside of her home so I
could take the photographs.

I then went to the complainants’ house and showed her the photographs of
and . She identified /, the Heeler as being the dog that
attacked her Poodle. She stated that her son did not let go of the leash until
she told him to. That was after Harvey ran across the street and started
attacking her Poodle.
I then returned to . . . . and explained that the other dog owner does not want citations issued but she did request a dangerous dog assessment. I provided a dangerous dog pamphlet and explained that will be declared dangerous based on the incident. I let her know that I will return after completing all documents.

understood the process and stated she will be keeping the dogs crated indoors and will follow the order of compliance. 1926

Officer's Signature: E.K.\n\nDate: 1-6-15
Eklein #1924
INVESTIGATION REPORT
Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Welfare
400 N. 30th Ave.
Tucson, AZ 85709
Phone: (520) 243-6500
Fax: (520) 243-5542
www.pimaanimalcare.org

ACO NAME / BADGE #
D. Hinte 2068
COMPLAINT NUMBER
A14-162261

BITE [ ] WELFARE [ ] DANGEROUS [ ] OTHER [ ]
CODE IF OTHER:

Suspect
Robert Wilson
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS

ZIP CITY STATE RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER
- Tucson AZ

SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS

ZIP CITY STATE BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER

CR WEIGHT HEIGHT EYES HAIR COLOR ORIGIN DOB SSN

DATE AND TIME OCCURRED
12/19/14 

DATE AND TIME OCCURRED
12/19/14 

FOOD [ ] WATER [ ] SHELTER [ ] INJURED/ILL [ ] VENTILATION [ ] ABANDONED [ ] TIEOUT [ ] BEATEN [ ] WASTE [ ] OTHER (EXPLAIN): [ ]

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAFER OF RIGHTS? YES [ ] NO [ ]

I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case. [ ]

I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case. [ ]

REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-786 (B)

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS- ASSESSMENT REQUESTED [ ]

RESTITUTION REQUESTED [ ]

DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER [ ]

OTHER AGENCY CASE #

SO [ ] TPD [ ] TFD [ ] OTHER:

FOLLOW UP REQUEST:

SO [ ] TPD [ ] OTHER:

ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM [ ]

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM:

PHONE NUMBER:

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS

3RD PARTY CITATIONS

CITING ACO

D. Hinte 2068

PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS

YES [ ] NO [ ]

PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER

YES [ ] NO [ ]

OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

REVIEWED BY:

1-8-15

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/OFFI VIOLATED:

6.04.030; 6.04.120(B)(2); 6.04.070; 11-1010(A)

ARS

CITATIONS/NUMBERS

73857 A-E; 73858 A-C

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL

VICTIM [ ] OWNER [ ]

ANIMAL'S NAME

COLOR

SEX

AGE

LICENSE #

VETERINARY CERTIFICATE #

COMB

ANIMAL ID#

Mix

Victim

Odie

Brown

M

10 yr

Cited

Cited

N A

Greyhound

Victim

Bella Pinare

White/tan

M

6 yr

L15-133775

Current

N A

Witness 1

M [ ] F [ ] DOB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BUSINESS PHONE #

Witness 2

M [ ] F [ ] DOB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BUSINESS PHONE #

Witness 3

M [ ] F [ ] DOB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BUSINESS PHONE #

Witness 4

M [ ] F [ ] DOB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE #

BUSINESS PHONE #
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-162261

ACO name & Badge: D. Hinte 2068

On December 19, 2014 at 10:32 AM, Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) dispatch received a call from regarding an attack on her dog, stated that while walking her dog with a neighbor at approximately 9:00 AM that morning, a dog ran out of an open gate into the street and bit her dog. She stated that she transported her dog to Sahuaro Vista Animal Clinic for treatment for puncture wounds on one shoulder.

On January 6, 2015 at 6:24 PM, Officer Rademaker #2019 and I, Officer Hinte #2068, arrived at 2 to speak with owner of the victim dog. It was stated that she was walking her greyhound at 9:00 AM on December 19, 2014 with her neighbor when a dog, exited its yard at and began attacking her dog. She stated that grabbed her throat and she began kicking him to get him off. A good samaritan in a white pickup also stopped to help get off her dog.

The owner did not know the man’s name but is working on obtaining it from neighbors. The owner, then yelled at her dog and he went back in the gate. It was stated that she rushed her dog to the vet without further contact with . Vet bills have exceeded $1400.00 to date.

We requested that citations be issued, that the court order restitution of veterinary expenses, and a dangerous dog assessment be conducted.

We then made our way to and met with who stated that he was in the car at the time, and his wife had just opened the front gate for him to exit the property. It was at this time that ran from the yard and bit . We explained the leash law in Pima County and informed that we would be issuing citations for leash law and biting animal. We also informed him that because was not current on his license and vaccination he would be receiving citations for that as well. We inquired about any other dogs on the property, to which he volunteered that he had two more, and . Both dogs were not current on license or vaccination.
I received citations in the County for 1x leash law, 1x biting animal, 3x no license, and 3x no vaccinations. We explained his court location, date, and time. He stated he understood, signed and received his copy. We also advised him that the victim requested restitution for veterinary expenses and that a dangerous dog assessment be conducted.

Officer's Signature: [Signature]

Date: 10/08/15
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department  
Pima Animal Care Center  
4000 N Silverbell Rd  
Tucson, Arizona 85745  
Phone: (520) 243-5900  
Fax: (520) 243-9990  
www.pimaanimalcare.org

**SUSPECT**  
Kenneth Bamford

**ACO NAME / BADGE #**  
Attebery, 1929  
**COMPLAINT NUMBER**  
A14-162381

**CODE OF OTHER**  
Leash Law

**DATE AND TIME REPORTED**  
12/21/2014 11:05 pm  
**UNIT AND TIME SEIZED**  
12/21/2014 10:55 pm

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**

**FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURED/DULL VENTILATION ABANDONED TIEOUT BEaten WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)**

**I CHOOSE “upon request” rights in this case**

**I WAIVE “upon request” rights in this case**

**REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 8-45  
4405 (B) and § 8-296 (B)**

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE**  
(TF找出) (F找出)

**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM**

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM**

**PHONE NUMBER**

**VET CLINIC**

**CLINIC’S ADDRESS**

**PACC HOME**

**QUARANTINE**

10 15 45 180

**FRA HEAD#**

**3RD PARTY CITATIONS**

**CITING ACO**  
Attebery, 1929  
**PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS**

**PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER**

**OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS**

**BREED/DESCRIPTION**  
Victim or Owner Animal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BREED/DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>VICTIM/OWNER</th>
<th>ANIMAL’S NAME</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>TAG COLOR</th>
<th>LICENSE #</th>
<th>VETERINARY CERTIFICATE #</th>
<th>FEED</th>
<th>ANIMAL ID#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Husky/Australian Shepherd mix</td>
<td>VICTIM</td>
<td>Ozzy</td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td>L14-203661</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Husky/Australian Shepherd mix</td>
<td>VICTIM</td>
<td>Stormy</td>
<td>Blue Merle</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td>L14-227834</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WITNESS 1**  
Tucson Police Officer O’Hara #49786  
**DOB ADDRESS**  
270 S Stone Ave  
**RESIDENCE PHONE #**  
**BUSINESS PHONE #**

**WITNESS**

**DOB ADDRESS**  
**RESIDENCE PHONE #**  
**BUSINESS PHONE #**

**WITNESS 4**

**DOB ADDRESS**  
**RESIDENCE PHONE #**  
**BUSINESS PHONE #**
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-162381
ACO name & Badge: Attebery, 1929

On 12/21/2014 at around 11:38 pm, I, Pima Animal Care Officer Attebery, 1929, arrived at the desert area comprised of the reference dog owner being arrested and dogs needing to be impounded. In addition, a dog bit someone.

I met with Tucson Police (TPD) Officer O’Hara #38876 who related the following.

Police Officers O’Hara, Gouy #53366 and several other officers were in the area about a woman screaming. Under the search light of a Tucson Police helicopter and their flashlights, a tent was found. Inside tent were several people and the sounds of dogs barking.

In the course of getting the people to vacate the tent, female dog owner, , came outside the tent with her 2 Husky/Australian Shepherd mix dogs, 1 black dog named “Ozzy” and 1 blue merle named “Stormy”. April began to have a physical altercation with the police.

During the altercation, “Ozzy” bit Tucson Officer Gouy #53366 on the back of his left thigh. After biting officer, “Ozzy” charged towards another officer, growling and snarling. When dog was about 3 feet from officer a pepper ball was shot at the dog. Dog retreated back to the tent with its canine companion. The tent was the secured.

Officer Gouy’s wound was photographed by the police and he left to go to the hospital prior to my arrival. Wound was described as a puncture type wound to back of thigh. Officer Gouy wants cited issued for both dogs running loose and for the black dog biting him. He also wants medical restitution and a dangerous dog evaluation on the black dog.

had been arrested by the police and had removed from the scene. I met with husband, . Per , he has lived in Tucson all his life and had the dogs for years.

I explained the leash law/ biting animal laws/ rabies protocols and dangerous dog evaluations to claimed that both dogs were handy dogs and were currently licensed but did not have the paperwork to prove his claims. When asked what training the dogs received, he claimed that
the dogs had not been trained. stated that both dogs were licensed at Pima County Animal Care Center but had no paperwork to prove it. was unable to provide me with any photo identification, so I took his picture and he gave me his personal information.

was cited for both dogs running loose and not having current licenses/rabies shots and for “Ozzy” biting a human. “Ozzy” impounded for quarantine at Pima Animal Care Center.

I was able to determine later from Pima Animal Care Center’s records that both dogs had current licenses and rabies shots. I also found out that the unfenced land where incident occurred actually belongs to the United States Government.
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

**Pima County Health Department**

**Pima Animal Care Center**

**400 N. Silver Bell Rd.**

**Tucson, Arizona 85718**

**Phone:** (520) 243-5590

**Fax:** (520) 243-5599

www.pimaanimalcare.org

---

**Suspect:** Alex Salomon

**ACO Name / Badge #:** Robert Tovar 2021

**Complaint Number:** A14-154735

**Date and Time Reported:** 08/28/14 / 1332

**Date and Time Occurred:** 08/27/14 / 1830

---

**Victim / Complainant Name:**

**D.O.B:**

**Resident / Phone No.:**

**Business Phone No.:**

---

**Non-Violation**

**Part of Body Bitten:** R leg

---

**Citing ACO:** Robert Tovar #2021

**Previous Violations:**

**Previous Case Number:**

**咎 Additional Reports:**

---

**Victim or Lawful Representative Signature:**

**Code / Ord Violated:** 4-91; 4-7(2)(B); 4-81; 4-76

**Citations / Numbers:** 73277

---

**Victim or Owner Animal:**

**Animal's Name:**

**Color:**

**Sex:**

**Age:**

**Tag Color:**

**License #:**

**Vet Certificate #:**

**Cond:**

**Animal Id:**

---

**Witness 1:**

**DOB:**

**Address:**

**Residence Phone #:**

**Business Phone #:**

---

**Witness 2:**

**DOB:**

**Address:**

**Residence Phone #:**

**Business Phone #:**

---

**Witness 3:**

**DOB:**

**Address:**

**Residence Phone #:**

**Business Phone #:**

---

**Witness 4:**

**DOB:**

**Address:**

**Residence Phone #:**

**Business Phone #:**
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-154735

ACO name & Badge: Robert Tovar #2021

On August 28, 2014 at 1337 hours called the Pima Animal Care Center to report that he was riding his bicycle on E 32nd Street when a pit bull ran up and bit him on his right hamstring. He added that he did not know the residence or address of the dog owner.

On August 31, 2014 at 19:06 hours I, Officer Tovar #2021, arrived at
I met with the bite victim who said that on August 27th, 2014, at 1830 hours he was riding his bicycle on west of Alvernon Way. He explained that he saw a large brown or possibly red pit bull sitting in an unconfined front yard and when he rode by the dog charged him and bit him on the back of his thigh. I photographed the large bite wound which also had a lot of bruising. The victim said that a man came out of the house and asked if the dog had bitten him. Victim said that he told the man that the dog bit and that he did not see where the dog had gone after that.

The victim stated that he went to the Hospital the next day for treatment as he does not have a vehicle and did not have a ride to the hospital the day of the bite. He stated that he was given an injection and prescriptions for antibiotics and pain medication. He added that he received the first rabies injection. Victim said that he is requesting citations be issued to the dog owner if we find him and the dog. He then said that he can show me the house where he is sure the dog that bit him came from. Victim said that he does not have a car so I gave him a ride in my work truck so he could show me where the dog owner possibly lives. The victim pointed out a house at and stated that he was sure that is where the dog came from. I stopped at this residence and made contact with an adult, and explained the reason for my visit. said that there is a red pit bull at this address that belongs to her brother-in-law but he was not home at this time. I asked her if I could see the dog and she told me that since she is not the registered owner of the dog she did not feel comfortable showing me the dog.
said that he had gone to the store to get work supplies and would be home later this evening. She then said that he would be home tomorrow after 1700 hours. I left a Notice for her to give to him. I returned the victim to his residence. I looked up in chameleon and found that he had a pit bull registered to him. I gave the victim a Notice with the Bite and Activity numbers as well as the end of quarantine date. I told him that I would attempt to meet with him tomorrow so I could photograph his dog and show it to him for identification purposes. Victim said that he would be home tomorrow evening.

On September 1, 2014 at 14:45 hours I, Officer Tovar #2021, arrived at
I met with the dog owner, who allowed me to photograph his dog named Red. I asked his dog has a current rabies vaccination and license. said that Red was not current on either. I drove to the victim's residence where I showed him the pictures of Red. The bite victim said that he was 100% sure that the dog I showed him was the biter dog. He stated that he still wanted citations issued to the dog owner.

I returned to the dog owner's residence and issued third party citations on behalf of the bite victim to for Leash Law and Biting Animal. I also cited for No License and No Rabies Vaccination.

signed and received his copy of said citation. I impounded dog, Red, for quarantine. I completed an Animal Quarantine at Pima Animal Care Center form. signed the form indicating that he would redeem his dog at the end of the quarantine period. I gave him his copy of said form.

Officer's Signature: Robert Tovar #2021 Date: 9-1-14
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4006 N. Black Hills Pkwy
Tucson, Arizona 85712
Phone: (520) 442-3380
Fax: (520) 243-8690
www.pimaanimalcare.org

---

**SUSPECT**

**Alisa Marie Mendoza**

**SUSPECT'S ADDRESS**

**ZIP** | **CITY** | **STATE** | **RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER**
--- | --- | --- | ---

**SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS**

**Not Given**

**ZIP** | **CITY** | **STATE** | **BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER**
--- | --- | --- | ---

**SEX** | **WEIGHT** | **HEIGHT** | **FUR** | **HAIR COLOR** | **ORIGIN** |
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**

**DATE AND TIME REPORTED**

09/13/14 / 0935 hrs

**DATE AND TIME OCCURRED**

09/13/14 / 0745 hrs

**FOOD** | **WATER** | **SHELTER** | **INJURED/ILL** | **VENTILATION** | **ABANDONED** | **TIE OUT** | **BEATEN** | **WASTE** | **OTHER (EXPLAIN)** |
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---

**LOCATION OF INJURIES OR MISHAP**

**DAMAGED OR DESTROYED PROPERTY**

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)**

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE**

**REQUEST/WAIVER**

**FOLLOW UP REQUEST**

** Verbally**

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM**

**VET CLINIC**

**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM**

**EXCEPTION**

**DATE QUARANTINED**

**RELEASE DATE**

**DATE QUARANTINED**

**RELEASE DATE**

**QUARANTINE**

**3RD-PARTY CITATIONS**

**CITATIONS/NUMBERS**

**73378**

**BREED/DESCRIPTION**

**ANIMALS NAME** | **COLOR** | **SEX** | **AGE** | **LICENSE #** | **VX CERTIFICATE #** | **CONID** | **ANIMAL ID#** |
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---|

**Pit Bull/ Mix**

**DUKE** | **Black/White** | **M** | **2 yrs** | **N**

**German Shepherd/ Mix**

**MISTER** | **BLACK/TAN** | **M** | **2 yrs** | **L14-224726** | **N**

---

**Witness 1**

**DOB** | **ADDRESS** | **RESIDENCE PHONE #** | **BUSINESS PHONE #**
--- | --- | --- | ---

**Witness 2**

**DOB** | **ADDRESS** | **RESIDENCE PHONE #** | **BUSINESS PHONE #**
--- | --- | --- | ---

**Witness 3**

**DOB** | **ADDRESS** | **RESIDENCE PHONE #** | **BUSINESS PHONE #**
--- | --- | --- | ---

**Witness 4**

**DOB** | **ADDRESS** | **RESIDENCE PHONE #** | **BUSINESS PHONE #**
--- | --- | --- | ---

---

**ACO NAME / BADGE #**

**A. Kirby #2057**

**COMPLAINT NUMBER**

**A14-155804**

**CODE IF OTHER**

**WELFARE** | **DANGEROUS** | **OTHER**
--- | --- | ---

---

**Wooden Star**
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-155804

ACO name & Badge: A. Kirby #2057

I Officer Kirby 2057 arrived at and met with the victim. He stated that at approximately 0745 AM he was working in his yard when he noticed 2 dogs barking and attacking his horse. The dogs were a black and white Pit Bull wearing a harness and a black and tan German Shepherd. He stated he went over to the corral, threw rocks and yelled at the dogs, at which time they took off. He stated a short time later he drove around the neighborhood and was able to locate the dogs at

with an open gate to the property, allowing the dogs to roam freely off the property. As stated he was going to have a vet treat the horse for injuries and would like citations issued for biting animal as well as the dogs being loose, and is requesting restitution for his vet bills. I photographed the injuries as well as some canine paw prints that were inside the corral.

I Officer Kirby then went to the dog owner’s house and met with an unknown Hispanic female who refused any assistance in a very irate manner and ordered that I get off the property. I then requested Tucson Police, and the unknown female left the property. A short time later the owner of the dogs on the property arrived along with Tucson Police Officer Pina. I then spoke with the owner of two dogs on the property that fit the description of the dogs that attacked Horse, Mister a black and tan Ger. Shepherd mix and Duke a black and white pit bull mix wearing a harness. Mister was current on license and vaccination. Stated she had just gotten the other dog, duke and was in the process of getting the license and vaccinations done. Was explained the situation and issued cites for biting animal and leash law for her 2 dogs on behalf of

was explained her court date, time, and location and signed.

Officer’s Signature: 

Date: 09/13/14
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department  
Pima Animal Care & Adoption Center  
4000 N. Sabino Canyon Rd.  
Tucson, Arizona 85750  
Phone: (520) 243-6080  
Fax: (520) 243-6090  
www.pimaanimalcare.org

**SUSPECT**  
Daniel Escobar

**ACD NAME / BADGE #**  
X. Delgadillo #2047

**COMPLAINT NUMBER**  
A14-162551

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**

12/24/14  
16:07

**DATE AND TIME OCCURRED**  
12/24/14  
16:00

**FOOD WATER SHelter INJURED/ILL VENTILATION ABANDONED TIEOUT BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)**

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)**

**REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405(B) and § 8-286 (B)**

**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM**

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM**

**PHONE NUMBER**

**LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS**

**CLINIC'S ADDRESS**

**QUARANTINE**

10 15 45 180

**FRA HEAD#**

**CODE/ORD VIOLATED**

4-81, 4-76, 4-97, 4-7-2(B)

**PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS**

YES NO

**PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER**

**OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS**

**REVIEWED BY**

KONST 12/27

**BREED/DESCRIPTION**  
**VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL**

**ANIMAL'S NAME**  
**COLOR**  
**SEX**  
**AGE**  
**TAG COLOR**  
**LICENSE #**  
**VX CERTIFICATE #**  
**COND**  
**ANIMAL ID #**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pit Bull Mix</th>
<th>Victim Owner</th>
<th>Victim Owner</th>
<th>Victim Owner</th>
<th>Victim Owner</th>
<th>Victim Owner</th>
<th>Witness 1</th>
<th>Witness 2</th>
<th>Witness 3</th>
<th>Witness 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pit Bull Mix</td>
<td>Victim Owner</td>
<td>Victim Owner</td>
<td>Victim Owner</td>
<td>Victim Owner</td>
<td>Victim Owner</td>
<td>Witness 1</td>
<td>Witness 2</td>
<td>Witness 3</td>
<td>Witness 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WITNESS 1**

M F DOB ADDRESS RESIDENCE PHONE # BUSINESS PHONE #

**WITNESS 2**

M F DOB ADDRESS RESIDENCE PHONE # BUSINESS PHONE #

**WITNESS 3**

M F DOB ADDRESS RESIDENCE PHONE # BUSINESS PHONE #

**WITNESS 4**

M F DOB ADDRESS RESIDENCE PHONE # BUSINESS PHONE #
Activity Number: A14-162551

ACO name & Badge: X. Delgadillo

On 12/24/14 at 18:16 I, Officer Delgadillo #2047, arrived to 

references a leash law attack, dog on dog. I met with 

and she explained that at approximately 1600 hours she arrived home and 

opened her vehicle drive-through gate. When she opened the gate she 

observed the neighbors dogs from 

in the street, they then 

charged into her yard and attacked Oreo, her 6 year old poodle mix. 

stated that the neighbor, 

assisted her with stopping the attack. Oreo suffered two punctures, one on 

the chest and one on right hind area. I photographed the dog's injuries and 
noticed several spots of blood in the carport. 

a is requesting 

assistance/restitution with vet care costs for Oreo. 

stated that if 

the dog owner would agree to assist with vet care cost, she would not request 

the third party citations for leash law and biting animal. I advised her that I 

would relay the request to the dog owner.

I then met with 

stated 

that his dogs were not the attacking dogs and that the lady across the street 

always accuses him of having his dogs out at large when her 

dogs are out as well. I advised 

that is requesting 

assistance with vet care for her injured dog. He stated that he would not pay 

for any vet care as he believes his dogs are not liable because his dogs and 

dog were all in the street. I advised him that 

stated 

that his dogs charged into her yard. 

explained he was not at 

home at the time of the attack but his brother in law, 

was the 

one home and witnessed all the dogs in the middle of the street. His brother 
in law explained to him that he and 

were the only parties present. 

I asked 

if his dogs were licensed and vaccinated, he claimed the 

dogs were vaccinated in New Mexico prior to moving to Arizona and was not 

aware of the licensing requirements. I asked him for the rabies certificate 

from New Mexico. He stated that he did not have any documentation.

was cited into Tucson City Court for Leash and Biting Animal for 

JOJO a female white and brown Pit Bull mix and Charlie, a brown and white 

Male Pit-bull mix. 

was also cited for no license and no rabies 

vaccination for both dogs. 

signed his citations and received a 

copy; he was advised of his court date and time and the City of Tucson 15 day 
day time limit for reduced fines.

did seek vet care for Oreo and the dog was euthanized.
### HOLD TYPE ENFORCEMENT

**A12-102940**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11/6/14</td>
<td>CONFISCATE FIELD OWN</td>
<td>AGGRESSIVE Activity:A12-102940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/17/2014</td>
<td>ENFORCE</td>
<td>JCHAIZE 12/17/14 17:42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/16/14</td>
<td>The dog owner signed</td>
<td>and received a copy of the Bond form and has until 11/26/14 7pm to post</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and received a copy of</td>
<td>the bond amount of $675.00. (for an Order to Show Cause Hearing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the Bond form</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/06/2014</td>
<td>ENFORCE</td>
<td>EKLEIN 11/6/14 20:29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/10/2014</td>
<td>ENFORCE</td>
<td>JCHAIZE 11/10/14 10:14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If Mr Westfall comes to</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>redeem Sativa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1)serve the premise</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>inspection ordering</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a wellness exam be done</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>on Patches by a licensed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>veterinarian to ensure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>she was not injured on</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>November 3rd,2014.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PACC will not be</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>taking possession of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>her unless it is</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ordered by a judge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>because pacc has not</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>received reports of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>patches displaying any</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>aggression. (2)Serve</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the Bond on Sativa.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>And explain to Mr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Westfall that he MUST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>post all of the bond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>amount to PACC within</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 days. Not 10 business</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>days but 10 straight</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>days as pacc is open</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 days a week.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3) issue the following</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>citations regarding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sativa: 70757.A,B,C,D,E</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DD at large, Preventing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>inspection of a DD,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Failure To comply, No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Insurance , No license</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and 70758 A,B,C no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rabies vaccination, DD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>attack ( Attempt on the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>animals) DD attack</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( Attempt on a human)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(4) issue the following</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>citations regarding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Patches : 70759 A,B,C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leash Law, no License</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and No Rabies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>vaccination.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All of the documents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>are in a folder in my</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>investigator box.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-10-14</td>
<td>The dog owner Mr.</td>
<td>Westfall called the center to inquire about his dog being released.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Westfall called the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>center to inquire about</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>his dog being released.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I advised him of the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>above pending actions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and advised him he</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>needed to come into</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PACC and meet with</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>an investigator or</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>supervisor either today</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>before 7pm or on</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wednesday 11-12-14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>before 7pm. 1914</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/6/15</td>
<td>ENFORCE</td>
<td>JCHAIZE 1/8/15 13:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-8-15</td>
<td>The OSC hearing was</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>held the Judge took it</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>under advisement and a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>decision is pending.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/6/2015</td>
<td>ENFORCE</td>
<td>JCHAIZE 2/6/15 10:03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-6-15</td>
<td>The Court has ordered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the animal forfeited to</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PACC on January 12.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The owner has the right</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>file an appeal to the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Superior Courts. The</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>owner has until 2-9-15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to file, until then the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>animal will be on hold.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-4-14</td>
<td>The bond was paid on</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11-26-14. The dog will</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>be held further until</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the Order to Show Cause</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hearing is set up and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>conducted.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**A15-163903**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/16/15</td>
<td>CONFISCATE FIELD OWN</td>
<td>NORMAL Activity:A15-163903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/12/15</td>
<td>14:19</td>
<td>Page 1 of 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Kennel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/06/15</td>
<td>ENFORCE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/06/15</td>
<td>ENFORCE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/06/15</td>
<td>ENFORCE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/06/15</td>
<td>ENFORCE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/06/15</td>
<td>ENFORCE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/06/15</td>
<td>ENFORCE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/06/15</td>
<td>ENFORCE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/06/15</td>
<td>ENFORCE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/06/15</td>
<td>ENFORCE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1/16/15 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A15-163903
Kennel Comment:

1/16/15 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A15-163903
Kennel Comment:

1/16/15 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A15-163903
Kennel Comment:

1/16/15 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A15-163903
Kennel Comment:

1/16/15 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A15-163903
Kennel Comment: Bond Posted

02/06/2015 ENFORCE
2-6-15
JCHAVEZ 2/6/15 10:28

Per the county attorney we need to hold the animals for a longer period of time possibly additional 10 days to allow the defense attorney to photograph them. 1914

02/06/2015 ENFORCE
2-6-15
JCHAVEZ 2/6/15 10:23

Per the county attorney we need to hold the animals for a longer period of time possibly additional 10 days to allow the defense attorney to photograph them. 1914

02/06/2015 ENFORCE
2-6-15
JCHAVEZ 2/6/15 10:25

Per the county attorney we need to hold the animals for a longer period of time possibly additional 10 days to allow the defense attorney to photograph them. 1914

02/06/2015 ENFORCE
2-6-15
JCHAVEZ 2/6/15 10:31

Per the county attorney we need to hold the animals for a longer period of time possibly additional 10 days to allow the defense attorney to photograph them. 1914

02/06/2015 ENFORCE
2-6-15
JCHAVEZ 2/6/15 10:31

Per the county attorney we need to hold the animals for a longer period of time possibly additional 10 days to allow the defense attorney to photograph them. 1914
Per the county attorney we need to hold the animals for a longer period of time possibly additional 10 days to allow the defense attorney to photograph them. 1914

K15-160837B A607571 DOG GERM SHEPHERD/ 1/16/15 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A15-163903 DR015
Kennel Comment: hold till 02/06
02/06/2015 ENFORCE 2-6-15
JCHAVEZ 2/6/15 10:36

Per the county attorney we need to hold the animals for a longer period of time possibly additional 10 days to allow the defense attorney to photograph them. 1914

K15-160837B A607571 DOG GERM SHEPHERD/ 1/16/15 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A15-163903 DR015
Kennel Comment: 02/01/2015 ENFORCE nkonst 2/1/15 13:26
02/01/2015 Enforcement release, no bond posted....2oo2
02/06/2015 ENFORCE 2-6-15
JCHAVEZ 2/6/15 10:41

Per the county attorney we need to hold the animals for a longer period of time possibly additional 10 days to allow the defense attorney to photograph them. 1914

K15-160837B A607571 DOG GERM SHEPHERD/ 1/16/15 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A15-163903 DR015
Kennel Comment: 02/06/2015 ENFORCE 2-6-15
JCHAVEZ 2/6/15 10:25

Per the county attorney we need to hold the animals for a longer period of time possibly additional 10 days to allow the defense attorney to photograph them. 1914

K15-160837B A607571 DOG GERM SHEPHERD/ 1/16/15 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A15-163903 DR015
Kennel Comment: 02/06/2015 ENFORCE 2-6-15
JCHAVEZ 2/6/15 10:38

Per the county attorney we need to hold the animals for a longer period of time possibly additional 10 days to allow the defense attorney to photograph them. 1914

K15-160837B A607571 DOG GERM SHEPHERD/ 1/16/15 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A15-163903 DR015
Kennel Comment: 02/06/2015 ENFORCE 2-6-15
JCHAVEZ 2/6/15 10:40

Per the county attorney we need to hold the animals for a longer period of time possibly additional 10 days to allow the defense attorney to photograph them. 1914

K15-160837B A607571 DOG GERM SHEPHERD/ 1/16/15 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A15-163903 U014
Kennel Comment: change to vet hold when enforcement hold is taken off 70
02/06/2015 ENFORCE 2-6-15
JCHAVEZ 2/6/15 14:40

Per the county attorney we need to hold the animals for a longer period of time possibly additional 10 days to allow the defense attorney to photograph them. 1914
Per the county attorney we need to hold the animals for a longer period of time possibly additional 10 days to allow the defense attorney to photograph them. 1914
1/17/15  CONFISCATE FIELD OWN  NORMAL  Activity:A15-163903

Per the county attorney we need to hold the animals for a longer period of time possibly additional 10 days to allow the defense attorney to photograph them. 1914

1/17/15  CONFISCATE FIELD OWN  NORMAL  Activity:A15-163903

Per the county attorney we need to hold the animals for a longer period of time possibly additional 10 days to allow the defense attorney to photograph them. 1914

1/17/15  CONFISCATE FIELD OWN  NORMAL  Activity:A15-163903

Per the county attorney we need to hold the animals for a longer period of time possibly additional 10 days to allow the defense attorney to photograph them. 1914

1/17/15  CONFISCATE FIELD OWN  NORMAL  Activity:A15-163903

Per the county attorney we need to hold the animals for a longer period of time possibly additional 10 days to allow the defense attorney to photograph them. 1914

1/17/15  CONFISCATE FIELD OWN  NORMAL  Activity:A15-163903

Per the county attorney we need to hold the animals for a longer period of time possibly additional 10 days to allow the defense attorney to photograph them. 1914

2/6/2015  ENFORCE  2-6-15  JCHAVEZ  2/6/15  10:24

2/6/2015  ENFORCE  2-6-15  JCHAVEZ  2/6/15  10:37

2/6/2015  ENFORCE  2-6-15  JCHAVEZ  2/6/15  10:35

2/6/2015  ENFORCE  2-6-15  JCHAVEZ  2/6/15  10:30

2/12/15  14:19
2068

2/6/15

DHINTE 2/6/15 18:05

Owner must meet with an ACO regarding citations before release
Refer to A15-164976

2068

2/6/15

DHINTE 2/6/15 18:05

Owner must meet with an ACO regarding citations before release
Refer to A15-164976

2068

2/6/15

DHINTE 2/6/15 18:05

Owner must meet with an ACO regarding citations before release
Refer to A15-164976

2068

2/6/15

DHINTE 2/6/15 18:05

Owner must meet with an ACO regarding citations before release
Refer to A15-164976

A15-165208

2/4/15

STRAY FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A15-165208

Kennel Comment: no bite/ dog has chip/license

2nd imp

P361766 Darien Orosco reserved this dog

A15-165240

2/4/15

CONFISCATE FIELD OWN INJ MINOR Activity:A15-165240

Kennel Comment: no chip 3c3c3
Bite wounds, poss pyometra

02/06/2015

ENFORCE

02/04/15 16:41 Enofrcement hold for tie-out, unsecure premise. Must inspect premise prior to release.2047
A15-165322
- Dog
- Queensland Heeler/Rottweiler
- Activity: A15-165322
- 2/6/15 Confiscate field own - normal
- Kennel Comment: didn't bite, no chip found
- 3C3C3C3C
- per Terry Goddard - do not PTS

A15-165335
- Dog
- Pit Bull
- Activity: A15-165335
- 2/5/15 Confiscate field own - normal
- Kennel Comment: no chip 3c3c3c

A15-165341
- Dog
- Andy
- Labrador Retriever/Mix
- Activity: A15-165341
- 2/7/15 Confiscate field own - normal
- Kennel Comment: no scan 3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c3c

A15-165554
- Dog
- Amer. Foxhound/Mix
- Activity: A15-165554
- 2/11/15 Confiscate field own - normal
- Kennel Comment: No bite, No chip together with A510236 3C3C3C3C3C3C3C3C3C3C

A15-165574
- Bird
- Parakeet
- Activity: A15-165574
- 2/9/15 Confiscate field own - normal
- Kennel Comment: TPD owner arrest
- eval room

02/09/2015 ENFORCE
- kwalton 2/9/15 16:24
- 2-9-15 Met with TPD Officer Lobaido and Halverson case #1502090910 regarding owner arrest. The owner was said to be Jose Jameniz. There is the same name in records living on Pin Oak no certainty it is the same person so no ID was made for the owner at this time. The girlfriend was said to be Catalina Ibarra no records under her name either, and neither person was present at the time.
- I impounded a large, very hyper, fawn/white male, Pit bull from the back yard. I scanned the dog, no chip found. I impounded 2 Parakeets 1 yellow 1 blue from inside the house along with the cage as well. I left notice with Officer Halverson and he put it in the house. The officers are not sure when owner is getting out, and they are also aware of the 7 day impound time. 1925

02/10/2015 ENFORCE
- JFrits 2/10/15 14:19
- Per Jose C. Ok to release dog to owner
HOLD TYPE VET
NO ACTIVITY NUMBER RECORDED

1/28/15  EUTH REQ  OTC OWNED INJ SEVERE  Activity:

Kennel Comment: no bite
no chip

D150

2/12/15  14:19
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SHELTER OPERATIONS</th>
<th>THIS MONTH</th>
<th>THIS YEAR TO DATE</th>
<th>LAST YEAR TO DATE</th>
<th>YEAR TO YEAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TUCSON</td>
<td>COUNTY</td>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>TUCSON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOGS</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>671</td>
<td>1,283</td>
<td>4,751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATS</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>2,228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHERS</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL ANIMALS HANDLED</strong></td>
<td><strong>935</strong></td>
<td><strong>863</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,798</strong></td>
<td><strong>7,167</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Live Animals Handled | 803 | 796 | 1,599 | 5,997 | 5,332 | 11,329 | 6,866 | 5,581 | 12,447 | -1268 | 30% |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SHELTER OPERATIONS</th>
<th>THIS MONTH</th>
<th>THIS YEAR TO DATE</th>
<th>LAST YEAR TO DATE</th>
<th>YEAR TO YEAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>IMPOUNDED ANIMALS</strong></td>
<td><strong>ADOPTED</strong></td>
<td><strong>RETURNED TO OWNER</strong></td>
<td><strong>RESCUED</strong></td>
<td><strong>EUTHANIZED</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DOGS</strong></td>
<td>235</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>1,785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CATS</strong></td>
<td>173</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>1,112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OTHER</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL ADOPTED</strong></td>
<td>409</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>2,903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DOGS</strong></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CATS</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL RETURNED</strong></td>
<td>102</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DOGS</strong></td>
<td>81</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CATS</strong></td>
<td>64</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL RESCUED</strong></td>
<td>145</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>1,110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL LIVE RELEASES</strong></td>
<td>656</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>1,213</td>
<td>4,673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL LIVE RELEASE RATE</strong></td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EUTHANIZED</strong></td>
<td><strong>DOGS</strong></td>
<td><strong>CATS</strong></td>
<td><strong>OTHER</strong></td>
<td><strong>TOTAL EUTHANIZED</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DOGS</strong></td>
<td>142</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>1,390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CATS</strong></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1,021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OTHER</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL EUTHANIZED</strong></td>
<td>164</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>2,602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(-)Owner Requested Euthanasia</strong></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adjusted Total Euthanasia</strong></td>
<td>135</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>1,869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EUTHANASIA RATE</strong></td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OTHER</strong></td>
<td>152</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>1,135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ENFORCEMENT OPERATIONS</strong></td>
<td><strong>WEALTH RESPOANSES</strong></td>
<td><strong>ENFORCEMENT CALLS FOR SERVICE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ALTERED</strong></td>
<td>3,265</td>
<td>5,181</td>
<td>8,446</td>
<td>22,642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNALTERED</strong></td>
<td>201</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>1,331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL SOLD</strong></td>
<td>3,550</td>
<td>5,577</td>
<td>9,127</td>
<td>24,434</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Total Live Releases(TLR)=Total Adopted+Total Returned+Total Rescued
**Live Release Rate=TLR/(TLR+Adjusted Total Euthanasia)
***Euthanasia Rate=(Adjusted Total Euthanasia)/(TLR+Adjusted Total Euthanasia)
Enforcement Calls by Jurisdiction - January 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calls Received</th>
<th>MAR</th>
<th>ORO</th>
<th>PIMA</th>
<th>SAH</th>
<th>STUC</th>
<th>TUC</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1,676</td>
<td>2,741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>34.3%</td>
<td>61.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calls Completed</th>
<th>MAR</th>
<th>ORO</th>
<th>PIMA</th>
<th>SAH</th>
<th>STUC</th>
<th>TUC</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>821</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1,656</td>
<td>2,587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>31.7%</td>
<td>64.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donation Code</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION ADOP</td>
<td>$705.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION GEN</td>
<td>$26,368.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION OUTR</td>
<td>$57.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION S/N</td>
<td>$11,836.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION SAMS</td>
<td>$4,601.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$43,567.76</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Donation Activity

**Period:** 7/1/14  
**To:** 1/31/15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donation Code</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DONATION</td>
<td>$130.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION ADOP</td>
<td>$5,963.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION GEN</td>
<td>$211,243.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION OUTR</td>
<td>$3,863.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION S/N</td>
<td>$95,578.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION SAMS</td>
<td>$48,585.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION SHEL 0974</td>
<td>$20,585.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$385,949.10</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Monday, February 02, 2015
### Complaints and Commendations for the Month of January 2015

**1-12-15 thank you letter sent to PACC**

**Commendation**

Two-page letter commending Jennifer Neustadter for significant efforts to get a dog transported by flight to Springfield Missouri after dog's owner passed away.

**1-12-15 E-mail from PACC volunteer sent to PACC staff**

**Commendation**

Commendation for Shelter Supervisor Danny Miranda and AC Technician Oscar Joya Vasquez for their helpfulness, professionalism and courtesy.

**1-5-15 E-mail from Department of Environmental Quality**

**Complaint**

Reported dead dog dumped behind fairgrounds

**Course/Action**

Picked up

**1-7-15 E-mail from District 2 Supervisor’s Office**

**Complaint**

Ongoing problem with wildcat dumping, including dead animals

**Course/Action**

Joint Field Officer and Deputy sweep set up. Effort impounded 12 loose dogs and citing owners for nine other violations.

**1-12-15 Called into Tucson Ward 1 Office, then e-mailed to District 5 Supervisor’s Office**

**Complaint**

Loose dogs in Sunnyside neighborhood

**Course/Action**

Patrols set up, five dogs impounded

**1-27-15 Petition brought downtown; E-mail from District 3 Supervisor’s Office**

**Complaint**

Barking dog

**Course/Action**

Lead complainant contacted and explained noise complaint process and that a noise complaint advisement letter will be sent to animal owner.
January 12th, 2015

Dr. Francisco Garcia,

In early December we lost a beloved family member at the age of 56. A son, brother and uncle, Kenny had resided in Tucson, Az. For the past 10 years, Kenny’s constant companion was “Scruffy”, a 70 lb Terrier/Mix dog. The day after receiving the news of Kenny’s passing, I began making calls to attempt to locate Scruffy. Kenny lived alone and had no family in Tucson. Our family is from Wichita, Ks but I (niece) live in Joplin, Mo. The point being, no one is familiar with Tucson.

Now that you have the prelude, I can share the blessings that have come out of our sadness. In addition, you will understand why you are receiving this letter. As I stated earlier, I needed to find Scruffy. I remember entering “animal shelter, Tucson, Az” in google search. My first choice was “Pima County Animal Care Center” so I called the number on the website. I left a voice message explaining the circumstances hoping that someone would return my call. Not only did a very nice woman call me back but she immediately asked me if I was looking for a dog named Scruffy. I honestly couldn’t contain my relief, excitement and sorrow that were all rolled into 1 big emotion! She assured me that Scruffy, overall, was fine. This precious woman then gave me the phone number to someone who I soon learned was absolutely angelic! I trust that you already know Jennifer Neustadter, licensing supervisor, Pima County Animal Care Center, but I’d like you to know of her efforts to accomplish what seemed to be a near impossible task. Now, for the rest of the story...

When I contacted Jennifer I explained that I wanted to get Scruffy to Joplin, Mo and I asked about organizations that help with such things. After researching, Jennifer called me the following day (after working hours) to let me know there really wasn’t help available for Scruffy’s travel. She did state that the shelter could possibly help with a crate as well as getting Scruffy to the vet for the mandatory physical before he could be put on a flight. I began calling airlines to learn just how a 70lb dog travels. Believe me; it’s more governed than when you or I fly. Multiple times each day, Jennifer and I would talk; sometimes about Scruffy and sometimes about the sadness in losing family so unexpectedly. Eventually we had the needed information regarding a 70lb dog flying “Delta.” I was nearly dreading telling Jennifer the only flight out of Tucson that a “large dog” could fly on left at 7:00 a.m. which meant he had to be there by 4:30 a.m. I think I saved that info for last. We already knew that Scruffy had to be examined and given a certificate of health. Jennifer scheduled this through her personal vet AND loaded Scruffy into her vehicle and got him to his appointment on time. There is typically a $50 charge but my account showed only a $15 debit! Now, considering this was Scruffy’s first time on a plane, Jennifer thought he should look his best. She asked the volunteer groomer to tidy him up so he would appear suave and debonair for any of his 4 legged traveling companions. Without
hesitation the groomer, for no charge, bathed, clipped and brushed Scruffy. Indeed, he was quite handsome! The physical and grooming were completed on a Friday and Scruffy’s flight was scheduled for Monday. Jennifer told me that she was going to take Scruffy home for the weekend so he wouldn’t have to stay in a kennel. Again, this was a weekend, obviously not on the clock! Jennifer sent me several photos and it was obvious that Scruffy was happy and knew that he was safe and loved with Jennifer and her family. Monday morning came and by this time Jennifer knew that Scruffy had to be at the airport at 4:30. Unfortunately, this meant that Jennifer had to leave her home at 3:30 a.m. So, bright and early, Jennifer and Scruffy head towards the airport. All required paperwork and kennel in tow. Around 5:00 a.m. I received a call from Jennifer who sounded as though she had been crying. Somewhere between home and the airport, the certificate of health had been lost. In addition, the kennel did not meet flight requirements. Jennifer was devastated not for herself but for Scruffy. After everything she had done, she felt as though she’d let Scruffy and I down. So, later that day, Jennifer returned to the vet to get a duplicate copy of the health certificate. We then found out that a proper kennel could be purchased from Delta. Again, everything was in order and Scruffy returned home with Jennifer to await his flight the next morning.

Through tears, Jennifer watched as Scruffy was boarded on Delta Airlines, flight 1987. She had come to love Scruffy and would miss him. I’m certain that Jennifer also would tell you that she shed tears for Kenny who she had never met but had become familiar with through her giving nature.

On December 23rd, 2014, Delta, flight 1987 landed in Springfield, Mo. An exhausted, yet debonair Terrier/Mix peeked through the openings of his “airline approved” kennel. Scruffy was home, rescued from an uncertain future, thanks to the kindness and unconditional love of Jennifer Neustadter...our Christmas angel. Please, Dr Garcia, recognize Jennifer for the amazing human that she is. Please, let her know that she is appreciated and her efforts are recognized by you. Thank you for taking the time to read our story.

Sincerely,
From: Kim Janes  
Sent: Thursday, January 15, 2015 3:41 PM  
To: Michael Schlueter  
Subject: Fwd: Danny Miranda and Oscar Joya Vasquez

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From:
Date: January 15, 2015, 12:29:47 PM MST
To: Jose Ocano <Jose.Ocano@pima.gov>, Kim Janes <Kim.Janes@pima.gov>, "Kristin Barney" <kristin.barney@pima.gov>
Subject: Danny Miranda and Oscar Joya Vasquez

Dear Kristin, Kim, and Jose,

I just wanted to take a moment to commend Shelter Supervisor, Danny Miranda, and AC Technician Oscar Joya Vasquez. They are always extremely helpful when I am at PACC volunteering, and they continually go above and beyond to help resolve any issues or concerns that come up. They are both very professional and courteous, and their willingness to assist others deserves to be recognized.

Please relay my great thanks and appreciation to both of them.
PIMA COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
33 N. Stone Ave, Suite 700, Tucson, Arizona, 85701
(520) 724-7400

COMP-TRAK FORM

Tracking # PC1501-008 Date 1/5/2015 Time 08:00 AM Origin PUBLIC
Supervisor District 2 Type WST Descriptor WLD Entry Person

Source Name UNKOWN
Address

City Phone

Location Description BEHIND 402 E. FAIRGROUND

Map Page 107 TRS 14 13 36
Complainant HOTLINE
Address 344 E FAIRGROUND City TUCSON
Phone-Home Phone-Work E-MAIL

Description COMPLAINANT OBSERVED DEAD DOG BEING DUMPED BEHIND 402 E. FAIRGROUND. HANDICAP LICENSE PLATE HAV13.

COMPLAINT CLOSURE

Assigned To CUSTER Date Assigned 1/5/2015 Assigned By TG
Permit/System / Project # Investigation Date 1-5-15 Inspector's Initials CUS
Parcel # Row Latitude 32168202 Longitude -110962608

Referred: ADEQ ___ PC Zoning ___ PC DOT ___ PC Build/Codes ___ Other FALL

Attachments:

Comments

Could Not Verify ___ Insufficient Evidence ___ Duplicate ___
NOC? ___ NOV? ___ OOA? ___ Date Closed 1-5-15 Closed by

COMPLAINT SIGNATURES

Inspector Date 1-5-15
Reviewer Date 1-6-15
Good morning Kristin, this is a historical challenge for the neighborhood and us.

Staff has put together doa and loose dog complaint responses and numbers from this area in the past and your direction on how you want to respond to Supervisor’s request would be appreciated.

Respectfully,

Kim

Good morning,

Supervisor Valadez has asked that I get in touch with the appropriate departments regarding the news story on KVOA last night. http://www.kvoa.com/news/dirt-roads-illegal-dumping-southside-residents-are-fed-up/

It appears that there are numerous health and environmental violations in and around the Franco Wash. There are instances of wildcat dumping and dead animals. It seems that residents have been calling various departments to complain and nothing has been done yet.

Supervisor Valadez is requesting that these items be followed up on and that our office be provided with what was found out by the Franco Wash and what each department has done to deal with the various issues. Please provide this report to our office no later than Wednesday, January 14, 2015.

If you have any questions or concerns please feel free to contact me by email or phone. Thank you.

Jennifer Eckstrom
Executive Assistant to Hon. Ramón Valadez
Board of Supervisors, District 2
130 West Congress, 11th Floor
Tucson, Arizona 85701
520-724-8126
Kim Janes

Monday, February 02, 2015 4:18 PM

Michael Schlueter

From: Kim Janes
Sent: Monday, February 02, 2015 4:18 PM
To: Michael Schlueter
Subject: FW: PACC - Loose dogs in Sunnyside

Kim

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE

From: Neil Konst
Sent: Wednesday, January 14, 2015 1:10 PM
To: Kim Janes
Subject: RE: PACC - Loose dogs in Sunnyside

No record of any other calls from issue. There was another call A14-161875 from December 12\textsuperscript{th} concerning same

Neil Konst  #2002
Field Enforcement Supervisor
Tel: 520-724-5957 Fax: 520-724-5960

From: Kim Janes
Sent: Wednesday, January 14, 2015 11:43 AM
To: Neil Konst
Subject: RE: PACC - Loose dogs in Sunnyside

Thanks Neil, do we have record of any other calls recently from about this area?

Respectfully,

Kim

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE
From: Neil Konst  
Sent: Wednesday, January 14, 2015 11:02 AM  
To: Kim Janes  
Cc: Debra Tenkate  
Subject: RE: PACC - Loose dogs in Sunnyside

Good morning, Activity A15-163842 was set up from the complaint. We have existing calls in area at Officer Walton is familiar with the area and is checking previous problem addresses near the intersection. A morning patrol is being set up for an Officer to check area in morning.

Neil Konst  
#2002  
Field Enforcement Supervisor  
Tel: 520-724-5957 Fax: 520-724-5960

From: Kim Janes  
Sent: Wednesday, January 14, 2015 10:25 AM  
To: Debra Tenkate; Neil Konst  
Cc: Robert Hendrix  
Subject: FW: PACC - Loose dogs in Sunnyside

Good morning Debra and Neil, are you aware of this complaint? Can you provide me an update please?

Thanks.

Kim

From: Kim Janes  
Sent: Monday, January 12, 2015 4:11 PM  
To: Celina Cuaron; Kristin Barney; Justin Gallick  
Cc: Jan Lesher; Jose Ocano; Jose Chavez; Francisco Garcia  
Subject: RE: PACC - Loose dogs in Sunnyside

Of course.

Respectfully,

Kim
From: Celina Cuaron  
Sent: Monday, January 12, 2015 3:44 PM  
To: Kim Janes; Kristin Barney; Jose Ocano  
Cc: Jan Lesher; Andres Cano  
Subject: FW: PACC - Loose dogs in Sunnyside

Kim,

Please assist with this request and give us an update on the resolution.

Thanks,
Celina for Jan
724-8228

From: Andres Cano  
Sent: Monday, January 12, 2015 3:37 PM  
To: Jan Lesher; Celina Cuaron  
Cc: Beki Quintero; Anakarina Rodriguez; Laura Dent; Keith Bagwell  
Subject: Re: PACC - Loose dogs in Sunnyside

Jan,
Can you assist with this, please?

Anakarina, Laura— can you reply all to this and send Beki’s phone number? I can’t seem to find it.

Thanks,

Andrés Cano  
Special Staff Assistant to Supervisor Richard Elias  
Pima County Board of Supervisors, District Five  
andres.cano@pima.gov | 520.740.8126

From: "laura.dent@tucsonaz.gov" <laura.dent@tucsonaz.gov>  
Date: Monday, January 12, 2015 at 3:34 PM  
To: Andres Cano <andres.cano@pima.gov>, Keith Bagwell <Keith.Bagwell@pima.gov>  
Cc: "gagitgirl@msn.com" <gagitgirl@msn.com>, Anakarina Rodriguez <Anakarina.Rodriguez@tucsonaz.gov>  
Subject: PACC - Loose dogs in Sunnyside

Hi All,

Happy new year called in today because apparently there have been several instances of loose dogs running around in Sunnyside, near . The situation has become a hazard for children waiting for the school bus. She indicated that she has reached out to Animal Care to no avail -- we suggested she connect with your office to see if that helps address the concern. She is CC’d here -- Anakarina in our office can serve as a contact, as she works most often with Sunnyside. Thanks

Laura Dent, MPA
Chief of Staff
Council Member Regina Romero
City of Tucson - Ward 1
940 W. Alameda
520.837.4264
http://government.tucsonaz.gov/ward-1
Hi Jose, for your staff action.

Please keep Mike and I posted on this one.

Thanks.

Kim

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE

From: Kiki Navarro  
Sent: Tuesday, January 27, 2015 4:06 PM  
To: Kim Janes  
Cc: Jennifer Cabrera; Anissa Ramirez  
Subject: RE

Good afternoon Kim, hope all is well. Our office received a call from who lives out on

Attached is a petition he brought down to the clerk’s office yesterday. His is having some problems with his neighbor and their barking dog. He has tried to go over to talk to him with no luck. I told him that I would contact your department to see what can be done to help. He asked if someone could give him a call as well. His number is

. Thank you

Kiki Navarro  
Supervisor Sharon Bronson’s Office, Chair  
Pima County Board of Supervisors  
District 3  
(520) 724-8051
December 10, 2014
Tucson, AZ 85713

Foothills Board of Directors:

We, the undersigned residents of Tucson, wish to formally complain about the continued dog barking from

1 Names
I would like to close by saying that the horse in the picture I am showing you is down and dying at Castaway Treasures as we speak. At 3:30 today, a panicked neighbor called me and also the sheriff and livestock control, and now those parties are dealing with in some way, hopefully, the current extreme suffering inflicted by the brunos.

Castaway Treasures was reported against extensively for the condition of a number of dogs and horses that are in a state of obvious neglect there during late January and into early February by concerned citizens who witnessed conditions at the property or became aware of it through extensive calls to action by Wild Hearts Rescue Ranch. There are a number of underweight dogs in filthy kennels with no comfort items or enrichment beyond plastic dogloos and chewed up food bowls. Dogs are regularly without water and/or food for lengthy periods of time, and PACC did indeed cite them for the resulting condition of several of the dogs. There are several emaciated horses, and every horse there has at least one obvious neglect issue, be it hooves destroyed by neglect, emaciation from improper feeds and lack of dental care, or untreated wounds. There are more than two decades of complaints by the community and surrounding neighbors against the Brunos regarding the treatment and living conditions of the animals. I would say their intentions towards their animals are very well documented. Especially in an Arizona Daily Star article from 2011 in which Adrian Bruno describes, quite specifically, the forced isolation of the dogs, stating they are never taken out of their kennels, given toys, or allowed human contact. Her excuses for this range from the other dogs barking because of it to activating the prey drive of dogs that will never see the outside of a kennel by giving them toys or comfort items like blankets. This makes no sense to any animal care professional. The same attitude is given to the neglected and obviously confinement-deranged horses. Volunteers are FIRED for touching them, talking to them, brushing them or trying to dig them out of their feces filled corrals in any way. Animal Care regularly states that they cannot act on behalf of distressed livestock. I assure you this is not true as a matter of law, but perhaps as a matter of some ill-conceived policy. In fact, ANY of the numerous code enforcement agencies, animal care officers, sheriff's deputies and detectives could have acted for any and all of these animals to remove them from these squalid and hopeless conditions. At least at PACC the dogs would get comfort items, care and attention from volunteers and veterinarians, the chance to be adopted and experience a life outside of waiting to die in a filthy kennel alone. Surely, if PACC is a better quality of life than they now have, they qualify for removal, not to mention the ongoing and well documented neglect of animals at that location being a good indicator of how their future will look. Wild Hearts Rescue Ranch stands at the ready to provide the horses with immediate medical care, clean, dry ground and hoofcare to stop lameness and infection, and humane euthanasia for the obviously irreparably suffering equines. My hope is, speaking today will at least provide relief to the dogs and horses by getting them out of their feces filled kennels and corrals and into an environment where they receive medical care and can be evaluated better to assess the grave cost of their current environment to their health and mental welfare. Some of these dogs may still be saveable. Most of the horses likely are. If relief could be found for those animals, my heart along with theirs would receive tremendous relief. I cannot imagine the mental and physical cost of living out the remaining years of your life with such purposeful, even ENFORCED, isolation and desperation. My wish is to return dignity, health and enrichment to
every animal unfortunate enough to have fallen victim to these outrageous circumstances and create an awakening amongst our enforcement officials who essentially joined in the Bruno's quest to see these animals live out a life of loneliness, pain, neglect, and filth. This situation is a blight on our county's reputation and moral good standing. Anyone who agrees with seeing these animals live out their life in such desperation should be relieved of their position so that a moral, decent person can take their place. Thank you for your time this evening and do consider doing the right thing. We, the citizens of Pima County, will be carefully considering the future of our current elected and appointed officials based on matters like this.