Pima County Animal Care Advisory Committee
Minutes
April 21, 2016
3950 S. Country Club Road
Tucson, Arizona 85714

Approved 5-19-16

1. Call to Order

Mr. Neuman called the meeting to order at 5:37 pm.

- Attendance

Present:
Tamara Barrick, Pima Paws for Life
Nancy Emptage, Animal Welfare Coalition
Pat Hubbard, Humane Society of Southern Arizona
Pat Jacobs, Tucson Kennel Club
Sophia Kaluzniacki, DVM, SPCA of AZ, Inc
Derek Marshall, Public Education
Helen Mendelsohn, Disabled Community
Jack Neuman, Chair, PACC Volunteers
Erin O'Donnell, DVM, Southern AZ Veterinary Medical Association
Jane Schwerin, People for Animals in the Prevention of Cruelty and Neglect
Gail Smith, MD, Board of Health

Absent:
Marcy Flanagan, Health Department Deputy Director, Ex-Offico (Health Department Director, Dr. Francisco García served in Ms. Flanagan's place.)

- Pledge of Allegiance

2. Adoption of the Minutes

- Adoption of the March 17, 2016 Meeting Minutes

Originally the motion was made and seconded (Hubbard/Emptage) to adopt the minutes as written. Ms. Schwerin said during last month's Budget Discussion (item three), a question she had asked about if a donation for spay and neuter would purchase extra spaying and neutering over what would be done without the donation, and the question's answer, were omitted from the draft minutes; she requested they be added to the minutes. Mr. Neuman requested Mr. Schlueter go back to the recording and bring back details about the specific discussion Ms. Schwerin was referring to, for the next meeting. Ms. Schwerin continued that on page four of the draft minutes, item six, wherein Ms. Flanagan spoke about the Board of Supervisors passing the Advisory Committee ordinance, the draft minutes state, "The Board made some changes;" however, Ms. Schwerin stated Ms. Flanagan used the words, "minor changes." Ms. Schwerin asserted that the changes were not minor to her; to her they were major changes, but she wanted the minutes to reflect Ms. Flanagan said, "minor changes."

There was no vote on the first motion. A second motion was made and seconded (Mendelsohn /Emptage) that the March 17, 2016 meeting minutes be adopted in part (item three pending), as written, with the one amendment reflecting Ms. Flanagan said, "minor changes," as requested by Ms. Schwerin. The motion carried (11-0).

3. Animal Care Center Budget Discussion

This item was duplicated on the agenda. Discussion recorded under item six, Management Report, Budget Update.

4. Welfare and Dangerous Dog Cases from March and Recent Animal Care Center Holds Snapshot

Dr. Smith asked what happened to the dog in welfare case one. Supervisor Tenkate said the dog is still at PACC and goes home every night with a PACC veterinarian who is fostering the dog. She added the owner is on the do-not-adopt list. Ms. Emptage requested the court be asked to ban the owner from owning animals, since the do-not-adopt list only keeps an individual from adopting from PACC, not other agencies. Ms. Schwerin said the owner in this case did not have money for the dog's medical treatment and cited that as an example of the need for better screening for adoptions. Also the owner was giving the dog cranberry juice to treat a urinary tract infection and the PACC officer said such a remedy would only work at the onset of symptoms. Ms. Schwerin said in her experience the cranberry juice would not help. Dr. Kaluzniacki said the juice would not help the infection and cautioned against officers giving medical advice. Supervisor Tenkate acknowledged the caution and said typically officers do not give medical advice. Dr. García added that PACC doesn't want to keep animals, such as the one in this case, in the shelter for a long time, so a foster or adoption placement is sought.

Dr. Smith asked what happened with the limping dog from welfare case two. Supervisor Tenkate said as of 3-16-16 the dog was no longer limping. She added the owner was cited for no license and no vaccination. At this time staff does not know if the dog has been licensed yet.

Ms. Emptage asked if there was a recheck on the dog from welfare case three. There had not, but PACC has not received any more complaints from the neighbor.

Dr. Smith asked if the aggressive dogs in welfare case four had dangerous dog evaluations. They had; were declared dangerous; and were euthanized at PACC. Ms. Emptage expressed that the lack of veterinary care for the injured dog to her was sufficient to not allow the owner to keep his/her other dogs. Dr. Smith asked if there is a continuum of levels of severity regarding neglect and if there are higher bonds for more severe cases. Supervisor Tenkate said it is up to the judge. Ms. Hubbard asked at what point does a case become a felony. Supervisor Tenkate said the cases are turned over to law enforcement for review and for a felony there has to be intent, which is hard to prove. Dr. García added that for a felony case the standard of evidence is very high. He continued that PACC cannot remove an animal without cause related to that animal; however judges have more discretion. An un-adjudicated bad outcome for one animal is not sufficient justification to confiscate another animal. Mr. Neuman asked what the liability would be if PACC took an animal that a judge says should not have been taken; would it just be give the dog back. Dr. García said the County Attorney says animals are property that cannot be seized without appropriate cause. Ms. Schwerin said the animals in distress law should be called the animals in distress or danger law and the law says animal care officers can take animals in danger. Dr. García said he will bring the issue back to the County Attorney's Office for review. Ms. Schwerin said officers can cite for cruelty instead of neglect. She felt cruelty sounds worse than neglect. Supervisor Tenkate said charging for both cruelty and neglect would be duplicitous. Dr. Kaluzniacki commented that people get charged with two charges all the time.

Ms. Mendelsohn asked why the dog in welfare case five was not confiscated. Supervisor Tenkate said because the owner agreed to keep the dog inside and there have been no more complaints. Dr. García added the law requires PACC employee the least restrictive option. Ms. Schwerin said she had never heard of the least restrictive law. Dr. García said it is a judicial concept not a law. There was no dangerous dog assessment requested or done.

Dr. Smith said the owner in welfare case six should not be allowed to own a pet. Supervisor Tenkate said the owner is on the do-not-adopt list. Ms. Emptage asked if PACC shares the do-not-adopt list. They do not. Mr. Neuman requested sharing the list with other agencies be an upcoming agenda item. Ms. Schwerin asked why the citation for this emaciated dog was for neglect, not cruelty. Mr. Neuman suggested there is too much subjectivity in these cases. Supervisor Tenkate said staff is changing how they cite from citing on scene to citing after all information is available after the veterinary exam.

Mr. Neuman asked how many welfare cases the Committee actually sees. Supervisor Tenkate said all of them, one month at a time. From past conversations, members of the Committee were under the impression that there were hundreds of these cases and they only saw a select few. Dr. García said the new enforcement manager will be charged with looking at processes such as these citations. Mr. Neuman requested the new manager be introduced to the Committee once on board. Ms. Emptage added that the dangerous dog form could use some assessment as well. Ms. Mendelsohn requested when owners are placed on the do-not-adopt list that it be expressed in in the information the Committee receives so the Committee doesn't have to ask. Ms. Schwerin asked about leaving the names in the welfare cases; she wanted the names included. She added that the names of those accused of murder appear in the paper, so why not include the names in the welfare and dangerous dog report. Mr. Neuman questioned what having the names does for the Committee. Dr. García said he will consult with the County Attorney's Office on the request.

Dr. Smith said welfare case eight was horrible; the dog had maggots and was dying. She felt the whole family should be on the do-not-adopt list. The owner was cited for neglect, no veterinary care. The Committee expressed several individual comments that the citation should be for cruelty. Dr. O'Donnell said there needs to be different levels of neglect and there should be a cruelty level of neglect.

Ms. Schwerin referred to dangerous dog case one in which a dog bit a mail carrier and charged at a PACC officer. The dog was declared not dangerous. Ms. Schwerin asserted that the dog was clearly dangerous and the system is not working. Dr. Smith pointed out the dangerous dog point system form was used; the score did not add up high enough; and stated the system is not perfect.

Ms. Schwerin referred to dangerous dog case three and said the report indicates the dog in question attacked another dog at an offsite adoption event; there was a note that it was aggressive towards smaller dogs; and there was a note that the dog exhibited fearful or unsafe behavior. She asserted the dog was obviously dangerous and the point system needs to be revised. Mr. Neuman stated in a past meeting when the point system was an agenda item it was discussed that the system was created through a non-scientifically based discussion roughly 16 years ago. He asked if the system could be reviewed and made more defensible. Dr. García said the dangerous dog instrument is one of the items to be reviewed by the new enforcement supervisor when he gets on board. Ms. Mendelsohn asked about PACC's liability in light of the documented behavior. Dr. García said the liability issues are complicated, but that in this case the law says the liability is on the owner. Ms. Emptage also

expressed concern on the training for staff making dangerous dog determinations, in addition to the point system.

Ms. Schwerin said there will be an agenda item on next month's agenda regarding the enforcement of dangerous animal laws and provided copies of a November 17, 1999 letter from George Miller, who was the Tucson Mayor at that time, regarding dangerous animals.

5. Call to the Audience

There were no speakers from the audience.

6. Management Report

Dr. García spoke for Ms. Flanagan who could not attend. He utilized a PowerPoint presentation (included in the record) to address several items.

- Building Update

There was no discussion on this item.

- Budget Update

Referring to Ms. Schwerin's question at the last meeting, which she brought up during the minutes discussion in this meeting, Dr. García said if specific funds are donated for specific reasons, then there are two scenarios. The first scenario is a bequest; and with a bequest Dr. García is tasked with generating an investment plan to use the funds for a period of three to five years. The County Administrator and Board of Supervisors then has to approve the plan for PACC to spend the funds as requested. Day to day donations go to three main areas: medical, spay/neuter and shelter operations. PACC cannot spend funds beyond its spending authority, so roughly half a million dollars in spending authority is budgeted in anticipation and hope of receiving these funds.

In 2015 PACC received a significant donation from PetSmart and with those funds PACC has been able to increase pet adoptions, with a 210 percent increase in cat adoptions and a 147 percent increase in PetSmart adoptions overall.

Dr. García provided a one-page handout showing PACC's mid-April budget situation (included in the record). Year to date costs hover just below prorated expenses and year to date revenues are slightly above the prorated revenue prediction. This is the first time in Dr. García's administration that PACC has been on budget. He said reducing overtime; better utilization of staff and, of course, the volunteers are largely responsible for this achievement. Dr. Smith asked for a dollar value for the volunteer program. Dr. García said it is hard to quantify the numerous activities into dollar values. Mr. Neuman said the volunteers give PACC gave about 75,000 hours last year; and Ms. Hubbard said her organization figured their volunteers equated to 81 FTEs (full time employees) last year.

- Events

Friends of PACC raised $41,000 in the Arizona Gives Day fund raising effort. The funds will be used for special medical equipment.

PACC has recently dealt with three hoarding cases in three weeks, totaling over 100 pets. The overwhelming majority of the animals have been placed, including 28 small breed dogs.

With the ordinance on new Committee's structure being passed by the Board of Supervisors the Deputy County Administrator and County Attorney's Office is looking at how the Committee's volunteer representative will be selected. At some point Dr. García wants to come back and report on what the recommendations are for the selection process.

A recent Best Friends / Points of Light Foundation award will give PACC access to a nationally recognized consultant in the area of animal welfare and volunteer programs. This is to help refine and improve PACC's volunteer program and possibly go through volunteer certification.

7. Old Business

- Pima Animal Care Center Enforcement Officer Numbers

There was no discussion on this agenda item. It is to be carried over to the next meeting.

8. New Business

- Use of Welfare Case Comment Sheets to Streamline Welfare Case Discussion

Ms. Emptage asked if the comment sheets have been a good tool. There was general agreement that the comment sheets are a good tool. Mr. Schlueter said some of the comments come back a little late. Generally if the information is sent out in time, then he wants the comments back eight days prior to the meeting.

- Volunteer Code of Conduct, Social Media, and Communication Policies/Enforcement

Dr. García reminded the Committee that several months ago staff discussed establishing a volunteer code of conduct, social media policy and communication policy with the Committee at more than one meeting. He said staff received over 300 public comments and used the feedback to help shape these policies, which are in use. Dr. García said he wants the Committee to look over the final policies and reaffirm them. He continued that recent occurrences coupled with a request from the County Attorney's Office to make the policies more explicit have shaped an effort to cause these policies to be more on people's minds.

- Open-Adoptions Philosophy and Presentation

Dr. García utilized his PowerPoint presentation to speak on this topic. He opened by saying if we start with the perspective that people are coming to PACC with good intentions, we will have a much better success rate in terms of finding long term matches between people and pets. The open adoptions philosophy means looking for ways to say yes instead of reasons to say no. There are cases where no adoption is the correct decision, but these cases should be rare. Open adoption processes are considered industry standard and are supported by many major animal welfare organizations. Adoptions should be more people-work and less paperwork. Maintaining a low length of stay is crucial for all aspects of sheltering. In 2015 11,977 animals were adopted from PACC; 1,977 were returned to owners; 1,788 were euthanized; and 984 were transferred out. There was a question about

monthly statistical reports that the Committee used to receive, but have not been receiving lately, and a request for more regular statistical updates. There were only 500 returns which is a 4.1 percent return rate. The industry standard in about eight percent. Ms. Schwerin pointed out unsuccessful adoptions are not all returns; opined that the return figure is not significant because she believes only a few of the unsuccessful adoptions are actually returned; and stated it is unknown what happened to other unsuccessful adoptions, which could have been dumped in the desert for all we know. Dr. García said Ms. Schwerin makes a good point; however, the return rate is what the industry uses to judge progress and it gives PACC a common proportion to compare with other agencies. Dr. Kaluzniacki asked if relicensing of adopted animals could be used as an indicator of successful adoptions. Dr. García didn't think so because relicensing compliance is poor. PACC intakes are down; live releases are up; and euthanasia numbers have never been lower. Ms. Hubbard added that the Humane Society's intakes are also down. Spay and neuter efforts are believed to be the reason for decrease intakes. Ms. Hubbard also added the Humane Society used to look for reasons to not adopt and in so doing ended up killing a lot of animals. Now they look for reasons to adopt.

Dr. García went over the current adoption guidelines detailed in the PowerPoint presentation, which begin with the adopter being an adult with valid photo identification. He referred to guidance provided to him by [Deputy] County Attorney Paula [Perrera]. Although we have the ability to place some restrictions on who gets to adopt; any policy we implement cannot discriminate against any protected class of individuals; decisions must be based on objectively demonstrable standards of care; policies must be consistently and fairly applied; and any policy should avoid the use of discretion to the greatest extent possible to avoid inconsistent application and claims of arbitrary action on the part of PACC and the County government. PACC will be repurposing three staff positions to the adoption process. Currently the Adoption Coordinator spends significant time at offsite adoptions and there is very limited staff availability for volunteers who anchor the adoption program. Additionally two more staff members will join the adoption team within the next year. Dr. García said there is a need for better training for staff and volunteers. The American Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals and the Humane Society of the United States recommend following up with adopters at three days, three weeks and three months, which is something PACC is looking to do to increase retention and customer service. Some of this follow-up program will be automated.

Questions and discussion followed the presentation. Dr. Smith asked if adopters are given information on topics such as how much to feed an animal and when to seek veterinary care. Dr. García said there is a thick stack of information, but the information is not discussed with the adopters due to time constraints. He continued, in the new facility there will be a lounge area with tables and chairs, away from the noise of the shelter, to facilitate conversation based adoptions. Ms. Emptage requested a copy of the adoption packet and the general consensus was that copies should be provided to the entire Committee. Ms. Schwerin asked what the term "open adoptions" means. Dr. García said it is a philosophy; referred back to that particular presentation slide; and said it means looking for reasons to say yes rather than reasons to say no. Ms. Schwerin said she doesn't think PACC is doing anything about helping adopters get veterinary care. Dr. García referred to health, whether animal or human, as a crapshoot, and said on the rescue side PACC is being very up front about the medical needs of animals. He continued that PACC will not be looking at adopters' tax forms to determine whether or not they can afford veterinary care, saying PACC is not in the position to make that judgment call. However, as PACC does the three day, three week, three month checks that issue can be assessed for. He added that not all returns are failures and acknowledged the strategy is not perfect. Ms. Schwerin asked what happens if someone adopts a dog and then later it gets ran over by a car and the owner has no money for veterinary care. Dr. García acknowledged PACC is not

addressing such a scenario. Later in the discussion Dr. O'Donnell pointed out that the adoption packet includes a certificate for a free veterinarian visit and her clinic invests significant time discussing many topics, including veterinary care, to set adopters up for success. She added that she wanted the free visit certificate toward the top of the packet. Mr. Neuman stated donations are built into the budget; people are not giving to save taxes; and suggested donations could go into a fund as a resource for needs such as assisting with veterinary care. Dr. García referred to the forming of the 501c3 Friends of PACC entity which he said is able to do these types of things; then balanced that against accountability to PACC partners and their different budgets and priorities. Ms. Mendelsohn asked if there is a limit on the number of animals someone may adopt from PACC. Dr. García said he would have to check on the answer. Mr. Neuman said he doesn't think the population is intrinsically good; referred to the presence of numerous law enforcement officers as evidence of his opinion; continued that law enforcement presence is there to ensure people don't do bad things; and used his example to say that PACC needs to likewise ensure things are done right regarding animals being adopted. Mr. Jacobs provided a rebuttal to Mr. Neuman's remarks, saying he believes everyone in the meeting loves animals; the people in PACC love animals; 90 percent of people are good; and that the presence of law enforcement does not indicate society is not good. Dr. García said he wants to make the right thing, regarding adoptions, the easy thing. Ms. Schwerin reminded the meeting that per the code adoptions need to be into a suitable home.

Mr. Neuman said he wanted reduction of the animal length of stay on the agenda again. Dr. García added that requesting the jurisdictional partners make their ordinances consistent in allowing shorter lengths of stay, for owned animals, was a major topic at the last jurisdictional partners meeting.

9. Donations: A total of $35,401.59 in donations was received during the month of March.

   There was no discussion on this agenda item.

10. Complaints and Commendations: There were no complaints and one commendations received by staff during March.

    There was no discussion on this agenda item.

11. Call to the Audience

    There were no speakers from the audience.

12. Announcements, Schedules and Proposed Agenda Items

    There were several agenda items mentioned, mostly during other discussions.

    Ms. Emptage asked about an update on a suggestion from a previous meeting, about updating dog tags by adding a sticker similar to how stickers are added to license plates. Many of the current tags don't have PACC's current phone number.

    Ms. Emptage wants to discuss the possibility of changing the courts' authority to ban individuals from owning animals from three years to five years.

    Mr. Neuman requested the sharing of the do-not-adopt list with other agencies be an agenda topic.

Ms. Schwerin said the enforcement of dangerous animal laws will be on the next agenda.

Mr. Neuman requested the shortening of shelter animal lengths of stay be added back onto the agenda.

Mr. Neuman requested the new enforcement manager be introduced to the Committee once on board.

At some point how the Committee's volunteer representative will be selected should come back to the Committee.

The Pima Animal Care Center Enforcement Officer Numbers item and the Volunteer Code of Conduct, Social Media, and Communication Policies item from today's agenda still need to be addressed.

13. Next Meeting – May 19, 2016

The next meeting will be at the Abrams building.

14. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 8:06 pm.

NOTICE
PUBLIC MEETING OF THE
PIMA COUNTY ANIMAL CARE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

April 21, 2016 – 5:30 p.m.

Abrams Building
3950 S. Country Club Road
Tucson, Arizona
(520) 724-7729

Functions of the Committee

1. Serve in an advisory capacity to the Board, and to the Manager of the Pima Animal Care Center (PACC); and
2. Review and evaluate the operations of the Center to make recommendations in writing to the Board for the formulation of guidelines to assure that:
   A. The Center's operations are conducted in the best interest of the public health and safety; and
   B. The Center keeps pace with the most modern practices and procedures of animal care and welfare; and
3. Review complaints from the public concerning policies of the Center and make recommendations for resolution to the proper authority.

AGENDA	
1.	Call to Order • Roll Call • Establishment of Quorum and Pledge of Allegiance
2.	Review and Adoption of Minutes: • Adoption of March 17, 2016 meeting minutes
3.	Animal Care Center Budget Discussion
4.	Welfare and Dangerous Dog Case from March and Recent Animal Care Center Holds Snapshot
5.	Call to the Audience
6.	Management Report • Building Update • Budget Update • Events
7.	Old Business • Pima Animal Care Center Enforcement Officer Numbers
8.	New Business • Use of Welfare Case Comment Sheets to Streamline Welfare Case Discussion • Volunteer Code of Conduct, Social Media, and Communication Policies/Enforcement • Open-Adoptions Philosophy and Presentation
9.	Donations: A total of $35,401.59 in donations was received during the month of March.
10.	Complaints and Commendations: There were no complaints and one commendation received by staff during March.
11.	Call to the Audience
12.	Announcements, Schedules and Proposed Agenda Items
13	Next Meeting – May 19, 2016
14	Adjournment

Copies of this agenda are available upon request at the Pima County Health Department, 3950 S. Country Club Road, by calling 724-7729 or at www.pima.gov/animalcare. The Committee may discuss and take action on any item on the agenda. At the conclusion of an open call to the public Committee members may only respond to criticism made; ask staff to review the matter raised; or ask to include the matter on a future agenda.

Should you require ADA accommodations, please contact the Pima County Health Department at 724-7729 five (5) days prior to the meeting.

Pima County Animal Care Advisory Committee
Minutes
March 17, 2016
3950 S. Country Club Road
Tucson, Arizona 85714

Draft

1. Call to Order

Mr. Neuman called the meeting to order at 5:35 pm.

- Attendance

Present:
Tamara Barrick, Pima Paws for Life
Nancy Emptage, Animal Welfare Coalition
Pat Hubbard, Humane Society of Southern Arizona
Jack Neuman, Chair, PACC Volunteers
Jane Schwerin, People for Animals in the Prevention of Cruelty and Neglect
Gail Smith, MD, Board of Health
Marcy Flanagan, Health Department Deputy Director, Ex-Offico

Absent:
Pat Jacobs, Tucson Kennel Club
Sophia Kaluzniacki, DVM, SPCA of AZ, Inc
Derek Marshall, Public Education
Helen Mendelsohn, Disabled Community
Erin O'Donnell, DVM, Southern AZ Veterinary Medical Association

- Pledge of Allegiance

2. Adoption of the Minutes

- Adoption of the February 18, 2016 Meeting Minutes

Ms. Schwerin said her discussion on page five of the draft minutes was recorded inaccurately in that it states: "However, Ms. Schwerin's point was dogs which should be declared dangerous…" when it should reflect it was former Mayor Miller's point she was bringing up. Ms. Schwerin chose to edit the text verbally in lieu of providing a written edit as requested by Mr. Neuman.

The motion was made and seconded (Smith/Emptage) that the February 18, 2016 meeting minutes be adopted with the aforementioned edit. The motion carried (6-0).

3. Animal Care Center Budget Discussion

Ms. Flanagan introduced Garrett Hancock, Health Department Business Manager, who utilized the attached PowerPoint presentation to explain how budgets are done in Pima County.

In June of 1980 Arizona voters amended the Arizona Constitution prescribing an expenditure limitation for each county, city, town, and community college district. The purpose of the expenditure limitation is to control expenditures and limit future increases in spending to adjustments for inflation; deflation; population growth. There are exceptions for natural or manmade disasters or if approved by two-thirds of the governing board and a majority of the qualified voters. The budget process cycle

begins in October for the fiscal year which begins the following July. Mr. Hancock discussed the budget timeline and in-process adjustments that occur, often due to State cost transfers and insurance cost changes. In May the Board of Supervisors passes a tentative budget, which sets the budget limit, and then the final budget adoption is in June. To come up with the Department's total budget Finance starts with the current adopted budget figure and makes adjustments for any known differences. Anything in excess of the adjusted figure has to be pursued as a supplemental budget request and most of those do not get approved.

PACC's budget is divided into three parts: the PACC special revenue fund (fund 2001), the PACC grants fund (fund 2042), and the PACC bequests fund (fund 2131). Mr. Neuman asked which fund general donations go into. Mr. Hancock said donations go into fund 2001; while a grant from PetSmart goes into fund 2042; and if someone dies and leaves PACC their house it (the money from the house) goes into fund 2131. Spending authority is based on projections. Mr. Neuman asked what happens if the projected revenue amount is exceeded. Money taken in in excess of the expenditure authority goes into an account. Mr. Hancock said it cannot be spent during the current fiscal year because it is not in the budget, but can be budgeted in future years. He gave the example of the bequest money coming in and being included in budgets going forward, but not in the budget year the money was received. Ms. Emptage asked about how general donations are divided out and Mr. Hancock said a portion goes to cover costs attributed to the various municipalities. Once the County puts money into PACC's fund, the County cannot take it back. Dr. Smith asked if surplus funds in PACC's account carried over to another year result in the County reducing the general fund contribution. Mr. Hancock said in theory the County could do so, but has not. He continued that this year is the first year of his three years with the Health Department wherein PACC is not over budget. PACC's overages have been covered by the Health Department's budget in years past. Ms. Barrick said that the Committee has trouble accepting that donations are being rolled into PACC's budget to cover operations instead of for the animals specifically. Ms. Hubbard asked if money given for a specific purpose, such as spay and neuter, actually goes for that purpose. Mr. Hancock said it does. He continued that the County's financial management system tracks all the money and has stops within the system to prevent spending beyond authorized thresholds for specific master agreements (contracts) and commodities. Ms. Schwerin asked why people should give donations for things PACC is already doing. Mr. Hancock said because PACC is traditionally over budget and could not do all the things they want to do without the donations. Mr. Neuman said there is a pie of money which comes into the County; asserted that the community wants PACC to have a larger portion of the pie; and continued that donations should not be considered part of the pie. Mr. Hancock said he, PACC and the Health Department administration all agree PACC needs more money. He went on to relay that prior to PACC's tent going up the Board of Supervisors granted an extremely rare mid-year adjustment to cover the additional costs associated with erecting the tent, but PACC still went over budget due to the additional operating cost associated with housing the additional animals in the tent.

PACC's fiscal year 2015/2016, current, budget is $8.8 million with projected revenues of just under $6.5 million. The difference is made up by the general fund subsidy. Next year's budget is over $9.175 million. Dr. Smith asked if the revenues include donations; Mr. Hancock said they include anticipated donations based on trends, but would not include a bequest. In response to a question Mr. Hancock explained grants have reporting requirements and audits.

DRAFT

4. Welfare and Dangerous Dog Case from January and Recent Animal Care Center Holds Snapshot

Ms. Schwerin referred to welfare case one and said the officer recommended the owner get kennel runs for outside and crates for inside. She asserted that kennel runs are bad enough and crates are even worse. She said crates are cruel and she wished officers would not recommend crates.

Regarding the third case, Ms. Schwerin asked why the case is closed if the dog is not licensed. Supervisor Neil Konst said the situation is now in Justice Court's hands. Ms. Emptage pointed out that at the last meeting the Committee recommended owners be required to license their dogs within 30 days of a no-license citation. Ms. Flanagan said the Committee's recommendation has been forwarded to the County Attorney's Office. Supervisor Konst added the owner may have given the dog away and would therefore no longer needs to license it.

Ms. Schwerin said case four had dogs without water and the owner was allowed to redeem one of the dogs without it being neutered and she asked why the dog wasn't neutered. Supervisor Konst said all five dogs were given up to PACC, four were confiscated and the fifth was brought in.

Ms. Schwerin said case five was very bad. She said a dog was on a tie-out without water or shelter, which indicates a very bad owner. The owner received citations and the dog was eventually returned to the owner and the question was asked why. Supervisor Konst replied the report had nothing about the dog being in distress or bad shape. There has not been a follow-up visit.

Ms. Schwerin said case seven included a badly injured dog and the owner surrendered the dogs, but she added the owner should not be allowed to own animals. Supervisor Konst reported two of the dogs were euthanized and one died; he added the owner is on the do-not-adopt list. Ms. Emptage asked if the list is shared with other agencies and Konst replied it is not. Ms. Emptage requested that topic be an agenda item going forward.

5. Call to the Audience

There were no speakers at from the audience.

6. Management Report

Ms. Flanagan reported on two topics.

- Accreditation Site Visit

The Department is going through the accreditation process and had a site visit last week and it went well. There were a number of positives noted by the accreditation body: dedication and passion, high function, good leadership, good work with community partners, and good relationship with governance. Areas for improvement mentioned were: there were a couple ADA accommodations on the second floor they wanted addressed, having a data analysis person who can make reports to help operational efficiencies, and having a data analysis system. The Department should hear back on the accreditation in April or May.

DRAFT

- Pima County Animal Care Advisory Committee Ordinance Change

The ordinance went to the Board of Supervisors on Tuesday. The Board made some changes. They added that the Committee will include a member from the Board of Health. The terms of the current Committee members will end at the end of June this year, as opposed to the phase out originally proposed. And the County Administrator appointed staff position will be a non-voting position.

Ms. Schwerin commented that four individuals, including her, will have their terms expire earlier than originally proposed, originally the end of June 2018. She attributed this change to comments by Mr. Neuman at the Board of Supervisors meeting.

7. Old Business

- Shortening Lengthy Animal Holds

Ms. Flanagan said [Deputy County Attorney] Paula [Perrera] is on her final revision of language aimed at reducing these holds, but it was not done in time for the meeting, so Ms. Flanagan will bring the language to the next meeting for the Committee's review, then to the Board of Health, then to the Board of Supervisors.

8. New Business

- Introduction of New Volunteer Coordinator

Ms. Flanagan introduced the New Volunteer Coordinator Gina Hansen. Ms. Hansen came to PACC from the Humane Society. She briefly listed programs she ran at the Humane Society and expressed her desire to bring new programs to PACC, bring in more volunteers and do anything to help the animals.

- Pima Animal Care Center Enforcement Officer Numbers

Mr. Neuman said he was moving this item to next month's agenda, but requested information from staff regarding how the enforcement officer numbers compare to numbers from the 60s and 70s and how numbers compare to the community population numbers. PACC Operations Manager Jose Ocano said there are two final candidates for the enforcement manager position; and he is presently looking at how enforcement operations are handled and how they can be made more efficient.

9. Donations: A total of $24,655.02 in donations was received during the month of February.

Ms. Flanagan commented that donations not specified for a particular purpose are used to offset medical costs; and that donations have to be projected as revenue to be budgeted in to be able to be used.

10. Complaints and Commendations: There were no complaints and no commendations received by staff during February.

There was no discussion on this agenda item.

DRAFT

11. Call to the Audience

There were no speakers from the audience.

12. Announcements, Schedules and Proposed Agenda Items

Mr. Neuman said a number of volunteers have complained about adoption criteria and wants this to be an agenda item for the next meeting.

Ms. Emptage said she is still working on compiling applicable animal care statutes and will eventually be able to present it to the Committee.

Dr. Smith suggested paper conservation be considered at some point going forward.

13. Next Meeting – April 21, 2016

The next meeting will be at the Abrams building.

14. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 7:06 pm.

DRAFT

PIMA COUNTY
HEALTH DEPARTMENT

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD • TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.govanimal/care

MEMORANDUM

TO: **Marcy Flanagan, Deputy Director**

FROM: **Neil Konst, Enforcement Supervisor**

DATE: **4/1/16**

SUBJECT: **Welfare report for March 2016**

---

1. A16-189939 The dog was impounded, treated and is currently with a foster.

2. A15-183616 The owner was cited for neglect no Vet care, neglect no water on the chicken. The dog Peluche was surrendered and has since been adopted. This complaint is closed.

3. A16-189068 One dog was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and. issued citations. The owner redeemed the dog.

4. A16-188156 The owner was cited for neglect no Vet care. The dog was already deceased when the officer investigated This complaint is closed.

5. A16-190035 No animal was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and issued citations for neglect no water. Owner licensed both dogs.

6. A16-190250 The owner was cited for neglect no Vet care. The dog was being euthanized when the officer investigated.

7. A16-188629 No animal was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and issued citations for neglect tie-out and neglect no-water. A recheck is scheduled to be done after 4/4/16.

8. A16-190392 The owner was cited for neglect no Vet care. The dog was being euthanized when the officer investigated.

1

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N Silverbell Rd
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 724-5900
Fax: (520) 724-5960

SUSPECT [redacted]		ACO NAME / BADGE # K. Baugus 1918	ACTIVITY/BITE NUMBER A16-189939
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS		BITE ☐ WELFARE ☑ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
CITY / STATE / ZIP / RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER			
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		CI ☑ CO ☐ OTHER ☐	
CITY / STATE / ZIP / BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX / WEIGHT / HEIGHT / EYES / HAIR / ORIGIN		DOB	SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☐

LOCATION OF INCIDENT | DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT 03.17.16 1750 | Date and time reported 03.04.16 1400

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ THEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ INJ / ILL ☑ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME Dr. Jennifer Wilcox DVM	DATE OF BIRTH	RESIDENCE PHONE	BUSINESS PHONE 724.5900
VICTIM'S ADDRESS		CITY	STATE / ZIP
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N Silverbell Rd		CITY Tucson	STATE Az / ZIP 85745

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐ | RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐ | DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER | OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SHERIFF DEPT ☐ TUCSON POLICE ☐ FIRE ☐ OTHER: | FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION | BITE SEVERITY: | PART OF BODY BITTEN: | TREATED BY | PHONE NUMBER | DATE QUARANTINED | RELEASE DATE: | PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

VET CLINIC | PHONE NUMBER | OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐ | FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS | QUARANTINE (DAYS) 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐ | ☐ FRA HEAD#

3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☑ NO ☐ | CITING ACO K. Baugus 1918 | PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☑ | PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER | OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-3 (2)(D) | REVIEWED BY 2002 Konst 3/19

CITATIONS/NUMBERS 75554 | BOND YES ☐ NO ☐

BREED/DESCRIPTION		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #	CONDITION	ANIMAL ID#
Pit Bull	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☑	Maya	Blue/White	SF	6yrs		ill	
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							

WITNESS 1 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

INVESTIGATION REPORT

PACC Activity: A16-189939

ACO & Badge K. Baugus 1918

Pima Animal Care Veterinarian Dr. Jennifer Wilcox DVM has asked for an investigation into a recently adopted dog for neglecting to get veterinary care.

March 17th 2016 at approximately 1738 hrs. I, Officer Baugus 1918 arrived at to check on vet care required for Maya, an adult female blue and white Pit Bull.

Per Dr. Wilcox, On March 9th 2016 called the clinic at PACC because the dog Maya was straining to urinate and crying. stated that she had taken Maya to a vet on the list provided upon adoption and was told the dog has a urinary tract infection but did not have any money to purchase the needed medication to treat the dog. wanted Dr. Wilcox to provide the medication. was told at that time that the dog was not PACC's dog any longer, needed to take the dog to a vet for an exam and provide the medications for treatment.
was also advised that if she did not get treatment for the dog within four days, an Officer would would investigate this case for cruelty and neglect.

On March 17th 2016, eight days later. a complaint was put in for an Officer to investigate.
I arrived at 1738 hrs. and met with . I asked about vet care for Maya and I was told the dog had an appointment tomorrow. (03.18.16) I asked for identification and I issued a citation for neglect-no vet care. I advised that after her appointment, she was to have the proof of vet care and the Dr's notes faxed to PACC by 6PM on the 18th of march. If the dog was in fact seen and received treatment, I would void the citation. If I did not receive the proof, the citation would go through.

signed the citation and received her copy. I then gave her a notice to give to the vet that outlined what we needed faxed and when. stated she understood. I asked what vet she had an appointment with and stated she did not have an appointment yet, she was going to go down the list she was provided to see if she can get an appointment. I explained again that she had to have the dog treated, it has already been too long.

I asked where Maya was now and she said the dog was in the back yard. I asked to see the dog and was let into the back yard.

The dog was friendly, wagging her tail and appeared happy. and her husband(?) stated Maya is a house dog and is not left outdoors. I noted the small back yard smelled of animal waste. There was minimal waste on the ground, but there was a large garbage near the gate full of feces. There was a pan of water provided. No shelter. I again asked about where the dog spent most of her time. I was told that Maya is like one of her kids and she is an indoor dog.

Activity A16-189939

INVESTIGATION REPORT
(Continued)

stated that she has been giving Maya unsweetened cranberry juice to treat the urinary tract infection for the last week, and she seems to think it is helping.

I advised that home remedy's (like the cranberry juice) is most helpful at the onset of symptoms, but not so when the illness is a full blown infection as in this case.

I advised again that if we do not receive proof of vet care within 24 hrs. the citation will go through and the dog will be impounded. The husband stated that the dog is putting out more urine now and she does not cry like before. She is still straining however and painful.

March 19th 2016 at approximately 1450 hrs.
As of today, March 19th 2016 Pima Animal Care Center has not heard from the dog owners or received any veterinary paperwork to show that Maya has been examined and received treatment for her Urinary tract infection.

The citations are going through and the dog will be impounded.

Officer Signature K. Bougus 1918 Date 3-19-2016

2

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 724-5900
Fax: (520) 724-5960

SUSPECT [redacted]							ACO NAME / BADGE # X. Delgadillo 2047	ACTIVITY/BITE NUMBER A15-183616
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS							BITE ☐ WELFARE ☑ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
CITY	STATE	ZIP	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER					
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS							CI ☐ CO ☐ OTHER ☐	
CITY	STATE	ZIP	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER				DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES	HAIR	ORIGIN		DOB	SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☑	LOCATION OF INCIDENT					DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT 03/16/17 17:43		Date and time reported 11/30/15 12:22	
	FOOD ☐	WATER ☐	SHELTER ☐	VENTILATION ☐	ABANDONED ☐	TIEOUT ☐	BEATEN ☐	WASTE ☐	INJ / ILL ☐	OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐
☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME				DATE OF BIRTH		RESIDENCE PHONE		BUSINESS PHONE
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS						CITY		STATE / ZIP
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS						CITY		STATE / ZIP
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐		RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐		DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER		OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SHERIFF DEPT ☐ TUCSON POLICE ☐ FIRE ☐ OTHER:		FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:
☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION		BITE SEVERITY: PART OF BODY BITTEN:		TREATED BY		PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED / RELEASE DATE	PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC				PHONE NUMBER		OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐		FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS				QUARANTINE (DAYS) 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐			☐ FRA HEAD#	
	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☑		CITING ACO		PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☐		PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER		OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED 6.04.110(B)(4)								REVIEWED BY 2002 KANST 3/19
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS 75410								BOND YES ☐ NO ☐

BREED/DESCRIPTION		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #	CONDITION	ANIMAL ID#
Poodle Mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☑	Peluche	White/Black	M	Adult			
Heeler Mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☑	Penny	White/Black	F	Adult	Cited		
Fowl	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☑	None	White/Brown	F	Adult			
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							

WITNESS 1	M ☑ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

INVESTIGATION REPORT

PACC Activity: A15-183616

ACO & Badge X. Delgadillo#2047

On December 1, 2015 at approximately 16:22 I, Officer Delgadillo #2047, arrived to in reference to a Neglect- emaciated animal(s) complaint.

I drove into the property through the open gate, where I met with I explained that the dogs must be confined at all time while out in the yard; she stated she left to pick up her daughter and that is why the gates were left open. I observed a white and black poodle mix who was identified as Peluche. Peluche was severely matted and in desperate need of grooming/vet care. She explained that the dog just showed up one day; I explained after 5 days, the dog is considered hers. I asked if she wanted to relinquish ownership; she declined as she would take him to the groomers. The other dog, Penny, a white with black spots Heeler mix is pregnant and is limping. She stated that she did not know why. We then walked the property and I advised her the horses, goat and fowl must have potable water at all times; understand the water is self-watering but the container must be clean and free of algae. I provided her a premise inspection for vet care for both dogs; excessive waste clean up and potable water for all the animals. I advised a re-check would be conducted. stated that she understood and signed the premise inspection form. I also provided her with the Pet fix program flier and advised of licensing requirements

On March 16, 2016 at approximately 17:43 I, Investigator Delgadillo #2047 arrived to to conduct a re-check of the welfare of the animals.

I drove through the open gates and the heeler mix Penny greeted me at the gate. I met with I asked if was available and he contacted her via cellphone; she arrived shortly after.

I advised that I walked the property and the condition of the animals had not improved since my last visit on 12/1/15. She then stated that she was not the property owner and that her mother is the owner of the animals. I questioned why did she state and sign the premise inspection on my first visit as the property owner. He immediately declined stated that she did not sign any document.

I explained that Peluche's condition has not changed and that the dog is severely matted which is a violation of negelct. She stated that she has been calling PACC to pick up the stray dog. I reminded her that my visit I offered to take Peluche and she wanted to keep him and obtain grooming for him.

Officer's Signature: **Date:** 3/19/16

Activity A15-183616

INVESTIGATION REPORT
(Continued)

She immediately became defensive and declared she stated no such comment. I researched Chameleon and found no requests for a stray dog being confined at this address. I impounded Peluche at the request of . I then explained that there is a chicken in a kennel with absolutely no water. stated that his aunt told him that the chicken was bitten by Penny and placed in the kennel so the roosters would not "jump on her". I advised that the animals must have potable water at all times. I then explained that citations would be issued and they asked me to leave the property. I exited the property and requested assistance from Pima County Sheriff.

Deputy Rodriguez badge#5819 PCSO case#1603160236, arrived and I explained that citations would need to be issued and the residents are non-compliant. At this time property owner, had arrived.

Deputy Rodriguez spoke with and and it was decided that would accept the citations.

was cited into Pima County Justice for the following violations:

Neglect-Vet Care for Peluche, a black and white poodle mix.
Leash Law and No License for Penny, a white and black Heeler mix.
Neglect- No Water for a white and brown chicken.

signed her citations; received a copy and was provided her court date and time.

Penny, the Heeler mix was no longer limping and appeared healthy.

On March 17, 2016 I met with Dr. Wilcox regarding the condition of Peluche. She explained other than the obvious severely matted hair; the dog has an abscessed tooth and is tick infested.

Officer Signature _____ Date 3/19/16

3

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 724-5900
Fax: (520) 724-5960

SUSPECT [redacted]		ACO NAME / BADGE # D.Robledo #1990	ACTIVITY/BITE NUMBER A16-189068
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS		BITE ☐ WELFARE ☑ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
CITY / STATE / ZIP	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		CI ☑ CO ☐ OTHER ☐	
CITY / STATE / ZIP	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER	DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX / WEIGHT / HEIGHT / EYES	HAIR / ORIGIN	DOB	SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☐

LOCATION OF INCIDENT

DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT 2/29/16 1434hrs. Date and time reported 2/29/16 1405hrs

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☑ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ INJ / ILL ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME	DATE OF BIRTH	RESIDENCE PHONE	BUSINESS PHONE
Daniel Robledo			724-5900

VICTIM'S ADDRESS	CITY	STATE	ZIP
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS: 4000 N. Silverbell Rd.	Tucson	Az	85745

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☑

RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☑

DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SHERIFF DEPT ☐ TUCSON POLICE ☐ FIRE ☐ OTHER:

FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

☐ VIOLATION

☐ NON-VIOLATION

BITE SEVERITY:

PART OF BODY BITTEN:

TREATED BY / PHONE NUMBER / DATE QUARANTINED / RELEASE DATE:

PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐

VET CLINIC / PHONE NUMBER / OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐

FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS

QUARANTINE (DAYS) 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐

☐ FRA HEAD#

3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☑

CITING ACO D.Tenkate #1911

PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☑

PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-3(2)(E)(2)

REVIEWED BY ZDOZ KONST 3/3

CITATIONS/NUMBERS 73048

BOND YES ☐ NO ☐

BREED/DESCRIPTION		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #	CONDITION	ANIMAL ID#
rottweiler	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☑	Kaizer	blk/brn	m	1y			
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							

WITNESS 1 R. Tovar #2021	M ☑ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS 4000 N. silverbell Rd	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE # 724-5900
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #

INVESTIGATION REPORT

PACC Activity: A16-189068

ACO & Badge Daniel Robledo #1990

February 29, 2016 at 1434 hours, I Officer Daniel Robledo #1990, responded to an emergency complaint of a dog tied up to a boat with no water and in distress.

I arrived and observed a rottweiler in question under boat. I can see its tied up with a cable to the back of the boat. I entered the yard through a locked gate by just lifting up the gate. The dog had water, shade, food and shelter; but it was tied up . I went to the front door, but received no answer. The dog would come up from under boat, but then it would go back under. The cable was approximately 30 feet long and appeared it was purposely tangled so the dog could go no further then to its water and food. I took photos. I tried to pull out the dog, but it was scared and it would go back under the boat. I then had Officer Tovar come to assist. We pulled out the dog, cut the cable to release and impound the dog. I posted a notice of impounded

On 3/1/16 1300 hours Supervisor Tenkate #1911 met with the dog owner who resides at when he came to redeem his impounded dog. She explained that tie outs are illegal and he said he knew that but the dog can jump the fence and may bite someone. She explained that he would need to construct either a covered kennel run or put up higher fencing. He said he was keeping th public safe by tying up his 1 1/2 year old male Rottweiler named Kaizer. said he was going to fix the confinement. Supervisor Tenkate then asked for identification and he provided her with his Arizona driver's license. then signed and received a copy of citation #73048 for neglect tie out and is aware of his court date, time and location. He then returned to licensing to redeem his dog.

Officer's Signature: **Date:** 3-3-16

4

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 724-5900
Fax: (520) 724-5960

SUSPECT [redacted]		ACO NAME / BADGE # X. Delgadillo 2047	ACTIVITY/BITE NUMBER A16-188156
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS		BITE ☐ WELFARE ☑ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
CITY / STATE / ZIP	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER	CI ☐ CO ☐ OTHER ☐	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS			
CITY / STATE / ZIP	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER	DRIVERS LICENSE	

SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES	HAIR	ORIGIN	DOB	SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☑

LOCATION OF INCIDENT | DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT 02/06/16 17:30 | Date and time reported 02/16/16 12:30

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ INJ / ILL ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☑ Neglect

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME	DATE OF BIRTH	RESIDENCE PHONE	BUSINESS PHONE
VICTIM'S ADDRESS		CITY	STATE / ZIP
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		CITY	STATE / ZIP

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐ | RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐ | DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER | OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SHERIFF DEPT ☐ TUCSON POLICE ☐ FIRE ☐ OTHER: | FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION | BITE SEVERITY: | PART OF BODY BITTEN: | TREATED BY | PHONE NUMBER | DATE QUARANTINED | RELEASE DATE: | PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐

VET CLINIC | PHONE NUMBER | OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐ | FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS | QUARANTINE (DAYS) 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐ | ☐ FRA HEAD#

3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☑ | CITING ACO X.Delgadillo#2047 | PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☐ | PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER | OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED 6.04.110(B) (4) | REVIEWED BY 2002 Donst 3/1

CITATIONS/NUMBERS 75402 | BOND YES ☐ NO ☐

BREED/DESCRIPTION		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #	CONDITION	ANIMAL ID#
Shepherd Mix	VICTIM ☑ OWNER ☑	Hunter	tan/white	M	9y	none	deceased	
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							

WITNESS 1	M ☑ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #

INVESTIGATION REPORT

PACC Activity: A16-188156

ACO & Badge X. Delgadillo#2047

On February 16. 2016 at approximately 1230 hours Supervisor Tenkate met with , who resides at He explained he came to PACC about the dog on dog attack that caused the death of his dog.

He explained the following to Supervisor Tenkate,
On 2/6/16 at approximately 1730-1800 hours he witnessed the neighbors 4 large dogs inside his fenced yard attacking his Light tan 9yo neutered Shepherd chow mix named Hunter. The 4 dogs were described as a black/brown Rottie/shepherd/hound mix and 4 lighter brown mixes owned by who resides at also owns 2 smaller white Poodle type mixes that were not involved in the attack.

said that his dog Hunter received numerous wounds to his hind legs and inner thigh area and front legs. He treated the dog himself until Monday 2/8/16 and took Hunter to his own vet at 0830 hours. His dog was treated by at the . said that Hunter died at the clinic that day.

Supervisor Tenkate spoke to from who said that Hunter sustained numerous bite wounds and the dog went into shock and his heart stopped. He was given CPR, recovered and was being given inter venous fluids when at about 1230 hours the dog died at the clinic.

On February 23, 2016 I, Investigator Delgadillo #2047 contacted to inquire further on Hunter and left a message for . At approximately 18:06 hrs I received an incoming call on my personal cell phone from . I inquired about Hunter and the fact that the dog was not taken for vet care for approximately a day and a half. stated that he received Hunter as a patient on 2/8/16 approximately 0835hrs. stated that when Hunter was brought to the clinic he observed him to be "almost gone". was advised of the dog attack that occurred. Hunter had bite wounds to the abdomen area (assumed that the dog had become submissive during the attack) and also a bite wound to his right thigh area. He stated that the owner, , explained to him that he saw the dog acting normal so he just cleaned the wounds and sprayed Blukote to the wounds. He stated that the dog was in septic shock, and had to be resuscitated. stated in his professional opinion if the dog would have been brought for medical attention immediately, Hunter would have survived the attack.

Officer's Signature: **Date:** 3/1/16

5

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 724-5900
Fax: (520) 724-5960

SUSPECT [redacted]		ACO NAME / BADGE # T. Haynes #2032	COMPLAINT NUMBER A16-190035
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS		BITE ☒ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP / CITY / STATE / RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		CODE IF OTHER :	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐	
ZIP / CITY / STATE / BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX / WEIGHT / HEIGHT / EYES / HAIR COLOR / ORIGIN		DOB	SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☒ NO ☐	LOCATION OF INCIDENT	DATE AND TIME REPORTED 03/16/16 / 2059 hours	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 03/16/16 / 2030 hours
	FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☒ Animal Attack		
☒ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME	D.O.B / RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO.
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS	ZIP / CITY	STATE
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS	ZIP / CITY	STATE
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐ / RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☒ NO ☐ / DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # 1603160562 ☐ SO ☒ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:
☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☐ VIOLATION / ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: / PART OF BODY BITTEN:	TREATED BY / PHONE NUMBER / DATE QUARANTINED / RELEASE DATE: / PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM / PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC	PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐ / FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS	QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐	☐ FRA HEAD#
	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☒ NO ☐ / CITING ACO T. Haynes #2032	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒ / PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS A16-186915
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-97, 4-7(2)(B), 4-3(2)(B)		REVIEWED BY 3-20-16 DTK1911
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS 74446, 74447		BOND YES ☐ NO ☐

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Pit Bull	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Biggie	Tan/White	M	A				Inj	
Pit Bull	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Bella	White/Tan	F	A				N	
Pit Bull	VICTIM ☒ OWNER ☐	Chico	Tan/White	M	A				Inj	
Husky Mix	VICTIM ☒ OWNER ☐	Chase	White/Gray	M	5M				Inj	
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1 Officer Vazquez #44603	M ☒ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS 4410 S Park Tucson, AZ 85714	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE # (520)741-4949
WITNESS 2 Sergeant Simmers #44535	M ☒ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS 4410 S Park Tucson, AZ 85714	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE # (520)741-4949
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A16-190035

ACO name & Badge: T. Haynes #2032

On March 16, 2016 at approximately 2123 hours I arrived at and met with Tucson Police Officer Vasquez #44603 and Sergeant Simmers #44535 (1603160562). They had a medium, tan/white, male, Pit Bull confined in the back of the patrol car. They said that the dog lives next door at and had jumped the fence and attacked the two dogs at in their kennel. The officers said that the dog was acting rather aggressive upon their arrival and they removed the dog from the kennel using a catch pole that they had. They advised that they were unable to make contact with the residents of as it appeared that there was no one home. They also said that there was another dog in the yard at and that they did not see any water in the yard when they were trying to figure out how the first dog got into the neighbor's yard.

I went to and observed a medium, white/tan, female, Pit Bull in the yard. She came up to the fence and was friendly with me. I entered the yard and she stayed with me as I went to the front door and around to the back door. The house was dark and in the yard I found several empty and turned over buckets and bowls. I did not find any water available to the dog. It appeared that the water had been gone for a while. I leashed the white dog and brought her out to impound her due to there not being any water available and the owner not being home.

As I was leaving the yard a male pulled up in a vehicle and spoke with me and the officers. He said that the dogs belonged to his sister and that she was at work. The officers asked if he could contact her and have her come home. He inquired about the other dog, calling him Biggie, as he petted the female. It was explained that we could not give him any information at this time. He then left the scene. I then walked the female to my truck and scanned her and no microchip was detected. I loaded her into my truck and she was impounded without incident.

I then went to the patrol car and started talking to Biggie. He wagged his tail and was friendly with me. I was able to leash him and remove him from the car without any issues. As I was walking him to my truck the male returned with a female and she started yelling at me and the officers. I continued to walk Biggie back to my truck and impounded him without incident.

I returned to where the officers were and attempted to speak to the female and she refused to talk to me. The officers continued to speak with her and I went back into the yard and took photographs of the empty buckets and bowls.

Shortly after I returned to the front yard another vehicle pulled up and a young man

got out and introduced himself to me. He said that his name was
and that he was the dog owner. He requested to know what was going on so the officers and I explained that his dog, Biggie, had gotten into the neighbor's yard and had attacked their dogs. I also explained that there was no water available in his yard for his dogs and based on that, both dogs had been impounded. During our conversation, Bella, the name of the second dog, was given.

I requested his identification and he provided me with his Arizona Driver's License. I advised him that he would be receiving citations for the dogs not having any water available. I also told him that I had not spoken to the neighbor yet and that there may be more citations for the attack.

Officer Vazquez and I then went to and met with victim dog owner He said that at approximately 2030 hours he and the family had returned home and as they were pulling their vehicle into the carport they observed the neighbor's tan/white Pit Bull in the kennel with his dogs. He said that his dog, Chico, a male, tan/white, Pit Bull, was cowering in a corner away from the bigger dog and that his second dog, Chase, a male, white/gray, Siberian Husky mix, was also keeping away from the intruding dog. He said that he could see injuries on both of his dogs, especially Chico, from the big dog fighting with them.

said that the big dog tears up the common fence and that he has made multiple repairs to the fence to try and keep him out. He has put sheets of plywood and wire fencing between the yards to keep the dog out of his yard. I could not find any new holes in the fence but I did see an open area at the top of the fence next to the kennel. It appears that Biggie climbed the common fence and got into the kennel through the open corner.

said that he believes that this is not the first time that the big dog has gotten into his yard and attacked his dog. He said that he came home one day about a month ago and found Chico torn up and blood everywhere in the yard. He could only guess that it was the neighbor's dog because he had seen the dog stick its head through the holes that he had made in the fence. is requesting citations for the incident and restitution for vet bills. Chico and Chase's injuries from tonight were photographed. I requested identification and he provided me with his Arizona Driver's License. I advised him that I would return it as soon as I was done with the citations for the neighbor.

Citation #74446 was issued to for Neglect-No Water for both dogs and third party citation #74447 was issued to for leash law and biting animal (animal attack) X2 for Biggie. Citation, court date, time, and location were explained to He acknowledged, signed and received a copy of both citations. I also provided him with a copy of the Pet-Fix flyer and the Pima County Animal Law pamphlet. His Arizona Driver's License was then returned to him. I discussed with him several different remedies that he could use to keep his dogs from running out of water and gave him some suggestions about fencing to keep this from happening again. Biggie and Bella were then returned to him.

I then went and met with I provided him a copy of the Pet-Fix flyer and a copy of the Pima County Animal Laws pamphlet. He was very upset that Biggie was returned to It was explained that I could not keep the dog and hopefully the citations were enough for him to keep the dog confined. Officer Vazquez and I then cleared the scene.

Officer's Signature: JHaynes Date: 03·19·16

6

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5990
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT [redacted]						ACO NAME / BADGE # 1942 Eckelbarger	COMPLAINT NUMBER A16-190250	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS						BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐		
ZIP	CITY	STATE	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER			CODE IF OTHER :		
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS						CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐		
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER			DRIVERS LICENSE		
SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES	HAIR COLOR	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN	

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒	LOCATION OF INCIDENT 4000 N. Silverbell Rd	DATE AND TIME REPORTED 3-21-16 / 1345	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 3-21-16 / 1345
	FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☒ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐		

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME 1942 Eckelbarger	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO. 724-5992	
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS		ZIP	CITY	STATE
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N. Silverbell Rd		ZIP 85745	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☐ VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED	PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM	☐ NON-VIOLATION	PART OF BODY BITTEN:			RELEASE DATE:	
PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐		FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐		☐ FRA HEAD#	

	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	CITING ACO 1942 Eckelbarger	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-3 (2)(D)				REVIEWED BY 3-22-16 DTK 1911
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS 75482 (A)				BOND YES ☐ NO ☒

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Lab mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Buddy	Cream	M	10yr				P	
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1 Dr. Karyn Carlson	M ☐ F ☒	DOB	ADDRESS 4000 N. Silverbell Rd	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE # 724-5900
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A16-190250

ACO name & Badge:1942 Eckelbarger

On 3-21-16 at 1345 hours I Investigator Eckelbarger (1942) met with dog owner, , at the Pima Animal Care Center while she was signing over her dog "Buddy" for euthanasia. stated she first started noticing Buddy losing weight about 1 month prior. She also had stated that Buddy had a seizure approximately 1 month prior after changing his food. She stated it had been years since Buddy's last veterinary visit. She stated that he stopped eating and drinking on 3-20-16 and could no longer walk. stated she has owned Buddy for all 10 years of his life.

I then observed Buddy who appeared emaciated. I could easily see his rib, hip, and skull bones. He was barely able to lift his head and could not walk. I took photographs of the dog and setup for Dr. Carlson to examine for a welfare case.

I then cited for neglect-vet care on "Buddy" under City jurisdiction. signed and received her copy of the citation.

Officer's Signature: 1942 **Date: 3-22-16**

7

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT [redacted]					ACO NAME / BADGE # 1942 Eckelbarger	COMPLAINT NUMBER A16-188629	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS					BITE ☐ WELFARE ☒ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐		
ZIP	CITY	STATE	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		CODE IF OTHER :		
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS					CI ☒ CO ☐ OTHER ☐		
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE		
SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES	HAIR COLOR	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒	LOCATION OF INCIDENT				DATE AND TIME REPORTED 2-22-16 / 1359		DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 3-21-16 / 1530		
	FOOD ☐	WATER ☒	SHELTER ☐	INJURED/ILL ☐	VENTILATION ☐	ABANDONED ☐	TIEOUT ☒	BEATEN ☐	WASTE ☐	OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME 1942 Eckelbarger	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO. 724-5992	
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS		ZIP	CITY	STATE
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N. Silverbell Rd		ZIP 85745	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☐ VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED	PACC ☐
	☐ NON-VIOLATION	PART OF BODY BITTEN:			RELEASE DATE:	VET ☐ HOME ☐
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM	VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐		FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
PHONE NUMBER						
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐	☐ FRA HEAD#		
	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	CITING ACO 1942 Eckelbarger	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS	
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-3 (2)(E)(2), 4-3 (2)(B)				REVIEWED BY 3-22-16 DTK1911	
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS 75491 (A-B)				BOND YES ☐ NO ☒	

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
G. Shep	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Chloe	Black/tan	F	7mo				Ok	
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A16-188629

ACO name & Badge:1942 Eckelbarger

On 3-21-16 at 1520 hours I Investigator Eckelbarger (1942) responded to where I met with who showed me "Chloe" (7month old female G. Shepherd) in the backyard. Chloe was on a chain tie-out approximately 20 feet long. She had some access to shade at the time I responded, but no water. The water bowl was empty and dry. Chloe appeared to be panting as well and it was approximately 90 degrees Fahrenheit outdoors. stated Chloe belongs to her adult daughter who was in Mexico so was the caretaker of Chloe. I advised of the tie-out law and the need for water at all times. She stated their confinement was not secure (no gates), which was why she was tied out. She stated Chloe did not get along with her other daughter's small Maltese who was indoors which was another reason why Chloe was tied outside.

I then cited for neglect-tieout and neglect-no water on Chloe under City jurisdiction. signed and received her copies of the citations. I then had put Chloe indoors in a separate bedroom. I advised we would be back out for a follow-up in 2 weeks. I also took photographs of Chloe on tie-out and the empty water bowl.

Officer's Signature: 1942 **Date: 3-22-16**

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 724-5900
Fax: (520) 724-5960

SUSPECT							ACO NAME / BADGE # **Konst #2oo2**	ACTIVITY/BITE NUMBER **A16-190392**
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS							BITE ☐ WELFARE ☑ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐	
CITY	STATE	ZIP	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER					
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS							CI ☑ CO ☐ OTHER ☐	
CITY	STATE	ZIP	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER				DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES	HAIR	ORIGIN		DOB	SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☑	LOCATION OF INCIDENT				DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT **03/23/16 14:00**		Date and time reported **03/23/16 14:00**	
	FOOD ☐	WATER ☐	SHELTER ☐	VENTILATION ☐	ABANDONED ☐	TIEOUT ☐	BEATEN ☐	WASTE ☐	INJ / ILL ☑	OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐
☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME			DATE OF BIRTH		RESIDENCE PHONE		BUSINESS PHONE
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS					CITY	STATE	ZIP
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS					CITY	STATE	ZIP
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SHERIFF DEPT ☐ TUCSON POLICE ☐ FIRE ☐ OTHER:			FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:	
☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: PART OF BODY BITTEN:		TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED RELEASE DATE:	PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐	
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC			PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐		FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐	
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS			QUARANTINE (DAYS) 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐			☐ FRA HEAD#	
	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☐	CITING ACO **Konst #2oo2**		PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☑		PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS	
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED **4-3 (2) (D)**						REVIEWED BY 2002 Konst 3/23	
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS						BOND YES ☐ NO ☑	

BREED/DESCRIPTION		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #	CONDITION	ANIMAL ID#
Lab mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☑	**Orla**	**Tan**	**F**	**15y**		**Poor**	
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							

WITNESS 1	M ☐ F ☑	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2 **Dr Rios**	M ☐ F ☑	DOB	ADDRESS **4000 N Silverbell Rd**	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

INVESTIGATION REPORT

PACC Activity: A16-190392

ACO & Badge Konst #2002

On 03/23/16 brought his 15 year old Lab mix named Orla in for euthanasia. I Supervisor Konst badge #2002 was at the intake door area for another matter. I was then asked to look at the dog by Kennel Technician II . She had concerns over the condition of the dog and the owners statement the dog had not been to a Veterinarian since it was a puppy.

I observed Orla to be laying in a stainless steel rolling kennel. I could see the dogs rear back area had been shaved and appeared to have some hair loss and areas of skin loss. On the center back I observed the hair to be moving with solid masses of maggots under the hair. I was told by the owner that they had decided to bring the dog in after they observed maggots coming from the anal area of Orla. They stated the dog has had incontinence since it was spade as a puppy over 14 years ago. The owner also stated they have never had the dog to a Veterinarian since it was spade. I ask if it ever had a rabies vaccination; stated not since it was a puppy.

They did not notice any change until a couple of months ago. Then a couple of days ago the dog was no longer active. So him and the family decided it was time to put Orla down. A family member had shaved the rear back area recently because of the maggots.

I explained to that the Pima Animal Care Center Veterinarian felt the dog was neglected. The dog did not get in this condition in a short time. The condition on the skin came from being constantly wet and does not spread rapidly. The maggots coming from inside Orla shows the dog has had a infection for awhile. I issued citation 73770 for Neglect no Vet care in Tucson City Court. The citation was explained and stated he understood. ...2oo2

Officer's Signature: Konst **Date:** 3/23/16

Report Snapshot

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☐

LOCATION OF INCIDENT

DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT: 03.17.16 1750

Date and time reported: 03.04.16 1400

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ INJ / ILL ☑ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME: Dr. Jennifer Wilcox DVM

DATE OF BIRTH

RESIDENCE PHONE

BUSINESS PHONE: 724.5900

VICTIM'S ADDRESS

CITY

STATE

ZIP

VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS: 4000 N Silverbell Rd

CITY: Tucson

STATE: Az

ZIP: 85745

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐

RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐

DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SHERIFF DEPT ☐ TUCSON POLICE ☐ FIRE ☐ OTHER:

FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SB ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION

BITE SEVERITY:

PART OF BODY BITTEN:

TREATED BY

PHONE NUMBER

DATE QUARANTINED

RELEASE DATE:

PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

VET CLINIC

PHONE NUMBER

OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐

FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS

QUARANTINE (DAYS) 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐

☐ FRA HEAD#

3rd PARTY CITATIONS YES ☑ NO ☐

CITING ACO: K. Baugus 1918

PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☑

PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED: 4-3 (2)(D)

REVIEWED BY 2002 KBMST 3/19

CITATIONS/NUMBERS: 75554

BOND YES ☐ NO ☐

BREED/DESCRIPTION		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #	CONDITION	ANIMAL ID#
Pit Bull	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☑	Maya	Blue/White	SF	6yrs		Ill	

Summary

The dog was impounded, treated and is currently with a foster.

Officer's Case Report

Pima Animal Care Veterinarian Dr. Jennifer Wilcox DVM has asked for an investigation into a recently adopted dog for neglecting to get veterinary care. March 17th 2016 at approximately 1738 hrs. I, Officer Baugus 1918 arrived at· on vet care required for Maya, an adult female blue and white Pit Bull. to check Per Dr. Wilcox, On March 9th 2016 1 called the clinic at PACC because the dog Maya was straining to urinate and crying. stated that she had taken Maya to a vet on the list provided upon adoption and was told the dog has a urinary tract infection but · did not have any money to purchase the needed medication to treat the dog. 1 wanted Dr. Wilcox to provide the medication. . was told at that time that the dog was not PACC's dog any longer, needed to take the dog to a vet for an exam and provide the medications for treatment was also advised that if she did not get treatment for the dog within four days, an Officer would investigate this case for cruelty and neglect. On March 17th 2016, eight days later. a complaint was put in for an Officer to investigate. I arrived at 1738 hrs. and met with • I asked about vet care for Maya and I was told the dog had an appointment tomorrow. (03.18.16) I asked for , identification and I issued a citation for neglect-no vet care. I advised that after her appointment, she was to have the proof of vet care and the Dr's notes faxed to PACC by 6PM on the 18th of march. If the dog was in fact seen and received treatment, I would void the citation. If I did not receive the proof, the citation would go through.
signed the citation and received her copy. I then gave her a notice to give to the vet that outlined what we needed faxed and when. stated she understood. I asked what vet she had an appointment with and stated she did not have an appointment yet, she was going to go down the list she was provided to see if she can get an appointment. 1 explained again that she had to have the dog treated, it has already been too long. I asked where Maya was now and she said the dog was in the back yard. I asked to see the dog and 1111as let into the back yard. The dog was friendly, wagging her tail and appeared happy. • tnd her husband(?) stated Maya is a house dog and is not left outdoors. I noted the small back yard smelled of animal waste. There was minimal waste on the ground, but there was a large garbage near the gate full of feces. There was a pan of water provided. No shelter. I again asked about where the dog spent most of her time. I was told that Maya Is like one of her kids and she is an indoor dog. stated that she has been giving Maya unsweetened cranberry juice to treat the urinary tract infection for the last week, and she seems to think It is helping. I advised that home remedy's (like the cranberry juice) is most helpful at the onset of symptoms, but not so when the Illness Is a full blown infection as In this case. I advised 1 again that If we do not receive proof of vet care within 24 hrs. the citation will go through and the dog will be impounded. The husband stated that the dog is putting out more urine now and she does not cry like before. She is still straining however and painful. March 19th 2016 at approximately 1450 hrs. As of today, March 19th 2016 Pima Animal Care Center has not heard from the dog owners or received any veterinary paperwork to show that Maya has been examined and received treatment for her urinary tract infection. The citations are going through and the dog will be impounded.

Committee Member Comments/ Request for Information	Member
	T. Barrick
Please add owner(s) to do not adopt list. At court appearance, if possible, request owner be prohibited from owning animals under the cruelty statute.	N. Emptage
	P. Hubbard
	P. Jacobs
	S. Kaluzniacki
	D. Marshall
	H. Mendelsohn
	J. Neumann
	E. O'Donnell
	J. Schwerin
Do we have an outcome as of now? Please have a report for our PACC AC meeting. If no care given, can the dog be taken back to PACC?	G. Smith
	City of Tucson Rep.

Report Snapshot

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☑

LOCATION OF INCIDENT

DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT 03/16/17 17:43 Date and time reported 11/30/15 12:22

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ INJ / ILL ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME | DATE OF BIRTH | RESIDENCE PHONE | BUSINESS PHONE

VICTIM'S ADDRESS | CITY | STATE | ZIP

VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS | CITY | STATE | ZIP

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐

RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐

DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SHERIFF DEPT ☐ TUCSON POLICE ☐ FIRE ☐ OTHER:

FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION

BITE SEVERITY:

PART OF BODY BITTEN:

TREATED BY | PHONE NUMBER | DATE QUARANTINED | RELEASE DATE:

PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

VET CLINIC | PHONE NUMBER | OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐

FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS

QUARANTINE (DAYS) 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐

☐ FRA HEAD#

3rd PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☑

CITING ACO

PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☐

PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED 6.04.110(B)(4)

REVIEWED BY Z002 KANST 3/19

CITATIONS/NUMBERS 75410

BOND YES ☐ NO ☐

BREED/DESCRIPTION		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #	CONDITION	ANIMAL ID#
Poodle Mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☑	Peluche	White/Black	M	Adult			
Heeler Mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☑	Penny	White/Black	F	Adult	Cited		
Fowl	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☑	None	White/Brown	F	Adult			

Summary

The owner was cited for neglect no Vet care, neglect no water on the chicken. The dog Peluche was surrendered and has since been adopted. This complaint is closed.

Officer's Case Report

On December 1, 2015 at approximately 16:221, Officer Delgadillo #2047, arrived to in reference to a Neglect- emaciated animal(s) complaint. I drove into the property through the open gate, where I met with I explained that the dogs must be confined at all time while out in the yard; she stated she left to pick up her daughter and that is why the gates were left open. I observed a white and black poodle mix who was Identified as Peluche. Peluche was severely matted and In desperate need of grooming/vet care. She explained that the dog just showed up one day; I explained after 5 days, the dog is considered hers. I asked If she wanted to relinquish ownership; she declined as she would take him to the groomers. The other dog, Penny, a white with black spots Heeler mix is pregnant and Is limping. She stated that she did not know why. We then walked the property and I advised her the horses, goat and fowl must have potable water at all times; understand the water is self-watering but the container must be clean and free of algae. I provided her a premise Inspection for vet care for both dogs; excessive waste clean up and potable water for all the animals. I advised a re-check would be conducted. stated that she understood and signed the premise Inspection form. I also provided her with the Pet fix program flier and advised of licensing requirements On March 16, 2016 at approximately 17:43 I, Investigator Delgadillo #2047 arrived to to conduct a re-check of the welfare of the animals. I drove through the open gates and the heeler mix Penny greeted me at the gate. I met with. I asked If 1 was available and he contacted her via cell phone; she arrived shortly after. I advised that I walked the property and the condition of the animals had not improved since my last visit on 1211/15. She then stated that she was not the property owner and that her mother is the owner of the animals. I questioned why did she state and sign the premise inspection on my first visit as the property owner. He Immediately declined stated that she did not sign any document. I explained that Peluche"s condition has not changed and that the dog Is severely matted which is a violation of negelct. She stated that she has been calling PACC to pick up the stray dog. I reminded her that my visit I offered to take Peluche and she wanted to keep him and obtain grooming for him.

Committee Member Comments/ Request for Information	Member
Since they had not complied on recheck is there any plan on future rechecks for the animals remaining on the property?	T. Barrick
	N. Emptage
	P. Hubbard
	P. Jacobs
	S. Kaluzniacki
	D. Marshall
Did the other animals have potable water on re-check?	H. Mendelsohn
	J. Neumann
	E. O'Donnell
	J. Schwerin
What about the limping pregnant pit bull? Was that dog rechecked, and what is the outcome?	G. Smith
	City of Tucson Rep.

Report Snapshot

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☐

LOCATION OF INCIDENT

DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT 2/29/16 1434hrs. Date and time reported 2/29/16 1405hrs

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☑ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ INJ / ILL ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-296 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME: Daniel Robledo | DATE OF BIRTH | RESIDENCE PHONE | BUSINESS PHONE: 724-5900

VICTIM'S ADDRESS | CITY | STATE | ZIP

VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS: 4000 N. Silverbell Rd. | CITY: Tucson | STATE: Az | ZIP: 85745

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☑ | RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☑ | DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SHERIFF DEPT ☐ TUCSON POLICE ☐ FIRE ☐ OTHER:

FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION | BITE SEVERITY: | PART OF BODY BITTEN: | TREATED BY | PHONE NUMBER | DATE QUARANTINED | RELEASE DATE: | PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

VET CLINIC | PHONE NUMBER | OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐ | FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS | QUARANTINE (DAYS) 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐ | ☐ FRA HEAD#

3rd PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☑ | CITING ACO: D.Tenkate #1911 | PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☑ | PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER | OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED: 4-3(2)(E)(2) | REVIEWED BY: Z002 KONST 3/3

CITATIONS/NUMBERS: 73048 | BOND YES ☐ NO ☐

BREED/DESCRIPTION		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #	CONDITION	ANIMAL ID#
rottweiler	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☑	Kaizer	blk/brn	m	1y			

Summary

One dog was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and issued citations. The owner redeemed the dog.

Officer's Case Report

February 29, 2016 at 1434 hours, I Officer Daniel Robledo #1990, responded to an emergency complaint of a dog tied up to a boat with no water and in distress. I arrived and observed a rottweiler in question under boat I can see its tied up with a cable to the back of the boat. I entered the yard through a locked gate by just lifting up the gate. The dog had water, shade, food and shelter; but It was tied up . I went to the front door, but received no answer. The dog would come up from under boat, but then it would go back under. The cable was approximately 30 feet long and appeared it was purposely tangled so the dog could go no further then to its water and food. I took photos. I tried to pull out the dog, but it was scared and it would go back under the boat I then had Officer Tovar come to assist. We pulled out the dog, cut the cable to release and impound the dog. I posted a notice of impound. On 3/1/161300 hours Supervisor Tenkate #1911 met with the dog owner, who resides at when he came to redeem his impounded dog. She explained that tie outs are illegal and he said he knew that but the dog can jump the fence and may bite someone. She explained that he would need to construct either a covered kennel run or put up higher fencina. He said he was keeping the public safe by tying up his 1 1/2 year old male Rottweiler named Kaizer. said he was going to fix the confinement. Supervisor Ten kate then asked for Identification and he provided her with his Arizona driver's license. then signed and received a copy of citation #73048 for neglect tie out and is aware of his court date, time and location. He then returned to licensing to redeem his dog.

Committee Member Comments/ Request for Information	Member
If owner afraid of dog biting what action was owner taking until confinement could be fixed.	T. Barrick
Was there a recheck? Owner's awareness that animal could jump fence but fear the dog might bite someone raises concern. Why was there a delay in correcting confinement especially if owner concerned about public safety?	N. Emptage
	P. Hubbard
	P. Jacobs
	S. Kaluzniacki
	D. Marshall
	H. Mendelsohn
	J. Neumann
	E. O'Donnell
	J. Schwerin
Do we have an outcome of this issue yet?	G. Smith
	City of Tucson Rep.

Report Snapshot

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☑

LOCATION OF INCIDENT

DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT 02/06/16 17:30

Date and time reported 02/16/16 12:30

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ INJ / ILL ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☑ Neglect

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME | DATE OF BIRTH | RESIDENCE PHONE | BUSINESS PHONE

VICTIM'S ADDRESS | CITY | STATE | ZIP

VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS | CITY | STATE | ZIP

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐

RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐

DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SHERIFF DEPT ☐ TUCSON POLICE ☐ FIRE ☐ OTHER:

FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION

BITE SEVERITY:

PART OF BODY BITTEN:

TREATED BY | PHONE NUMBER | DATE QUARANTINED | RELEASE DATE:

PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

VET CLINIC | PHONE NUMBER | OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐

FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS

QUARANTINE (DAYS) 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐

☐ FRA HEAD#

3rd PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☑

CITING ACO X.Delgadillo#2047

PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☐

PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED 6.04.110(B) (4)

REVIEWED BY 2022 Monst 3/1

CITATIONS/NUMBERS 75402

BOND YES ☐ NO ☐

BREED/DESCRIPTION		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #	CONDITION	ANIMAL ID#
Shepherd Mix	VICTIM ☑ OWNER ☑	Hunter	tan/white	M	9y	none	deceased	

Summary

The owner was cited for neglect no Vet care. The dog was already deceased when the officer investigated. This complaint is closed.

Officer's Case Report

On February 16, 2016 at approximately 1230 hours SupervlsorTenkate met with _ , who resides at . He explained he came to PACC about the dog on dog attack that caused the death of his dog. He explained the following to Supervisor Tenkate, On 2/6/16 at approximately 1730-1800 hours he witnessed the neighbors 41arge dogs inside his fenced yard attacking his light tan 9 y/o neutered shepherd chow mix named Hunter. The 4 dogs were described as a black/brown Rottie/sheapherd/hound mix and 4 lighter brown mixes owned by who resides at . also owns 2 smaller white poodle type mixes that were not involved in the attack. said that his dog Hunter received numerous wounds to his hind legs and inner thigh area and front legs. He treated the doa himself until Monday 2/8/16 and took Hunter to his own vet at 0830 hours. His dog was treated by at the said that Hunter died at the clinic that day. Supervisor Tenkate spoke to from who said that Hunter sustained numerous bite wounds and the dog went into shock and his heart stopped. He was given CPR, recovered and was being given intervenous fluids when at about 1230 hours the dog died at the clinic. On February 23, 2016 I, Investigator Delgadillo #2047 contacted . to Inquire further on Hunter and left a messaae for . At approximately 18:06 hrs I received an incoming call on my personal cell phone from . I inquired about Hunter and the fact that the dog was not taken for vet care for approximately a day and a half. stated that he received Hunter as a patient on 2/8/16 approximately 0835hrs. stated that when Hunter was brought to the clinic he observed him to be "almost gone". was advised of the dog attack that occurred. Hunter had bite wounds to the abdomen area (assumed that the dog had become submissive during the attack) and also a bite wound to his right thigh area. He stated that the owner, , explained to him that he saw the dog acting normal so he just cleaned the wounds and sprayed Blukote to the wounds. He stated that the dog was in septic shock, and had to be resuscitated. stated in his professional opinion if the dog would have been brought for medical attention immediately, Hunter would have survived the attack.

Committee Member Comments/ Request for Information	Member
	T. Barrick
Owner should be prohibited from having additional animals. According to the report, the animal would have survived if it received immediate medical attention and owner left animal in pain and suffering. This could happen again if an animal owned by this individual needs medical attention.	N. Emptage
	P. Hubbard
	P. Jacobs
	S. Kaluzniacki
	D. Marshall
What happened with the biting dog?	H. Mendelsohn
	J. Neumann
	E. O'Donnell
	J. Schwerin
What happened with attacking aggressive dogs? Is there a Dangerous Dogs investigation at this time? Do we have results of the court case? Two issues here, neglect on owners part, and dangerous dog issue on neighbors part.	G. Smith

Report Snapshot

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☒ NO ☐

☒ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-266 (B)

LOCATION OF INCIDENT

DATE AND TIME REPORTED 03/16/16 / 2059 hours

DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 03/16/16 / 2030 hours

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☒ Animal Attack

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME | D.O.B | RESIDENCE PHONE NO. | BUSINESS PHONE NO.

VICTIM'S ADDRESS | ZIP | CITY | STATE

VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS | ZIP | CITY | STATE

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐

RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☒ NO ☐

DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER AGENCY CASE # 1603160562 ☐ SO ☒ TPD ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION

BITE SEVERITY: PART OF BODY BITTEN:

TREATED BY | PHONE NUMBER | DATE QUARANTINED | RELEASE DATE:

PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

VET CLINIC | PHONE NUMBER | OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐

FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS

QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐

☐ FRA HEAD#

3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☒ NO ☐

CITING ACO T. Haynes #2032

PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒

PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS A16-186915

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-97, 4-7(2)(B), 4-3(2)(B)

REVIEWED BY 3-20-16 DTK1911

CITATIONS/NUMBERS 74448, 74447

BOND YES ☐ NO ☐

BREEDIDESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Pit Bull	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Biggie	Tan/White	M	A				Inj	
Pit Bull	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Bella	White/Tan	F	A				N	
Pit Bull	VICTIM ☒ OWNER ☐	Chico	Tan/White	M	A				Inj	
Husky Mix	VICTIM ☒ OWNER ☐	Chase	White/Gray	M	5M				Inj	

Summary

No animal was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and issued citations for neglect no water. Owner licensed both dogs.

Officer's Case Report

On March 16, 2016 at approximately 2123 hours I arrived at, and met with Tucson Police Officer Vasquez #44603 and Sergeant Simmers #44535 (1603160562). They had a medium, tan/white, male1 Pit Bull confined in the back of the patrol car. They said that the dog lives next door at and had jumped the fence and attacked the two dogs at in their kennel. The officers said that the dog was acting rather aggressive upon their arrival and they removed the dog from the kennel using a catch pole that they had. They advised that they were unable to make contact with the residents of as it appeared that there was no one home. They also said that there was another dog in the yard at and that they did not see any water in the yard when they were trying to figure out how the first dog got into the neighbor's yard. I went to and observed a medium, white/tan, female, Pit Bull in the yard. She came up to the fence and WI!S friendly with me. I entered the yard and she stayed with me as I went to the front door and around to the back door. The house was dark and in the yard I found several empty and turned over buckets and bowls. I did not find any water available to the dog. It appeared that the water had been gone for a while. I leashed the white dog and brought her out to impound her due to there not being any water available and the owner not being home. As I was leaving the yard a male pulled up in a vehicle and spoke with me and the officers. He said that the dogs belonged to his sister and that she was at work. The officers asked if he could contact her and have her come home. He inquired about the other dog, calling him Biggie, as he petted the female. It was explained that we could not give him any information at this time. He then left the scene. I then walked the female to my truck and scanned her and no microchip was detected. I loaded her into-my truck-and she was impounded without incident I then went to the patrol car and started talking to Biggie. He wagged his tail and was friendly with me. I was able to leash him and remove him from the car without any issues. As I was walking him to my truck the male returned with a female and she started yelling at me and the officers. I continued to walk Biggie back to my truck and impounded him without incident. I returned to where the officers were and attempted to speak to the female and she refused to talk to me. The officers continued to speak with her and I went back into the yard and took photographs of the empty buckets and bowls. · Shortly after I returned to the front yard another vehicle pulled up and a young man got out and introduced himseH to me. He said that his name was and that he was the dog owner. He requested to know what was going on so the officers and I explained that his dog, Biggle, had gotten into the neighbor's yard and had attacked their dogs. I also explained that there was no water available in his yard for his dogs and based on that, both dogs had been impounded. During our conversation, Bella, the name of the second dog, was given. I requested his identification and he provided me with his Arizona Driver's License. I advised him that he would be receiving citations for the dogs not having any water available. I also told him that I had not spoken to the neighbor yet and that there may be more citations for the attack. Officer Vazquez and I then went to and met with victim dog owner. He said that at approximately 2030 hours he and the family had returned home and as they were pulling their vehicle into the carport they observed

	the neighbor's tan/white Pit Bull in the kennel with his dogs. He said that his dog, Chico, a male, tan/white, Pit Bull, was cowering In a comer away from the bigger dog and that his second dog, Chase, a male, white/gray, Siberian Husky mix, was also keeping away from the intruding dog. He said that he could see injuries on. both of his dogs, especially Chico, from the big dog fighting with them. said that the big dog tears up the common fence and that he has made multiple repairs to the fence to try and keep him out He has put sheets of plywood and wire fencing between the yards to keep the dog out of his yard. I could not find any new holes In the fence but I did see an open area at the top of the fence next to the kennel. It appears that Biggie climbed the common fence and got into the kennel through the open comer. said that he believes that this is not the first time that the big dog has gotten into his yard and attacked his dog. He said that he came home one day about a month ago and found Chico tom up and blood everywhere in the yard. He could only guess that it was the neighbor's dog because he had seen the dog stick its head through the holes that he had made in the fence. is requesting citations for the incident and restitution for vet bills. Chico and Chase's injuries from tonight were photographed. I requested identification and he provided me with his Arizona Driver's License. I advised him that I would return it as soon as I was done with the citations for the neighbor. Citation #74446 was issued to for Nealilct-No Water for both dogs and third party citation #74447 was issued to for leash law and biting animal (animal attack) X2 for Biggie. Citation, court date, time, and location were explained to He acknowledged, signed and received a copy of both citations. I also provided him with a copy of the Pet-Fix flyer and the Pima County Animal Law pamphlet. His Arizona-Driver'11 License-was then returned-to him. I discussed-with him several different remedies that he could use to keep his dogs from running out of water and gave him some suggestions about fencing to keep this from happening again. Biggie and Bella were then returned to him. I then went and met with I provided him a copy of the Pet-Fix flyer and a copy of the Pima County Animal Laws pamphlet He was very upset that Biggie was returned to It was explained that I could not keep the dog and hopefully the citations were enough for him to keep the dog confined. Officer Vazquez and I then cleared the scene.

Committee Member Comments/ Request for Information	Member
	T. Barrick
	N. Emptage
	P. Hubbard
	P. Jacobs
	S. Kaluzniacki
	D. Marshall
Why can't a biting dog be kept if the owner can't keep it on his property?	H. Mendelsohn
	J. Neumann
	E. O'Donnell
	J. Schwerin
Is there follow-up on the dog that was jumping the fence and attacking the other dogs?	G. Smith
	City of Tucson Rep.

Report Snapshot

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B)(1) and § 8-228 (B)

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

LOCATION OF INCIDENT: 4000 N. Silverbell Rd

DATE AND TIME REPORTED: 3-21-16 / 1345

DATE AND TIME OCCURRED: 3-21-16 / 1345

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☒ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME: 1942 Eckelbarger

D.O.B

RESIDENCE PHONE NO.

BUSINESS PHONE NO.: 724-5992

VICTIM'S ADDRESS

ZIP / CITY / STATE

VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS: 4000 N. Silverbell Rd

ZIP: 85745 CITY: Tucson STATE: AZ

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒

RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒

DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:

FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION

BITE SEVERITY:

PART OF BODY BITTEN:

TREATED BY

PHONE NUMBER

DATE QUARANTINED

RELEASE DATE:

PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐

VET CLINIC

PHONE NUMBER

OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐

FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐

CLINIC'S ADDRESS

QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐

☐ FRA HEAD#

3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒

CITING ACO: 1942 Eckelbarger

PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒

PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

CODE/ORD VIOLATED: 4-3 (Z)(D)

REVIEWED BY 3-22-16 DTK 1911

CITATIONS/NUMBERS: 75482 (A)

BOND YES ☐ NO ☒

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Lab mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Buddy	Cream	M	10yr				P	

Officer's Case Report

On 3-21-16 at 1345 hot•"" I Investigator Eckelbarger (1942) met with dog owner, , at the Pima Animal Care Center while she was signing over her dog "Buddy" for euthanasia. · stated she first started noticing Buddy losing weight about 1 month prior. She also had stated that Buddy had a seizure approximately 1 month prior after changing his food. She stated it had been years since Buddy's last veterinary visit. She stated that he stopped eating and drinking on 3-20-16 and could no longer walk. stated she has owned Buddy for all10 years of his life. I then observed Buddy who appeared emaciated. I could easily see his rib,hip, and skull bones. He was barely able to lift his head and could not walk. I took photographs of the dog and setup for Dr. Carlson to examine for a welfare case. I then cited for neglect-vet care on "Buddy" under City jurisdiction. signed and received her copy of the citation.

Summary

The owner was cited for neglect no Vet care. The dog was being euthanized when the officer investigated.

Committee Member Comments/ Request for Information	Member
	T. Barrick
	N. Emptage
	P. Hubbard
	P. Jacobs
	S. Kaluzniacki
	D. Marshall
	H. Mendelsohn
	J. Neumann
	E. O'Donnell
	J. Schwerin
This owner should be tagged to be refused dog ownership from PACC in the future.	G. Smith
	City of Tucson Rep.

Report Snapshot

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒

LOCATION OF INCIDENT

DATE AND TIME REPORTED: 2-22-16 / 1359

DATE AND TIME OCCURRED: 3-21-16 / 1530

FOOD ☐ WATER ☒ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☒ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-285 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME: 1942 Eckelbarger

D.O.B

RESIDENCE PHONE NO.

BUSINESS PHONE NO.: 724-5992

VICTIM'S ADDRESS

ZIP

CITY

STATE

VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS: 4000 N. Silverbell Rd

ZIP: 85745

CITY: Tucson

STATE: AZ

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒

RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☒

DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:

FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION

BITE SEVERITY:

PART OF BODY BITTEN:

TREATED BY

PHONE NUMBER

DATE QUARANTINED

RELEASE DATE:

PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

VET CLINIC

PHONE NUMBER

OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐

FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS

QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐

☐ FRA HEAD#

3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒

CITING ACO: 1942 Eckelbarger

PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒

PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED: 4-3 (Z)(E)(2), 4-3 (Z)(B)

REVIEWED BY 3-22-16 DTK 1911

CITATIONS/NUMBERS: 75491 (A-B)

BOND YES ☐ NO ☒

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
G. Shep	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Chloe	Black/tan	F	7mo				Ok	

Officer's Case Report

On 3-21-16 at 1520 hours I Investigator Eckelbarger (1942) responded to where I met with · who showed me "Chloe" (7month old female G. Shepherd) in the backyard. Chloe was on a chain tie-out approximately 20 feet long. She had some access to shade at the time I responded, but no water. The water bowl was empty and dry. Chloe appeared to be panting as well and it was approximately 90 degrees Fahrenheit outdoors. stated Chloe belongs to her adult daughter who was in Mexico so was the caretaker of Chloe. I advised of the tie-out law and the need for water at all times. She stated their confinement was not secure (no gates), which was why she was tied out She stated Chloe did not get along with her other daughter's small Maltese who was indoors which was another reason why Chloe was tied outside. I then cited · for neglect-tieout and neglect-no water on Chloe under City jurisdiction. signed and received her copies of the citations. I then had put Chloe indoors in a separate bedroom. I advised we would be back out for a follow-up in 2 weeks. I also took photographs of Chloe on tie-out and the empty water bowl.

Summary

No animal was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and issued citations for neglect tie-out and neglect no-water.
A recheck is scheduled to be done after 4/4/16.

Committee Member Comments/ Request for Information	Member
	T. Barrick
Status? Are all dog at home vaccinated and licensed? If "Chloe" dose not "get along" with other pets in household, what is the owner going to do to keep the animals apart?	N. Emptage
	P. Hubbard
	P. Jacobs
	S. Kaluzniacki
	D. Marshall
What was the result of re-check	H. Mendelsohn
	J. Neumann
	E. O'Donnell
	J. Schwerin
Do we have the follow up report from the recheck on 4/4/16?	G. Smith
	City of Tucson Rep.

Report Snapshot

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☑

LOCATION OF INCIDENT

DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT 03/23/16 14:00 Date and time reported 03/23/16 14:00

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ INJ/ILL ☑ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME | DATE OF BIRTH | RESIDENCE PHONE | BUSINESS PHONE

VICTIM'S ADDRESS | CITY | STATE | ZIP

VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS | CITY | STATE | ZIP

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐

RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐

DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SHERIFF DEPT ☐ TUCSON POLICE ☐ FIRE ☐ OTHER:

FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION

BITE SEVERITY:

PART OF BODY BITTEN:

TREATED BY | PHONE NUMBER | DATE QUARANTINED | RELEASE DATE:

PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

VET CLINIC | PHONE NUMBER | OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐

FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS

QUARANTINE (DAYS) 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐

☐ FRA HEAD#

3rd PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☐

CITING ACO Konst #2oo2

PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☑

PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-3 (2) (D)

REVIEWED BY 2002 KONST 3/23

CITATIONS/NUMBERS

BOND YES ☐ NO ☑

BREED/DESCRIPTION		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #	CONDITION	ANIMAL ID#
Lab mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☑	Orla	Tan	F	15y		Poor	

Summary

The owner was cited for neglect no Vet care. The dog was being euthanized when the officer investigated.

Officer's Case Report

On 03/23116 brought his 15 year old Lab mix named Orla in for euthanasia. I Supervisor Konst badge #2002 was at the intake door area for another matter. I was then asked to look at the dog by Kennel Technician II . She had concerns over the condition of the dog and the owners statement the dog had not been to a Veterinarian since it was a puppy. I observed Orla to be laying In a stainless steel rolling kennel. I could see the dogs rear back area had been shaved and appeared to have some hair loss and areas of skin loss. On the center back I observed the hair to be moving with solid masses of maggots under the hair. I was told by the owner that they had decided to bring the dog In after they observed maggots coming from the anal area of Orla. They stated the dog has had incontinence since it was spade as a puppy over 14 years ago. The owner also stated they have never had the dog to a Veterinarian since it was spade. I ask if it ever had a rabies vaccination; stated not since it was a puppy. They did not notice any change until a couple of months ago. Then a couple of days ago the dog was no longer active. So him and the family decided it was time to put Orla down. A family member had shaved the rear back area recently because of the maggots. I explained to that the Pima Animal Care Center Veterinarian felt the dog was neglected. The dog did not get in this condition in a short time. The condition on the skin came from being constantly wet and does not spread rapidly. The maggots coming from inside Orla shows the dog has had an infection for awhile. I issued citation 73770 for Neglect no Vet care in Tucson City Court. The citation was explained and stated he understood.

Committee Member Comments/ Request for Information	Member
	T. Barrick
Owner's residence should be visited to determine if other animals are owned. Due to the neglect of Orla, there may be other animals which need to be checked.	N. Emptage
	P. Hubbard
	P. Jacobs
	S. Kaluzniacki
	D. Marshall
They should not be allowed to own any animals or adopt from PACC	H. Mendelsohn
	J. Neumann
	E. O'Donnell
	J. Schwerin
This is a horrible case of chronic neglect The owner and his family members should never be permitted to have a dog from PACC The suffering this dog endured is unimaginable.	G. Smith

PIMA COUNTY
HEALTH DEPARTMENT

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD • TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.govanimal/care

MEMORANDUM

TO: **Marcy Flanagan, Deputy Director**

FROM: **Debra Tenkate, Animal Care Field Supervisor** DTK

DATE: **April 5, 2016**

SUBJECT: **Dangerous Dog Case for March 2016**

Tucson:

1. A16-187532 [redacted] A dog named Mary-Jane was declared not dangerous by Investigator Eckelbarger.

Pima County/Oro Valley:

2. A16-188156 [redacted] A dog named Toreto was declared dangerous by Investigator Delgadillo. The second dog named Geo had been signed over to PACC prior to the dangerous dog evaluation. Both dog s were impounded and euthanized at PACC.

3. A16-190348 [redacted] A dog named Cowlee was declared not dangerous by Investigator Eckelbarger.

4. A16-190085 [redacted] Two dogs named Buddy and White Socks were declared dangerous by Investigator Eckelbarger. Both dogs were impounded and then redeemed by the owner after their confinement was modified. The owner requested a hearing to contest the declaration of dangerous. The hearing is scheduled for April 11, 2016. Investigator Eckelbarger will monitor compliance.

PIMA COUNTY ANIMAL CARE CENTER
MONTHLY INVESTIGATIONS REPORT
DANGEROUS DOG CASES FOR MARCH 2016

City of Tucson

CASE NUMBER	OWNER'S LAST NAME	NUMBER OF ANIMALS ASSESSED	NUMBER OF ANIMALS DECLARED DANGEROUS	NUMBER OF ANIMALS DECLARED NOT DANGEROUS	NUMBER OF ANIMALS IMPOUNDED	NUMBER OF ANIMALS RELINQUISHED	NUMBER OF ANIMALS PTS	HEARING Y/N	UPHELD	NOT UPHELD
A16-187532	[redacted]	1	0	1	0	0	0	N		

County/Oro Valley

CASE NUMBER	OWNER'S LAST NAME	NUMBER OF ANIMALS ASSESSED	NUMBER OF ANIMALS DECLARED DANGEROUS	NUMBER OF ANIMALS DECLARED NOT DANGEROUS	NUMBER OF ANIMALS IMPOUNDED	NUMBER OF ANIMALS RELINQUISHED	NUMBER OF ANIMALS PTS	HEARING Y/N	UPHELD	NOT UPHELD
A16-188156	[redacted]	1	1	0	2	2	2	N		
A16-190348	[redacted]	1	0	1	0	0	0	N		
A16-190085	[redacted]	2	2	0	2	0	0	Y 4/11/16		

DD#1

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 724-5900
Fax: (520) 724-5960

SUSPECT [redacted]			ACO NAME / BADGE # T. Foster #2042	ACTIVITY/BITE NUMBER A16-187532
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS			BITE ☑ WELFARE ☐ DANGEROUS ☐ OTHER ☐ N/A	
CITY Tucson	STATE AZ	ZIP	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS UNK			CI ☑ CO ☐ OTHER ☐ N/A	
CITY N/A	STATE N/A	ZIP N/A	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER N/A	DRIVERS LICENSE

SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES	HAIR	ORIGIN	DOB	SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER
							Not Asked

LOCATION OF INCIDENT

DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT 02/03/16 18:05 — Date and time reported 02/03/16 18:11

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☑

FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ INJ / ILL ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐

☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME	DATE OF BIRTH	RESIDENCE PHONE	BUSINESS PHONE

VICTIM'S ADDRESS	CITY	STATE	ZIP
[redacted]	Tucson	AZ	

VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS	CITY	STATE	ZIP
[redacted]	Tucson	AZ	

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE) N/A

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☑ NO ☐

RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☑

DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER AGENCY CASE # N/A ☐ SHERIFF DEPT ☐ TUCSON POLICE ☐ FIRE ☐ OTHER: N/A

FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER: N/A

☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

☑ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION

BITE SEVERITY: 2-Puncture

PART OF BODY BITTEN: Knee

TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED	RELEASE DATE	PACC	VET	HOME
UNK	N/A	N/A	02/12/16	☐	☐	☐

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM N/A

PHONE NUMBER N/A

VET CLINIC N/A

PHONE NUMBER N/A

OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☑ NO ☐

FTQ ☐ UTQ ☑

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS N/A

CLINIC'S ADDRESS N/A

QUARANTINE (DAYS) 10 ☑ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐

☐ FRA HEAD# N/A

3rd PARTY CITATIONS YES ☑ NO ☐

CITING ACO 2042

PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☑

PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER N/A

OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS N/A

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-97; 4-7(2)(B)

REVIEWED BY 2042 KONST 3/5

CITATIONS/NUMBERS #75504 (A, B)

BOND YES ☐ NO ☑

BREED/DESCRIPTION		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #	CONDITION	ANIMAL ID#
Lab Mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☑	Mary-Jane	Black/White	F	2yr	L15-25221	Normal	A455962
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							

WITNESS 1 [redacted]	M ☐ F ☑	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

DD#1

INVESTIGATION REPORT

PACC Activity: A16-187532

ACO & Badge T. Foster #2042

02/03/16 18:11 Pima Animal Care Center received a report from a supervisor at UPS alleging that one of his employees was bitten that day while delivering packages. He stated that the biting animal resides at)r. He also reported that the owner's name is [redacted] and that his phone number is

02/03/16 18:47 Pima Animal Care Center received a call from the bite victim, . He provided the call taker with his address and times he was usually available to meet with an officer. He also stated that the front yard at . is unfenced and that the biting dog charged out the open front door and bit him.

02/20/16 18:10 Officer K. Baugus #1918 met with the bite victim is a UPS driver and stated that on February 3rd 2016 he delivered a package to That property is a duplex-style residence and the front yard is unfenced. He stated that he knocked on the door and heard a large dog barking inside. The door opened and the dog lunged out the door. backed away and told the guy to get his dog. stated that the man had no control over the dog and that the dog lunged and bit him on his right knee. advised Officer Baugus that there were two punctures and that his knee had a lot of swelling.

stated that the owner provided proof of current rabies vaccinations. The victim alsostated that he believed that the dog was redeemed from PACC in January for another bite. also told Officer Baugus that the dog owner claimed that the dog belonged to his son. He went on to add that the dog owner asked why he was so upset and stated, "it's just a dog bite".

When Officer Baugus met with his injuries were healed. She observed that had two small areas that appeared to be scars. She was unable to successfully photograph them but reported that she feels that they are consistent with an animal bite. The victim stated that he went to and Urgent Care for treatment because his knee swelled to approximately the same size as a grapefruit. He added that he could not bend his knee and that his boss had to assist him with his delivery route as a result.

advised Officer Baugus that his supervisor photographed the PACC paper work that showed proof of vaccinations but stated that he did not have a copy of the photo. He also stated that his Urgent Care paperwork and dog owner information has already been forwarded to the attorney he hired. He stated that he is certain that he can identify the dog that bit him and that he believes that the dog is a Labrador or Rottweiler mix.

Officer's Signature: Continued **Date:**

Activity A16-187532

INVESTIGATION REPORT
(Continued)

02/27/16 12:20 I, Investigator Foster #2042 arrived and knocked on the dog owner's front door and was met by Mr. [redacted] and his wife. I stated my name and that I was at his house regarding the situation with the UPS driver. He freely acknowledged the situation except that he stated that at no time did Mary Jane break skin. They stated that there was a reddish bruise-like mark but no punctures or breaks in the skin. They also stated that I was provided with proof of rabies vaccinations at the time of the incident and that the victim and his boss both returned at different times returned to photograph his house and property. I explained that I needed to conduct a health check of the dog and to issue citations that the victim has requested.

The dog owner provided me with his ID and proof of current license and vaccinations for the dog. There was a different owner listed on the document but under the owner's name is a notation that the fees were paid by [redacted] I asked about that and the couple told me that their son is the dog's original owner and that he regularly left her for extended periods of time with various people and that the last time he left her at their home they just kept her and that he has not returned to claim her.

I completed the requested citations and presented them to [redacted]. He acknowledged, signed, and accepted a copy of the citations. I then provided him with info on a Misdemeanor compromise through the prosecutor's office. I returned his ID to him and provided him with his court date, time, and location.

I next advised him of the Dangerous Dog-Evaluation that was requested and explained what that entailed. I photographed the dog and observed that Mary appeared to be of normal health and temperament at the time of my visit. The couple seemed nervous about having the dog around me and I observed that Mary-Jane displayed unsafe behavior while I was at their home. At one point the owner allowed the dog to approach me (on leash) and although she seemed OK to the owner, I observed her body language to be tense, uncertain, and territorial in appearance. As I was preparing to leave Mary charged me and fortunately the owner regained control of her before she reached the end of the leash.

02/29/16 16:01 I arrived at I. and was met in the street by I stated my name and the reason for my visit asked him to look at a photograph that was taken of the alleged biter. readily identified the Lab mix as the dog that bit him on 02/03/16. He stated that he is filing a civil suit against the dog owner and is seeking "pain and suffering." I explained that the criminal case cannot address that and can only provide restitution for out of pocket costs. He stated that he knows and that is why he hired an attorney and is filing a civil suit against the dog owner. At that time I notified him that I conducted a Health-Check of Mary-Jane and did not observe any signs or symptoms of illness or disease.

Officer Signature Continued Date

Activity A16-187532

INVESTIGATION REPORT
(Continued)

also told me that he has delivered packages to that address for years and that he is very familiar with the dog and her aggressive behavior. He stated that the owner has told him on several occasions that he cannot control Mary-Jane. stated that based on his past experiences with the dog and the lackadaisical attitude that the owner had the day he was bitten, that he is still requesting a Dangerous Dog-Evaluation be conducted on his behalf. I explained that there is a chance that the dog will not accumulate enough points to be declared Dangerous by Pima Animal Care Center. I explained that if the owner is found guilty of owning a biting animal in the city of Tucson that the judge will declare the dog Vicious without regard to the outcome of the Dangerous Animal Evaluation conducted by PACC. He stated that he understood. I also suggested that he try to obtain copies of the photos that his boss took of his injury and maintain a copy for the case. I thanked for his time and cooperation and left the area.

Officer Signature J. Foster #2042 Date 03/05/2016

DD#1

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.gov/animalcare

CASE NO: A16-189401
OWNER: [redacted]
ANIMAL NAME: Mary-Jane.
ADDRESS:
SEX: S BREED: Lab mix
COLOR: Black/white DATE: 3-7-16.

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:

NON-VIOLATION BITE	+ 3	
VIOLATION-BITE	+ 6	+6

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)

NO BREAK IN SKIN	+ 1	
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING	+ 2	
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED)	+ 3	+3.
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT	+ 4	
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM	+ 4	
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION)	+ 5	

Animal Complaints or Violations:

LEASH LAW CITATIONS	+ 2	+2.
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS	+ 1	
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS	+ 2	
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS	+ 3	
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS	+ 1	

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY	+ 1	
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER	+ 2	
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits)	+ 3	
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS)	+ 4	
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH	+ 5	

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)

(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)

SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES	- 5	
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES	+ 5	+5

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:

REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT	- 3	-3.
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED	- 1	-1
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION	+ 1	+1
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT	+ 5	
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # 15-252216	- 1	-1
NO CURRENT LICENSE	+ 1	
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION	+ 1	

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):

(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)

ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE	- 3	-3
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE	- 3	-3.
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <5X/YR	+ 1	
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >5X/YR	+ 2	
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE	+ 2	

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)

ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY	+ 2	+2.
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE	- 2	
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR	+ 1	

Confinement / Fencing:

6 foot block wall on east/west ends of backyard. 5-6 ft wood fence south wall. One gate leading into backyard.

General Comments:

The dog Mary-Jane scored a +8 and is therefore not declared dangerous at this time.

OFFICER # 1942 Eckelberger.

TOTAL SCORE: +8.

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

____ DANGEROUS
X NOT DANGEROUS

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure ,bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threating manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threating manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC **IN PERSON**.

PACC-DD1

DD#2

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 724-5900
Fax: (520) 724-5960

SUSPECT [redacted]		ACO NAME / BADGE # X. Delgadillo 2047	ACTIVITY/BITE NUMBER A16-188156
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS		BITE ☐ WELFARE ☐ DANGEROUS ☐	
CITY Tucson	STATE AZ / ZIP / RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER	OTHER ☑ Leash Law	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		CI ☐ CO ☑ OTHER ☐	
CITY / STATE / ZIP / BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX / WEIGHT / HEIGHT / EYES / HAIR / ORIGIN		DOB / SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER	

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☐	LOCATION OF INCIDENT	DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT 02/06/16 17:30	Date and time reported 02/16/16 12:30
	FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ INJ / ILL ☐	OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☑ leash law	
☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME	DATE OF BIRTH / RESIDENCE PHONE	BUSINESS PHONE
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS	CITY	STATE AZ / ZIP
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS	CITY	STATE / ZIP
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☑ NO ☐ / RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☑ NO ☐ / DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER A16-18857	OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SHERIFF DEPT ☐ TUCSON POLICE ☐ FIRE ☐ OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:
☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION / BITE SEVERITY: / PART OF BODY BITTEN:	TREATED BY / PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED / RELEASE DATE: / PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM / PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC	PHONE NUMBER / OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐	FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS	QUARANTINE (DAYS) 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐	☐ FRA HEAD#
	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☑ NO ☐ / CITING ACO X. Delgadillo#2047	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☐ / PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED 6.04.30, 6.04.120 (B) (2)		REVIEWED BY 2007 KONST 3/1
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS 75403		BOND YES ☐ NO ☐

BREED/DESCRIPTION		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #	CONDITION	ANIMAL ID#
Shepherd Mix	VICTIM ☑ OWNER ☐	Hunter	tan/white	M	9	none	deceased	552973
Hound Mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☑	Geo	Black/Tan	F				551217
Mastiff Mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☑	Toreto	Red/White	M				551256
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							

WITNESS 1 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4 M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

DD#2

INVESTIGATION REPORT

PACC Activity: A16-188156

ACO & Badge X. Delgadillo#2047

On Februarv 16, 2016 at approximately 1230 hours Supervisor Tenkate met with , who resides at I. He explained he came to PACC about the dog on dog attack that caused the death of his dog.

He explained the following to Supervisor Tenkate,
On 2/6/16 at approximately 1730-1800 hours he witnessed the neighbors 4 large dogs inside his fenced yard attacking his Light tan 9yo neutered Shepherd chow mix named Hunter. The 4 dogs were described as a black/brown Rottie/shepherd/hound mix and 4 lighter brown mixes owned by Valenzuela who resides at [redacted] also owns 2 smaller white Poodle type mixes that were not involved in the attack. The dog owner is not requesting citations at this time (as he just moved in4 months ago and wants to be a good neighbor) I explained that he has a year to have the citations issued if the dog owner does not pay the vet bill.

On February 24, 2016 at approximately 17:10 hrs I, Investigator Delgadillo#2047, arrived to and met with . requested that the dog owner be cited for leash law and biting animal for the attack on Hunter.

On February 24, 2016 at approximately 17:50 I arrived to [redacted] and met with [redacted] I explained third party citations and [redacted] stated that he had not received a vet bill from the complainant.

[redacted] was cited into Pima County Justice Court for Leash law and Biting Animal for Toreto A551256, a male red and white mastiff mix and Geo A551220, black and tan hound mix. Mr. Valenzuela signed his citations; received a copy and was provided his court date and time.

Officer's Signature: **Date:** 3/1/16

DD#2

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.gov/animalcare

CASE NO: A16-188571
OWNER: [redacted]
ANIMAL NAME: Lorelei

ADDRESS:
SEX: M BREED: Mastiff mix
COLOR: Red/White DATE: 2/27/16

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:

NON-VIOLATION BITE	+ 3	
VIOLATION-BITE	+ 6	

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)

NO BREAK IN SKIN	+ 1	
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING	+ 2	
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED)	+ 3	
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT	+ 4	
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM	+ 4	
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION)	+ 5	

Animal Complaints or Violations:

LEASH LAW CITATIONS	+ 2	+2
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS	+ 1	+1
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS	+ 2	
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS	+ 3	+3
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS	+ 1	

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY	+ 1	
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER	+ 2	
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits)	+ 3	+3
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS)	+ 4	
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH	+ 5	

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)

(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the Incident)

SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES	- 5	
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES	+ 5	+5

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:

REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT	- 3	
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED	- 1	
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION	+ 1	
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT	+ 5	
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # _____	- 1	
NO CURRENT LICENSE	+ 1	+1
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION	+ 1	+1

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):

(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)

ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE	- 3	
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE	- 3	
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <5X/YR	+ 1	
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >5X/YR	+ 2	+2
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE	+ 2	+2

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)

ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY	+ 2	
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE	- 2	-2
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR	+ 1	

Confinement / Fencing:

The residence has field fencing and drive through gates. The confinement has been compromised, dog digging under the fence.

General Comments:

The dog was seen with 3 others, the complainant stated that the blue ton hound mix was the aggressor but also observed four dogs engage in the attack. The dog was not declared automatic due to the complainant failing to obtain vet care, but has started to be declared dangerous

OFFICER # X-Delgadillo

TOTAL SCORE: +18

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

✓ DANGEROUS
____ NOT DANGEROUS

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure ,bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threating manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threating manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC **IN PERSON**.

PACC-DD1

DD#3

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT [redacted]					ACO NAME / BADGE # 1942 Eckelbarger	COMPLAINT NUMBER A16-190348	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS					BITE ☐ WELFARE ☐ DANGEROUS ☒ OTHER ☐		
ZIP	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		CODE IF OTHER :		
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS					CI ☐ CO ☐ OTHER ☒ Oro Valley		
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE		
SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES	HAIR COLOR	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒	LOCATION OF INCIDENT	DATE AND TIME REPORTED 3-20-16 / 1906	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 3-20-16 / 1903
	FOOD ☐ WATER ☐ SHELTER ☐ INJURED/ILL ☐ VENTILATION ☐ ABANDONED ☐ TIEOUT ☐ BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐		
☐ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO. / BUSINESS PHONE NO.
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY Tucson / STATE AZ
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY / STATE

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☒ NO ☐	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☒ NO ☐	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ TFD ☐ OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:
☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☐ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: / PART OF BODY BITTEN:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED / RELEASE DATE: / PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM / PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐	FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐	☐ FRA HEAD#	
	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	CITING ACO	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☐ NO ☒	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED				REVIEWED BY 3-29-16 DTK 1911
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS				BOND YES ☐ NO ☒

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Pit-bull mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☒	Cowlee	Black/white	F	5yr		Current		Ok	A331192
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
Springer Spaniel	VICTIM ☒ OWNER ☐	Garby	White/red	F	2yr				I	
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐									

WITNESS 1	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE # / BUSINESS PHONE #

DD#3

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A16-190348

ACO name & Badge:1942 Eckelbarger

On 3-23-16 I Investigator Eckelbarger (1942) was assigned a dangerous dog evaluation case on Cowlee after a reported dog on dog attack occurring on 3-20-16.

On 3-24-16 I responded to the neighborhood of the dog owners and conducted the neighborhood interviews. The majority opinion was that Cowlee has not been seen aggressive or at large. I also ran a search and found no previous complaints on the property. I called the victim, , who stated his dog Garby was attacked by "Cowlee" and that was the only time he had ever seen the dog. He stated he would be willing to drop the charges provided the owner agrees to pay back the restitution for his vet bills which were approximately 700 dollars.

Cowlee was adopted from the Pima Animal Care Center and it was previously noted on the animal ID that Cowlee had attacked another dog while at an off-site adoption event. There was an additional notation that Cowlee was aggressive towards smaller dogs. The dog also exhibited some fearful or unsafe behavior towards a member of the shelter staff while she was housed at the Pima Animal Care Center.

On 3-28-16 I responded to where I met with dog owner, [redacted], who showed me his dog Cowlee and a large black and white pitbull mix "Bridget". Cowlee appeared friendly towards me. I inspected the backyard confinement which consisted of a block wall approximately 6 feet tall with one gate leading into the yard. There were no reports of the dogs escaping the confinement.

I then finished the scoresheet. Cowlee scored a +4 and is therefore not declared dangerous at this time. I issued the owner his copy of the scoresheet.

The complainant then came over to [redacted] address and [redacted] paid the vet bill. The case is now closed as resolved.

Officer's Signature: 1942 **Date: 3-29-16**

DD#3

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.gov/animalcare

CASE NO: A16-190348.
OWNER: [redacted]
ANIMAL NAME: Caulee.

ADDRESS:
SEX: F BREED: Pitbull mix.
COLOR: Black/white DATE: 3-28-16

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:

NON-VIOLATION BITE	+ 3	
VIOLATION-BITE	+ 6	

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)

NO BREAK IN SKIN	+ 1	
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING	+ 2	
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED)	+ 3	
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT	+ 4	
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM	+ 4	
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION)	+ 5	

Animal Complaints or Violations:

LEASH LAW CITATIONS	+ 2	+2
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS	+ 1	
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS	+ 2	
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS	+ 3	+3.
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS	+ 1	

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY	+ 1	
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER	+ 2	
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits)	+ 3	+3
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS)	+ 4	
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH	+ 5	

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)

(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)

SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES	- 5	
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES Not under control	+ 5	+5

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:

REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT	- 3	-3
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED	- 1	-1
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION	+ 1	
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT	+ 5	
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # 15-264793.	- 1	-1
NO CURRENT LICENSE	+ 1	
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION	+ 1	

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):

(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)

ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE	- 3	-3
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE	- 3	-3.
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <5X/YR	+ 1	
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >5X/YR	+ 2	
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE	+ 2	

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)

ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY Previous report of Aggressive at PACC.	+ 2	+2
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE	- 2	
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR	+ 1	

Confinement / Fencing:

6 foot wall w/ one gate leading into yard.

General Comments:

The dog Caulee scored a +4 and is therefore not declared dangerous at this time.

OFFICER # 1942 Eckelberger

TOTAL SCORE: +4

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

____ DANGEROUS
✓ NOT DANGEROUS

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure ,bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threating manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threating manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC **IN PERSON.**

PACC-DD1

DD#4

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 724-5900
Fax: (520) 724-5960

SUSPECT [redacted]						ACO NAME / BADGE # A. Kirby #2057	ACTIVITY/BITE NUMBER A16-190085
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS						BITE ☐ WELFARE ☐ DANGEROUS ☐	
CITY Oro Valley	STATE AZ	ZIP 85704	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER			OTHER ☑ Attempted Bite w/injuries	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS						CI ☐ CO ☐ OTHER ☑ Oro Valley	
CITY	STATE	ZIP	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER			DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES	HAIR	ORIGIN	DOB	SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☑ NO ☐	LOCATION OF INCIDENT					DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT 3/17/16 :2:37pm	Date and time reported 3/17/16 2:39pm
	FOOD ☐	WATER ☐	SHELTER ☐	VENTILATION ☐	ABANDONED ☐	TIEOUT ☐	BEATEN ☐ WASTE ☐ INJ / ILL ☐ OTHER (EXPLAIN) ☐
☑ I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME			DATE OF BIRTH	RESIDENCE PHONE		BUSINESS PHONE
☐ I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS				CITY		STATE / ZIP
☐ REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B0 and § 8-286 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS				CITY		STATE / ZIP
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # ☐ SHERIFF DEPT ☐ TUCSON POLICE ☐ FIRE ☑ OTHER: V16030916			FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐ SO ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:
☐ ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	☑ VIOLATION ☐ NON-VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: PART OF BODY BITTEN:		TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED RELEASE DATE:	PACC ☐ VET ☐ HOME ☐
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC			PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES ☐ NO ☐		FTQ ☐ UTQ ☐
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS			QUARANTINE (DAYS) 10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐		☐ FRA HEAD#	
	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES ☑ NO ☐	CITING ACO		PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES ☑ NO ☐	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS	
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED					REVIEWED BY	
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS cited by Oro Valley Police					BOND YES ☐ NO ☐	

BREED/DESCRIPTION		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #	CONDITION	ANIMAL ID#
Akita mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☑	White Socks	Brown/White	N	3Y	15-246692	normal	A428531
Sharpei Mix	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☑	Buddy	Brown	N	9Y	15-246693	normal	A428532
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							
	VICTIM ☐ OWNER ☐							

WITNESS 1	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M ☐ F ☐	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

BD#4

INVESTIGATION REPORT

PACC Activity: A16-190085

ACO & Badge A. Kirby #2057

03/17/16 1551 hours I Officer Kirby #2057 arrived at and met with Oro Valley Police Department (OVPD) Officer Knapp #V237. Officer Knapp advised that they were dispatched to the scene where two dogs broke free from the owners control and aggressively chased a male on a bicycle causing him to crash the bicycle into a trash can, falling off the bike and hitting his head on a large rock causing him to black out. Upon my arrival it was unclear whether the victim was bitten by the dogs or not. Victim was transported to UMC for medical treatment due to the severity and nature of the injuries. It was reported that the dogs reside at. OVPD Officer Knapp and I observed a white truck leave the residence, as we were going to make contact at, we recieved no answer at the door upon knocking several times. We were able to observe the dogs inside the home through the sliding glass door. I photographed the dogs. Due to the history of a previous bite and multiple leash law complaints I posted a notice on the door advising of a possible Dangerous dog evaluation. Officer Knapp and I then went to UMC Emergency center to meet with the victim. Upon arrival we met with UMC medical staff who advised that they did not locate anything that appeared to be a puncture wound. They advised that the victim will require stitches in two spots on the face and has multiple scraped and abrasions. Officer Knapp photographed the injuries further and provided with victim rights information. was advised of his rights as a victim and advised he wanted to move forward with the case. Officer Knapp and OVPD will be continuing with the charges against the owners and handle the case. I advised that PACC may complete a dangerous dog evaluation due to the severity of the injuries and the history of the dogs.

03/18/16 1936 Hours I Pima Animal Care Officer A. Kirby #2057 arrived to follow up with the dog owners. Oro Valley Police Officer Fletcher #V245 (OVPD) was present with me. Upon ringing the doorbell we were met by [redacted] who is the mother of the license holder for the dogs known as White Socks and Buddy. I advised that due to the previous cases as well as this incident a dangerous dog evaluation was being initiated by Pima Animal Care Center. I then advised that for public safety the dogs must be placed on a Dangerous Dog Hold at PACC pending the outcome of the investigation. [redacted] was extremely agitated by this and began yelling that we were going to have to arrest her. We advised that was not what we wanted to do. She began to make numerous phone calls asking people to come over. It was at this point I contacted PACC Supervisor Tenkate #1911 and she advised to ask OVPD to seek a telephonic search warrant. OVPD Officer Fletcher and I then exited the residence to seek the warrant. Once outside we were met by OVPD Officer Barkley #V241 who explained to [redacted] the possible courses of action and the outcomes. [redacted] asked how long the dogs would be held at our facility for, I advised a Minimum of 1 week depending on the progress of the investigation. [redacted] calmed down and finally cooperated. She then assisted in leashing the dogs and loading them onto the PACC truck. I provided [redacted] with a notice of impoundment listing both dogs (White Socks and Buddy) as well as the PACC Case #A16-190085 and my my last name and Badge #. OVPD Officer Fletcher provided her with a business card listing the OVPD Case number as well as his name and badge number.

Officer's Signature: **Date:**

DD#4

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE

COMPLAINT # A16-190266
OFFICER # 1942 Echelberger
DATE: 3-24-16

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900, option 3 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.gov/animalcare

DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

X An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

_____ An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

_____ An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

The dog "Buddy" is declared dangerous as a result of causing significant injury to another person on 3-17-16 while in violation of the leash law.

OWNER: [redacted]
ADDRESS:
PHONE:

ANIMAL NAME: Buddy
ANIMAL ID#: A428532
SEX: M COLOR: Bro. BREED: Sharpei X

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE .

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.

PIMA COUNTY
ANIMAL CARE

COMPLAINT # A16-190266
OFFICER # 1942 Eckelberger
DATE: 3-24-16

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900, option 3 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.gov/animalcare

DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

X An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

____ An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

____ An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

The dog "White Socks" is declared dangerous as a result of causing significant injury to another person on 3-17-16 while in violation of the leash law.

OWNER: [redacted]
ADDRESS:
PHONE:

ANIMAL NAME: White Socks
ANIMAL ID#: A428531
SEX: M COLOR: Brindle BREED: Akita x

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE .

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.

Dangerous Dog Comments from Nancy Emptage

Case Number	Supervisor's Comments	Emptage Comments
1. A16-187532	A dog named Mary-Jane was declared not dangerous by Investigator Eckelbarger	
2. A16-188156	A dog named Toreto was declared dangerous by Investigator Delgadillo. The second dog named Geo had been signed over to PACC prior to the dangerous dog evaluation. Both dogs were impounded and euthanized at PACC.	
3. A 16-190348	A dog named Cow lee was declared not dangerous by Investigator Eckelbarger.	According to report "Cowlee was adopted from the Pima Animal Care Center and it was previously oted on the animal 10 that Cowlee had attacked another dog while at an off-eite adoption event There was an additional notation that Cowlee was aggressive towards smaller dogs. The dog also exhibited some fearful or unsafe behavior towards a member of the shelter staff while she was housed at the Pima Animal Care Center." *Was the adopter of Cowlee informed of dog's aggressive behavior?*
4. A16-190085	Two dogs named Buddy and White Socks were declared dangerous by Investigator Eckelbarger. Both dogs were impounded and then redeemed by the owner after their confinement was modified. The owner requested a hearing to contest the declaration of dangerous. The hearing is scheduled for April 11, 2016. Investigator Eckelbarger will monitor compliance.	

DD Comment From Dr. Smith:

Case 4 What was the outcome of the court hearing on 4/11?

Pima Animal Care Center
Animals on Hold Report

Animals listed are currently listed as being on hold without an outcome date. They are grouped by the type of hold

kennel_no

HOLD TYPE ENFORCEMEN Number on Hold **34**

A16-190766

K16-214513 A555804 DOG BELLA PIT BULL/
3/30/16 CONFISCATE POLICE NORMAL Activity:A16-190766 **D120**
Kennel Comment: ENF HOLD FOR PCAO
((Do not release...2oo2)) R

03/30/2016 ENFORCEM akirby 3/30/16 13:48
03/30/16 13:48 hrs ENFORCEMENT HOLD FOR PCSO CASE.

2057

A16-191456

K16-215439 A557347 CAT DOMESTIC SH/
4/12/16 CONFISCATE FIELD NORMAL Activity:A16-191456 **I051**
Kennel Comment: no chip ***3C3C3C3C3C*** R

04/12/2016 DHINTE 4/12/16 12:11
If owner comes to redeem, please cite on behalf of Officer Hinte 2068 for the following:

County jurisdiction
7300 N Mona Lisa Rd #21221
04/12/16 08:26

neglect- no food for A557347, A557348, and A557349
neglect- no water for A557347, A557348, and A557349
neglect- unsanitary shelter for A557347, A557348, and A557349
abadonment for A557347, A557348, and A557349

K16-215440 A557348 CAT DOMESTIC SH/
4/12/16 CONFISCATE FIELD NORMAL Activity:A16-191456 **I051**
Kennel Comment: no chip ***3C3C3C3C3C*** R

04/12/2016 DHINTE 4/12/16 12:11
If owner comes to redeem, please cite on behalf of Officer Hinte 2068 for the following:

County jurisdiction
7300 N Mona Lisa Rd #21221
04/12/16 08:26

neglect- no food for A557347, A557348, and A557349
neglect- no water for A557347, A557348, and A557349
neglect- unsanitary shelter for A557347, A557348, and A557349
abadonment for A557347, A557348, and A557349

K16-215441 A557349 CAT DOMESTIC SH/
4/12/16 CONFISCATE FIELD NORMAL Activity:A16-191456 **I051**
Kennel Comment: no chip ***3C3C3C3C3C*** R

kennel_no

04/12/2016 DHINTE 4/12/16 12:11

If owner comes to redeem, please cite on behalf of Officer Hinte 2068 for the following:

County jurisdiction
7300 N Mona Lisa Rd #21221
04/12/16 08:26

neglect- no food for A557347, A557348, and A557349
neglect- no water for A557347, A557348, and A557349
neglect- unsanitary shelter for A557347, A557348, and A557349
abadonment for A557347, A557348, and A557349

A16-191549

K16-215556 A557597 CAT UNKNOWN DOMESTIC SH/

4/14/16 CONFISCATE EVICTION NORMAL Activity:A16-191549 **I013**

Kennel Comment: 3C- 1942 R

04/14/2016 MECKELBA 4/14/16 12:43

4-14-16 0830 hours. If owner attempts to redeem cite for abandonment and neglect-unsanitary shelter on all the cats. Also if owner attempts to redeem, bond all cats. 1942 Eckelbarger

K16-215557 A557596 CAT UNKNOWN DOMESTIC SH/

4/14/16 CONFISCATE EVICTION NORMAL Activity:A16-191549 **I032**

Kennel Comment: 3C- 1942 R

04/14/2016 MECKELBA 4/14/16 12:43

4-14-16 0830 hours. If owner attempts to redeem cite for abandonment and neglect-unsanitary shelter on all the cats. Also if owner attempts to redeem, bond all cats. 1942 Eckelbarger

K16-215558 A557599 CAT UNKNOWN DOMESTIC SH/

4/14/16 CONFISCATE EVICTION NORMAL Activity:A16-191549 **I034**

Kennel Comment: 3C- 1942 R

04/14/2016 MECKELBA 4/14/16 12:43

4-14-16 0830 hours. If owner attempts to redeem cite for abandonment and neglect-unsanitary shelter on all the cats. Also if owner attempts to redeem, bond all cats. 1942 Eckelbarger

K16-215559 A557594 CAT UNKNOWN DOMESTIC SH/

4/14/16 CONFISCATE EVICTION NORMAL Activity:A16-191549 **I035**

Kennel Comment: 3C- 1942 R

04/14/2016 MECKELBA 4/14/16 12:44

4-14-16 0830 hours. If owner attempts to redeem cite for abandonment and neglect-unsanitary shelter on all the cats. Also if owner attempts to redeem, bond all cats. 1942 Eckelbarger

K16-215560 A557593 CAT UNKNOWN DOMESTIC SH/

4/14/16 CONFISCATE EVICTION NORMAL Activity:A16-191549 **I033**

Kennel Comment: 3C- 1942 R

04/14/2016 MECKELBA 4/14/16 12:44

4-14-16 0830 hours. If owner attempts to redeem cite for abandonment and neglect-unsanitary shelter on all the cats. Also if owner attempts to redeem, bond all cats. 1942 Eckelbarger

K16-215561 A557595 CAT UNKNOWN DOMESTIC SH/

4/14/16 CONFISCATE EVICTION NORMAL Activity:A16-191549 **I016**

Kennel Comment: 3C- 1942 R

04/14/2016 MECKELBA 4/14/16 12:45

4-14-16 0830 hours. If owner attempts to redeem cite for abandonment and neglect-unsanitary shelter on all the cats. Also if owner attempts to redeem, bond all cats. 1942 Eckelbarger

K16-215562 A557586 CAT UNKNOWN DOMESTIC SH/

kennel no

4/14/16 CONFISCATE EVICTION NORMAL Activity:A16-191549 **I010**

Kennel Comment: 3C- 1942 R

04/14/2016 MECKELBA 4/14/16 12:45

4-14-16 0830 hours. If owner attempts to redeem cite for abandonment and neglect-unsanitary shelter on all the cats. Also if owner attempts to redeem, bond all cats. 1942 Eckelbarger

K16-215563 A557598 CAT UNKNOWN DOMESTIC SH/

4/14/16 CONFISCATE EVICTION NORMAL Activity:A16-191549 **I011**

Kennel Comment: 3C- 1942 R

04/14/2016 MECKELBA 4/14/16 12:45

4-14-16 0830 hours. If owner attempts to redeem cite for abandonment and neglect-unsanitary shelter on all the cats. Also if owner attempts to redeem, bond all cats. 1942 Eckelbarger

K16-215564 A557585 CAT UNKNOWN DOMESTIC SH/

4/14/16 CONFISCATE EVICTION NORMAL Activity:A16-191549 **I009**

Kennel Comment: 3C- 1942 R

04/14/2016 MECKELBA 4/14/16 12:46

4-14-16 0830 hours. If owner attempts to redeem cite for abandonment and neglect-unsanitary shelter on all the cats. Also if owner attempts to redeem, bond all cats. 1942 Eckelbarger

K16-215565 A557587 CAT UNKNOWN DOMESTIC SH/

4/14/16 CONFISCATE EVICTION NORMAL Activity:A16-191549 **I030**

Kennel Comment: 3C- 1942 R

04/14/2016 MECKELBA 4/14/16 12:46

4-14-16 0830 hours. If owner attempts to redeem cite for abandonment and neglect-unsanitary shelter on all the cats. Also if owner attempts to redeem, bond all cats. 1942 Eckelbarger

K16-215566 A557588 CAT UNKNOWN DOMESTIC SH/

4/14/16 CONFISCATE EVICTION NORMAL Activity:A16-191549 **I014**

Kennel Comment: 3C- 1942 R

04/14/2016 MECKELBA 4/14/16 12:46

4-14-16 0830 hours. If owner attempts to redeem cite for abandonment and neglect-unsanitary shelter on all the cats. Also if owner attempts to redeem, bond all cats. 1942 Eckelbarger

K16-215567 A557592 CAT UNKNOWN DOMESTIC SH/

4/14/16 CONFISCATE EVICTION NORMAL Activity:A16-191549 **I012**

Kennel Comment: 3C- 1942 R

04/14/2016 MECKELBA 4/14/16 12:47

4-14-16 0830 hours. If owner attempts to redeem cite for abandonment and neglect-unsanitary shelter on all the cats. Also if owner attempts to redeem, bond all cats. 1942 Eckelbarger

K16-215568 A557591 CAT UNKNOWN DOMESTIC SH/

4/14/16 CONFISCATE EVICTION NORMAL Activity:A16-191549 **I036**

Kennel Comment: 3C- 1942 R

04/14/2016 MECKELBA 4/14/16 12:47

4-14-16 0830 hours. If owner attempts to redeem cite for abandonment and neglect-unsanitary shelter on all the cats. Also if owner attempts to redeem, bond all cats. 1942 Eckelbarger

K16-215569 A557590 CAT UNKNOWN DOMESTIC SH/

4/14/16 CONFISCATE EVICTION NORMAL Activity:A16-191549 **I015**

Kennel Comment: 3C- 1942 R

04/14/2016 MECKELBA 4/14/16 12:48

4-14-16 0830 hours. If owner attempts to redeem cite for abandonment and neglect-unsanitary shelter on all the cats. Also if owner attempts to redeem, bond all cats. 1942 Eckelbarger

K16-215610 A557651 CAT UNKNOWN DOMESTIC SH/

kennel no

4/14/16 CONFISCATE EVICTION NORMAL Activity:A16-191549 **I041** R

Kennel Comment: 3C- 1918

A16-191584

K16-215698 A529399 DOG KELO PIT BULL/

4/15/16 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A16-191584 **D102** R

Kennel Comment: chip # 982000365133196
DD Hold

A16-191832

K16-215758 A557824 DOG PUPPY FACE LABRADOR RETR/MIX

4/16/16 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A16-191832 **DR009** R

Kennel Comment: 3C, no bite, no chip
P395011

K16-215759 A557825 DOG PUPPY NOSE PIT BULL/MIX

4/16/16 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A16-191832 **D161** R

Kennel Comment: 3C, no bite, no chip
P395011

K16-215760 A557826 DOG MAMA DOG QUEENSLAND HEEL/MIX

4/16/16 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A16-191832 **DR006** R

Kennel Comment: 3C, no bite, no chip
P395011

K16-215762 A557827 DOG CASPER PIT BULL/MIX

4/16/16 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A16-191832 **DR009** R

Kennel Comment: 3C, no bite, no chip

K16-215763 A557829 DOG PUPPY 1 QUEENSLAND HEEL/MIX

4/16/16 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A16-191832 **D165** R

Kennel Comment: 3C, no bite, no chip
P395011

K16-215764 A557830 DOG PUPPY 2 QUEENSLAND HEEL/MIX

4/16/16 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A16-191832 **D165** R

Kennel Comment: 3C, no bite, no chip
P395011

K16-215765 A557831 DOG PUPPY 3 QUEENSLAND HEEL/MIX

4/16/16 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A16-191832 **D165** R

Kennel Comment: 3C, no bite, no chip

K16-215766 A557832 DOG PUPPY 4 QUEENSLAND HEEL/MIX

4/16/16 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A16-191832 **D165** R

Kennel Comment: 3C, no bite, no chip
P395011

K16-215768 A557833 DOG PUPPY 5 QUEENSLAND HEEL/MIX

4/16/16 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A16-191832 **D165** R

Kennel Comment: 3C, no bite, no chip
P395011

A16-191909

K16-215861 A557950 DOG CHIHUAHUA SH/

4/18/16 STRAY FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A16-191909 **V642** R

Kennel Comment: no chip confinement check b 4 redemption
3c3c3

04/18/2016 ENFORCEM xdelgad 4/18/16 10:30
04/18/16 10:30 NO BREAKS ON REDEMPTION FEES!!

If owner redeems please cite for leash law . 2047

NO ACTIVITY NUMBER RECORDED

K16-215708 A557768 DOG DIGGER GERM SHEPHERD/MIX
4/16/16 OWNER SUR OWNER DIED NORMAL Activity: **D116** R
Kennel Comment: no bite no chip
HOLD FOR BOND (PCSO)

K16-215709 A557769 DOG YOGI QUEENSLAND HEEL/LABRADOR RETR
4/16/16 OWNER SUR OWNER DIED NORMAL Activity: **D116** R
Kennel Comment: no bite no chip
HOLD FOR BOND (PCSO)

K16-215710 A557770 CAT SASSY SIAMESE/MIX
4/16/16 OWNER SUR OWNER DIED NORMAL Activity: **IGR02** R
Kennel Comment: no bite no chip
HOLD FOR BOND PCSO 160408266 ...2oo2

K16-215711 A557772 CAT SCREECH DOMESTIC SH/MIX
4/16/16 OWNER SUR OWNER DIED NORMAL Activity: **I056** R
Kennel Comment: no bite no chip
04/1616 HOLD FOR BOND PCSO 160408266 ...2oo2

Donation Activity

Period: 03-01-2016 To: 03-31-2016

Donation Code	Amount
DONATION	$124.00
DONATION ADOP	$321.50
DONATION GEN	$10,808.60
DONATION OUTR	$72.00
DONATION S/N	$12,630.20
DONATION SAMS	$11,445.29
Grand Total	$35,401.59

Donation Activity

Period: 07-01-2015 To: 03-31-2016

Donation Code	Amount
DONATION	$671.02
DONATION ADOP	$14,226.07
DONATION ENFORCE 0972	$0.00
DONATION GEN	$159,303.60
DONATION LIC 0973	$20.00
DONATION OUTR	$512.00
DONATION S/N	$101,423.03
DONATION SAMS	$117,713.67
Grand Total	$393,869.39

Michael Schlueter

From: Marcy Flanagan
Sent: Monday, March 07, 2016 4:21 PM
To: Michael Schlueter
Subject: FW: More Animal Care Staff Kudos!

From: Francisco Garcia
Sent: Monday, March 7, 2016 4:19 PM
To: Jose Ocano <Jose.Ocano@pima.gov>
Cc: Marcy Flanagan <Marcy.Flanagan@pima.gov>
Subject: Fwd: More Animal Care Staff Kudos!

Great job team
Share with paccac
Sent from my iPhone

From: notification@pima.gov [mailto:notification@pima.gov]
Sent: Monday, March 07, 2016 9:59 AM
To: Maura Kwiatkowski
Subject: Feedback Form 2016-03-07 09:59 AM Submission Notification

Feedback Form 2016-03-07 09:59 AM was submitted by Guest on 3/7/2016 9:59:15 AM (GMT-07:00) US/Arizona

Name	Value
First Name	[redacted]
Last Name	[redacted]
email	[redacted]
Zipcode	85730
Message subject	Quarantine interaction
Comment	Unfortunately I had to have contact with Pima Animal care because of a bite incident involving our dog Sherman. I am referring to case # A16 [redacted] Everything turned out well but i have to let you know about the Animal Control officer that came to my house on Mar. 3rd. Every dog that we have owned for the past 20 years has been a rescue in one form or another. We consider ourselves "dog people" and we take good care of our dogs so having a bite interaction for us was kind of scary and we imagined the worst. I have to tell you that the lady that showed up to our house was an absolute gem. She was kind, calming and a caring professional. I believe that her name was Deb or Deborah. I realized immediately that she was a "dog person" and treated me with respect and understanding. Please let her supervisors know that in a job that I know is very difficult on a daily basis and one where you could easily become jaded to pet owners, she is someone that PACC should be very proud of. What a wonderful person . Please forward this to the proper person and put a copy of this in her file. My wife and I want to thank her for her professionalism. Kind regards, [redacted]
Response requested	Yes
Page_Link	http://webcms.pima.gov/cms/One.aspx?portalId=169&pageId=18469

Pima Animal Care Center

PACC Adoption Program



PIMA COUNTY
HEALTH DEPARTMENT

A Healthy Pima County
Every **one**. Every **where**. Every **day**.

“If we start from a perspective that people are coming to us with the best intentions, we will have a much better success rate of finding life-long matches.”

Carmine DeCenso – Providence Animal Rescue League

PIMA COUNTY
HEALTH DEPARTMENT

A Healthy Pima County
Every **one**. Every **where**. Every **day**.

Open Adoption Philosophy

- Open adoptions means that you look for ways to get to “Yes" instead of reasons to say no.
- It means educating (when necessary) instead of interrogating.
- It means understanding that most people want to do the right thing, and want your help in doing it.
- It means removing blanket adoption restrictions that decrease the pool of potential adopters and treating each adopter/adoption individually.
- It means that "no, adoption is not for you" should be a rarity.

PIMA COUNTY
HEALTH DEPARTMENT

A Healthy Pima County
Every **one**. Every **where**. Every **day**.

Who Supports Open Adoption?

- Open adoption processes are considered industry standard and best practice for shelters and are supported by:
  - ASPCA
  - HSUS
  - Best Friends Animal Society
  - Maddie's Fund
  - Koret Shelter Medicine Program

More People-work, Less Paperwork

Conversation based adoption processes;

- Are less judgmental and lead to more live outcomes for pets in the shelter.
- Build better relationships with community members.
- Helps to promote responsible pet ownership.
- Promotes sterilized and vaccinated pets being placed in your community.
- Help to decrease overcrowding in shelter that leads to disease control and behavioral problems that can lead to increased of euthanasia.

Length of Stay (LOS)

Maintaining a low LOS is crucial for all aspects of sheltering.

- Allows you to spend more time with those pets who need additional medical/behavioral rehabilitation.
- Decreases disease spread which impacts medical costs and decreases their overall adoptability.
- Lends to less decision fatigue from adopters leading to a better experience at the shelter.

Responsible Ownership

- Food
- Water
- Shelter
- Vaccines
- Vet care
- LOVE

PIMA COUNTY
HEALTH DEPARTMENT

A Healthy Pima County
Every **one**. Every **where**. Every **day**.

2015 at a Glance



PIMA COUNTY
HEALTH DEPARTMENT

A Healthy Pima County
Every **one**. Every **where**. Every **day**.

Adoption Return Rate



- Total Adoptions (2015) was 11,977
- Total Returns (2015) was 500
- PACC's adoption return rate was 4.1%

PIMA COUNTY
HEALTH DEPARTMENT

A Healthy Pima County
Every **one**. Every **where**. Every **day**.

PACC's Journey



Current Adoption Guidelines

- Adopters must be an adult with a valid photo identification
- No person suspected of being under the influence of alcohol or drugs can adopt.
- No person with a history of felony animal abuse conviction in the last three years may adopt.
- PACC reserves the right to
  - conduct premises inspections before, and after, the adoption of an animal.
  - deny any person that does not meet these specified guidelines.

Current Adoption Guidelines (cont.)

- No one accompanying a prospective adopter who has been turned down for any reason may adopt on the same day.
- No adoption if pet will be chained/tied for any length of time.
- “Outside-only” adoption must 1) document adequate shelter; 2) involve a pet more than one year old that is already altered and recovered from surgery; 3) pet must have history of outdoor lifestyle.
- De-clawed cats must be kept indoors at all times. If adopter intends to de-claw after adoption, pet must be under the age of 1 year.
- If a prospective adopter indicates that a dog has died from the parvo virus or a cat has died from pan-leukopenia, adopters must wait no less than six (6) months before adopting.
- “Gift” adoptions never recommended, gift certificates offered instead.

More Adoption Staff Coming!

- Volunteers have been the backbone of our adoption program for many years.
- Due to the increased of adoption volume 3 full-time staff members have been re-allocated to assist in the adoption process.
- Within the next year an additional 2 full time staff members will be joining the adoption team.
- Working together will allow us to take more time with each potential adopter to assist them in making the best match.

PIMA COUNTY
HEALTH DEPARTMENT

A Healthy Pima County
Every **one**. Every **where**. Every **day**.

Training for Staff & Volunteers

- Adoption concepts workshop
- Followed by separate trainings (1.5h)
  - “how to make better matches: conversation based adoption”
  - “customer service”
  - “compassion fatigue”

Adoption Follow-up Program

- Make contact and offer support/solutions for adopters at the 3 day, 3 week and 3 month marks as recommended by ASPCA and HSUS.
- Identify potential problems/solutions prior to rising to level of returning pet.
- Increased retention rate.
- Increased customer service.

Open Adoption Resources

- http://www.animalsheltering.org/mythbusters
- http://www.aspcapro.org/blog/2014/05/27/go-ahead-try-it-open-adoptions
- http://www.aspcapro.org/landlord-smamlord
- http://gateway.hsus.org/files/adopters-welcome/AdoptersWelcome.pdf
- http://www.maddiesfund.org/topic-adoption-open-adoptions.htm

Manager’s Report

PIMA COUNTY
HEALTH DEPARTMENT

A Healthy Pima County
Every **one**. Every **where**. Every **day**.

Arizona Gives Day/Friends of PACC

- $26,000 from more than 400 on-line donations.
- $15,000 in matches from two donors
- Medical equipment
  - Gas sterilizer
  - Electrical Surgical Unit
  - Dental scaler



Petsmart's Investment

PIMA COUNTY
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER

74 percent increase in dog adoptions (233 total, and events each Saturday)

210 percent increase in cat adoptions (484 total)

147 percent increase in PetSmart adoptions overall!

From Sept. 1, 2015 through April 17, 2016.

A Healthy Pima County
Every **one**. Every **where**. Every **day**.

3 Hoarding/3 Weeks

- >100 pets
- 4 currently on quarantine
- Most have been placed including 28 highly adoptable small breed
- Some poorly socialized cats remain to be placed
- Streamlined process for get them in get them processed and get them out.

PIMA COUNTY
HEALTH DEPARTMENT

Press Release

A Healthy Pima County
Every one. Every where. Every day.

For Future PACCAC Meeting

- Review proposed volunteer representative selection process
- Best Friends/Points of Light Volunteer Program Assessment and certification process

PIMA COUNTY
HEALTH DEPARTMENT

A Healthy Pima County
Every **one**. Every **where**. Every **day**.