

Pima County Animal Care Advisory Committee
Minutes
May 21, 2015
3950 S. Country Club Road
Tucson, Arizona 85714

Approved 6-18-15

1. Call to Order

Mr. Neuman called the meeting to order at 5:35 pm

- Attendance

Present:

Nancy Emptage, Vice-Chair, Animal Welfare Coalition
Pat Hubbard, Humane Society of Southern Arizona
Yvette Hurley, City of Tucson
Pat Jacobs, Tucson Kennel Club
Derek Marshall, Public Education
Helen Mendelsohn, Disabled Community
Jack Neuman, Chair, PACC Volunteers
Jane Schwerin, People for Animals in the Prevention of Cruelty and Neglect
Kim Janes, Pima Animal Care Center (PACC), Ex-Officio

Absent:

Sophia Kaluzniacki, DVM, SPCA of AZ, Inc
Erin O'Donnell, DVM, Southern AZ Veterinary Medical Association
Gail Smith, MD, Board of Health

- Pledge of Allegiance

2. Adoption of the Minutes

- Adoption of the April 16, 2015 Meeting Minutes

One typo was identified (Item 4. "law suites" to be corrected to "lawsuits"). The motion was made and seconded (Hubbard/Emptage) that the April 16, 2015 meeting minutes be adopted as written, with the correction of the typo. The motion carried (8-0).

3. Animal Welfare and Dangerous Animal Cases for the Month of April and Recent Holds Snapshot

Mr. Neuman prefaced this discussion by reading the functions of the Committee from the agenda.

Ms. Emptage referred to case 2 wherein the officer involved noted multiple complaints in the report, and Ms. Emptage requested the court not allow the owner to have pets. Mr. Neuman asked how such requests are handled and Mr. Janes said he passes the request on to enforcement and enforcement passes them on to the prosecutor. Field Supervisor Neil Konst pointed out that multiple complaints don't necessarily mean that they were all neglect complaints. He continued by saying frequent neglect complaints would result in the dog being bonded and could result in PACC expressing to the prosecutor a recommendation to disallow animal ownership. Mr. Janes said the request would be passed on to the prosecutor and reported back to the Committee.

Mr. Neuman brought up case 5, which involved two deceased puppies, and asked why the owner was not put on the do not adopt list. Ms. Mendelsohn stated that the owner in case 5 shouldn't be allowed to own pets either. Ms. Hubbard questioned at what point does case 5 become a crime? Mr. Janes said based on review by staff a referral to law-enforcement could still happen. Ms. Emptage made a point of requesting someone relay her appreciation to Officer Klein for standing her ground on this case, and Mr. Neuman concurred.

Ms. Emptage referred to case 9 regarding humane euthanasia and pointed out that Valley Animal Hospital, through funding from People for Animals, will provide after-hours humane euthanasia and fees can be waived. Ms. Emptage also pointed out that a number of the welfare case animals were adopted from PACC.

4. Call to the Audience

There were no speakers at this call to the audience.

5. Management Report

Mr. Janes reported that at last Tuesday's Board of Supervisors meeting the Board passed the ordinance for the one dollar per year license increase and the elimination of the discounted low-income, unaltered license, but delayed decision on the drop-off fee until the July 7 meeting. Chief of Operations Kristin Barney reported that the abused dog, Sunny, found not far from the Abrams building, is doing well. During her recovery Sunny went home with Dr. Wilcox in the evenings and has been recently fostered out. Ms. Barney said there is, she believes, \$6,500 in reward for information leading to capturing Sunny's abuser(s). Mr. Janes added that May's donations are up to \$42 thousand so far, largely in response to Sunny's situation and the awareness this case has generated.

6. Old Business

- County Administration response to the committee's request to add additional field officers and shelter staff; and Jurisdiction IGA Discussion and County Obligation for Animal Care Services

Mr. Janes said an Animal Care Services IGA between the City of Tucson and the County has been drafted and submitted for the Board of Supervisors' June 2nd meeting, and on-going discussions indicate both parties intend to finalize an IGA.

Mr. Neuman requested the field officers request be deleted from Old Business going forward.

- Volunteer Policy and Partnership Agreement

Mr. Neuman referred to the first paragraph in the Social Media Policy where it reads,

Failure to follow this policy will result in disciplinary action, up to and including, dismissal from employment or the volunteer corps.

He asked if this refers to corrective action. Ms. Barney said the process is addressed more in the Volunteer Agreement, which indicates a three level process which includes verbal counselling,

documented counselling and dismissal. Mr. Neuman questioned whether the Center has the right to, as indicated in the Volunteer Agreement, require volunteers to:

Hold harmless Pima Animal Care Center, its agents, employees, directors and insurance carriers from any and all claims, damages and judgments which I may have now or in the future against the Pima Animal Care Center in all matters pertaining to my services as an agency volunteer, including, but not limited to, personal injury.

Ms. Barney said the wording was passed through the County Communications Office and the County Attorney's Office. Mr. Neuman asserted that no one can take away someone's right to sue and that requiring agreement to the aforementioned statement is of little value in his opinion. Later Ms. Mendelsohn asked if an inmate assaulted a volunteer, can the "hold harmless" provision truly stand. Mr. Janes discussed that the intent of the language is not to take away the ability to sue, but to get people to be careful.

Mr. Neuman referred to the Communication Policy wherein it discusses PACC may not be able to integrate all suggestions. He suggested that sometimes PACC just doesn't want to, to which Ms. Barney replied that problems and/or best practices can dictate what can be done, and that thoughtful consideration is always applied; it is very a case of just not wanting to do something without a good reason.

Ms. Emptage pointed out the volunteers have a representative on the Advisory Committee (Mr. Neuman) and suggested his contact info be given out to volunteers versus having them contact Ms. Barney (after no reply for three business days). Ms. Hubbard felt volunteers should take issues to PACC's Volunteer Coordinator. Ms. Hubbard and Mr. Neuman briefly discussed different viewpoints on the role of the volunteer representative on the Committee as it relates to County Code, the intentions of the Board of Supervisors and the functional dynamics of PACC management. Ms. Hurley said as a volunteer she appreciates being able to take issues to Mr. Neuman as the volunteer representative on the Committee.

Ms. Hurley questioned the Social Media Policy's direction to refrain from using terms like "death row" or "pound" or "kill." She also asked if volunteers can use the term "no-kill." Ms. Barney pointed out the language in question is under the "fundraising" header and in fundraising it is very important to use consistent language. She continued that PACC is striving to be "no-kill," but isn't there yet and the term carries with it expectations PACC cannot meet. Later discussion brought out that "put down" is the preferred terminology for what is often called euthanized.

Mr. Neuman asked if it would be allowable for the volunteers, separate from PACC, to set up a special fund for an animal in need. Ms. Barney acknowledged that such efforts have been successful in the past and as long as the communications are not in the name of PACC, then management does not want to restrict such efforts.

Discussion brought out that Ms. Barney wants the expectations in the documents presented to apply to staff as well as volunteers. She shared an example of someone posting a picture of the abused dog, Sunny, which could have compromised the criminal investigation. The unauthorized posting is an example of something PACC doesn't want anyone doing regardless of whether they are staff or volunteer.

- Housing Animals at the Ajo Animal Care Facility

Mr. Neuman said a year ago he brought up this issue because animals were just staying at Ajo. Then a few months ago animals were taken to Ajo from Tucson. He said had asked to be part of the decision making team related to animals at Ajo and asked what is happening regarding policies related to Ajo Animal Care animals. Live Release Manager Justin Gallick said he and Ms. Barney went to Ajo, met with the Ajo staff and developed some plans. First, spay/neuter funding will be made available for Ajo. Secondly, there will be kiosks for Ajo residents to be able to view all pets in the Tucson Center. Currently there is a monthly trip to Tucson, during which some Ajo pets are transported to the Tucson Center. The trips will increase to every two weeks and any Ajo animals will be moved to Tucson. Also PACC will be doing quarterly adoption events in Ajo.

Mr. Neuman asked about space at the Ajo Center and Mr. Gallick said there were eight dog kennels and, he believes, 12 cat kennels. Discussion brought out that the Ajo dog Michael was adopted at Ajo and a Chihuahua, which spent a long time at Ajo, was adopted only two days after it was transferred to Tucson. Mr. Neuman described the Ajo facility as almost solitary confinement for the animals. Mr. Neuman asked about Tucson animals being recently transferred to Ajo and Mr. Gallick said none were transferred. Mr. Neuman said *Pet Harbor* indicated a number of new pets at the Ajo facility; and Mr. Gallick said Ajo might have taken in animals from the Ajo area, but no animals were sent to Ajo from the Tucson facility.

Ms. Emptage and Mr. Gallick discussed Ajo residents expressing interest in Tucson animals. Pre-select refers to animals in the mandatory hold time, expressing interest prior to the animal being available for adoption. PACC is hesitant to hold an animal for an interested Ajo party when it could potentially be adopted in Tucson and doesn't want to transfer animals to the Ajo center. However, Ajo adoption events will be catered to expressed interests to better serve the Ajo community.

There was some discussion on PACC staff providing a report(s) to the Board on the Ajo animal care dynamics versus the Committee having to research and ask questions. Mr. Janes indicated information is provided as it develops.

Ms. Schwerin asked if Ajo has free adoptions to which Mr. Gallick replied that Ajo as part of Pima Animal Care has the same fees and promotions as the Center in Tucson. In response to a question about veterinary care at Ajo, Mr. Gallick said Dr. Wilcox visits the Ajo facility and any animal requiring extra care is transferred to Tucson to receive care. As far as emergency care goes, Mr. Gallick said a veterinarian is called, but doesn't know any details on where the veterinarian is from or any other details. Mr. Neuman requested emergency care for Ajo animals be looked into.

- Ways to Shorten Duration of Hold Time for Confiscation Cases

Ms. Barney said the Center is still trying to get a new veterinarian on board before a veterinarian has time to meet with the County Attorney's Office.

- Tie-out Outreach Campaign

Mr. Marshall said they have approximately \$800 worth of anonymously donated incentives for this campaign. The Committee briefly discussed possible language to clearly identify what constitutes a

tie-out; language included chained or tethered to a fixed object and unattended. Mr. Marshall said he hopes to open the campaign for submissions by the end of summer.

- General criteria required for PACC to respond and investigate a service/welfare issue wherein an animal is in distress.

Ms. Emptage spoke about an incident in which a pit bull jumped a fence and attacked a Chihuahua. She said PACC was short staffed and took the Chihuahua, but initially did not try to locate the pit bull and when staff eventually went to find the pit bull it was not present. Mr. Janes indicated that staff took care of the animal in distress as the more pressing issue, adding that animals are regularly picked up for lack of confinement. Supervisor Konst discussed balancing the needs of the injured dog against the need to address the dog at large. He discussed there are a number of factors to consider. Concerning lack of confinement he discussed there are things to consider, such as locating the owner and how readily the lack of confinement can be corrected.

7. New Business

- City of Tucson Animal Care Funding

Ms. Hurley, who is the newly appointed City of Tucson representative on the Committee, provided and read from the attached handout. She briefly noted her commitment to animals as a PACC volunteer, a foster and the owner of five dogs. She said there has been misinformation concerning the City of Tucson's funding for PACC and she wanted to present the City's perspective. Ms. Hurley said she spoke with a number of City officials, including the budget manager and Councilman Kozachik regarding the City's PACC funding. She referred to the IGA between the City and County, which runs through June 30, 2015. Her handout includes a list of payments by the City under the current IGA. The bills are monthly. The animal care IGAs run for two years. The City is out of cycle with the other municipalities and wants to renew the current agreement for one year to synchronize with the other municipalities. The City has disallowed some charges added by the County including administrative overhead and tent expansion capital costs. Additional spay/neuter funding of \$247,000 was initially disallowed; however, was later paid. The City has a budget to balance and is fiscally challenged to pay extra charges after the budget is set. Ms. Hurley was confident a new IGA will be agreed upon.

Regarding the spay/neuter costs, Mr. Janes said at the beginning of Fiscal Year 14/15 the County increased this funding, for owned animals, from \$220,000 to \$600,000. Previously the cities and towns did not pay for these spay/neuter services, just the County and through donations. He added that the trap-neuter-release program is part of the increase. Ms. Hurley indicated the City supports spay/neuter and also would like to increase licensing percentages.

Regarding the tent, Mr. Neuman stressed that prior to the tent, five to ten dogs were being housed in a kennel and they were hurting each other. PACC was faced with the decision essentially to build or kill. Regarding overhead, he stated that no facility is an island and that finance, facilities management, human resources and other administrative costs are real costs operations incur. Ms. Hurley countered that the one reason an IGA is negotiated and agreed upon is so that costs can be anticipated and budgeted. Going forward costs can be negotiated.

Regarding licensing compliance, Ms. Emptage recommended going to the old rabies vaccination certificate (paper) because it reminds owners to license their dog(s). Mr. Janes indicated the white copy of the certificate is just information; it didn't initiate the licensing process, but it did connect an animal to an owner. Mr. Janes said at one time it was used as a trigger to send notices as time permitted and it wasn't very effective.

- Pima Animal Care Overhead Charges

This was touched on under the previous bullet. Mr. Janes also passed one a one-page document (included in record) showing the itemized Animal Care overhead charges.

- Pima Animal Care Center Fees

Ms. Emptage related that she encountered someone with a shepherd which had impregnated another dog. When she spoke to the dog's owner the owner said the license for her unaltered dog is cheap, only \$17, because she qualifies for a senior discounted fee. Ms. Emptage suggested that all unaltered licensing discounts be eliminated and referred to the current availability of low cost spay/neuter as leaving no excuse for unaltered animals. She continued that too many service animals are intact; recommended they be altered to prevent distractions and attacks; and recommended the no-fee service animal license only apply to altered service animals. She also recommended medical fees be removed from the code, because the services are not offered to the public. Mr. Neuman requested Ms. Emptage's proposed code amendment be presented in written form so the Committee can see and fully understand it. Mr. Janes pointed out the proposed ordinances need to be posted and specifically expressed on the agenda. Ms. Emptage wants her proposed code changes on the next meeting agenda. Ms. Schwerin agreed the code needs considerable revision and pointed out that if unaltered service dogs have a fee there needs to be a decision on what the fee will be.

- Animal Care Staffing

Mr. Neuman requested an organizational chart showing PACC's staffing.

- Free Adoptions

Ms. Schwerin said she is opposed to free adoptions because she believes the pets are going to poor homes. Mr. Neuman concurred. Mr. Neuman said Ms. Barney sent him information on studies done on free adoptions, but they were regarding cats, and he would like to see a study on free adoptions of dogs. He continued that PACC Adoption Councilors have told him that the prospective owners seeking free adoptions are not of the same caliber as when the dogs aren't free. Ms. Hubbard said she has done research and there is no empirical data on the subject. Ms. Emptage reported speaking with individuals and asking them why they have an animal they cannot afford, and they reply, "It was free." When asked about veterinary expenses they reply, "The first vet visit is free." It was pointed out that the screening process is very important and that PACC can and does turn people down for pet adoption.

- Horses

Ms. Schwerin circulated a May 2nd photo of a Castaway Treasures horse with a very large ulcer/growth on its chest. She referred to a motion from the March meeting to write and send a letter and video to the State Department of Agriculture and the Governor, and pointed out the video was not sent and asked why not. Mr. Neuman replied that he was not given a copy to send. Mr. Janes will try to see if he can find a copy. Mr. Neuman said he would send the video in an e-mail and mail. Ms. Schwerin asked about another March motion to ask if the Sheriff's Department would accompany PACC staff and a neutral volunteer veterinarian to see a specific horse. Mr. Janes said the request was made to the Sheriff's Department, but there was no reply. When asked by Ms. Schwerin to make the request again, Mr. Janes said the Sheriff's Department has concluded its investigation and would not entertain such a request.

8. Donations: A total of 1,163 individuals gave \$26,142.94 in donations during the month of April.

There was no discussion on this item.

9. Complaints and Commendations: There were two complaints and no commendations received by staff during April.

There was no discussion on this item.

10. Call to the Audience

There were three speakers at this call to the audience; John Sweeney, Marcie Velen and Cathy Neuman.

Mr. Sweeney referred to the Board of Supervisors' pending July decision on the animal drop-off fee, which was delayed to allow for input from animal advocacy groups, and referred to the dispute between the City (Tucson) and County on billing items. He said capital, versus operational, versus overhead, versus the tent will probably be lost on animal advocates. The City has the largest share, so it makes sense for them to have the largest bill. Even if the amounts in dispute do not impact the operational funding of PACC under the existing IGA, they will trigger the drop-off fee and animals will suffer. The County says other municipalities are paying the whole bill. Although the City and County do not see eye to eye, they should not fight this battle over the welfare of animals. He said the smartest course of action is to pay the bill and get back at the County another way.

Ms. Velen from No Kill Pima County said they were part of the discussion on terminology usage when speaking with the public and that euthanasia is a legitimate term. She said she didn't understand the value of extending the current City / County IGA to get into cycle with the other municipalities. She is in favor of not extending the current IGA and with moving forward with meeting the needs. Regarding free adoptions, she said she supports screening; however, the word free has a stigma and often equates to worthless, so she suggested a low fee such as five dollars of 30 dollars.

Ms. Neuman thanked Ms. Hurley for her presentation. She referred to Ms. Hurley's indication that the City (Tucson) pays the most, and wanted to make sure it is known the City pays the most because the City is the source of most of the animals and service calls. As an Adoption Counsellor Ms.

Neuman does not support free adoptions, but understands the crowding issue and using free adoptions to increase public interest, but asked for wording other than “free adoptions.” She stressed that the screening process is very important and called for more training and mentoring for Adoption Counselors.

11. Announcements, Schedules and Proposed Agenda Items

Mr. Neuman said there originally was an agenda item regarding a dog named Gorda; however, the item was removed from the agenda. Information regarding Gorda was provided in the May meeting packet and the item will be placed on next month’s agenda.

In light of there being a number of new Committee members, Ms. Emptage suggested members do a ride-along with a Field Officer to see what really goes on in the field.

12. Next Meeting – June 18, 2015

The next meeting will be at PACC.

13. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 8:18 pm



**NOTICE
PUBLIC MEETING OF THE
PIMA COUNTY ANIMAL CARE ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

May 21, 2015 – 5:30 p.m.

**Herbert K. Abrams Public Health Center
3950 S. Country Club Road
Tucson, Arizona
(520) 724-7729**

AMENDED

Functions of the Committee

1. Serve in an advisory capacity to the Board, and to the Manager of the Pima Animal Care Center; and
2. Review and evaluate the operations of the Center to make recommendations in writing to the Board for the formulation of guidelines to assure that:
 - A. The Center's operations are conducted in the best interest of the public health and safety; and
 - B. The Center keeps pace with the most modern practices and procedures of animal care and welfare; and
3. Review complaints from the public concerning policies of the Center and make recommendations for resolution to the proper authority.

AGENDA

1.	Call to Order <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Roll Call • Establishment of Quorum and Pledge of Allegiance 			
2.	Review and Adoption of Minutes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adoption of April 16, 2015 meeting minutes 			
3.	Animal Welfare and Dangerous Animal Cases for the Month of April and Recent Holds Snapshot			
	Welfare		Dangerous Dogs	
	A15-170470	A15-169932	A15-170375	A15-169610
	A15-169288	A15-170781	A15-170150	A15-162104
	A15-169878	A14-170342	A15-168544	A15-168408
	A15-169565	A15-170072	A15-157634	A15-168739
	A15-169530	A15-168714		
4.	Call to the Audience			
5.	Management Report			
6.	Old Business <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • County Administration response to the Committee's Request to Add Additional Field Officer and Shelter Staff; and Jurisdiction IGA Discussion and County Obligation for Animal Care Services. (Neuman/Janes) • Volunteer Policy and Partnership Agreement (PACC Management Team) • Housing Animals at the Ajo Animal Care Facility (Neuman/PACC Management Team) • Ways to Shorten Duration of Hold Time for Confiscation Cases (Neuman/PACC Management Team) • Tie-Out Outreach Campaign (Marshall/Emptage) • General Criteria required for PACC to respond and investigate a service/welfare issue wherein an animal is in distress 			
7.	New Business <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • City of Tucson Animal Care Funding • Pima Animal Care Overhead Charges • Pima Animal Care Center Fees • Animal Care Staffing • Free Adoptions • Horses 			
8.	Donations: A total of 1,163 individuals gave \$26,142.94 in donations during the month of April.			
9.	Complaints and Commendations: There were two complaints and no commendations received by staff during April.			
10.	Call to the Audience			
11.	Announcements, Schedules and Proposed Agenda Items			
12.	Next Meeting – June 18, 2015			
13.	Adjournment			

Copies of this agenda are available upon request at the Pima County Health Department, 3950 S. Country Club Road, by calling 243-7729 or at www.pima.gov/animalcare. The Committee may discuss and take action on any item on the agenda. At the conclusion of an open call to the public Committee members may only respond to criticism made; ask staff to review the matter raised; or ask to include the matter on a future agenda.

Should you require ADA accommodations, please contact the Pima County Health Department at 724-7729 five (5) days prior to the meeting.

Pima County Animal Care Advisory Committee
Minutes
April 16, 2015
4000 N. Silverbell Road
Tucson, Arizona 85745

Draft

1. Call to Order

Mr. Neuman called the meeting to order at 5:32 pm

- Attendance

Present:

Nancy Emptage, Vice-Chair, Animal Welfare Coalition
Pat Hubbard, Humane Society of Southern Arizona
Derek Marshall, Public Education
Jack Neuman, Chair, PACC Volunteers
Erin O'Donnell, DVM, Southern AZ Veterinary Medical Association
Gail Smith, MD, Board of Health
Kim Janes, Pima Animal Care Center (PACC), Ex-Officio

Absent:

Pat Jacobs, Tucson Kennel Club
Sophia Kaluzniacki, DVM, SPCA of AZ, Inc
Helen Mendelsohn, Disabled Community
Jane Schwerin, People for Animals in the Prevention of Cruelty and Neglect
Angela Spencer, City of Tucson

- Pledge of Allegiance

2. Adoption of the Minutes

- Adoption of the March 19, 2015 Meeting Minutes

Ms. Emptage clarified in the March 19 minutes where she talked about a dog bleeding from its feet, it was actually bleeding from the mouth.

The motion was made and seconded (Smith/Hubbard) that the March 19, 2015 meeting minutes be adopted with Ms. Emptage's clarification included. The motion carried (6-0).

3. Animal Welfare and Dangerous Animal Cases for the Month of February and Recent Holds Snapshot

There was no discussion on this item.

4. Call to the Audience

Justin Pope spoke regarding the Volunteer Agreement in the packet. He said Facebook is the bane of PACC's existence and the savior of many pets at the same time. He cautioned that care needs to be taken to not restrict the freedom of speech of volunteers and rescue partners. He conceded that the current version is definitely an improvement over the first draft, but still has some troubling language such as not being allowed to post anything derogatory and not being able to post questions on

unofficial websites. He continued that using unofficial websites is how animals are networked and said he hopes there will not be law suites as a result of what people say on Facebook.

5. Management Report

Mr. Janes pointed out copies of the Committee's Report to the Board of Supervisors and the Committee's letter to the Governor regarding Castaway Treasures Animal Sanctuary, for the Committee's information. These items were not included in the mailed packet and he wanted to ensure the Committee saw them. On behalf of Ms. Schwerin, who was unable to attend the meeting, Ms. Emptage asked why no citations were issued in relation to the condition of animals at Castaway Treasures when the officer required the animals be seen by a veterinarian? Mr. Janes replied that it is a judgment call made by the on-scene officer, based on the severity of the situation. The officer has a continuum of options which include citing, waiting, setting a specific time limit on issues, and/or requiring veterinary care. In response to a question about last month's request for the Sheriff's Department to be asked to escort a neutral volunteer veterinarian to Castaway Treasures, Mr. Janes said he did relay the request to the Sheriff's Department and did not receive any feedback.

There was a meeting with representatives from the cities and town earlier the same day as the Committee meeting. The meeting included participation by County Administrator Huckelberry, two Deputy County Administrators and Dr. García, Health Department Director. Action items going forward included normalizing funding mechanisms to continue as-is shelter operations; increasing licensing efforts, including the use of city and town resources; and Tucson looking for funding for spay and neuter efforts. The cities and towns meeting also included input on the Committee's request for additional field officers and Mr. Huckelberry will provide feedback to the Committee in the future. Mr. Janes corrected a statement he made at the last meeting, March 19, wherein he said two jurisdictions had replied on the Committee's request; in fact three had replied; the third was Oro Valley.

Mr. Janes reported on Committee related items going to the Board of Supervisors. The proposed ordinance to modify the Committee composition, as define in Pima County Code 6.04.100, and as discussed at the last Committee meeting, is anticipated to go before the Board on May 5. Also the appointment of Yvette Hurley as the City of Tucson representative for the Committee is scheduled before the Board on April 21. Ms. Hurley was present at the Committee meeting.

6. Old Business

- County Administration response to the committee's request to add additional field officers and shelter staff; and Jurisdiction IGA Discussion and County Obligation for Animal Care Services

There was no discussion on this item beyond what Mr. Janes touched on as part of the Management Report.

- Volunteer Policy and Partnership Agreement

Ms. Barney said the draft was provided ahead of time for feedback; indicated she appreciated the constructive feedback in the long process of working on the policy; and added that management still has issues with some of the language. Mr. Neuman was concerned about the bullet saying, "Questions about specific animals should not be shared on unofficial accounts or websites without first receiving

permission from PACC.” He said unofficial website postings contribute to the overwhelming majority of the PACC pet adoptions. Ms. Barney said staff wants to ensure that information posted is accurate and that differences don’t get personal, but wants to have the language in that bullet clarified. Ms. Hubbard asked if there was a difference between volunteers for a private non-profit compared to volunteers for a government agency. No definite answer was offered; however, Mr. Neuman made mention of whistle blower laws related to government agencies. Ms. Hubbard continued that if a volunteer is unhappy with an organization to the point of going on line and bashing it, then the volunteer should not be allowed to volunteer with the organization. General discussion touched on that negativity does damage organizations, which in PACC’s case ultimately hurts the animals. Discussion also brought out the terms just cause and due process regarding severing relationships with volunteers. Dr. O’Donnell expressed that individuals should be allowed to disagree, but should be professional and disagree respectfully. Mr. Neuman asked that specific comments on the policy be forwarded to Mr. Janes by May 14.

- Housing Animals at the Ajo Animal Care Facility

Mr. Neuman referred to a dog named Mike, which was at the Ajo Center in July, then dropped out of the record, then went back to Ajo under the name of Michael on April 4. He asked what happened; did he get adopted? He also mentioned that Charlie has been in Ajo since February 9

- Ways to Shorten Duration of Hold Time for Confiscation Cases

Mr. Neuman pointed out how to shorten these holds has been a lingering agenda question and contended that in many cases the dog doesn’t need to be held. Ms. Barney said staff has been trying to set up a meeting with the County Attorney’s Office; however, staff wants veterinarian participation, but one of PACC’s veterinarians is out, making the remaining veterinarian too busy to participate. As soon as veterinarian anomalies are resolved the meeting is to be set up. Mr. Neuman said he is willing to participate in the meeting.

- Tie-out Outreach Campaign

Mr. Marshall talked about how the Committee is trying to educate the public on the negatives associated with tie-outs and how he wants to have students produce 30 second public service announcement videos on the subject. He passed out three handouts. Ms. Hubbard wanted to ensure that people watching such videos understand what a tie-out is. Mr. Marshall said initially he wanted to go with high school students, but is also considering including middle school and college students as well. There was discussion concerning the prizes / incentives and what resources can be utilized to secure them. The appeal of the prizes / incentives to the students will have a significant motivational impact on them. Mr. Marshall talked about attempting to show the tie-out video(s) on the internet, television and in movie theaters. He also touched on defining correct specific terms for the videos and ensuring that no store or manufacturer is identified in the videos.

7. New Business

There was no new business on the agenda.

8. Donations: A total of 1,533 individuals gave \$37,687.80 in donations during the month of March.

There were comments that donations are way higher than they used to be and very consistent as well.

9. Complaints and Commendations: There were two complaints and one commendation received by staff during March.

There was no discussion on this item.

10. Call to the Audience

Kim Brandom spoke about social media concerns. She asked if she could submit written concerns and Mr. Janes agreed to give her his e-mail address. Ms. Brandon had an issue with the Social Media Policy second bullet stating, PACC associates may not share information that has not been publicly released.... She said volunteers use information posted places other than the specified official PACC Facebook site, such as information posted on kennels. She favored some type of due process for volunteers as mentioned earlier. Referring to the last meeting's discussion on surrender fees, Ms. Brandom said that the neighborhood near the Humane Society was in an uproar when the Humane Society established their fees because that area was inundated with animals let loose in lieu of paying the surrender fee. She also suggested that animals dumped to avoid a PACC surrender fee will most likely be dumped in the county, not the city. Ms. Brandom also had concerns about retractable leashes and suggested they need warning labels. Ms. Hubbard requested retractable leashes and leash laws be placed on the next agenda.

11. Announcements, Schedules and Proposed Agenda Items

Mr. Neuman announced that roughly 100 volunteers, rescue partners and animal advocates attended the City of Tucson's April 7th council meeting. Two individuals were allowed to speak and they did a good job. Mr. Neuman said it was apparent that only the Interim City Manager was truly informed on the animal care IGA issue. City officials indicated that discussions regarding the IGA are in progress. Committee members suggested that comments to the City can also be made by phone and through e-mail. Mr. Janes said that the municipalities meeting earlier that day was positive.

Ms. Hubbard said the Humane Society will be having their annual Putting on the Dog event on May 1st.

12. Next Meeting – May 21, 2015

The next meeting will be at PACC.

13. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 6:38 pm



MEMORANDUM

TO: Kim Janes, Chief of External Operations
FROM: Jose Chavez, Enforcement Operations Manager
DATE: 5-4-15
SUBJECT: Welfare report for April 2015

1. A15-170470 One animal was surrendered to PACC. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. The dog was euthanized due to the severity of the illness. This complaint is closed.
2. A15-169288 Two animals were surrendered by the owner. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. The animals were euthanized for behavioral issues. This complaint is closed.
3. A15-169878 No animals were impounded. Staff reviewed the animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. This complaint is closed.
4. A15-169565 No animals were impounded. Staff reviewed welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited the owner at the scene. This complaint is closed.
5. A15-169530 Two deceased animals were turned into PACC. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at PACC. This complaint is closed.
6. A15-169932 Three animals were surrendered to PACC. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. The animals were euthanized for behavioral issues. This complaint is closed.
7. A15-170781 No animals were impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. This complaint is closed.
8. A15-170342 One animal was impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. The animal died at PACC due to the severity of the injury. This complaint is closed.
9. A15-170072 No animals were impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. This complaint is closed.
10. A15-168714 No animals were impounded. Staff reviewed animal welfare requirements and laws with the owner and cited at the scene. This complaint is closed.

N/C 1

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
 Pima Animal Care Center
 4000 N. Silverbell Rd
 Tucson, Arizona 85745
 Phone: (520) 243-5990
 Fax: (520) 243-5990
 www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT				ACO NAME / BADGE # 1942 Eckelbarger		COMPLAINT NUMBER A15-170470	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS				BITE <input type="checkbox"/> WELFARE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DANGEROUS <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>			
ZIP 85706	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER 272-7839		CODE IF OTHER :		
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS				CI <input type="checkbox"/> CO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>			
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE		
SEX M	WEIGHT 195	HEIGHT 5-11	EYES Blk	HAIR COLOR Bk	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES NO

LOCATION OF INCIDENT 4311 E. Rex St		DATE AND TIME REPORTED 4-24-15 / 1225	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 4-26-15 / 1215
FOOD <input type="checkbox"/> WATER <input type="checkbox"/> SHELTER <input type="checkbox"/> INJURED/ILL <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VENTILATION <input type="checkbox"/> ABANDONED <input type="checkbox"/> TIEOUT <input type="checkbox"/> BEATEN <input type="checkbox"/> WASTE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (EXPLAIN) <input type="checkbox"/>			

I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME 1942 Eckelbarger	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO. 724-5992
--	-------	---------------------	---------------------------------------

I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

VICTIM'S ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY	STATE
------------------	-----	------	-------

REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-405 (B) and § 8-295 (B)

VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N. Silverbell Rd	ZIP 85745	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ
---	---------------------	-----------------------	--------------------

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> TFD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:
---	--	-----------------------	---	--

ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS CTM

<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED	PACC <input type="checkbox"/>
------------------------------------	----------------	------------	--------------	------------------	-------------------------------

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION	PART OF BODY BITTEN:	RELEASE DATE:	VET <input type="checkbox"/>	HOME <input type="checkbox"/>
--	----------------------	---------------	------------------------------	-------------------------------

PHONE NUMBER

VET CLINIC	PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	FTQ <input type="checkbox"/>	UTQ <input type="checkbox"/>
------------	--------------	---	------------------------------	------------------------------

LEGAL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS	QUARANTINE 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> FRA HEAD#
------------------	--	------------------------------------

CTM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

3 RD PARTY CITATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CITING ACO 1942 Eckelbarger	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS
--	---------------------------------------	--	----------------------	--------------------------

CODE/ORD VIOLATED 6.04.110 (B)(4), 6.04.070, 11-1010 (A)ARS	REVIEWED BY 4-27-15 DTK/PH
---	--

CITATIONS/NUMBERS 74524 (A-C)	BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---	---

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL	ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
t-bull VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sasha	Brown/white	F	3yr				I	A517826
t-bull mix VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Jackie	Brindle/white	F	7yr		Cited	Cited	N	A517833
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									

TNESS 1	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
TNESS 2	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
TNESS 3	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
TNESS 4	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

W/C 1

INVESTIGATION REPORT



Activity Number: A15-170470

ACO name & Badge: 1942 Eckelbarger

On 4-26-15 at 1200 hours, I Investigator Eckelbarger (1942) responded to E. Rex St after receiving a complaint of an extremely skinny dog in the yard. I observed two dogs in the yard. The first dog was a brindle pit-bull mix that appeared in normal body condition. The 2nd dog was a brown and white pit-bull that appeared very thin/emaciated. I could easily see the dog's ribs, hip, and spine bones. There was a water bucket and shade available for the dogs in the yard. I took photographs of both dogs and met with the dog owner, (DOB: _____), who stated "Sasha" is approximately 2-3 years old and he first noticed her losing weight approximately 6 months ago. He stated that he was not sure what was wrong with her. He stated that he feeds her and she eats normally, but kept losing weight. I asked Mr. _____ if he ever took Sasha to a veterinarian for treatment/diagnosis and he stated he did not. He stated he was planning to take Sasha to the Pima Animal Care Center tomorrow to drop the dog off. Mr. _____ then relinquished ownership of Sasha by signing a release of ownership form.

I then asked if the 2nd dog "Jackie" had a license and rabies vaccination and he replied "where do I get that from". I then checked the computer and found no license information on Jackie. I then cited Mr. _____ for no license and no rabies vaccination on Jackie and for neglect-vet care on "Sasha" under County jurisdiction. Mr. _____ signed and received his copies of the citations.

I then setup Sasha to be examined by the Pima Animal Care Center veterinarian.

Officer's Signature:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Eckelbarger', written over the printed name '1942'.

Date: 4-27-15

W/C 2

INVESTIGATION REPORT		SUSPECT		ACD NAME / BADGE #		ACTIVITY/BITE NUMBER	
Pima County Health Department Pima Animal Care Center 4000 N. Silverbell Rd Tucson, Arizona 85745 Phone: (520) 243-5980 Fax: (520) 243-5980 www.pimaanimalcare.org		SUSPECT'S ADDRESS ALENCIA RD #		K.WALTON #1925		A15-169288	
CITY		STATE	ZIP	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		BITE <input type="checkbox"/> WELFARE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DANGEROUS <input type="checkbox"/>	
TUCSON		AZ	85746	520-		OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		CITY		STATE		ZIP	
N/A		TUCSON		AZ		85746	
CITY		STATE	ZIP	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE	
TUCSON		AZ	85746				
SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES	HAIR	ORIGIN	DOB	SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER
F	215	5-4	BR	BR			
DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		LOCATION OF INCIDENT		DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT		DATE AND TIME REPORTED	
		20TH ST.		4-6-15 1020		4-6-15 1023	
		FIRED	WATER	SHELTER	VENTILATION	ABANDONED	TIED OUT
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case		VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME		DATE OF BIRTH		RESIDENCE PHONE	
<input type="checkbox"/> I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.		OFFICER K. WALTON #1925				BUSINESS PHONE	
		VICTIM'S ADDRESS		CITY		STATE	
		4000 N. SILVERBELL RD		TUCSON		AZ	
		VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		CITY		STATE	
		4000 N. SILVERBELL RD		TUCSON		AZ	
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)		DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED	RESITITUION REQUESTED	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE #		FOLLOW UP REQUEST
		YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> SHERIFF DEPT <input type="checkbox"/> TUCSON POLICE <input type="checkbox"/> FIRE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:		<input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPO <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:
<input type="checkbox"/> ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM		<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION		BITE SEVERITY:		TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER
		<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION		PART OF BODY BITTEN:			
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM		VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER		OWNER KNOWS OF BITE	
PHONE NUMBER						YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS		CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE (DAYS)		FRA HEAD#	
				10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>			
		3 RD PARTY CITATIONS		CITING ACD		PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS	
		YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		#1925		YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE		CODE/ORD VIOLATED		PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER		OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS	
		4-3(2)(B)		A11-086576		A13-135995	
		CITATIONS/NUMBERS		72700		REVIEWED BY 4-7 KONST 2012	
						BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	
BREED/DESCRIPTION		ANIMAL'S NAME		COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #
Q.HEELER MIX		TATER		TAN/WHT	F	ADULT	
LAB/SHARPEI		LAYLA		BLK/WHT	M	ADULT	
VICTIM OWNER							
VICTIM OWNER							
VICTIM OWNER							
VICTIM OWNER							
VICTIM OWNER							
VICTIM OWNER							
WITNESS 1		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #



W/C 2

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-169288

ACD Name & Badge: K. WALTON #1925

On April 6th 2015, I, Officer K. Walton #1925, was on a call in the [redacted] block of E. 20th St. when I was approached by a female who advised me about a problem at her neighbors house. The address of: [redacted] 20th St #1 had 2 dogs in the yard, and there was no water for the dogs. The 2, 5 gallon white buckets were dry and tipped over in the front yard. The dogs are known as a tan/white Queensland. Heeler mix named Tater, and a black Lab/Sharpei mix named Layla. We have had ongoing multiple complaints at this address, and previous addresses regarding both the dog owner [redacted], and her father [redacted] dating back early as 2009 until present time.

As I was checking on the dogs, a man came out of the residence and asked if there was a problem with the dogs. I asked him if he was the dog owner, and he replied they were his girlfriends fathers dogs. I advised him of the dogs having no water, and he stated the dogs had water in the back. We went to the shed/shelter area, and there was no water in that pan as well. I advised him to have the girlfriend come out to speak with me. I met the the actual dog owner [redacted], her father [redacted] is the caretaker of the dogs and they live at this address. Ms. [redacted] lives at [redacted] W. Valencia # [redacted] and also cares for the dogs when she is at the fathers house.

Ms. [redacted] stated that another Officer was out at this residence on April 5th 2015, regarding leash law and then observed no water and excessive waste. She spoke with the officer and a notice was left with the owner. Ms [redacted] stated she filled up the buckets with water, then went to her event and did not return until 0100 hours. She is aware that the dogs are always tipping over the water and is aware of the welfare requirements, but didn't have time to get the stuff done. She stated the neighbor is always calling on her or this residence regarding the same thing about her dogs. I advised her of all the complaints we have had regarding the dogs, and told her that she has been cited in the past for the same issues and still the nothing has changed. She continues to get more dogs when previous dogs are taken or when she turns the dogs over to Pima animal care. She was cited for no water on the 2 dogs, and was given her copy of the citations and is aware of the court date and time. I observed her Pascua Yaqui Id card for identification purposes. I impounded the dogs after she signed the dogs over to Pima animal care. I have included 3 different reports from previous complaints involving Ms. [redacted] or Mr. [redacted]. Mr [redacted] has stated in the past reports that Ms. [redacted] was the owner of the dogs. The past reports are as follows: A11-086576, A13-135995, A13-123449. K. Walton #1925

Officer's Signature: *K. Walton*

Date: *4-7-15*

W/C 3

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 724-5900
Fax: (520) 724-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT Lori Long				ACO NAME / BADGE # C. Meek 2015		COMPLAINT NUMBER A15-169878	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS airview #				BITE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WELFARE <input type="checkbox"/> DANGEROUS <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>			
ZIP 85705	CITY TUC	STATE AZ	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER 520-		CODE IF OTHER :		
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS				CI <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CO <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>			
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE		
SEX F	WEIGHT 170	HEIGHT 5'09	EYES BRN	HAIR COLOR BRN	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES NO

LOCATION OF INCIDENT Fairview			DATE AND TIME REPORTED 04/15/15 / 0904		DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 04/15/15/ 0947				
FOOD	WATER	SHELTER	INJURED/ILL	VENTILATION	ABANDONED	TIEOUT	BEATEN	WASTE	OTHER (EXPLAIN)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME Officer C. Meek			RESIDENCE PHONE NO		BUSINESS PHONE NO. 520-724-5900		
---	--	--	--------------------	--	---	--	--

I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

VICTIM'S ADDRESS			ZIP	CITY	STATE
------------------	--	--	-----	------	-------

REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N. Silverbell			ZIP 85745	CITY TUC	STATE AZ
--	--	--	---------------------	--------------------	--------------------

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> TFD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:
--	---	-----------------------	---	--

ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED	PACC <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION	PART OF BODY BITTEN:			RELEASE DATE:	VET <input type="checkbox"/>
					HOME <input type="checkbox"/>

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

VET CLINIC	PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	FTQ <input type="checkbox"/>
			UTQ <input type="checkbox"/>

PHONE NUMBER

CLINIC'S ADDRESS	QUARANTINE 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>	FRA HEAD# <input type="checkbox"/>
------------------	--	------------------------------------

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

3 RD PARTY CITATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CITING ACO C. Meek 2015	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS
--	-----------------------------------	---	----------------------	--------------------------

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-81,4-76,4-3(2)(B)	REVIEWED BY KONST 2002 4/16
---	---------------------------------------

CITATIONS/NUMBERS

74327,74348	BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	---

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL	ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Lab-X VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Smokey	Tan		1Y		cited	cited	ok	A516594
Chi-X VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Sadie	Blk/Wht		2Y		cited	cited	ok	A516593
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									

WITNESS 1	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

N/C 3

INVESTIGATION REPORT



Activity: A15-169878

ACO C. Meek 2015

On 04/15/15 at 0947 hours I Officer Meek badge number 2015 responded to Fairview space number to assist the Constable with an eviction as well as a neglect tie out complaint.

I arrived at the address and found the Constable to be gone. I did observe two dogs tied out on the front porch under the awning of the residence. I photographed the two dogs, a Labrador mix and a Chihuahua mix while photographing the dogs I did not observe any water available.

I made entry into the yard and began to impound the dogs. The Labrador then attacked the Chihuahua and the resident came outside. I was able to meet with Ms. I asked Ms. to remove the dogs from the tie outs and place them inside which she did. I did look at the Chihuahua named Sadie and was not able to see any injuries that would require veterinary care.

I asked Ms. why the dogs were tied out. Ms. went on to advise me the dogs are tied because the Labrador Smokey is able to jump the fence and so they could use the restroom and she is in the process of rebuilding the fence taller but her paycheck was delayed. I advised Ms. of the tie out laws and that if she is having confinement problems to take the dogs out on leash until the fence is rebuilt. Ms. stated she understood. Ms. Long went on to advise me the eviction hearing posted on her residence should be taken care as she has a friend that will be lending her the money to cover her rent.

I then advised Ms. that I was going to issue her citations for the dogs not having water available to them. Ms. stated she understood and provided me with a California Identification card. During my conversation with Ms. she advised me the neither of the dogs were licensed or vaccinated for rabies. I advised Ms. that I would be issuing her those citations as well. Ms. stated she understood. I issued Ms. the appropriate citations and advised her that with the citations she would need to appear in court and provided her with the date. Ms. stated she understood her need to appear and signed her copy of the citations.

Officer's Signature:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "C. Meek", written over a horizontal line.

Date: 04/16/15

W/C 4

INVESTIGATION REPORT		SUSPECT				ACO NAME / BADGE #		COMPLAINT NUMBER			
Pima County Health Department Pima Animal Care Center 4000 N. Silverbell Rd. Tucson, Arizona 85745 Phone: (520) 243-5900 Fax: (520) 243-5960 www.pimaanimalcare.org		SUSPECT'S ADDRESS W. Pyle Rd.				D.Robledo #1990		A15-169565			
		ZIP 85735	CITY Tucson	STATE Az	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		BITE <input type="checkbox"/> WELFARE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DANGEROUS <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>				
		SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS n/a				CODE IF OTHER :		CI <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CO <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>			
		ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE				
		SEX m	WEIGHT 155	HEIGHT 5'-11"	EYES Blu	HAIR COLOR br	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN n/a		
DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		LOCATION OF INCIDENT I. Ajo Wy			DATE AND TIME REPORTED 4/10/15 / 1211		DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 4/10/15 / 1241				
		FOOD <input type="checkbox"/> WATER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SHELTER <input type="checkbox"/> INJURED/ILL <input type="checkbox"/> VENTILATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ABANDONED <input type="checkbox"/> TIEOUT <input type="checkbox"/> BEATEN <input type="checkbox"/> WASTE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (EXPLAIN)									
<input type="checkbox"/> I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case		VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME Daniel Robledo #1990			D.O.B		RESIDENCE PHONE NO.		BUSINESS PHONE NO.		
<input type="checkbox"/> I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case		VICTIM'S ADDRESS			ZIP		CITY		STATE		
<input type="checkbox"/> REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-1405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)		VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N. Silverbell Rd.			ZIP 58745		CITY Tucson		STATE Az		
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE IF APPLICABLE		DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> TFD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:			FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:			
<input type="checkbox"/> ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM		<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY:		TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED	PACC <input type="checkbox"/>			
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM		<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION	PART OF BODY BITTEN:				RELEASE DATE:	VET <input type="checkbox"/>			
PHONE NUMBER		VET CLINIC			PHONE NUMBER		OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		FTQ <input type="checkbox"/>		
AWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS		CLINIC'S ADDRESS			QUARANTINE 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>		FRA HEAD# <input type="checkbox"/>				
		3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CITING ACO D.Robledo #1990		PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS				
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE		CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-3(2)(f) x2, 4-3(2)(b)					REVIEWED BY 2002 KONST 4/16				
		CITATIONS/NUMBERS 73518					BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME		COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Goat	VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	n/a		White	F					ok	A516357
Rooster	VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	n/a		Blk/wht	m					ok	A516356
	VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>										
	VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>										
	VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>										
	VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>										
	VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>										
WITNESS 1	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS 110 E. Price rd. #9		RESIDENCE PHONE # 912-6745		BUSINESS PHONE #				
WITNESS 2	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS 5102 N. Kain Ave. 32		RESIDENCE PHONE # 912-6745		BUSINESS PHONE #				
WITNESS 3	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #		BUSINESS PHONE #				
WITNESS 4	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #		BUSINESS PHONE #				

W/C 4



INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-169565

ACO name & Badge: Daniel Robledo #1990

On April 10, 2015 at 1241 Hours, I Officer Robledo #1990 and Officer Carver #1901 responded to an emergency complaint of animals locked in a car at a plaza parking lot. Upon my arrival, I observed a goat and a rooster inside a locked vehicle with no water, it was approximately 77 degrees outside and the windows were rolled up with the sun roof open approximately 3 inches.

Officer Carver and I met with a Kay [redacted] who said she saw the two animals in the vehicle and tried to look for the owner throughout the plaza. Then, at 1137 hours and 1158 hours, Mrs. [redacted] and her daughter [redacted], called the Tucson Police Department and reported the incident. The complaint was reported to Pima Animal Care Center.

When I met with a gentleman named [redacted] who claimed to be owner of the animals. I explained to him who I was and why I was at his vehicle. I asked him why he left these animals in his vehicle and for how long. Mr. [redacted] said he transports all his animals like this and he said he was only going to be away from his vehicle for 20 minutes. I explained to him that it is illegal to leave an animal inside a hot car. I asked him open the car and let me see the animals. I took photographs of the animals. They appeared to be of normal health.

I explained to Mr. [redacted] that I will be issuing him citations for no ventilation and no water. Mr. Hayes stated he understood and presented me his driver's license for identification. He signed and received his copy of the citation.

Officer's Signature:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "DR" or similar initials, written over a large, faint circular stamp.

Date:

4.16.15

W/C 5

X 2

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT Adrienne Darcel Williams				ACO NAME / BADGE # Klein 1926		COMPLAINT NUMBER A15-169530	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS 2668 S. Desert Cavern Pl				BITE <input type="checkbox"/> WELFARE <input type="checkbox"/> DANGEROUS <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>			
ZIP 85730	CITY Tucson	STATE Az	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER 520-392-2777				
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS Unknown				CODE IF OTHER:			
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS Unknown				CI <input type="checkbox"/> CO <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>			
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER				
DRIVERS LICENSE D06083354				DOB 6-8-85			
SEX F	WEIGHT 164	HEIGHT 5'8	EYES Br	HAIR COLOR Bk	ORIGIN 3	SSN Unknown	

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES NO

LOCATION OF INCIDENT Cavern Pl			DATE AND TIME REPORTED 4-9-15 / 1925		DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 4-16-15 / 1514	
FOOD <input type="checkbox"/>	WATER <input type="checkbox"/>	SHELTER <input type="checkbox"/>	INJURED/ILL <input type="checkbox"/>	VENTILATION <input type="checkbox"/>	ABANDONED <input type="checkbox"/>	TIEOUT <input type="checkbox"/>
BEATEN <input type="checkbox"/>			WASTE <input type="checkbox"/>			
OTHER (EXPLAIN) <input type="checkbox"/>						

I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case
 I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.
 REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-105 (B) and § 8-268 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME E.Klein Badge #1926		D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.		BUSINESS PHONE NO. 520-724-5900	
VICTIM'S ADDRESS			ZIP	CITY	STATE	
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N Silverbell Rd			ZIP 85745	CITY Tucson	STATE Az	

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> TFD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:		FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:	
--	---	-----------------------	---	--	--	--

ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM
PHONE NUMBER

<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED	PACC <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION	PART OF BODY BITTEN:			RELEASE DATE:	VET <input type="checkbox"/>
VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		HOME <input type="checkbox"/>
					FTQ <input type="checkbox"/>
					UTQ <input type="checkbox"/>

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> FRA HEAD#	
3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	CITING ACO Klein 1926	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS	

CTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-3(2)(D)	REVIEWED BY 2002 KONST 4/19			
CITATIONS/NUMBERS 707BI A,B	BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>			

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL	ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
itbull VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>	Lyric	Blue/wht	F	2m				doa	A516764
itbull VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>	Bell Wolf	Blue/Wht	F	2m				doa	A516765
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									

WITNESS 1 Jessica Reck #2046	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS 4000 N Silverbell Rd	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE # 520-724-5900
WITNESS 2 r.J.Wilcox	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS 4000 N Silverbell Rd	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE # 520-724-5900
WITNESS 3 ara Figueroa #1983	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS 4000 N Silverbell Rd	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE # 520-724-5900
WITNESS 4 .Tenkate #1911	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS 4000 N Silverbell Rd	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE # 520-724-5900

W/C S



INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-169530

ACO name & Badge: Klein Badge 1926

On April 9, 2015 at 1925 hours the Pima County Animal Care Center (PACC) received a call from an anonymous complainant who report there were puppies located at : Desert Cavern PI that were malnourished, had no water, one had an injured leg and the owners will not take the dogs to a veterinarian.

On April 16, 2015 at 0955 hours PACC Officer Martinez badge 2067 responded to : Desert Cavern PI. She knocked on the front door and received no response. She left a notice on the front door advising of the complaint.

Officer Martinez reported that she looked in the back yard and observed a wire kennel against the house that looked like it had been put away. She noticed a metal bowl with water in it next to the crate. She saw a bag of IV fluids with a line connected to it and a bottle of pedialyte on a chair.

Officer Martinez reported that as she was returning to her vehicle a neighbor approached her and stated that she is the person who called PACC. She stated she tried taking the injured puppy to a vet but the vet could not treat the puppy without owner consent. She stated the owner would not give consent. She stated that the owners have had numerous puppies come to their house and something always happens to them. She reported that one puppy had parvo and another puppy was let out of the front door. She stated that a juvenile in the neighborhood had witnessed the family dump puppies.

On April 16, 2015 at 1121 hours a person called PACC and reported that the dogs are being kept in the garage to hide them from PACC officers.

On April 16, 2015 at approximately 1500 hours I, Investigator Klein badge 1926 was in the enforcement supervisor's office at PACC when I was approached by kennel technician Jessica Reck. Ms Reck stated that a dog owner brought in deceased puppies and stated she was told to bring them in. Ms Reck said the puppies are in the trunk of the owners' car and asked if enforcement needed to speak to her.

W/C 05

I asked Supervisor Tenkate and Enforcement Operations Manager J.Chavez if they were aware of the complaint or that the puppies had died. They were not aware of it and asked that I speak with the owner to determine circumstance.

Ms Reck and I then met with Ms [redacted] at her vehicle. The trunk was open and I observed white trash bags in the trunk of the car that appeared to have small animals inside. I asked Ms Williams if she the owner bringing in deceased puppies. She said yes and asked that we hurry up and get them out of her car. She stated she needed to get her kids.

I asked her how long she has owned the dogs and she said a few days. I asked if she can remember when she got them. She said she didn't know but it had been a couple of days and told me to get them out of her car. I explained that PACC had received a call regarding the dogs being thin or malnourished on April 9th and stated that would mean she has had them for at least 7 days. I ask her if that time frame sounds right. Ms [redacted] said she did not appreciate being questioned about her dogs and added they were already dying when she got them. I explained that I need to address the complaint that PACC received.

I asked if she took them to a veterinarian. She said she treated them herself. I asked what she was treating them for and she said parvo. I asked if she tested them or if she had a vet test them for parvo. She said no she did not need to because she knows what parvo is. I asked what she was treating them with and she stated I.V fluids and pedialyte. I asked her how she administered the I.V. fluids and she told me she knows how to treat and take care of dogs with parvo. I asked if she got the I.V. fluids from a vet. She said she already had it from other Pitbulls that she had. I asked if she still has those Pitbulls. She became belligerent and would not answer my question.

I explained to Ms [redacted] that she stated to me that the dogs were already dying when they were given to her so I am asking her if the dogs were ever taken to a veterinarian for diagnosis and treatment. I asked Ms [redacted] if the puppies have ever been taken to a vet. She said her boyfriend took them for their initial shots when they were 6 weeks old. I asked where they were taken and she said she did not know. I asked her when the puppies died and she would not answer me. I asked her for identification. She refused and ordered that I get the puppies out of her car. I told her I must address the complaint received before I can continue. She provided her Arizona driver's license. I told her I would be right back with her.

Ms Reck and I then returned to the enforcement supervisor's office and explained that Ms [redacted] stated she was treating the puppies for parvo. She said they were dying when she got them. She did not take them to a vet, she did not have them tested or diagnosed. She said she was using fluids given to her for other Pitbulls that she has had. I was instructed to issue citations for neglect of vet care. Ms [redacted] stated the dogs were dying and she failed to take them to a veterinarian for diagnosis and treatment.

W/C 5

I then issued citations 70761 A and B to Ms [redacted] for neglect of vet care while in the enforcement supervisors office. While explaining the citations and court appearance Ms [redacted] was very argumentative and continued to repeat that she knows how to treat dogs and she did not need to take them to a vet. She said she called a vet and they told her how to treat them. She then added that she talked to her neighbor who is a vet. I asked for the names of the veterinarian's that she spoke with. She refused to tell me. She then asked why it took PACC so long to come to her house. She asked why no one came on the day the complaint was made. I explained our priority system, the amount of calls PACC has to respond and she interrupted me asking why PACC did not come sooner. I told Ms [redacted] it is not PACC's fault that the puppies died and that as the owner and care taker of those puppies it was her responsibility to provide adequate medical care, to have the puppies diagnosed and treated by a veterinarian.

Ms [redacted] refused to sign the citation and stated her dogs name was not Bella. I told her that is what the kennel technician had listed on the intake kennel cards. Ms [redacted] said her dogs name is Bell Wolf. I asked if her dogs' names are Bell Wolf and Lyric. She said yes. I corrected the name on the citation. Ms [redacted] then signed it and stated she would call her attorney.

Supervisor Tenkate and I then followed Ms [redacted] back to her vehicle to have kennel technicians remove the deceased puppies. Ms [redacted]; took the puppies out and placed them in a nearby receptacle then drove away. Ms Williams then called PACC from her cell phone and stated she was calling to make a complaint against me for citing her.

Supervisor Tenkate returned Ms [redacted] call. Ms [redacted] stated she feels she was cited because the investigator is racist. Supervisor Tenkate explained the neglect vet care citations again. Ms [redacted] then stated she could not afford to take her puppies to a vet because she has children and said she called PACC and was told it would cost money to bring the puppies to PACC. Ms [redacted] said the dispatcher told her to wait until the puppies died and then bring them to PACC so she would not be charged.

Pima County veterinarian Dr. Wilcox examined the puppies while Medical Operations Coordinator Tara Figueroa took photographs of the puppies before they were disposed of. I was informed that the puppies tested positive for parvo and they found no indications that the puppies were being given I.V. fluids.

I observed the puppies to be very thin and jaundice. I did not see any punctures, marks or catheters set in either of the puppies.

Supervisor Tenkate stated Ms [redacted] does not own any other pets and this may be closed.

E. Klein # 1924

W/C 6

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
 Pima Animal Care Center
 4000 N Silverbell Rd
 Tucson, Arizona 85745
 Phone: (520) 243-5900
 Fax: (520) 243-5960
 www.pimaanimalcare.org



SUSPECT				ACO NAME / BADGE # K. Walton #1925		COMPLAINT NUMBER A15-169932	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS / Bopp Rd							
BITE <input type="checkbox"/> WELFARE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DANGEROUS <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>		CODE IF OTHER :					
ZIP 85735	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER (520)K				
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS N/A				CI <input type="checkbox"/> CO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>			
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER				
DRIVERS LICENSE I				DOB 07			
SEX F	WEIGHT 163	HEIGHT 5'3"	EYES BLK	HAIR COLOR BLK	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES NO

LOCATION OF INCIDENT Bopp Rd				DATE AND TIME REPORTED 04/16/15 / 0815 hours		DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 04/16/15 / 0815 hours	
FOOD <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	WATER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SHELTER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	INJURED/ILL <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	VENTILATION <input type="checkbox"/>	ABANDONED <input type="checkbox"/>	TIEOUT <input type="checkbox"/>	BEATEN <input type="checkbox"/>
WASTE <input type="checkbox"/>				OTHER (EXPLAIN) Leash Law			

I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case
 I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.
 REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME Officer K. Walton #1925				D.O.B		RESIDENCE PHONE NO.		BUSINESS PHONE NO. (520)724-5900	
VICTIM'S ADDRESS				ZIP		CITY		STATE	
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N Silverbell				ZIP 85745		CITY Tucson		STATE AZ	

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE IF APPLICABLE

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER		OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> TFD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:		FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:	
---	--	--	--	-----------------------	--	---	--	--	--

ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM
 RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM
 HOME NUMBER

<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION		BITE SEVERITY:		TREATED BY		PHONE NUMBER		DATE QUARANTINED		PACC <input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION		PART OF BODY BITTEN:						RELEASE DATE:		VET <input type="checkbox"/>	
										HOME <input type="checkbox"/>	
VET CLINIC				PHONE NUMBER		OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		FTQ <input type="checkbox"/>		UTQ <input type="checkbox"/>	

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS				QUARANTINE 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> FRA HEAD#			
3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		CITING ACO K. Walton #1925		PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER A14-142880		OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS A13-137625	

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/DIRD VIOLATED 6.04.110(B)(4), 6.04.110(B)(3), 6.04.11(B)(2), 6.04.110(B)(1), 6.04.110(A), 6.04.030				REVIEWED BY 2007 KMST 4/21	
CITATIONS/NUMBERS 73539, 73540				BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL		ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
ottwieler	VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	El Paso	Black/Tan	M	A				III	A516720
it Mix	VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N/A	Brown Brindle	F	A				Agg	A516721
it Mix	VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N/A	Tan/White	F	A				Agg	A516723
	VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
	VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
	VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
	VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
	VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									

WITNESS 1	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

N/C 6



INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-169932

ACO name & Badge: K. Walton #1925

On April 16, 2015 I was called out to an animal attack at Bopp Rd where two dogs had entered into the resident's bird/rabbit coop and killed 20 Bungie Parakeets and 1 dwarf rabbit.

Upon my arrival I met with the complainant . While we were discussing the incident we observed the two attacking dogs, both Pit Bull mixes, running at large. I was told that the dogs lived at Bopp Rd.

As I went to make contact with the dog owner, I observed a Rottweiler mix on the property as well. The dog was confined in a pen, was emaciated, and had no water. The shelter in the pen consisted of an igloo dog house and a small metal crate. This did not provide adequate shelter or shade for all 3 dogs. There was a large metal tub that was used for water, it was empty and tipped over. There was also excessive waste in the yard. Photos were taken of the pen and the Rottweiler.

I made contact with the dog owner, . I advised her of why I was there, and had her get the two Pit Bull mixes back into the pen. I was then able to impound the three dogs without incident.

Mrs. : signed the 3 dogs over to Pima Animal Care Center. I requested her identification and she provided me with her Mexico Federal Electoral identification card. I issued her citations for the violations that I observed, neglect-no food, neglect-no water, neglect-vet care and cruelty for the Rott mix, and neglect-no water, neglect-no shelter, and leash law for the 2 Pit mixes.

Citations, court date, time and location were explained to Mrs. , a primary Spanish speaker, through her boyfriend | , via telephone. She acknowledged, signed, and received her copies of the citations. Her identification was then returned to her.

Officer's Signature: *K. Walton 1925*

Date: 4-19-15

W/c 7

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
 Pima Animal Care Center
 4000 N. Silverbell Rd
 Tucson, Arizona 85745
 Phone: (520) 243-5900
 Fax: (520) 243-5960
 www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT				ACO NAME / BADGE # J RADEMAKER 2019		COMPLAINT NUMBER A15-170781	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS 10LMES				BITE <input type="checkbox"/> WELFARE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DANGEROUS <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>			
ZIP 85711	CITY TUCSON	STATE AZ	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER				
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS RETIRED				CI <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CO <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>			
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE		
SEX F	WEIGHT 165	HEIGHT 5'2"	EYES BL	HAIR COLOR GY	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN 432-76-6946

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR
 RECOVERY OF RIGHTS? YES NO

LOCATION OF INCIDENT 5TH ST			DATE AND TIME REPORTED 04/29/15 / 1821			DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 04/29/15 / 1853	
FOOD <input type="checkbox"/>	WATER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SHELTER <input type="checkbox"/>	INJURED/ILL <input type="checkbox"/>	VENTILATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ABANDONED <input type="checkbox"/>	TIEOUT <input type="checkbox"/>	BEATEN <input type="checkbox"/>
WASTE <input type="checkbox"/>		OTHER (EXPLAIN) <input type="checkbox"/>					

I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case.
 I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.
 REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-05 (B) and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME J RADEMAKER 2019		D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.		BUSINESS PHONE NO. 724-5900	
VICTIM'S ADDRESS PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER 4000 N SILVERBELL RD			ZIP 85745	CITY TUCSON	STATE AZ	
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS			ZIP	CITY	STATE	

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE
 (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> TFD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:		FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:	
--	---	-----------------------	---	--	--	--

ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM
 RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM
 PHONE NUMBER

<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED	PACC <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION	PART OF BODY BITTEN:			RELEASE DATE:	VET <input type="checkbox"/>
VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		HOME <input type="checkbox"/>
					FTQ <input type="checkbox"/>
					LTQ <input type="checkbox"/>

FULL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> FRA HEAD#	
3 RD PARTY CITATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CITING ACO RADEMAKER 2019	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS	

SIGNATURE OF VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED 4-3(2)(B); 4-3(2)(F)	REVIEWED BY 4-30-15 DTA 1911	
CITATIONS/NUMBERS 74254A,B	BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL	ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
IRKSHIRE BRIER	ROCCO	B/T	M	5Y		L14-207637	CURRENT	N	A447778
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									

TNESS 1	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
TNESS 2	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
TNESS 3	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
TNESS 4	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

W/C 7

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-170781

ACO name & Badge: J RADEMAKER 2019


04/29/15 1853hrs I, Officer Rademaker 2019, responded to 5th St in response to a complaint about a dog in a hot car. The complaint stated that the car was a Lexus, that the windows were up and the car was not running. At the time of the complaint the outside temperature was 85.8F according to the University of Arizona website.

I photographed the black Lexus SUV. I saw that its windows were completely up and all the doors were locked. The car, on my arrival at 6:53PM, was in the shade from the building. I measured the exterior temperature of the car, which was 85F at the passenger window. I observed a black and tan Yorkshire Terrier in the car. The dog was panting intermittently. I spoke with employee Brooke at the Rosemont Pet Clinic in front of which the black Lexus was parked. She stated that a client had alerted the staff of the Pet Clinic at 6:04PM that the dog was in the car.

I went to the restaurant next door to the clinic and asked the people seated at the first table if they owned the Lexus and Ms. responded affirmatively. I asked if the dog in the car was hers and she acknowledged that it was. I asked if there was water present in the car for the dog and she said there was not. I explained that it was against the law for the dog to be in the car without water and with no ventilation and had her return to the car with me to open the car. She did so and gave the dog, which she identified as Rocco A447778, water. I explained that Rocco was in serious danger of death by heat exhaustion as the interior of a car can easily reach 40-50 degrees above ambient temperature because of the greenhouse effect.

I issued Ms. citations for neglect-no water and neglect-ventilation violations of Tucson City Code. I explained the citations and court and she said she understood. I gave her a law brochure...2019

Officer's Signature:


2019

Date:

4/29/15

W/C 8

INVESTIGATION REPORT



Activity Number: A15-170342

ACO name & Badge: X. Delgadillo #2047

On April 22, 2015 at approximately 17:53 I, Officer Delgadillo #2047, arrived to Columbia St in reference to an injured dog; attacked by another dog.

I met with the complainant who stated that the dog was in front of her residence but the owner retrieved the dog and returned back to 143 W. Columbia St.

I arrived to _____, Columbia St. and observed a black and tan Terrier in a green milk crate wrapped in a sheet. I met with _____ Ms. _____ stated that her dog was attacked by two Pit Bulls on the evening of April 21, 2015. I questioned as to why she did not seek vet care and she stated that she could not afford the care. I asked her why was dog out at large and she stated that it must have left the yard. Ms. _____ provided a rabies certificate but did not have the dog licensed.

Ms. _____ would not relinquish ownership of the dog and stated that she would pay to redeem her dog. I confiscated the dog due to its injuries and to provide vet care.

Ms. _____ was cited into Tucson City Court for neglect-vet care and no license. Ms. _____ signed her citations and received a copy. Ms. _____ was advised of her court date and time.

On April 23, 2015 the dog died from its injuries.

Officer's Signature:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "X. Delgadillo", written over a large, loopy scribble.

Date:

4/28/15

W/C 9

INVESTIGATION REPORT
Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N Silverbell Rd
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT		ACD NAME / BADGE #		ACTIVITY/BITE NUMBER	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS 28th St		T. Foster #2042		A15-170072	
CITY Tucson	STATE AZ	ZIP 85711	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER 520-		
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS UNK			BITE <input type="checkbox"/> WELFARE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DANGEROUS <input type="checkbox"/>		
CITY N/A	STATE N/A	ZIP N/A	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER N/A		
SEX F	WEIGHT 120	HEIGHT 5'7"	EYES BRO	HAIR BR	ORIGIN
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS UNK			CI <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CO <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>		
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS UNK			DRIVERS LICENSE		
DOB		SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER Not Asked			

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS?
 YES NO

LOCATION OF INCIDENT 5737 E 28th St, Tucson Az, 85711	DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT 04/25/15 08:05	DATE AND TIME REPORTED 04/18/15 11:49
FOOD <input type="checkbox"/> WATER <input type="checkbox"/> SHELTER <input type="checkbox"/>	VENTILATION <input type="checkbox"/> ABANDONED <input type="checkbox"/> TIED/UT <input type="checkbox"/>	BEATEN <input type="checkbox"/> WASTE <input type="checkbox"/> INJ / ILL <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (EXPLAIN) <input type="checkbox"/>

I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME T. Foster	DATE OF BIRTH N/A	RESIDENCE PHONE N/A	BUSINESS PHONE 520-724-5000 ext 3
--------------------------------------	----------------------	------------------------	--------------------------------------

I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

VICTIM'S ADDRESS N/A	CITY N/A	STATE N/A	ZIP N/A
-------------------------	-------------	--------------	------------

REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N Silverbell Rd	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ	ZIP 85745
---	----------------	-------------	--------------

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER N/A	OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SHERIFF DEPT <input type="checkbox"/> TUCSON POLICE <input type="checkbox"/> FIRE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER: N/A	FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER: N/A
---	--	------------------------------	--	--

ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: N/A	TREATED BY N/A	PHONE NUMBER N/A	DATE QUARANTINED N/A	PAGE VET <input type="checkbox"/> HOME <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION	PART OF BODY BITTEN: N/A			RELEASE DATE: N/A	

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM
PHONE NUMBER

VET CLINIC N/A	PHONE NUMBER N/A	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	FTQ <input type="checkbox"/> UTB <input type="checkbox"/>
-------------------	---------------------	---	--

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS N/A	QUARANTINE (DAYS) 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>	FRA HEAD# N/A
-------------------------	---	------------------

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

3 rd PARTY CITATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CITING ACD T. Foster #2042	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER N/A	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS N/A
--	-------------------------------	--	-----------------------------	---------------------------------

CODE/ORD VIOLATED
CITATIONS/NUMBERS

4-3(2)(D); 4-81; 4-76	REVIEWED BY DTH/19U 4-28-15
#74220 (A, B, C)	BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

BREED/DESCRIPTION	ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	LICENSE #	CONDITION	ANIMAL ID#
Chihuahua mix VICTIM OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Mia	Gray	F	5m	None	Dead	A517634
Chihuahua Mix VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>	Lulu	Red	F	Adult	None	Normal	A517636
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>							
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>							
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>							
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>							
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>							

WITNESS 1 Dr. Jennifer Becker, DVM	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	DOB N/A	ADDRESS 4205 N Campbell Ave	RESIDENCE PHONE # N/A	BUSINESS PHONE # 520-308-4225
WITNESS 2	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #



W/C 9

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-170072

ACO Name & Badge: T. Foster #2042

04/18/15 11:49 Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) Dispatch operators received a call from an Acacia Veterinary staff member who reported that on 04/17/15 at approximately 18:00 hours Ms. [redacted] and Mr. [redacted] brought a 5 month old Chihuahua mix to their clinic because the puppy was vomiting and had diarrhea. They stated that the couple believed that the dog's symptoms were related to possibly eating some marijuana in their home. The attending Veterinarian was able to determine that the puppy known as Mia was infected with Canine Parvovirus. The staff member stated that the couple declined the hospitalization and home treatment options that were given to them and took Mia home instead. The clinic stated that they were requesting that an Officer conduct a welfare check for Mia and determine if the dog received any medical treatment or humane euthanasia.

04/25/15 08:05 I, Officer Foster #2042 arrived at the dog owner's residence and knocked on the front door and was met by [redacted]. I stated my name and the reason for my visit and as I spoke [redacted] met me at the doorway with Eric and invited me inside and stated that she did not want her neighbor's to know that I was at their home. I entered the unit and asked to see vet records for the Chihuahua mix named Mia. Ms. [redacted] produced an estimate provided to her by Acacia Vet clinic. I asked her if she had any additional vet records for that dog and she stated that they do not. The couple stated that the dog may have eaten some Marijuana or caught something from a "critter" that she chased through their unit in the days leading up to her vet visit on 04/17/15 at 18:00 hours. I advised the couple that the test for Parvovirus is designed to react with the Parvovirus antigens while the virus is in the shedding stage. They then stated that the dog tested negative on the snap test and yet stated that the clinic staff told them they caught it early and that the dog was barely positive. They later stated again that the dog tested negative for the Parvovirus. The couple was very emotional and told me several times that their dog(s) are well cared for. They stated that the dog known as Mia had shot records from last year however, the vet records indicated that the dog was only 5 months old. I did not discuss the discrepancy with Mr. [redacted] or Ms. [redacted] because they were cooperative and I was afraid that I might provoke a combative response if I began that line of questioning. Mr. [redacted] at one point stated that he shouldn't have taken the dog to the vet because all they did was take \$200.00 from them and Mia still died in Mr. [redacted]'s arms while in pain. He went on to add that the Vet could have just given the dog a pill or something to ease her suffering. Ms. [redacted] then stated that one of the staff members wanted her dog and that the clinic called this situation in because she refused to give up ownership of Mia in exchange for the puppy receiving treatment.

I asked the couple for copies of their respective Identification cards and returned to the PACC truck to issue citations. I also called Acacia Vet from the PACC truck and spoke with a staff member named Caitlyn who informed me that the dog's name was Mia and that she was approx 5 months old, gray in color, and a mix of Chihuahua and possibly Terrier. I thanked Caitlyn for her time and completed a citation for Ms. Acuna for Neglect of Vet Care for Mia and No License and No Rabies Vaccination for a red Chihuahua mix named Lulu that Ms. Acuna also owns. I also cited Mr. [redacted] for Neglect of Vet Care since he was present at the time of Mia's vet appointment and the couple jointly decided to take the dog to and from the vet as well as deciding to allow the dog to die without additional vet care. I then returned to the apartment and explained the citations to both parties, who acknowledged, signed, and accepted their copies of the citations. I provided both Mr. [redacted] and Ms. [redacted] with their court date, time, location, and returned their Id's to them. I then thanked them for their time and advised them that in the future they can take pets to Valley Animal Hospital for humane euthanasia free of charge and that at PACC the euthanasia charge is \$15.

Officer's Signature: J. Foster # 2042

Date: 4/27/15

W/C 10

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
 Pima Animal Care Center
 4000 N. Silverbell Rd
 Tucson, Arizona 85745
 Phone: (520) 243-5960
 Fax: (520) 243-5960
 www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT				ACO NAME / BADGE # X. Delgadillo #2047	COMPLAINT NUMBER A15-168714
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS lowa St				BITE <input type="checkbox"/> WELFARE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DANGEROUS <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>	
ZIP 85757	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER 520-	CODE IF OTHER :	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS				CI <input type="checkbox"/> CO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>	
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER	DRIVERS LICENSE	
SEX F	WEIGHT 159	HEIGHT 5'3"	EYES Bro	HAIR COLOR Bro	ORIGIN
DOB		SSN			

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES NO

LOCATION OF INCIDENT lowa St	DATE AND TIME REPORTED 03/27/15 / 16:48	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 03/27/15 / 17:05
FOOD <input type="checkbox"/> WATER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SHELTER <input type="checkbox"/> INJURED/ILL <input type="checkbox"/> VENTILATION <input type="checkbox"/> ABANDONED <input type="checkbox"/> TIEOUT <input type="checkbox"/> BEATEN <input type="checkbox"/> WASTE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (EXPLAIN) license/rabies vac		

I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case
 I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME X. Delgadillo #2047	D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO. 520-724-5900*3
VICTIM'S ADDRESS		ZIP	CITY STATE

REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-6405 (B) and § 8-288 (B)

VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS 4000 N. Silverbell Rd	ZIP 85745	CITY Tucson	STATE AZ
---	---------------------	-----------------------	--------------------

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # 1503270241 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> TFD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:
---	---	-----------------------	--	--

ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED	PACC <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION	PART OF BODY BITTEN:			RELEASE DATE:	VET <input type="checkbox"/>

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

VET CLINIC	PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	FTQ <input type="checkbox"/>	UTQ <input type="checkbox"/>
------------	--------------	---	------------------------------	------------------------------

PHONE NUMBER

CLINIC'S ADDRESS	QUARANTINE 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> FRA HEAD#
------------------	--	------------------------------------

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	CITING ACO	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS
---	------------	--	----------------------	--------------------------

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED 6.04.070, 11-1010(ARS) 6.04.110 (B)(2)	REVIEWED BY 4-7-15 DTK/911
--	--------------------------------------

CITATIONS/NUMBERS

74074, 74075, 74076	BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>
----------------------------	--

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL	ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Jachshund VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Gustav	Brown Brindle	M	A		Cited	Cited		514873
Spapillion VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Wedo	White/Tan	M	A		Cited	Cited		514872
Chihuahua VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>	Salchicha	Black/Tan	F	A		Cited	Cited		335530
Boxer VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Chili	Brown Brindle	F	A		Cited	Cited		514871
Lab/Shepherd VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Red	Brown/Black	F	A		Cited	Cited		514874
Chihuahua VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Chavo	White/Tan	M	A		Cited	Cited		515256
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									

WITNESS 1	M <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
-----------	--	-----	---------	-------------------	------------------

WITNESS 2	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
-----------	---	-----	---------	-------------------	------------------

W/C 10

INVESTIGATION REPORT



Activity Number: A15-168714

ACO name & Badge: X. Delgadillo #2047

On March 27, 2015 at approximately 17:05 I, Officer Delgadillo #2047, responded to an Assist Police, reference four dogs in distress, small confinement and no water.

I met with Pima County Sheriff Deputy Hamm badge #7976 Case 0327150241 at Iowa St. Deputy Hamm stated that when he arrived the dogs had no water and the neighbor came over and provided water. He stated that the neighbor was the complainant. Deputy Hamm stated the complainant called as they had not seen the neighbors in four days and realized today that there were dogs in the back yard as they had never seen them in yard prior.

The Deputy and I walked to the rear of yard of the property and I observed five dogs in a confinement (kennel). The shelter had a small roof and the full sun was partially encompassing most of the kennel space. The Deputy stated that he heard a dog inside but could not see the dog. I called Supervisor Tenkate and she located possible property owners to be residing at Wyoming, two streets to the west. I went to the address but it was vacant with a partial building standing. I then called the supervisor back and it was determined we would need to enter the residence to check the welfare of the dog that could not be seen.

The Deputy and I returned to Iowa. He was on the telephone obtaining clearance to enter and I began photographing the dogs. The black and tan Chihuahua had a tag; the inscription was not legible. I cleaned the tag and found a phone number for Wyoming. I called 818-8110 and spoke with Ms. Wyoming who stated that she left her residence today at 2pm for work; she stated that she is a nurse. I advised her that the dogs were outside and they had no water. She stated that she never leaves her dogs outside and that a friend was at her residence today at approximately 1600 to let the plumber in to make repairs. They must have left the dogs outside. She stated that she would be home shortly to redeem her dogs. I had another priority call so I left the area.

I returned at 18:46 I returned to Iowa St and met with Wyoming. I explained that I impounded the dogs as they had no water and the shelter was not adequate. She was crying profusely stating that she did not leave the dogs outside and someone else placed them outside.

W/C 10

I conducted a welfare check on the dog inside, a white and tan Chihuahua which appeared healthy. Ms. I stated that she recently had to pay for her father in laws funeral and could not pay any fines today. I advised her she would be given citations and a future court date. I then returned the dogs to Ms.

Ms. was cited into Pima County Justice for the following:

Neglect no water for:

**Gustave brown brindle Dachshund
Wedo white tan Papillion
Salchicha black tan Chihuahua
Chili brown brindle Boxer
Red brown and black Lab/Shepherd mix**

No license and no rabies vaccinations:

**Gustave brown brindle Dachshund
Wedo white tan Papillion
Salchicha black tan Chihuahua
Chili brown brindle Boxer
Red brown and black Lab/Shepherd mix
Chavo a white and tan Chihuahua**

Ms. signed her citations; was provided her court date and time and advised of the new court location.

Officer's Signature:



Date:

4/7/15



PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD, TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.gov/animalcare

MEMORANDUM

TO: Kim Janes, Chief of External Operations
FROM: Debra Tenkate, Animal Care Field Supervisor 
Date: May 1, 2015
RE: Dangerous Dog Cases for April 2015

Sahuarita:

1. A15-170375 Maria De La Cruz: dog named Bollado was declared dangerous by Investigator Eckelbarger. The dog is currently impounded at PACC.

Pima County:

2. A15-170150 Dan Cover: dog named Matt Dillon was declared dangerous by Investigator Klein. The dog was impounded and has been euthanized.
3. A15-168544 Stephen Seal Jr.: 2 dogs named Dixie was declared not dangerous and Penny was declared dangerous by Investigator Klein who is monitoring compliance.
4. A14-157634 Codie Wintrose: 2 dogs named Daisy and Bruiser were declared not dangerous by Investigator Klein.
5. A15-169610 Paul Bennett: dog named Dutch was declared not dangerous by Investigator Klein.
6. A14-162104 Elmer Dominguez: dog named Toby was declared dangerous by Investigator Eckelbarger. The dog is currently impounded at PACC while Investigator Eckelbarger monitors compliance.
7. A15-168408 Leroy Garnett Jr.: dog named Dixon was declared dangerous by Investigator Eckelbarger who is monitoring compliance.
8. A15-168739 Richard Reed: dog named Diesel was declared not dangerous by Investigator Eckelbarger.

DD#1

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
 Pima Animal Care Center
 4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
 Tucson, Arizona 85745
 Phone: (520) 243-5900
 Fax: (520) 243-5960
 www.pimaanimalcare.org



SUSPECT Maria De la Cruz				ACO NAME / BADGE # 1942 Eckelbarger	COMPLAINT NUMBER A15-170375
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS				BITE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WELFARE <input type="checkbox"/> DANGEROUS <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>	
ZIP	CITY	STATE AZ	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER		
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS				CI <input type="checkbox"/> CO <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sahuarita	
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		
SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES	HAIR COLOR	ORIGIN
DOB			SSN		

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES NO

LOCATION OF INCIDENT	DATE AND TIME REPORTED 4-23-15 / 1033	DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 4-23-15 / 1030
----------------------	---	---

FOOD <input type="checkbox"/>	WATER <input type="checkbox"/>	SHELTER <input type="checkbox"/>	INJURED/ILL <input type="checkbox"/>	VENTILATION <input type="checkbox"/>	ABANDONED <input type="checkbox"/>	TIEOUT <input type="checkbox"/>	BEATEN <input type="checkbox"/>	WASTE <input type="checkbox"/>	OTHER (EXPLAIN)
VICTIM / POLICE AGENCY				D.O.B.	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.		BUSINESS PHONE NO.		
VICTIM'S ADDRESS				ZIP	CITY	STATE AZ			
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS				ZIP	CITY	STATE			

I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case.
 I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.
 REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	RESTITUTION REQUESTED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> TFD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:
---	---	--	-----------------------	---	--

<input type="checkbox"/> ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY: 3	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED 4-23-15	PACC <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM	<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION	PART OF BODY BITTEN: L-Leg			RELEASE DATE: 5-2-15	VET <input type="checkbox"/>
PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		HOME <input type="checkbox"/>
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE 10 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>		FRA HEAD# <input type="checkbox"/>	

3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	CITING ACO 2019 Rademaker	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER A13-119709	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS A13-118993	
--	-------------------------------------	--	---	---	--

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED 6.25.070, 6.15.010	Previous Bite Case: A13-121622	REVIEWED BY OTK 1911
CITATIONS/NUMBERS 73821 (A-C)			BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL	ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Poodle VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Bollado	White	M	4yr		L14-200701	Current	Ok	A433722
Poodle VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Gisselle	White	F	4yr		L14-200261	Current	Ok	A392084
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									

WITNESS 1	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

DD#1



INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-170375

ACO name & Badge: 1942 Eckelbarger

On 4-23-15 at 1110 hours. I Investigator Eckelbarger (1942) responded to [redacted] where I met with bite victim, [redacted] and her mother, [redacted]. [redacted] stated that [redacted] was on school grounds on 4-23-15 at approximately 1030 hours when two stray white poodles got onto the school grounds, ran up to [redacted] and one of them bit her on the back of her left leg causing a couple punctures and bruising. I took a photograph of the bite wound. [redacted] requested citations for biting animal and leash law as well as restitution. A worker at the school stated staff members have seen the dogs at large previously.

I then patrolled the area and observed both white poodles roaming at large in the [redacted]. I spoke to a daycare worker next to the [redacted] who also stated she has seen the same white poodles at large multiple times.

I then followed both dogs back to [redacted] where both dogs went through a large section of fencing that was missing and rested on the side of the house. There was also a red chow mix in the yard that got at large while I was on scene. When I attempted to capture the dogs, they charged at me and attempted to bite me so I called for assistance. Investigator Carver (1901) then responded on scene and we were able to impound the female white poodle (later found in system as A392084-Gisselle). The male poodle (A433722-Bollado) ran under a fence and out in the desert. The red chow also ran under the fence and out in the desert.

I was able to take photographs of all the dogs. I then called the victim's mother and advised on the status of her case. Stated she was at urgent care. I advised we would attempt to meet with her to have her daughter look at the photographs to identify which of the two poodles bit her.

I then posted a notice of impoundment on the door of the attacking dog owner.

Once I was back at the Pima Animal Care Center, the dog owner Maria De La


DD# 1

Cruz, called in response to notice of impoundment. Ms. De la Cruz believed that the biter was Bollado because she has had problems with him previously. I advised her to bring Bollado to the Pima Animal Care Center for quarantine. I also advised her to keep the chow mix in the house when she comes home due to the unsecure confinement.

On 4-27-15 at 1040 hours Officer Haynes (2032) responded back to the [redacted] where she met with bite victim, [redacted] positively identified Bollado was the dog that bit her.

On 4-28-15 at 1900 hours Officer Rademaker (2019) met with Maria Isabel De La Cruz [redacted] at the Pima Animal Care Center. Officer Rademaker issued Ms. De La Cruz citations for biting animal and leash law on Bollado and for leash law on Gisselle under Sahuarita jurisdiction. Ms. De La Cruz signed and received her copies of the citations.

Officer's Signature:



1942

Date: 4-29-15

00#1



PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.gov/animalcare

CASE NO: A15-170778.
OWNER: Maria De la Cruz
ANIMAL NAME: Bollado.

ADDRESS: _____
SEX: M BREED: Poodle.
COLOR: white. DATE: 4-30-15.

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:

NON-VIOLATION BITE + 3
VIOLATION-BITE + 6 +6 +6

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)
NO BREAK IN SKIN + 1
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING + 2 +2.
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED) + 3 +3.
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT + 4
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM + 4
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION) + 5

Animal Complaints or Violations:

LEASH LAW CITATIONS + 2 +2. +2.
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS + 1 +1 +1 +1
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS + 2
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS + 3
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS + 1

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY + 1
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER + 2
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits) + 3
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS) + 4
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH + 5

Confinement / Fencing:

Owner has fencing surrounding property approx 5 feet tall, however, there are multiple holes under fence that were dug out. A large section of fence also completely missing. Owner also has kennel run which they have partially repaired.

General Comments:

The dog Bollado scored a +25 and is therefore declared dangerous at this time

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)

(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)
SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES - 5
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES + 5 +5

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:

REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT - 3 -3.
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED - 1 =1
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION + 1 +1
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT + 5
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # 19-200701 - 1 -1
NO CURRENT LICENSE + 1
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION + 1

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):

(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)
ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE - 3
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE - 3 -3.
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <5X/YR + 1 +1
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >5X/YR + 2
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE + 2

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)

ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY + 2 +2.
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE - 2
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR + 1

TOTAL SCORE: +25

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

D DANGEROUS
NOT DANGEROUS

OFFICER # 1942 Edcelberger.

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure ,bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.

DD#2

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 724-5900
Fax: (520) 724-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org



SUSPECT Dan James Cover				ACO NAME / BADGE # C. Meek 2015		COMPLAINT NUMBER A15-170150	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS							
ZIP	CITY	STATE AZ	RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER				
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS							
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER				
SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES	HAIR COLOR	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES NO

LOCATION OF INCIDENT _____ DATE AND TIME REPORTED **04/20/15 / 0714** DATE AND TIME OCCURRED **04/20/15/ 0620**

FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURED/ILL VENTILATION ABANDONED TIEOUT BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN) bite

I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME	RESIDENCE PHONE NO	BUSINESS PHONE NO.
VICTIM'S ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY STATE AZ
VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS	ZIP	CITY STATE

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # Moseley 1227 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> TFD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER: 140420039	FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:
---	---	--	-----------------------	---	--

ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM _____

PHONE NUMBER _____

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS _____

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY 4	TREATED BY Hosp.	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED 04/21/15	PACC <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION	PART OF BODY BITTEN: arm			RELEASE DATE: 04/29/15	VET <input type="checkbox"/>
VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		HOME <input type="checkbox"/>
					FTQ <input type="checkbox"/>
					UTQ <input type="checkbox"/>

CLINIC'S ADDRESS	QUARANTINE 10 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>	FRA HEAD#
3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	CITING ACO C. Meek	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	CODE/ORD VIOLATED 6.04.030/6.04.120(B)(2)	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS 74347	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS
		REVIEWED BY 4-23-15 QTK 1911
		BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL	ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Greyhound VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Matt Dillion	Brindle		3Y				ok	A510369
Shih Tzu VICTIM <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>	Louie	Black		12Y		076490		inj	A029632
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									

WITNESS 1	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

DD#2



INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity: A15-170150

ACO C. Meek 2015

On 04/20/15 at 07:14 hours Pima Animal Care Center received a call from Pima County Sheriff's Department Deputy Moseley badge number 1227. Deputy Moseley reported to [redacted] in reference to a "man down" complaint. Deputy Moseley reported that when he arrived on scene he was able to meet with the victim and the attacking dog owner. The "man down" complaint turned out to be a leash law human and animal attack. Deputy Moseley stated he was able to meet with a Mr. Dan Cover, the foster parent for the Greyhound named Matt Dillion. Mr. Cover advised Deputy Moseley that he and his dogs were walking and passed the victim going the opposite direction, a [redacted] and her dog, a Shih Tzu named Louie. Mr. Cover stated that Matt Dillion was able to slip his collar at which point he ran after [redacted] and Louie and when he got to them he attacked both of them causing sever bites to both. Mr. Cover went on to advise Deputy Moseley that [redacted] in an effort to protect Louie fell to the fetal position at which point Matt Dillion began attacking her biting her arm. [redacted] had to be transported to University Medical Center as a result of the attack and Louie was transported to an emergency veterinarian.

On 04/21/15 at 0832 hours I Officer Meek badge number 2015 responded to [redacted] in reference to a bite complaint and to meet with the bite victim. I arrived at the address and was able to meet with both [redacted] as well as his wife, the bite victim [redacted] was in the process of taking care of her wounds upon my arrival and I asked if I could photograph them. [redacted] also had a family friend photographing her wounds upon my arrival. [redacted] gave me permission to photograph her wounds. I observed [redacted], extremely large deep lacerations and punctures to her upper arm. In addition to the wounds on [redacted] upper arm, there were equally large lacerations on her inner arm. Additionally [redacted] had abrasions on her face, which was not photographed, as well as her hand, which was photographed.

I asked [redacted] what happened that led up to her being bitten. [redacted] advised me she was out walking in the area of Shannon and Calle Lucinda on 04/20/15 at approximately 0620. [redacted] advised me she was out walking her Shih Tzu named Louie when she observed a man walking several dogs. [redacted] was able to walk by the dogs, then she heard the sounds of nails on the ground and a dog running at them from behind. [redacted] advised me everything happened so quickly, as a brindle Greyhound attacked Louie. [redacted] advised she attempted to pick up Louie to get him away from the attacking dog. [redacted] advised that during the melee she ended up on the ground in the fetal position around Louie in an attempt to protect him. [redacted] advised me that the dog was attempting to bite Louie while she was on the ground but was unable to get to him. [redacted] then stated that when the dog was unable to continue the attack on Louie the dog turned its attention on her, biting her repeatedly the arm. [redacted] became emotional at that point as she stated she felt helpless and thought she was in real peril as the dog was biting her and tugging her by the arm. [redacted] advised me that

DD#2

she was returning home and walking southbound on Shannon, by the end of the attack she was facing Northbound on Shannon.

I then asked [redacted] if Louie was ok. [redacted] advised me that Louie had to be transported to Veterinary Specialty Center's emergency room as a result of his injuries. I then asked [redacted] if she would like criminal citations issued to the dog owner. [redacted] and [redacted] both agreed that they would like citations issued along with restitution and a dangerous dog evaluation. [redacted] presented me with a receipt totaling \$1300 dollars along with an estimate for Louie's care at \$2211 dollars


[redacted] then advised me that [redacted] s outfit that she wore was outside on their picnic table if I wanted to see it. I followed Mr. Sendlak outside into the backyard. I observed several articles of clothing. All the clothes were covered in blood and a portion of them were covered in animal waste as [redacted] advised me Louie evacuated his bowels. In addition to the human blood on the shirt I observed a fair amount of Ms. Sendlak's flesh mixed in with the blood. I advised the [redacted] I wanted to go to the Vet's Office and photograph Louie and gather his records. I advised the [redacted] I would call them once I was done meeting with the vet. I provided the [redacted] my name, badge number, and case number and concluded my meeting with them.

I made my way to Southern Arizona Veterinary Clinic to meet with Louie and the attending vet. I was able to meet with Dr. Amanda Fleischman and Louie. I observed Louie to have a large laceration from his shoulder to abdomen with a large drainage tubes. In addition Louie had a large amount of bruising on his abdomen. I photographed Louie as best as possible but he appeared to be in a fair amount of pain and did not want to be manipulated. I provided Dr. Fleischman with the case number and my Pima Animal Care Center e-mail address and asked if she could forward me his records for the attack. Dr. Fleischman advised me that she would send me all that she had but there would definitely be ongoing care associated with the attack. I concluded my meeting with Dr. Fleischman and in turn called the [redacted] and advised them of Louie's condition.

After leaving the vet's office I made my way to [redacted] where the biting dog resides. I arrived at the address and was able to meet with Mr. Dan Cover and the biter dog, a Greyhound named Matt Dillion. Mr. Cover advised me that he was out walking with Matt Dillion and he was able to slip his collar by backing out of it. Mr. Cover was very aware of the complaint and advised me that he only has been in possession of Matt Dillion for a week. In addition to meeting with the Cover family I spoke with the President of the Greyhound rescue organization [redacted] advised me that she would like any contact regarding the complaint to be handled through the organizations attorney. I explained to [redacted] that I understood. I then advised [redacted] that I would be issuing criminal citations for the attack and that I would be impounding Matt Dillion to undergo a dangerous dog evaluation. [redacted] asked why I would be impounding the dog stating that she has had other instances with Pima Animal Care Center and rescued dogs and they were never impounded. I advised [redacted] that due to severity of the bite and attack Pima Animal Care Center reserved the right to quarantine the dog at the facility for public safety. [redacted] again stated that contact would need to be made with her attorney and I advised her they were more than welcome to call Pima Animal Care Center. I concluded my phone conversation with Jean. I then advised Mr. Cover that I would have to issue him citations, as he was responsible for Matt Dillion. Mr. Cover stated he understood and provided me with his Arizona Driver's License. Mr. Cover and I then loaded Matt Dillion in to the truck and I issued him the appropriate citations. I explained to Mr. Cover that with the citations he would need to appear in court and I provided him with the date. Mr. Cover stated he understood his need to appear and signed his copy of the citations. I then concluded my meeting with Mr. Cover.

DD#2

I made my way back to the residence and met with [redacted] again. I asked [redacted] if she could identify the dog that bit her. I showed her Matt Dillion. [redacted] positively identified the dog as the dog that attacked Louie and her. During that meeting with the [redacted] advised me that there were two bystanders that stopped to render aid to his wife. [redacted] provided me with Deputy Moseley's business card. I advised [redacted] that I would contact the deputy that responded to scene and get the bystanders information. I concluded my meeting with [redacted] and transported Matt Dillion to Pima Animal Care Center.



Chris Meek

04/23/15



DD#2

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 243-5900, option 3 FAX (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org 724-5900

COMPLAINT # A15-170510
OFFICER # 1926 KEIN
DATE: 4-25-15

DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

on 4-20-15 THE GREYHOUND KNOWN AS
MATT DILLON WAS IN VIOLATION OF THE
LEASH LAW WHEN HE ATTACKED A PERSON
AND HER DOG. BOTH HAD SEVERE INJURIES
THAT REQUIRE SIGNIFICANT MEDICAL
INTERVENTION. MATT DILLON IS DEEMED
DANGEROUS DUE TO THIS INCIDENT.

E. KEIN #1926
E. KEIN

OWNER: _____
ADDRESS: _____
PHONE: _____

ANIMAL NAME: MATT DILLON
ANIMAL ID#: A510369
SEX: M COLOR: BROWN BREED: GREYHOUND

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE .

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.

DD#3

INVESTIGATION REPORT
 Pima County Health Department
 Pima Animal Care Center
 4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
 Tucson, Arizona 85745
 Phone: (520) 724-5900
 Fax: (520) 724-5960
 www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT Stephen William Seal Jr.				ACO NAME / BADGE # C. Meek #2015 D. Hinte #2068 A. Kirby #2057		COMPLAINT NUMBER A15-168544	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS							
ZIP	CITY	STATE AZ	RESIDENTIAL PHONE NUMBER				
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS N/A						CI <input type="checkbox"/> CO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>	
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER				
SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES	HAIR COLOR	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN N/A

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES NO

DATE AND TIME REPORTED 03/25/15 / 0959 hrs				DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 03/25/15 / 0959 hrs					
FOOD	WATER	SHELTER	INJURED/ILL	VENTILATION	ABANDONED	TIEOUT	BEATEN	WASTE	OTHER (EXPLAIN)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME			D.O.B.	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO.
-------------------------	--	--	--------	---------------------	--------------------

I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

VICTIM'S ADDRESS				ZIP	CITY	STATE AZ
------------------	--	--	--	-----	------	--------------------

REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS N/A				ZIP	CITY	STATE
---	--	--	--	-----	------	-------

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> TFD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:
---	--	-----------------------	---	--

ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED	PACC <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION	PART OF BODY BITTEN:			RELEASE DATE:	VET <input type="checkbox"/>
					HOME <input type="checkbox"/>

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

VET CLINIC	PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	FTQ <input type="checkbox"/>	UTQ <input type="checkbox"/>
------------	--------------	---	------------------------------	------------------------------

PHONE NUMBER

QUARANTINE 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> FRA HEAD#
--	------------------------------------

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	CITING ACO A. Kirby #2057	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS
------------------	--	-------------------------------------	--	----------------------	--------------------------

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/ORD VIOLATED 6.04.120(B)(2), 6.04.120(B)(3), 6.04.030	CITATIONS/NUMBERS 74303, 74304	REVIEWED BY 4-3-15 DTK1911
--	--	--

VERBAL

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL	ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
SCHNAUZER MIN VICTIM <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>	Ziggy	Black	M/N	A		L15-245261	Current	INJ	A514564
Pit Bull VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Dixie	Brown/White	F	A		L15-226916	Current	N	A481139
Pit Bull VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Penny	Blue/White	F	A		L15-245276	Current	N	A514587
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									

WITNESS 1 Sarah Meredith	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS 12240 W. Orange Grove Rd.	RESIDENCE PHONE # 520-250-7689	BUSINESS PHONE # N/A
WITNESS 2	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #



DD#3

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-168544

ACO name & Badge: A. Kirby #2057

On 03/25/15 at approximately 1029 hours Officer Meek #2015 responded to [redacted] in reference to a leash law animal attack complaint.

He arrived at the address and was able to meet with [redacted] Ms. [redacted] advised Officer Meek that she witnessed two dogs, described as Pit Bull mixes attack her neighbor's dog and thought it was dead as a result. [redacted] th also advised that she was able to follow the dogs to the address and was able to confine one but the other ran under the front porch. Officer Meek impounded the dog [redacted] had confined. While impounding that dog, [redacted] advised him that the same dogs killed her neighbor's daschund, named Chico about a week prior to today's event. Officer Meek then set out to impound the dog under the mobile home and was successful.

After impounding the dog under the porch, the adult son of the home owner, [redacted] came outside to inquire as to what was going on. Officer Meek advised him of the situation and in turn, [redacted] advised Officer Meek that his parent's goats were killed some time back but he was unsure if it was the same dogs. [redacted] rovided me with his mother's name and telephone number Rena Webber, 520-405-9154. Officer Meek left the [redacted] and proceeded to [redacted] address at [redacted]

Once at [redacted] address she pointed out the residence where the current attack happened, which was [redacted] also pointed out the residence were Chico was attacked on Sunday and subsequently killed. [redacted] was frustrated as she advised Officer Meek that Chico's owner was blaming her dog for the attack and her landlord asked her to remove the dog from the property. Officer Meek advised [redacted] that he needed to check the [redacted] address and see the condition of the dog if still on the property. [redacted] advised Officer Meek that she was unable to make contact with an owner when she banged on the door.

Officer Meek made his way to [redacted] and knocked at the front door repeatedly but received no answer. He did observe a full size, late model, blue Ford truck in the drive. Officer Meek then walked to the rear of the residence and observed a dog kennel with three dogs in it, two Schnauzer mixes and a Shepherd mix. One Schnauzer in particular was injured. Officer Meek observed the dog to have a large flap of skin hanging from the muzzle. He was able to photograph the dog and wound inside the kennel. The dog was mobile in the kennel but when it walked it did shake its head vigorously as if in pain or discomfort. Officer Meek knocked on a back door of the residence but again received no answer. He then made my way to the [redacted]

neighbor to see if there was any contact info for the injured dog owner. Officer Meek was unsuccessful in making contact with the neighbor so he returned to the property, posted a notice of impoundment, and impounded the injured dog. The remaining dogs had all their welfare needs met and none appeared injured. Once the dog was impounded Officer Meek walked the perimeter of the kennel and could find no signs where the fight occurred, there was no blood on the ground or tissue.

After impounding the injured dog Officer Meek made contact with the treatment technician and advised them of the situation. He was advised to transport the dog to PACC for immediate care.

On 03/25/15 at approximately 17:45 hours Officer Hinte #2068, met with the owner of the Schnauzer, named Ziggy. [redacted] stated that Ziggy was in his kennel when the two loose dogs attacked, [redacted] did not request citations or restitution at this time. Officer Hinte informed [redacted] that Ziggy had sustained some serious facial wounds and would need to be transported to an emergency veterinarian immediately after redemption. Officer Hinte filled out a premise inspection noting the need for medical care and provided [redacted] a compliance date of today, 3/25/15. He stated that he would probably take Ziggy to the vet on Ina Rd and La Cholla. He signed the premise inspection and received his copy.

On 3/26/15 at approximately 1740 hours PACC Supervisor Tenkate met with the dog owner Stephen Seal Jr. when he came to redeem his two dogs at PACC.

On 03/27/15 at approximately 1500 hours Supervisor Tenkate met with the complainant, [redacted] who took his Schnauzer, Ziggy, for vet care at Ina Road Animal Clinic after being attacked by the 2 dogs owned by Stephen Seal Jr. ([redacted]). [redacted] stated he currently has \$1500 in vet bills, follow up treatments, and possibly more surgery. His fence has been damaged and will cost about \$70-\$100 to repair. [redacted] then requested citations to be issued to Mr Seal Jr for Biting animal, Destructive Animal, and leash law for both dogs for the attack that occurred on 3/25/15 at approximately 9:59 hours, at [redacted]. He is requesting restitution and a dangerous dog evaluation.

On 03/27/15 at approximately 1900 hours I Officer Kirby #2057 arrived at [redacted]. [redacted] and met with the owner of the attacking dogs, Mr. Stephen Seal Jr. I explained the deficiencies in his fence and provided advice on how to repair it such as T-Posts and Tension wires, along with possible concrete footers. I then provided Mr. Seal with a notice for a dangerous dog evaluation advising him not to sell or give the dogs away until contacted by an investigator. I then advised Mr. Seal that the victim requested citations be issued for the attack that occurred, Mr. Seal stated he understood. I then issued Mr. Seal a citation for biting animal dog on dog, destructive animal, and leash law for each of the two dogs that were involved in the attack. Mr. Seal was explained his citations, court date, time, and location stated he understood and signed the citations.

Officer's Signature:



Date: 27 MAR 15



DD#3

COMPLAINT # A15-169330
OFFICER # 1926 Klein
DATE: 4-14-15

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
~~(520) 243-5900~~, option 3 FAX ~~(520) 243-5960~~
www.pimaanimalcare.org 724-5900

DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

- An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.
- An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.
- An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

ON MARCH 25, 2015 THE PITBULL NAMED PENNY OWNED BY STEPHEN SEAL WAS WITNESSED AT LARGE ATTACKING ANOTHER DOG. THAT DOG SUFFERED SEVERE INJURIES THAT REQUIRED MULTIPLE MEDICAL TREATMENTS.

PENNY IS DEEMED DANGEROUS DUE TO THIS INCIDENT.
EKL # 1926

OWNER: STEPHEN SEAL
ADDRESS: _____
PHONE: _____

ANIMAL NAME: PENNY
ANIMAL ID#: A514587
SEX: F COLOR: BLUE BREED: PITBULL & WHITE

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE .

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.

DD#4

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, Arizona 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org



SUSPECT Codie Danielle Wintrode				ACO NAME / BADGE # Klein 1926		COMPLAINT NUMBER A14-157634	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS				BITE <input type="checkbox"/> WELFARE <input type="checkbox"/> DANGEROUS <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
7IP	CITY	STATE Az	PERMITS/PHONE NUMBER				
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS				CI <input type="checkbox"/> CO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>			
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER		DRIVERS LICENSE		
SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES	HAIR COLOR	ORIGIN	DOB	SSN

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		LOCATION OF INCIDENT		DATE AND TIME REPORTED 10-9-14 / 1735		DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 10-9-14 / 1730	
FOOD	WATER	SHELTER	INJURED/ILL	VENTILATION	ABANDONED	TIEOUT	BEATEN
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
WASTE		OTHER (EXPLAIN)					
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>					

<input type="checkbox"/> I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case	VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME		D.O.B	RESIDENCE PHONE NO.	BUSINESS PHONE NO.
<input type="checkbox"/> I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.	VICTIM'S ADDRESS		ZIP	CITY	STATE Az
<input type="checkbox"/> REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)	VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		ZIP	CITY	STATE
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)	DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER	OTHER AGENCY CASE # 141014289 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> TFD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:	FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:


<input type="checkbox"/> ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM	<input type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION	BITE SEVERITY:	TREATED BY	PHONE NUMBER	DATE QUARANTINED	PACC <input type="checkbox"/>
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM	<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION	PART OF BODY BITTEN:			RELEASE DATE:	VET <input type="checkbox"/>
PHONE NUMBER	VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER	OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		HOME <input type="checkbox"/>
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS	CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> FRA HEAD#	FTQ <input type="checkbox"/>

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE	3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	CITING ACO Klein 1926	PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER A14-156509	OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS
	CODE/ORD VIOLATED 6.04.030, 6.04.120(B)(2), 6.04.070				REVIEWED BY <i>Krist 10/9</i> <i>Krist 2012</i>
	CITATIONS/NUMBERS 71816 A,B,C,D,E				BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL	ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
G.Retriever VICTIM <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>	Samantha	Red	F	11Y		005872	current	inj	A036822
Vizsla VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Daisy	Brown	F	2Y		218516	current	ok	A468847
Am.Bulldog VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Bruiser	White	M	2Y		188058	current	ok	A413407
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									

WITNESS 1 PCSO Deputy Odell Badge 1480	M <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS 1750 E Benson Hwy	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE # 520-741-4600
WITNESS 2	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

DD#4



INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-157634

ACO name & Badge: Klein 1926

On October 9, 2014 at 1735 hours the Pima County Animal Care Center (PACC) dispatch department received a call from [redacted] of [redacted] stating his dog was attacked by 2 stray dogs. PACC then received a call from the Pima County Sheriffs Department (PCSO) stating they had a deputy on scene requesting assistance.

On October 9, 2014 at 1849 hours I, Investigator Klein arrived in the [redacted] of [redacted] and met with PCSO Deputy Odell who stated the 2 dogs residing at [redacted] escaped their confinement and attacked the dog who resides at [redacted]. Deputy Odell stated all three dogs are currently confined to their property's and the owners are aware of the incident.

We then went to [redacted] and met with [redacted] and his 11 year old Golden Retriever Samantha. [redacted] stated he was taking Samantha for her nightly walk in the [redacted] when a white dog and a brown dog came running toward them. [redacted] stating both dogs went at Samantha who was on a leash next to him. He stated the white dog is the one who bit Samantha's neck causing injury. [redacted] said when the owner came to get the dogs he told him that they had attacked his dog. [redacted] said he was not injured. I photographed the blood and puncture wounds on left side of Samantha's neck and noted that she was limping on her front left leg. I asked [redacted] if he will be taking Samantha to a veterinarian. He stated he would prefer to take her to her regular doctor in the morning but he will keep an eye on her tonight. If her pain gets worse he will take her to an emergency clinic tonight. I found that Samantha is currently vaccinated and licensed. [redacted] stated he wants citations issued to the dog owner for the incident and would also like restitution. [redacted] stated both of the dogs live at [redacted] and they have been out before.

Deputy Odell and I then went to [redacted] and met with Codie Wintrode and [redacted]. I asked if they were injured during the incident tonight and they said no. I asked if their dogs were injured and they said no. I explained that I needed to check their confinement and see all of

DD#4

their dogs.


I observed a very large yard that is confined by a secure 5 foot tall wooden fence. The entry gate was secure. I suggested they add a padlock to the gate to prevent it from being opened. I stated the garage door was open tonight and that is how the dogs escaped. He stated the sensor is faulty and when the door shut it reopened. He has removed everything that was near the sensor and stated he will be replacing it. In the meantime the garage door that leads to the confined yard will remain locked. I took photographs of the confinement.

They then allowed Deputy Odell and I into the fenced yard where we met their dogs. Bruiser is an adult male American Bulldog. He is primarily white with some tan spots. Daisy is an adult female brown Vizsla mix. Both dogs were friendly toward us. Ms. Wintrode provided their rabies certificates which show both dogs to be current. She also provided their license renewal forms which show that Daisy's license expires on October 21, 2014 and Bruiser's license expired January 2014. She stated they adopted Bruiser one year before they adopted Daisy. I asked why they were both wearing training shock collars and they said to train them not to jump on people. They both stated they were not aware that the dogs could escape the yard.

I explained that the victim dog owner requested that citations be issued for the incident tonight and he also wants his medical bills covered. Ms. Wintrode provided her Arizona drivers license as identification and stated she would accept the citations.

I issued citation 71816 A,B,C,D and E for the violations of Leash Law and Biting Animal for Daisy and Bruiser. I also issued for no current license for Bruiser. I provided Ms. Wintrode with her signed copy and explained that court appearance as well as her need to get Bruiser currently licensed prior to the court appearance.

I allowed Bruiser and Daisy to stay at the residence and explained that padlocks must be added tonight and the sensor for the garage door must be replaced as soon as possible. Ms. Wintrode stated both of the dogs will be kept inside in their crates when no one is home to watch them.

Officer's Signature: 

Date: 10.10.14

E. KLEIN #1924

DD#4



PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 743-7550 FAX (520) 743-9581
www.pimaanimalcare.org 724-5900

CASE NO: A15-169872
OWNER: CODIE WINTRADE
ANIMAL NAME: BRUISER

ADDRESS: _____
SEX: m BREED: AM. BULLDOG
COLOR: WHITE DATE: 4.16.15

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:

NON-VIOLATION BITE + 3 _____
VIOLATION-BITE + 6 /

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)

NO BREAK IN SKIN + 1 _____
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING + 2 _____
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED) + 3 _____
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT + 4 _____
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM + 4 _____
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION) + 5 _____

Animal Complaints or Violations:

LEASH LAW CITATIONS + 2 +2 +2
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS + 1 _____
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS + 2 _____
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS + 3 +3 +3
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS + 1 _____

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY + 1 _____
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER + 2 _____
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits) + 3 +3 +3
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS) + 4 _____
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH + 5 _____

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)

(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)

SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES - 5 _____
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES + 5 +5

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:

REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT - 3 -3
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED - 1 -1
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION + 1 _____
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT + 5 _____
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # 236635 - 1 -1
NO CURRENT LICENSE + 1 _____
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION + 1 _____

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):

(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)

ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE - 3 -3
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE - 3 -3
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <5X/YR + 1 _____
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >5X/YR + 2 _____
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE + 2 _____

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)

ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY + 2 _____
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE - 2 -2
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR + 1 _____

Confinement / Fencing:

THE YARD IS CONFINED BY A WOODEN FENCE AND
BLOCK WALL THAT RANGES FROM 5-6 FEET TALL.
THE GATE IS SECURE AND HAS DOUBLE LATCHES
THAT ARE PADLOCKED.

General Comments:

AFTER COMPLETING NEIGHBOR AND VICTIM
INTERVIEWS I HAVE DEEMED BRUISER
NOT DANGEROUS

E. Klein
E. Klein

OFFICER # 1926

TOTAL SCORE: + 8

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

____ DANGEROUS
* NOT DANGEROUS

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure ,bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.

DD#4



PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 743-7550 FAX (520) 743-9581
www.pimaanimalcare.org 724-5900

CASE NO: A15-169872
OWNER: CODIE WINTROBE
ANIMAL NAME: DAISY

ADDRESS: _____
SEX: F BREED: VIZSLA
COLOR: BROWN DATE: 4.16.15

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:

NON-VIOLATION BITE +3 _____
VIOLATION-BITE +6 /

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)
NO BREAK IN SKIN +1 _____
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING +2 _____
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED) +3 _____
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT +4 _____
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM +4 _____
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION) +5 _____

Animal Complaints or Violations:

LEASH LAW CITATIONS +2 +2 +2
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS +1 _____
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS +2 _____
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS +3 +3
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS +1 _____

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY +1 _____
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER +2 _____
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits) +3 +3
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS) +4 _____
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH +5 _____

Confinement / Fencing:

THE YARD IS CONFINED BY A WOODEN FENCE AND BLACK WALL THAT RANGES FROM 5-6 FEET TALL. THE GATE IS SECURE AND HAS DOUBLE LATCHES THAT ARE PADLOCKED

General Comments:

AFTER COMPLETING NEIGHBOR AND VICTIM INTERVIEWS I HAVE DEEMED DAISY NOT DANGEROUS

E. Klein
E. Klein

OFFICER # 1926

TOTAL SCORE: +2

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL


____ DANGEROUS
X NOT DANGEROUS

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure ,bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.

DD#5

INVESTIGATION REPORT Pima County Health Department Pima Animal Care Center 4000 N. Silverbell Rd. Tucson, Arizona 85745 Phone: (520) 243-5900 Fax: (520) 243-5960 www.pimaanimalcare.org		SUSPECT Paul Gordon Bennett			ACO NAME / BADGE # J. Henderson/1904		ACTIVITY/BITE NUMBER A15-169610	
		STATE Az		ZIP		RESIDENTIAL GROUP NUMBER		
		SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS			CI <input type="checkbox"/> CD <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>			
		CITY		STATE		ZIP		
		SEX		WEIGHT		HEIGHT		
		EYES		HAIR		ORIGIN		
		DOB		SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER				
DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		LOCATION OF INCIDENT			DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT 4/11/15 07:00		DATE AND TIME REPORTED 4/11/15 08:11	
		FOOD <input type="checkbox"/> WATER <input type="checkbox"/> SNACKS <input type="checkbox"/>		ABANDONED <input type="checkbox"/> TIED OUT <input type="checkbox"/>		BEATEN <input type="checkbox"/> WASTE <input type="checkbox"/>		
						INJ / ILL <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (EXPLAIN) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case		VICTIM / OWNER / INSTANT NAME			DATE OF BIRTH		BUSINESS PHONE	
<input type="checkbox"/> I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.		VICTIM'S ADDRESS			CITY		STATE Az	
							ZIP	
<input type="checkbox"/> REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)		VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS			CITY		STATE Az	
							ZIP	
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)		DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER		
						OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SHERIFF DEPT <input type="checkbox"/> TUCSON POLICE <input type="checkbox"/> FIRE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:		
						FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SD <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:		
<input type="checkbox"/> ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION		BITE SEVERITY:		TREATED BY		
		<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION		PART OF BODY BITTEN:		PHONE NUMBER		
						DATE QUARANTINED		
						RELEASE DATE:		
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM		VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER		OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		
PHONE NUMBER						PACC <input type="checkbox"/> VET <input type="checkbox"/> HOME <input type="checkbox"/>		
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS		CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE (DAYS) 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> FRA HEAD#		
		3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		CITING ACO J.Henderson/1904		PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		
						PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER		
						OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS None		
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE		CODE/DRD VIOLATED 6.04.030 / 6.04.120(B)(2)			REVIEWED BY 2002 KONST 4/19			
		CITATIONS/NUMBERS 73984 A, B			BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>			
BREED/DESCRIPTION		ANIMAL'S NAME		COLOR		SEX		
						AGE		
						LICENSE #		
						CONDITION		
						ANIMAL ID#		
St.Bern/ Retriever		Dutch		Bro/white		M		
						2		
						L15-244335		
						good		
						A512907		
Labrador/ mix		Carlos		Tan		M		
						9		
						L15-031477		
						Injured		
						A084041		
WITNESS 1		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>		DOB		ADDRESS		
						RESIDENCE PHONE #		
						BUSINESS PHONE #		
WITNESS 2		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>		DOB		ADDRESS		
						RESIDENCE PHONE #		
						BUSINESS PHONE #		
WITNESS 3		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>		DOB		ADDRESS		
						RESIDENCE PHONE #		
						BUSINESS PHONE #		
WITNESS 4		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>		DOB		ADDRESS		
						RESIDENCE PHONE #		
						BUSINESS PHONE #		

DD#5



INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A15-169610

ACD Name & Badge: J. Henderson/1904

On 04/11/15 at 17:06 hours I officer Henderson met with [redacted] and her husband [redacted] at their home located at [redacted]. [redacted] relayed to me the following story and provided me with a written copy of her statement. She stated this incident occurred on April 11 at about 7:00 am.

Early Saturday morning, April 11, 2015, I was walking my dogs (Carlos and Samantha) on leash in my neighborhood. The dog in question was in the backyard of a residence at [redacted]. The dog barked at us as we walked by. In an instant the dog broke out of the yard and attacked both my dogs. I screamed at the dog while trying to manage to keep my own dogs away from this animal. A man from the residence in question came to the fence wearing a robe and watched. I screamed for him to get his dog, multiple times and he said something about "being behind the fence". I kept screaming "get your dog". The man eventually came out of his yard in a robe and barefoot. He could not get his dog. The dog kept attacking and retreating! I picked up my smaller dog Samantha (30 pounds), whom is old and vulnerable. The attacking dog never let up, I became entangled in dog leashes and fell down. The man was never able to catch his dog. I managed to back away from the scene, through another neighbor's backyard and get back to my home.

Once home, I carefully examined both dogs. Carlos had been severely bitten and needed emergency Veterinary help. At this time Samantha appears to be ok.

The above aforementioned dog has previously attacked Samantha, this happened in 2014 and a report was filed with PACC. This dog appears to share residences. This dog is aggressive and out of control.

[redacted] brought Carlos inside the house for me to see. He had several bite wounds to his left rear hind leg as well as a couple other wounds. All his wounds had been shaved by the vet.

[redacted] also provided me with printed copies of their Vet visit and photos of Carlo's injuries

On 04/17/15 at 10:25 hours I officer Henderson contacted Paul Bennett the admitted owner of the dog that attacked Lenor Gillett's dog Carlos. I informed Mr. Bennett my reason for being at his home. I also informed him the dog owner had requested charges be brought against him for the dog on dog attack.

Mr Bennett told me he had been sitting at his computer when he heard a woman screaming. He said he went outside in his bath robe and saw this woman screaming "get your dog" as his dog Dutch was running around her. He said he immediately went over, and grabbed Dutch by the collar to control him. He said he then put Dutch in the house, and when he returned the woman was gone. He did not know her name, or where she lived. Mr. Bennett said the next day he saw Dutch standing on top of a wood pile that was next to the fence. He searched his yard to look for the area where Dutch may have gotten out, but did not find any. He concluded Dutch was possibly getting on the wood pile, and jumping over the fence. Mr Bennett said he then moved the wood pile away from the fence.

I was able to issue the citations without incident. Mr Bennett was cooperative, he signed the citations and received his copy with court date and time.

Officer's Signature: *John Henderson*

Date: *4/19/15*

DD#5



PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.gov/animalcare

CASE NO: A15-170225
OWNER: PAUL BENNET
ANIMAL NAME: DUTCH

ADDRESS: _____
SEX: M BREED: ST. BERNARD MIX
COLOR: BROWN + WHIT DATE: 4-25-15

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:

NON-VIOLATION BITE +3 /
VIOLATION-BITE +6 /

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)

NO BREAK IN SKIN +1 /
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING +2 /
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED) +3 /
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT +4 /
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM +4 /
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION) +5 /

Animal Complaints or Violations:

LEASH LAW CITATIONS +2 +2
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS +1 +1
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS +2 _____
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS +3 +3
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS +1 _____

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY +1 _____
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER +2 +2 - SAMANTHA
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits) +3 +3 - CARLOS
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS) +4 _____
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH +5 _____

Confinement / Fencing:

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)

(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)

SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES -5 _____
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES +5 +5

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:

REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT -3 -3
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED -1 -1
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION +1 _____
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT +5 _____
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # 244335 -1 -1
NO CURRENT LICENSE +1 _____
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION +1 _____

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):

(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)

ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE -3 -3
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE -3 -3
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <5X/YR +1 _____
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >6X/YR +2 _____
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE +2 _____

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)

ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY +2 _____
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE -2 -2
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR +1 _____

General Comments:

THE PROPERTY IS CONFINED BY WROUGHT IRON SECTIONS THAT ARE CONNECTED BY CEMENT PILARS. IT RANGES FROM 5 1/2 FEET TO 8 FEET TALL. THE CONFINEMENT IS SECURE AND THE

GATES ARE PADLOCKED.

I INTERVIEWED NEIGHBORS AT DUTCH'S CURRENT AND PREVIOUS RESIDENCE. NO ONE HAS SEEN DUTCH AT LARGE OR BEHAVE AGGRESSIVELY. DUTCH IS NOT DEEMED DANGEROUS AT THIS TIME

E. K. [Signature] OFFICER # 1926

TOTAL SCORE: +3

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

 DANGEROUS
X NOT DANGEROUS

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.

DD#6

INVESTIGATION REPORT Pima County Health Department Pima Animal Care Center 4000 N. Silverbell Rd Tucson, Arizona 85745 Phone: (520) 243-5900 Fax: (520) 243-5960 www.pimaanimalcare.org		SUSPECT ELMER BARRIENTOS DOMINGUEZ			ACO NAME / BADGE # K.WALTON #1925		ACTIVITY/BITE NUMBER A14-162104		
DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT 12-16-14 1000AM			DATE AND TIME REPORTED 12-16-14 1640PM				
<input type="checkbox"/> I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case		FOOD <input type="checkbox"/> WATER <input type="checkbox"/> SHELTER <input type="checkbox"/>			VENTILATION <input type="checkbox"/>		ABANDONED <input type="checkbox"/>		
<input type="checkbox"/> I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.		TIED OUT <input type="checkbox"/>			BEATEN <input type="checkbox"/>		WASTE <input type="checkbox"/>		
<input type="checkbox"/> REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)		INJ / ILL <input type="checkbox"/>			OTHER (EXPLAIN) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)		DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER		OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SHERIFF DEPT <input type="checkbox"/> TUCSON POLICE <input type="checkbox"/> FIRE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:	
<input type="checkbox"/> ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION		BITE SEVERITY:		TREATED BY		PHONE NUMBER	
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM		<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION		PART OF BODY BITTEN:		DATE QUARANTINED		PACC <input type="checkbox"/>	
PHONE NUMBER		VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER		OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		RELEASE DATE:	
LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS		CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE (DAYS) 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> FRA HEAD#		FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:	
VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE		3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		CITING ACO #1925		PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER	
VICTIM'S ADDRESS		CODE/ORD VIOLATED 6.04.120(B)(2), 6.04.030, 0.04.070, 11.1010AARS		CITATIONS/NUMBERS 73541,		OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS			
BREED/DESCRIPTION		ANIMAL'S NAME		COLOR		SEX		AGE	
PIT BULL		TOBY		BLK/WHT		M		3 1/2 Y	
PIT BULL		OSCAR		BLK/WHT		M		3 1/2 Y	
VICTIM OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
WITNESS 1		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>		DOB		ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #	
WITNESS 2		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>		DOB		ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #	
WITNESS 3		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>		DOB		ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #	
WITNESS 4		M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>		DOB		ADDRESS		RESIDENCE PHONE #	

REVIEWED BY *2002*
KONST 7/21
BOND
YES NO

DD #6



INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: K. WALTON #1925

ACD Name & Badge: A14-162104

On April 20th 2015, I, Officer K. Walton #1925, arrived at [redacted] and met with complainant regarding a leash law incident involving a large black/white Pit bull that occurred on December 16th 2014.

[redacted] advised me that on the day of the incident at approximately 10:00am, he was riding his motorcycle in the [redacted] :k W. San Paulus. As he drove past [redacted] he observed a large black/white Pit bull come running out of the open gate and charged at him. [redacted] was going to accelerate to get away from the dog, but he didn't want to hurt it, so he slowed down and tried to go around it, but the dog kept charging at him and he almost lost control off the bike. A female came out of the residence and retrieved the dog and put the dog in the yard and closed the gate. [redacted] came back around to pick up his hat in the street, when the dog jumped the fence and charged after him again. At this time he had to use the bike to block the dog from biting him. The female came back out and retrieved the dog again, the dog almost got away for the 3rd time, but she was able to get control of the dog and put it inside the home. He advised me he was yelling at the female and a short conversation took place. He got back on his bike and left the area. When his wife came home she was advised of the incident and at 4:40pm that night, they called to report the incident with Pima Animal Care.

On April 20th 2015, [redacted] showed me 2 photos on his new camera which showed 2 black/white dogs roaming at large on [redacted]. The 2 dogs live at [redacted], and 1 of the dogs was the dog in his complaint. These photos were taken on December 18th approximately 1300 hours. He requested citations to be issued on the big black/white Pit bull for attempted bite and leash law on the December 16th 2014 incident. He felt very threatened by this dogs behavior and requested a dangerous dog evaluation. He feels the owners are negligent with the dogs, and fears for the children at the bustop that is on the corner of Hildreth and San Paulus.

As I arrived at the dog owners, I was stopped by a female who owns the house at [redacted] and she stated she has made complaints regarding these dogs. I checked the records and her address comes up, but it does not appear to be about these dogs. There is a record in 2013 that we sent a leash law letter to the dog owners address. I observed both dogs in the yard of owners address and took photos of the dogs and the front of the property. The confinement in front was a block wall, one section about 2-3 ft high, the other section was about 3-4 ft high if that. I observed the piece of board that the complainant stated the owner put up to stop the dog from jumping, it was in the 2-3 ft section. Their was a rolling metal gate, and the walk in gate was wrought iron. In my opinion the confinement was not adequate to keep the dogs contained.

As I was about to impound the dogs, a young male drove up and I was able to speak with him. He stated he was 19 yrs of age and he resided at this address and the dogs belonged to his father, but then later that he owned the dogs. I advised him of the complaint and he requested to speak with my Supervisor. I let him talk with Supervisor Konst who also advised him of the complaint. After all the info was given to him, his Spanish speaking father arrived and was given the information. I gave him the option of signing over the dogs to Animal Care with citations, or going through with the dangerous dog evaluation. He did not want to relinquish the dogs, so I impounded the bigger Pit bull named Toby for the DD hold and gave him the DD notice. I issued him citations for attempted bite, leash law, no license and no rabies vaccination for Toby. I issued citations for no license no rabies vaccination for the other dog known as Oscar. I verified the dog owner to be Elmer Dominguez by his California Drivers License. After returning back to the office I found proof that the dog Oscar was currently vaccinated but not licensed under a different owner which would be dog owners ex-girlfriend, so I voided out the rabies vaccination cite. 1925

Officer's Signature: *K. Walton 1925*

Date: *4-21-15*

DD#6



PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 724-5900 FAX (520) 724-5960
www.pima.gov/animalcare

CASE NO: A15-170253
OWNER: Elmer Dominguez
ANIMAL NAME: Toby

ADDRESS: _____
SEX: M BREED: Pitbull
COLOR: white/black DATE: 4-26-15

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:

NON-VIOLATION BITE + 3 _____
VIOLATION-BITE + 6 _____

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)

NO BREAK IN SKIN + 1 _____
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING + 2 _____
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED) + 3 _____
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT + 4 _____
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM + 4 _____
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION) + 5 _____

Animal Complaints or Violations:

LEASH LAW CITATIONS + 2 +2
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS + 1 +1
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS + 2 +2
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS + 3 +3
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS + 1 _____

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY + 1 _____
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER + 2 _____
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits) + 3 _____
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS) + 4 _____
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH + 5 _____

Confinement / Fencing:

Dog owner has a block wall in front approximately 3 feet tall with two gates. The remaining walls are approximately 6 feet tall.

General Comments:

The dog "Toby" scored a +16 and is therefore declared dangerous at this time.

OFFICER # 1942 Edelberger

TOTAL SCORE: +16

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

DANGEROUS
 NOT DANGEROUS

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.

DD# 7

INVESTIGATION REPORT


 Pima County Health Department
 Pima Animal Care Center
 4000 N Silverbell Rd
 Tucson, Arizona 85745
 Phone: (520) 243-5900
 Fax: (520) 243-5960
 www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT Leroy Earl Garnett Jr				ACO NAME / BADGE # X. Delgadillo #2047		COMPLAINT NUMBER A15-168408	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS							
ZIP	CITY	STATE AZ	RESIDENTIAL PHONE NUMBER				
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS						BITE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WELFARE <input type="checkbox"/> DANGEROUS <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS						CODE IF OTHER :	
ZIP	CITY	STATE	BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER				
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS						CI <input type="checkbox"/> CO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>	
SEX	WEIGHT	HEIGHT	EYES	HAIR COLOR	ORIGIN	DRIVERS LICENSE	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS						DOB	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS						SSN	

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		INDICATION OF INCIDENT		DATE AND TIME REPORTED 03/23/15 / 11:37		DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 03/23/15 / 10:14	
<input type="checkbox"/> I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case		FOOD <input type="checkbox"/> WATER <input type="checkbox"/> SHELTER <input type="checkbox"/> INJURED/ILL <input type="checkbox"/> VENTILATION <input type="checkbox"/> ABANDONED <input type="checkbox"/> TIEOUT <input type="checkbox"/> BEATEN <input type="checkbox"/> WASTE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (EXPLAIN) <input type="checkbox"/>					

<input type="checkbox"/> I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.		VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME		D.O.B		RESIDENCE PHONE NO		BUSINESS PHONE NO.	
<input type="checkbox"/> REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)		VICTIM'S ADDRESS		7IP		CITY		STATE AZ	
NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)		VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS		ZIP		CITY		STATE	

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER		OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> TFD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:		FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:	
---	--	--	--	-----------------------	--	---	--	--	--

<input type="checkbox"/> ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION		BITE SEVERITY: 2		TREATED BY		PHONE NUMBER		DATE QUARANTINED		PACC <input type="checkbox"/>	
RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM		<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION		PART OF BODY BITTEN: right pointer finger and left middle finger						RELEASE DATE:		VET <input type="checkbox"/>	
PHONE NUMBER		VET CLINIC		PHONE NUMBER		OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>						HOME <input type="checkbox"/>	
												FTQ <input type="checkbox"/>	
												UTQ <input type="checkbox"/>	

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS		CLINIC'S ADDRESS		QUARANTINE 10 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> FRA HEAD#			
3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		CITING ACO X. Delgadillo #2047		PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER		OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS	

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE		CODE/ORD VIOLATED 6.04.30, 6.04.120(B) (2), 11-1010(ARS)		REVIEWED BY 4-1-15 DTK/1911	
		CITATIONS/NUMBERS 74071, 74072, 74073		BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL	ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
German Shepherd Mix VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Dixon	Brown	M	A		Cited	Cited		514743
Pit Bull Mix VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Duke	White/Black	M	A		Cited	Cited		514740
Pit Bull Mix VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Puppy	White/Tan	F	A		Cited	Cited		514741
Chow Mix VICTIM OWNER <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Basia	Brown	M	A		Cited	Cited		514742

WITNESS 3	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

DD#7

INVESTIGATION REPORT



Activity Number: A15-168408

ACO name & Badge: X. Delgadillo #2047

03/24/15 18:05 I, Officer Delgadillo #2047 Arrived at this is the dog owner's residence; I met with _____ and his landlord. _____ stated that on March 23, 2015 at approximately 10:14 hrs, he was outside with his Chihuahua Buster on a leash and his Pit-Bull, when three dogs (black/white Pit-Bull, brown/white Pit-Bull and a brown dog) came onto his property. He stated that the brown dog attacked his Chihuahua and he was bitten on his right middle finger and left index finger while attempting to take his dog away from the attacking dog's mouth. He stated that the dogs belong to "Red" at _____ said a friend of the dog owner came and took the dogs back. _____ stated that he took his dog to Valley Animal of Sahuarita where the dog was euthanized due to its injuries. _____ stated that his dogs back was broken and his lungs collapsed. _____ was advised of third party citations and he is requesting the citations be issued for leash law and biting animal; also is requesting restitution for the vet costs of \$272.50.

At approximately 18:29 I arrived at _____ and met with the dog owner, Leroy Earl Garnett Jr. I advised Mr. Garnett of the purpose of my visit and he stated that he was aware of the incident with the neighbor. I asked Mr. Garnett where the attacking dog was and he stated that the dog has not been seen since yesterday after the incident, the dog ran way. I asked to see his other dogs and he led me to the back yard. I advised Mr. Garnett that the bite victim is requesting restitution for the vet cost and he stated that he would voluntarily pay the requested restitution.

I observed a white and black Pit-Bull, a white and tan Pit-Bull and a red Chow. I asked Mr. Garnett what type of dog the attacking dog was and he stated that Dixon was a German Shepherd Mix. I asked him if someone was holding the dog and he stated that the dog ran off and he cannot find it. I asked for license and rabies vaccination documentation for the three dogs on his property. He stated that he could not find the documents but he obtained them through the Pima County Animal Shelter. I reviewed chameleon and could not locate any dogs licensed to Mr. Garnett.

DD#7

Mr. Garnett was cited into Pima County Court for the following violations:

Leash law; biting animal on animal; biting animal human; no license and no rabies vaccination for Dixon a brown German Shepherd.


Leash Law; no license and no rabies vaccination for Duke, a white and black Pit-Bull.

Leash Law; no license and no rabies vaccination for Puppy, a white and tan Pit-Bull.

No license and no rabies vaccination for Basia, a red Chow mix.

Mr. Garnett signed his citations and received a copy. He was advised of his court date and time and the new court location.

Officer's Signature:



Date:

4/1/15



DD#7

PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 243-5900, option 3 FAX (520) 243-5960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

COMPLAINT # A15-169046
OFFICER # A12 Eckelbarger
DATE: 4-2-15

DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

- An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.
- An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.
- An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

The dog "Dixon" is declared dangerous as a result of attacking another dog and biting the victim dog's owner while in violation of the leash law.
The victim dog's injuries were so severe the dog needed to be euthanized.

OWNER: <u>Leroy Garrett, Jr.</u>	ANIMAL NAME: <u>Dixon</u>
ADDRESS: _____	ANIMAL ID#: <u>A514743</u>
PHONE: _____	SEX: <u>M</u> COLOR: <u>Bro</u> BREED: <u>G. Shep</u>

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE .

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.

DD# 8

INVESTIGATION REPORT

Pima County Health Department
 Pima Animal Care Center
 4000 N. Silverbell Rd
 Tucson, Arizona 85745
 Phone: (520) 724-5900
 Fax: (520) 724-5960
 www.pimaanimalcare.org

SUSPECT Richard Reed				ACO NAME / BADGE # C. Meek 2015		COMPLAINT NUMBER A15-168739	
SUSPECT'S ADDRESS							
710		CITY		STATE AZ		DECORATIVE HOME NUMBER	
SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS							
ZIP		CITY		STATE		BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER	
KEY		WEIGHT		HEIGHT		EYES	
HAIR COLOR		ORIGIN		DOB		SSN	

DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES NO

LOCATION OF INCIDENT				DATE AND TIME REPORTED 03/28/15 / 0925		DATE AND TIME OCCURRED 03/27/15/ 2215	
FOOD		WATER		SHELTER		INJURED/ILL	
VENTILATION		ABANDONED		TIEOUT		BEATEN	
WASTE		OTHER (EXPLAIN)					

I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case

VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME				RESIDENCE PHONE NO 520-834-9949		BUSINESS PHONE NO.	
-------------------------	--	--	--	---	--	--------------------	--

I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.

VICTIM'S ADDRESS				710		CITY		STATE AZ	
------------------	--	--	--	-----	--	------	--	--------------------	--

REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 13-4405 (B) and § 8-286 (B)

VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS				ZIP		CITY		STATE	
---------------------------	--	--	--	-----	--	------	--	-------	--

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER		OTHER AGENCY CASE # <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> TFD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:		FOLLOW UP REQUEST <input type="checkbox"/> SO <input type="checkbox"/> TPD <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:	
---	--	--	--	-----------------------	--	---	--	--	--

ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VIOLATION		BITE SEVERITY 3		TREATED BY		PHONE NUMBER		DATE QUARANTINED 04/02/15		PACC <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/> NON-VIOLATION		PART OF BODY BITTEN: hand						RELEASE DATE: 04/05/15		VET <input type="checkbox"/>	

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

VET CLINIC				PHONE NUMBER		OWNER KNOWS OF BITE YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		HOME <input type="checkbox"/>		FTQ <input type="checkbox"/>	
								UTQ <input type="checkbox"/>			

PHONE NUMBER

CLINIC'S ADDRESS				QUARANTINE 10 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 15 <input type="checkbox"/> 45 <input type="checkbox"/> 180 <input type="checkbox"/>		FRA HEAD# <input type="checkbox"/>					
------------------	--	--	--	---	--	------------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

3RD PARTY CITATIONS YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		CITING ACO C. Meek 2015		PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER		OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS			
--	--	-----------------------------------	--	---	--	----------------------	--	--------------------------	--	--	--

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE/DRO VIOLATED 6.04.030, 6.04.120(B)(2), 6.04.070				REVIEWED BY 2002 KONST 4-6		BOND YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					
--	--	--	--	-----------------------------------	--	---	--	--	--	--	--

CITATIONS/NUMBERS 74326											
-----------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL	ANIMAL'S NAME	COLOR	SEX	AGE	TAG COLOR	LICENSE #	VX CERTIFICATE #	COND	ANIMAL ID#
Pit Bull-X VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Diesel	Blk/Wht	F	2Y		cited		ok	A438658
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									
VICTIM <input type="checkbox"/> OWNER <input type="checkbox"/>									

WITNESS 1	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 2	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 3	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #
WITNESS 4	M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/>	DOB	ADDRESS	RESIDENCE PHONE #	BUSINESS PHONE #

DD#8



INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity: A15-168739

ACO C. Meek 2015 / M. Eckelbarger 1942

On 03/28/15 09:25 hours Pima Animal Care Center received a complaint regarding a bite. The complainant stated the black female Pit Bull jumped into her yard last night 03/27/15 and started to attack her dog and she was bitten in the process. The victim stated she received medical treatment as a result of the bite and that the dog jumping the fence is an on-going issue. The dispatcher noted another complaint number alleging leash law violations.

On 4-1-15 at 1150 hours, Investigator Eckelbarger #1942 responded to the bite victim's address at [redacted] where he met with the bite victim's husband. He then called his wife, [redacted], who advised that on 3-27-15 at approximately 2215 hours the neighbors black pit bull mix named Diesel jumped their shared fence and attacked their Labrador mix named Harmony. [redacted] stated that while trying to get her dog to safety in the house, the Pit-Bull bit her right hand causing multiple punctures. [redacted] stated that the neighbor's pit bull "Diesel" has repeatedly jumped their fence and got into fights with their dog. [redacted] stated the dog sometimes jumps the back fence and gets onto school property as well. Investigator Eckelbarger stated he did not observe any injuries to Harmony and advised [redacted] to e-mail photographs of her bite wounds.

[redacted] requested citations and dangerous dog evaluation on attacking dog. Investigator Eckelbarger then responded to attacking dog owner's address at [redacted] where he received no answer at door and then posted notice. Investigator Eckelbarger stated he heard two dogs barking inside home and took photographs of the victim's fence line showing where Diesel jumped over.


On 04/02/15 at 0903 hours I Officer Meek # 2015 responded to [redacted] in reference to a bite complaint and to issue citations to the biting dog owner requested by the victim.

I arrived at the address and was able to meet with Mr. Richard Reed, the dog owner and Diesel, the biting dog. I advised Mr. Reed of the complaint which he was familiar with. I advised Mr. Reed that I would be issuing him citations for the bite that occurred on 03/27/15. Mr. Reed stated he understood and provided me with his Arizona Driver's License. I asked Mr. Reed if Diesel had a current rabies vaccination. Mr. Reed advised me that he was fairly positive Diesel had a current vaccination. Mr. Reed provided me with paperwork from Bannfield vet. I was able to make contact with Bannfield and was able to find that Diesel was currently vaccinated for rabies with an expiration date of 05/19/17.

DD# 8

I advised Mr. Reed that in addition to the citations I would need to impound Diesel for the remainder of the quarantine which ends on 04/05/15. I issued the appropriate citations and advised him that with the citations he would need to appear in court and I provided him with the date. Mr. Reed stated he understood his need to appear and signed his copy of the citations. After issuing the citations I impounded Diesel.

Officer's Signature:

A handwritten signature consisting of several overlapping, horizontal, slightly wavy lines.

Date: 4/6/2015

DD#8



PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD. TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 743-7550 FAX (520) 743-9581
www.pimaanimalcare.org

CASE NO: A15-169326
OWNER: Danfelle Noden / Richard Reed
ANIMAL NAME: Diesel

ADDRESS: _____
SEX: 5 BREED: Pitbull mix
COLOR: Black/white DATE: 4-9-15

EVALUATION CRITERIA

REPORTED BITES:

NON-VIOLATION BITE + 3
VIOLATION-BITE + 6 +6

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO HUMANS:

(Check One Factor Only Per Victim)

NO BREAK IN SKIN + 1
BREAK IN SKIN OR BRUISING + 2
MEDICAL CARE (RELEASED) + 3 +3
MULTIPLE BITES-SINGLE INCIDENT + 4
BIT DOWN AND SHOOK VICTIM + 4
MEDICAL CARE (HOSPITALIZATION) + 5

Animal Complaints or Violations:

LEASH LAW CITATIONS + 2 +2
LEASH LAW COMPLAINTS + 1 +1+1
ATTEMPTED BITE CITATIONS + 2
ANIMAL ATTACK CITATIONS + 3
OTHER CITATIONS / OR COMPLAINTS + 1

SEVERITY OF INJURY TO ANIMALS:

ATTACK WITH NO INJURY + 1
INJURIES TREATED BY OWNER + 2
VET CARE (1 To 2 Visits) + 3
EXTENSIVE VET CARE (>2 VISITS) + 4
INJURIES RESULTED IN DEATH + 5

Confinement / Fencing:

The backyard consists of a standard wooden fence approx 5 feet tall. The back fence is chain links approx 5 feet tall (stained w/ blue / green)

General Comments:

The dog "Diesel" scored a +3 and is therefore not declared dangerous at this time.

CONFINEMENT MEASURES: (Check one factor only)

(Primary Method of Confinement at the time of the incident)
SECURE FENCE/WALL AND GATES - 5
INADEQUATE FENCING OR GATES + 5 +5

OWNER ACCOUNTABILITY / RESPONSIBILITY:

REPAIRED DEFICIENT CONFINEMENT - 3
ANIMAL IS NEUTERED / SPAYED - 1 -1
OWNER AWARE OF ANY AGGRESSION + 1
OWNER FAILED TO REPAIR CONFINEMENT + 5
CURRENTLY LICENSED LIC # 15-208323 - 1 -1
NO CURRENT LICENSE + 1
NO CURRENT RABIES VACCINATION + 1

NEIGHBOR COMMENTS (Scored by Majority Opinion):

(Two or More Neighbors Interviewed)
ANIMAL NEVER OBSERVED AT LARGE - 3 -3
ANIMAL NOT OBSERVED AGGRESSIVE - 3 -3
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE <5X/YR + 1
ANIMAL OBSERVED AT LARGE >5X/YR + 2
ANIMAL OBSERVED BEING AGGRESSIVE + 2

DOGS BEHAVIOR: (If Observed by Officer)

ANIMAL BEHAVES AGGRESSIVELY + 2
ANIMAL NOT AGGRESSIVE - 2 -2
ANIMAL SHOWS UNSAFE BEHAVIOR + 1

TOTAL SCORE: +8

DANGEROUS
 NOT DANGEROUS

A SCORE OF TEN POINTS OR HIGHER SHALL BE DEEMED A DANGEROUS ANIMAL

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare its teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.

OFFICER # 1942 Eckelbarger

OK
2015 2 4/12

**Pima Animal Care Center
Animals on Hold Report**

Animals listed are currently listed as
being on hold without an outcome date.
They are grouped by the type of hold

kennel_no

HOLD TYPE ENFORCEMEN

Number on Hold **2**

A12-102940

K14-175847 A247678 DOG SATIVA ROTTWEILER/
11/6/14 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN AGGRESSIVE Activity:A12-102940

Kennel Comment: chip 494D4C3F3D
DO NOT RELEASE!
Bond hold.1926 SAFE LOCK
KCS 4/13/15

D122

R

02/17/2015 ENFORCEM JCHAVEZ 2/17/15 16:09
2-17-15

Per the county attorney:

We finally obtained a copy of the justice court order that although it was signed by the judge on January 12th, it wasn't scanned into the system until January 23rd and was never sent to Mr. Westfall. Because Mr. Westfall never received a copy of the order, there was no way for him to know about or calculate the appeal deadline, so in an abundance of caution, our office is mailing a copy of the scanned order to Mr. Westfall today and are calendaring an additional 14 days for him to appeal the order. So, please don't take any further action regarding Sativa until we get back to you.

1914

12/17/2014 ENFORCEM JCHAVEZ 12/17/14 17:42
12-16-14 OSC hearing scheduled for 1-2-15. 1914

11/17/2014 DTENKATE 11/17/14 13:35
11/16/14 The dog owner signed and received a copy of the Bond form and has until 11/26/14 7pm to post the bond amount of \$675.00. (for an Order to Show Cause Hearing)

If the bond amount is not paid by 7pm on 11/26/14 the Rottweiler A247678 named Sativa will be forfeited to PACC. 1911

11/06/2014 ENFORCEM EKLEIN 11/6/14 20:29
11-6-14, Do not release Sativa. Owner must meet with enforcement.1926

11/10/2014 ENFORCEM JCHAVEZ 11/10/14 10:14

If Mr Westfall comes to redeem Sativa

- (1)serve the premise inspection ordering a wellness exam be done on Patches by a licensed veterinarian to ensure she was not injured on November 3rd,2014. PACC will not be taking possession of her unless it is ordered by a judge because pacc has not received reports of patches displaying any aggression.
- (2)Serve the Bond on Sativa.And explain to Mr Westfall that he MUST post all of the bond amount to PACC within 10 days. Not 10 business days but 10 straight days as pacc is open 7 days a week.
- (3) issue the following citations regarding Sativa:70757.A,B,C,D,E DD at large,Preventing inspection of a DD,Failure To comply ,No Insurance ,No license and 70758 A,B,C no rabies vaccination,DD attack (attempt on the animals) ,DD attack (Attempt on a human)
- (4) issue the following citations regarding Patches : 70759 A,B,C Leash Law, no License and No Rabies vaccination.

All of the documents are in a folder in my investigator box.

Once Mr Westfall has been served and the citations have been issued a copy of everything needs to be sent to Paula Perrera and Barbara Burstein. They are aware that Sativa is currently at PACC. 1926

11-10-14 The dog owner Mr. Westfall called the center to inquire about his dog being released . I advised him of the above pending actions and advised him he needed to come into PACC and meet with an investigator or supervisor either today before 7pm or on wednesday 11-12-14 before 7pm. 1914

01/08/2015 ENFORCEM JCHAVEZ 1/8/15 13:00
1-8-15

The OSC hearing was held the Judge took it under advisement and a decision is pending. 1914

03/05/2015 ENFORCEM JCHAVEZ 3/5/15 11:25
3-5-15

Accordin to PCAO the owner has put in an appeal to superior court the dog will be on hold until further notice. 1914

02/06/2015 ENFORCEM JCHAVEZ 2/6/15 10:03
2-6-15

The Court has ordered the animal forfeited to PACC on January 12. Now the owner has the right file an appeal to the Superior Courts. The owner has until 2-9-15 to file, until then the animal will be on hold. 1914

12/11/2014 ENFORCEM JCHAVEZ 12/11/14 10:35
12-4-14 The bond was paid on 11-26-14. The dog will be held further until the Order to Show Cause hearing is set up and conducted. 1914

A15-170920

K15-188622 A518610 DOG YORKSHIRE TERR/MIX

5/2/15 CONFISCATE FIELD OWN NORMAL

Activity:A15-170920

kennel no

Kennel Comment: no chip. 2021rt
3c 3c 3c 3c 3c 3c. 2021rt

D221

R

PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
ADVISORY COMMITTEE
APRIL 2015 OPERATIONAL REPORT

	THIS MONTH			THIS YEAR TO DATE			LAST YEAR TO DATE			YEAR TO YEAR	
	TUCSON	COUNTY	TOTAL	TUCSON	COUNTY	TOTAL	TUCSON	COUNTY	TOTAL	DELTA	%+/-
SHELTER OPERATIONS											
ALL ANIMALS HANDLED											
DOGS	646	669	1,315	6,670	6,078	12,748	6,799	5,964	12,763		
CATS	276	125	401	3,205	2,023	5,228	3,988	2,537	6,525		
OTHERS	22	38	60	248	456	704	238	383	621		
TOTAL ANIMALS HANDLED	944	832	1,776	10,123	8,557	18,680	11,025	8,884	19,909	-1229	-6%
Live Animals Handled	784	733	1,517	8,474	7,352	15,826	9,797	7,976	17,773	-1947	-11%
IMPOUNDED ANIMALS											
ADOPTED											
DOGS	256	330	586	2,418	2,413	4,831	2,323	2,013	4,336		
CATS	119	57	176	1,464	1,023	2,487	915	720	1,635		
OTHER	0	1	1	7	16	23	33	14	47		
TOTAL ADOPTED	375	388	763	3,889	3,452	7,341	3,271	2,747	6,018	1323	22%
RETURNED TO OWNER											
DOGS	83	84	167	890	681	1,571	701	551	1,252		
CATS	6	4	10	40	50	90	59	54	113		
OTHER	0	9	9	13	16	29	7	13	20		
TOTAL RETURNED	89	97	186	943	747	1,690	767	618	1,385	305	22%
RESCUED											
DOGS	197	274	471	938	1,089	2,027	1,015	1,159	2,174		
CATS	84	47	131	763	488	1,251	941	607	1,548		
OTHER	0	13	13	13	44	57	52	39	91		
TOTAL RESCUED	281	334	615	1,714	1,621	3,335	2,008	1,805	3,813	-478	-13%
*TOTAL LIVE RELEASES	745	819	1,564	6,546	5,820	12,366	6,046	5,170	11,216	1150	10%
**TOTAL LIVE RELEASE RATE	87%	92%	89%	83%	84%	84%			76%		
EUTHANIZED											
DOGS	126	103	229	1,460	1,262	2,722	1,644	1,449	3,093		
CATS	19	1	20	299	210	509	1,311	875	2,186		
OTHER	5	4	9	59	72	131	33	69	102		
TOTAL EUTHANIZED	150	108	258	1,818	1,544	3,362	2988	2393	5381	-2019	-38%
(-)Owner Requested Euthanasia	36	38	74	489	467	956			1755		
Adjusted Total Euthanasia	114	70	184	1,329	1,077	2,406			3,626		
***EUTHANASIA RATE	13%	8%	11%	17%	16%	16%			24%		
OTHER	181	103	284	2,054	1,478	3,532	1,424	1,053	2,477	1055	43%
ENFORCEMENT OPERATIONS											
Welfare Responses	243	158	401	2138	1118	3256	2043	941	2984	272	9%
ENFORCEMENT CALLS FOR SERVICE	1,324	1,097	2,421	14,264	9,473	23,737	14,753	9,315	24,068	-331	-1%
LICENSING OPERATIONS											
ALTERED	4,158	5,700	9,858	34,041	44,650	78,691	35,692	46,002	81,694		
UNALTERED	242	319	561	2,008	2,500	4,508	2,441	3,274	5,715		
OTHER	83	112	195	678	942	1,620	704	965	1,669		
TOTAL SOLD	4,483	6,131	10,614	36,727	48,092	84,819	38,837	50,241	89,078	-4,259	-5%

*Total Live Releases(TLR)=Total Adopted+Total Returned+Total Rescued

**Live Release Rate=TLR/(TLR+Adjusted Total Euthanasia)

***Euthanasia Rate=(Adjusted Total Euthanasia)/(TLR+Adjusted Total Euthanasia)



COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR'S OFFICE

PIMA COUNTY GOVERNMENTAL CENTER
130 W. CONGRESS, FLOOR 10, TUCSON, AZ 85701-1317
(520) 724-8661 FAX (520) 724-8171

C.H. HUCKELBERRY
County Administrator

April 22, 2015

Jack Neuman, Chairman
Pima County Animal Care Advisory Committee
4000 N. Silverbell Road
Tucson, Arizona 85745

Re: Your September 26, 2014 Letter Regarding the Addition of Four Additional Pima Animal Care Center Field Officers in the Fiscal Year 2015/2016 Budget

Dear Mr. Neuman:

On April 16, 2015, I attended the regular meeting of the appointed leadership of the jurisdictions within Pima County that have Intergovernmental Agreements (IGAs) with Pima County. During that meeting, the Pima Animal Care Advisory Committee's (PACCAC) request for four additional field enforcement officers was discussed. The jurisdictions, which pay proportionally for these services, shared their concerns over existing animal services expenses and did not support the addition of four field officers in the next fiscal year.

Additionally, effective July 1, 2015, the Governor and State Legislature will transfer approximately \$23 million in State expenses to Pima County. This unbudgeted cost shift from the State to the County has required the County to take significant cost savings steps to minimize impacts to existing services. In addition to a temporary hiring freeze, I have directed County departments to plan for a two percent budget reduction for the next fiscal year.

Therefore, I will not approve the PACCAC requested staff increase at this time. The County remains committed to PACC at its current level of service and will continue to pursue all options to maintain those services.

Mr. Neuman

Re: **Your September 26, 2014 Letter Regarding the Addition of Four Additional Pima Animal Care Center Field Officers in the Fiscal Year 2015/2016 Budget**

April 22, 2015

Page 2

I have, however, approved the hiring action for the vacant veterinary position to proceed without delay.

Sincerely,



C.H. Huckelberry
County Administrator

CHH/mjk

Attachment

- c: **The Honorable Chair and Members, Pima County Board of Supervisors**
 Jan Leshner, Deputy County Administrator for Medical and Health Services
 Dr. Francisco Garcia, Director, Health Department
 Kim Janes, Chief of External Affairs, Pima Animal Care Center
 Kristin Barney, Chief of Operations, Pima Animal Care Center
 Pima Animal Care Center Advisory Committee



PIMA COUNTY ANIMAL CARE CENTER ADVISORY COMMITTEE
4000 N. SILVERBELL RD TUCSON, AZ 85745
(520) 243-5909 FAX (520) 243-5954
www.pimaanimalcare.org

September 26, 2014

The Honorable Chair and Members
Pima County Board of Supervisors
130 W. Congress, Eleventh Floor
Tucson, Arizona 85701

Dear Chair and Members:

The Pima County Animal Care Advisory Committee strongly urges the Board of Health and Board of Supervisors to support the Pima Animal Care Center's request for four additional Field Officers.

As you know, from the Six City & County review, our organization is severely understaffed and over-utilized. Our Field Officers cover the second largest physical area of the six communities reviewed at 9200 Square Miles. The largest in size is Maricopa County at 9224 square miles. Pima County only has 25 field officers to serve this large area, compared to the 30 Field Officers in Maricopa County. The Pima County Field Officers responded to 29,079 calls with the 25 Field Officers, while the Maricopa County 30 Field Officers respond to only 21,368 calls.

Our Officers respond to all calls ranging from nuisance calls, animal waste calls, strays, wildlife issues, welfare and neglect issues and animal bites. The other respondents answer a variety of calls but delegate many of these calls to other agencies.


There are several examples of the disparity in responsibilities and manpower of the Pima County Field officers compared to those of the other locations in the study. First, Maricopa & Clark Counties refer wildlife issues to their State's Game and Fish departments, while San Antonio refers these calls to Texas Wildlife and Fish. Pima County Field Officers answer wild animal calls. Further, Welfare and Neglect cases are referred to Law Enforcement in Maricopa County, and their Field Officers are only called if animals need to be transported to the Humane Society for sheltering. Pima County Field Officers handle the welfare and neglect calls, only calling law enforcement when back up is needed. Finally, Animal Waste issues are handled by zoning departments in Maricopa County as well as in San Antonio and Austin, Texas. These issues are also handled by our understaffed field officers in Pima County.

At this time the citizens of Pima county are considering a 22 million dollar Bond to rebuild the Pima Animal Care Center. Unfortunately many people in the county do not understand how hard the staff at PACC work. Unless they come to the Center, they do not see the hard working staff who are trying to save and rehabilitate

The Honorable Chair and Members
Pima County Board of Supervisors
September 26, 2014
Page 2 of 2

as many animals as possible, to then adopt them into good homes. The most publicly visible representatives of Pima County's efforts on the behalf of pets are the Field Officers on the street. These officers often times come to community events to educate the public on the services that PACC provides for the county, in addition to all the other service calls they make. But with only 25 Officers available, dispatch must tell many callers that they will have to wait, or that the officer cannot attend the issue at this time, but will come as soon as possible. Our Field Officers would be able to better serve the community, and demonstrate to the public the progress that Pima County has made with regard to animal welfare, if there were more of them. As it is now, our Officers are spread too thin and stretched to the breaking point. They need help and we urge you to approve the funds for the four extra officers we need.

Sincerely,



Jack Neuman
Chairman
Pima County Animal Care Advisory Committee

cc: President and Members, Pima County Board of Health
C.H. Huckelberry, Pima County Administrator
Jan Leshner, Deputy Pima County Administrator, Medical and Health Services
Francisco Garcia, MD, MPH, Director, Pima County Health Department



Social Media Policy

This policy is designed to protect individuals and Pima Animal Care Center, to ensure that any references to PACC are factually correct and do not breach confidentiality requirements, and to ensure that all PACC associates are treated with respect. Failure to follow this policy will result in disciplinary action, up to and including, dismissal from employment or the volunteer corps.

- “Social media” is defined as websites and applications that enable users to create and share content or to participate in social networking, *including, but not limited to*: Facebook, Twitter, LinkedIn, Pinterest, Instagram, Snap Chat and Yik Yak. The final decision as to if something qualifies as social media lies with the Pima County Communications Office. When in doubt, ask PACC administration.
- Unless given permission by the Chief of Operations or her designee, PACC associates are not authorized to speak on behalf of PACC or to represent that they do on any social media site.
- PACC associates may not share information that has not been publicly released through the official PACC Facebook page, Pima County Website, Volgistics or Foster and Rescue emails. If you have questions about whether information has been released publicly, speak with the shelter director or Chief of Operations. Once information has been shared officially, all associates are encouraged to share that information throughout social media.
- In all interactions on social media, always speak respectfully about PACC; past, current or potential employees; past, current or potential customers; and past, current or potential partners. Do not engage in name calling or behavior that will negatively reflect on Pima County, PACC employees or PACC volunteers, shelter operations, or sponsors and benefactors.
- Any communication between employees or volunteers that would be considered inappropriate in a workplace (i.e., sexual harassment, intimidation, bullying, etc.) is also prohibited for PACC associates in online communication.
- Social networking contact between adult and youth volunteers is forbidden.
- During a crisis situation (such as xx, xx, xx), updates will only be given out through Pima County’s official communication channels, including PACC official social media. Posting about a crisis situation on any social media site without express permission from Chief of Operations is grounds for

immediate dismissal. Sharing is encouraged after official announcement is made on Pima County or PACC official FB page.

- Any questions from media should be directed to Chief of Operations

Fundraising and social media

We greatly appreciate when you share PACC's online requests for donations with your friends and family on your personal social networking sites. We ask that you please always ask your social media contacts to make their gifts directly to the center (versus collecting the donations yourself), unless you receive prior approval from the Development Office.

If you would like to organize an online fundraiser to raise money or supply donations to help PACC pets, thank you so much! Before launching your campaign, we ask that you please do the following:

- Send a one-paragraph description of your fundraising idea to our Development Office at karen.hollish@pima.gov. This will allow PACC staff to be able to answer any questions from the public that might arise as a result of your fundraiser.
- Include a note at the top of your fundraising page to this effect: "This is a private fundraiser being organized by X, who is a volunteer at Pima Animal Care Center. This is not an official fundraiser for Pima Animal Care Center."
- Present PACC in the best possible light and refrain from using language such as "death row" or "pound" or "kill." While we know this language can be an effective fundraising tool for fundraisers that are specific to a particular pet, we feel it also serves to drive away investment in the shelter and makes potential adopters fearful of visiting our campus.

By signing below, I indicate that I understand and accept the Pima Animal Care Center social media policy, including proper process in regard to fundraising and social media:

Signature: _____

Date: _____



Volunteer Application and Volunteer Agreement

We are thrilled you are interested in volunteering at the Pima Animal Care Center. PACC could not thrive without the time and talents that volunteers offer us.

Volunteers work in many areas, including Cat Care, Dog Socialization, Foster Care, and as Groomers, Lobby Greeter, Adoption Counselors, PACC Ambassadors, Clinic Assistants and Office Support. Depending on the needs of PACC, volunteers may be assigned a role at the discretion of the Volunteer Coordinator.

PACC volunteers are held to the highest industry standards. To become a volunteer, the minimum requirements are:

- A six-month commitment as volunteer. Additionally, we also ask that you try to commit to a minimum of six hours per month.
- In the Generations program, youth ages 11 to 15 years old are only allowed to volunteer with a parent or guardian. Youth ages 16 to 18 years old can volunteer on their own.
- Attending the Volunteer Orientation and subsequent animal handling classes (if applicable). Once you have successfully completed the volunteer application and it is approved, we will invite you to an orientation.
- Reading and agreeing to policies, procedures and rules contained below. Failure to abide by these policies, procedures and rules is grounds for disciplinary action, up to and including, dismissal from PACC's volunteer corps.

PACC Spay/Neuter Policy:

To help control the unwanted pet population, PACC will spay/neuter all canines and felines surrendered to our care unless there is a medical reason not to sterilize the animal.

INITIAL HERE to confirm that you understand and accept “PACC Spay/Neuter Policy”: _____

PACC Euthanasia Policy: The decision to euthanize an animal is difficult and not made lightly. Pima Animal Care Center accepts all animals from the community. We do not limit the length of time an animal remains in our shelter. All animals in good health and of good temperament are placed up for adoption. We do have a good adoption rate and make every effort within our recourses to help as many animals as possible; however, there are certain circumstances when an animal must be euthanized.

INITIAL HERE to confirm that you understand and accept “PACC Euthanasia Policy”:

Professional Expectations

As a PACC volunteer, I AGREE TO:

- Support the mission, vision, goals, efforts and official positions of the Pima Animal Care Center.
- Treat all animals with kindness.
- Promote goodwill by handling my responsibilities and contacts with staff, other volunteers, customers and visitors in a spirit of courtesy and cooperation.
- Observe Pima County Board of Supervisor Policies:
 - D21-2: Prevention of Sexual Harassment
 - D21-3: Prevention of Workplace Harassment
 - D23-1: Preventing, Identifying, and Addressing Workplace Bullying
 - D23-11 Preventing Workplace Violence
- Follow the most current communication plan for reporting concerns with policies, practices or procedures.
- Observe current Social Media Policy
- Observe all policies and directives from Pima County Animal Care Center.

INITIAL HERE to confirm that you understand and accept “Professional Expectations”: _____

Safety, Security and Confidentiality

As a PACC volunteer, I AGREE TO:

- Report to my volunteer job physically and mentally fit for duty.
- Observe all safety and security rules.
- Report accidents, injuries, fire, theft or other unusual incidents immediately after the occurrence or discovery.
- Refrain from using PACC property, services or supplies for personal reasons unless given prior permission by Volunteer Coordinator or Shelter Manager.
- Deal fairly with all PACC colleagues, co-workers, supervisors, customers, visitors, volunteers, etc., without regard to their gender, race, ethnicity, religion, creed, age, sexual orientation, marital status, national origin, ancestry, citizenship, military status, veteran status, handicap or disability.
- Contact the Volunteer Coordinator or another appropriate supervisor immediately if I feel discriminated against or harassed in connection with my volunteering.
- Hold harmless Pima Animal Care Center, its agents, employees, directors and insurance carriers from any and all claims, damages and judgments which I may have now or in the future against the Pima Animal Care Center in all matters pertaining to my services as an agency volunteer, including, but not limited to, personal injury.

INITIAL HERE to confirm that you understand and accept “PACC Safety, Security and Confidentiality” expectations: _____

Working with Pima County Inmates

As a PACC volunteer, I AGREE TO:

- Recognize that PACC volunteers and staff work in close proximity to inmates from the Pima County Jail in the day-to-day operation of PACC.
- Understand that under Arizona Revised Statutes inmates have a very different existence and rules of conduct than an ordinary citizen.
- Not engage in conversation or any other type of activity with inmates, other than the courtesy of hello.
- Not give, take or in any manner barter with, inmates, i.e. supply any goods, including food and soft drinks or monies. Said act is unlawful and constitutes a felony for which I can be prosecuted.
- Not handle any mail, notes, packages or verbal messages for any inmate.
- Not ask inmates to assist me in any way unless in an emergency situation where my personal safety may be in jeopardy.
- Report to PACC staff immediately if an inmate approaches me on any matter other than outlined in this memo.

INITIAL HERE to confirm that you understand and accept “Working with Pima County Inmates” expectations: _____

Confidentiality and Conflict of Interest

As a PACC volunteer, I AGREE TO:

- Maintain and safeguard the confidentiality of all business, donor, employee, volunteer and animal records, credit and financial information, and/or any information relating to the operation of the agency that is not known or readily available to the general public.
- Abide by PACC Social Media policy.
- Avoid engaging in any conduct that is or could be perceived as a conflict of interest.

INITIAL HERE to confirm that you understand and accept “Confidentiality and Conflict of Interest” expectations: _____

Community Relations

As a PACC volunteer, I AGREE TO:

- Allow the agency to use and reproduce my name, voice and/or likeness or that of my pet(s) in connection with any advertising, programming and/or promotion of the agency in media as needed.
- Refrain from any political activity while performing responsibilities as a volunteer for Pima Animal Care Center. I will not use any Pima County resources for the purpose of influencing the outcome of an election.

INITIAL HERE to confirm that you understand and accept “Community Relations” expectations: _____

By initialing all sections, checking the “I agree” button, and submitting this application, I agree to abide by the policies and procedures of the Pima Animal Care Center during my time as a member of the volunteer team, conforming to all rules and regulations commonly applied to employees of the agency, including but not limited to, safety, discrimination, harassment, confidentiality and Position Statements.

I agree that if I do not adhere to any item listed in the agreement it may result in disciplinary action, up to and including dismissal. In most cases, failure to adhere to items listed in the agreement will be addressed in three phases: verbal discussion, written warning and finally, dismissal. In egregious cases of harassment, animal endangerment or violation of social media policy, dismissal may be immediate. This is at the discretion of the PACC administration.



General Communication Policy

We want your volunteer experience at Pima Animal Care to be fun and rewarding. PACC takes the input and concerns of our staff and volunteers very seriously. Please come to us with any issue.

We are always open to implementing positive changes, but there are some suggestions we may not be able to integrate into our policies and procedures due to County policy, legal restrictions or budgetary constraints.

We strive to have replies to your concerns within three business days. If you have not received a verbal or written response to your inquiry within that period, please contact the Chief of Operations.

- For immediate emergency concerns about animal health and safety, please notify the Shelter Supervisor on Duty. If he/she is unavailable, please contact the Shelter Supervisor or Medical Team.
- To discuss a particular concern about an issue with another staff member or volunteer, please contact the Volunteer Coordinator.
- For other concerns, please refer to the PACC Communication Chart to identify the appropriate contact person.
- Concerns, suggestions and questions about PACC policy and procedures should be emailed to the appropriate contact on PACC Communication Chart. PACC leadership will review the concern, suggestion or question and either contact the submitter directly or refer the individual to the Volunteer Forum.
- Social media communication is regulated by the PACC Social Media policy.

By signing below, I indicate that I have read, understand and accept the Pima Animal Care Center General Communication Policy.

Signature: _____

Date: _____



COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR'S OFFICE

PIMA COUNTY GOVERNMENTAL CENTER
130 W. CONGRESS, FLOOR 10, TUCSON, AZ 85701-1317
(520) 724-8661 FAX (520) 724-8171

C.H. HUCKELBERRY
County Administrator

May 4, 2015

Martha Durkin, Interim City Manager
City of Tucson
P.O. Box 27210
Tucson, Arizona 85726

Re: Your April 22, 2015 Letter Regarding Pima Animal Care Center Services

Dear Ms. Durkin:

Your April 22, 2015 letter contains a number of assumptions that are incorrect, and these are discussed below.

1. All other jurisdictions are charged and are paying administrative overhead and capital costs. The only jurisdiction that is not is the City of Tucson.
2. I offered to offset our administrative cost with the administrative cost the City charges the County for wastewater billing. As you can see, there is quite an inconsistent principle being applied in that the City does not want to pay administrative costs to the County for animal care services, yet charges the County for the same services in our wastewater billing intergovernmental agreement (IGA). I remain amenable to offsetting these administrative costs.
3. The City is the only jurisdiction not participating in financing the increased sheltering capacity that was employed nearly two years ago as an emergency measure. These costs are real; and the City, by participating in the animal care IGA bears responsibility for your share of these costs.
4. Since the increased sheltering cost attributable to City use is \$244,578, it is not financially viable to offset this capital cost by the temporary use of less than one acre of City property during construction of the new Pima Animal Care Center


Ms. Martha Durkin
Re: Your April 22, 2015 Letter Regarding Pima Animal Care Center Services
May 4, 2015
Page 2

(PACC). I do not believe the property would appraise for more than \$30,000 to \$40,000. To pay \$244,578 for a temporary construction easement is not an economically sound decision for the County. I understand the present offer is \$10,046 for a temporary construction easement. We would certainly be willing to purchase this property from the City, as it could be used for overflow parking.

I understand the City's financial limitations; and as we discussed at the recent joint jurisdictional manager's meeting regarding animal care issues, the County will not alter its present sheltering methodology or processes. Hence, the area where a jurisdiction can choose to reduce animal care expenses is enforcement activities. We are open to structuring an IGA with a cap on your financial participation, knowing that when the cap is reached, no further PACC enforcement will occur within your jurisdiction. This operational model would be acceptable to the County and allow the City to purchase the level of service you believe is necessary for your jurisdiction.

I have asked our staff to have an IGA in place for these services prior to July 1, 2015, as it is important to be clear about the animal care services requested by the City before the beginning of the fiscal year to avoid the present circumstance of the City disputing costs after they have been incurred by the County.

Sincerely,



C.H. Huckelberry
County Administrator

CHH/anc

Attachment

c: Jan Leshar, Deputy County Administrator for Medical and Health Services
Dr. Francisco Garcia, Director, Health Department



**CITY OF
TUCSON**

OFFICE OF THE
CITY MANAGER

April 22, 2015

Chuck H. Huckelberry
Pima County Administrator
Pima County Administrator's Office
130 W. Congress, 10th Floor
Tucson, AZ 85701

Subject: Animal Care Funding

Dear Mr. Huckelberry,

Thank you for your letter dated April 20, 2015. As we discussed previously my recommended budget does not include increased funding for animal care services. At this time I do not have any reason to recommend allocation of additional funding because we have not finalized the terms of the Fiscal Year 2016 IGA. The other jurisdictions have not included administrative overhead and capital costs in their agreements for PACC services. It is my understanding that we are all working to identify an affordable and humane business plan for PACC so we can budget appropriately. We have all stated our interest and commitment to a regional animal care facility.

In your letter you suggest offsetting the administrative overhead charges negotiated and set forth in the Wastewater billing IGA against the amount the County added to the PACC invoice. Administrative overhead is not in our current PACC agreement. The county has never charged this before. I do not understand how relieving the Wastewater Enterprise Fund of this charge will assist the PACC General Fund. We are now negotiating the terms for the extension of the PACC IGA. If the City agrees to pay these additional charges in the future, the budget has to accommodate these payments.

Similarly, the County charged capital costs for the PACC shelter that were not in the IGA. While we did not have funding in the PACC budget allocation for this cost, I understand why the cost was incurred. It seems appropriate to allow the County to use City land during construction of the new PACC facility to offset the capital costs that were incurred to shelter more animals last year. I would like to discuss this further.

We are working to increase licensing revenue and I have directed staff to work with the County to set up licensing stations in east and south side locations. The City Code provides for licensing fees consistent with the County Code. I support your proposed one dollar increase for the next five years.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Martha M. Durkin", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Martha M. Durkin
City Manager



COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR'S OFFICE

PIMA COUNTY GOVERNMENTAL CENTER
130 W. CONGRESS, FLOOR 10, TUCSON, AZ 85701-1317
(520) 724-8661 FAX (520) 724-8171

C.H. HUCKELBERRY
County Administrator

April 20, 2015

**Martha Durkin, Interim City Manager
City of Tucson
P.O. Box 27210
Tucson, Arizona 85726-7210**

Re: Animal Care Funding

Dear Ms. Durkin:

Based on our recent meeting, I understand the City of Tucson will not provide any animal care funding above what was provided last year. This will make your contribution approximately \$335,000 short on an annualized basis.

In addition, the City has also not reimbursed the County for its proportional use/share of the additional kennel capacity of \$245,000. This lack of reimbursement will have a direct impact on animal care services.

Of the disputed charges, approximately \$310,000 relates to administrative overhead. I would be willing to waive this cost provided the City does the same with its administrative overhead charges to the County for wastewater billings. Such a waiver can be accommodated in the intergovernmental agreement adopted for service in Fiscal Year 2015/16.

Since a large share of our costs is fixed costs associated with our adoption model operation of the animal care shelter facility, we will be unable to alter these expenses. We will, therefore, explore variable costs, such as enforcement and other animal care services offered to the City. Given our budget challenges and cost transfers from the State, we are unable to absorb the loss of City revenues in our animal care budget.

Ms. Durkin
Re: Animal Care Funding
April 20, 2015
Page 2

I appreciate your offer to increase licensing compliance in the City. Such would significantly increase City-generated animal care revenues.

Sincerely,



C.H. Huckelberry
County Administrator

CHH/anc

c: Jan Leshar, Deputy County Administrator for Medical and Health Services
Dr. Francisco Garcia, Director, Health Department



MEMORANDUM

Date: April 20, 2015

To: Jan Leshner
Deputy County Administrator
for Medical and Health Services

From: C.H. Huckelberry
County Administrator

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "CHH", is written over the printed name "C.H. Huckelberry".

Re: **City of Tucson's Animal Care Budget**

I recently met with the Tucson City Manager. She indicated the City cannot afford to pay more than the amount currently budgeted and paid to Pima County for animal care services.

I understand this will result in not realizing approximately \$335,000 in annual revenue, as well as a \$245,000 one-time cost to expand the kennel capacity of the Pima Animal Care Center (PACC).

Please inform the Animal Care Advisory Committee of the City's lack of funding for PACC.

I understand staff is reviewing certain variable costs associated with animal care services. Perhaps these can be adjusted to reduce the budget impact of this revenue loss. Certain fixed costs cannot be avoided, and I am unwilling to alter our present shelter adoption model to increase euthanasia.

CHH/anc

c: The Honorable Chair and Members, Pima County Board of Supervisors
Dr. Francisco Garcia, Director, Health Department
Kristin Barney, Chief of Operations, Pima Animal Care Center
Kim Janes, Chief of Internal Affairs, Pima Animal Care Center

Complaints and Commendations for the Month of April 2015

4-7-15 This complaint came through District 3 Supervisor's Office
Complaint
Neighbor abusing dogs, dogs malnourished, extensive pet waste in yard
Course/Action
Officer went to address; no answer; dogs appeared happy and had access to water; waste needs to be cleaned up

4-30-15 This complaint came through Ward 5 Councilman's Office
Complaint
Neighbor's pit bulls are aggressive
Course/Action
Officer went to address and advised owner of the complaint and leash law. Citations issued for no license and vaccination.

Michael Schlueter

From: Jose Chavez
Sent: Tuesday, April 07, 2015 9:40 AM
To: Robert Hendrix
Cc: Kiki Navarro; Jennifer Cabrera; Michael Schlueter; Anissa Ramirez; Kristin Barney; Kim Janes
Subject: RE: Constituent Call - Anonymous

From: Anissa Ramirez
Sent: Tuesday, April 07, 2015 9:16 AM
To: Kim Janes
Cc: Kiki Navarro; Jennifer Cabrera
Subject: Constituent Call - Anonymous

Good Morning,

Constituent, whom asked to remain anonymous, called in complaint of a pet owner at the home of [redacted] that has not been properly caring for their pet dogs. They told me they have heard and caught the owner beating his dogs multiple times and that the dogs are malnourished to the point where you can see the bones. They also let me know that it has been years since they have cleaned up after their dogs which is attracting many flies to the neighborhood as well as, leaving an unbearable stench.

Can you please send an inspector out to the area and keep us informed of the outcome?

Thank you,

Anissa Ramirez
Supervisor Sharon Bronson's Office
District 3
520-724-8051

Michael Schlueter

From: Kim Janes
Sent: Wednesday, May 06, 2015 9:02 AM
To: Michael Schlueter
Subject: FW: Phone Message

From: Kim Janes
Sent: Thursday, April 30, 2015 4:49 PM
To: 'Mark Kerr'
Cc: ; Kristin Barney; Jose Chavez; Robert Hendrix
Subject: RE: Phone Message

Good afternoon Mr. Kerr, staff will check our records and if not already a current call, we will create one.

Respectfully,

Kim **From:** Mark Kerr [<mailto:Mark.Kerr@tucsonaz.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, April 30, 2015 3:23 PM
To: Kim Janes
Cc: ;
Subject: Fwd: Phone Message

Dear Kim:

Have you had a complaint on : about two pit bulls.

Please advise.

Mark Kerr
Chief of Staff for Vice Mayor Richard Fimbres (Ward 5)
4300 S. Park Avenue
Tucson AZ 85714
791-4231
791-3188 - FAX
e-mail: Mark.Kerr@tucsonaz.gov

>>> _ >>>

Mark, called regarding his neighbors two Pit Bulls. They are very aggressive and he fears that they are going to bite someone. He says the owner lets them out of the yard.

Donation Activity

Period:

2015-04-01

To:

2015-04-30

Donation Code	Amount
DONATION	\$0.00
DONATION ADOP	\$146.00
DONATION GEN	\$11,210.39
DONATION OUTR	\$59.00
DONATION S/N	\$12,127.50
DONATION SAMS	\$2,600.05
Grand Total	\$26,142.94

Donation Activity

Period:

2014-07-01

To:

2015-04-30

Donation Code	Amount
DONATION	\$140.00
DONATION ADOP	\$6,602.86
DONATION GEN	\$252,604.73
DONATION OUTR	\$4,056.00
DONATION S/N	\$134,399.46
DONATION SAMS	\$56,808.05
DONATION SHEL 0974	\$20,585.00
Grand Total	\$475,196.10

Kennel No:

D140

Animal No:

A488766



4000 N. Silverbell Road • Tucson, Arizona 85745 • 520-243-5900 • www.pima.gov/animalcare



Name:

GORDA

Color:

BLACK & WHITE

Breed:

PIT BULL \ MIX

Sex:

SPAYED

Age:

2 YEARS

Collar Color:

Collar Type:

Tag:

L14-240657

Reason OTHER PET



AS THE OWNER OF THIS ANIMAL; SIGNATURE _____

I AM FORFEITING THIS ANIMAL TO PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER AND UNDERSTAND THE ANIMAL WILL BE EVALUATED FOR ADOPTION, RESCUE, OR EUTHANASIA AS PRESCRIBED BY LAW.

INITIALS _____ DATE _____

I AM FORFEITING THIS ANIMAL TO PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER, REQUESTING EUTHANASIA FOR THIS ANIMAL AND UNDERSTAND THE ANIMAL WILL BE EVALUATED FOR ADOPTION AS PRESCRIBED BY LAW.

INITIALS _____ DATE _____

Intake Date:

12/24/2014

Review Date:

12/24/2014

Intake Type:

RETURN / OTC OWNED

Intake By:

2030

Found @ / Comments:

1396 W VALLEY RIDGE PL ,TUCSON AZ 85737

dog selective (does better with social male dogs)

RV BY: _____
DATE: _____

WT _____ T _____ CHIP YES _____
HR _____ R _____ CHIP NO _____
CHIP # _____

VACCINATED BY _____
DATE _____
DHPP _____ INB _____

TEMPERMENT TEST = PASS/FAIL
A _____ A _____
DATE _____ BY _____

Kennel No:

D140

Animal No:

A488766

PACC - Kennel Card



Name:

GORDA

Sex:

SPAYED

Age:

2 YEARS

Intake Date:

12/24/2014

Color:

BLACK & WHITE

Markings:

Breed:

PIT BULL \ MIX

Tag:

L14-240657

Animal Barcode:



dog selective (does better with social male dogs)

Kennel No:
D145

Animal No:
A488766



4000 N. Silverbell Road • Tucson, Arizona 85745 • 520-243-5900 • www.pima.gov/animalcare



Name:
GORDA
Color:
BLACK & WHITE
Breed:
PIT BULL \ MIX

Sex: **SPAYED** Age: **2 YEARS**

Collar Color: Collar Type: Tag: **L15-242379**

Reason **HYPER**



AS THE OWNER OF THIS ANIMAL; SIGNATURE _____

I AM FORFEITING THIS ANIMAL TO PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER AND UNDERSTAND THE ANIMAL WILL BE EVALUATED FOR ADOPTION, RESCUE, OR EUTHANASIA AS PRESCRIBED BY LAW.

INITIALS _____ DATE _____

I AM FORFEITING THIS ANIMAL TO PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER, REQUESTING EUTHANASIA FOR THIS ANIMAL AND UNDERSTAND THE ANIMAL WILL BE EVALUATED FOR ADOPTION AS PRESCRIBED BY LAW.

INITIALS _____ DATE _____

Intake Date:
1/6/2015

Review Date:
1/6/2015

Intake Type:
RETURN / OTC OWNED

Intake By:
2030

Found @ / Comments:

634 W CALLE FRANJA VERDE ,SAHUARITA AZ 85629

DOG SELECTIVE- INTRO IS MANDATORY

Tuesdays Tail 2.3.15

RV BY: _____
DATE: _____

WT _____ T _____ CHIP YES _____
HR _____ R _____ CHIP NO _____
CHIP # _____

VACCINATED BY _____
DATE _____
DHPP _____ INB _____

TEMPERMENT TEST = PASS/FAIL
A _____ A _____
DATE _____ BY _____

Kennel No:
D145

Animal No:
A488766

PACC - Kennel Card



Name:
GORDA

Sex:
SPAYED

Age:
2 YEARS

Intake Date:
1/6/2015

Color:
BLACK & WHITE

Markings:

Breed:
PIT BULL \ MIX

Tag:
L15-242379

Animal Barcode:



DOG SELECTIVE- INTRO IS MANDATORY

Kennel No:

D144

Animal No:

A488766



4000 N. Silverbell Road • Tucson, Arizona 85745 • 520-243-5900 • www.pima.gov/animalcare



Name:

GORDA

Color:

BLACK & WHITE

Breed:

PIT BULL \ MIX

Sex:

SPAYED

Age:

2 YEARS

Collar Color:

Collar Type:

Tag:

L14-244038

Reason



AS THE OWNER OF THIS ANIMAL; SIGNATURE _____

I AM FORFEITING THIS ANIMAL TO PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER AND UNDERSTAND THE ANIMAL WILL BE EVALUATED FOR ADOPTION, RESCUE, OR EUTHANASIA AS PRESCRIBED BY LAW.

INITIALS _____ DATE _____

I AM FORFEITING THIS ANIMAL TO PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER, REQUESTING EUTHANASIA FOR THIS ANIMAL AND UNDERSTAND THE ANIMAL WILL BE EVALUATED FOR ADOPTION AS PRESCRIBED BY LAW.

INITIALS _____ DATE _____

Intake Date:

2/21/2015

Review Date:

2/28/2015

Intake Type:

OWNER SUR / OTC OWNED

Intake By:

2051

Found @ / Comments:

7391 N PATRIOT DR ,TUCSON AZ 85741

behavior (separation anxiety; dog selective)

RV BY: _____
DATE: _____

WT _____ T _____ CHIP YES _____
HR _____ R _____ CHIP NO _____
CHIP # _____

VACCINATED BY _____
DATE _____
DHPP _____ INB _____

TEMPERMENT TEST = PASS/FAIL
A _____ A _____
DATE _____ BY _____

Kennel No:

D144

Animal No:

A488766

PACC - Kennel Card



Name:

GORDA

Sex:

SPAYED

Age:

2 YEARS

Intake Date:

2/21/2015

Color:

BLACK & WHITE

Markings:

Breed:

PIT BULL \ MIX

Tag:

L14-244038

Animal Barcode:



behavior (separation anxiety; dog selective)

Kennel No:

D135

Animal No:

A488766



4000 N. Silverbell Road • Tucson, Arizona 85745 • 520-243-5900 • www.pima.gov/animalcare



Name:

GORDA

Color:

BLACK & WHITE

Breed:

PIT BULL \ MIX

Sex:

SPAYED

Age:

2 YEARS

Collar Color:

Collar Type:

Tag:

Reason OWNER PROB



AS THE OWNER OF THIS ANIMAL; SIGNATURE _____

I AM FORFEITING THIS ANIMAL TO PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER AND UNDERSTAND THE ANIMAL WILL BE EVALUATED FOR ADOPTION, RESCUE, OR EUTHANASIA AS PRESCRIBED BY LAW.

INITIALS _____ DATE _____

I AM FORFEITING THIS ANIMAL TO PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER, REQUESTING EUTHANASIA FOR THIS ANIMAL AND UNDERSTAND THE ANIMAL WILL BE EVALUATED FOR ADOPTION AS PRESCRIBED BY LAW.

INITIALS _____ DATE _____

Intake Date:

2/28/2015

Review Date:

2/28/2015

Intake Type:

RETURN / OTC OWNED

Intake By:

2044

Found @ / Comments:

2650 N ORACLE RD ,TUCSON AZ 85705

behavior (dog selective; separation anxiety; best with older children)

RV BY: _____
DATE: _____

WT _____ T _____ CHIP YES _____
HR _____ R _____ CHIP NO _____
CHIP # _____

VACCINATED BY _____
DATE _____
DHPP _____ INB _____

TEMPERMENT TEST = PASS/FAIL
A _____ A _____
DATE _____ BY _____

Kennel No:

D135

Animal No:

A488766

PACC - Kennel Card



Name:

GORDA

Sex:

SPAYED

Age:

2 YEARS

Intake Date:

2/28/2015

Color:

BLACK & WHITE

Markings:

Breed:

PIT BULL \ MIX

Tag:

Animal Barcode:



behavior (dog selective; separation anxiety; best with older children)

Kennel No:
ATRUCK

Animal No:
A488766



4000 N. Silverbell Road • Tucson, Arizona 85745 • 520-243-5900 • www.pima.gov/animalcare



Name:
GORDA
Color:
BLACK & WHITE
Breed:
PIT BULL \ MIX

Sex: **SPAYED** Age: **2 YEARS**

Collar Color: Collar Type: Tag: L15-245119

Reason



AS THE OWNER OF THIS ANIMAL; SIGNATURE _____

I AM FORFEITING THIS ANIMAL TO PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER AND UNDERSTAND THE ANIMAL WILL BE EVALUATED FOR ADOPTION, RESCUE, OR EUTHANASIA AS PRESCRIBED BY LAW.

INITIALS _____ DATE _____

I AM FORFEITING THIS ANIMAL TO PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER, REQUESTING EUTHANASIA FOR THIS ANIMAL AND UNDERSTAND THE ANIMAL WILL BE EVALUATED FOR ADOPTION AS PRESCRIBED BY LAW.

INITIALS _____ DATE _____

Intake Date:
4/29/2015

Review Date:
4/29/2015

Intake Type:
OWNER SUR /

Intake By:
1903

Found @ / Comments:

1259 N WELL RD ,AJO AZ 85321

seperation anxiety if left in house

sent back to Tucson PACC 1903

RV BY: _____
DATE: _____

WT _____ T _____ CHIP YES _____
HR _____ R _____ CHIP NO _____
CHIP # _____

VACCINATED BY _____
DATE _____
DHPP _____ INB _____

TEMPERMENT TEST = PASS/FAIL
A _____ A _____
DATE _____ BY _____

Kennel No:
ATRUCK

Animal No:
A488766

PACC - Kennel Card



Name:
GORDA
Color:
BLACK & WHITE
Breed:
PIT BULL \ MIX

Sex: **SPAYED** Age: **2 YEARS**

Intake Date:
4/29/2015

Markings:

Tag: L15-245119

Animal Barcode:



seperation anxiety if left in house

Kennel No:

D145

Animal No:

A488766



4000 N. Silverbell Road • Tucson, Arizona 85745 • 520-243-5900 • www.pima.gov/animalcare



Name:

GORDA

Color:

BLACK & WHITE

Breed:

PIT BULL \ MIX

Sex:

SPAYED

Age:

2 YEARS

Collar Color:

Collar Type:

Tag:

L14-240380

Reason MOVE



AS THE OWNER OF THIS ANIMAL; SIGNATURE _____

I AM FORFEITING THIS ANIMAL TO PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER AND UNDERSTAND THE ANIMAL WILL BE EVALUATED FOR ADOPTION, RESCUE, OR EUTHANASIA AS PRESCRIBED BY LAW.

INITIALS _____ DATE _____

I AM FORFEITING THIS ANIMAL TO PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER, REQUESTING EUTHANASIA FOR THIS ANIMAL AND UNDERSTAND THE ANIMAL WILL BE EVALUATED FOR ADOPTION AS PRESCRIBED BY LAW.

INITIALS _____ DATE _____

Intake Date:

7/19/2014

Review Date:

7/19/2014

Intake Type:

OWNER SUR / OTC OWNED

Intake By:

2030

Found @ / Comments:

3945 W TETAKUSIM RD ,TUCSON AZ 85746

OFF SITE TO AZDAILY STAR 11.25.14

BOS 12/09/14

RV BY: _____
DATE: _____

WT _____ T _____ CHIP YES _____
HR _____ R _____ CHIP NO _____
CHIP # _____

VACCINATED BY _____
DATE _____
DHPP _____ INB _____

TEMPERMENT TEST = PASS/FAIL
A _____ A _____
DATE _____ BY _____

Kennel No:

D145

Animal No:

A488766

PACC - Kennel Card



Name:

GORDA

Sex:

SPAYED

Age:

2 YEARS

Intake Date:

7/19/2014

Color:

BLACK & WHITE

Markings:

Breed:

PIT BULL \ MIX

Tag:

L14-240380

Animal Barcode:



OFF SITE TO AZDAILY STAR 11.25.14

Memo Report

Date: Time: Printed 5/4/2015 3:18:51PM

<u>memo_id</u>	<u>memo_id_type</u>	<u>memo_date</u>	<u>memo_type</u>	<u>subtype</u>	<u>memo_author</u>
A488766 owner is a truck driver not home alot. 2030	ANIMAL_ID	7/19/14	NOTE		SSCHELBL
A488766 no bite/ no chip	ANIMAL_ID	7/25/14	NOTE		MLINDORF
A488766 Acute onset right scleral hemorrhage and conjunctivitis. Start rimadyl 75mg PO SID x7 days and gentamicin OU BID x 7days. Suspect acute trauma. Recheck 9/3/14 JW	ANIMAL_ID	9/1/14	MEDICAL		JWILCOX
A488766 DOG WAS ATTACKED BY KENNEL MATE (405956) WHILE FEEDING - NO OBVIOUS INJURIES BUT THIS DOG IS NOW FAVORING L/F FOOT - ON TDL - 053	ANIMAL_ID	9/20/14	NOTE		KDAVIS
A488766 I'm Off-Site at PetSmart on Broadway and Pantano. Wish me luck!	ANIMAL_ID	10/17/14	WEB		EBEAUBIE
A488766 I'm off-site at PetSmart on Broadway and Pantano. Wish me luck!	ANIMAL_ID	10/18/14	WEB		EBEAUBIE
A488766 I'm off-site at Petco on 22nd st and Harrison. Wish me luck!	ANIMAL_ID	11/22/14	WEB		EBEAUBIE
A488766 12/13/14 I'm off-site at Petco on 22nd and Harrison.	ANIMAL_ID	12/13/14	WEB		EBEAUBIE
A488766 I am at Petsmart located at 4374 N Oracle Rd Tucson, Arizona 85705.	ANIMAL_ID	12/20/14	WEB		EBEAUBIE
A488766 Gorda was adopted and Victor advised adopter to do a meet and greet with their pitbull CoCo that they adopted 6 months ago from us . They decided against Victors advice to go a head and took Gorda home and they didn 't get along . 2030	ANIMAL_ID	12/24/14	NOTE		SSCHELBL
A488766 One of the public gave a 100 dollar gift card that was returned with Gorda . Who ever adopts Gorda the gift card will go with the new adopter. 2030	ANIMAL_ID	12/24/14	NOTE		SSCHELBL
A488766 Please see Karen for gift card . 2030	ANIMAL_ID	12/24/14	NOTE		SSCHELBL
A488766 no bite / chip #0A140C3C2C	ANIMAL_ID	12/24/14	NOTE		MLINDORF

<u>memo_id</u>	<u>memo_id_type</u>	<u>memo_date</u>	<u>memo_type</u>	<u>subtype</u>	<u>memo_author</u>
A488766	ANIMAL_ID	12/30/14	NOTE		VVELARDE
Gorda; out on a field trip to a park, with a volunteer Anne de leon (602) 692-0432 1974 /2038 okay it.					
A488766	ANIMAL_ID	1/6/15	NOTE		SSCHELBL
adopted on sunday 1-4-15 and returning because a little too hyper for young children 4 to 5 years old . 2030					
A488766	ANIMAL_ID	1/10/15	WEB		EBEAUBIE
1/10/15 I am off-site at Petco on 22nd and Harrison. Wish me luck!					
A488766	ANIMAL_ID	1/13/15	NOTE		JCARVER
1/13/15 BOS JC1901					
A488766	ANIMAL_ID	1/19/15	NOTE		KDAVIS
DOG IS ON A FIELD TRIP WITH CECILIA GENTIL (240-2030), WILL RETURN NLT 1500 TODAY.					
A488766	ANIMAL_ID	1/24/15	WEB		EBEAUBIE
I am at Petco; located 7810 E Wrightstown Rd, just south of Wrightstown Rd and west of Pantano Rd					
A488766	ANIMAL_ID	2/3/15	NOTE		JCARVER
Tuesdays Tail 2.3.15					
A488766	ANIMAL_ID	2/21/15	NOTE		OJOYA
P269929 brought dog in for owner who no longer wants dog because she's destructive and has separation anxiety. Booked in as stray since it wasn't owners who dropped dog off. 2051					
A488766	ANIMAL_ID	2/23/15	NOTE		RRICHARD
Line 5978 approx 14:12 hours chip is not registered, comes back to PACC: P245498 Adrienne Holt 520-336-2002 -> Line 5978 approx 14:38 hours spoke to the adopter. She verbally consented to release the dog for adoption. I did advised that for an reason we can not adopt her out or get her rescued, there is a possibility that the dog may be PTS as a last resort. She requested that we please give her a call before that decision is made to see about other rescue options. I did not send a letter.					
A488766	ANIMAL_ID	2/26/15	NOTE		MLINDORF
no bite, has chip (copy sent to lic)					
A488766	ANIMAL_ID	2/28/15	NOTE		SCAREY
WAS RETURNED TODAYNOT BECAUSE OF ANYTHING SHE DID BUT BECAUSE THE ADOPTER JUST FOIUND OUT YESTERDAY THAT SHE HAS CANCER. THE ADOPTER WAS EXTREMELY SAD ABOUT THE WHOLE SITUATION. DID NOT HAVE HER FILL OUT AN OWNER SURRENDER FORM DUE TO THE CRYING AND ONLY HAVEING HER 1 DAY... WILL GIVE THE CARD TO ML..2044					

TO: PACCAC board members

FR: Justin Gallick, Live Release Manager

RE: Background materials for Agenda item to discuss Gorda

In order to most productively address the issue surrounding Gorda that has been placed on the committee's agenda, I believe it best to provide some information in advance of our meeting. You will see listed below a summary of the times Gorda was housed at PACC as well as the reasons why she was returned each time.

When Gorda was surrendered to the Ajo shelter in April, this was the sixth time that Gorda had been surrendered to PACC. At this time PACC leadership did not believe that it was appropriate or humane to allow this cycle of adoption and relinquishment to continue. Together the leadership team, prior to any intervention from staff or volunteers, began to problem solve and determine the best options for Gorda. Best Friends Animal Society as well as BRDG rescue were discussed and ultimately contacted. Placing Gorda on the short term rescue list upon her return to Tucson was also discussed (but not done). Eventually, as you will see outlined in more detail below, Gorda was provided a placement option that would allow her to get the care and rehabilitation she will need in order to secure a forever home and to break this unhealthy cycle.

In situations like these there are few options that staff can take without being demonized or extensively questioned, while doing what most believe is best for the individual pet. PACC is repeatedly asked to be more consistent in decision making, and we are making great strides in this area. Dogs are regularly and effectively placed on the short term rescue list that exhibit many of the same behaviors Gorda did as a means to find more appropriate placement. When the option of using this tool in this case came up, PACC staff was chastised for considering this option, in part because Gorda was a staff/volunteer/community favorite.

In this case PACC determined that it was inhumane to continue this cycle with Gorda and that continuing to place her for adoption could risk her chance at ever finding a positive outcome. PACC reached out to rescue as well as BFAS to find more appropriate placement. They discussed short term rescue as they would with any pet where adoption and/or long term kenneling at the current time is not a safe or appropriate alternative. These decisions are consistent with our processes and were made with concern for the individual pet as well as the shelter as a whole in mind. Our decision-making regarding Gorda's care and placement was appropriate and consistent.

Attached please find a longitudinal summary of Gorda's care while at PACC as well as copies of her six kennel cards, as well as a document containing the memos that have been in her record since her initial intake to PACC on 7/19/14.

SUMMARY OF PACC ACTIVITY ON THIS CASE

Gorda was Owner surrendered to PACC on 07/19/14 when the owner was moving – She had puncture wounds from a dog fight & was very timid, but passed her original temp test and was placed for Special Needs Adoption due to wounds. While with PACC her wounds healed and she was put up for general adoption. July through August she was kenneled with other dogs with no problems at PACC. She appeared as insecure (hackles raised and a little growly) upon introductions, but if the other dogs were accepting and would let her adapt she would go on to do well with them.

In September, she was attacked twice by different kennel mates and was then isolated from other dogs by people trying to protect her. She has not been kenneled with another dog as she's become reactive to them in shelter. At Volunteers request and funding Gorda was sent for an assessment at Sol.DOG who stated that she was very insecure with other dogs. She is sweet with people and hasn't had any issues in that regard.

Gorda was finally adopted 12/24/14 at which time a staff member made the mistake of not ensuring that the adopters brought their dog to PACC for the mandatory dog introduction which led to her return on 12/24/14 after the dog intro at home did not go well.

Gorda was then adopted on 01/04/15 and returned 01/06/15 for being too rough and hyper for the children.

In an effort to get Gorda more exposure as she was at this time the dog who had been in our care the longest she was selected to be Tuesday Tail on 2/3/15.

Based on her media exposure Gorda was once again Adopted 02/05/15 however was returned on 02/21/15 for destructive behavior and possible separation anxiety.

After this return I met a woman in the adoption area who claimed to have been Gorda's original owner from 6 weeks until 9 months old when she stated that she had to give her away due to her destructive behavior. She stated that she gave her away three (3) times prior to it "sticking". I discussed the possibility of her taking her back as she seemed to really care about her well-being, however based on the history of destructive behavior and separation anxiety she declined.

Gorda was then adopted on 02/27/15 and returned 02/28/15 when the owner was diagnosed with cancer.

Gorda was then adopted out again on 3/13/15 to a young woman who lived in Ajo, AZ. Volunteers continued to communicate with her offering assistance and support should she have any problems as they did not want her to once again end up in the shelter.

Unfortunately, on 4/29/15 Gorda was returned to the Ajo Substation because her most recent adopter was moving to Colorado and was unable to take Gorda with her to her father's house due to her separation anxiety and her grandmother was no longer willing to put up with the destructive behavior in their home in Ajo.

The ACO posted this on Facebook which prompted an immediate response from the caring PACC volunteers. I listened to the concerns and explained that for the time being Gorda would remain in Ajo until we could figure out a plan for her.


During a meeting that night Jose, Kristin and I had a discussion regarding Gorda and decided at that time that it would be best if we reached out to Best Friends Animal Society and our local rescue partners for placement rather than having her come back to the Tucson Shelter as her behavior would dictate that she be placed up for Short Term Rescue. Please keep in mind that each week PACC provides a list of pets that cannot be housed long term in the shelter that we would like to see taken on for rehabilitation. This list is weighted heavily with Pit Bulls displaying the inability to be housed with other dogs as PACC doesn't have the resources or facility currently to manage these behaviors safely.

On 4/30/15 I had a text message conversation to this affect with Chairman Neuman in which we disagreed on the plan. I explained that I had a previously scheduled meeting with BRDG Rescue later that afternoon and would be discussing them taking Gorda on for a rehabilitation case.

During the meeting with BRDG rescue and their board they decided that they didn't want Gorda to continue the cycle of adoption returns to the shelter and that they had already reached out to a trainer who would take her on as a foster and begin to work with her to get her ready for adoption in the future. They also stated that they would be scheduling a vet appointment to discuss medication and an alternative medicine treatment plan. They informed me that they are not able to take her on until Monday 5/4/15. I explained that was perfect as I needed to arrange transport for Gorda back to Tucson.

I then contacted the ACO in Ajo and explained the situation to her and contacted volunteer Cathy Neuman to ask for assistance picking Gorda up as she had offered the night before. She agreed and on 5/1/15 her and Chairman Neuman drove to Ajo to pick Gorda up and bring her to PACC where she will stay until she gets picked up by the trainer on 5/4/15.

Respectfully,



Justin Gallick