1. **Call to Order**

   Mr. Neuman called the meeting to order at 5:30 pm

   - Attendance

   Present:
   Tamara Barrick, Foundation for Animals in Risk
   Nancy Emptage, Vice-Chair, Animal Welfare Coalition
   Pat Hubbard, Humane Society of Southern Arizona
   Pat Jacobs, Tucson Kennel Club
   Sophia Kaluzniacki, DVM, ASPCA of AZ, Inc
   Helen Mendelsohn, Disabled Community
   Jack Neuman, Chair, PACC Volunteers
   Erin O'Donnell, DVM, Southern AZ Veterinary Medical Association
   Jane Schwerin, People for Animals in the Prevention of Cruelty and Neglect
   Gail Smith, MD, Board of Health
   Kim Janes, Pima Animal Care Center, Ex-Offico

   Absent:
   Angela Spencer, City of Tucson
   Derek Marshall, Public Education

   - Pledge of Allegiance

2. **Adoption of the Minutes**

   - Adoption of the June 19, 2014 Meeting Minutes
   - Adoption of the of the July 17, 2014 Meeting Minutes

   Ms. Schwerin requested the July 17 minutes include that she requested Mandatory Spay / Neuter be added back on the July 17 agenda.

   The motion was made and seconded (Hubbard/Barrick) that both sets of minutes be adopted: June 19 as written and July 17 with Ms. Schwerin’s request added. The motion carried (10-0).

3. **Call to the Audience**

   There were no speakers from the audience.

4. **Manager’s Report**

   Dr. Francisco Garcia, Health Department Director, reported that the Arizona Department of Corrections (ADOC), which has been providing inmate cleaning crews for the Pima Animal Care Center (PACC), is making new restrictions in relation to people around their inmate crews. Restrictions include background checks on volunteers and not having minors serve as volunteers.
These restrictions coupled with ongoing inmate crew shortages have caused staff to explore other options; and an arrangement has been made with the Pima County Adult Detention Center (jail) to provide inmate crews. It will take a couple of months to put the new agreement into action.

Kim Janes, Pima Animal Care Center Chief of External Affairs, reported that the recent animal care ordinance passed, codifying rescue relationships and authorizing working with trap neuter release (TNR) providers. Additionally, the Board of Supervisors approved the Best Friends Animal Society Community Cat Project (CCP) contract for TNR of cats. It will take a few weeks to operationalize the project, which will include placing a trailer on site to facilitate the program. Mr. Janes has requested local municipalities modify their local codes to be congruent with the new County code as it relates to disposition of animals. Additionally, he requested municipalities reduce their codified requirements to hold owned pets, from seven days down to five days. He indicated that a very small percentage of owners redeem their animals in the last two days. Dogs are redeemed at a low percentage and cats at a much lower percentage. Reducing the hold requirement will allow for shorter kennel stays. Mr. Janes said the County has been spending $200,000 on spay/neuter (SN) in recent years and is increasing SN funding to $600,000 this fiscal year, with $200,000 of that going to the CCP. Contract SN providers and the contract amounts are being increased. Cities and towns have been informed that SN funding participation is now part of the animal care services package and is no longer optional or voluntary. Costs are expensed to municipalities on a per capita basis. Mr. Janes said the American Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals (ASPCA) Challenge tracking has PACC at 487.5 more points than it would be at this same point last year, with 694 more adoptions. Returns last year were at 117 and are at 118 this year; although adoptions are up, returns are essentially the same.

Discussion brought out that State statute requires three day holds for unlicensed dogs and five for licensed. Mr. Janes indicated that if there is indication the animal is owned it is held for the longer requirement and efforts are made to get the animal back to its home. Discussion also brought out that the animal ordinance does not go into effect for 30 days after it was passed.

5. Old Business

- Update on July 19, 2014 Motion for Resolution for PACC to Remedy Issues Relating to the Care and Welfare of Pets at PACC

Mr. Janes referred to Chief of Operations Kristin Barney’s August 14, 2014 July 17, 2014 Meeting Feedback and Update letter in the packet, and introduced Ms. Barney, Shelter Manager Jose Ocano, Adoption Coordinator Ellie Beaubien, Animal Care Advocate Justin Gallick and Volunteer Coordinator Andrew Stocker. Mr. Neuman referred to Ms. Barney’s aforementioned letter where it stated PACC would need a shelter staff of 75 to humanely care for 900 animals, but only has 25, and asked if there are no other programs utilizing the existing staff plus volunteers to address the 22 items in the motion. Mr. Ocano provided a handout entitled Insufficient Care of Animals Model. The handout presented seven factors, including saving animals versus euthanizing them, which contribute to overcrowding resulting in insufficient care. It also presented seven factors being pursued that would mitigate the overcrowding / insufficient care without resorting to euthanizing. Mr. Ocano said he has made an invitation to volunteers to join a kennel card committee to address kennel card problems. He said PACC’s intake is approximately 50 animals per day and pointed out the No Kill Pima County help desk has helped reduce intake. He stressed that his staff is insufficient and said with current shelter staffing PACC has more animals than they can take care of. He added that sometimes there are good days, but explained that a full inmate work crew, no sick calls and a low
euthanasia volume are factors that contribute to such days. He said as long as shelter staff is overextending themselves, things will continue to be insufficient and unpredictable; and no one hates it more than those of us who live it every day. Ms. Barney pointed out another handout which showed the staffing of a comparably sized shelter in San Antonio to illustrate PACC’s woeful staffing. Mr. Neuman said that staffing variations are not unusual; the specific items were not brought up to hear an excuse about staffing; you’ll never have enough staff. He continued that feeling bad about the situation is not an answer and stressed that volunteers are available to step up and assist. He asserted that volunteers see the staff very little. He requested specifics on what is actually being done to solve the issues and said the motion gave 60 days to remedy the issues. He concluded by summing up his assessment of staff’s response to the motion as unacceptable. Ms. Hubbard referred to Mr. Neuman’s assessment as harsh; characterized staffing as one third staffing; and said there is a need for an improved relationship between staff and volunteers, a need to build bridges not dissention. Mr. Neuman said he agreed regarding Ms. Hubbard’s staff / volunteers comment, but added that dissention has been high over the last three months and the volunteers don’t see the Volunteer Coordinator or the Adoption Coordinator.

- Ideas to Increase the Number of Pima Animal Care Center Officers

Dr. Smith said she thought PACC was going to hire more people. Mr. Janes said his recollection is that a recommendation to hire more staff has been forward up the chain of command. There was discussion on crafting a letter strongly encouraging more staff, with the intent of sending the letter up the chain of command. Dr. Smith agreed to work on the letter with Dr. O'Donnell volunteering to assist Dr. Smith.

- Schedule, Number and Training of Department of Corrections Inmates

Mr. Neuman said he was tabling this item in light of comments from Dr. García during the Manager’s Report.

- Adoption Program

Ms. Emptage said she had issues with fast track adoptions. She has received calls from adopters with new pets that turn out to be sick and require medications / veterinary care, but the people say they cannot afford it. She suggested the Board of Supervisors set up a fund to help pay for medications for pets that need them within a certain time period, such as 72 hours, after adoption. One individual told Ms. Emptage that people shouldn’t be required to pay for veterinary care when adopting. She also said an individual was returning an intact animal that was adopted as altered. Some people say that there is no screening in the adoption process, while others say there is too much screening. Ms. Emptage called for consistency and better education in the adoption process and requested the adoption process be reviewed. In response to questions Mr. Janes indicated that with special needs adoptions a cost estimate is provided for expenses related to the special need and with regular adoptions it is politely expressed in writing and signed for that the animal is essentially as is. Ms. Schwerin said she dealt with an individual who adopted a cat from PACC and indicated there was no screening, just go over to the desk and pay for the adoption. The cat then developed an upper respiratory infection.

Mr. Neuman passed out what he referred to as Exhibit A, which was made up of four redacted copies of e-mails he received from volunteers. He said Exhibit A’s e-mails were all about adoptions and
represented about three percent of the e-mails he receives from the volunteers. The e-mails complain about lack of access to the Adoption Coordinator and other staff. He continued that many potential adopters come to PACC and have to wait to be helped or get frustrated and leave. Ms. Hubbard pointed out that adoption numbers are going up, so something must be going right.

In response to a question about volunteer scheduling Ms. Beaubien said volunteers are scheduled with a database called Volgistics. To be an adoption counselor a volunteer needs to complete 16 hours of training. Peak hours are announced and absences or shortages are addressed by sending out a please help e-mail. She added that Sundays usually are a great day for volunteer numbers. Mr. Neuman said that he is not staging an inquisition, but is trying to raise a level of uncomfortableness so that we can work together to establish a better way. Dr. Smith requested a presentation at the next meeting of, step by step, how adoptions are done. Ms. Beaubien added that she has a pool of about 80 volunteers, but only has about 20 who help regularly. She also said that volunteer perspectives range from no one is good enough to adopt, to here take one; are you sure you don’t want another; so consistency is a challenge. Ms. Schwerin said she is against the frenzy to increase adoptions, stressing that animals are only to be placed in suitable homes and citing that adopters are not being properly screened.

During discussion others members echoed the request for receiving details of the adoption process in their packet for next meeting. Mr. Neuman added a request for perceived daily, per hour, public traffic of perspective adopters.

- Committee Member Input to Semi Annual Board of Supervisors Report

Mr. Neuman had sent a working draft of the report to Committee members. Ms. Emptage pointed out, in relation to Goals and Upcoming Projects, item 8, regarding micro-chipping, that all animals leaving PACC are micro-chipped. She said that owners may or may not want to do this, adding that she has paid anywhere from nothing to $75 for micro-chipping. In relation to Goals and Upcoming Projects, item 10, Ms. Emptage said there is no money in the budget for these and staff shortages prohibit using PACC staff.

She requested said item be removed from the report and a vote was taken. The vote was 9-0 to remove, with Mr. Jacobs abstaining. Mr. Jacobs expressed he felt that per open meeting laws an item mailed out and not included in the agenda packet should not be discussed; Mr. Neuman disagreed. Mr. Jacobs requested a ruling on this point from the County Attorney’s Office. Mr. Neuman went over some edits since he sent out the document. Edits included some specific numbers and details that were originally not available and therefore left blank.

Ms. Hubbard pointed out that many points in the report were PACC accomplishments or items PACC leadership should be reporting, and asked why is the Committee reporting on things it wasn’t involved in doing. Mr. Neuman acknowledged her point, but said the Committee has had some involvement in many of the items. There was discussion on the purpose of the report and possible retitling of the Accomplishments in the report. Dr. Kaluzniacki said that the items were items observed, things that have happened, regardless of direct involvement of the Committee. Ms. Schwerin referred to Accomplishments, item 10, regarding an April 2014 Committee motion, and said the report does not accurately reflect the referred to motion. Mr. Janes recalled two different motions. Mr. Neuman and Ms. Schwerin agreed to work on amending that item. Ms. Schwerin said she disagreed with some of what the report touts as accomplishments to include: increased adoptions; increased live animal release rates; decreased intake; and increased reuniting pets with owners. She repeated her comments from the adoptions item discussion; said cruel and neglectful owners are allowed to retrieve animals
after the owners get citations; and said she disagreed with the Help Desk persuading people to keep animals they don’t want and/or cannot care for. There was some discussion on possible pros and cons of potential adoption and Help Desk scenarios. Dr. Kaluzniacki pointed out that the report states what happened, not that the items are necessarily good or bad.

6. New Business

- Vet Holds and Confiscation Holds

Mr. Neuman said that a while back there was a vote for the Committee to receive more particulars on animals with holds: the reason for the hold; is the animal on medications; is there an anticipated hold duration, and the Committee is not receiving that information. Mr. Janes acknowledged a need to improve information on holds.

- Customer Service Issues at PACC

Mr. Neuman tabled this item.

7. Animal Welfare, Dangerous Animal Cases and Holds for the Month of July

Dr. Kaluzniacki asked how the ten welfare cases presented are selected. Mr. Janes replied that he asked the enforcement staff to look at cases at or near completion and provide a sampling of the various types of cases handled. Dr. Kaluzniacki said the Committee should not just get the well-handled cases, but would like to see more problematic cases. Ms. Schwerin discussed welfare case 5. She objected to the report saying the owner was educated. The dog died at PACC. Ms. Emptage said that the clinic in this case has a standing order where People for Animals would pay the expense for an animal to be put down if the owner could not afford the cost. Ms. Schwerin discussed welfare case 9. Dogs were in cages without water. The enforcement officer offered the owner an informational brochure, but the owner said, “Just write the ticket.” Ms. Schwerin said the officers seldom impound animals, but when they do they usually let the owner take them back. She expressed that many PACC practices are dictated by an unnamed high level official.

8. Donations: 1,237 individuals gave a total of $26,525.07 during the month of July.

There was no discussion on this item.

9. Complaints and Commendations: Four complaints were received by staff during July.

Ms. Schwerin said that in the past the Committee used to get the actual complaints, not just a summary. Mr. Janes said staff can provide the actual complaints and replies.

10. Call to the Audience

There were three speakers from the audience: Kimberly Walker, Kim Silver and Marcie Velen.

Kimberly Walker spoke about the length of time animals are held. She said she knew a shelter director in Washington State and they changed their ordinances for young puppies so they are not
held. She said little puppies are not strays walking down the road. No hold requirement allows for
the dogs to be adopted quicker and reduces the chance of them getting sick in the shelter.
Kim Silver spoke about the Help Desk. She said the partnership between PACC and No Kill Pima
County has been extraordinary. The Help Desk provides education to people who are bringing an
animal to PACC because they think it is the right thing to do, but they don’t always have information
on options. So far 151 animals have been diverted by the Help Desk. She gave an example of an
adopter who took a dog home, didn’t read the information from PACC and did a poor dog
introduction which resulted in a fight. The owner brought the adopted dog back to PACC. The Help
Desk connected the owner with a dog trainer to educate him on the dog introduction. Two weeks later
the Help Desk received an e-mail from the owner with a picture of the two dogs sleeping together.
She said the purpose is information and education, and the Help Desk has a list of veterinarians who
will provide a free visit. She said the Help Desk is not putting animals in danger and there have been
many times when they do feel the shelter is a better place for an animal then with the person who
brought it in, and in those cases they do not work for an alternative to intake.

Marcie Velen invited the Committee to spend time at the Help Desk and see what they do. She said
concerns would be alleviated if time was spent at the Help Desk. The Help Desk is not trying to
persuade people to keep animals they don’t want; they are trying to help those who want their animals,
but didn’t realize they could do something else. The Help Desk scans animals; they don’t send people
home with other owners’ animals. The Help Desk has reunited owners with their animals right in the
parking lot, preventing the pet from even entering the shelter. Ms. Velen said that if an animal looks
abused or afraid of the person who brought it in, they don’t even ask about the individual keeping it or
provide alternatives.

11. Announcements, Schedules and Proposed Agenda Items

Mr. Janes said PACC’s three month participation in the ASPCA Challenge will wind down with a 36
hour weekend event.

There were proposed agenda items, adoption process and post adoption medical care, which were
brought up during other agenda item discussions.

12. Next Meeting – September 18, 2014

There was no discussion on this item.

13. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 7:54 pm
NOTICE
PUBLIC MEETING OF THE
PIMA COUNTY ANIMAL CARE ADVISORY COMMITTEE
August 21, 2014 – 5:30 p.m.
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Road
Tucson, Arizona  85745
Admin Building
(520) 243-7729

Functions of the Committee
1. Serve in an advisory capacity to the Board, and to the Manager of the Pima Animal Care Center; and
2. Review and evaluate the operations of the Center to make recommendations in writing to the Board for the formulation of guidelines to assure that:
   A. The Center's operations are conducted in the best interest of the public health and safety; and
   B. The Center keeps pace with the most modern practices and procedures of animal care and welfare; and
3. Review complaints from the public concerning policies of the Center and make recommendations for resolution to the proper authority.

AGENDA

1. Call to Order
   • Roll Call
   • Establishment of Quorum and Pledge of Allegiance

2. Adoption of Minutes:
   • June 19, 2014 Meeting
   • July 17, 2014 Meeting

3. Call to the Audience

4. Manager’s Report

5. Old Business
   • Update on July 19, 2014 Motion for Resolution for PACC to Remedy Issues Relating to the Care and Welfare of Pets at PACC (Chair Neuman/PACC Management Team)
   • Ideas to Increase the Number of Pima Animal Care Officers (Chair Neuman)
   • Schedule, Number, and Training of DOC Inmates (Chair Neuman/PACC Management Team)
   • Adoption Program (Chair Neuman)
   • Committee Member Input to Semi Annual Board of Supervisors Report (Chair Neuman)

6. New Business
   • Vet Holds and Confiscation Holds (Chair Neuman)
   • Customer Service Issues at PACC (Chair Neuman)

7. Animal Welfare, Dangerous Animal Cases and Holds for the Month of July
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Welfare</th>
<th>Dangerous Dogs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A14-150605</td>
<td>A14-148815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A14-150359</td>
<td>A14-151103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A14-150598</td>
<td>A14-150919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A14-150698</td>
<td>A14-150673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A14-152381</td>
<td>A14-150651</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. Donations: A total of 1,237 individuals gave a total of $26,525.07 in donations during the month of July.

9. Complaints and Commendations: Four complaints and no commendations were received by staff during July.

10. Call to the Audience

11. Announcements, Schedules and Proposed Agenda Items

12. Next Meeting – September 18, 2014

13. Adjournment

Copies of this agenda are available upon request at the Pima County Health Department, 3950 S. Country Club Road, by calling 243-7729 or at www.pima.gov/animalcare.

Should you require ADA accommodations, please contact the Pima County Health Department at 243-7729 five (5) days prior to the meeting.
1. **Call to Order**

Mr. Neuman called the meeting to order at 5:35 pm

- **Attendance**

  Present:
  - Tamara Barrick, Foundation for Animals in Risk
  - Nancy Emptage, Vice-Chair, Animal Welfare Coalition
  - Pat Hubbard, Humane Society of Southern Arizona
  - Derek Marshall, Public Education
  - Jack Neuman, Chair, PACC Volunteers
  - Erin O'Donnell, DVM, Southern AZ Veterinary Medical Association
  - Jane Schwerin, People for Animals in the Prevention of Cruelty and Neglect
  - Kim Janes, Pima Animal Care Center, Ex-Officio

  Absent:
  - Sophia Kaluzniacki, DVM, ASPCA of AZ, Inc
  - Gail Smith, MD, Board of Health
  - Angela Spencer, City of Tucson

- **Pledge of Allegiance**

2. **Adoption of the Minutes of the May 15, 2014 Meeting**

After a brief discussion, the motion was made and seconded (Marshall/Hubbard) that the May 15, 2014 Minutes be adopted as written. The motion carried 6-0. Ms. Schwerin abstained from the vote.

3. **Call to the Audience**

There were no speakers from the audience.

4. **Manager’s Report**

Kim Janes, Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) Chief of External Affairs, addressed the Committee. Mr. Janes’ new assistant Michael Schlueter was reintroduced and venue specific information was shared. Mr. Janes talked about the three-month ASPCA Rachel Ray Challenge. Last year PACC had 3,046 live releases during the same time frame and needs a daily average of 33.11 to achieve that total again. PACC is averaging 42.9 per day during the first 18 days of the Challenge, but would need 54.35 per day to achieve the goal of 5,000 by the end of August. During May 2014 PACC had a live release rate of 80 percent, with a year-to-date rate of 76 percent. In March 2014 the average live release rate was 35.97; in April it was 33; and in May it was 41.2. Discussion turned toward grading performance in the ASPCA Rachel Ray Challenge. There are five divisions in the Challenge. In each
division the best at improving live releases, according to their formula, is awarded $25,000; best overall is worth $100,000; and there are other monetary incentives. Discussion brought out that PACC’s average cost per outcome is approximately $115, per Mr. Janes.

5. **Old Business**

- **Ideas to Increase the Number of Pima Animal Care Center Officers**

  Mr. Neuman discussed that enforcement numbers are down. Mr. Janes responded that there have been less welfare responses; however, they have been more complicated and time consuming. Item to be continued to next meeting.

- **Schedule, Number and Training of Department of Corrections Inmates**

  Mr. Janes reported that the current contract with the Arizona Department of Corrections (DOC) calls for 14 inmates in the morning and eight in the afternoon, but DOC has struggled to provide these numbers and the typical crews are 10 or 11 in the morning and six or seven in the afternoon. Staff is exploring options, including County inmates, to provide a sufficient cleaning workforce. Mr. Neuman asserted that every kennel is not being cleaned every day, stating that recently a number of times in the B Room animals were missed, not getting their kennel cleaned and not getting fed. Mr. Janes stressed that the standard is that animals are fed every day and have their kennel cleaned at least once per day; and he encouraged volunteers to continue to bring these issues up as they see them. Mr. Neuman commented that Ms. Kristen Barney, Chief of Operations, told him that staff cannot get their jobs done because of numerous interruptions from volunteers. He expressed concern that he doesn’t want an “us versus them” dynamic between volunteers and staff. Ms. Emptage interjected that she has recently received a large volume of e-mails from volunteers, and requested that the volunteers go through Mr. Neuman as their representative in lieu of multiple members of the Committee.

- **Tucson Kennel Club Advisory Committee Representative Pat Jacobs**

  Mr. Janes indicated that the Tucson Kennel Club has officially designated Mr. Jacobs as their representative on the Committee, and his appointment information has been sent to the Clerk of the Board for the Board of Supervisors’ ratification of appointment at their July 1 meeting. Once appointed by the Board and Mr. Jacobs completes the Loyalty Oath of Office, he will be an official member of the Committee. Mr. Jacobs was present for the meeting.

6. **New Business**

- **Committee Access to the Pima Animal Care Center Manager**

  This item was brought up by Mr. Neuman (after the Pledge of Allegiance) in relationship to attendance. He asserted that the actual manager of PACC is not present for the Advisory Committee meeting. Recently PACC managerial duties were split between Mr. Janes and Ms. Barney. Mr. Neuman, referring to the by-laws, cited that the Committee serves in an advisory capacity to the Manager of the Pima Animal Care Center, who is designated to serve as an ex-officio member of the Committee, and therefore contended that Ms. Barney, who is the active manager at PACC, should be
present for Committee meetings. Committee members expressed concern that answers to questions could take a long time in the current managerial dynamic. Mr. Janes said there should be no difference in answer flow, in that if an answer is available it is given, and if an answer is not known immediately, then it will be researched and brought to the Committee. He also asserted that he is the PACC Manager for the purposes of the Committee, as assigned when the duties were split.

The motion was made and seconded (Schwerin/Marshall) that the Committee requests Ms. Barney attend the Committee meetings. The motion carried 7-0.

- Advisory Committee Meeting Venue

Ms. Emptage bought up that she felt the Committee meetings should be held at PACC. Mr. Janes pointed out that changes are being made, with forums and discussions being held about animal welfare, not just inside the PACC facility, but in all areas. He continued that he is open to discussion on the Committee’s role and input.

The motion was made and seconded (Neuman/Hubbard) that the primary Committee meeting location be at PACC, except when circumstances warrant differently. The motion carried 7-0.

- Staff Requesting Committee Support of Community Cat Project Contract

Mr. Janes indicated staff is in the final stages of forming an agreement with Best Friends Animal Society to provide for an infrastructure for a community cat trap, neuter and return (TNR) project, which is a shift from a trap and kill route. Best Friends will trap and receive community cats brought to the shelter, take them to be neutered and return them to where they came from. Ms. Emptage expressed concern for cats being returned to areas where homeowners do not want the cats present. Mr. Janes said the program includes an infrastructure to help address community concerns.

The motion was made and seconded (Hubbard/Neuman) that the Committee support this project contract. The motion carried 5-2 (Emptage, Schwerin – opposed).

Ms. Emptage requested mandatory spay and neuter be an agenda item at the next meeting.

- Staff Requesting Committee Support of Proposed Ordinance Change to Amend Pima County Code 6.04.090 and 6.04.180 to Allow Release of Unclaimed, Unaltered Animals to a Duly Incorporated Humane Society or Other Nonprofit Corporate Organization Devoted to the Welfare, Protection and Humane Treatment of Animals

Mr. Janes indicated the Pima County Attorney’s office requested that the code be change to allow for unaltered animals to be transferred to a humane society or other nonprofit animal welfare organization, subject to the same animal altering requirements. Currently the only outcomes specified in code are adoption and euthanasia; and currently the code does not allow for unaltered animals to leave the facility. The change streamlines and cleans up the release of animals to rescue partners. Ms. Emptage voiced concern that in the past a rescue organization provided animals for veterinary training, which is not placing an animal in a suitable home. Mr. Janes pointed out that neither the current code nor the proposed ordinance can prevent that potential problem, and if such a practice is
discovered, then PACC would cease its relationship with the organization. Ms. Schwerin expressed concern that ownership is transferred without alteration; there is no proof of sterilization; no inspection of records; no monitoring or inspection. In response to a question Mr. Janes said there is a process to confirm the organization is valid, but it doesn’t involve inspection. It was also pointed out that Ms. Schwerin is reworking these codes and will have a proposal in the near future. Mr. Janes requested the committee move to recommend the proposed ordinance to the Board of Supervisors.

The motion was made and seconded (Hubbard/O’Donnell) that the Committee move to recommend the proposed ordinance as requested by Mr. Janes. The motion carried 4-3: (Barrick, Hubbard, Marshall, O’Donnell – for) (Emptage, Neuman, Schwerin – opposed).

- At Large Animal Attacks and Vet Care

Ms. Emptage shared knowledge of a number of instances where individuals are walking their dogs on a leash when an at-large dog, typically pit bull or shepherd mix, attacks the owned dog. The attacking dog runs away. She voiced concern over the veterinary costs for the dog owners and the process to hold dog owners responsible for expenses caused by their loose dog. She posed the questions what can be done; what do we tell people? She indicated PACC typically does not respond to at-large dog complaints. Mr. Janes indicated PACC responded to 57 animal attacks in May, but an in-progress attack is more of a priority than one that is over. Ms. Emptage asked about the national standard for enforcement officers and Mr. Janes said he would seek out that information.

- Tent Utilization

Mr. Neuman inquired about tent utilization. Mr. Janes indicated there are 40 kennels not in use. The usage was cut back in response to noise complaints. Additionally in the summer heat, the temperature has also been a concern. No new tent noise complaints have been lodged in the last week, and staff is pursuing solutions to facilitate permanent full occupancy.

- Committee Recognizing Pima Animal Care Center Staff

Ms. Emptage initiated discussion, saying staff needs a pat on the pack, and suggesting some type of employee recognition. Discussion brought out that the Committee had a high level of appreciation for staff; however, although awards and recognitions lift some up, they also can draw ire from others. Mr. Janes briefly touched on the Department’s “Happy Gram” peer recognition and said he would be sure to pass on the Committee’s appreciation of staff.

- Representative of the Disabled Community on the Committee

There were no prospective representatives of the disabled community present seeking a position on the Committee. Staff will check on the individual expected to address the Committee.

- Use of Kennels (specifically change to front adoption kennels to include reasoning behind which dogs get individual kennels and plans for individual kennels for all)
Mr. Neuman spoke about the adoption row kennels that are being utilized as 18 larger two sided kennels instead of 36 kennels. He reported hearing two different reasons for this practice, one was safety and the other was dogs are more likely to be adopted out of the bigger kennels. However, he argued this practice has a negative impact in the other kennels, including safety issues and lesser likely adoptions for animals grouped together, as well as the feeding issues (next item). Mr. Janes pointed out that increased adoption numbers, from 33 per day in April, to 41 in May, to 43 so far in June, have been in conjunction with going to the practice in discussion. Mr. Neuman requested to know where the dogs were adopted from. Mr. Janes acknowledged that there is stress for the animals, but pointed out that fewer animals have to die than did six months or a year ago. He concluded by saying we do need to continue to work on this.

- Feeding of Dogs

Mr. Neuman stated that it is an ongoing practice to feed multiple dogs together when they are kept in the same kennel. He said fights break out and some animals don’t eat. Staff has informed him that the statistics don’t show dogs are fighting; however, if staff is not present to witness the fight, then it will not be recorded in the statistics. Mr. Neuman said he recently went to PACC ten times during feeding time and there were fights every time, but staff was not present to witness the fights he observed. Mr. Neuman requested this issue be addressed. Suggestions included reconsidering the kennel usage practices on adoption row (item above); rotating animals into the adoption row kennels; and feeding dogs while on their walks.

As part of new business, Mr. Marshall requested PACC signage on Interstate 10 and the reevaluation policy for animals returned by adopters, for behavioral issues, be agenda items at the next meeting.

7. Animal Welfare, Dangerous Animal Cases and Holds for the Month of May

There was no discussion on this item.

8. Donations: 1,330 individuals gave a total of $24,936 during the month of May.

Mr. Janes indicated this is only monetary donations.

9. Complaints and Commendations: Three complaints and one commendation were received by staff during May.

There was no discussion on this item.

10. Call to the Audience

There were three speakers from the audience: Cathy Neuman, Marcie Velen and Mariana Parker.

Ms. Neuman said she volunteers at PACC four to five days a week and sees issues like the group feeding of dogs. She said there is a dog fight every day. Sometimes the volunteers identify a thin dog that hasn’t been eating, but sadly it takes days to notice and the dog is half starved. The group feeding practice needs to be addressed. She echoed Mr. Neuman’s comments (New Business – Use of Kennels) saying the adoption row kennel practice causes a loss of 18 “prime real estate” kennels. Ms.
Neuman pointed out that volunteers do this work, not for pay, not to get attention not to get thanked but because we love the dogs and cats. We want to be with them; we want their lives to be good. She said she was disheartened when she heard that Ms. Barney said the volunteers are getting in the way and part of the problem and the reason they can’t get their jobs done. She then relayed a story about Osito as an example. She told about finding a small dog, Osito, cowering in a kennel with larger dogs. The small dog had a wrap on his mid-section. The wrap was around his penis, which was severely swollen. The dog had stitches that were ripped open and was bleeding. Ms. Neuman took Osito to Dr. Wilcox who indicated there was no record of how or why Osito was in the condition Ms. Neuman found him, and added that her intervention saved the dog’s life.

Marcie Velen, from No Kill Pima County, said that cats, due to the layout of PACC, do not get nearly as much traffic and attention. Her point was that issues with dogs are also issues with cats; they just go unseen more. She also said she works the Help Desk and in approximately four weeks the Help Desk has successfully encouraged 45 diversions and received a matching pledge of up to $2,500 for the Help Desk.

Mariana Parker, also a volunteer at PACC, spoke about difficulties in getting animals out to rescue groups in a timely manner. She said animals requiring medical care are not being cleared in time. Ms. Parker read a statement from a rescue partner regarding Wiggles the boxer. The rescue partner was at PACC one morning to pick up the dog and requested Wiggles’ legs get rewrapped since the dog removed the bandages and was biting at the open wounds. The person was told that it would be done in the afternoon. The person was also told she couldn’t take the animal because he wasn’t cleared by Dr. Wilcox who wasn’t present. After much effort the dog was finally released. Another example given was an attempted rescue of a cat with an injured eye. It took two weeks for the rescue group to get the cat. Mr. Parker added that there is an issue concerning watering animals in the back where water buckets are used. She said a volunteer observed an employee fill a bucket with a high powered hose through the chain link, soaking the kennel and dogs in the process. Ms. Parker requested the watering procedure be addressed to prevent this.

11. Announcements and Schedules

Mr. Janes: The art auction scheduled for June 19 has been postponed. Ms. Hubbard: The Humane Society is providing a distemper/parvo vaccination clinic on June 28 on Roger Road starting at 7:00. Mr. Neuman: There is a roller derby event Saturday benefitting an animal welfare organization.

12. Next Meeting – July 17, 2014

There was no discussion on this item.

13. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 8:05 pm.
Call to Order

Mr. Neuman called the meeting to order at 5:31 pm

Attendance

Present:
Tamara Barrick, Foundation for Animals in Risk
Nancy Emptage, Vice-Chair, Animal Welfare Coalition
Pat Hubbard, Humane Society of Southern Arizona
Pat Jacobs, Tucson Kennel Club
Sophia Kaluzniacki, DVM, ASPCA of AZ, Inc
Derek Marshall, Public Education
Jack Neuman, Chair, PACC Volunteers
Erin O'Donnell, DVM, Southern AZ Veterinary Medical Association
Jane Schwerin, People for Animals in the Prevention of Cruelty and Neglect
Gail Smith, MD, Board of Health
Kim Janes, Pima Animal Care Center, Ex-Officio

Absent:
Angela Spencer, City of Tucson

Pledge of Allegiance

Adoption of the Minutes of the June 19, 2014 Meeting

Mr. Neuman produced a page of edits from Ms. Neuman regarding her call to the audience comments. Mr. Janes said we should double check the edits against the recording.

The motion was made and seconded (Hubbard/Kaluzniacki) that the June 19, 2014 Minutes be adopted as written with the incorporation of Ms. Neuman’s edits after review against the meeting recordings. Mr. Jacobs objected to the motion saying neither the public nor the Committee have had access to the corrections. Mr. Neuman then read the edits. The motion carried 9-0. Mr. Jacobs abstained from the vote.

Call to the Audience

There were no speakers from the audience.

Manager’s Report

Kim Janes, Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) Chief of External Affairs, offered no comments on the manager’s report.

Old Business

Representative of the Disabled Community on the Committee
Mr. Janes said Ms. Helen Mendelsohn was one of four individuals who had expressed interest in filling the position on the Committee. The other three dropped out; Ms. Mendelsohn was present; and her letter of interest was in the meeting packet. Mr. Janes offered that the Committee could vote on whether to approve of Ms. Mendelsohn being part of the Committee, pending approval by the Board of Supervisors, or re-solicit interest. His recommendation was to approve adding Ms. Mendelsohn to the Committee.

The motion was made and seconded (Kaluzniacki/ Hubbard) that the Committee approve of Ms. Mendelsohn as a member of the Committee, pending approval by the Board of Supervisors. The motion carried 10-0.

• Ideas to Increase the Number of Pima Animal Care Center Officers

There was discussion that there had been a recommendation to increase the number of animal care officers, by four positions. Mr. Janes indicated the recommendation was taken to the chain of command and it is under consideration, but no action has been taken yet. He said he would communicate with the Director about the Committee’s desire to get the staff added as soon as possible.

• Proposed Ordinance Change to Amend Pima County Code 6.04.180 Adding Further Conditions For Releasing Pets

Mr. Neuman pointed out this ordinance was not voted on by the Board of Supervisors. Mr. Janes expressed appreciation for work by Ms. Emptage, Ms. Schwerin and the County Attorney’s Office on the ordinance. He added that he originally was under the impression that the ordinance itself had to be advertised at least 15 days prior to it being heard by the Board of Supervisors, but now understands it is the hearing to discuss the ordinance that must be advertised; therefore there is still some time to fine tune the ordinance before it goes to the Board. The version provided in the meeting packet is trimmed down from the version voted on at the last Committee meeting and was approved by the County Attorney’s Office. Mr. Janes pointed out that the Best Friends community cat project (also voted on at the last meeting), with its $900,000 worth of resources over three years, is tied to the ordinance change in that the new code would allow for participation while the current disposition of animals code does not.

Mr. Neuman provided one page of alternative ordinance language he said he received from Mr. Bagwell in Supervisor Elias’ office. The page was titled Jane Schwerin’s Proposed Subsection B. Ms. Schwerin verbally expressed two minor edits to have the paragraph read as follows.

B. As a condition of any transfer of animals under Subsection A.3., the Pima Animal Care Center shall verify that any organization that receives animals is organized for the pursuit of animal welfare activities, is actively engaged in those activities, does not breed or release unsterilized animals, releases animals only through community cat programs or through adoption into suitable homes after first being sterilized, and complies with the sterilization, placement, and all other applicable provisions of this section, this chapter, this title and other applicable laws. Such verification shall include announced and unannounced inspections of the organization’s facilities and records. The Pima Animal Care Center may repossess any animals and their offspring from any organization that is not in compliance with these conditions, and shall repossess these animals if the organization is not in compliance with the mandates set forth in Subsection A.3., or if the organization or its personnel violate a cruelty law. Any organization wishing to receive animals must agree in writing to the terms of this Section.
Ms. Schwerin went over her version. She was against the use of the word “primarily” in the version provided by staff, wherein it stated, “primarily organized for the pursuit of animal welfare activities.” She said cautioned that we don’t know what other activities would be. She pointed out the language prohibiting organizations that breed animals from receiving PACC animals, saying this is to prevent groups from keeping and breeding animals from PACC for a number of years before eventually sterilizing them and placing them in a suitable home. The language only allows rescue organizations to release sterilized animals and only allows for release of animals to a suitable home or through a community cat program. This is designed to prevent, for one example, the release for the purpose of veterinary training. She also stressed the “shall repossess” portion of the language.

Discussion brought out that a number of groups do both rescue and breeding activities. The aforementioned ordinance language would not allow transfer of PACC animals to organizations doing both. Ms. Emptage said this provision is to prevent breeders from retrieving an animal unaltered under the guise of being a rescue foster. There were questions and speculations about whether or not individuals who are employees of, or volunteers with rescue organizations are allowed to breed animals independent of the organization without disqualifying the organization under the “not breed” requirement. In response to a question Mr. Janes said currently PACC alters all the animals possible prior to placing them with a rescue group. However, if the animal is not altered, it is stipulated in writing that the rescue organization will rehabilitate the animal and alter it as soon as possible, and not allow it to become pregnant. In response to another question Mr. Janes indicated there are several breed specific rescue organizations that PACC has been working with.

The motion was made and seconded (Hubbard/Smith) that the Committee approve of the ordinance as edited by Ms. Schwerin. The motion carried (10-0).

- **Schedule, Number and Training of Department of Corrections Inmates**

Mr. Janes reported that the issues with the Department of Corrections inmate shortages still exist as reported at the last meeting. Alternatively, staff had a very positive meeting with Pima County Adult Detention Center (jail) leadership and it looks like the jail will be able to meet PACC’s need for inmates. However, there are logistical issues that will need to be worked out first and these issues might take months to work through.

6. **New Business**

- **Free Adoptions**

Mr. Neuman said there had been a lot of discussion by the volunteers about free adoptions and he had printed out about 15 to 20 pages of comments regarding free adoptions; most comments were not in favor of free adoptions. Comments include that such a practice would diminish the value of the animals. Mr. Neuman said he intended to circulate the comments to the other Committee members.

Mr. Neuman added that there recently was a meeting which included the Adoption Coordinator (Ellie Beaubien) and the volunteer Adoption Counselors. He said he received feedback from approximately 17 of the volunteer Adoption Counselors who attended. He referred to the adoption survey which asks things like do you have another dog and do you have a fenced yard. He then pointed out that when an Adoption Counselor tries to say a certain animal is not a good fit based on survey information, they are told to let the animal go to the adopter, because the individual is cleared for an animal. Mr. Neuman suggested there could be negative consequences resulting from this practice, such as returned dogs or a dog jumping a fence and then biting someone. He questioned what the purpose of the survey is if it’s not being used to
match individuals to appropriate dogs. He said that the Adoption Counselors are not in concert with Adoption Coordinator. Ms. Schwerin pointed out that the code calls for placement in a “suitable home,” therefore the code allows for determination of suitable or unsuitable matches.

During the Adoption Coordinator / Adoption Counselors discussion Mr. Jacobs made a point to object to the discussion, questioning what it had to do with free adoptions or any other item on the agenda.

- Customer Service

Mr. Newman said he had placed this item on the agenda, but now wishes to table the item.

- Progress Report-Shelter Managers-Chief of External Affairs, Chief of Operations, Shelter Manager

Mr. Neuman requested Mr. Janes talk about his progress report. Mr. Janes referred to the document dated July 14, 2014 regarding the July 17, 2014 Advisory Committee Agenda Items. Mr. Janes said the document was in response to requests and agenda items. The ordinance was already discussed under old business. Mr. Janes discussed the leadership modification by referring to an updated organizational chart for PACC, as well as Functional Job Descriptions for the Chief of External Affairs, the Chief of Operations and the Shelter Operations Manager, all provided to the Committee (all attached). He pointed out the significant accomplishments section (page 2) of the July14 document and read the section about key events facing the Center (page 2).

Mr. Neuman said he had asked for an update as to what it was that had occurred as a result of splitting up the shelter manager’s position three different ways into the positions currently held by Mr. Janes, Ms. Barney and Mr. Ocano. Mr. Janes stated that Mr. Ocano’s job didn’t change and that prior to the leadership modification Mr. Janes was not doing any of Mr. Ocano’s current job duties. Mr. Janes said Mr. Ocano manages the shelter section, not the Center. The animal care center manager position was split in two with Mr. Janes being assigned by the Health Department Director as retaining the ex-officio member position on the Advisory Committee. Mr. Janes said that an accomplishment relating to the leadership split, from his perspective, is that he was able to devote appropriate attention to the ordinance change, which he would not have been able to do while dealing with the day to day operations of the Center. He added that interactions with cities and towns are now getting necessary attention as well.

Mr. Neuman asserted that many of the accomplishments cited in the report largely have been due to volunteers. He continued that items in the list don’t address issues the Committee has been talking about for almost four years. He passed out a list entitled Lack of PACC Support and Action, (attached) which included 22 items. He said he walked through PACC today and it looked good, but that was because staff knew Committee members were doing a walk through. The 22 items were things he said haven’t been corrected in four years.

Mr. Neuman passed out a written motion (attached) to permanently remedy the restated 22 items within 60 days. The motion also addressed an extension, if required.

Mr. Jacobs asked what agenda item the motion was related to, to which Mr. Neuman replied to the Advisory Committee Executive Secretary’s memorandum meeting feedback and update which included the accomplishments of the three different positions, Mr. Ocano’s, Ms. Barney’s and Mr. Janes positions. Mr. Jacobs expressed concern in that he felt the public should have had 24 hours’ notice of the proposed action.
There was discussion back and forth as to whether or not to proceed or delay the motion to the next month’s meeting. Discussion also touched on Ms. Barney not attending meetings and possibly requesting she attend the next meeting. Mr. Janes said he would pass on any such a request, if made, to the Director for consideration.

The written motion made by Mr. Neuman was seconded by Dr. Kaluzniacki. The motion carried (9-0). Mr. Jacobs abstained from the vote.

A motion was made and seconded (Marshall/Kaluzniacki) that Ms. Barney and Mr. Ocano be present at the next Advisory Committee meeting to discuss an update on the previous motion of 22 items. The motion carried (9-0). Mr. Jacobs abstained from the vote, saying he believed the action violated open meeting law.

After the vote Mr. Neuman said the meeting will be “here” (at the regular PACC venue). Discussion then turned to the possibility of taking the Committee’s objection to Ms. Barney not attending the Committee meetings as requested, to the Board of Supervisors; the Committee’s reporting to the Board in general; and who sees the Committee’s draft Board of Supervisors report prior to it being signed. Mr. Janes cautioned that electronic exchanges of information back and forth involving the entire Committee could be considered holding meetings without announcing them.

- Update on Volunteer Program

Mr. Janes had provided a document (attached) for this agenda item. There was no discussion on this item.

- Advisory Committee Executive Secretary’s July 1, 2014 memorandum RE: June 2014 Meeting Feedback and Update

Mr. Janes had provided a document (attached) dated July 1, 2014 on this item. There was no discussion.

- Mandatory Spay / Neuter

Ms. Emptage said she had placed this item on the agenda, but now wishes to shelf the item. Ms. Hubbard pointed out that members of the audience came to speak regarding this agenda item; and Mr. Neuman said they may speak at the second call to the audience.

- Animal Care Center Related Road Signage

Mr. Marshall said that per the Department of Transportation (DOT) between 140,000 and 180,000 cars travel on Interstate 10 (I10) by exit 252 (El Camino del Cerro) daily and he was interested in getting PACC signage on I10 on either side of that exit. He added that there already is a sign on El Camino del Cerro directing drivers to PACC. Mr. Janes’ office had already done some research on this and DOT said PACC did not meet their criteria for signage; however, there is a process to appeal for an exception which Mr. Janes’ office will look into.

7. Animal Welfare, Dangerous Animal Cases and Holds for the Month of June

There was no discussion on this item.

8. Donations: 1,301 individuals gave a total of $30,389 during the month of June.
Mr. Neuman referred to the June donations breakdown and asked where the general donations dollars, $12,833.35 in June, goes. Mr. Janes said he would have to look it up specifically, but much of that amount, over $7,500, went for a washer and dryer. He added that $2,000 was a small grant that went for advertising. He discussed that general donations dollars are used for whatever the need is and could be used a few months later, with expanded medical expenses often being the target of these funds.

9. Complaints and Commendations: Three complaints and one commendation were received by staff during May.

Ms. Emptage made it a point to commend Mr. Janes for his work, even while on vacation, on the ordinance.
There was no other discussion on this item.

10. Call to the Audience

There were five speakers from the audience: Sara Dent, Michael Santos, Jessica Shuman, Helen Mendelsohn and Marcie Velen.

Ms. Dent identified herself as a concerned citizen, attorney, animal person and rescue board member. She spoke against mandatory spay and neuter (SN) programs, saying they haven’t succeeded in reducing shelter intakes and are not supported by any major animal welfare agencies, including Best Friends. She does, however, support low cost SN programs. She contended that mandatory SN programs punish the poor and that pet owners primarily do not alter their animals due to lack of resources, not due to obstinacy or by choice. She added that mandatory SN is hard to enforce and a waste of PACC’s limited resources. Ms. Dent said the ordinance seems unnecessary and seems to be cutting off resources when agreements are already in place. She also discussed that breeders would not use an un-papered shelter animal for breeding.

Mr. Santos identified himself as the founder and President of All American Bully Buddies Rescue, and former board member and current volunteer with No Kill Pima County. He said Ms. Dent addressed most of his issues, but added that mandatory SN often targets specific breeds, more often than not, pit bulls. He said breed discriminatory legislation will be met with considerable opposition.

Ms. Shuman introduced herself as the Director of Tucson Cares, an animal welfare and advocacy organization, a board member with No Kill Pima County, a PACC volunteer, and Chair of the Trap, Neuter Return Committee of the Pima Alliance for Animal Welfare. She encourage the Advisory Committee to do research before considering things such as mandatory SN programs, which she said increase impounds and owner relinquishments, which then increases shelter killing. She said that free adoptions moves animals out of the shelter. She used the word “shameful” to express her opinion of the few dissenting Trap Neuter Release votes (June meeting), citing the program as lifesaving and pointing out PACC’s lack of resources for meeting community needs. Ms. Shuman said she appreciates the suggestions to help dogs at PACC, but said that cat conditions are often overlooked and need improvement also. She requested cats be on the agenda. She suggested the Committee offer solutions, not just demands; cautioned that low resources make issues hard to address; and cautioned that pressure to achieve certain improvements could result in what she called heavy handed marking. She went on to give examples of approximately eight animals that were marked for euthanasia for different reasons that included hair loss, pregnancy, jumping a fence, being overweight and ringworm.
Ms. Mendelsohn referred to her service dog, Hope, and said Hope was a rescue animal from a rescue that also breeds. She said the breeder would not use a rescue animal for breeding. She encouraged the Committee to let more rescue groups take animals (from PACC) or there will be more euthanasia.

Ms. Velen said there are a number of issues that different animal welfare agencies don’t agree on, but they all agree that mandatory SN is not a solution. She said mandatory SN makes people afraid to bring in their animals for vaccinations and veterinary care; drives down licensing; increases euthanasia; and is difficult to enforce. She added that the ordinance got out of hand. She said it would be nice for the list of 22 items to be on the agenda, but added that the cat conditions are worse.

11. Announcements and Schedules

Mr. Janes said PACC’s Christmas in July adoption event will be this Saturday, and added that the Center is attempting to have 90 percent of the adoptable animals altered for the event, so that the animals are ready to go to their new homes.

12. Next Meeting – August 17, 2014

There was no discussion on this item.

13. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 7:47 pm
## PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER
### ADVISORY COMMITTEE
### JULY 2014 OPERATIONAL REPORT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SHELTER OPERATIONS</th>
<th>THIS MONTH</th>
<th>THIS YEAR TO DATE</th>
<th>LAST YEAR TO DATE</th>
<th>YEAR TO YEAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DOGS</td>
<td>726</td>
<td>698</td>
<td>1,424</td>
<td>1,392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATS</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>724</td>
<td>744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHERS</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL ANIMALS HANDLED</strong></td>
<td>1,175</td>
<td>1,068</td>
<td>2,243</td>
<td>2,213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DELTA %+/‐</td>
<td>10% (+)</td>
<td>1% (+)</td>
<td>1% (+)</td>
<td>1% (+)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMPounded ANIMALS</th>
<th>ADOPTed</th>
<th>RETURNED TO OWNER</th>
<th>RESCUed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DOGS</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATS</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL ADOPTED</strong></td>
<td>452</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DELTA %+/‐</td>
<td>262 (+)</td>
<td>29 (+)</td>
<td><strong>18%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Live Animals Handled</th>
<th>1,080</th>
<th>1,004</th>
<th>2,094</th>
<th>2,084</th>
<th>1,152</th>
<th>829</th>
<th>1,981</th>
<th>1,152</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DELTA %+/‐</td>
<td>42 (+)</td>
<td>6 (+)</td>
<td><strong>3%</strong></td>
<td><strong>3%</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EUTHANIZED</th>
<th>DOGS</th>
<th>CATS</th>
<th>OTHER</th>
<th><strong>TOTAL EUTHANIZED</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DOGS</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATS</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL EUTHANIZED</strong></td>
<td>217</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Euthanasia Rate</strong></td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td><strong>27%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENFORCEMENT OPERATIONS</th>
<th>Welfare Responses</th>
<th><strong>ENFORCEMENT CALLS FOR SERVICE</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>230</td>
<td>1,621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>81</td>
<td>942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>311</td>
<td>2,563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>271</td>
<td>1,621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>92</td>
<td>942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>254</td>
<td>2,563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>81</td>
<td>1,564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>140</td>
<td>975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>131</td>
<td>2,539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>268</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>TOTAL SOLD</strong></td>
<td><strong>TOTAL SOLD</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4,299</td>
<td>4,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4,500</td>
<td>8,799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4,299</td>
<td>4,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8,799</td>
<td>4,495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>9,993</strong></td>
<td><strong>9,993</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Total Live Releases (TLR) = Total Adopted + Total Returned + Total Rescued
**Live Release Rate = TLR / (TLR + Adjusted Total Euthanasia)
***Euthanasia Rate = (Adjusted Total Euthanasia) / (TLR + Adjusted Total Euthanasia)
Motion for Resolution for PACC to permanently remedy the following PACC issues within 60 days. If an extension is required, action plans and a progress report are to be submitted to the PACC Advisory Committee members no later than September 8, 2014.

1. Dogs not to be fed together because it causes fights and results in many dogs not eating.
2. Large dogs not to be placed with small dogs, young dogs not to be placed with elderly dogs, etc. unless they are bonded.
3. Meet and greet areas to be cleaned and sanitized on a regular basis.
4. Water bowls in the front kennels to be continually cleaned.
5. More help with adoptions.
6. Dogs with cones and small dogs always be provided with low water dishes so they can reach them.
7. Dogs that come in together and are bonded not be separated.
8. Kennels be used to maximize individual space for dogs.
9. U-Bay be discontinued and a humane alternative be made.
10. Small “B cages” be cleaned on a more regular basis and animals in these cages be taken out more often due to the cramped conditions.
11. More inmates and a contingency plan for the inmates.
12. A floor manager to be present and affirmatively manage the shelter conditions.
13. Toys to be cleaned and sanitized and placed in a central area for use.
14. A supervisor/manager to be present and available during operating hours.
15. Dogs with bad teeth be consistently given soft food.
16. Mother dogs consistently have food, preferably soft food.
17. Mother dogs and their puppies be placed in kennels located in one area that may be less noisy and away from the flow of traffic to assist with stress.
18. All dogs consistently be provided with bedding.
19. A better system so all kennels always have kennel cards and have cards that actually match the animals in the kennel at all times.
20. A computer or kiosk so the volunteers can readily look up animal information to assist with adoptions.
21. All sanitizer stations, disinfectant bottles, ear protection and first-aid stations be filled on a regular basis.
22. A consistent and timely evaluation process so animals can be placed for adoption as soon as possible.
August 14, 2014

Chair and Members, Pima Animal Care Center Advisory Committee

RE: July 17, 2014 Meeting Feedback and Update
Dear Chair and Members,

The following information is provided for your consideration and information.

During the July 17, 2014 Advisory Committee Meeting, the Committee introduced a list of items for PACC to address. The following is in response to these issues:

The twenty two items on this list are items that the shelter too has recognized as areas of concern. We also have many other concerns which are not on the list, in the way our cats are housed and cared for. Many of these concerns are the result of an overcrowded shelter. PACC is continuing to treat and save more animals. We are also housing and caring for more animals. We have recently been caring for around 900 dogs and cats. Based on a staffing formula by the National Animal Care and Control Association (NACA), to humanely care for 900 animals, we would need a staff of 75. We currently have 25 shelter staff, including program coordinators and managers. With this staffing model, we are unable to provide the level of care we desire, or is the national standard without significant assistance from others.

We agree that these areas of concern are important and should be rectified. Jose Ocano, Shelter Operations Manager, has recently identified volunteer opportunities that would require specialized training and would address many of the listed concerns including: cleaned water bowls, checking that each animal has the appropriate bowls for their size and condition, better matches for kennel mates, refreshed or refilled soft food for appropriate animals, bedding in all kennels, and frequent spot cleaning.

The partnership with the Arizona Department of Corrections has proved challenging. PACC leadership has been in discussions with the Pima County Jail to provide inmate services. Some of the benefits of this program are more inmates in each shift and a corrections officer trained in proper cleaning techniques to supervise the work. There are multiple pieces that need to be put in place before we can launch this program, but PACC is working feverishly to have this change in place.
Very recently the Community Cat Program and associated ordinance were passed. In partnership with Best Friends Animal Society and PetSmart Charities, PACC will be able to address the issue of community cats while also freeing up valuable space and resources for the shelter, as many community cats will be altered and returned to their outdoor home.

Additionally, the PACC management team has been looking at large picture strategies to improve the level of care with the current level of resources- resources that must also cover much more than the just the shelter portion of PACC’s operation. We are examining best practices for intake, fast track adoptions, shortening hold times, and making owner redemption easier and more educational to reunite families and avoid repeat offenses. It is these big picture strategies that need to be examined and implemented for us to meet our standards of care while continuing to save more lives than ever before.

The PACC management team understands the level of care we are working to achieve. We desire much more for our animals than we are currently able to provide. By pulling resources from one area to tend to another, we do not solve the problem. The result is another program or another room full of animals that isn’t getting the care or advocacy that they deserve. PACC is looking at big picture solutions to humanely operate within our current resources. We are asking for the support of the volunteers, the community, and the advisory committee to help us find and implement these solutions.

Respectfully,

Kristin Barney
Chief of Operations
and
PACC Management Team
Insufficient Care of Animals Model

Causes of Overcrowding:
- Insufficient care of animals
- Open admission shelter
- Added restrictions on who can adopt
- Insufficient staffing of inmates
- Treating more animals

$0 marketing budget to promote adoptions

Decreasing Euthanasia; trying to save the savable

Decreasing length of stay

Community Cat Project

Managed admission

Following adoption driven capacity model

Following national standards for adoption programs

Changing Inmate vendor

Streamlining redemption process
## HOLD TYPE ENFORCEMENT

### A14-143538

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K14-156507</th>
<th>A473734</th>
<th>DOG</th>
<th>HYPER</th>
<th>CANE CORSO/</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/14/14 QUARANTINE</td>
<td>EXPOSURE</td>
<td>AGGRESSIVE</td>
<td>Activity: A14-143538</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment: no bite/ NO CHIP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOLD FOR DD ASSESSMENT</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hold for wildlife exposure. 1942</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| D070 |
| R |

JCHAVEZ 5/12/14 16:56
4-1-14 Dog Hyper under wildlife exposure quarantine (QRD 9-9-14). Cannot be released to owner as no proof of rabies vaccination per 1911 Tenkate and 1999 Dr. Lilley. 1942 Eckelbarger

### A14-149152

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K14-168634</th>
<th>A491214</th>
<th>DOG</th>
<th>TERRIER/POODLE MIN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8/12/14 QUARANTINE</td>
<td>OTC</td>
<td>NORMAL</td>
<td>Activity: A14-149152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment: 3c3c3c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B14-020599</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| D001 |
| R |

### A14-151260

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K14-166091</th>
<th>A407474</th>
<th>DOG</th>
<th>KING</th>
<th>GERM SHEPHERD/MIX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7/10/14 OWNER SUR FIELD OWN</td>
<td>ILL SEVERE</td>
<td>Activity: A14-151260</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment: chip #0A13363757. 2021t</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C 3C 3C 3C 3C 3C 3C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vet hold when enforcement hold is over.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| D101 |
| R |

### A14-151877

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K14-166796</th>
<th>A371230</th>
<th>DOG</th>
<th>MAX</th>
<th>PIT BULL/MIX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7/18/14 CONFISCATE POLICE</td>
<td>ILL SEVERE</td>
<td>Activity: A14-151877</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment: Pending Felony</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| D068 |
| R |

### A14-152275

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K14-167231</th>
<th>A489319</th>
<th>DOG</th>
<th>MS PRINCE</th>
<th>AM PIT BULL TER/</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7/24/14 CONFISCATE</td>
<td>CRUELTY</td>
<td>NORMAL</td>
<td>Activity: A14-152275</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment: possible felony via Detective Crehan/1923</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| D065 |
| R |

### A14-152683

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K14-167743</th>
<th>A489958</th>
<th>DOG</th>
<th>DACHSHUND/</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7/30/14 QUARANTINE</td>
<td>FIELD OWN</td>
<td>NORMAL</td>
<td>Activity: A14-152683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment: dog did bite/no chip found</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/30/14 17:26 Owner called; memo.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| D066 |
| R |

### A14-152900

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K14-168007</th>
<th>A422992</th>
<th>DOG</th>
<th>LOLA</th>
<th>PIT BULL/MIX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8/13/14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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8/2/14 STRAY FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-152900
Kennel Comment: chip #0A13451A3E. ANIMAL AGGRESSIVE. NO OTHER DOGS. 2021RT
3c 3c 3c 3c 3c 3c

A14-152951
K14-168089 A490393 DOG EL CHAPO PIT BULL/
8/2/14 CONFISCATE CRUELTY INJ SEVERE Activity:A14-152951
Kennel Comment: On To Do List -
3c3c3c3c3c
vet hold when enforcemnt hold is over.00

A14-153184
K14-168375 A490806 DOG SHIH TZU/
8/6/14 STRAY FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-153184
Kennel Comment: 3c3c3c3c

K14-168377 A490807 DOG SHIH TZU/MIX
8/6/14 STRAY FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-153184
Kennel Comment: 3c3c3c3c

K14-168378 A490808 DOG POODLE MIN/MIX
8/6/14 STRAY FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-153184
Kennel Comment: 3c3c3c3c

K14-168379 A490809 DOG POODLE MIN/SHIH TZU
8/6/14 STRAY FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-153184
Kennel Comment: 3c3c3c3c

K14-168380 A490810 DOG MALTESE/MIX
8/6/14 STRAY FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-153184
Kennel Comment: 3c3c3c3c

K14-168381 A490820 DOG POODLE MIN/MIX
8/6/14 STRAY FIELD OWN NORMAL Activity:A14-153184
Kennel Comment: 3c3c3c3c

A14-153307
K14-168540 A491070 DOG BORDER COLLIE/MIX
8/8/14 CONFISCATE EVICTION NORMAL Activity:A14-153307
Kennel Comment: NO CHIP
3c3c3c3c
owner P259477 MICHELLE SHELLMIRE

reserved by PETRINI MARY ANN P347768

A14-153317
K14-168571 A491122 DOG BUDDY PIT BULL/CHOW CHOW
8/8/14 CONFISCATE POLICE NORMAL Activity:A14-153317
Kennel Comment: 3c 3c 3c, left notice

K14-168574 A491125 DOG TERRIER/MIX
8/8/14 CONFISCATE POLICE NORMAL Activity:A14-153317
Kennel Comment: 3c 3c 3c, left notice

reserved by p342378 john cumber
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kennel No</th>
<th>A491131</th>
<th>DOG</th>
<th>PIT BULL/MIX</th>
<th>8/8/14 CONFISCATE POLICE NORMAL</th>
<th>Activity:A14-153317</th>
<th>D079</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment:</td>
<td>3c 3c 3c, left notice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kennel No</th>
<th>A491352</th>
<th>DOG</th>
<th>FATTY</th>
<th>CHINESE SHARPEI/MIX</th>
<th>8/11/14 STRAY FIELD OWN INJ SEVERE</th>
<th>Activity:A14-153436</th>
<th>CLINIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment:</td>
<td>no bite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3c3c3c3c3c see activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kennel No</th>
<th>A491421</th>
<th>DOG</th>
<th>CHUMMELY</th>
<th>LABRADOR RETR/MIX</th>
<th>8/11/14 STRAY FIELD OWN NORMAL</th>
<th>Activity:A14-153436</th>
<th>D038</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment:</td>
<td>no bite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3c3c3c3c3c see activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kennel No</th>
<th>A491422</th>
<th>DOG</th>
<th>SHORTY</th>
<th>PIT BULL/MIX</th>
<th>8/11/14 STRAY FIELD OWN NORMAL</th>
<th>Activity:A14-153436</th>
<th>D078</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment:</td>
<td>no bite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3c3c3c3c3c see activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kennel No</th>
<th>A491423</th>
<th>DOG</th>
<th>WHITEY</th>
<th>LABRADOR RETR/PIT BULL</th>
<th>8/11/14 STRAY FIELD OWN NORMAL</th>
<th>Activity:A14-153436</th>
<th>D078</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kennel Comment:</td>
<td>no bite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3c3c3c3c3c see activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### HOLD TYPE VET

#### A14-146672

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K14-160162</th>
<th>A327558</th>
<th>DOG</th>
<th>SANDY RIBBON PIT BULL/</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5/2/14</td>
<td>CONFISCATE NIGHT OWN</td>
<td>INJ MINOR</td>
<td>Activity:A14-146672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/02/2014</td>
<td>chip 0a124c2a1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D056</td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREEDER 5/2/14 17:30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>treatment and possible toe amputation after enforcement hold is released</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### A14-148010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K14-163727</th>
<th>A484183</th>
<th>DOG</th>
<th>DESTINY</th>
<th>ROTTWEILER/CHINESE SHARPEI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6/12/14</td>
<td>OWNER SUR FIELD OWN</td>
<td>ILL SEVERE</td>
<td>Activity:A14-148010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/13/2014</td>
<td>see activity and refer to enforcement if owner attempts to redeem.2047</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T000</td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### A14-151521

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K14-166361</th>
<th>A488086</th>
<th>OTHER</th>
<th>BAT/</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7/13/14</td>
<td>WILDLIFE</td>
<td>NIGHT</td>
<td>NORMAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/02/2014</td>
<td>possible citations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREEDER 8/2/14 16:37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### A14-152805

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K14-167977</th>
<th>A490271</th>
<th>DOG</th>
<th>BLONDIE</th>
<th>LABRADOR RETR/</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8/1/14</td>
<td>OWNER SUR FIELD OWN</td>
<td>NORMAL</td>
<td>Activity:A14-152805</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/02/2014</td>
<td>possible citations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREEDER 8/2/14 16:37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1929</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K14-167978</th>
<th>A490272</th>
<th>DOG</th>
<th>LABRADOR RETR/MIX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8/1/14</td>
<td>OWNER SUR FIELD OWN</td>
<td>NORMAL</td>
<td>Activity:A14-152805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/02/2014</td>
<td>possible citations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREEDER 8/2/14 16:37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K14-167979</th>
<th>A490273</th>
<th>DOG</th>
<th>LABRADOR RETR/MIX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8/1/14</td>
<td>OWNER SUR FIELD OWN</td>
<td>LACTATING</td>
<td>Activity:A14-152805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/02/2014</td>
<td>possible citations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREEDER 8/2/14 16:38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K14-167980</th>
<th>A490274</th>
<th>DOG</th>
<th>LABRADOR RETR/MIX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8/1/14</td>
<td>OWNER SUR FIELD OWN</td>
<td>UNDRAGE/WT</td>
<td>Activity:A14-152805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/02/2014</td>
<td>possible citations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREEDER 8/2/14 16:38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K14-167982</th>
<th>A490276</th>
<th>DOG</th>
<th>LABRADOR RETR/MIX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8/1/14</td>
<td>OWNER SUR FIELD OWN</td>
<td>UNDRAGE/WT</td>
<td>Activity:A14-152805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/02/2014</td>
<td>possible citations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DREEDER 8/2/14 16:39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>K14-167983</th>
<th>A490277</th>
<th>DOG</th>
<th>LABRADOR RETR/MIX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8/13/14</td>
<td>11:49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Page 4 of 7
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Kennel No</th>
<th>Breed</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8/1/14</td>
<td>D034</td>
<td>DOG</td>
<td>LABRADOR RETR/MIX</td>
<td>A14-152805</td>
<td>16:40</td>
<td>DREEDER 8/2/14 16:40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/02/2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>possible citations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/1/14</td>
<td>D036</td>
<td>DOG</td>
<td>LABRADOR RETR/MIX</td>
<td>A14-152805</td>
<td>16:41</td>
<td>DREEDER 8/2/14 16:41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/02/2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>possible citations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/1/14</td>
<td>D036</td>
<td>DOG</td>
<td>LABRADOR RETR/MIX</td>
<td>A14-152805</td>
<td>16:42</td>
<td>DREEDER 8/2/14 16:42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/02/2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>possible citations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/1/14</td>
<td>D036</td>
<td>DOG</td>
<td>LABRADOR RETR/MIX</td>
<td>A14-152805</td>
<td>16:42</td>
<td>DREEDER 8/2/14 16:42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/02/2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>possible citations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/1/14</td>
<td>D034</td>
<td>DOG</td>
<td>LABRADOR RETR/MIX</td>
<td>A14-152805</td>
<td>16:36</td>
<td>DREEDER 8/2/14 16:36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/02/2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>possible citations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/1/14</td>
<td>D034</td>
<td>DOG</td>
<td>LABRADOR RETR/MIX</td>
<td>A14-152805</td>
<td>16:44</td>
<td>DREEDER 8/2/14 16:44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/02/2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>possible citations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/1/14</td>
<td>D034</td>
<td>DOG</td>
<td>LABRADOR RETR/MIX</td>
<td>A14-152805</td>
<td>16:52</td>
<td>DREEDER 8/2/14 16:52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/02/2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>possible citations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/1/14</td>
<td>D034</td>
<td>DOG</td>
<td>LABRADOR RETR/MIX</td>
<td>A14-152805</td>
<td>16:56</td>
<td>DREEDER 8/2/14 16:56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/02/2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>possible citations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/1/14</td>
<td>D034</td>
<td>DOG</td>
<td>LABRADOR RETR/MIX</td>
<td>A14-152805</td>
<td>16:59</td>
<td>DREEDER 8/2/14 16:59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/02/2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>possible citations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/1/14</td>
<td>D032</td>
<td>DOG</td>
<td>LABRADOR RETR/MIX</td>
<td>A14-152805</td>
<td>11:49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/13/14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8/13/14</td>
<td>D032</td>
<td>11:49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Page 5 of 7
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Activity Code</th>
<th>Animal Type</th>
<th>Activity Code</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8/3/14</td>
<td>D130</td>
<td>DOG</td>
<td>MISS B HAVEN</td>
<td>Pit Bull/Mix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/1/14</td>
<td>D032</td>
<td>DOG</td>
<td>LABRADOR RETR/MIX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/1/14</td>
<td>D032</td>
<td>DOG</td>
<td>LABRADOR RETR/MIX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/1/14</td>
<td>D032</td>
<td>DOG</td>
<td>LABRADOR RETR/MIX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/12/14</td>
<td>T000</td>
<td>OTHER</td>
<td>BAT/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NO ACTIVITY NUMBER RECORDED**

8/3/14 STRAY OTC NORMAL Activity: HOLD IN CLIONIC 24 HRS FOR RECHECK - 8/8/.14
MEMORANDUM

TO: Kristin Barney and Kim Janes
FROM: Kelli Saline 1918
DATE: 07.30.14
SUBJECT: Welfare report for July 2014

1. A14-150605 The animal owner was educated and cited at the scene. One animal was taken for disposal. This case is closed.

2. A14-150359 Two animals were impounded. The animal owner was educated and cited at PACC. Both animals were redeemed. This case is closed.

3. A14-150598 No animals were impounded. The animal owner was educated and cited at the scene. The case is still open pending a recheck.

4. A14-150698 One animal impounded. The animal owner was located, educated and cited. The animal was returned to the owner and the case was closed.

5. A14-152381 One animal impounded. The animal owner was educated and cited at her home. The dog was relinquished to PACC and died due to it's injuries despite being under veterinary treatment.

6. A14-148815 One animal was relinquished to PACC for euthanasia. The animal caretaker was educated and cited at the scene. This case is closed.

7. A14-151103 The animal owner brought an animal to PACC. The animal owner was educated and cited at PACC and the animal was euthanized due to the severity of its illness.

8. A14-150919 One animal was impounded. The animal owner was educated and cited at PACC. The animal owner did not redeem the animal and it is scheduled for euthanasia due to not being suitable for adoption.

9. A14-150673 No animals were impounded. The animal owner was educated and cited. This case is closed.

10. A14-150651 No animals were impounded. The animal owner was educated and cited. This case is open pending contact with the animal owner.
## INVESTIGATION REPORT

**Pima County Health Department**
**Pima Animal Care Center**

4000 S Silverbell
Tucson, Arizona

**Fax:** (520) 243-5900
www.pimaanimalcare.org

### SUSPECT

- **NAME:** CRYSTAL
- **ADDRESS:**
  - **ZIP:**
  - **CITY:**
  - **STATE:** AZ
- **PHONE NUMBER:**
- **DRIVERS LICENSE:**
- **DOB:**
- **SSN:**
  - **SEX:**
  - **WEIGHT:**
  - **HEIGHT:**
  - **EYES:**
  - **HAIR COLOR:**
  - **ORIGIN:**
  - **CODE:**

### LOCATION OF INCIDENT
- **DATE AND TIME REPORTED:**
  - **DATE AND TIME OCCURRED:**
- **FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURED ILL VENTILATION ABANDONED TIE OUT BEaten WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN):**
- **DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES ☐ NO ☒

### VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME

**OFFICER J RADEMAKER 2019**

- **D.O.B.:**
- **RESIDENCE PHONE NO.:**
- **BUSINESS PHONE NO.:**
- **ZIP CITY STATE:**
- **ZIP CITY STATE:**

### REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 3-4405 (BD) and § 8-2291 (B)

- **NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE):**
- **DANGEROUS ASSSESSMENT:**
- **RESTITUTION REQUESTED:**
- **DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER:**
- **OTHER AGENCY CASE #:**
- **FOLLOW UP REQUEST:**
- **SO ☐ TPD ☒ OTHER:**
- **RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM:**
- **PHONE NUMBER:**
- **OWNER KNOWS OF BITE:**
- **QUARANTINE:**
  - **FRA HEAD #:**
- **3RD PARTY CITATIONS:**
  - **CITING ACO #:**
  - **PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS:**
  - **PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER:**
  - **OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS:**
- **CODE /OBD VIOLATED:**
  - **4-3(1); 4-3(2)(C); 4-3(2)(E)(2):**
  - **CITATIONS/NUMBERS:**
  - **72473a-c:**

### BREED/DESCRIPTION VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BREED/DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>ANIMAL'S NAME</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>TAG COLOR</th>
<th>LICENSE #</th>
<th>VX CERTIFICATE #</th>
<th>COND</th>
<th>ANIMAL ID#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ST BERNARD</td>
<td>MOOSE</td>
<td>TRI</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>L14-225036</td>
<td>CURRENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A479975</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### WITNESS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITNESS 1</th>
<th>DOB ADDRESS</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE #</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TPD OFFICER T HAWKE 46589</td>
<td>279 S STONE TUCSON AZ 85701</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITNESS 2</th>
<th>DOB ADDRESS</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE #</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITNESS 3</th>
<th>DOB ADDRESS</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE #</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITNESS 4</th>
<th>DOB ADDRESS</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE #</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-150605

ACO name & Badge: J RADEMAKER 2019

On July 1, 2014 at 7:21PM I, Officer Rademaker 2019, responded to an assist police call at and met TPD Officer T Hawke 46589 who did not have a TPD case number. When Officer Hawke had arrived on scene he found a very large St Bernard dog dead, hanging over the wall from a cable tieout from the adjoining property at Officer Hawke was able to release the dog from the cable and the dog was on the ground at the wall on my arrival. I photographed the dead dog, the wall, the tie-out and the yard at . There was water available in that yard but no shelter.

Owner Crystal was present and she said the dog, Moose, was only tied out for brief periods because he could jump the walls. Moose was a stray they had found several months ago and he was vaccinated and licensed by Ronald

I issued Ms citations for cruelty, neglect no shelter and neglect tie out. I explained the citations and court and she said she understood. I gave her a law brochure.

Ms requested that I take and dispose of the remains. I impounded the dog.

Officer's Signature:  
Date: 7/1/14
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N Silverbell Rd
Tucson, AZ 85745
Phone: (520) 243-3900
Fax: (520) 243-3940
www.pimaanimaless.org

**SUSPECT**

Janelle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACO NAME / BADGE #</th>
<th>COMPLAINT NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carver #1901</td>
<td>14-150359</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUSPECT'S ADDRESS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ZIP</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>PHONE NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ZIP</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>PHONE NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SEX | WEIGHT | HEIGHT | EYES | HAIR COLOR | ORIGIN | DOB | SSN | CODE IF OTHER**

- SEX: [ ] MALE [ ] FEMALE
- WEIGHT: [ ]
- HEIGHT: [ ]
- EYES: [ ]
- HAIR COLOR: [ ]
- ORIGIN: [ ]
- DOB: [ ]
- SSN: [ ]
- CODE IF OTHER: [ ]

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**

[ ] FOOD | [ ] WATER | [ ] SHELTER | [ ] INJURED/ILL | [ ] VENTILATION | [ ] ABANDONED | [ ] TIEDOUT | [ ] BEATEN | [ ] WASTE | [ ] OTHER | (EXPLAIN)

- FOOD: [ ]
- WATER: [ ]
- SHELTER: [ ]
- INJURED/ILL: [ ]
- VENTILATION: [ ]
- ABANDONED: [ ]
- TIEDOUT: [ ]
- BEATEN: [ ]
- WASTE: [ ]
- OTHER: [ ]

**DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES □ NO □**

- YES: [ ]
- NO: [ ]

**REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 4405 (B) 4405 (f) and 8-208 (B)**

- WAIVER: [ ]
- REQUEST: [ ]

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)**

- [ ]

**VICTIM'S ADDRESS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ZIP</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85745</td>
<td>Tuc</td>
<td>AZ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4000 N Silverbell Rd</th>
<th>ZIP</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85745</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tuc</td>
<td>AZ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case**

- [ ]

**I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.**

- [ ]

**REPORTED INCIDENT**

- 7/14/14
- 7/15/14

**DATE AND TIME REPORTED**

- 7/14/14
- 7/15/14

**DATE AND TIME OCCURRED**

- 7/14/14
- 7/15/14

**FOOD WATER SHELTER INJURED/ILL VENTILATION ABANDONED TIEDOUT BEATEN WASTE OTHER (EXPLAIN)**

- FOOD: [ ]
- WATER: [ ]
- SHELTER: [ ]
- INJURED/ILL: [ ]
- VENTILATION: [ ]
- ABANDONED: [ ]
- TIEDOUT: [ ]
- BEATEN: [ ]
- WASTE: [ ]
- OTHER: [ ]

**VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME**

- City of Tucson / J. Carver #1901

**DOB**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE NO.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>243-3900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BUSINESS PHONE NO.**

| 243-3900 |

**VICTIM'S ADDRESS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ZIP</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85745</td>
<td>Tuc</td>
<td>AZ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM**

- [ ]

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM**

- [ ]

**PHONE NUMBER**

- [ ]

**LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CLINIC'S ADDRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**QUARANTINE**

- 10 [ ]
- 15 [ ]
- 45 [ ]
- 180 [ ]

- [ ]

**3RD PARTY CITATIONS**

- [ ]

**CITING ACO**

- Carver #1901

**PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS**

- [ ]

**PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER**

- [ ]

**OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS**

- [ ]

**CODE VIOLATED**

- 4-3(2)(B)-4-3(2)(C)-4-28

**CITATIONS/NUMBERS**

- 72882 A BC DE

**BREED/DESCRIPTION**

- Pit Bull
  - OWNED: [ ]
  - OWNER: [ ]
  - VICTIM: [ ]
  - DJ
  - Blk/wht
  - M
  - 6m

- Pit Bull
  - OWNED: [ ]
  - OWNER: [ ]
  - VICTIM: [ ]
  - Bella
  - Fawn
  - F
  - 3m

**ANIMAL'S NAME**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>LICENSE #</th>
<th>VX CERTIFICATE #</th>
<th>COMB</th>
<th>ANIMAL ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- DOB: [ ]
- ADDRESS: [ ]
- RESIDENCE PHONE #: [ ]
- BUSINESS PHONE #: [ ]

**WITNESS 1**

- M [ ]
- F [ ]
- DOB: [ ]
- ADDRESS: [ ]
- RESIDENCE PHONE #: [ ]
- BUSINESS PHONE #: [ ]

**WITNESS 2**

- M [ ]
- F [ ]
- DOB: [ ]
- ADDRESS: [ ]
- RESIDENCE PHONE #: [ ]
- BUSINESS PHONE #: [ ]

**WITNESS 3**

- M [ ]
- F [ ]
- DOB: [ ]
- ADDRESS: [ ]
- RESIDENCE PHONE #: [ ]
- BUSINESS PHONE #: [ ]

**WITNESS 4**

- M [ ]
- F [ ]
- DOB: [ ]
- ADDRESS: [ ]
- RESIDENCE PHONE #: [ ]
- BUSINESS PHONE #: [ ]
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: 14-150359
ACO name & Badge: Carver #1901

On 7-1-14 at 1510 hours I, Officer Carver #1901 responded to an emergency call regarding a dog in distress. When I arrived I knocked on the front door but got no answer. I heard what sounded like a dog crying so I responded around the north side of the duplex where I looked over the 5 foot wall into the back yard of . I observed 2 dogs in the yard there. I observed one male white and black Pit Bull mix, a young adult, the other a 2-3 month old female fawn color Pit Bull. Both of the dogs were panting and the puppy was crying. Looking over the wall from the north and then the westside of the yard I determined there was no available water for the dogs. The 3 available bowls were dry, according to Verizon Weather it was 102 degrees at that time. I entered the yard and impounded both dogs. I placed them inside my air-conditioned animal care vehicle and provided them with water. In the yard there was a door leaning against the wall, which would provide shade I checked behind it to again confirm there was no water there for the dogs. I photographed the yard, the containers and the extremely excessive animal waste. I then posted a notice of impound on the front door of the duplex.

On 7-2-14 Janella came into Pima Animal Care Center where I met with her. She identified the two above mentioned dogs as being her dogs. She also stated that she lives at . I advised her of the conditions that I had observed the previous day when I found her dogs in distress. She stated that she had gave the dogs water and food at 1200 hours and then left due to a medical emergency. She stated that she returned home at approximately 2000 hours. I advised her that I did not believe the dogs would still be alive if I had not picked them up. Ms. Turner stated that she will be leaving the dogs inside the home from now on when she leaves. Ms. Turner provided me with her Arizona drivers license for identification, I then issued citations for Neglect No Water, Neglect Shelter and excessive animal waste.

Officer's Signature: Carver #1901  Date: 7-2-14
INVESTIGATION REPORT
Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Service
4000 N Silverbell Rd
Tucson, AZ 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5980
www.pimaanimalcare.org

ACO NAME / BADGE #  J. Henderson/1904  A14-150598

BITE ☐ WELFARE ☐ DANGEROUS ☐
OTHER ☐

SEX ☐ WEIGHT ☐ HEIGHT ☐ EYES ☐ HAIR ☐ ORIGIN ☐ DOB ☐ SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER

DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT 7/11/14 16:15
DATE AND TIME REPORTED 7/11/14 16:15

LOCATION OF INCIDENT
2504 E Menor St

VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME  J. Henderson

VICTIM'S ADDRESS

VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS
4000 N Silverbell Rd

NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT
REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐

RESTITUTION REQUESTED YES ☐ NO ☐

DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER AGENCY CASE #
☐ SHERIFF DEPT  ☐ TUCSON POLICE
☐ FIRE  ☐ OTHER:

FOLLOW UP REQUEST ☐
SS ☐ TPD ☐ OTHER:

ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM ☐

VIOLATION ☐
NON-VIOLATION ☐

BITE SEVERITY:
PART OF BODY BITEN:

TREATED BY
PHONE NUMBER ☐

DATE QUARANTINED ☐
RELEASE DATE ☐

RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM

PHONE NUMBER

VET CLINIC

PHONE NUMBER

OWNER KNOWS OF BITE
YES ☐ NO ☐

LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS

CLINIC'S ADDRESS

GUARANTINE (DAYS)
10 ☐ 15 ☐ 45 ☐ 180 ☐

☐ FRA HEAD:

3RD PARTY CITATIONS

CITING ACO  J. Henderson 1904

PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS
YES ☐ NO ☐

PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS

VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE

CODE / ORD VIOLATED  4-81 / 4-3(2)(B) / 4-3(2)(E)(2)

72953 A,B,C

REVIEWED BY 7/14/14

☑ BOND
YES ☐ NO ☐

BREED / DESCRIPTION
Pit Bull/ Lab

ANIMAL'S NAME  Gus

COLOR Tan/Black

SEX M

AGE 4

LICENSE #  cited

CONDITION normal

ANIMAL ID# A274703

VICTIM OWNER

VICTIM OWNER

VICTIM OWNER

VICTIM OWNER

VICTIM OWNER

VICTIM OWNER

VICTIM OWNER

VICTIM OWNER

VICTIM OWNER

VICTIM OWNER

WITNESS 1 ☐

DOB ☐

ADDRESS ☐

RESIDENCE PHONE # ☐

BUSINESS PHONE # ☐

DOB ☐

ADDRESS ☐

RESIDENCE PHONE # ☐

BUSINESS PHONE # ☐

DOB ☐

ADDRESS ☐

RESIDENCE PHONE # ☐

BUSINESS PHONE # ☐

DOB ☐

ADDRESS ☐

RESIDENCE PHONE # ☐

BUSINESS PHONE # ☐
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-150598
ACO Name & Badge: J. Henderson / 1904

On 07/01/14 at 16:49 hours I officer J. Henderson responded to for the report of a dog being tied up. The tie out was reported to be tangled. I contacted the calling party, who did not give his name, at about 17:40 hours, he informed me the dog had been tied up since about 11:00 A.M. He then directed me to the home where the dog was supposed to be tied up. I arrived at approximately 17:48 hours. I found the dog described tied up just as described. The dog is a tan color Pit Bull mix that was tied to a clothes line pole with a chain. The dog had tried to get out of the yard, and was in the next door neighbors yard. He had crawled under the fence. The dog saw me and started barking aggressively. The dog came back under the fence through the hole it made and I then noticed the chain was tangled around a fence post too.

The back door to the home opened, and a young boy came out. He saw me, and then went back inside, he waived as he did so. A few minutes later a man came out. The man identified himself as Ricardo. He told me they had just tied the dog today because it got out earlier this morning. I asked the man for his identification. He went inside to get it. As he did the dog kept trying to get to a silver metal bucket. The dog could not reach the bucket. When the owner returned I asked the man what was in the bucket. He replied "its the water". I then asked one of the boys to show me the water. He tipped the bucket so I could see the inside, and I estimate the bucket to be about 1/4 full. Either way the dog could not reach the water. The owner told the boys to untie the dog, and watch him so he doesn't get out.

I then met the owner Mr. Ricardo in front of his home at which time I issued to him citations for no license, no water available, and the use of the illegal tie out.

Officer's Signature: J. Henderson  Date: 7/1/14
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Animal Services
4000 N Silverbell Rd
Tucson, AZ 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5600
Fax: (520) 243-5900
www.pimatucson.org

**INVESTIGATOR**

Larry S. Adkins

**ACO NAME / BADGE #**

S. Adkins 1961

**ACTIVITY/BITE NUMBER**

A14-150698

**DATE AND TIME INCIDENT OCCURRED**

7/3/14 08:10 - 09:16

**DATE AND TIME REPORTED**

7/3/14 07:11

**VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME**

S. Adkins

**SEX**


date

**WEIGHT**


date

**HEIGHT**


date

**EYES**


date

**HAIR**


date

**SEX**


date

**WEIGHT**


date

**HEIGHT**


date

**EYES**


date

**HAIR**


date

**DOB**


date

**SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER**


date

**TITLE OF OCCUPANT**


date

**DATE AND TIME INCIDENT OCCURRED**

7/3/14 08:10 - 09:16

**DATE AND TIME REPORTED**

7/3/14 07:11

**VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS**

4000 N Silverbell Rd

**CITY**

Tucson

**STATE**

Az

**ZIP**

85745

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)**

DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED

RESTITUTION REQUESTED

DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER

OTHER AGENCY CASE #

SHERIFF DEPT

TUCSON POLICE

FIRE

OTHER:

FOLLOW UP REQUEST

SO

TPO

OTHER:

**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS**

VICTIM

**VIOLATION**

BITE SEVERITY:

TREATED BY

PHONE NUMBER

DATE QUARANTINED

PACG

RELEASE DATE

VET

HOME

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM**

VET CLINIC

PHONE NUMBER

OWNER KNOWS OF BITE

FDQ

UFO

**LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS**

CLINIC'S ADDRESS

GUARANTINE (DAYS)

10 15 30 45 60 90

FRA HEAD:

**3RD PARTY CITATIONS**

CITING ACO

S. Adkins 1961

PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS

YES NO

PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER

YES NO

**OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS**

CODE/UNO VIOLATED

4-32(E)(2)(B)tie out, 4-32(B) no water, 4-81 no license, 4-76 no rabies vaccine

REVIEWED BY

Sam 1984

**CITATIONS/NUMBERS**

69722-A-D

**BREED/DESCRIPTION**

German Shepherd mix

**AMMAL'S NAME**

Bravo

**COLOR**

Black/tan

**SEX**

M

**AGE**

10 mo

**LICENSE #**

cited

**CONDITION**

normal

**ANIMAL ID #**

A486702

**WITNESS 1**

M F DDB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE

BUSINESS PHONE

**WITNESS 2**

M F DDB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE

BUSINESS PHONE

**WITNESS 3**

M F DDB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE

BUSINESS PHONE

**WITNESS 4**

M F DDB

ADDRESS

RESIDENCE PHONE

BUSINESS PHONE
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-150698

ACO Name & Badge: S. Adkins 1961

07/03/14 08:08 I, Officer Adkins 1961 arrived at and met with property manager Sheryl who stated there was a dog tied up in the bathtub in apartment 7 and the dog owner has moved out and as of July 1, 2014 no longer resides there. Sheryl allowed me access into the apartment which was empty except for a mattress. I entered the bathroom and found A486702 Bravo a 10 month old male German Shepherd mix on a chain leash about 2 feet long tied to the soap dish built into the wall of the tub. The dog had no access to water. I placed the dog on my truck then took photos of the empty apartment and left a notice for dog owner. I then went to which is a Circle K to do my notes. As I was uploading photos the dog owner Larry came up to my vehicle and stated I had his dog. Mr. stated he was in the process of moving out of and moving to and he had returned to retrieve his dog when he found the notice. I cited Mr. for dog on tie out, no water, no license, and no rabies vaccine on citation 69722. Mr. signed and received a copy of the citation with the court date, time, and location. Mr. stated he lost his stated identification card and all he had was a sustran bus pass with his photo and his social security card. I contacted Supervisor Montano who stated that was acceptable identification for issuing the citation. Bravo did appear healthy and active and did not appear in distress when I removed him from the apartment.

Officer’s Signature: [Signature]

Date: 7-4-14
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Services Center
4000 N Silverbell Rd
Tucson, Arizona 85718
Phone: (520) 243-0800
Fax: (520) 243-0850
www.pimaanimals.org

**SUSPECT**
Monica Marie Estrada

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**
3552 S Mission Rd

**DATE AND TIME REPORTED**
07/25/14 / 2230 hours

**DATE AND TIME OCCURRED**
07/25/14 / 2230 hours

**ACO NAME / BADGE #**
T. Haynes #2032

**COMPLAINT NUMBER**
A14-152381

**VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME**
Officer T. Haynes #2032

**D.O.B.**

**RESIDENCE PHONE NO.**

**BUSINESS PHONE NO.**
(520)243-5900

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**
3552 S Mission Rd

**DATE AND TIME REPORTED**
07/25/14 / 2230 hours

**DATE AND TIME OCCURRED**
07/25/14 / 2230 hours

**FOOD**

**WATER**

**SHELTER**

**INJURED/ILL**

**VENTILATION**

**ABANDONED**

**TIEOUT**

**BEATEN**

**WASTE**

**OTHER (EXPLAIN)**

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)**

**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM**

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM**

**PHONE NUMBER**

**LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS**

**VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE**

**CODE/DISB VIOLATED**
4-3(2)(D), 4-81, 4-76

**PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS**

**PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER**

**OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS**

**REVIEWED BY**

**BREED/DESCRIPTION**

**ANIMAL’S NAME**

**COLOR**

**SEX**

**AGE**

**TAG NUMBER**

**LICENSE #**

**VETERINARY CERTIFICATE #**

**CONDO**

**ANIMAL ID#**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VICTIM</th>
<th>OWNER</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>VICTIM</th>
<th>OWNER</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>VICTIM</th>
<th>OWNER</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>VICTIM</th>
<th>OWNER</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>SEX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3Y</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3Y</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3Y</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3Y</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WITNESS 1</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>RESIDENCE PHONE #</th>
<th>BUSINESS PHONE #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WITNESS 2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>DOB</td>
<td>ADDRESS</td>
<td>RESIDENCE PHONE #</td>
<td>BUSINESS PHONE #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WITNESS 3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>DOB</td>
<td>ADDRESS</td>
<td>RESIDENCE PHONE #</td>
<td>BUSINESS PHONE #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WITNESS 4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>DOB</td>
<td>ADDRESS</td>
<td>RESIDENCE PHONE #</td>
<td>BUSINESS PHONE #</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-152381

ACO name & Badge: T. Haynes #2032

On July 25, 2014 at approximately 2135 hours I, Officer T. Haynes #2032, arrived at in response to a report of a dog that had been hit by a car and was still alive. I found the complainant in the common area with the dog, a small, brown, Dachshund that would not stand but was alert. As I picked him up and he screamed loudly but I was able to place him in a portable kennel and impound him without incident.

I scanned the dog and detected a microchip. I ran the chip in the Pima Animal Care Center database, Chameleon, and located owner information. I called all of the phone numbers in our database and was finally able to contact the owner, Monica and advised her that I had her dog, Reggie. I explained that he had been hit by a car and that he needed medical attention. I then requested her address and she provided me the one we have on file.

I transported Reggie to where I met with Mrs. Estrada and explained to her that Reggie needed to be seen by a vet tonight. I advised her that I would transport Reggie to the vet of her choice but she had to follow. She chose to have him seen at Southern Arizona Vet Specialty on east Ft. Lowell. I contacted the vet's office to make sure that he could be seen in a timely manner and they advised that there would be an initial $75 charge and that a treatment plan would be presented to the dog owner. I advised Mrs. of the initial charge and she agreed to follow me to the vet hospital.

I transported Reggie to the vet hospital and waited for her to arrive. After waiting about 10 minutes I attempted to contact Mrs. and she did not answer the phone. I was then met in the parking lot by one of the vet techs that stated Mrs. contacted them and advised that she did not have transportation and that they had discussed treatment options and payment options over the phone. The clinic agreed to do an initial assessment/evaluation of the dog. I handed over Reggie to the tech and advised that I would return as I had another call.

At approximately 2330 hours I returned to the clinic and was advised that Mrs. was not answering her phone and that she had not returned their
phone call. I spoke with the vet and she said that without authorization from the owner she had done everything that she could do. The vet also advised that if the dog had not had an owner she most likely would have put the dog to sleep to put him out of his misery. I offered to take Reggie back to the shelter and as he was placed in the carrier he whined loudly again. I then took him back into my possession.

Reggie was put on the To Do List for the morning for the shelter vet to assess and the vet records were faxed over to PACC.

On July 26, 2014 Officer K. Walton #1925 arrived at and met Monica in reference to her injured dog, Reggie, that I had impounded. I requested citations to be issued for neglect vet care, and to check with owner to see if she wanted to relinquish custody of the injured dog.

The owner Monica stated she could not afford the treatment for the dog, so she would have to relinquish custody. She stated she did not have enough gas to follow the officer to the emergency vet the night before. She stated she fell asleep and left a message with our shelter this morning after she was contacted by the vet to let her know PACC had the dog. Officer Walton explained to Mrs. that she was given several numbers last night and had and many opportunities to call back the people who kept trying to call her, but she did not answer her phone or call back.

Mrs. signed the dog over to PACC, and was issued citation #72772 for neglect vet care on the injured dog Reggie. She was also cited for no license and rabies vaccination on Beauty, a blue/white Pit Bull in her custody. Court date, time, and location were explained to her. She signed and received her copy of the citation. Officer Walton then advised PACC that the owner surrendered the injured dog.

Officer’s Signature:  

Date: 07.27.14
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Services Center
4000 N Silverbell Rd
Tucson, AZ 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5910
www.pimaanimalservices.org

**REPORTED INCIDENT DATE AND TIME:**
06/04/14 / 06:33

**CASE FILE NO.:**
Bowdon #2013

**ACO NAME / BADGE #:**
A14-148815

---

**suspect**

Jay

**suspect's address**

**Zip**

**City**

**State**

**Residence Phone Number**

**suspect's business address**

**Zip**

**City**

**State**

**Business Phone Number**

**does this incident require victim request for waiver of rights?**

Yes [ ] No [X]

**victim/complainant name**
PACC Officer Bowdon #2013

**D.O.B.**

**Residence Phone No.**

(520) 243-5900

**victim's address**

4000 N Silverbell Rd

**Zip**

58745

**city**

Tucson

**state**

AZ

---

**name of lawful representative**

(If Applicable)

**dangerous assessment requested**

**restitution requested**

**dangerous case number**

**other agency case #**

140323012

**violations**

[ ] BITE [ ] WELFARE [X] DANGEROUS [ ] OTHER

**biting severity:**

**part of body bitten:**

**treated by:**

**phone number**

**date quarantined**

**release date:**

**follow up request:**

[ ] SO [ ] TPD

[ ] TFD [ ] OTHER:

**request/waiver exception per A.R.S. §§ 13-4405(6) and 13-286(6):**

[ ] I CHOOSE “Upon request” rights in this case

[ ] I WAIVE “Upon request” rights in this case

**victim and phone number same as victim**

**address and phone number same as victim**

**non-violation**

**relationship to victim**

VET CLINIC

**phone number**

**owner knows of bite**

[ ] YES [X] NO

**lawful representative address**

**clinic's address**

**quarantine**

10 [ ] 15 [ ] 45 [ ] 180 [ ]

[ ] FRA HEAD

**third party citations**

[ ] VET [ ] HOME

**citing acno**

Bowdon #2013

**previous violations**

**previous case number**

**other additional reports**

No

Reviewed by

[ ] BOND

[ ] YES [X] NO

**victor or lawful representative signature**

**code/CO violation**

6.04.110(B)(4)

**citations/numbers**

72425

---

**breed/description:**

**victim or owner animal**

G. Shepherd Mix

**animal's name**

Mittens

**color**

Black / Tan

**sex**

F

**age**

11y

**tag color**

**license #**

**vet certificate #**

**cond**

Inj

**animal ID #**

A417063

---

**witness 1**

[ ] M [ ] F

**DOB**

**address**

**residence phone #**

**business phone #**

---

**witness 2**

[ ] M [ ] F

**DOB**

**address**

**residence phone #**

**business phone #**

---
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-148815

ACO name & Badge: Bowdon #2013

On 06/04/14 at approximately 06:33 hours Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) received a report of a dog with an ulcerated front paw sore compromising the dog’s ability to walk located at in Pima County.

On 07/07/14 at approximately 08:58 hours I, PACC Officer Bowdon #2013, arrived at and met with brothers Jay and Tommy Souders who allowed me access to their brother Blain’s dog, Mittens. Jay stated that Blain has been working out of town for several months and that he is responsible for Mittens in Blain’s absence. Tommy is mentally retarded and is not responsible for Mittens. I observed that Mittens had a large growth on her front right paw and that she was not bearing any weight on that paw. Jay stated that Mittens developed the growth about a month ago and Blain has not seen the dog since the growth appeared. He also stated that he has not sought any vet care for Mittens. Tommy stated that Mittens was stung by some bees on her right paw prior to the growth developing.

Jay stated that he would not be able to bring Mittens to PACC or another shelter or veterinary facility until 07/09/14. He requested that I impound Mittens and transport her to PACC for euthanasia. I impounded Mittens and waived the transport and euthanasia fees so that Mittens would not have her suffering prolonged any further.

Jay accepted a citation for Neglect / Vet Care. He signed a surrender of ownership / euthanasia form in Blain’s absence. Jay was very cooperative and accepted the citation without dispute.

I spoke to Blain via telephone and he confirmed Jay’s statements and authorized euthanasia. He provided a telephone number of if the shelter staff needs to contact him on a recorded line to verify his permission to euthanize Mittens.

Officer’s Signature: [Signature] Date: 7/18/14
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

Pima County Health Department
Pima Animal Care Center
4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
Tucson, AZ
Phone: 243-7851
Fax: (520) 243-5900
www.pimaanimalcare.org

**Suspect**

Sanchez,

**Suspect's Address**

**ACO Name / Badge #**

S. Montano 1994

**Complaint Number**

A14-151103

**Does this incident require victim request for waiver of rights?**

- Yes [ ]
- No [x]

**I choose "upon request" rights in this case.**

**I waive "upon request" rights in this case.**

**Victim/Complainant Name**

Corina Rodriguez

**DOB**

RESIDENCE PHONE NO.

243-7851

**Business Phone No.**

243-5900

**Victim's Address**

4000 N Silverbell Rd

**Zip**

85746

**City**

Tucson

**State**

AZ

**Request/Waiver exception per A.R.S. 13-4405 (B) and 13-4406 (B)**

**Location of Incident**

4000 N Silverbell Rd.

**Date and Time Reported**

07/08/14 10:15

**Date and Time Occurred**

07/08/14 10:15

**Food**

- Water

**Shelter**

- Injured/Dying Ventilation

**Abandoned**

- Tie Out

**Beaten**

- Waste

**Other (Explain)**

**Name of Lawful Representative (if applicable)**

**Address and Phone Number Same As Victim**

- Violation [ ]

- Non-violation [ ]

**Restitution Requested**

- Yes [ ]

- No [x]

**Part of Body Bitten**

**Relationship to Victim**

**Phone Number**

**Date Quarantined**

**Release Date**

**PACC**

- Vet

- Home

**FAC**

- UTQ

**LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE ADDRESS**

**CLINIC'S ADDRESS**

**3rd PARTY CITATIONS**

- Citig ACO S. Montano 1994

**PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS**

- Yes [ ]

- No [x]

**PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER**

**Other Additional Reports**

**Victim or Lawful Representative Signature**

**Code/Ord Violated**

4-32(2)(D)

**Citigs/Numbers**

89054

**Breed/Description**

VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL

**VICTIM**

OWNER

G. Shepherd X

Sadie

Blik/Tan

F

11Y

[ ]

[ ]

[ ]

[ ]

[ ]

[ ]

[ ]

[ ]

III

A487263

**Witness 1**

Wilcox, Jennifer

M [ ] F [x]

DOB

4000 N Silverbell Rd.

RESIDENCE PHONE #

243-5900

**Witness 2**

M [ ] F [x]

DOB

4000 N Silverbell Rd.

RESIDENCE PHONE #

243-5900

**Witness 3**

M [ ] F [x]

DOB

481-205

**Witness 4**

M [ ] F [x]

DOB

481-205

**Witness 5**

M [ ] F [x]

DOB

481-205

**BOND**

- Yes [ ]

- No [x]
On July 8, 2014, at approximately 1015, hours I was informed by Shelter Supervisor Corina that a woman, Cynthia, was turning in a dog. The dog was emaciated and had toenails that were so long that they curled into the dog’s pads. Corina told me that she had asked Jennifer Wilcox D.V.M to look at the dog and advise Corina as to whether or not she should notify the Enforcement Section of the Pima Animal Care Center (PACC). Dr. Wilcox briefly saw the dog and told Supervisor that Enforcement should indeed be contacted.

I met with the dog owner, Cynthia, at approximately 1030. I saw an emaciated dog on the intake area counter. The dog’s ribs, lumbar vertebrae and hip bones were pronounced under the skin. I asked Ms. about the dog. She told me that she had the dog for about eleven years. She said that about two years ago the dog had been bitten by a rattlesnake. She had the dog treated by a veterinarian for the bite. She pulled out the dog’s right side foreleg and said that the bite must have caused the dog muscle damage. I noticed that the dog’s nails were cutting into its paw pads. I asked her if two years ago was the last time she had taken the dog to a vet. She replied that it was. I asked her about the dog being thin. She said that had started about one year ago and added that the dog eats just fine. I asked her what had prompted her to bring in the dog today. She told me that the dog was now dragging her back legs so she decided to turn the dog into PACC.

I issued Ms. a citation for neglect of veterinary care under the Tucson City Code. She signed the citation and was provided with the defendant copy.

At approximately 100 hours I found Dr. Wilcox and asked her about her interaction with the dog owner. Dr. Wilcox told me that when she saw the emaciated dog it was her belief that, almost certainly, the dog had valley fever. The owner told Dr. Wilcox that the dog had been bitten by a snake about two years ago and that the right foreleg had atrophied. Dr. Wilcox also noticed that the toenails had grown into the dog’s pads. Dr. Wilcox told the owner that it was her opinion that the owner had neglected the dog.
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

**Pima County Health Department**
**Pima Animal Care Center**
4000 N Silverbell Rd
Tucson, AZ 85745
Phone: (520) 243-5900
Fax: (520) 243-5900
www.pimaanimalsare.org

**Suspect**
William

**ACO Name / Badge #**
Bowdon #2013

**Complaint Number**
A14-150919

---

**Suspect's Address**

- Zip: [ ]
- City: [ ]
- State: [ ]
- Residence Phone Number: [ ]

**Suspect's Business Address**

- Zip: [ ]
- City: [ ]
- State: [ ]
- Business Phone Number: [ ]

**Sex**

- Male: [ ]
- Female: [ ]

**Weight**

- [ ]

**Height**

- [ ]

**Eye Color**

- [ ]

**Hair Color**

- [ ]

**Origin**

- [ ]

**Driver's License**

- [ ]

**SSN**

- [ ]

---

**Location of Incident**

- Food: [ ]
- Water: [ ]
- Shelter: [ ]
- Injured/All Ventilation: [ ]
- Abandoned: [ ]
- Tie-Out: [ ]
- Beaten: [ ]
- Waste: [ ]
- Other: (Explain) [ ]

**Date and Time Reported**

- 07/06/14 / 07:50

**Date and Time Occurred**

- 07/06/14 / 08:38

---

**Victim/Complainant Name**

PACC Officer Bowdon #2013

**D.O.B.**

- [ ]

**Residence Phone No.**

- [ ]

**Business Phone No.**

- [ ]

---

**Victim's Address**

- ZIP: [ ]
- City: [ ]
- State: [ ]

**Victim's Business Address**

- 4000 N Silverbell Rd

---

**Name of Lawful Representative (If Applicable)**

- [ ]

**Dangerous Assessment Requested**

- Yes: [ ]
- No: [ ]

**Restitution Requested**

- Yes: [ ]
- No: [ ]

**Dangerous Case Number**

- [ ]

**Other Agency Case #**

- [ ]

**Follow Up Request**

- Yes: [ ]
- No: [ ]

---

**Address and Phone Number Same As Victim**

- [ ]

**Violation**

- [ ]

**Bite Severity**

- [ ]

**Treated By**

- [ ]

**Phone Number**

- [ ]

**Date Quarantined**

- [ ]

**PACC**

- [ ]

**Veterinarian**

- [ ]

**Release Date**

- [ ]

**Home**

- [ ]

---

**Lawful Representative Address**

- CLINIC'S ADDRESS

**CLINIC'S ADDRESS**

- QUARANTINE

- [ ]

**3rd Party Citations**

- [ ]

**Citing ACO**

- Bowdon #2013

**Previous Violations**

- [ ]

**Previous Case Number**

- [ ]

**Other Additional Reports**

- [ ]

---

**Victim or Lawful Representative Signature**

- Code/Ord Violated:
  06.04.070, 11.1010(A) ARS, 36.183.03 ARS,
  6.04.110(B)(3), & 6.04.110(B)(6)

**Citations/Numbers**

- 72887

---

**Breed/Description**

- Victim or Owner Animal:
  Pit Bull mix

**Animal's Name**

- Polo

**Color**

- Br / W

**Sex**

- M

**Age**

- 1.5

**Tag Color**

- [ ]

**License #**

- [ ]

**Vet Certificate #**

- [ ]

**Condo**

- N

**Animal ID#**

- A

---

**Witness 1**

John Mileyard

- M
- F
- DOB: 4592 S Paseo Don Carlos
- Residence Phone # (520) 243-2101
- Business Phone #

---

**Witness 2**

- M
- F
- DOB: 4592 S Paseo Don Carlos
- Residence Phone # (520) 243-2101
- Business Phone #

---

**Witness 3**

- M
- F
- DOB: 4592 S Paseo Don Carlos
- Residence Phone # (520) 243-2101
- Business Phone #

---

**Witness 4**

- M
- F
- DOB: 4592 S Paseo Don Carlos
- Residence Phone # (520) 243-2101
- Business Phone #
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-150919

ACO name & Badge: Bowdon #2013

On 07/06/14 at approximately 07:50 hours Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) received a report from the Pima County Sheriff’s Office (PCSO) regarding a possible dog abandonment at in Pima County.

At approximately 08:38 hours I, PACC Officer Bowdon #2013, arrived at and met with PCSO Deputy Avila #7308 in reference to PCSO case#140706061 regarding the possibly abandoned dog. Deputy Avila relayed that neighboring residents reported that the dog owner has not been caring for the dog and that they have been providing food and water. It was also relayed that the residence belonged to dog owner’s mother until she passed away approximately one year ago. During the past year the dog owner, William moved his dog, Polo, into the house, but was not actually living in the house himself and frequently asked neighboring residents to provide care for the dog. In addition, neighbors reported that the dog is able to exit and enter the residence and, due to inadequate fencing, the dog is frequently at large.

I met with John of who stated that Mr. would sometimes contact him to check on Polo. He stated that he had last heard from Mr. 3 days ago by phone and that Mr. did not respond to calls or messages since then. Mr. stated he can no longer afford to provide food for the dog and that he never agreed to provide ongoing care. Mr. provided Mr. telephone number as and stated that Mr. has access to his girlfriend’s phone # (No response was received at either number.

Deputy Avila stated that he had found the residence unsecured with the dog inside. He escorted me into the residence and I observed that there was a dog inside with large amounts of dog waste throughout the house. The carpets were saturated with dog urine in addition to the many piles of solid waste. Some of the waste appeared fresh and some was dried, crumpled, and scattered. The smell from the waste was very strong and made it uncomfortable to breathe. There was food and water for the dog that was reportedly provided by the neighbor.
I impounded dog due to unsanitary conditions and inadequate confinement. I posted a notice of impoundment on the residence door.

On 07/06/14 at approximately 15:33 hours PACC was contacted by Mr. regarding the impoundment of Polo. He stated that he was currently out of state and that he would return within 6 days to redeem his dog.

On 07/12/14 at approximately 14:19 hours Mr. contacted PACC to advise that he would come to PACC on 07/13/14.

Mr. did not contact PACC again until 07/17/14.

On 07/17/14 at approximately 13:45 hours William came into PACC to redeem his dog. I met with Mr. regarding the conditions his dog was found living in. Mr. repeatedly stated that his house was vandalized while he was out of town and that the animal waste was not accumulated inside his house when he left. Mr. did not know what day he left town, only that it was a few days prior to the impoundment of his dog. I explained to Mr. that the accumulation of animal waste inside appeared to be far more than a few days worth.

Mr. accepted citations for No License, No Rabies Vaccination, Excessive Waste on Private Property, Neglect / Unsanitary Shelter, and Neglect / Ventilation.

Mr. disputed his responsibility for the animal waste due to the vandalism he claims occurred in his absence and that he is not able to remove the waste since he no longer has trash service because he is selling the house.
### Investigation Report

**Suspect:** Kenneth

**Address:** Tucson, Az 85730

**Activity/Bite Number:** A14-150673

#### Victim/Complainant

- **Name:** J. Henderson
- **Address:** 4000 N Silverbell Rd, Tucson, Az 85745

#### Other Information

- **Code/Ord Violated:** 4-3(2)(B) x 2 / 4-81(2)
- **Condition:** normal
- **Animal ID:** A376560

#### Citations/Numbers

72954 A,B,C,D

#### Witness Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Witness</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Residence Phone #</th>
<th>Business Phone #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Witness 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Witness 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Witness 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Witness 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Notes

- **Date and Time of Incident:** 7/2/14 15:37
- **Date and Time Reported:** 7/2/14 16:11
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-150673

ACO Name & Badge: J. Henderson /904

On 07/02/14 16:11 hours I arrived at the residence at the back gate first and found two dogs. One, a small gray terrier was in a wire cage and partially in the sun. There was no water in the cage. The other dog, a black and white pit bull mix was in a separate wire cage, also partially in the sun with no water. Both dogs were panting heavily.

I then went to the front door and knocked on the door. After several knocks a man did answer, he did not give his name. I asked him about the dogs in the cages in the back yard. He said the dogs belonged to his brother, who is in the process of moving. The brother had just dropped them off. I then asked about the dogs having no water, he said they did have water, his brother gave it to them. I was also told the owner should be back soon. I advised the resident I would wait around back. I then met the same man around back, as he provided the dogs small tupperware bowls of water. The dogs were excited to see bowls of water, and drank vigorously when the bowls were put in the cages. The pit bull drank the entire bowl.

After a few minutes the owner arrived. I told him of the complaint at which time he started debating the fact the dogs were without water. I was going to give the owner a welfare brochure outlining the requirements for providing when then owner said "just write the ticket". When I asked for identification he claimed to not have to any. I asked if he drove his car here, and he replied "yes" I followed up with, "So you're driving without a license?" I then explained I will have to call for police assistance to identify him, and he replied "go ahead".

After I called for Tucson Police assistance the owner provided me with an AZ drivers license. I had the citations partially written when Tucson Police Officer Davis arrived. I issued to Kenneth citations for no water, and no license. He signed and received his copy.

Officer's Signature: [Signature]
Date: 7/3/14
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

**Pima County Health Department**  
**Pima Animal Care Center**  
**4000 N Silverbell Rd**  
**Tucson, AZ 85745**  
**Phone: 520-298-7400**  
**Fax: 520-298-8484**  
**www.pimaanimalsafe.org**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUSPECT</th>
<th>ACO NAME / BADGE #</th>
<th>COMPLAINT NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Julio</td>
<td>Bowdow #2013</td>
<td>A14-150651</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUSPECT'S ADDRESS**  
**ZIP** | **CITY** | **STATE** | **RESIDENCE PHONE NUMBER** |

**SUSPECT'S BUSINESS ADDRESS**  
**ZIP** | **CITY** | **STATE** | **BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER** |

**DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS?**  
**YES □ NO □**

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**  
**DATE AND TIME REPORTED** / 11:05  
**DATE AND TIME OCCURRED** / 07/06/14  
**DATE AND TIME OCCURRED** / 07/06/14  
**FOOD** | **WATER** | **SHELTER** | **INJUREDILL VENTILATION** | **ABANDONED** | **TEOUT** | **BEATEN** | **WASTE** | **OTHER (EXPLAIN)** |

**VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME**  
**D.O.B**  
**RESIDENCE PHONE NO.**  
**BUSINESS PHONE NO.**  
**(520)243-5900**

**I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case**

**REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 12-4405 (B) and § B-286 (B)**

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)**  
**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM**  
**NON-VIOLATION**  
**BITE SEVERITY:**  
**TREATED BY**  
**PHONE NUMBER**  
**DATE QUARANTINED**  
**PACC VET HOME**  
**RELEASE DATE**  
**FOLLOW UP REQUEST**  
**SO □ TPD □ TFD □ OTHER:**

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM**  
**PHONE NUMBER**  
**OWNER KNOWS OF BIT**  
**YES □ NO □**

**VICTIM’S ADDRESS**  
**VICTIM’S BUSINESS ADDRESS**  
**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)**  
**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM**  
**NON-VIOLATION**  
**BITE SEVERITY:**  
**TREATED BY**  
**PHONE NUMBER**  
**DATE QUARANTINED**  
**PACC VET HOME**  
**RELEASE DATE**  
**FOLLOW UP REQUEST**  
**SO □ TPD □ TFD □ OTHER:**

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM**  
**PHONE NUMBER**  
**OWNER KNOWS OF BIT**  
**YES □ NO □**

**VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE**  
**CODE/ORD VIOLATED**  
**PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS**  
**PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER**  
**OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS**

**ANIMAL’S NAME**  
**COLOR**  
**SEX**  
**AGE**  
**TAG COLOR**  
**LICENSE #**  
**VX CERTIFICATE #**  
**COND**  
**ANIMAL ID#**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SHEPHERD MIX</th>
<th>VICTIM □ OWNER □</th>
<th>ANIMAL’S NAME</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>TAG COLOR</th>
<th>LICENSE #</th>
<th>VX CERTIFICATE #</th>
<th>COND</th>
<th>ANIMAL ID#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Muneca</td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>2y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A487681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POODLE MIX</td>
<td>VICTIM □ OWNER □</td>
<td>Justin</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A487682</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WITNESS 1**  
**WITNESS 2**  
**WITNESS 3**

**ACO NAME / BADGE #**  
**COMPLAINT NUMBER**  
**DATE AND TIME REPORTED**  
**DATE AND TIME OCCURRED**  
**DATE AND TIME OCCURRED**  
**FOOD** | **WATER** | **SHELTER** | **INJUREDILL VENTILATION** | **ABANDONED** | **TEOUT** | **BEATEN** | **WASTE** | **OTHER (EXPLAIN)** |

**VICTIM/COMPLAINANT NAME**  
**D.O.B**  
**RESIDENCE PHONE NO.**  
**BUSINESS PHONE NO.**  
**(520)243-5900**

**I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case**

**REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 12-4405 (B) and § B-286 (B)**

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)**  
**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM**  
**NON-VIOLATION**  
**BITE SEVERITY:**  
**TREATED BY**  
**PHONE NUMBER**  
**DATE QUARANTINED**  
**PACC VET HOME**  
**RELEASE DATE**  
**FOLLOW UP REQUEST**  
**SO □ TPD □ TFD □ OTHER:**

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM**  
**PHONE NUMBER**  
**OWNER KNOWS OF BIT**  
**YES □ NO □**

**VICTIM’S ADDRESS**  
**VICTIM’S BUSINESS ADDRESS**  
**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE (IF APPLICABLE)**  
**ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER SAME AS VICTIM**  
**NON-VIOLATION**  
**BITE SEVERITY:**  
**TREATED BY**  
**PHONE NUMBER**  
**DATE QUARANTINED**  
**PACC VET HOME**  
**RELEASE DATE**  
**FOLLOW UP REQUEST**  
**SO □ TPD □ TFD □ OTHER:**

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM**  
**PHONE NUMBER**  
**OWNER KNOWS OF BIT**  
**YES □ NO □**

**VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE**  
**CODE/ORD VIOLATED**  
**PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS**  
**PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER**  
**OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS**

**ANIMAL’S NAME**  
**COLOR**  
**SEX**  
**AGE**  
**TAG COLOR**  
**LICENSE #**  
**VX CERTIFICATE #**  
**COND**  
**ANIMAL ID#**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SHEPHERD MIX</th>
<th>VICTIM □ OWNER □</th>
<th>ANIMAL’S NAME</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>TAG COLOR</th>
<th>LICENSE #</th>
<th>VX CERTIFICATE #</th>
<th>COND</th>
<th>ANIMAL ID#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Muneca</td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>2y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A487681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POODLE MIX</td>
<td>VICTIM □ OWNER □</td>
<td>Justin</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A487682</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WITNESS 1**  
**WITNESS 2**  
**WITNESS 3**
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-150651

ACO name & Badge: Bowdon #2013

On 07/02/14 at approximately 11:05 hours Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) received a report of a dog with hair loss and a dog with an injured paw located at in the City of Tucson.

On 07/06/14 at approximately 07:19 hours I, PACC Officer Bowdon #2013, arrived at and observed a black female Shepherd mix (Muneca) with hair loss on the majority of her body and a white male Poodle mix (Justin) with an injured front right paw. I observed Muneca to repeatedly scratch herself. Justin was observed to limp and hold up his injured paw.

I made contact with Mr. Julio who stated that he was the owner of both dogs. Mr. stated that he noticed that Muneca had hair loss several weeks ago and that Justin had been limping for several weeks. Mr. stated that he had rented his house out while he was out of town and that when he returned he found both dogs to have their ailments. Mr. stated that he had been told that Justin had injured his paw when he was hit by a car. Mr. stated that Justin’s paw has improved over the past few weeks, but Muneca’s hair loss has worsened. Mr. stated that he has not sought vet care for either dog. He also stated that he does not have proof of current rabies vaccination or dog license for either dog. He showed me that the dogs have access to clean water and access under the residence for shelter.

I issued citations to Mr. for No License and No Rabies Vaccination for both dogs along with Neglect / Vet Care due to the extended period of time Mr. stated he has been aware of the dogs ailments without seeking care. Mr. agreed to take both dogs to the vet within 48 hours and he signed a premise inspection form agreeing to do so.

Officer’s Signature: Branch 2013 Date: 7/7/14
MEMORANDUM

TO:         Kim Janes, Chief of External Operations
FROM:       Debra Tenkate, Animal Care Field Supervisor
DATE:       August 1, 2014
RE:         Dangerous Dog Cases for July 2014

City of Tucson:

1. A14-143693—Cecilia Tesch, dog named Homer was declared vicious by Judge Chayet. Investigator Klein served the declaration and is monitoring compliance.

2. A14-152021—Tulasi Sunchurey, 2 dogs named Panfila and Pancha were declared dangerous by Investigator Eckelbarger. The dog owner is in compliance at this time.

County:

3. A14-149436—Michaele Hustad, dog named Cere was declared not dangerous by Investigator Klein.
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

**Pima County Health Department**
**Pima Animal Care Center**
4000 N. Craycroft Rd.
Phone: (520) 243-6960
Fax: (520) 243-6960
www.pimaanimalcare.org

**SUSPECT**

Cecilia Elaine Tesch

**ACO NAME / BADGE #**
Robert Tovar 2021
Chris Young 1908

**COMPLAINT NUMBER**
A14-143693

**BITES**

WELFARE □ DANGEROUS □ OTHER □

**CODE IF OTHER:**

CO □ CO □ OTHER □

**DRIVERS LICENSE**

☑

**DATE AND TIME REPORTED**

03/19/14 / 1841

**DATE AND TIME OCCURRED**

03/18/14 / 1830

**FOOD**

WATER □ SHELTER □ INJURED/VILL □ VENTILATION □ ABANDONED □ TIEOUT □ BEaten □ WASTE □ OTHER (EXPLAIN)

☑

**LOCATION OF INCIDENT**

☑

**DOES THIS INCIDENT REQUIRE VICTIM REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF RIGHTS? YES □ NO □**

☑

**I CHOOSE "upon request" rights in this case.**

☑

**I WAIVE "upon request" rights in this case.**

☑

**VICTIM/COMPLAINTANT NAME**

Elaine Boggs

**D.O.B.**

☑

**RESIDENT PHONE NO.**

☑

**BUSINESS PHONE NO.**

☑

**ZIP CITY STATE**

Tucson AZ

**ZIP CITY STATE**

☑

**VICTIM'S BUSINESS ADDRESS**

☑

**NAME OF LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE**

(IF APPLICABLE)

☑

**DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT**

☑

**RESTITUTION**

☑

**DANGEROUS CASE**

☑

**OTHER AGENCY CASE #**

1415950

☑

**FOLLOW UP REQUEST**

☑

**REQUEST/WAIVER exception per A.R.S. § 4-4405 (D) and § 8-201(D)**

☑

**VIOLATION**

☑

**BITE SEVERITY: 3**

☑

**TREATED BY**

Urgent Care

**PHONE NUMBER**

☑

**DATE QUARANTINED**

03/19/14

**RELEASE DATE 3/27/14**

☑

**LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE**

☑

**ADDRESS**

☑

**PHONE NUMBER**

☑

**RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM**

☑

**PHONE NUMBER**

☑

**LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE**

☑

**ADDRESS**

☑

**PHONE NUMBER**

☑

**CLINIC'S ADDRESS**

☑

**QUARANTINE**

☑

**3RD PARTY CITATIONS**

☑

**CITING ACO**

Robert Tovar #2021

☑

**PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS**

☑

**PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER**

☑

**OTHER ADDITIONAL REPORTS**

☑

**VICTIM OR LAWFUL REPRESENTATIVE SIGNATURE**

☑

**CODE/DIOD VIOLATED**

4-97; 4-7(2)(B)

☑

**REVIEWED BY**

☑

**BOND**

☑

**YES □ NO □**

☑

**CITATIONS/NUMBERS**

77767

☑

**BREED/DESCRIPTION**

English Bulldog

☑

**ANIMAL'S NAME**

Homer

☑

**COLOR**

Tan/Wh

☑

**SEX**

N

☑

**AGE**

3Y

☑

**LICENSE #**

L14-219989

☑

**VX CERTIFICATE #**

18163C

☑

**CDH#**

N

☑

**ANIMAL ID#**

474252

☑

**WITNESS 1**

☑

**DOB**

☑

**ADDRESS**

☑

**RESIDENCE PHONE #**

☑

**BUSINESS PHONE #**

☑

**WITNESS 2**

☑

**DOB**

☑

**ADDRESS**

☑

**RESIDENCE PHONE #**

☑

**BUSINESS PHONE #**

☑

**WITNESS 3**

☑

**DOB**

☑

**ADDRESS**

☑

**RESIDENCE PHONE #**

☑

**BUSINESS PHONE #**

☑

**WITNESS 4**

☑

**DOB**

☑

**ADDRESS**

☑

**RESIDENCE PHONE #**

☑

**BUSINESS PHONE #**

☑
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-143693

ACO name & Badge: Robert Tovar #2021

On March 18, 2014 at 1842 hours the Pima Animal Care Center dispatch office received a call from the Tucson Fire Department reporting that they had responded to in reference to an Elaine Boggs being bitten by a dog on her left leg. The biter dog was described as a brown and white boxer that is owned by Carlos Tesch at and it was reported that the dog was out running loose at the time of the bite. The dispatcher was also advised that the dog was currently confined in the house and that the owner, who had been contacted, did not know that the dog had been out running loose. It was also reported by the Tucson Fire Department that the bite victim refused to go the hospital for treatment.

On March 19, 2014 Officer Young #1908 met with the bite victim, Elaine Boggs, at her residence and observed the bite wound on her left knee and photographed the wound. Ms Boggs explained to Officer Young that on March 18, 2014 at approximately 18:30 hours she was walking in the when an English Bull Dog, brown and white in color, charged out of a driveway and bit her. She said she fell to the ground and at the same time she noticed a young man come running from across the street, and grab the dog. He told her it was a stray and then disappeared between two houses.

Officer Young reported that Ms Boggs said that she called out for someone to call 911 and the paramedics arrived shortly after. She decided not to seek medical treatment because she does not have health insurance but she said she is now on her way to urgent care because the wound won’t stop bleeding. Ms Boggs is requesting to pursue prosecution against the dog owner and would like restitution for medical bills.
Officer Young reported that he drove to the dog owner's residence and met with a juvenile male named [Name Redacted] who told Officer Young that his Mom, Cecelia Tesch, was not home. Officer Young said that he explained the reason for his visit and he told him that he took the dog for a walk and when he got back home he did not close the door all the way and the dog got out. Officer Young said that he left a notice for his mother explaining that she can bring the dog to the Pima Animal Care Center for quarantine.

On March 19, 2014, the dog owner, Ms. Cecilia Tesch, brought her dog, Homer, to the Pima County Animal Care Center to be quarantined. Ms. Tesch presented paperwork showing that the dog had a current three-year rabies vaccination that was given on May 26, 2012. Ms. Tesch redeemed her dog at the end of the quarantine period on March 27, 2014.

On March 29, 2014 at 10:11 hours I, Officer Tovar #2021, arrived at the residence and met with the dog owner, Ms. Cecilia Tesch, and explained the reason for my visit. She told me that she was aware of the dog bite as she took her dog to the Pima Animal Care Center for quarantine and picked him up on 03/27/14. She told me that the dog got out of the house when her 17-year-old son left the door open. She added that she was not home at the time of the incident. I issued third party citations to Ms. Tesch on behalf of the bite victim for Leash Law and Biting Animal. Ms. Tesch signed and received her copy of said citation.

I went to the bite victim's residence and advised her that I had cited the dog owner on her behalf. She told me that she is still emotionally upset about the incident. She stated that she is requesting restitution in the following amounts: $160.00 for urgent care visit; $61.28 for antibiotics; $80.00 for her jeans that were torn and $6.00 for band aids. I showed the bite victim the dog's picture in his Animal ID section and she said that was the dog that bit her. She told me that she was upset because the boy who came to get the dog after it bit her told her it was a stray and took it to his house at 1123 N. [Street Name]. I gave her the bite and activity numbers as she said she did not have them.

Officer's Signature: Robert Tovar #2021 Date: 3-31-14
DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

____ An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

____ An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

* An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:

On July 11, 2014 THE ENGLISH BULLDOG
known as Homer, belonging to
Ms. Cecilia Tesch was deemed vicious
by City of Tucson Judge Chatel.

E. Klein #1924

CECILIA TESCH

ANIMAL NAME: Homer
ANIMAL ID#: A1749352

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE.

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BREED/DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>VICTIM OR OWNER ANIMAL</th>
<th>ANIMAL'S NAME</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>TAG</th>
<th>COLOR</th>
<th>LICENSE #</th>
<th>VACC CERTIFICATE #</th>
<th>COND</th>
<th>ANIMAL ID#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PIT BULL</td>
<td>Victim</td>
<td>PANILA</td>
<td>WHITE/RED</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>5.5y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A4688573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIT BULL</td>
<td>Owner</td>
<td>PANCHA</td>
<td>WHITE/RED</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>5.5y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A4688572</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-152021

ACO name & Badge: TENKATE #1911

ON 7/20/14 AT 2131 HOURS OFFICER ATTEBERY #1929 ARRIVED AT TO MEET WITH TUCSON POLICE (TPD) IN REFERENCE TO THE 2 PIT BULLS THAT BROKE INTO THE NEIGHBOR'S YARD AND KILLED 2 DOGS. TPD OFFICER LUCAS #51096 STATED HE ARRIVED AT 2106 HOURS AND WAS ABLE TO SEE 2 WHITE AND RED FEMALE PIT BULLS IN THE YARD WITH THE 2 DEAD WHITE POODLE MIXES OWNED BY TANIA GARCIA. HE WENT UP TO THE 4' HIGH CHAIN LINK FENCE TO ACCESS THE SITUATION WHEN THE PIT BULL A488873 PANFILA APPROACHED THE FENCE BARKING. SHE SUDDENLY LUNGED AND JUMPED UP ON THE FENCE AGGRESSIVELY WITH HER PAWS AND HEAD OVER THE FENCE. TPD OFFICER LUCAS FEARED THE DOG WOULD BITE HIM IN THE FACE AND HE PEPPER SPRAYED THE DOG. THE DOG FELL BACK INTO THE YARD AND RETREAT FROM THE FENCE.

OFFICER ATTEBERY SPOKE TO TANIA GARCIA WHO HAD PUT HER 2 SMALL DOGS IN HER YARD AT 1730 HOURS AND WENT OUT FOR 2 HOURS. WHEN SHE RETURNED AT 2030 HOURS HER HUSBAND FRANCISCO GARCIA WENT OUT TO THE YARD TO FEED COOKIE AND GALLELA. HE FOUND THEM LYING DEAD IN THEIR YARD WITH THE NEIGHBOR'S 2 PIT BULLS STANDING OVER THEIR BODIES. THERE WERE NO WITNESSES TO THE EVENTS THAT LEAD UP TO THE DEATH OF THE 2 SMALL DOGS OWNED BY THE GARCIA FAMILY. TANIA SAID THE 2 PIT BULLS INVOLVED IN THE INCIDENT LIVE NEXT DOOR IN THE ADJOINING YARD AT.

OFFICER ATTEBERY TOOK PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE PIT BULLS INSIDE THE YARD AT ..., THE 2 DECEASED POODLE MIXES (WHICH HAD FRESH BLOOD ON THEM) AND THE FENCING DIVIDING THE ADJOINING YARDS. THE SHARED FENCING CONSISTED OF CHAIN LINK WITH SHEETS OF PLYWOOD AGAINST IT IN THE YARD AT AVE.


TPD OFFICER LUCAS CONTACTED THE DOG OWNER HUGO VARELA BY PHONE AND NOTIFIED HIM OF THE INCIDENT. HE ALSO TOLD HIM THAT BOTH DOGS HAD BEEN IMPOUND AND HE COULD CONTACT THE PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER TO REDEEM THEM.
OFFICER ATTEBERY THEN SPOKE AGAIN TO TANIA GARCIA ABOUT HER 2 DOGS BEING KILLED AND EXPLAINED THAT WITHOUT ANY WITNESSES THAT CITATIONS FOR THE ATTACK COULD NOT BE ISSUED. SHE UNDERSTOOD AND THEN SAID SHE AND HER HUSBAND WOULD LIKE TO DISPOSE OF HER 2 DOGS THEMSELVES.

OFFICER ATTEBERY SAID THAT TPD OFFICER LUCAS REQUESTED CITATIONS FOR THE ATTEMPT TO BITE (A488873 PANFILA) AND LEASH LAW ON BOTH DOGS. HE IS WILLING TO APPEAR IN COURT TO TESTIFY. HE ALSO SAID IF THE DOG OWNERS RELINQUISHED OWNERSHIP OF BOTH DOGS FOR EUTHANASIA HE WOULD BE WILLING TO DROP THE CHARGES.

ON 7/23/14 AT 1758 HOURS I, SUPERVISOR TENKATE #1911 MET WITH TULASI SUNCHUREY AND HUGO VARELA, WHO RESIDE AT , WHEN THEY CAME TO THE PIMA ANIMAL CARE CENTER (PACC) TO REDEEM THEIR 2 PIT BULLS A488873 PANFILA AND A488872 PANCHA. THEY WERE AWARE OF THE INCIDENT ON 7/20/14 WHERE THEIR 2 PIT BULLS BROKE INTO THE NEIGHBOR’S YARD AT AND WERE FOUND STANDING OVER AND PROTECTING THE BODIES OF THE NEIGHBORS’ 2 DEAD POODLE MIXES.

MS SUNCHUREY AND HUGO VARELA SAID THEY HAD SPOKEN TO THEIR NEIGHBOR TANIA GARCIA AND TOLD HER THEY WERE SORRY FOR THE INCIDENT. MS SUNCHUREY THEN SAID SHE WANTED TO REDEEM THE 2 DOGS BECAUSE WHAT THEY DID WAS JUST AN ACCIDENT. I EXPLAINED THAT PANFILA HAD ATTEMPTED TO BITE TUCSON POLICE OFFICER LUCAS #51096 AND HE HAD STATED IF THE DOGS WERE NOT RELINQUISHED TO PACC FOR EUTHANASIA HE WANTED CITATIONS ISSUED TO THE DOG OWNER. I ALSO EXPLAINED THAT BOTH DOGS WOULD BE DECLARED DANGEROUS AS THEY HAD CAUSED THE DEATH OF A DOMESTIC ANIMAL. SHE UNDERSTOOD AND WAS GIVEN INFORMATION ON THE DANGEROUS DOG REQUIREMENTS. I EXPLAINED THAT THE 2 DOGS WOULD BE HELD FOR CONFINEMENT AND AN INVESTIGATOR FROM PACC WOULD CONTACT HER ABOUT DECLARING BOTH DOGS DANGEROUS.

MS SUNCHUREY PROVIDED ME WITH HER ARIZONA DRIVERS LICENSE FOR IDENTIFICATION AND SHE SIGNED AND RECEIVED A COPY OF CITATION #73027 A, B AND D FOR ATTEMPT TO BITE AND LEASH LAW. SHE IS AWARE OF HER COURT DATE, LOCATION AND TIME.

Officer's Signature: Dates: 7-28-14
DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

[ ] An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

[ ] An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

[ ] An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:
The dog "Pancha" is declared dangerous due to attacking & killing two domestic animals (Dogs) while in violation of the least law.

OWNER: Tulasi Sunchury
ADDRESS: 
PHONE: 

ANIMAL NAME: Pancha
ANIMAL ID#: A488872
SEX: F COLOR:
BREED: Pitbull

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE.

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.
DECLARATION OF DANGEROUS / VICIOUS ANIMAL

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE A DANGEROUS ANIMAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

___ An animal can be declared a dangerous animal if it, without provocation, bites or otherwise causes injury to a person which results in significant medical intervention/treatment.

X An animal can be deemed dangerous if it, without provocation, kills or severely injures a domestic animal.

___ An animal declared vicious by a magistrate shall be automatically deemed dangerous.

OFFICER COMMENTS:
The dog "Panfila" is declared dangerous due to attacking and killing two domestic animals (dogs) while in violation of the leash law.

OWNER: Tulasi Sunchure
ADDRESS:
PHONE:

ANIMAL NAME: Panfila
ANIMAL ID#: A488873
SEX: F COLOR: Unlisted
BREED: Pitbull

NOTICE

YOUR ANIMAL HAS BEEN DECLARED TO BE DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO LOCAL JURISDICTION'S ORDINANCE / CODE.

If the dog has not been declared vicious by a court, you may appeal the declaration of dangerous. You have (5) days if cited in Pima County, Marana, Sahuarita or South Tucson; OR 10 days, if cited in Tucson; to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing. You may obtain the request form at PACC IN PERSON.
**INVESTIGATION REPORT**

**Pima County Health Department**

**Pima Animal Care Center**

4000 N. Udall, Tucson, AZ 85712

Phone: (520) 243-3680

Fax: (520) 243-3690

www.pimaanimalcare.org

---

**Suspect:**

Michaela Maureen Hustad

**ACO Name / Badge #:** T. Foster 2042

**Complaint Number:** A14-149436

---

**Suspect's Address:**

**Zip:** N/A

**City:** N/A

**State:** N/A

**Residency Phone Number:** N/A

**Suspect's Business Address:**

**Zip:** N/A

**City:** N/A

**State:** N/A

**Business Phone Number:** N/A

---

**Driver's License:**

**DOB:** SSN

---

**Does this incident require victim request for waiver of rights?**

- [ ] Yes
- [x] No

---

**Location of Incident:**

**Date and Time Reported:** 06/13/14 / 09:30

**Date and Time Occurred:** 06/13/14 / 09:29

**Food:**

- [ ] Starvation
- [ ] Malnutrition
- [ ] Biting
- [ ] Abuse
- [ ] Neglect
- [ ] Other: (Explain)

---

**Victim/Complainant Name:** Cathy Lynch

**DOB:**

**Residence Phone No.:**

**Business Phone No.:**

---

**Victim's Address:**

**Zip:**

**City:**

**State:**

**Victim's Business Address:**

**Zip:**

**City:**

**State:**

---

**Name of Lawful Representative (if applicable):**

**DANGEROUS ASSESSMENT REQUESTED:**

- [ ] Yes
- [x] No

**DANGEROUS CASE NUMBER:**

**Other Agency Case #:**

- [ ] SO
- [ ] TPD
- [x] Other:

**Follow Up Request:**

- [ ] SO
- [ ] TPD
- [x] Other:

---

**Address and Phone Number Same as Victim:**

- [ ] Violation
- [x] Non-Violation

**Part of Body Bitten:**

**Treated By:**

**Phone Number:**

**Date Quarantined:**

**PACC:**

**VET:**

**Home:**

**FOLLOW UP REQUEST DATE:**

**RELEASE DATE:**

---

**Lawful Representative Address:**

**Clinic's Address:**

**Quarantine:**

- [ ] 10
- [x] 15
- [ ] 45
- [ ] 180

**Fra Head #:**

---

**3rd Party Citations:**

- [x] Yes
- [ ] No

**Citing ACO:**

**Previous Case Numbers:**

- [x] Yes
- [ ] No

**Previous Citations:**

**Other Additional Reports:**

**Reviewed By:**

**Signature:**

---

**Victim or Lawful Representative Signature:**

**Code/Ord Violated:**

6.04.120 (B)(2); 6.04.030

**Citations/Numbers:**

#72731 (A, B)

---

**Breed/Description:**

**Victim or Owner Animal:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal's Name</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>License #</th>
<th>Vx Certificate #</th>
<th>Cond</th>
<th>Animal ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Queensland Heeler</td>
<td>Cere</td>
<td>Tricolor</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>11y</td>
<td>L13-079584</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A027977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Retriever</td>
<td>Jackson</td>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>8y</td>
<td>L13-059735</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>A117582</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Witness 1:**

**Jim Gianelli**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Phone #</th>
<th>Business Phone #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>7781 E Calle Bien Nacida</td>
<td>520-299-3231</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Witness 2:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Phone #</th>
<th>Business Phone #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Witness 3:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Phone #</th>
<th>Business Phone #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Witness 4:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Phone #</th>
<th>Business Phone #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INVESTIGATION REPORT

Activity Number: A14-149436
ACO name & Badge: T. Foster 2042

06/16/14 17:38 I, Officer Foster 2042 arrived at and knocked on the front door. I was met by Cathy Lynch a pet sitter. Ms. Lynch was hired by Nikki and James Servoss to care for their 8 year old Golden Retriever named Jackson. Ms. Lynch is the witness of the dog on dog attack involving Jackson on 06/13/14. I stated the reason for my visit and Ms. Lynch invited me into her residence. Ms. Lynch stated that on 06/13/14 at approximately 09:30 hours she was walking Jackson past when a Queensland Blue Heeler type dog ran out of the yard and began to attack Jackson the Golden Retriever. Ms. Lynch stated that she was unable to stop the attack until the arrival of a neighbor named Jim Gianelli who lives at . Ms. Lynch stated that Mr. Gianelli was able to help her stop the attack and that he restrained the Queensland Heeler while Ms. Lynch went to the attacking dog owner's residence and advised her that her dog was loose and had attacked Jackson. The attacking dog owner, Mrs. Micheale Hustad came out and retrieved her dog. Mrs. Hustad was reportedly very apologetic and has since paid for Jackson's emergency vet care and has reimbursed the pet sitter Cathy Lynch for the additional services she had to perform as a result of the dog on dog attack.

Ms. Lynch stated that the neighbor, Mr. Gianelli claimed that the dog may have attacked other dogs in the past and stated that the attacking dog Cere was "no good". Ms. Lynch stated that Mrs. Hustad acknowledged that Cere has attacked another dog once before but that she assured Ms. Lynch that Cere would never bite a human, only other dogs.

I asked Ms. Lynch if she knew how Cere escaped her yard. Ms. Lynch responded that she never actually saw the dog leave the confining portion of the yard but saw the dog charge out from that property onto the street. Ms. Lynch stated that Mrs. Hustad initially stated that the dog may have jumped the gate but later stated that she had carried some trash out and forgot to latch the gate behind her. Ms. Lynch also stated that Mr. Gianelli confirmed that he observed the gate to be open during the time of the attack.
Ms. Lynch requested citations for biting animal and leash law. Ms. Lynch also requested a Dangerous Dog Evaluation be conducted.

I then asked Ms. Lynch if she had any questions for me before I left her residence. Ms. Lynch stated that she did not. I thanked her for time and left.

06/27/14 09:34 I, Officer Foster 2042 arrived at the dog owner's residence and knocked on the front door. I was met by Michaele Hustad one of Cere's owners. I stated the reason for my visit and asked for a copy of Mrs. Hustad's Driver's License. Mrs. Hustad was very cooperative and handed me her license. I thanked her and returned to the Pima Animal Care Center truck to complete the citations. I issued citations for biting animal and the leash law violation that took place at approximately 09:30 hours on 06/13/14. Mrs. Hustad acknowledged, signed, and accepted her citations. I provided her with her court date, time, and location. I then returned Mrs. Hustad's license to her. I next asked to photograph Cere for records purposes. Mrs. Hustad agreed and retrieved Cere from an adjoining room and I was able to photograph her.

The dog Cere (A027977) is licensed to Jeffery Hustad, Michaele's husband however Mrs. Hustad was at home the morning of the dog on dog attack and based on the witness testimony I determined that she is the most responsible party in this case. Mrs. Hustad freely admitted to accidentally leaving her side gate open the morning that Cere escaped and attacked Jackson.

Officer's Signature: J. Foster 2042 Date: 6/28/2014
**Case No:** A14-150770  
**Owner:** Michael Hustad  
**Animal Name:** Cere A037917  

**Evaluation Criteria**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reported Bites:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Non-violation bite | + 3  
| Violation-bite | + 6  

**Severity of Injury to Humans:**

| Event                      | Score  
|----------------------------|--------  
| No break in skin | 1  
| Break in skin or bruising | + 2  
| Medical care (released) | + 3  
| Multiple bites-single incident | + 4  
| Bit down and shook victim | + 4  
| Medical care (hospitalization) | + 5  

**Animal Complaints or Violations:**

| Event                        | Score  
|------------------------------|--------  
| Leash law citations | + 2  
| Leash law complaints | + 1  
| Attempted bite citations | + 2  
| Animal attack citations | + 3  
| Other citations / complaints | + 1  

**Severity of Injury to Animals:**

| Event                      | Score  
|----------------------------|--------  
| Attack with no injury | + 1  
| Injuries treated by owner | + 2  
| Vet care (1 to 2 visits) | + 3  
| Extensive vet care (>2 visits) | + 4  
| Injuries resulted in death | + 5  

**Confinement / Fencing:**

The backyard is enclosed by a brick wall, the north side is 10 feet tall, the east and west sides are 4 feet tall. A metal chomp has been added to the latch on the gate so it cannot open unless the latch is first removed.

**General Comments:**

After conducting neighbor interviews and receiving veterinarian's medical reports, Cere is not deemed dangerous at this time.

**Total Score:** +6

**Confinement Measures:**

- Secure fence/wall and gates: 5
- Inadequate fencing or gates: + 5  

**Owner Accountability / Responsibility:**

- Repaired deficient confinement: - 3  
- Animal is neutered/spayed: - 1  
- Owner aware of any aggression: + 1  
- Owner failed to repair confinement: + 5  
- Currently licensed: - 1  
- No current license: + 1  
- No current rabies vaccination: + 1  

**Neighbor Comments:**

- Animal never observed at large: - 3  
- Animal not observed aggressive: - 3  
- Animal observed at large >5xyr: + 1  
- Animal observed at large >5xyr: + 2  
- Animal observed being aggressive: + 2  

**Dog Behavior:**

- Animal behaves aggressively: + 2  
- Animal not aggressive: - 2  
- Animal shows unsafe behavior: + 1  

**Total:**  

A score of ten points or higher shall be deemed a dangerous animal.

We have determined that your dog displays or has a tendency, disposition, or propensity to injure, bite attack, chase or charge, OR attempt to injure, bite, attack, chase or charge a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner OR bare his teeth or approach a person or domestic animal in a threatening manner City Code 4-13 / County Code 6.04.150. The owner has ten (10) days in the City, five (5) days (County & other jurisdictions) as to appeal the declaration of dangerous by filing a request for a dangerous dog hearing, providing the dog has not been declared vicious by a court. The owner may obtain this form at PACC IN PERSON.

**Office #1926"
## Donation Activity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donation Code</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DONATION</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION ADOP</td>
<td>$707.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION GEN</td>
<td>$10,656.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION OUTR</td>
<td>$2,433.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION S/N</td>
<td>$11,172.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONATION SAMS</td>
<td>$1,556.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Grand Total** $26,525.07
### Complaints for the Month of July 2014

#### 7-02-14 complaint taken by D5 Supervisor’s Office

**Complaint**
Woman concerned about dog park at Jacobs Park. People are not following rules; people don’t pick up after their dogs; dogs are aggressive; and owners are rude. The caller said the problem people usually show up around 7:00 am.

**Course/Action**
PACC Enforcement Supervisor contacted the Jacobs Park Supervisor who informed complainant to call police about dangerous situations; and informed her park staff picks up animal waste once a day. Complainant was informed by PACC that PACC does not regulate dog parks, but would respond to bite incidents.

**Resolution**
The complaint was closed.

#### 7-03-14 complaint sent to Supervisor Carroll via Twitter

**Complaint**
Tweet said the temperature inside the tent was over 90 degrees and it is very humid today.

**Course/Action**
Facilities Management involved and portable AC units and fans were added.

**Resolution**
Staff continues to monitor tent temperatures. Staff remains selective on which pets are housed in the tent.

#### 7-15-14 complaint voice message called into PACC Admin

**Complaint**
An individual returned a dog and claims she was being commented on negatively by a volunteer on the PACC Pets Need You website.

**Course/Action**
Staff spoke with, calmed and assisted complainant with her complaint; complainant told comments made do not represent PACC as an agency.

**Resolution**
A social media policy is being developed.

#### 7-25-14 called into D4 Supervisor’s Office

**Complaint**
Individual found a stray dog, called PACC and was reportedly told it could take a week for PACC to pick up the animal.

**Course/Action**
Staff attempted to set up a pick-up on the 28th; however, complainant already brought the dog to PACC.

**Resolution**
The complaint was closed.