

**March 29, 2013**

**Pima County Bond Advisory Committee Action on Bond Ordinance Amendments**

The BAC reviewed and recommended the proposed amendments on March 29, 2012. The BAC did make one change to the 1997 HURF bond ordinance that differed from what was recommended in the attached staff report. For project DOT-29 Houghton Road, Golf Links to Interstate 10, staff had recommended deleting the non-county other funding sources as the project is now primarily funded by Regional Transportation Authority (RTA) funding and City of Tucson funding, and is managed by the City, and therefore those funding sources could change without the County's knowledge. However the BAC requested that these types of non-County funding sources still be reflected in the ordinance in order to give a more complete picture of how much a project was estimated to cost and the funding sources. The HURF bond ordinance attached to the staff report now reflects this BAC action.

**Report and Recommendations on Amending Pima County Bond Ordinances  
Pima County Bond Advisory Committee, March 29, 2013**

**I. Background**

The County is currently implementing several bond programs: the May 20, 1997 General Obligation and Sewer Revenue Bond Program, the November 4, 1997 Highway User Revenue Fund (HURF) Transportation Bond Program, the May 18, 2004 General Obligation and Sewer Revenue Bond Program, and the May 16, 2006 General Obligation Bond Program. Pima County Code Chapter 3.06, the "Truth in Bonding" code, requires that bond ordinances be amended to reflect substantial modifications to bond-funded projects. The code defines what constitutes a "substantial modification," and when an amendment must be adopted in relation to actions of the Board that implement affected projects. The code also requires that amendments to bond ordinances be considered and adopted by the Board at public hearings, after advance public notice, and only after review by the County Bond Advisory Committee. Certain projects also require action by the governing body of cities and towns, and other County committees.

Recommended amendments to these bond programs include changes to 11 projects from the May 20, 1997 General Obligation and Sewer Revenue Bond Program, 10 projects from the November 4, 1997 HURF Bond Program, 9 projects from the May 18, 2004 General Obligation Bond Program, and 1 project from the May 16, 2006 General Obligation Bond Program. The recommended amendments would affect the following projects:

1997 GO and Sewer

- SC-8 Superior Court New Courtrooms
- P-4 Tucson Mountain Park Renovation
- P-12 South Tucson Play Field Lighting Improvements
- P-17 Santa Rita Park Lighting Improvements
- P-37 Santa Cruz River Community Park (Menlo Park)
- P-44 Branding Iron Park
- SD-10 Tumamoc Hill
- T-19 36<sup>th</sup> Street Trailhead
- CC-7 Las Artes Youth Learning Center
- CC-9 El Rio Adult Education and Child Care
- SS-6 Tanque Verde Interceptor, Hidden Hills Wash to Arbor Circle

1997 HURF

- DOT-6 Magee Road, La Canada Drive to Oracle Road
- DOT-11 Drexel Road, Tucson Boulevard to Alvernon Way
- DOT-18 Cortaro Farms Road, Union Pacific Railroad Crossing to Thornydale Road
- DOT-29 Houghton Road, Golf Links Road to Interstate 10
- DOT-41 Neighborhood Transportation Improvements
- DOT-44 Orange Grove Road, Thornydale Road to Oracle Road
- DOT-47 Sunrise Drive, Craycroft Road to Kolb Road
- DOT-50 Kinney Road, Ajo Way to Bopp Road
- DOT-51 La Canada/Las Quintas Highway Drainage Improvements
- DOT-57 Safety Improvements

2004 GO

- 2.2 New Psychiatric Hospital
- 2.3 Teresa Lee Health Clinic and TB Clinic Relocation

**Staff Report and Recommendations on Amending Bond Ordinances  
County Bond Advisory Committee, March 29, 2013**

Page 2

- 2.11 Ina Road Tire Facility Relocation
- 3.1 Regional Public Safety Communications Network (PCWIN)
- 3.2 Downtown Justice Court/Municipal Court Complex
- 3.3 Rehabilitation of Old Courthouse
- 4.34 Julian Wash Linear Park
- 4.35 Arroyo Chico Wash Improvements
- 5.5 Pascua Yaqui Tribe Black Wash Urban Drainage Flood Control Improvements

2006 GO

Q4 Psychiatric Inpatient Hospital Facilities

**II. Ordinance Amendment Requirements Per the Truth In Bonding Code**

Section 3.06.070 of Pima County's Truth in Bonding Code establishes procedures for making changes to a bond implementation plan ordinance. Recognizing that over time the availability of more detailed design and cost information, and changes in circumstances, often require changes in a bond implementation plan presented to the voters at the time of a bond election, Section 3.06.070 authorizes the Board to amend bond implementation plans to accommodate "substantial modifications" to projects. Substantial modifications are defined as:

1. An increase or decrease in total actual project costs by 25 percent or more
2. An increase or decrease in actual bond costs by 25 percent or more
3. An increase or decrease in actual other revenues by 25 percent or more
4. A delay in a project construction or implementation schedule of 12 months or more
5. A delay in the scheduled sale of bonds of 24 months or more
6. Any project that is not constructed
7. Any project that is added to those to be constructed
8. Any increase or decrease in the project scope that alters the disclosed project benefits
9. All changes to a bond implementation plan necessitated by only a portion of the proposed bond questions being approved at the special election

The required timing of an amendment varies based on whether the amendment impacts only funding, or other aspects of a project. Pursuant to the Code, the Board of Supervisors can authorize a substantial modification to the funding for a project on a de facto basis by awarding or amending a contract for the project that reflects that change in funding, while at the same time acknowledging that the action will require a future conforming amendment to the bond ordinance. In this situation, the amendment takes place after the Board takes action by awarding a contract. The reason for this is that amendments should not be based on cost estimates, and prior to the awarding of contracts or approval of purchase agreements, cost estimates may vary. For all other types of substantial modifications, an amendment of the bond ordinance is necessary before the modification is implemented.

The County Bond Advisory Committee is tasked with reviewing and making recommendations to the Board of Supervisors regarding all proposed bond ordinance amendments. Two of the projects will require action by the City of Tucson's Mayor and Council before they can be considered by the

**Staff Report and Recommendations on Amending Bond Ordinances  
County Bond Advisory Committee, March 29, 2013**

Page 3

Board. One of the projects required action by the County's Conservation Acquisition Commission, which occurred on February 28, 2013.

**III. Recommended Amendments**

In order to amend the bond ordinances, the Board will be asked to adopt a separate ordinance for each of the four affected bond programs. The four ordinances are attached to this report, with language that is being deleted shown in the "strike-out format" (~~example~~), while new language that is being added to the ordinances is underlined (example). All changes are highlighted as well. In this format, the ordinances only contain those projects that are being amended, and do not reproduce the entirety of each of the four bond ordinances.

A brief description of the recommended ordinance amendments' impact on each project is presented below.

**A. Recommendations for Ordinance Amendments Relative to the 1997 General Obligation and Sewer Revenue Bond Program**

**SC-8 Superior Court New Courtrooms**

This project was completed in January 2011. This ordinance amendment corrects the amount listed as other funding to reflect \$379 of miscellaneous revenue contributed to the project.

**P-4 Tucson Mountain Park Renovation**

**P-12 South Tucson Play Field Lighting Improvements**

**P-17 Santa Rita Park Lighting Improvements**

**P-44 Branding Iron Park**

**T-19 36<sup>th</sup> Street Trailhead**

**CC-7 Las Artes Youth Learning Center**

**CC-9 El Rio Adult Education and Child Care**

**SS-6 Tanque Verde Interceptor, Hidden Hills Wash to Arbor Circle**

These projects were all completed several years ago. This ordinance amendment corrects the implementation periods to match the actual completion dates.

**P-37 Santa Cruz River Community Park (Menlo Park)**

This project included the development of an additional soccer complex at Menlo Park. The soccer complex is complete, but the public art portion of the project may not be completed until early next fiscal year. Therefore, this ordinance amendment would add an additional implementation period to the project.

**SD-10 Tumamoc Hill**

The County acquired a portion of Tumamoc Hill from the State Land Department in 2009, completing this 1997 bond project. Approximately \$18,000 remained in the project. On December

**Staff Report and Recommendations on Amending Bond Ordinances  
County Bond Advisory Committee, March 29, 2013**

Page 4

20, 2012 Pima County was the successful bidder for the acquisition of 1,416 acres of State Trust land to expand Tortolita Mountain Park. The purchase price was \$3.78 million, with half of the funding from a State Growing Smarter matching grant. The majority of the remaining funding came from 2004 County bond funds allocated to the Town of Oro Valley. Additional other funding was necessary and therefore it is requested that the remaining \$18,000 in the 1997 SD-10 Tumamoc Hill project be allocated to this purchase. This ordinance amendment would expand the scope of this project to include a statement on how the remaining funding was spent and extend the implementation period through period 8 (Fiscal Years 2011/12 and 2012/13) to account for when the \$18,000 was spent. The County's Conservation Acquisition Commission approved this amendment on February 28, 2013.

**B. Recommendations for Ordinance Amendments Relative to the 1997 HURF Bond Program**

In addition to the projects described below, several other 1997 HURF projects will need to be amended in the future to reduce the scopes of some projects and extend the implementation schedules of others. These changes will be necessary due to reductions in transportation related revenue sources and in some cases assessments that show a lack of need for the full improvements listed in the original scopes.

**DOT-6            Magee Road, La Canada Drive to Oracle Road**

This ordinance amendment would make a minor adjustment to other funding and convert the RTA implementation schedule into the implementation periods used in the bond ordinance. Construction is scheduled to start this fiscal year and is estimated to be complete in June 2014.

**DOT-11          Drexel Road, Tucson Boulevard to Alvernon Way**

This ordinance amendment would amend the scope of the project to reflect the improvements that are scheduled to be complete by June 2013 (paved shoulders and a full width overlay), and transfer the remaining \$4 million in bond funding to DOT-57 Safety Improvements program. In 2008, Pima County hired a consultant to review this project and confirm its current and future needs. Their evaluation determined that the traffic demands anticipated in the original bond ordinance had not occurred and would likely not over the next 20 years. Should site specific improvements regarding operational safety become warranted, DOT-57 Safety Improvement funds could be used to address needed improvements.

**DOT-18          Cortaro Farms Road, Union Pacific Railroad Crossing to Thornydale Road**

This ordinance amendment would reduce bond funding and other funding to reflect the actual bond funds and other funds spent, and modify the scope of the project to reflect the construction work completed for the Marana segment of the project and the design work completed for the Pima County segment of the project. Additional non-bond funding will be needed in the future to construct the Pima County segment of the project.

**DOT-29          Houghton Road, Golf Links Road to Interstate 10**

This is an RTA project managed by the City of Tucson. This ordinance amendment modifies other

**Staff Report and Recommendations on Amending Bond Ordinances  
County Bond Advisory Committee, March 29, 2013**

Page 5

funding to clarify the County sources of funding that will be contributed to the project, and also converts the RTA implementation schedule into the implementation periods used in the bond ordinance. A three mile segment of this project from Irvington to Valencia is currently under construction and scheduled to be complete in 18 months. County bond funds in the amount of \$7.5 million will be spent on this segment.

**DOT-41      Neighborhood Transportation Improvements**

This ordinance amendment would transfer \$2.6 million in bond funding to the DOT-57 Safety Improvements program, amend the scope to clarify that bike lanes are an eligible improvement, and expend the implementation period from Fiscal Year 2013/14 to 2015/16. This project is limited to providing improvements within Board of Supervisor District 2. This amendment would enable \$2.6 million to be spent under the DOT-57 project, which funds safety improvements county wide.

**DOT-44      Orange Grove Road, Thornydale Road to Oracle Road**

This ordinance amendment will modify the scope of the project to reflect a reduced number of lane additions based on updated assessment of current and future needs, transfer the remaining \$5 million in bond funding to the DOT-57 Safety Improvement program, and add an implementation period. The contract for the most heavily traveled segment, from Camino de la Tierra to La Canada, will be on the Board's agenda shortly for approval, and construction is scheduled to begin this fiscal year.

**DOT-47      Sunrise Drive, Craycroft Road to Kolb Road**

This project was completed in 2011. This ordinance amendment would decrease the bond funding and increase other funding to reflect the actual expenditures. The remaining \$959,000 would be transferred to the DOT-57 Safety Improvement program.

**DOT-50      Kinney Road, Ajo Way to Bopp Road**

Starting in Fiscal Year 2014, the Arizona Department of Transportation will be improving SR-86 (Ajo Highway), including the Kinney Road/SR-86 intersection. This ordinance amendment would modify the scope of the project so that County bonds will fund ADOT's rebuilding of the Kinney Road/SR-86 intersection, reduce other funding, and extend the implementation period through fiscal year 2015/16.

**DOT-51      La Canada/Las Quintas Highway Drainage Improvements**

This project was completed in fiscal year 2005/06. This ordinance amendment would add an implementation period to reflect the actual completion date.

**DOT-57      Safety Improvements**

This program is one of the few sources of revenues available for transportation safety improvements. Projects are identified based on risk. Sixty-six projects have been completed to date. The projects have demonstrated significant, measurable safety benefits, decreasing accident rates at an average of 25 percent. The majority of the original bond funding has been spent. This

**Staff Report and Recommendations on Amending Bond Ordinances  
County Bond Advisory Committee, March 29, 2013**

Page 6

ordinance amendment would continue the program by increasing bond funding by \$12.6 million by transferring it from several other completed bond projects referenced above (DOT – 11, 41, 44 and 47).

**C. Recommendations for Ordinance Amendments Relative to the 2004 General Obligation Bond Program**

**2.2 New Psychiatric Hospital**

This project was completed in 2012. The ordinance amendment would correct the amount listed as other funding to reflect amount actually utilized to finish the project.

**2.3 Teresa Lee Health Clinic and TB Clinic Relocation**

This ordinance amendment would add implementation periods to provide more time to complete the project. In 2010, \$450,000 of the bond funding was spent on relocating the TB clinic adjacent to the Abrams Public Health building on Kino campus. The project is on hold while staff evaluates how and where the health needs of area residents and the general community can best be served.

**2.11 Ina Road Tire Facility Relocation**

This ordinance amendment would modify the scope to specify that tires were relocated to the Tangerine Landfill (phase 1) and would extend the implementation schedule to permit the continued use of bond funds to expand capacity at this location to facilitate the continued acceptance of water tires (phase 2). Phase 1 of this project was completed in October 2011. Phase 2 is expected to continue through 2013.

**3.1 Regional Public Safety Communications Network (PCWIN)**

This ordinance amendment would modify the project scope and the chair appointment process. Included in the original bond ordinance language was a list of participating public safety and emergency management entities by name. Since then, some of those entities have decided that they will no longer participate and others not included in the original bond ordinance language have shown an interest in participating. Public safety in Pima County is furthered by having the participation of as many public safety and emergency management entities as is feasible. Therefore, at this time, it makes sense to provide flexibility to the County and the Executive Management Committee to add other participants. In addition, the Executive Management Committee has acted to select a chair and vice-chair from among its appointed members. This deviates from the original ordinance which designated the Sheriff as the chair. The Executive Management Committee reviewed and approved this bond ordinance amendment at their December 13, 2012 meeting.

Construction of the Pima Emergency Communications and Operations Center is complete. Renovation of the Thomas Price Service Center, home of the City of Tucson's public safety 9-1-1 and dispatch facilities, is scheduled to be completed in 2013. Completion of the voice radio system is anticipated in late 2013 and system cutover is expected early 2014.

**Staff Report and Recommendations on Amending Bond Ordinances  
County Bond Advisory Committee, March 29, 2013**

Page 7

**3.2 Downtown Justice Court/Municipal Court Complex**

**3.3 Rehabilitation of Old Courthouse**

Due to fiscal reasons, the City of Tucson has decided not to relocate its Municipal Courts into the new downtown court complex. This ordinance amendment would modify the scope of the court complex project to exclude city participation. The ordinance amendment would also transfer \$4,495,291 from project 3.3 Rehabilitation of Old Courthouse, to the court complex project for the purposes of relocating County departments currently located in the old courthouse to the new court complex. Project 3.3 Rehabilitation of Old Courthouse would then be retired.

**4.34 Julian Wash Linear Park**

This project was recently completed. The original length of the project included in the ordinance was between Campbell Avenue and Houghton Road. This ordinance amendment would modify the length of the project to between Kolb Road and Rita Road. The ordinance amendment would also recognize other funding from the Regional Flood Control Tax Levy in an amount of \$237,245 that was contributed to the project. The project was delivered below the original cost of the bond, so the remaining bond funds were used and matched with additional external funding to provide a safe, vehicle separated underpass crossing of Kolb Road, consistent with the intent of the bond scope. This provides better connectivity and continuity with other Julian Wash Greenway installations to the west. Amending this project will require action by the City of Tucson's Mayor and Council prior to consideration by the Board of Supervisors.

**4.35 Arroyo Chico Wash Improvements**

This project is under construction and scheduled to be completed early next fiscal year, if not sooner. This ordinance amendment will add one implementation period to reflect the possibility that additional time would be needed to complete the project. Amending this project will require action by the City of Tucson's Mayor and Council prior to consideration by the Board of Supervisors.

**5.5 Pascua Yaqui Tribe Black Wash Urban Drainage Flood Control Improvements**

The Pascua Yaqui Tribe has completed the design and utility relocation for this project and is in the process of securing additional non-bond funding for construction as the total project cost will far exceed the bond funding. This ordinance amendment will extend the implementation period through fiscal year 2013/14 in order to provide additional time to complete the project.

**D. Recommendations for Ordinance Amendments Relative to the 2006 General Obligation Bond Program**

**Q4 Psychiatric Inpatient Hospital Facilities**

This project was completed in August 2011. Due to cost savings, remaining bond funds are available for additional improvements. This ordinance amendment would enable approximately \$360,000 of the remaining bond funds to be spent on the development of capital improvements necessary for the University of Arizona's use of approximately 12,000 square feet in the Abrams

**Staff Report and Recommendations on Amending Bond Ordinances  
County Bond Advisory Committee, March 29, 2013**

Page 8

Public Health building. This space would be used by the Colleges of Medicine, Public Health, and Agriculture and Life Sciences with an emphasis on research in the prevention of metabolic diseases. The University would enter into a market rate 5-year lease with the County for use of this space and to reimburse the County for these capital expenses, as well as annual operating and maintenance costs. This project would continue the County's collaboration with the University of Arizona in the areas of biosciences and healthcare.

**May 20, 1997**  
**General Obligation & Sewer**

**ORDINANCE NUMBER 2013 - \_\_\_\_**

**AN ORDINANCE OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF PIMA COUNTY ARIZONA RELATING TO GENERAL OBLIGATION AND SEWER REVENUE BOND PROJECTS AMENDING ORDINANCE NUMBER 1997-35 BOND IMPLEMENTATION PLAN, MAY 20, 1997 SPECIAL ELECTION (AS AMENDED SEPTEMBER 22, 1998 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 1998-58; AUGUST 20, 2001 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 2001-111; MARCH 9, 2004 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 2004-15; OCTOBER 11, 2005 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 2005-91; APRIL 4, 2006 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 2006-19; OCTOBER 17, 2006 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 2006-82; APRIL 10, 2007 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 2007-32; NOVEMBER 6, 2007 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 2007-94; APRIL 1, 2008 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 2008-24; NOVEMBER 18, 2008 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 2008-107; OCTOBER 6, 2009 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 2009-90; APRIL 13, 2010 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 2010-23; OCTOBER 19, 2010 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 2010-64, APRIL 5, 2011 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 2011-19, AND OCTOBER 18, 2011 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 2011-78, AND April 17, 2012 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 2012-18, AND NOVEMBER 13, 2012 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 2012-65), AND AUTHORIZING THE USE OF ADDITIONAL OTHER FUNDS TO FINANCE CERTAIN PROJECTS.**

**WHEREAS**, The Board of Supervisors adopted Chapter 3.06 of the Pima County Code titled "Bonding Disclosure, Accountability and Implementation"; and,

**WHEREAS**, in compliance with Chapter 3.06, the Board of Supervisors adopted Ordinance Number 1997-35, the "Bond Implementation Plan, May 20, 1997 Special Election"; and,

**WHEREAS**, the Board of Supervisors, on September 22, 1998 enacted Ordinance No. 1998-58, on August 20, 2001 enacted Ordinance Number 2001-111, on March 9, 2004 enacted Ordinance Number 2004-15, on October 11, 2005 enacted Ordinance Number 2005-91, on April 4, 2006 enacted Ordinance Number 2006-19, on October 17, 2006 enacted Ordinance Number 2006-82, on April 10, 2007 by Ordinance No. 2007-32, on November 6, 2007 enacted Ordinance Number 2007-94, on April 1, 2008 by Ordinance No. 2008-24, on November 18, 2008 by Ordinance No. 2008-107, on October 6, 2009 by Ordinance No. 2009-90, on April 13, 2010 by Ordinance No. 2010-23, on October 19, 2010 by Ordinance No. 2010-64, on April 5, 2011 by Ordinance No. 2011-19, on October 18, 2011 by Ordinance No. 2011-78, on April 17, 2012 by Ordinance No. 2012-18, and on November 13, 2012 by Ordinance No. 2012-65 amending Ordinance No. 1997-35 in compliance with provisions of Chapter 3.06; and

**WHEREAS**, the Board of Supervisors desires to amend Ordinance Number 1997-35 (as previously amended) in compliance with provisions of Chapter 3.06;

**NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Pima County, Arizona, that:**

Ordinance Number 1997-35 (as previously amended) is hereby amended as follows:

**B. Question No. 2 - Public Safety, Law Enforcement and Superior Court**

**10) Project** **SC-8 -- Superior Court New Courtrooms**  
Location: Superior Court Building  
110 West Congress  
Bond Funding: \$11,500,000  
Scope: Renovate floors B, 1, 2, and 3 of the existing Superior Court building. The existing building has a net usable square footage of approximately 188,700 square feet. A space analysis performed by the IEF Group, Inc. revealed that for optimal utilization of this building, renovation of floors 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9 and B level is necessary. The analysis also identified the need for staff occupying 43,800 square feet to be moved out of the building in order to accommodate new courtroom growth. In addition, to improve safety and operation of the Superior Court, the Assembly Room will be expanded, elevators renovated, fire alarm systems replaced, PBX telephone switch replaced, and roof replaced.  
Benefit: Renovation of the building will allow for additional courtrooms necessary to accommodate present and new divisions and commissioners, as well as necessary support functions. (Currently there are 35 judicial officers and 29 courtrooms.)  
Other Funding: **\$0 379 (Other Miscellaneous Revenue)**  
Implementation Period: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 **Complete**  
Future Operating & Maintenance Costs: Minimal since most space is presently occupied and these costs are being incurred now.

**C. Question No. 3 – Parks**

**4) Project** **P-4 -- Tucson Mountain Park Renovation**  
Location: Tucson Mountains West of the Tucson Basin  
Bond Funding: \$1,100,115  
Scope: Improvements to County facilities located within Tucson Mountain Park, including but not limited to a new well and storage tank for the Tucson Mountain Park water supply system, Arizona Sonora Desert Museum parking lot lighting, general utility upgrades at Gilbert Ray Campground, new and renovated construction of campgrounds, parking and picnic facilities including pedestrian and vehicular circulation, and other public right-of-way.  
Benefit: Improvements to significant public facilities within Tucson Mountain Park that increase public accessibility and use and ensure compliance with mandates of the Americans with Disabilities Act and general development to accommodate increased demands.  
Other Funds: \$160,000 General Funds  
Implementation Period: 2, 3, **4, 5 Complete**  
Future Operating & Maintenance Costs: Costs should be reduced due to replacing obsolete and over-capacity facilities.

**12) Project** **P-12 -- South Tucson Play Field Lighting Improvements**  
Location: South Tucson - Paseo De Lupe Eckstrom  
Bond Funding: \$51,765

Scope: Work includes identification, engineering and construction of lighting improvements to an existing playing field adjacent to Paseo De Lupe Eckstrom in the general South Tucson community.

Benefit: Upgrade to industry and league lighting standards to accommodate and maximize safe nighttime use.

Other Funding: \$0

Implementation Period: 1, 2, 3 Complete

Future Operating & Maintenance Costs: Minimal

**17) Project**

**P-17 -- Santa Rita Park Lighting Improvements**

Location: 400 East 22nd Street

Bond Funding: \$200,000

Scope: Work will consist of installing lighting for an existing baseball field in the park.

Benefit: Increases field usage for an expanding little league organization.

Other Funding: \$640 General Funds

Implementation Period: 1, 2, 3 Complete

Future Operating & Maintenance Costs: Costs to be paid by City of Tucson through an intergovernmental agreement with Pima County.

**37) Project**

**P-37 -- Santa Cruz River Community Park**

Location: Grande Avenue North of Congress

Bond Funding: \$850,000

Scope: Work consists of development of a soccer field complex located at Menlo Park Elementary School and will include relocation of existing basketball courts, appropriate signage, turf, irrigation system improvements as necessary, portable soccer goals, lighting and additional shared use parking facilities.

Benefit: Accommodate the needs of the leagues and community for athletic fields and general park recreational opportunities.

Other Funding: \$0

Implementation Period: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8

Future Operating & Maintenance Costs: Costs to be paid by City of Tucson through an intergovernmental agreement with Pima County.

**44) Project**

**P-44 -- Branding Iron Park**

Location: South of Drexel Road, North of Valencia Boulevard, West of Camino Verde, located within the Branding Iron Circle. Section 10, T15S, R12E

Bond Funding: \$100,000

Scope: Work consists of design and development of a public community recreational facility, including neighborhood center and park, in Branding Iron Subdivision.

Benefit: Neighborhood park community recreational opportunity.

Other Funding: \$187,105 CDBG Grant

Implementation Period: 1, 2 Complete

Future Operating & Maintenance Costs: Minimal

**D. Question No. 4 - Sonoran Desert Open Space and Historic Preservation**

**10) Project** **SD-10 -- Tumamoc Hill**  
Location: West  
Bond Funding: \$223,548  
Scope: Land acquisition - 320 acres to be acquired. Land acquisition within the city limits of the City of Tucson east of Greasewood to protect scenic landmarks in a rapidly developing area that is rich in wildlife and contains archaeological sites and a University Research Station. **This property on Tumamoc Hill was purchased in 2009. The remaining funding of approximately \$18,000 was then allocated to the purchase of approximately 1,000 acres of State Trust land to expand Tortolita Mountain Park that occurred in 2012.**  
Parcels within planning acquisition area:  
Parcel No. Section Township Range Zoning  
116-24-107 15 14 S 13 E CR-1  
116-26-754 16 14 S 13 E CR-1  
Benefit: Protection of the Sonoran Desert.  
Other Funding: \$0  
Implementation Period: 3, 4, 5, 6, **7, 8 Complete**  
Future Operating & Maintenance Costs: Minimal

**20) Project** **T-19 -- 36th Street Trailhead**  
Location: Western End of 36th Street at Tucson Mountain Park  
Bond Funding: \$200,000  
Scope: Development of a trailhead parking area for the Tucson Mountain Park trail system at the western end of 36th Street for use by pedestrian, equestrian, and bicyclist, including a trailhead parking area for Tucson Mountain Park with capacity for approximately 25 cars and 5 horse rigs, parking areas, a gate system, fencing, signage and emergency telephone.  
Benefit: Project will provide a much needed southern staging area for hikers, equestrians and bicyclists into the Tucson Mountain Park trail system from the end of 36th Street. Facility will provide orderly access into the park, enhance a distressed area, and allow the control of after-hours access from this location for the first time.  
Other Funding: \$0  
Implementation Period: 1, 2, 3, 4, **5 Complete**  
Future Operating & Maintenance Costs: Minimal

**E. Question No. 5 - Public Health, Safety, Recreational, and Cultural Facilities**

**7) Project** **CC-7 -- Las Artes Youth Learning Center**  
Location: 23 West 27th Street  
Bond Funding: \$1,540,014

Scope: Construction of an educational facility to house a vocational instruction model for youth aged 14 to 21 in an applied academics setting.

Benefit: The purpose and specific benefits to be achieved by construction of the project are provision of an intense curriculum combined with a planned program of vocational instruction and career exploration and post-secondary education in Commercial Arts.

Other Funding: \$0

Implementation Period: 1, 2, 3 Complete

Future Operating & Maintenance Costs: Costs will be borne by other sources of revenues due to application and development of a charter school at this location.

### **9) Project**

#### **CC-9 -- El Rio Adult Education and Child Care**

Location: El Rio Learning Center  
1390 West Speedway

Bond Funding: \$1,500,000

Scope: Construction of classroom space to house adult education and child care programs. Adult education programs include English as a second language tutoring, literacy, GED, and citizenship classes. Child care services will be provided to meet the needs of pre-school, elementary and middle school age children during the regular school year and during summer and intercession periods.

Benefit: The purpose and specific benefits to be achieved by construction of the project are to help adults and working parents get into the work force and train for employment and to provide a positive, safe environment for children.

Other Funding: \$0

Implementation Period: 1, 2, 3 Complete

Future Operating & Maintenance Costs: Costs will be borne by the Adult Education Program.

## **H. Question No. 8 - Sewer System Revenue Bonds**

### **5) Project**

#### **SS-6 – Tanque Verde Interceptor, Hidden Hills Wash to Arbor Circle**

Location: The interceptor parallels the Tanque Verde Wash from the Hidden Hills Wash east to Arbor Circle Road.

Bond Funding: \$110,000

Scope: This work will include construction of approximately 6,000 feet of a 24-inch diameter interceptor sewer. Flows to the overloaded North Rillito interceptor will be rerouted to the South Rillito interceptor allowing for projected future increases in flows due to development. This project was retired and remaining bond funding was reallocated to SS-14 Randolph Park Wastewater Reclamation Facility. (Bond Ordinance Amendment 2004-15)

Benefit: The additional capacity will allow the Tanque Verde and Pantano sewer basins to have adequate sewer service. Annual maintenance costs will be reduced along with the risks of service interruption. The generation of odors will also be minimized.

Other Funding: \$0

Implementation Period: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 Complete

Future Operating &

Maintenance Costs: Since the new sewer will carry flows from adjacent overloaded sewers, savings in crisis maintenance costs on the overloaded systems will be realized. Operating and maintenance costs on the new sewer will be minimal in the first several years, requiring only spraying for roach control.

**AS AMENDED by the Board of Supervisors of Pima County, Arizona, on this \_\_day  
of \_\_\_\_\_, 2013.**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman, Board of Supervisors

Attest:

Reviewed by:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk, Board of Supervisors

\_\_\_\_\_  
County Administrator

Approved as to Form:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Civil Deputy County Attorney

**November 4, 1997**  
**Highway User Revenue Fund (HURF)**

ORDINANCE NUMBER 2013-\_\_\_\_

**AN ORDINANCE OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF PIMA COUNTY ARIZONA RELATING TO HIGHWAY USER REVENUE FUND REVENUE BOND PROJECTS AMENDING ORDINANCE NUMBER 1997-80 BOND IMPLEMENTATION PLAN, NOVEMBER 4, 1997 SPECIAL ELECTION (AS AMENDED SEPTEMBER 22, 1998 BY ORDINANCE NO. 1998-59, AUGUST 20, 2001 BY ORDINANCE NO. 2001-112, DECEMBER 14, 2004 BY ORDINANCE NO. 2004-118, OCTOBER 11, 2005 BY ORDINANCE NO. 2005-90, APRIL 4, 2006 BY ORDINANCE NO. 2006-20, OCTOBER 17, 2006 BY ORDINANCE NO. 2006-83, NOVEMBER 6, 2007 BY ORDINANCE NO. 2007-93, APRIL 21, 2009 BY ORDINANCE NO. 2009-39 OCTOBER 6, 2009 BY ORDINANCE NO. 2009-91, APRIL 13, 2010 BY ORDINANCE NO. 2010-22, OCTOBER 19, 2010 BY ORDINANCE NO. 2010-62, APRIL 5, 2011 BY ORDINANCE NO. 2011-20, AND OCTOBER 18, 2011 BY ORDINANCE NO. 2011-77 AND April 17, 2012 BY ORDINANCE NO. 2012-19) FOR THE PURPOSE OF REALLOCATING BOND FUNDS, AMENDING THE SCOPE OF CERTAIN PROJECTS, AMENDING IMPLEMENTATION PERIODS FOR CERTAIN BOND PROJECTS AND AUTHORIZING THE USE OF ADDITIONAL OTHER FUNDS TO FINANCE CERTAIN BOND PROJECTS.**

**WHEREAS**, the Board of Supervisors adopted Chapter 3.06 of the Pima County Code titled "Bonding Disclosure, Accountability and Implementation"; and,

**WHEREAS**, in compliance with Chapter 3.06, the Board of Supervisors adopted Ordinance Number 1997-80, the "Transportation Bond Improvement Plan, November 4, 1997 Special Election"; and,

**WHEREAS**, the Board of Supervisors, on September 22, 1998 enacted Ordinance Number 1998-59 and on August 20, 2001 enacted Ordinance Number 2001-112 and on December 14, 2004 enacted Ordinance Number 2004-118 and on October 11, 2005 enacted Ordinance Number 2005-90 and on April 4, 2006 enacted Ordinance Number 2006-20 and on October 17, 2006 enacted Ordinance Number 2006-83 and on November 6, 2007 enacted Ordinance Number 2007-93 and on April 21, 2009 enacted Ordinance Number 2009-39 and on October 6, 2009 enacted Ordinance Number 2009-91 and on April 13, 2010 enacted Ordinance Number 2010-22 and on October 19, 2010 enacted Ordinance Number 2010-62 and on April 5, 2011 enacted Ordinance number 2011-20 and on October 18, 2011 enacted Ordinance number 2011-77 and on April 17, 2012 enacted Ordinance number 2012-19 amending Ordinance Number 1997-80 in compliance with provisions of Chapter 3.06; and,

**WHEREAS**, the Board of Supervisors desires to amend Ordinance Number 1997-80 (as previously amended) in compliance with provisions of Chapter 3.06:

**NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Pima County, Arizona:**

Ordinance Number 1997-80 (as previously amended), is hereby amended as follows:

**Table 5**

**Planned Bond Project/Program Implementation Period**

<b><u>Fiscal Year</u></b>	<b><u>Implementation Period</u></b>
1998/99	1
1999/00	1
2000/01	2
2001/02	2
2002/03	3
2003/04	3
2004/05	4
2005/06	4
2006/07	5
2007/08	5
2008/09	6
2009/10	6
2010/11	7
2011/12	7
2012/13	8
2013/14	8
<u>2014/15</u>	<u>9</u>
<u>2015/16</u>	<u>9</u>
<u>2016/17</u>	<u>10</u>
<u>2017/18</u>	<u>10</u>
<u>2018/19</u>	<u>11</u>
<u>2019/20</u>	<u>11</u>
<u>2020/21</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>2021/22</u>	<u>12</u>

**6) DOT-6 - Magee Road, La Canada Drive to Oracle Road**

NOTE: This project is now part of the Regional Transportation Authority (RTA) project #12 Magee Road, La Canada Drive to Oracle Road. RTA project scope is to widen to a 4-lane arterial roadway with bike lanes and sidewalks. Project construction to start in RTA Period 2.

Location: Unincorporated County

Bond Funding: \$3,750,000

Scope: The project consists of widening Magee Road between La Canada Drive and Oracle Road to a four-lane cross section with a raised landscaped median, multi-use lanes, outside curbs, storm drains, landscaping and pedestrian facilities. This project also includes intersection improvements at Oracle Road. A box culvert will be constructed to carry Pegler Wash under Magee Road and provision will be made for other transverse drainage.

Benefit: The project will reduce congestion and enhance safety along Magee

Road.

Other Funding: ~~\$5,850,000 Regional Transportation Authority~~  
~~\$9,800,000 Surface Transportation Program (Federal Funds)~~  
~~\$100,000 County HURF~~

\$16,196,000 (\$ 5,850,000 Regional Transportation Authority)  
(\$10,246,000 Surface Transportation Program (Federal Funds))  
( \$ 100,000 County HURF)

Implementation Period: ~~RTA Construction Start: Period 2 Calendar Year 2013~~  
~~3/4/7/8/9~~

Future Annual Operating &  
Maintenance Costs: \$18,000

**11) DOT-11 - Drexel Road, Tucson Boulevard to Alvernon Way**

Location: Unincorporated County, Tucson

Bond Funding: ~~\$6,500,000~~ \$2,500,000

Scope: ~~The proposed project is to widen Drexel from Tucson Blvd thru the Alvernon intersection to Belvedere. This widening will provide multi-use, paved shoulders along both sides of Drexel and includes a full width overlay. This project will also provide the same improvements to County Club Road from Drexel to Milber. consists of reconstruction and widening of Drexel Road. Proposed improvements will include two traffic lanes in each direction, multi-use lanes, outside curbs, storm drains, landscaping and neighborhood noise mitigation. The roadway median will be either a raised landscape median or a two-way left turn lane to be determined by further study of local access and circulation needs. Significant improvements will be made in the drainage along the roadway and in the roadway profile where it intersects the north-south street system.~~

Benefit: ~~This section of Drexel Road has five major intersections within one and one half miles. The north-south roadways are the primary arterial routes into Tucson International Airport. The regional Mobility Management Plan has identified these as priority corridors to maintain high levels of service. Providing additional capacity on Drexel Road will allow for traffic signals to provide extended green times on the airport access routes without unduly delaying traffic on Drexel Road. The project will reduce congestion and enhance safety along Drexel Road. Roadway widening will increase traffic safety and multi-modal use along this segment of Drexel and the full width overlay will significantly increase the life of the roadway.~~

Other Funding: None Proposed

Implementation Period: 4/5/6/7/8

Future Annual Operating &  
Maintenance Costs: \$22,500

**18) DOT-18 - Cortaro Farms Road, Union Pacific Railroad Crossing to Thornydale Road**

Location: Unincorporated County & Marana

Bond Funding: ~~\$10,644,000~~ \$10,401,320

Scope: The proposed project consists of widening Cortaro Farms Road, adjusting the horizontal alignment at the railroad approach and correcting the grade over the railroad and the adjacent Cortaro-Marana Irrigation District canal. The project will have four traffic lanes, multi-use lanes, outside curbs, storm drains and landscaping. The median treatment will be either a raised landscape median or a median two-way left-turn lane, depending on further evaluation of local area access and circulation needs. This project will have two construction phases: the Marana portion from the Union Pacific Railroad to Camino de Oeste; and the Pima County portion from Camino de Oeste to Thornydale Road. Bond funding will only be used for the design phase on the Pima County portion. Project construction will be completed using non-bond funds.

Benefit: This project will reduce traffic congestion and enhance safety along Cortaro Farms Road. The estimated economic value of the improvements to traffic flow and reductions in accidents are \$8.05 million. The benefit/cost ratio is 0.8:1.

Other Funding: ~~\$19,391,000 (\$14,962,000 Urban HURF, \$4,384,000 Impact Fees, \$45,000 Development Services)~~

\$ 2,329,532 ( \$ 820,113 Urban HURF, 12.6%)  
( \$ 915,116 Impact Fees)  
( \$ 594,303 County HURF)

Implementation Period: 1/2/3/4/6/7 Complete

Future Annual Operating &  
Maintenance Costs: \$36,000

**29) DOT-29 - Houghton Road, Golf Links Road to Interstate 10**

NOTE: This project is now part of a Regional Transportation Authority (RTA) project- #32 Houghton Road, Tanque Verde to I-10. RTA project scope is to widen to a 4 and 6-lane desert parkway with new bridges, bike lanes, and sidewalks.

Location: Tucson and Unincorporated County

Bond Funding: \$20,000,000

Scope: This project is the widening of Houghton Road to a four-lane cross section with multi-use lanes, curbs, storm drains and outside landscaping; the segment between Old Vail Road and Golf Links Road is to have a six-lane cross section. The limits of this project are changed per memorandum of understanding with the City of Tucson dated May 7, 2004.

Benefit: The project will reduce congestion and enhance safety along Houghton Road.

Other Funding: ~~\$95,342,000~~ ~~Regional Transportation Authority~~  
~~\$12,700,000~~ ~~Pima County Impact Fees~~  
~~\$18,000,000~~ ~~City of Tucson~~  
~~\$14,600,000~~ ~~Other~~  
(Includes only the estimated funds for the Golf Links to I-10 segment)  
\$ 77,400,000 (\$ 51,655,000 Regional Transportation Authority)  
(\$ 4,000,000 Pima County Impact Fees)  
(\$ 8,700,000 County HURF)  
(\$ 4,076,000 City of Tucson Impact Fees)  
(\$ 8,953,000 Federal)

Implementation Period: 8/9/10/11/12  
RTA Construction Start: Period 1 (2007-2011) through Period 3 (2017- 2021).

Future Annual Operating & Maintenance Costs: City of Tucson

**41) DOT-41 – Neighborhood Transportation Improvements**

Location: Supervisor District 2

Bond Funding: ~~\$10,000,000~~ \$7,400,000

Scope: The project will construct improvements to neighborhood streets, including but not limited to sidewalks, bike lanes, street lighting, neighborhood traffic mitigation measures, street paving, and ADA pedestrian improvements.

Benefit: The project will enhance the usability and safety of neighborhood streets, improve the quality of life in neighborhoods, and add to the stability of and reinvestment in neighborhoods.

Other Funding: Matching funds from other jurisdictions as available

Implementation Period: 2/3/4/5/6/7/8/9/10/11/12

Future Annual Operating &  
Maintenance Costs: Minimal

**44) DOT-44- Orange Grove Road, Thornydale Road to Oracle Road**

Location: Unincorporated County & Marana

Bond Funding: ~~\$20,000,000~~ \$15,000,000

Scope: ~~The project consists of constructing a four and six lane roadway from Thornydale Road to Oracle Road. The Advance Planning Report for Orange Grove Road approved by the Board of Supervisors in 1992 called for six lanes from La Cholla Boulevard to Oracle Road and four lanes from Thornydale Road to La Cholla. The cross section requirements will be reviewed during the project initiation stages to determine if the full six lanes from Thornydale to La Cholla should be built with the initial project.~~

This project will improve safety, operations, and capacity along the Orange Grove corridor thru the construction of additional lanes. The most heavily traveled segment, from Camino de la Tierra to LaCanada will be increased to a five-lane section. This section will consist of two thru lanes in both directions plus a two-way center turn lane. In addition, turn lanes and acceleration and deceleration lanes will be designed at Mona Lisa to meet current and future traffic movements. Other features, such as improved shoulders, a pedestrian path on one side of the road, landscaping, and sound-barrier walls, if warranted, will be designed now and built when funding is available. These improvements will match into the existing five lane section from Thornydale to Camino de la Tierra, which remains adequate for that segment. From LaCanada to Oracle a three lane section will be constructed to provide one thru lane in both directions plus a two-way center turn lane.

Note: ~~The Orange Grove Road and La Cholla Boulevard intersection will be constructed with as part of the La Cholla Boulevard, River Road to Magee Road project (see DOT-45). The intersection of Orange Grove Road and La Canada Drive will likely be completed as part of the non-bond federal aid project, La Canada Drive, River Road to Ina Road. The remainder of the roadway will be completed in two phases. Phase 1 will consist of construction from Corona Drive to Oracle Road. Phase 2 will be complete the project from Thornydale Road to La Cholla Boulevard.~~

~~Phase 2 improvements include widening the 3-lane section to a 5-lane section on Orange Grove Road from Camino de la Tierra to La Cholla Boulevard, providing two eastbound travel lanes, two westbound travel lanes, a center left-turn lane and paved shoulders on each side. Turn lanes and acceleration and deceleration lanes will be designed for Mona Usa to meet RTA requirements. Other features, such a pedestrian patch~~

~~on one side of the road, landscaping, and sound barrier walls, if warranted, will be designed now and built when funding is available.~~

Benefit: The project will reduce congestion and enhance safety along Orange Grove Road and at the intersecting street intersections. The estimated economic value of the improvements to traffic flow and reductions in accidents are \$295.48 million. ~~The benefit/cost ratio is 12.7:1.~~

Other Funding: \$385,000 (Regional Transportation Authority) additional funding sources will be utilized when available.

Implementation Period: 3/4/5/6/7/8/9/

Future Annual Operating & Maintenance Costs: \$126,000

**47) DOT-47 - Sunrise Drive, Craycroft Road to Kolb Road**

Location: Unincorporated County

Bond Funding: ~~\$19,822,000~~ 18,862,966

Scope: The project will reconstruct Sunrise Drive to two through travel lanes with multi-use lanes, drainage improvements, landscaping and neighborhood screening and noise mitigation as required. Additional lanes will be constructed at specific intersections and the construction will match the intersection improvements at Craycroft Road constructed with DOT-46. The intersection with Kolb Road will be completed as a part of this construction. The median treatment will be a raised landscape median at identified intersections with a two-way left-turn lane installed between the raised medians. The proposed project is intended to retain the existing outer limits of the cut and fill slopes along Sunrise Drive, therefore will incorporate structural retaining walls as necessary. Needed revisions or improvements to transverse drainage will be included with the project.

Benefit: The project will reduce congestion and enhance safety along Sunrise Drive. The estimated economic value of the improvements to traffic flow and reductions in accidents are \$41.84 million. The benefit/cost ratio is 3.2:1.

Other Funding: \$0 265,971 (\$36,506 Transfer in from RWRD,  
\$229,465 City-Other-Operating)

Implementation Period: 6/7 Complete

Future Annual Operating & Maintenance Costs: \$31,500

**50) DOT-50 - Kinney Road, Ajo Way to Bopp Road**

Location: Unincorporated County

Bond Funding: \$3,800,000

Scope: This project will widen Kinney Road to four lanes with improved shoulders, roadside drainage and landscaping completely rebuild the Kinney Road-State Route 86 (Ajo Highway) intersection. These efforts will include widening both the southern and northern legs of the intersection in order to provide adequate traffic capacity. The median treatments will be either a raised landscape median or a two-way median left-turn lane pending further evaluation of local area access and circulation requirements. Intersection improvements will also include a new drainage culvert under the south leg of Kinney Road to provide all weather crossing during storm events. This phase of the work will be designed and constructed by ADOT as part of their State Route 86 improvement program.

Future site specific, warranted improvements will be constructed to address traffic safety and/or operational issues as needed.

Benefit: The project will reduce congestion and enhance safety along Kinney Road. The estimated economic value of the improvements to traffic flow and reductions in accidents are \$4.81 million. ~~The benefit/cost ratio is 1.2:1.~~

Other Funding: ~~\$9,863,000 (\$8,043,000 Impact Fees, \$1,819,000 ADOT)~~  
\$2,400,000 (\$ 2,200,000 Impact Fees)  
(\$ 200,000 Urban Hurf, 12.6%)

Implementation Period: 5/6/7/8/9

Future Annual Operating & Maintenance Costs: \$9,000

**51) DOT-51 - La Canada/Las Quintas Highway Drainage Improvements**

Location: Sahuarita

Bond Funding: \$1,500,000

Scope: Proposed project consists of transverse and parallel drainage improvements along La Cañada Drive and Las Quintas to provide improved roadway drainage, to alleviate ponding and drainage diversions within existing neighborhoods and to increase roadway safety.

Benefit: The project will maintain traversable roadways during wet weather and alleviate roadway-induced drainage problems in the adjacent neighborhoods.

Other Funding: \$26,913 County HURF

Implementation Period: 1/2/3/4

Future Annual Operating &  
Maintenance Costs: No Increase

**57) DOT-57 - Safety Improvements**

Location: Various

Bond Funding: ~~\$20,083,414~~ \$32,683,414

Scope: The HURF Revenue Bond includes \$20 32.6 million for presently undesignated safety improvements that would be implemented over the course of the bond program. Projects to be funded under this category are traffic safety improvements. The Department of Transportation publishes annual reports on the traffic accident and safety condition of the unincorporated roadway system. Improvements to be funded with bonds could include traffic signal installations, corrections of offset intersection, installation of left turn lanes, minor improvements to horizontal and vertical alignments to improve sight distance and maintain vehicle control, and similar types of specifically targeted safety projects. Specific projects will be selected by the Department for incorporation into the annual Capital Improvement Program based on the Department's assessment or ranking of conditions, or when unforeseen safety conditions require a project necessary for public safety.

Benefits: Previous Pima County General Obligation Bonds have included specific amounts targeted to safety improvements. These previous allocations have demonstrated substantial safety benefits. Fifty locations were improved with traffic safety bond funds authorized in the 1979 and 1980 bond elections. The overall accident reduction from both highway segment and intersection improvements was 26.3 percent (source "Evaluation of the Traffic Accident Experience of Completed Traffic Safety Projects Financed with 1979 and 1980 Bond Issue Funds" Traffic Engineering Division, Pima County Department of Transportation, February 1990).

Other Funding: \$1,690,000 (296,000 Fed STP)  
(360,000 Impact Fees)  
(438,000 Other)  
(296,000 State Funds)  
(300,000 Flood Control District)

Implementation Period: 1/2/3/4/5/6/7/8/9/10/11/12

Future Annual Operating &  
Maintenance Costs: To be determined

**AS AMENDED** by the Board of Supervisors of Pima County, Arizona, on this \_\_\_\_  
day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2013.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman, Board of Supervisors

Attest:

Reviewed by:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk, Board of Supervisors

\_\_\_\_\_  
County Administrator

Approved as to Form:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Civil Deputy County Attorney

**May 18, 2004**  
**General Obligation & Sewer**

ORDINANCE NUMBER 2013-\_\_\_\_

**AN ORDINANCE OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF PIMA COUNTY ARIZONA RELATING TO GENERAL OBLIGATION AND SEWER REVENUE BOND PROJECTS AMENDING ORDINANCE NUMBER 2004-18 BOND IMPLEMENTATION PLAN, MAY 18, 2004 SPECIAL ELECTION (AS AMENDED OCTOBER 11, 2005 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 2005-92 AND APRIL 4, 2006 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 2006-21 AND OCTOBER 17, 2006 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 2006-84 AND APRIL 10, 2007 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 2007-33 AND NOVEMBER 6, 2007 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 2007-95 AND APRIL 1, 2008 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 2008-25 AND NOVEMBER 18, 2008 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 2008-106 AND APRIL 21, 2009 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 2009-40 AND OCTOBER 6, 2009 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 2009-92 AND APRIL 13, 2010 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 2010-24 AND OCTOBER 19, 2010 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 2010-63 AND DECEMBER 7, 2010 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 2010-70 AND APRIL 5, 2011 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 2011-21 AND OCTOBER 18, 2011 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 2011-79 AND April 17, 2012 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 2012-20, AND NOVEMBER 13, 2012 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 2012-66) FOR THE PURPOSE OF AMENDING THE SCOPE OF CERTAIN PROJECTS, AMENDING IMPLEMENTATION PERIODS FOR CERTAIN BOND PROJECTS, AND AUTHORIZING THE USE OF ADDITIONAL OTHER FUNDS TO FINANCE CERTAIN PROJECTS.**

**WHEREAS**, the Board of Supervisors adopted Chapter 3.06 of the Pima County Code titled "Bonding Disclosure, Accountability and Implementation;" and,

**WHEREAS**, in compliance with Chapter 3.06, the Board of Supervisors adopted Ordinance Number 2004-18, the "Bond Implementation Plan, May 18, 2004 Special Election;" and

**WHEREAS**, the Board of Supervisors, on October 11, 2005 enacted Ordinance Number 2005-92 and on April 4, 2006 enacted Ordinance Number 2006-21 and on October 17, 2006 enacted Ordinance Number 2006-84 and on April 10, 2007 enacted Ordinance Number 2007-33 and on November 6, 2007 enacted Ordinance Number 2007-95 and on April 1, 2008 enacted Ordinance Number 2008-25 and on November 18, 2008 enacted Ordinance Number 2008-106 and on April 21, 2009 enacted Ordinance Number 2009-40 and on October 6, 2009 enacted Ordinance Number 2009-92 and on April 13, 2010 enacted Ordinance Number 2010-24 amending Ordinance Number 2004-18 and on October 19, 2010 enacted Ordinance Number 2010-63 and on December 7, 2010 enacted Ordinance Number 2010-70 and on April 5, 2011 enacted Ordinance Number 2011-21 and on October 18, 2011 enacted Ordinance Number 2011-79 and on April 17, 2012 enacted Ordinance Number 2012-20 and on November 13, 2012 enacted Ordinance Number 2012-66 in compliance with provisions of Chapter 3.06; and

**WHEREAS**, the Board of Supervisors desires to amend Ordinance Number 2004-18 (as previously amended) in compliance with provisions of Chapter 3.06:

**NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Pima County, Arizona:**

Ordinance Number 2004-18 (as previously amended) is hereby amended as follows:

## **B. Question No. 2 - Public Health and Community Facilities**

### **a. Public Health Facilities**

#### **2.2 New Psychiatric Hospital**

**Location:** Kino Health Campus, 2800 East Ajo Way, Tucson, Arizona 85713

**Scope:** In conjunction with bond funding under the 2006 bond ordinance, expand in-patient psychiatric facilities at the hospital facility located on the Kino health campus, currently operated by University Physician's Healthcare as the University Physician's Healthcare Hospital; design, construct and equip an Outpatient Physician Clinic in the unoccupied shell space on the second floor of Herbert K. Abrams Public Health Center located to the east of the hospital facilities. This clinic space will be leased to University of Physician's Healthcare / University of Arizona College of Medicine.

**Benefits:** Currently, UPH provides inpatient psychiatric services in University Physician's Healthcare Hospital on the Kino campus. The majority of the psychiatric inpatient beds are located in wings originally designed as medical/surgical nursing units. A facility specifically designed for psychiatric patients will improve security, operational efficiency and improve treatment opportunities. Expansion of the psychiatric facilities is essential for the Hospital's transition into a full-service general hospital.

**Cost:** ~~\$12,000,000~~ 12,007,736, with Planning/Design being \$1,266,000, Construction being \$10,597,000, and Other being \$137,000.

**Bond Funding:** \$12,000,000

**Other Funding:** ~~None identified at this time~~ \$7,736 (Other Miscellaneous Revenue)

**Project Duration:** Planning at 9 to 11 months, Design at 14 to 16 months, and Construction at 21 to 24 months.

**Implementation Period:** 1, 2, 3, 4 Complete

**Project Management:** Pima County Facilities Management

**Future Operating and Maintenance Costs:** Building operating and maintenance costs are expected to be approximately \$900,000 per year.

#### **2.3 Teresa Lee Health Clinic and TB Clinic Relocation**

**Location:** Downtown area. The County owns property on West Congress Street and Linda Avenue. Additional clinic services site located on Kino Campus, East Ajo Way.

**Scope:** Construct a new public health clinic on County-owned property to house Health Department clinics. This new facility will replace the County's Theresa Lee Clinic, 322 South Freeway Drive, which has been identified as a critical component of the Rio Nuevo development and must be relocated. Relocation of Pima County Health Department TB Clinic to Kino Campus in existing facility adjacent to the Abrams Health Building.

**Benefits:** This project will benefit development of the Rio Nuevo program. Subject to approval, partnership with El Rio Clinic to improve and expand the El Rio Healthcare Campus, while assuring delivery of public healthcare functions currently provided at the Teresa Lee Health Clinic, will be continued. The TB Clinic relocation will provide public services adjacent to new Health Department services at the Kino Campus (Abrams Building) on East Ajo Way. The facility will provide a safer work environment for staff and patients providing a negative air system to the whole clinic. Current facilities have limited negative air capabilities not conducive to specialized clinic operations.

**Cost:** \$2,000,000, with Planning/Design being \$211,000, Construction being \$1,783,000, and Other being \$6,000.

**Bond Funding:** \$2,000,000

**Other Funding:** None identified at this time

**Project Duration:** Planning at 8 to 10 months, Design at 15 to 17 months, and Construction at 15 to 18 months. Multiple phased projects.

**Implementation Period:** 2, 3, 4, 5, 6

**Project Management:** Pima County Facilities Management

**Future Operating and Maintenance Costs:** No significant change in operating and maintenance costs expected since this project will replace the existing clinic.

#### d. Ina Road Tire Relocation

##### 2.11 Ina Road Tire Facility Relocation

**Location:** Relocation of the existing facility located at Ina Road and the Santa Cruz River to a County-owned property at the north County boundary and the Santa Cruz River the Tangerine Landfill.

**Scope:** The scope encompasses the planning/design and construction of a new County waste tire facility in a new location away from residential and commercial properties with reasonable access for county residences and businesses, but in a manner that will facilitate quick removal of the collected tires for recycling and prevent accumulation of tires on-site. Phase I includes the tire relocation to the new site. Phase II includes redistribution of site materials to expand access to capacity at the new site.

**Benefits:** The Town's mission with regard to partnering with Pima County to relocate the Ina Road Waste Tire Facility is to act on behalf of the health, welfare, and safety of the constituents in the area. Although the Town and the County feel it is important to offer a tire waste facility within close

proximity to its constituent users, the urbanization of the area has made the current tire facility better suited for a more remote area of the County. This project will benefit users and residents. The facility is used by constituents of all municipalities and unincorporated Pima County.

**Cost:** \$1,500,000, with Planning/Design being \$120,000, Construction being \$1,200,000, Land Acquisition being \$100,000, and Relocation being \$80,000.

**Bond Funding:** \$1,500,000

**Project Duration:** Planning/Design at 12 to 18 months and Construction at 15 to 24 months.

**Implementation Period:** 2, 3, 4, 5, 6

**Project Management:** The project and relocated tire facility will be managed by Pima County Solid Waste Management. Intergovernmental agreements will be executed between the Town of Marana and Pima County regarding relocation.

**Future Operating and Maintenance Impacts:** None, as simply relocating the current facility will not increase operating and maintenance costs.

### C. Question No. 3 - Public Safety and Justice Facilities

#### 3.1 Pima County Regional Public Safety Communications Network

**Location:** Countywide

**Scope:** Design, procurement and deployment of a regional public safety voice communications network to serve public and non-profit twenty fire districts, eleven police agencies, and the Pima County Office of Emergency Management and Homeland Security. Collectively these entities are responsible for providing public safety and emergency management services to the Pima County populace, and most specifically to their individual jurisdictions. The list of agencies to be served by the regional public safety communications network is presented below:

##### Fire Departments

- |                                   |                                   |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1. Ajo/Gibson Volunteer Fire      | 11. Northwest Fire District       |
| 2. Arivaca Volunteer Fire         | 12. Pascua Pueblo Fire            |
| 3. Avra Valley Fire District      | 13. Picture Rocks Fire District   |
| 4. Corona de Tucson Fire District | 14. Rincon Valley Fire District   |
| 5. Drexel Heights Fire District   | 15. South Tucson Fire             |
| 6. Elephant Head Volunteer Fire   | 16. Three Points Fire District    |
| 7. Golder Ranch Fire District     | 17. Tohono O'odham Fire District  |
| 8. Green Valley Fire District     | 18. Tucson Airport Authority Fire |
| 9. Helmet Peak Fire District      | 19. Tucson Fire                   |
| 10. Mt. Lemmon Fire District      | 20. Why Fire District             |

##### Police Agencies

- |                                 |                                   |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1. Marana Police Department     | 6. Sahuarita Police Department    |
| 2. Oro Valley Police Department | 7. South Tucson Police Department |

- |   |                                    |
|---|------------------------------------|
| 3. Pascua Yaqui Police Department           | 8. Tohono O'odham Tribal Police    |
| 4. Pima College Department of Public Safety | 9. Tucson Airport Authority Police |
| 5. Pima County Sheriff's Department         | 10. Tucson Police Department       |
|   | 11. University Police Department   |
12. Pima County Office of Emergency Management & Homeland Security

## **General Scope/Description**

### **1. Regional Public Safety Voice Communications Network**

The new system will provide the most modern, state-of-the art and widespread on-street coverage for the majority of Pima County first responders and will be scalable so that additional users, features and capacity can be added to the system as needs and resources dictate.

This proposal will deploy a digital 800MHz trunked radio system operated throughout Pima County. This will allow Pima County to reuse frequencies already licensed to the County and other partners to serve more needs. Use of a trunked system with a simulcast subset will assure the most efficient use of resources.

Portable radio in-building coverage is desired in many areas of the County to facilitate the routine and tactical communications needs of fire and police first responders. The radio system will provide varying levels of in-building coverage as determined by the governance committees. Existing antenna sites will be reused where possible to minimize cultural and environmental impacts, and to minimize costs.

The system will provide interagency "interoperability," for participant agencies, which means first responders from different agencies can talk directly, in real-time to each other, to better coordinate emergency response actions.

The radio project will provide mobile and portable radios, and dispatch consoles for the participating jurisdictions agencies as determined in a user needs assessment process.

### **2. Regional Communications Center and Homeland Security Emergency Operations Center**

An approximately 60,000 square foot facility, proposed to be located at 3434 E. 22<sup>nd</sup> Street, in Tucson will be renovated and equipped. The Communications Center will co-locate 9-1-1, dispatch and emergency management operations of the Pima County Sheriff, Pima County Fire Districts, and the Pima County Office of Emergency Management & Homeland Security.

The City of Tucson will renovate and equip approximately 23,000 square feet of an existing facility located at 4004 S. Park Avenue to co-locate the dispatch functions of the Tucson Police Department, and Tucson Fire Department, and other public safety agencies as contracted with the City for dispatch services.

The two buildings will each provide backup capabilities for the other to maintain an ability to provide uninterrupted 9-1-1 services for the City of Tucson and unincorporated Pima County.

9-1-1 Public Safety Answering Point (PSAP) equipment for both facilities will be upgraded or replaced to provide enhanced 9-1-1 services for the City of Tucson and unincorporated Pima County.

## **General Implementation Principles**

A. Governance Structure - Bond investments will be guided by multi-jurisdiction police and fire management consultation and cooperation committees to maximize effectiveness and hence public safety. An Executive Management Committee, ~~Sheriff serving as chair~~, shall be formed to manage all decisions related to Program implementation. The Executive Management Committee shall consist of the Sheriff, representatives from the four participating law enforcement entities in the County, three participating fire agencies, and the Pima County Department of Emergency Management and Homeland Security. This nine-member Executive Management Committee will be responsible for implementation of the public safety communications program funded by bonds. The Executive Management Committee is responsible for involving the participating agencies in the planning and implementation process to assure that future user agency needs are considered in decisions regarding planning, design, implementation, and operations. The Executive Management Committee will make all recommendations for bond fund expenditures to the Board of Supervisors.

B. Minimum Planning and Performance Standards - Before bonds are sold for any purposes other than preliminary engineering and planning, the committee will develop a detailed report on: 1) system performance specifications that will provide assurances that the communications system will comply with all actual and pending national standards for such systems; 2) a detailed operation and maintenance plan that details how a single, unified, regional public safety communications system will be created and operated; and 3) all public safety agencies in Pima County, including tribal agencies, will execute intergovernmental agreements in which they commit to participate in the system as well as use communications equipment in a manner consistent with the technical specifications and management for a unified regional system, and to not operate any other communications systems that will deter from or otherwise impede the operation of the unified, regional system; and to not unilaterally withdraw from the unified, regional system without adequate written notice to all other parties to the agreement.

C. Bond Funding Limited - The \$92 million of bonds authorized is the minimum expenditure for the proposed regional public safety communications system. The participating public safety agencies will commit to an aggressive and continuing effort to obtain federal and state grants for this purpose. It is estimated that another \$13 million or more is needed to develop the minimum desired system.

D. Service Life - The systems purchased must have a physical service life of at least 20 years and will be compatible to the maximum extent practical with evolving technological innovations that can be reasonably foreseen.

E. Cooperation with State and Federal Agencies - State and federal agencies, as well as surrounding southern Arizona counties, will also be invited to participate in the User Committee in development of a regional system such that the system can easily accommodate federal and state investments for this purpose.

F. Homeland Security - Development of the public safety communications system will also cooperate to the maximum extent possible with the efforts of the Federal government to increase Homeland Security.

## **Component Cost Estimates:**

The following cost components are presently estimated for the system.

**Component**

**Estimated Costs**

**Regional Public Safety Voice Communications Network**

1. Consultant design, engineering and implementation support services	\$ 2,576,623
2. Voice Communications System	\$ 25,089,375
3. Subscriber Equipment (mobile and portable radios)	\$ 19,970,544
4. Microwave and IP Network	\$ 3,067,000
5. Antenna Site Development (towers, structures, shelters)	\$ 4,217,800
6. Internal Project Management Labor and Miscellaneous Expenses	\$ 1,742,750
7. Maintenance Shop Equipment & FF&E	\$ 664,800
8. Project Contingencies & Taxes	\$ 6,411,675
<b>Radio System Total</b>	<b>\$ 63,740,567</b>

**Regional Emergency Communications and Operations Center**

1. General Contractor	\$ 15,143,600
2. Furnishings & Equipment	\$ 14,173,810
3. Land Acquisition	\$ 6,586,052
4. Building Permits & Plans Reviews	\$ 142,867
5. Internal Project Management Labor & Other Soft Costs	\$ 449,750
6. Architectural, Engineering & Other Professional Fees	\$ 2,587,848
7. Project Contingencies	\$ 1,735,435
<b>RECOC Total</b>	<b>\$ 40,819,362</b>

**Bond Funding: \$92,000,000**

**Other Funding:** \$13,000,000 - Federal and state funding should be available to cover this other funding from a variety of sources. The County started working with the Arizona and Pima County Congressional delegation in January 2004 on securing this additional funding, as well as initiating cooperative discussions with the Federal Department of Homeland Security. As of December 2009 the County has been awarded \$8,969,753 in federal grants to support the project.

**Implementation Period:** 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6. Funding will first be provided for necessary master system implementation study as identified in the Implementation Process section of this ordinance. This will allow a number of options to be examined for short-term financing to possibly purchase systems ahead of bond revenue cash flow.

**Implementation Process:**

Implementation of this program requires further detailed study and analysis. Prior to incurring major expenses, a master system implementation study will be conducted that provides: a) a radio system needs assessment, b) a technical study that identifies improvements necessary, c) a request for proposals to procure the system, hardware and equipment necessary to meet the performance parameters agreed upon, d) a strategy and detailed plan to obtain and develop additional funding to complete the improvements necessary that are not funded with bond funding,

e) an assessment of vendors that are federally pre-qualified to provide wireless communication systems for public safety and homeland security, as well as an analysis of the cost-effectiveness of using pre-approved and authorized federal procurement processes to acquire the desired systems and equipment, and f) development of cost recovery formulas and charges to pay for system operating, maintenance and replacement costs. Results of this study will be used to plan the expenditures of bonds to meet the desired results of this bond program to develop and implement a regional public safety communications system that meets public safety agency requirements and those related to homeland security needs.

### **Benefits:**

~~Pima County taxpayers fund twenty fire districts, eleven police agencies and the Pima County Office of Emergency Management and Homeland Security. Collectively these Public and non-profit entities are responsible for providing public safety and emergency management services to the Pima County populace, and most specifically to their individual jurisdictions.~~

Their independently developed communications systems hinder interagency communications and compromise public safety. Systems deployed to meet the needs of individual jurisdictions are overlaid by even larger systems providing overlapping coverage within the same geographic area.

The cities or towns of Oro Valley, South Tucson, Tucson, Marana, Pima County, and some of the fire districts operate their own communications systems. Other smaller jurisdictions have made arrangements to share a neighboring system to meet their needs. These individual systems utilize proprietary equipment and operate in disparate frequency bands making effective interoperability very difficult.

Thirteen local and state agencies have cooperatively deployed a radio gateway that rebroadcasts transmissions from one system to others on dissimilar frequencies from a radio site in the Tucson Mountains. This provides limited coverage, only one channel on which to interoperate, and communications delays. Because of its limitations, this system has rarely been put into service. When a catastrophic event occurs, multiple channels and widespread coverage are required to coordinate public safety activities. Today this level of resource is not available.

Public safety first responders rely on many tools to provide quality policing and fire services. None is more important for their safety and efficiency than their ability to communicate on demand, in real time with a reliable communications system. The primary concern of public safety agencies is the safety and protection of the citizens of Pima County. When catastrophic events occur, first responders are challenged to perform lifesaving tasks and to minimize property damage. The greater the crisis, the more public safety agencies need an efficient, coordinated response. To aid the public quickly and effectively by functioning as a team, these responders need realtime communications with each other. And because emergencies rarely respect geographical and political boundaries, public safety agencies of other communities also regularly respond. The terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001 serve to reinforce the need for interoperable, reliable communications for public safety workers.

In summary, it is the first time in history that there is a convergence of need at a time when technology is prepared to meet that need. A regional communications system will create an opportunity for agencies to work closely together for a common goal. It will provide effective interoperable voice communications to public safety workers so that they may provide improved services. It will eliminate duplication of effort and eliminate increased costs to taxpayers. It will

provide all public safety workers with a communications network that will improve their safety and access to resources. It will dramatically improve the safety of all citizens in Pima County.

### 3.2 **New Pima County Downtown Justice Court/City of Tucson Municipal Court Complex**

**Location:** East side of Stone Avenue, between Council Street and Toole Avenue. Pima County owns the southeast corner of Council and Stone. The City of Tucson owns the northeast corner of Council and Stone. The southeast corner of Toole and Stone will be acquired.

The project also includes work impacting the existing Superior Courts Building located at 110 W. Congress Street and the County Administration **West** Building located at 150 W. Congress Street.

**Scope:** Design, construct and ~~co-~~locate a new Pima County Justice Court ~~and City of Tucson Municipal Court~~. The ~~combined Justice Court and Municipal Court Complex~~ will ultimately provide up to 420,000 square feet accommodating up to 46 courtrooms. ~~By co-locating the Justice Court and Municipal Court, Pima County and the City of Tucson can design, construct and operate shared spaces, functions and activities, such as common courtrooms, central plant, mechanical and electric spaces; a building entrance lobby; security screening stations; jury assembly space; public restrooms; and cafeteria (if included). There are several options for colocating the two courts, such as a shared building, or two adjoined buildings, etc.~~

After preliminary planning, this project has been designed as two project phases. Phase I will include the design and construction of the core and shell for a 257,000 square foot seven-story building (seven stories at or above grade with one partial mezzanine level) serving the current and future needs of ~~both the City of Tucson Municipal Court and~~ Pima County Justice Court. The facility includes a stand-alone Central Plant building. This phase will complete the bond project.

Phase II will not be a part of the 2004 GO Bond Project and is dependent on future funding sources. This phase includes future tenant improvements for the Court Tower which will build-out **approximately 80% of** the entire 257,000 square feet to accommodate 30 court rooms, holding cells, customer service areas, administrative areas, archival storage, judicial chambers and all Court ancillary improvements required to meet the operational needs of the Court. The building will be expandable to provide an additional 12 to 16 courtrooms along with Court related support spaces.

Construction of this project will also require design and construction of a parking garage, with **800 upwards of 1,500** spaces. The parking garage will be designed and constructed in conjunction with the courts, but construction and operation of the garage will be funded through parking fee revenues. Demolish the 8th floor of the Superior Courts Building and re-construct, with new courtrooms and associated spaces including judge's chambers, court reporter's space, jury deliberation rooms and a prisoner holding area. Demolish and abate the 1st floor of the Administration **West** Building and construct office space on 1st and 2nd floors for Adult Probation and Pretrial Services Departments, and move those departments from the Superior Court to this new location.

**Benefits:** Pima County Justice Courts is now located in three separate facilities downtown: the Old Courthouse, the Legal Services Building, and **160 N. Stone leased facilities**. The existing facilities are too small for current and projected volumes of work; being located in three facilities is very

inefficient; public security is difficult to assure at the Old Courthouse; and the volume of activity is inappropriate for the Old Courthouse. This project will house the Consolidated Pima County Justice

Total A/E and CM	\$ 17,073,000
Land	\$ 4,785,000
Cultural Resources /Archeology	\$ 16,807,000
Construction	\$ 51,388,000
Administration/Other	\$ 3,597,000
Contingency/Inflation	\$ 4,850,000
Total	\$ 98,500,000

**Bond Funding:** ~~\$76,000,000~~ \$80,495,291

Court, which provides services to all residents in eastern Pima County and several law enforcement agencies.

~~The City Municipal Court Building was constructed approximately 40 years ago as a parking garage. It was converted in the early 1980's for court and office use. It is inadequate from the standpoint of space, design and age. The adjacent parking garage serving Municipal Court has been closed due to structural problems, reducing available parking for judges, staff and potentially adversely impacting prisoner transport to court should the structure have to be torn down.~~

~~City Court and The Pima County Justice Courts are operating in structures that are inadequate due to the lack of space as well as design. The two facilities are located several blocks from each other. There is significant confusion by the public as to which court they need to report. The safety and convenience of the public will be better served by a this new facility in which both courts are co-located.~~

A new court facility will provide improved physical security for Justice Courts not possible in their current location. A new facility will also provide additional space to relieve court overcrowding and to consolidate Justice Court functions from three locations to one. There is the potential for cost savings by sharing of space or functions commonly used by each court.

The relocation of Adult Probation and Pretrial Services Departments from the 8th floor of the Superior Court Building to the 1st and 2nd floors of the Administration West Building, and the associated remodeling to both facilities, will create additional courtroom space needed to accommodate the court's case load.

**Costs:** ~~\$98,500,000~~ 102,995,291 (assumes inflation factor of 2.5 percent per year through the mid-year of construction; does not include cost of the parking garage)

**Other Funding:** \$22,500,000 ~~The Pima County Bond Advisory Committee reduced their recommendation for bond funding for the Tucson Municipal Court from \$45 million to \$41 million. The Committee assumed that the sale of the residual value of the City's current assets in the Municipal Court would yield \$4 million that could be invested into this project. Pima County and the City of Tucson will consult closely through planning and design of this facility. If additional funding cannot be realized, either the project will need to be re-scoped to fit available bond funding or completed in phases, as additional funding becomes available. These are issues that both jurisdictions will mutually decide.~~

**Project Duration:** Planning/Design at 36 to 48 months, Land Acquisition at 12 to 18 months, and Construction at 36 to 48 months.

**Implementation Period:** 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6

**Project Management:** ~~Pima County and the City of Tucson will execute an intergovernmental agreement to set forth the joint duties and responsibilities of each jurisdiction for finance, design, and construction of the Joint Justice Court/Municipal Court. Pursuant to this intergovernmental agreement, Pima County and the City will create a County/City Project management Team, consisting of the respective Court Administrators and each jurisdiction's Facilities Management Director. The Project Management Team Pima County~~ will develop a request for proposals to select a third-party professional project manager for project development and implementation, including management of design and construction contracts for the court facilities and parking garage. Procurement of design and construction will be managed by Pima County and contracts will be awarded by the Board of Supervisors.

**Future Operating and Maintenance Costs:** ~~Pima County will be responsible for all and the City of Tucson will execute intergovernmental agreements setting forth joint duties and responsibilities for management of and proportionate shares of~~ annual operating and maintenance costs and for management of the parking garage.

### **3.3 Rehabilitation of Old Courthouse**

**Location:** Pima County Courthouse; 115 North Church, Tucson, Arizona 85701

**Scope:** Following relocation of Consolidated Justice Court to a new facility downtown, rehabilitate and remodel the historic Old Courthouse to correct building deficiencies and provide additional office space for the Pima County Assessor, Recorder, Treasurer and other departments.

**Benefits:** Space vacated by Justice Court is be remodeled to provide office space of County departments to relieve overcrowding and consolidate departmental operations. Currently the Assessor is located in four different locations downtown and the Recorder in two. In spite of this, many staff from all three departments still work in overcrowded conditions. This project will consolidate Assessor and Recorder functions, relieve overcrowded conditions and provide better access for the public. The offices of the Assessor, Recorder and Treasurer provide services for all residents of Pima County. Providing adequate functional working areas for these offices will promote operational efficiencies. The historic Old Courthouse has long been a symbol for the community. Rehabilitation will preserve that symbol.

**Costs:** ~~\$4,500,000, with Planning/Design being \$475,000, Construction being \$4,007,000, and Other being \$18,000. 4,709~~

**Bond Funding:** ~~\$4,500,000~~ \$4,709

**Other Funding:** None identified at this time

**Project Duration:** Planning at 9 to 11 months, Design at 17 to 19 months, and Construction at 15 to 18 months.

**Implementation Period:** 5, 6

**Project Management:** Pima County Facilities Management

**Future Operating and Maintenance Costs:** Rehabilitation and remodeling will not result in any additional operating and maintenance costs, but could allow for a decrease in costs of minor repairs.

D. Question No. 4 - Parks and Recreational Facilities

c. City of Tucson Parks

#### 4.34 Julian Wash Linear Park

**Location:** This project is located along the Julian Wash from Kolb Road Campbell Avenue to Rita Houghton Road.

**Scope:** This project is the proposed acquisition and development of segments of Julian Wash Linear Park based on the City/County Divided Urban Pathway Standard. The linear park will provide a pathway system with connectivity to parks, schools, open spaces and neighborhoods. The installed linear park extends from Rita Road west along the Julian Wash to a new trailhead including restroom at Kolb Road, and includes an underpass at Kolb Road to connect to pathways further west without requiring a roadway crossing.

**Benefits:** The acquisition and development of this Linear Park will address the community's need for trails, enhanced connectivity and continued development of a growing, more complete urban pathway system, as identified in the City of Tucson Parks and Recreation Strategic Service Plan 2013 and the Eastern Pima County Trails System Masterplan. Open space, trails and natural resource parks are important elements of the voter-approved City of Tucson General Plan.

**Cost:** \$3,700,000. with Planning/Design being \$330,000, Construction being \$1,650,000, Land acquisition being \$1,500,000, and Other being \$220,000.

**Bond Funding:** \$3,700,000

**Other Funding:** None identified at this time. The City of Tucson will seek other funding, including Federal Transportation Enhancement Grants and private developer contributions. Pima County will lend such support as it can to City of Tucson in securing other funding. RFCD Tax Levy to support the underpass development at Kolb Road.

**Project Duration:** Land acquisition Phase will require 10 to 12 months. Planning, Design and Procurement phases will require 12 to 14 months. Construction will require another 12 to 18 months. To minimize the impact of increasing land cost, the Land Acquisition Phase of this project should be scheduled at the start of the bond program.

**Implementation Period:** 2, 3, 4

**Project Management:** The City of Tucson will manage the project, pursuant to an intergovernmental agreement between the City of Tucson and Pima County.

**Future Operating and Maintenance Costs:** ~~The City of Tucson Pima County will own and operate the completed project. The additional annual operation and maintenance cost for this project is estimated to be \$18,000. This cost will be funded through the City of Tucson Operating Budget - General Fund. Volunteers will be utilized to help maintain the linear park.~~

#### **4.35 Arroyo Chico Wash Improvements**

**Location:** This project is located along the Arroyo Chico Wash from Country Club Road to Campbell Avenue.

**Scope:** This project will address riparian and recreational features along the Arroyo Chico urban watercourse, including land acquisition.

**Benefits:** Development of these improvements will address the community's need for trails, connectivity and a growing, more complete urban pathway system, as identified in the City of Tucson Parks and Recreation Strategic Service Plan 2013 and the Eastern Pima County Trails System Masterplan. Open space, trails and natural resource parks are important elements of the voter-approved City of Tucson General Plan.

**Cost:** \$1,000,000, with Planning/Design being \$100,000, Land Acquisition being \$700,000, Construction being \$100,000, and Other being \$100,000.

**Bond Funding:** \$1,000,000

**Other Funding:** An additional approximately \$2,000,000 is currently being pursued by the City of Tucson. The City of Tucson will seek other funding, including Arizona Heritage Funds and Regional Transportation Authority funds. Pima County will lend such support as it can to City of Tucson in securing other funding.

**Project Duration:** Planning, Design and Procurement Phases will require 10 to 12 months. Construction will require another 10 to 12 months.

**Implementation Period:** 2, 3, 4, 5

**Project Management:** The City of Tucson will manage the project, pursuant to an intergovernmental agreement between the City of Tucson and Pima County.

**Future Operating and Maintenance Costs:** The City of Tucson will own and operate the completed project. The additional annual operation and maintenance cost for this project is estimated to be \$12,000. This cost will be funded through the City of Tucson Operating Budget - General Fund.

E. Question No. 5 - River Parks and Flood Control Improvements

#### **c. Tribal Drainage Improvements**

## **5.5 Pascua Yaqui Tribe Black Wash Urban Drainage Flood Control Improvements**

**Location:** Pascua Yaqui Tribal Land and Unincorporated Pima County

**Scope:** Address deficiencies related to stormwater flooding within developed areas of the Reservation and in particular Black Wash. Upgrade existing culverts, channels, and other drainage facilities experiencing erosion damage.

**Benefits:** This project is important to the Tribe's economic well being, as well as the health, safety and general welfare of the community and surrounding residents. It will assure the safety of Tribal members from flooding, and protect existing and future infrastructure.

**Cost:** \$1,000,000, with Administration being \$6,000, Construction being \$844,000, Design being \$75,000, Planning being \$60,000, Public Art being \$10,000, and Utility Relocation being \$5,000.

**Bond Funding:** \$1,000,000

**Other Funding:** None identified at this time.

**Project Duration:** Planning at 9 to 15 months, Design at 9 to 15 months, Utility Relocation at 6 to 12 months, and Construction at 18 to 36 months.

**Implementation Period:** 1, 2, 3, 4, 5

**Project Management:** Pascua Yaqui Tribe, pursuant to an intergovernmental agreement between the Pascua Yaqui Tribe and Flood Control District.

**Future Operating and Maintenance Costs:** Estimated at \$1,000 annually; which will be funded by the Pascua Yaqui Tribe.

**AS AMENDED by the Board of Supervisors of Pima County, Arizona, on this \_\_\_\_ day  
of \_\_\_\_\_, 2013.**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman, Board of Supervisors

Attest:

Reviewed by:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk, Board of Supervisors

\_\_\_\_\_  
County Administrator

Approved as to Form:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Civil Deputy County Attorney

**May 16, 2006**  
**General Obligation**

ORDINANCE NUMBER 2013 - \_\_\_\_

**AN ORDINANCE OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF PIMA COUNTY, ARIZONA RELATING TO GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROJECTS AMENDING ORDINANCE NUMBER 2006-29 BOND IMPLEMENTATION PLAN, MAY 16, 2006 SPECIAL ELECTION (AS AMENDED OCTOBER 6, 2009 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 2009-93 AND APRIL 5, 2011 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 2011-22 AND APRIL 17, 2012 BY ORDINANCE NUMBER 2012-21) FOR THE PURPOSE OF AMENDING THE SCOPE AND IMPLEMENTATION PERIODS OF THE PROJECTS.**

**WHEREAS**, the Board of Supervisors adopted Chapter 3.06 of the Pima County Code titled “Bonding Disclosure, Accountability and Implementation”; and,

**WHEREAS**, in compliance with Chapter 3.06, the Board of Supervisors adopted Ordinance Number 2006-29, the “Bond Implementation Plan, May 16, 2006 Special Election”; and,

**WHEREAS**, the Board of Supervisors, on October 6, 2009 enacted Ordinance Number 2009-93 and on April 5, 2011 enacted Ordinance Number 2011-22 and on April 17, 2012 enacted Ordinance Number 2012-21 amending Ordinance No. 2006-29 in compliance with provisions of Chapter 3.06; and

**WHEREAS**, the Board of Supervisors desires to amend Ordinance Number 2006-29 (as previously amended) in compliance with provisions of Chapter 3.06:

**NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of Pima County, Arizona:**

Ordinance Number 2006-29 (as previously amended) is hereby amended as follows:

## **B. Question 4 – Psychiatric Inpatient Hospital Facilities**

For the purpose of acquiring, developing, improving and equipping psychiatric inpatient hospital facilities for the County, including the acquisition and construction of real and personal property or interests or rights in property for such purpose and paying all expenses properly incidental thereto and to the issuance of such bonds, shall Pima County, Arizona be authorized to issue and sell general obligation bonds of the County in an aggregate principal amount not exceeding \$36,000,000?

**Location:** Kino Health Campus, 2800 East Ajo Way, Tucson, Arizona 85713

**Scope:** To construct an 80 to 100-bed psychiatric inpatient facility and expanded psychiatric emergency department on the Kino health campus. The proposed facility will be part of University Physicians Hospital at Kino Campus and will be constructed adjacent to the existing hospital and within close proximity to the proposed psychiatric urgent care center. The proposed \$36 million in bond funds would be combined with the \$12 million of bond funds authorized in May 2004 for a total of \$48 million.

Because the project will involve the area currently used to land emergency helicopters on the campus, a new Helistop will be designed, constructed and equipped to serve the remodeled Emergency Department (ED) currently designed into the ground floor of this new Psychiatric Hospital. The Helistop will be located in the north soccer field on the Kino campus near the new ED ambulance entrance and will consist of two helicopter landing pads and associated improvements. Surplus funds from the construction of the psychiatric inpatient hospital facilities will be used to design, construct, and equip needed health clinics and associated labs to be built at the Kino Campus.

Because healthcare projects included in the 2004 and 2006 Bond Questions put a strain on the parking need on campus, the design of a Parking Garage is included in this scope.

Also included in this scope is the development of capital improvements necessary for the University of Arizona's use of approximately 12,000 square feet in the Abrams Public Health building. This space will be used by the Colleges of Medicine, Public Health, and Agriculture and Life Sciences with an emphasis on research in the prevention of metabolic disease.

**Benefits:** The majority of psychiatric inpatient beds in the University Physicians Hospital at Kino Campus are currently located in areas originally designed as medical/surgical units and are inefficient from an operations and safety standpoint. Medical patients as well as patients with behavioral and substance abuse issues are treated in an emergency department that is too small, causing delays and disruptions in treatment for all patients and jeopardizing patient safety. The proposed psychiatric inpatient hospital and expanded emergency department with space specifically designed for psychiatric patients, will improve security, operational efficiency and treatment opportunities.

A new Helistop will replace the existing helicopter landing site, which is impacted by the project, ensuring safe, essential and convenient air ambulance service to an expanded and enhanced Level 3 Trauma Center. Newly constructed health clinics and associated labs will supplement existing inpatient and outpatient services for the betterment of patient care at the Kino Campus.

**Cost:** \$48,000,000– including costs to plan, design, bid, construct and equip the new facility.

**2006 Bond Funding:** \$36,000,000

**Other Funding:** \$12,000,000 in 2004 General Obligation Bond Authorization

**Implementation Period:** Fiscal Year 2005/06 to Fiscal Year ~~2012/2013~~ 2013/2014

**Project Management:** Pima County Facilities Management

**Future Operating and Maintenance Costs:** Approximately \$2,500,000 per year following occupancy in 2011. O&M costs include utilities, building and site maintenance, housekeeping, and security. O&M cost estimate is based on projected facility size. Responsibility for O&M costs is to be determined by separate agreement with the agency leasing the new facility.

**AS AMENDED** by the Board of Supervisors of Pima County, Arizona, on this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2013.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman, Board of Supervisors

Attest:

Reviewed by:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk, Board of Supervisors

\_\_\_\_\_  
County Administrator

Approved as to Form:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Civil Deputy County Attorney