

## **MEMORANDUM**

Date: November 7, 2013

To: Chairman and Members

Pima County Bond Advisory Committee

From: C.H. Huckelberry

County Administr

Re: Rillito Park Improvements

The County Attorney received the enclosed letter dated October 21, 2013 from Mr. Edwin R. Moore regarding Rillito Park. The County Attorney's October 31, 2013 response is also enclosed for your information.

The next phase of Rillito Park improvements will begin after the end of the 2014 County Fair Horseracing season, or in March of that year. These improvements will include additional soccer field improvements, removal of existing horse barns, and other modifications necessary for this phase of Rillito Park improvements.

The improvements anticipated to begin after March 2014 are related to a bond amendment requested by the City of Tucson that has yet to be processed by the Bond Advisory Committee. The City of Tucson originally asked that funds for Rillito Park be utilized to construct a recreation center. Lacking funding to operate and maintain such a center, the City of Tucson has now requested the funds be used for development of athletic fields, which will be maintained by the County.

A bond amendment will be processed at your meeting of February 21, 2014 to implement the request from the City of Tucson and to allow the County to proceed with the contemplated athletic field improvements.

CHH/anc

Enclosure

c: Nanette Slusser, Assistant County Administrator for Public Works Policy Nicole Fyffe, Executive Assistant to the County Administrator Diana Durazo, Special Staff Assistant to the County Administrator

Edwin R. Moore P.O. Box 36077 Tucson, AZ 85740

Octóber 21,2013

Barbara LaWall, Esq.
Pima County Attorney
1400 Legal Services Building
32 N. Stone Ave.
Tucson, AZ 85701-1412

Re: NOTICE OF TAXPAYER CLAIM AND REQUEST FOR ACTION PURSUANT TO A.R.S. 11-641

Dear Ms LaWall,

I am a citizen of the United States, and a resident and property taxpayer of Pima County, Arizona. Pima County borrowed money by issuing bonds to buy land and develop a park to be turned over to the City of Tucson. The publicity pamphlet for the bond issue submitted to the voters must contain, among other things, a "complete list of each proposed capital improvement that will be funded with the proceeds of the bonds". A.R.S. 15-491(H)(6).

The voters approved general obligation bonds for \$5,500,000 to build a park. Of those funds my information is that Pima County has expended approximately \$200,000 or more for project and matters not included within the taxpayer approval for issuance of the bonds. The Arizona Constitution art.7. 13 requires voter approval of all bond issues. The Arizona Statutes prohibit use of bond proceeds for purposes other than those approved by the voters. A.R.S. 15-491(J). There are exceptions authorized by 2010 Sessions Laws 34 that do not apply in this case. Use of funds for matters not approved by taxpayers is in violation of the Arizona Constitution and law.

I am informed that Pima County intends to utilize a portion of said bond proceeds for removing barn improvements at the Historic Rillito Racetrack. The Rillito Race Track initiative provides that, "In the event a petition, if filed seeking to have Rillito Race Track designated a national historic site, Pima County hereby declares its full support of that petition". A copy of the Initiative is included herewith. The proposed destruction of the barns and other improvements at the Race Track are in violation of Pima County obligations and duties under the Initiative (there is no expiration for that and other obligatory provisions binding upon Pima County of the Initiative).

It is clear that the County Administrator and Staff well know the limitations of the uses of bond proceeds. Recently it was reported that Mr. Huckelberry would not allow the County to participate in the use of bond funds desired by the City of Tucson because the proposed use was not legal as the voters had not approved it in the bond election. A copy of the newspaper article on that matter is also included herewith.

54

A copy of this letter is being sent to the County Attorney with the request that it bring action enforcing the rights of the taxpaters pursuant to A.R.S. 11-641. It is my understanding that Pima County has improperly amended or attempted to amend the ordinance approved by the voters. Any such action(s) are and were contrary to law and are null, void or voidable.

At a minimum, it appears to me that you have violated the Opening Meeting Law, A.R.S. 38-431 et. Seq. And 11-251. As I am sure you are aware, any legal action transacted by you in violation of the Open Meeting Law is null and void. A.R.S. 38-431.05A. The reference to particular statutes is not intended to be all inclusive. I reserve, and do not waive, the right to raise any applicable law, rule, or regulation that you have or may in the future violate with respect to this matter. In the event that I bring an action against you, I may seek such damages for wrongful expenditure of public funds as have been expended on this matter, attorney fees, costs, and such other relief as may be just proper and appropriate.

Your prompt attention to these matters is appreciated. It is my hope that you will act to protect the interests of the Pima County taxpayers.

Sincerely,

cc:

Barbara LaWall, Esq. Pima County Attorney Tom Horn, Esq. Arizona Attorney General Pima County Pima County Board of Supervisors Ramon Valadez, Chairman Ally Miller, Supervisor Sharon Bronson, Supervisor Ray Carroll, Supervisor Richard Elias, Supervisor c/o Robin Brigode.

C.H. Huckelberry

Pima County Administrator Local and National Media Arizona Quarter Horse Association American quarter Horse Association Clint Bolick Christina Sandefur All members of the State Legislature

# INITIATIVE MEASURE TO BE SUBMITTED DIRECTLY TO ELECTORS.

A full and correct copy of what is proposed to be initiated is as follows:

# AN ORDINANCE

Do it enacted by the People of the State of Arizona, County of Pima:

AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING AN OFFICIAL PLAN PROVIDING FOR THE USE, ACTIVITIES AND STATUS OF THAT CERTAIN LAND AND IMPROVEMENTS DUNED BY PIMA COUNTY, COUSISTING OF APPROXIMATELY EIGHTY-EIGHT (88) ACRES, GENERALLY KNOWN AS "MILLITO RACE TRACK," TITLE -

PINA COURTY HEREBY DECLARES THAT SAID LAND SHALL BE UTILIZED FOR RECREATIONAL AND HISTORIC USES, INCLUDING HORSE. RACING APPROYED BY THE ARIZONA STATE RACING CONNISSION, FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE CITIZENS OF PINA COUNTY. SECTION 1.

RILLITO RACE TRACK SHALL BE ADMINISTERED UNDER THE PINA COUNTY PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT.

AT LEAST, THAT CONNERCIAL HORSE RACE METS, HORSE SHOWS, RUGBY, SOCCER, SOFTBALL, ENTERTAINMENT, COMBUNITY PINA COURTY SHALL LEASE RILLITO RACE TRACK, PURSUANT TO A.R.S. 11-256. THE LEASE PROVISIOUS SHALL PROVIDE, SECTION 2.

AND CHARITABLE ACVITIVITIES, RESTAURANTS, AND ALL FORNS OF LEGAL CONNERGIAL ACTIVITIES MAY BE CONDUCTED ON THE PREMISES BY THE LESSEE FOR A PERIOD OF THENTY-FIVE (25) YEARS COMMEMCING JANUARY 1, 1985.

PIMA COURTY HEREBY DESIGNATES RILLITO RACE TRACK AS THE OFFICIAL PIMA COUNTY RACE TRACK FOR COURTY NOISE RACE MEETS FOR A PERIOD OF AT LEAST THENTY-FIVE (25) YEARS FROM JANUARY 1, 1985. SECTION 3.

PINA COUNTY HEREBY DECLARES ITS FULL SUPPORT OF THE PETITION FILED WITH THE ARIZONA STATE MISTORIC PRESERVATIONS OFFICE, SEEKING TO HAVE THE RILLITO RACE TRACK-DESIGNATED A STATE MISTORIC SITE. SECTION 4.

IN THE. EVENT A PETITION IS FILED SEEKING TO HAVE MILLITO RACE TRACK DESIGNATED A MATIONAL HISTORIC SITE, PINA

IN FURTHERANCE THEREOF, THE IMPROVEMENTS ON THE LAND SHALL BE MAINTAINED.

THE PINA COUNTY BOAKD OF SUPERVISORS DOES NOT HAVE THE RIGHT, PONER OR AUTHORITY TO CHANGE OR AREND THIS SECTION S.

And the law of the party of the

SAITURDAY, OCTOBER 5, 2013 • PAGE CI

Editor: Lipolity R. Corella ( 540:573-4101 / metro gazhago faca

County wants it built as voters intended, or \$25M will be pulled

By Derrym DaRpinco And Joe Ferguson

Pime County is threshening to gly controversial road project if the city doesnit build it as origicall its funding from an incres ARIZONÁ DAĽY STAR

of project voters approved in two. County Administrator Chuek forkelberry said it's becoming lest that the city might not fully mplement the Broadway-widentrafts bond elections.

And if the city falls to build a full ms, median-divided road-

bond was adding road capacity, idea And that ments widening the mad give in most cases, Hinclasherry said. wan "If (the ctty) doesn't meet the terms of the bond ordinance, we can't spend money on it." ward county roads. In addition, the county would won't contribute its \$25 million shars to the \$71 million project way between Country Chib Road and Buchd Avenue, the county and instead will put the money to-

ileo seek a refund of the \$1.3 mil- memo to his staff earlier this week ture, fust in case folice against any "We keep bearing some people as way to start planining for the fuelberry said, "We're saying no expansion of Broadway win out. tum on a project that is still being saying no widening at all," Huid from it abouty has spent on the City officials say the county is prematuraly placing an ultimamining a citizens penel designed planned, and by doing so is underarty stages of the project.

If the county pulled its money, the city would have to cover the widening is not an option." erry said the county's, difference, to find a workable solution for the

FOR years, residents and government officials sharred over the proposed Broadway project. The hands are tied because of language "The whole context of that

purched bond

In a 1997 wo

Huckey

Fras to expand Broadway, be-Shuting in 2016, extending east. ward from downtown to smellorate future traffic congestion.

But what infuriated residents The city put together a citizens was that the plan would destroy He decided to send an internal more than 100 homes and businesses along the corridor.

their differences. The group is task frice last year where neighsois, traine consultants and effy officials could have out some of scheduled to continue working on the Broadway plan until next Summer

Some fear Huckelberry's plans de the entire effort. the table," said Council conid an

Korsehik, who was instrumental in forming the committee. "And after a year, some administrator plug, All this does is further grade The trying to run attitions out of what little trust people have it these types of processes, he's succomes in and says, This is w we're doing or I'm pul their government. If

bert Elias said it's too early to tell where the citizens panel is headed, and it should be left unfettered, Assistant City Manager:

Elias said the intention was to allow the committee to reach a clais from the city, the county and conclusion and then bring in off

See BROADWAY, C.2



Barbara LaWall Pima County Attorney

Pima County Attorney's Office 32 N. Stone Avenue Suite 1400 Tucson, AZ 85701

> Phone (520) 740-5600 Fax (520) 740-5495

> > www.pcao.pima.gov

## October 31, 2013

Via First Class Mail

Mr. Edwin R. Moore P.O. Box 36077 Tucson, AZ 85740

Re: Notice of Taxpayer Claim and Request for Action

Dear Mr. Moore:

This will respond to your letter dated October 21, 2013, in which you allege that Pima County has violated its bond ordinance and the Arizona Open Meeting Law and in which you request that I take action against the Pima County Board of Supervisors pursuant to A.R.S. section 11-641. Following a careful review of the present circumstances regarding Rillito Park, I have concluded that there is no factual or legal basis to take the action that you have requested, for the reasons stated below.

The November 6, 1984 initiative which you contend imposes continuing obligations upon Pima County relating to Rillito Park expired by its terms on December 31, 2009. Notwithstanding the expiration of the initiative, which was intended to continue horse racing at Rillito Park for a twenty-five year period, Pima County has continued to lease portions of Rillito Park for horse racing and plans to continue to do so for at least the near future.

The voter-approved general obligation bonds to which you refer relate to the improvement of Rillito Park for not only horse racing, but also the provision of recreational activities such as soccer fields. The recreational use of the property by the community may be further accommodated by the current proposal to remove and relocate the older and dilapidated barns from the west end of the park to the east end of the park.

The proposal for removal and replacement of the barns is presently in the planning stage and has not resulted in any expenditure of Pima County bond funds except as has been previously approved by the voters. As plans for removal and replacement of the barns are finalized, a specific proposal for the further expenditure of Pima County bond funds for these park improvements will be considered first by the Pima County Bond Advisory Committee and then by the Pima County Board of Supervisors. The Pima County Bond Ordinance would need to be duly amended before any bond funds could be committed to the project. County administration projects that this might occur at the earliest in 2014.

This proposal, and any necessary amendments to the Pima County Bond Ordinance, will be considered publicly by both the Bond Advisory Committee

Edwin R. Moore October 31, 2013 Page Two

and the Board of Supervisors as part of properly noticed public meetings in full compliance with the Arizona Open Meeting Law.

There is one barn structure at the west end of Rillito Park that has been significantly damaged by a monsoon several years ago. That structure is not fit for occupancy or rehabilitation and may be demolished earlier at the direction of Pima County Risk Management. The demolition of that structure would be accomplished with Pima County general funds in the event it becomes necessary to perform the demolition in advance of any approval of bond funding for demolition and relocation of the barns.

While Rillito Park is listed on the National Registry of Historic Places, there is no law that prohibits the demolition or relocation of contributing or other structures by the owner of a historic property. See, A Comparison of the National Register of Historic Places with Local Historic Landmark and District Designations, <a href="http://www.hpo.ncdcr.gov/compare.htm">http://www.hpo.ncdcr.gov/compare.htm</a>. Pima County would be within its legal rights in demolishing the unsafe barn structure and eventually moving, demolishing and replacing the remaining barn structures. Despite the fact that there is no legal requirement to do so, Pima County has carried out this planning process in consultation with the Arizona State Historic Preservation Office. That office has interposed no objections to the barn relocation proposal to date.

For all of the foregoing reasons, there is no basis for me to take any action against the Pima County Board of Supervisors or any other Pima County official pursuant to A.R.S. section 11-264 or any other statute with regard to the proposal to relocate the barns at Rillito Park.

Sincerely,

Barbara LaWall

Pima County Attorney

/tr

cc: Pima County Board of Supervisors

C.H. Huckelberry, Pima County Administrator